

**Key Practices in Cyber Supply Chain
Risk Management:**
Observations from Industry

Jon Boyens
Celia Paulsen
Nadya Bartol
Kris Winkler
James Gimbi

This publication is available free of charge from:
<https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.IR.8276-draft>

**Key Practices in Cyber Supply Chain
Risk Management:**
Observations from Industry

Jon Boyens
Celia Paulsen
*Computer Security Division
Information Technology Laboratory*

Nadya Bartol
Kris Winkler
James Gimbi
*Boston Consulting Group
New York, NY*

This publication is available free of charge from:
<https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.IR.8276-draft>

February 2020



U.S. Department of Commerce
Wilbur L. Ross, Jr., Secretary

National Institute of Standards and Technology
Walter Copan, NIST Director and Under Secretary of Commerce for Standards and Technology

52 National Institute of Standards and Technology Interagency or Internal Report 8276
53 27 pages (February 2020)

54 This publication is available free of charge from:
55 <https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.IR.8276-draft>

56 Certain commercial entities, equipment, or materials may be identified in this document in order to describe an
57 experimental procedure or concept adequately. Such identification is not intended to imply recommendation or
58 endorsement by NIST, nor is it intended to imply that the entities, materials, or equipment are necessarily the best
59 available for the purpose.

60 There may be references in this publication to other publications currently under development by NIST in accordance
61 with its assigned statutory responsibilities. The information in this publication, including concepts and methodologies,
62 may be used by federal agencies even before the completion of such companion publications. Thus, until each
63 publication is completed, current requirements, guidelines, and procedures, where they exist, remain operative. For
64 planning and transition purposes, federal agencies may wish to closely follow the development of these new
65 publications by NIST.

66 Organizations are encouraged to review all draft publications during public comment periods and provide feedback to
67 NIST. Many NIST cybersecurity publications, other than the ones noted above, are available at
68 <https://csrc.nist.gov/publications>.

69 **Public comment period: *February 4, 2020 through March 4, 2020***

70 National Institute of Standards and Technology
71 Attn: Computer Security Division, Information Technology Laboratory
72 100 Bureau Drive (Mail Stop 8930) Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8930
73 Email: scrm-nist@nist.gov

74 All comments are subject to release under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).

75

76

Reports on Computer Systems Technology

77 The Information Technology Laboratory (ITL) at the National Institute of Standards and
78 Technology (NIST) promotes the U.S. economy and public welfare by providing technical
79 leadership for the Nation’s measurement and standards infrastructure. ITL develops tests, test
80 methods, reference data, proof of concept implementations, and technical analyses to advance the
81 development and productive use of information technology. ITL’s responsibilities include the
82 development of management, administrative, technical, and physical standards and guidelines for
83 the cost-effective security and privacy of other than national security-related information in federal
84 information systems.

85

Abstract

86 In today’s highly connected world, all organizations rely on other organizations for critical
87 products and services. However, today’s world of globalization, while providing many benefits,
88 has resulted in a world where organizations no longer fully control—and often do not have full
89 visibility into—the supply ecosystems of the products that they make or the services that they
90 deliver. With more and more businesses becoming digital, producing digital products and
91 services, and moving their workloads to the cloud, the impact of a cybersecurity event today is
92 greater than ever before and could include personal data loss, significant financial losses,
93 compromise of safety, and even loss of life. Organizations can no longer protect themselves by
94 simply securing their own infrastructures since their electronic perimeter is no longer
95 meaningful; threat actors intentionally target the suppliers of more cyber-mature organizations to
96 take advantage of the weakest link.

