

---

# Technical Release 19: Rescission of Technical Release 8

---

---

## Status

|   |                          |
|---|--------------------------|
| <b>Issued</b>                                 | January 15, 2019         |
| <b>Effective Date</b>                         | Effective upon issuance. |
| <b>Interpretations and Technical Releases</b> | None.                    |
| <b>Affects</b>                                | TR 8 is rescinded.       |
| <b>Affected by</b>                            | None.                    |

---

## Summary

Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards (SFFAS) 55, *Amending Inter-entity Cost Provisions, amended SFFAS 4, Managerial Cost Accounting Standards and Concepts*, and rescinded SFFAS 30, *Inter-Entity Cost Implementation: Amending SFFAS 4, Managerial Cost Accounting Standards and Concepts*, and *Interpretation 6, Accounting for Imputed Intra-departmental Costs: An Interpretation of SFFAS No. 4*.

As a result, Technical Release (TR) 8, *Clarification of Standards Relating to Inter-Entity Costs*, is no longer consistent with SFFAS 4, as amended, because the requirement to recognize inter-entity costs was revised significantly.

This TR rescinds TR 8 because it is no longer consistent with SFFAS 4, as amended.

The provisions of this TR need not be applied to immaterial items. The determination of whether an item is material depends on the degree to which omitting or misstating information about the item makes it probable that the judgment of a reasonable person relying on the information would have been changed or influenced by the omission or the misstatement.

---

---

## Table of Contents

|   | <b>Page</b> |
|---|-------------|
| Summary   | 1           |
| Technical Guidance  | 3           |
| Scope   | 3           |
| <i>Rescission of Technical Release 8, Clarification of Standards Relating to Inter-Entity Costs</i> | 3           |
| Effective Date  | 3           |
| Appendix A: Basis for Conclusions   | 4           |
| Appendix B: Abbreviations   | 9           |

---

---

## Technical Guidance

---

### Scope

1. Readers of this Technical Release (TR) should first refer to the hierarchy of accounting standards in Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards (SFFAS) 34, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, Including the Application of Standards Issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board*. This TR supplements the relevant accounting standards but is not a substitute for and does not take precedence over the standards.

---

### Rescission of Technical Release 8, *Clarification of Standards Relating to Inter-entity Costs*

2. This paragraph rescinds TR 8, *Clarification of Standards Relating to Inter-Entity Costs*, in its entirety because it is no longer consistent with SFFAS 4, *Managerial Cost Accounting Standards and Concepts*, as amended.

---

### Effective Date

3. This TR is effective upon issuance.

|   |
|---|
| The provisions of this Technical Release need not be applied to immaterial items. |
|---|

---

## Appendix A: Basis for Conclusions

This appendix discusses some factors considered significant by Committee members in reaching the conclusions in this Technical Release. It includes the reasons for accepting certain approaches and rejecting others. Individual members gave greater weight to some factors than to others. The guidance enunciated in this Technical Release—not the material in this appendix—should govern the accounting for specific transactions, events, or conditions.

This Technical Release may be affected by later Statements or other pronouncements. The Handbook is updated annually and includes a status section directing the reader to any pronouncement that changed this Technical Release. Within the text of the Technical Release, the guidance sections are updated for changes. However, this appendix will not be updated to reflect future changes. The reader can review the basis for conclusions of the amending Statements or other pronouncements for the rationale for each amendment.

---

### Project History

- A1. SFFAS 4 (including Interpretation 6, *Accounting for Imputed Intra-departmental Costs: An Interpretation of SFFAS No. 4*), required reporting entities to recognize the full costs of services received from other federal reporting entities even if there was no requirement to reimburse the providing reporting entity for the full cost of such services.
- A2. The Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board (FASAB) issued SFFAS 55, *Amending Inter-entity Cost Provisions*, on May 31, 2018. This Statement amended SFFAS 4 and rescinded SFFAS 30, *Inter-Entity Cost Implementation: Amending SFFAS 4, Managerial Cost Accounting Standards and Concepts, and Interpretation 6*.
- A3. With the rescission of SFFAS 30, paragraphs 110 and 111 of SFFAS 4, as amended, were restored to their original language prior to the issuance of SFFAS 30. SFFAS 55 revised SFFAS 4 to provide for the continued recognition of significant inter-entity costs by business-type activities. Inter-entity costs continue to be imputed for those reporting entities conducting business-type activities<sup>1</sup> because the information is directly tied to rates.
- A4. Per SFFAS 4, as amended, recognition of inter-entity costs by activities that are not business-type activities is not required with the exception of inter-entity costs for personnel

---

<sup>1</sup>Business-type activity is defined as a significantly self-sustaining activity that finances its continuing cycle of operations through collection of exchange revenue as defined in SFFAS 7, *Accounting for Revenue and Other Financing Sources and Concepts for Reconciling Budgetary and Financial Accounting*.

