Appraisal Subcommittee

Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council

March 14, 2012

Mr. Daniel E. Shapiro First Deputy Secretary of State NY Department of State One Commerce Plaza 99 Washington Ave Albany, NY 12231-0001

RE: ASC Compliance Review of New York's appraiser regulatory program

Dear Mr. Shapiro:

The Appraisal Subcommittee (ASC) staff conducted an ASC Compliance Review (Review) of New York's appraiser regulatory program (Program) on September 20-23, 2011. This is the final ASC Compliance Review Report (Report) on that Review.

The ASC has considered the preliminary findings regarding the Review and the State's response. The ASC has determined the Program is not in substantial compliance with Title XI of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act of 1989, as amended. The ASC identified the following areas of non-compliance:

- States must use a reliable means of validating appraiser experience claims on all initial applications for appraiser credentialing;¹ and
- States should resolve all complaints filed against appraisers within one year, except for special documented circumstances.²

In its response, the State indicated corrective actions were taken. New York will remain on a twoyear Review Cycle. During a priority contact visit in 2012, ASC staff will confirm these corrective actions have taken place and are appropriate. Please also be advised this letter and the attached Report are public record and available on the ASC website in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act.

Please contact us if you have any questions.

Acting Chairman

Attachment

cc: Ms. Kathleen M. McCoy, Director, Division of Licensing Services

¹ Title XI § 1116 (a), 12 U.S.C. 3345; Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. 3347; AQB Real Property Appraiser Qualification Criteria; ASC Policy Statement 10G.

² Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. 3347; ASC Policy Statement 10E.

						ASC Finding: Not In Substantial Compliance			
								Final Report Issue Date: March 14, 2012	
New York Appraiser Regulatory Pro		Progra	-						
Board of Real Estate Appraisal (Boa Decision Making	rd) /		PM: J.	Tidwell	ASC Compliance Review Date: September 20-23, 2011		Review Period: September 2009 to September 2011		
Umbrella Agency: Department of State, Division of Licensing Services					Number of State Credentialed Appraisers of	on National Registry: 4357	Review Cycle: Two Year		
Requirement/Guidance		liance (Y of Conce		ASC Staff Observations	State Response	Required State Actions	Recommended State Actions	General Comments	
	YES NO		AC						
New York Statutes, Regulations, Policies and Procedures:	<u>,</u>		x						
States must have sufficient funding and staffing consistent with the purpose of Title XI. (Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C 3347.)				The Program did not have the necessary funding and/or staffing to take appropriate action on their continuing education (CE) audits and investigate and resolve complaints timely.	In a letter dated November 10, 2011, Board staff reported to ASC staff ways that they have staffed the Program to process applications, take appropriate action on the CE audits, and to timely investigate and resolve complaints.	None	should monitor their funding and staffing to	ASC staff will be conducting a Priority Contact in 2012 and a Compliance Review in 2013. ASC staff will pay particular attention to this area for compliance with Title XI.	
Temporary Practice:	х								
				No compliance issues noted.	N/A	None	None	None	
National Registry:	Х								
				No compliance issues noted.	N/A	None	None	None	
Application Process:			X						
States must use a reliable means of validating continuing education claims on appraiser renewal applications. (Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. 3347; AQB Real Property Appraiser Qualification Criteria; ASC Policy Statement 10F.)				Board staff did not take appropriate action regarding appraisers that did not comply with the initial CE audit letter. During this Review, ASC staff noted that the 2010 and 2011 CE audits were not completed. CE audit letters were mailed to selected appraisers requiring proof of CE in compliance with Appraiser Qualifications Board Real Property Appraiser Qualification Criteria (AQB Criteria). However, Board staff did not take subsequent action regarding appraisers that did not comply with the initial audit letter.	In a letter dated November 10, 2011, Board staff reported to ASC staff that since the time of the Review, they made a permanent shift in staff assignments to address the backlog of CE audit files. All CE audits are now current.	None	To strengthen the Program, the Board should monitor their staffing and new assignments to ensure that CE audits are completed in compliance with ASC Policy Statement 10F.	Through off-site monitoring and during our Priority Contact visit in 2012, and the next Review in 2013, ASC staff will pay particular attention to this area to ensure compliance with Title XI and ASC Policy Statement 10F.	