97 Identifying, assessing, and mitigating cyber supply chain risks is a critical capability to ensure
98 business resilience. The multidisciplinary approach to managing these types of risks is called
99 Cyber Supply Chain Risk Management (C-SCRM). This document provides the ever-increasing
100 community of digital businesses to provide a set of Key Practices that any organization can use
101 to manage cybersecurity risks associated with their supply chains. The Key Practices presented
102 in this document can be used to implement a robust C-SCRM function at an organization of any
103 size, scope, and complexity. These practices combine the information contained in existing C-
104 SCRM government and industry resources with the information gathered during the 2015 and
105 2019 NIST research initiatives.

106

Keywords

107 best practices; cyber supply chain risk management; C-SCRM; external dependency
108 management; information and communication technology supply chain risk management; ICT
109 SCRM; key practices; risk management; supplier; supply chain; supply chain assurance; supply
110 chain risk; supply chain risk assessment; supply chain risk management; supply chain security;
111 third-party risk management.

112

113

Supplemental Content

114 For information about NIST's Cyber Supply Chain Risk Management Program, please visit:
115 <https://csrc.nist.gov/projects/cyber-supply-chain-risk-management/>.

116

Acknowledgments

117 The authors, Jon Boyens of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), Celia
118 Paulsen (NIST), Nadya Bartol of the Boston Consulting Group (BCG), Kris Winkler (BCG), and
119 James Gimbi (BCG) would like to acknowledge and thank a number of organizations who
120 provided valuable input into this publication: Mayo Clinic, Palo Alto Networks, Inc, Seagate
121 Technology PLC, Boeing, Exostar, Cisco Systems, Deere & Company, DuPont de Nemours,
122 Inc., Exelon Corporation, FireEye, Fujitsu Ltd., Great River Energy, Intel Corporation, Juniper
123 Networks, Inc., NetApp, Inc., Northrop Grumman Corporation, Resilinc Corporation, Schweitzer
124 Engineering Laboratories, Inc., Smart Manufacturing Leadership Coalition, and The Procter &
125 Gamble Company.

126

Audience

127 All organizations rely on acquiring products and services, and most organizations also supply
128 products and services to other organizations. Cyber Supply Chain Risk Management is an
129 organization-wide function that encompasses multiple activities throughout the system
130 development lifecycle. The audience for this publication is any organization, regardless of its
131 size, scope, or complexity, wanting to manage the cybersecurity risks stemming from extended
132 supply chains and supply ecosystems.

133

Note to Reviewers

134 NIST welcomes feedback on any part of the publication, but there is particular interest in the
135 following:

- 136 • The Key Practices and recommendations contained in this publication are intended to be
137 at a level high enough to apply to all types of organizations, regardless of their industry,
138 size, or complexity, yet specific enough to be practical and usable. Are the proposed Key
139 Practices and recommendations at the appropriate level to meet this goal? If not not, how
140 can the document be improved?
- 141 • Are there additional Key Practices and recommendations that should be included in this
142 publication and why? Are there Key Practices and recommendations that are currently in
143 the publication that should not be included and why?
- 144 • Appendix B includes available government and industry resources that organizations can
145 use to learn more more about C-SCRM. Are there other government or industry resources
146 that should be included and, if so, which ones and why?

147

148

Call for Patent Claims

149 This public review includes a call for information on essential patent claims (claims whose use
150 would be required for compliance with the guidance or requirements in this Information
151 Technology Laboratory (ITL) draft publication). Such guidance and/or requirements may be
152 directly stated in this ITL Publication or by reference to another publication. This call also
153 includes disclosure, where known, of the existence of pending U.S. or foreign patent applications
154 relating to this ITL draft publication and of any relevant unexpired U.S. or foreign patents.
155

156 ITL may require from the patent holder, or a party authorized to make assurances on its behalf,
157 in written or electronic form, either:

158
159 a) assurance in the form of a general disclaimer to the effect that such party does not hold
160 and does not currently intend holding any essential patent claim(s); or

161

162 b) assurance that a license to such essential patent claim(s) will be made available to
163 applicants desiring to utilize the license for the purpose of complying with the guidance
164 or requirements in this ITL draft publication either:

165

166 i. under reasonable terms and conditions that are demonstrably free of any unfair
167 discrimination; or

168 ii. without compensation and under reasonable terms and conditions that are
169 demonstrably free of any unfair discrimination.