---

benefits and the Treasury Judgment Fund settlements unless otherwise directed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Notwithstanding the absence of a requirement, non-business-type activities may elect to recognize imputed cost and corresponding imputed financing for other types of inter-entity costs.

---

## Rescission of TR 8, *Clarification of Standards Relating to Inter-Entity Costs*

- A5. This TR rescinds TR 8 because it is no longer consistent with SFFAS 4, as amended.
- A6. Rescinding TR 8 rescinds guidance that is not in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) due to SFFAS 55 amendments. For example, TR 8 does not reflect that recognition of inter-entity costs by activities that are not business-type activities is not required with the exception of inter-entity costs for personnel benefits and the Treasury Judgment Fund settlements unless otherwise directed by OMB. However, non-business-type activities may elect to recognize imputed cost and corresponding imputed financing for other types of inter-entity costs.
- A7. This TR also eliminates potentially confusing references to the rescinded SFFAS 30. The Accounting and Auditing Policy Committee (AAPC or "the Committee") believes it appropriate to rescind TR 8 because allowing it to remain is inconsistent with current GAAP and may lead to potential misapplication of the technical guidance. For example, paragraph 7 (the first paragraph under the "Technical Guidance" section) of TR 8 states: "This guidance is presented in response to three implementation issues identified by FASAB based on comments SFFAS 30 received from respondents." With SFFAS 30 being rescinded, allowing TR 8 to remain in effect could bring questions as to its applicability.
- A8. Considering the changes that have occurred in the accounting for inter-entity costs, the Committee's goal was to update TR 8 to ensure clear guidance going forward. The Committee carefully considered if any guidance should be maintained and if any additional guidance was necessary. Paragraph 111 of SFFAS 4, as amended, states:

Recognition of all significant inter-entity costs is important when those costs constitute inputs to government goods or services provided for a fee or user charge. Generally, the fees and user charges should recover the full costs of those goods and services. <sup>[Footnote 33 omitted]</sup> Thus, the cost of inter-entity goods or services needs to be recognized by the receiving entity in order to determine fees or user charges for goods and services sold by the federal government. Recognition of inter-entity costs supporting business-type activities <sup>[Footnote 33A omitted]</sup> and recognition of inter-entity costs for non-business type activities that elect to do so should be made in accordance with implementation guidance provided by

---

FASAB through one or more Technical Releases.<sup>33B</sup> Activities that are not business-type activities are not required to recognize inter-entity costs other than inter-entity costs for personnel benefits and the Treasury Judgment Fund settlements unless otherwise directed by OMB. Notwithstanding the absence of a requirement, non-business-type activities may elect to recognize imputed cost and corresponding imputed financing for other types of inter-entity costs.

<sup>33B</sup>Technical Release (TR) 8, *Clarification of Standards Relating to Inter-Entity Costs* provides implementation guidance. Additional TRs may be provided by FASAB if needed.

- A9. The Committee believes that much of the guidance that was previously included in TR 8 is no longer necessary or relevant based on the amended standards. The purpose of TR 8 was to provide guidance in response to concerns raised during due process of SFFAS 30. TR 8 addresses three aspects of full costing specified in SFFAS 4: (1) guidance on costs that should be considered broad and general for all entities, (2) guidance on the directness of the relationship to the entity's operations as used in determining if a transaction should be considered material to the receiving entity, and (3) guidance on identifiability as used in determining if a transaction should be considered material to the receiving entity.
- A10. The Committee determined that the list of broad and general support goods and services that was provided in table 1 of TR 8 is not necessary to maintain. While the list was helpful to the community when TR 8 was issued in 2008, the Committee believes the conclusions presented in the list are now well established and do not need to be included in any form of updated guidance. Table 1 provided the following examples, which appear to be widely understood in the community today as broad and general support goods and services:
- a. Department of the Treasury services, such as disbursing electronic funds transfer and check payments, government-wide accounting and reporting policy and guidance, collection services, and trust fund maintenance
  - b. Department of Justice services, such as debt collection activities and non-reimbursed services for criminal and civil litigation
  - c. General Services Administration services, such as real and personal property disposal and central management functions
  - d. Department of Labor services, such as administration of unemployment compensation and non-reimbursable administration and support services for the Federal Employees Compensation Account
  - e. Office of Personnel Management services, such as administration of the Federal Employees Benefit Program (including pensions and post-retirement benefits)