								ASC Finding: Not In Substantial Compliance Final Report Issue Date: March 14, 2012		
Board of Real Estate Appraisal (Board) / Pr Decision Making			PM: J	Tidwell	ASC Compliance Review Date: September	20-23, 2011	Review Period: September 2009 to September 2011			
Umbrella Agency: Department of St	ate, Di	vision	of Lice	nsing Services	Number of State Credentialed Appraisers on National Registry: 4357		Review Cycle: Two Year			
Requirement/Guidance		Compliance (YES/N Areas of Concern (ASC Staff Observations	State Response	Required State Actions	Recommended State Actions	General Comments		
	YES	NO	AC				Actions			
Application Process continued:		x								
States must use a reliable means of validating appraiser experience claims on all initial applications for appraiser credentialing. (Title XI § 1116 (a), 12 U.S.C. 3345; Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. 3347; AQB Real Property Appraiser Qualification Criteria; ASC Policy Statement 10G.)				Appraiser applicants' experience did not always meet AQB Criteria. Currently, the log used by the State requires the applicant to record their actual number of work hours, but then the State uses an assigned number to calculate the total hours. Therefore, in some cases, an appraiser may be given credit for more hours than actually worked.	assignment. They are confident that this will ensure only actual hours spent on the appraisal will be credited towards	The Board staff must submit a copy of their revised experience log to ASC staff within 60 days of the issuance of this Report. Board staff must also ensure that they calculate hours of experience using the actual number of hours worked on each assignment.		During our Priority Contact visit in 2012, and during the next Review in 2013, ASC staff will pay particular attention to this area for compliance with Title XI and ASC Policy Statement 10G.		
Reciprocity:	х									
Education	V			No compliance issues noted.	N/A	None	None	None		
Education:	X			No compliance issues noted.	N/A	None	None	None		

.

\$

								ASC Finding: Not In Substantial Compliance Final Report Issue Date: March 14, 2012		
New York Appraiser Regulatory Pro	gram (Progra	m)							
				. Tidwell	ASC Compliance Review Date: September	20-23, 2011	Review Period: September 2009 to September 2011			
Decision Making					ng Services Number of State Credentialed Appraisers on National Registry: 4357		Review Cycle: Two Year			
Umbrella Agency: Department of St	ate, Di	vision	of Lice	nsing Services						
Requirement/Guidance Complian			ES/NO)	ASC Staff Observations	State Response	Required State Actions	Recommended State	General Comments		
	Areas of Conce		rn (AC)				Actions			
	YES	NO	AC							
Enforcement		Х								
States should resolve all complaints				New York had 96 outstanding complaints of	In a letter dated November 10, 2011,	New York must submit	None	Through off-site monitoring and		
filed against appraisers within one				which 40 (42%) were unresolved for more than	Board staff reported to ASC staff that staff	quarterly complaint logs to		during our Priority Contact visit in		
year, except for special				one year. Of those 40, none fall under the	changes have been instituted to address	ASC staff. Staff will analyze		2012, and the next Review in		
documented circumstances. (Title				exception for special documented circumstances.	the timeliness of enforcement. The	each log. If progress is not		2013, ASC staff will pay particula		
XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. 3347; ASC				All 40 complaints were in various stages of	process of reviewing complaints in the	made, the ASC may place		attention to this area for		
Policy Statement 10E.)	ĺ			processing, though investigation had been	complaint unit was omitted, which will	additional requirements		compliance with Title XI and ASC		
				completed on all complaints. All of the aged	potentially shorten the process by 30 days;	upon the State.		Policy Statement 10E.		
				cases were received after September 1, 2009.	an additional Senior Investigator was					
					designated to assist with assigning and	The ASC Policy Manager				
				Both the number of outstanding complaints and	tracking complaints; and regional advisors	assigned to New York will				
				unresolved complaints for more than one year	are being asked to decline any review they	work with Board staff to				
				increased since the 2009 Review, when 8 of the	cannot complete within one month. It is	determine the timing and				
				65 (12%) outstanding complaints were	expected that these changes will result in	content of the complaint				
				unresolved for more than one year.	the more expeditious resolution of	log.				
					appraisal cases.					