170

171 Such assurance shall indicate that the patent holder (or third party authorized to make assurances
172 on its behalf) will include in any documents transferring ownership of patents subject to the
173 assurance, provisions sufficient to ensure that the commitments in the assurance are binding on
174 the transferee, and that the transferee will similarly include appropriate provisions in the event of
175 future transfers with the goal of binding each successor-in-interest.

176

177 The assurance shall also indicate that it is intended to be binding on successors-in-interest
178 regardless of whether such provisions are included in the relevant transfer documents.

179

180 Such statements should be addressed to: scrm-nist@nist.gov

181

182 **Executive Summary**

183 The National Institute of Standards of Technology (NIST) cyber supply chain risk management
184 (C-SCRM) program was initiated in 2008 to begin the development of C-SCRM practices for
185 non-national security systems in response to Comprehensive National Cybersecurity Initiative
186 (CNCI) #11: Develop a multi-pronged approach for global supply chain risk management. Over
187 the last decade, NIST has continued to develop publications and conduct further research on
188 industry best practices for C-SCRM. This document presents Key Practices and
189 recommendations that were developed as a result of the research conducted in 2015 and 2019,
190 including expert interviews, development of case studies, and analysis of existing government
191 and industry resources.

192 The Key Practices presented in this document can be used to implement a robust C-SCRM
193 function at an organization of any size, scope, and complexity. These practices combine the
194 information contained in existing C-SCRM government and industry resources with the
195 information gathered during the 2015 and 2019 NIST research initiatives. The Key Practices are:

- 196 1. Integrate C-SCRM across the organization
- 197 2. Establish a formal program
- 198 3. Know and manage your critical suppliers
- 199 4. Understand your supply chain
- 200 5. Closely collaborate with your key suppliers
- 201 6. Include key suppliers in your resilience and improvement activities
- 202 7. Assess and monitor throughout supplier relationship
- 203 8. Plan for the full lifecycle

204
205 Each key practice includes a number of recommendations, which synthesize how these practices
206 can be implemented from a people, process, and technology perspective. Selected key
207 recommendations include:

- 208 - Create explicit collaborative roles, structures, and processes for supply chain,
209 cybersecurity, product security, and physical security (and other relevant) functions.
- 210 - Integrate cybersecurity considerations into the system and product lifecycle.
- 211 - Determine supplier criticality by using industry standards and best practices.
- 212 - Mentor and coach suppliers to improve their cybersecurity practices.
- 213 - Include key suppliers in contingency planning, incident response, and disaster recovery
214 planning and testing.
- 215 - Use third-party assessments, site visits, and formal certification to assess critical
216 suppliers.

217 These and several other recommendations are mapped to each of the Key Practices to help the
218 readers implement effective C-SCRM practices in their organizations. Readers can find
219 additional resources for further research into C-SCRM best practices, including those specific to
220 their industry, in Appendix B, Government and Industry Resources.