- 
- f. Executive Office of the President, including all support functions performed
  - g. Government Accountability Office, such as accounting and auditing policy and guidance

A11. When considering the need for guidance on the directness of a relationship to an entity's operations, TR 8 included specific excerpts from respondents to SFFAS 30, which has now been rescinded. In addition, the guidance included excerpts from SFFAS 4 as well as paraphrases from the "Managerial Cost Accounting Concepts" and "Basis for Conclusions" sections that reiterated key points. While important, the Committee determined the following TR 8 topics discussed key points that reside in SFFAS 4, as amended, and do not need to be included in any form of updated guidance:

- a. The directness of the relationship to entity operations is generally determined by matching goods or services received to the output of the entity.
- b. Managerial cost accounting should be performed to measure and report the costs of each responsibility segment level's output.
- c. The needs of the users of cost information must be taken into account.

A12. The Committee found that the majority of the explanations on identifiability included direct excerpts and paraphrases from the "Managerial Cost Accounting Concepts" and "Basis for Conclusions" sections from SFFAS 4. The guidance in TR 8 related to the requirement for the provider to supply the receiving entity with information on the full cost of non-reimbursed or under-reimbursed inter-entity goods and services. The Committee believes this requirement and the requirements when information is not provided are well understood by the community. Therefore, this does not need to be included in any form of updated guidance.

A13. In summary, the Committee believes that, while the guidance was useful during initial implementation of SFFAS 30, it is no longer necessary. Much of the guidance provided in TR 8 is now understood by the community. Further, portions of TR 8 reiterated key points from SFFAS 4 and quoted it directly. Other portions of TR 8 contained paraphrases from the "Managerial Cost Accounting Concepts" and "Basis for Conclusions" sections of SFFAS 4 that are still included within SFFAS 4. For example, including excerpts from SFFAS 4 (issued in 1995) is not practical or useful considering SFFAS 4 is available for reference. The Committee believes all necessary guidance resides in SFFAS 4, as amended.

---

---

## Summary of Outreach Efforts and Responses

A14. The exposure draft (ED), *Rescission of Technical Release 8*, was issued August 21, 2018, with comments requested by October 5, 2018.

A15. Upon release of the ED, FASAB provided notices and press releases to the FASAB subscription email list, the Federal Register, *FASAB News*, the *Journal of Accountancy*, Association of Government Accountants Topics, the *CPA Journal*, *Government Executive*, the *CPA Letter*, the Chief Financial Officers Council, the Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency, and committees of professional associations generally commenting on EDs in the past (for example, the Greater Washington Society of CPAs and the Association of Government Accountants Financial Management Standards Board).

A16. The AAPC received nine responses from preparers, users of federal financial information, and professional associations.

A17. The AAPC considered responses to the ED at its November 27, 2018, public meeting. The AAPC did not rely on the number in favor of or opposed to a given position. Information about the respondents' majority view is provided only as a means of summarizing the comments. The AAPC considered the arguments in each response and weighed the merits of the points raised.

A18. All respondents supported the proposal to rescind TR 8, *Clarification of Standards Relating to Inter-Entity Costs*, because it is no longer consistent with SFFAS 4, as amended.

---

## AAPC & Board Approval

A19. The TR was approved by the AAPC for release to FASAB for issuance. The Board has reviewed this TR and a majority of its members do not object to its issuance. Written ballots are available for public inspection at the FASAB office.



---

---

## Appendix B: Abbreviations

|       |   |
|-------|---|
| AAPC  | Accounting and Auditing Policy Committee            |
| ED    | Exposure Draft                                      |
| FASAB | Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board         |
| GAAP  | Generally Accepted Accounting Principles            |
| OMB   | Office of Management and Budget                     |
| SFFAS | Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards |
| TR    | Technical Release                                   |