221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244

Table of Contents

Executive Summary v

1 Introduction 1

 1.1 Purpose and Scope 3

 1.2 Background..... 3

2 Problem Definition 5

3 Key Practices for C-SCRM..... 6

 3.1 Integrate C-SCRM across the organization 6

 3.2 Establish a formal program 6

 3.3 Know and manage your critical suppliers..... 8

 3.4 Understand your supply chain..... 9

 3.5 Closely collaborate with your key suppliers 9

 3.6 Include key suppliers in your resilience and improvement activities 10

 3.7 Assess and monitor throughout supplier relationship..... 10

 3.8 Plan for the full lifecycle 11

4 Recommendations 13

References 14

List of Appendices

Appendix A— Recommendations Mapped to Key Practices..... 15

Appendix B— Government and Industry Resources 16

Appendix C— Recommendations to Key Government and Industry Resources .. 19

245 **1 Introduction**

246 Today, organizations increasingly rely on an array of suppliers to support their critical functions.
247 This trend has accelerated over the last decade and is expected to continue accelerating.
248 Globalization, outsourcing, and digitization contribute to this trend. Suppliers have their own
249 suppliers who, in turn, have their own suppliers, creating extended supply chains and entire
250 supply ecosystems. All organizations rely on acquiring products and services, and most
251 organizations also supply products and services to other organizations. Besides increasingly
252 complex supply chains and cyber threat actors targeting supplier and acquirer networks, other
253 external events such as severe weather and geopolitical unrest continue to threaten supply chains.
254 Together, these threats increase the importance of supply chain resiliency, business continuity,
255 and disaster recovery planning.

256 Many of the recent cyber breaches have been linked to supply chain risks. For example, a recent
257 high-profile attack that took place in the second half of 2018, Operation ShadowHammer,
258 compromised an update utility used by a global computer manufacturer¹. The compromised
259 software was served to users through the manufacturer's official website and is estimated to have
260 impacted up to a million users before it was discovered. This is reminiscent of the attack by the
261 Dragonfly group, which started in 2013 and targeted industrial control systems². This group
262 successfully inserted malware into software that was available for download through the
263 manufacturers' websites, which resulted in companies in critical industries such as energy being
264 impacted by this malware.

265 These incidents are not just isolated events. Many recent reports suggest these attacks are only
266 increasing in frequency. An Incident Response Threat Report published in April 2019 by Carbon
267 Black highlighted the use of "island hopping" by 50 % of attacks³. Island hopping is an attack
268 that focuses on impacting not only the victim but its customers and partners, especially if these
269 partners have network interconnections. Symantec's 2019 Security Threat Report found supply
270 chain attacks increased by 78 % in 2018⁴. Perhaps more worrying is that a large number of these
271 attacks appear to be successful and cause significant damage. A November 2018 study, *Data*
272 *Risk in the Third-Party Ecosystem*, conducted by the Ponemon Institute found 59 % of
273 companies surveyed experienced a data breach caused by one of their third parties⁵. A July 2018
274 survey conducted by CrowdStrike found software supply chains even more vulnerable with 66 %
275 of respondents reporting a software supply chain attack, 90 % of whom faced financial impacts
276 as a result of the attack.⁶

¹ <https://securelist.com/operation-shadowhammer-a-high-profile-supply-chain-attack/90380/>

² https://www.symantec.com/content/en/us/enterprise/media/security_response/whitepapers/Dragonfly_Threat_Against_Western_Energy_Suppliers.pdf

³ <https://www.carbonblack.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/carbon-black-quarterly-incident-response-threat-report-april-2019.pdf>

⁴ <https://www.symantec.com/security-center/threat-report>

⁵ <https://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20181115005665/en/Opus-Ponemon-Institute-Announce-Results-2018-Third-Party>

⁶ <https://www.crowdstrike.com/blog/global-survey-reveals-supply-chain-as-a-rising-and-critical-new-threat-vector/>

277 This combination of digitization and reliance on suppliers to support critical functions creates
278 numerous cybersecurity risks that organizations are learning to manage. Organizations have been
279 working to address this challenge for some time, but many still struggle with recognizing the
280 challenge, deciding how to deal with it, and getting started. For example, 90 % of respondents in
281 the CrowdStrike survey reported that they believe they are at risk for a supply chain attack and
282 think vetting software suppliers is a critical activity, but only 33 % actually do. Moreover, 76 %
283 of the respondents in the Ponemon Institute study acknowledged cybersecurity incidents
284 involving vendors are increasing, but only 46 % say managing these risks is a priority, and only
285 35 % rate their third-party risk management program as highly effective.

286 The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) has been researching this challenge
287 and issuing publications on this topic for over 10 years. NIST publications on this topic include:

- 288 - NISTIR 7622, *Notional Supply Chain Risk Management Practices for Federal*
289 *Information Systems*, 2012 [[NISTIR 7622](#)]
- 290 - NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-161, *Supply Chain Risk Management Practices for*
291 *Federal Information Systems and Organizations*, 2015 [[SP 800-161](#)]
- 292 - Draft NIST SP 800-53, Revision 5, *Security and Privacy Controls for Federal*
293 *Information Systems and Organizations*, 2017 [[SP 800-53](#)]
 - 294 - Relevant control groups include:
 - 295 ▪ Supply Chain Risk Management (SA-12),
 - 296 ▪ Supply Chain Risk Management Plan (PM-31),
 - 297 ▪ Integrated Situational Awareness (SI-4(17)),
 - 298 ▪ Component Authenticity (SA-19),
 - 299 ▪ Tamper Resistance and Detection (SA-18),
 - 300 ▪ External System Services (SA-9),
 - 301 ▪ Acquisition Process (SA-4),
 - 302 ▪ Supply Chain Risk Assessment (RA-3(1)),
 - 303 ▪ Criticality Analysis (RA-9),
 - 304 ▪ Supply Chain Risk Management Plan (PM-31),
 - 305 ▪ Incident Handling – Supply Chain Coordination (IR-4(10)),
 - 306 ▪ Incident Reporting – Supply Chain Coordination (IR-6(3)),
 - 307 ▪ Adequate Supply (MA-6(4)), and
 - 308 ▪ Tampering Protection (PE-3(5))
- 309 - Case studies, briefing papers and other resources on the NIST Cyber Supply Chain Risk
310 Management site [[NIST C-SCRM](#)]⁷:
 - 311 - Case Studies: Best Practices in Cyber Supply Chain Risk Management, 2015
 - 312 - Best Practices in Vendor Selection and Management
 - 313 - Business Case for Cyber Supply Chain Risk Management

⁷ The case studies and briefing papers are linked from <https://csrc.nist.gov/projects/cyber-supply-chain-risk-management/key-practices>.

- 314 - Organizational Strategies for Cyber Supply Chain Risk Management
- 315 - Cyber Supply Chain Standards Mapping and Roadmap
- 316 - Cyber Supply Chain Best Practices

317 Today, the discipline of addressing cybersecurity risks stemming from extended supply chains
318 and supply ecosystems is known as Cyber Supply Chain Risk Management (C-SCRM). It is an
319 overarching function that includes concepts such as third-party risk management and external
320 dependency management.

321 This document provides a starting point for those organizations that need to begin addressing the
322 challenge of C-SCRM. It provides a basic set of C-SCRM Key Practices that capture processes,
323 practices, and tools adopted by industry. These Key Practices are based on a set of industry case
324 studies conducted in 2015 and 2019, prior NIST initiatives, and a number of standards and
325 industry best practice documents. Once an organization has implemented the basic Key Practices
326 contained in this document, additional, more extensive standards, guidelines, and best practices
327 can be applied.

328 **1.1 Purpose and Scope**

329 This document provides a set of C-SCRM Key Practices that can be used by any organization. It
330 provides guidance as to what these high-level concepts mean, why they are important, and some
331 characteristics and examples of corresponding Key Practices. This document also provides
332 recommendations for how organizations can put the Key Practices into use. This document
333 concludes with a list of references that organizations can use to get more guidance on C-SCRM.⁸

334 **1.2 Background**

335 In 2014-2015, NIST conducted a series of interviews on the topic of current C-SCRM practices.
336 The industries surveyed ranged from telecommunications to utilities, industrial manufacturing,
337 health, and information technology. The results of these interviews were published in 2015 in a
338 series of case studies which identified a number of useful cyber supply chain risk management
339 practices deployed by the surveyed organizations: supply chain risk councils to bring together
340 key players; vendor risk assessment tools; supply chain resiliency tools, such as databases of
341 suppliers; track-and-trace tools; and a master security requirements specification.⁹

342 Since these case studies were published, the C-SCRM problem set and the discipline itself
343 evolved, warranting a new look at emergent practices. Ever more companies produce smart
344 electronics, offer their products and services online, and integrate smart electronics into their
345 products and infrastructures. The Internet of Things (IoT) and Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT)
346 exponentially increase the need to manage cybersecurity risks associated with extended supply
347 ecosystems. The increased use of these and other connected devices broadens the attack surface,

⁸ It should be noted that this document does not provide a complete set of practices that would apply to every circumstance.

⁹ Best Practices in Cyber Supply Chain Risk Management (<https://csrc.nist.gov/projects/cyber-supply-chain-risk-management/key-practices>)

348 with the devices attacking both the companies that make them and the devices, systems, and
349 networks to which they are connected.

350 In 2018, NIST initiated a set of new, second-generation case studies with the purpose of
351 surveying how the C-SCRM practices evolved and whether new practices emerged. These
352 second-generation case studies were analyzed with the first set of case studies, NIST C-SCRM
353 publications, and numerous industry C-SCRM standards and best practice documents. The
354 results of this analysis revealed that many of the established practices are still relevant, and no
355 practices identified in earlier efforts have been deemed obsolete or retired. This document
356 summarizes the results of this analysis into a set of C-SCRM Key Practices and provides specific
357 recommendations for how to implement them.

2 Problem Definition

359 Supply chain management is an established discipline that has become one of the key capabilities
360 for enabling globalization and increasing economic growth in many parts of the world. With
361 globalization, the rate at which critical services and functions were outsourced also increased to
362 take advantage of business efficiencies. These trends resulted in a world where an organization
363 no longer fully controls—and often does not have full visibility into—the supply ecosystems of
364 the products that it makes or the services that it delivers.

365 Cybersecurity risks associated with this loss of control can be significant. They range from
366 unknown provenance of hardware or software that supports organization’s digital functions to
367 subcontractors and consultants having access to its critical data. This phenomenon is referred to
368 as cybersecurity aspects of C-SCRM. Over the last decade, C-SCRM evolved from a narrow
369 focus on information and communication technology (ICT) supply chains to covering any
370 cybersecurity-related supply chain risk. Today, it encompasses an increasing array of digital
371 products and services that continues to grow with the expanding role of cyber space in the daily
372 life of individuals and in how business is conducted. With more and more businesses becoming
373 digital, producing digital products and services, and moving their workloads to the cloud, the
374 impact of a cybersecurity event today is greater than ever before and could include personal data
375 loss, significant financial losses, compromise of safety, and even loss of life. Threat actors
376 intentionally target third parties of more cyber-mature organizations to take advantage of the
377 weakest link. Organizations can no longer protect themselves by simply securing their own
378 infrastructures since their electronic perimeter is no longer meaningful.

379 While cybersecurity risks associated with extended supply chains and supply ecosystems are
380 significant, those risks are not well understood by many organizations that are expanding their
381 use of digital technologies to support critical functions or creating digital products for their
382 customers. In today’s digital economy, identifying, assessing, and mitigating cyber supply chain
383 risks is a critical capability to ensure business resiliency. A number of standards, guidance, and
384 best practices documents have been written on the topic of C-SCRM. This document targets the
385 ever-increasing community of digital businesses to provide a set of Key Practices that any
386 organization can use to manage cybersecurity risks associated with their supply chains.

387 In today’s highly connected world, all organizations rely on other organizations for critical
388 products and services. Many organizations also supply products and services to other
389 organizations. This document will use the terms “acquirers” and “suppliers” to make a
390 distinction between these two roles.

3 Key Practices for C-SCRM

The C-SCRM Key Practices in this section blend the information contained in existing C-SCRM government and industry resources with the information gathered during the 2019 NIST case studies initiative. Collectively, the Key Practices identify established and emerging practices that have anecdotally proven to be effective, explain why they have been effective, and list tools that are most useful for identifying, defining, and communicating cyber supply chain risks. These Key Practices are:

1. Integrate C-SCRM across the organization
2. Establish a formal program
3. Know and manage your critical suppliers
4. Understand your supply chain
5. Closely collaborate with your key suppliers
6. Include key suppliers in your resilience and improvement activities
7. Assess and monitor throughout the supplier relationship
8. Plan for the full lifecycle

3.1 Integrate C-SCRM across the organization

A number of organizations have established Supply Chain Risk Councils (or Supply Chain Leadership Risk Councils) that include executives from supply chain/procurement, information technology, cybersecurity, operations, legal, enterprise risk management, and other functional and business leaders, depending on the organization's business and structure. These Councils proactively review relevant risks and risk mitigation plans, set priorities, direct sharing of best practices throughout the enterprise, and pilot initiatives. They also result in informal networks of leaders that facilitate trust and accountability in complex business environments. The benefit of Councils is the shared risk decision-making that ensures all perspectives are addressed.

Collaborative C-SCRM is not limited to the executive suite. Mature C-SCRM programs facilitate closer collaboration between cybersecurity, product security, physical security, enterprise risk management, and, of course, supply chain/procurement. Specifically, the level of integration of supply chain, cybersecurity, product security, and physical security increases with C-SCRM practice maturity. More mature companies have explicit roles that bridge these functions and also integrate them with corporate risk management. Such internal alignment facilitates the efficiency and effectiveness of delivering products and services while appropriately managing C-SCRM risks. For example, these integrated functions share information, metrics, and program objectives to reduce C-SCRM risks. This often results in a more nuanced and comprehensive understanding of cybersecurity risks by business executives, as well as better strategic decisions that take C-SCRM into consideration.

3.2 Establish a formal program

A formal C-SCRM program ensures organizational accountability for managing cyber supply chain risks. Mature organizations have formal programs with established governance, policies and procedures, processes, and tools.

430 It should be noted that smaller organizations may not need the level of maturity and structure
431 required by larger organizations. For example, a small manufacturing organization may not need
432 as many formal processes as a large technology company. The following is a list of high-level
433 characteristics of a formal C-SCRM program which organizations can use as a starting point for
434 consideration:

- 435 - Increased Board involvement for establishing C-SCRM as a top business priority and to
436 ensure proper oversight
- 437 - Clear governance of C-SCRM activities that includes cross-organizational roles and
438 responsibilities with clear definitions and designation/distribution of these roles among
439 enterprise risk management, supply chain, cybersecurity, product management and
440 product security (if applicable), and other relevant functions appropriate for the
441 organization's business
- 442 - Standards-based policies and procedures that provide guidance to different business units
443 detailing their C-SCRM activities
- 444 - Same policies used internally and with suppliers
- 445 - Integration of cybersecurity considerations into the system and product development
446 lifecycle
- 447 - Use of cross-functional teams to address specific enterprise-wide risks
- 448 - Clear definition of roles of individuals responsible for cybersecurity aspects of supplier
449 relationships (which may be different than those responsible for procurement activities
450 with specific suppliers)
- 451 - Establishment of centers of excellence to identify and manage best practices
- 452 - A set of measures of success used to facilitate decision-making, accountability, and
453 improvement
- 454 - Approved supplier lists
- 455 - Use of Bill of Materials (BOM) for third-party components
- 456 - Prioritization of suppliers based on their criticality
- 457 - Establishment of a known set of security requirements or controls for all suppliers,
458 especially robust security requirements for critical suppliers to be used in procurement,
459 sometimes known as master specifications
- 460 - Service-level agreements (SLA) with suppliers stating the requirements for adhering to
461 the organization's cybersecurity policy and any controls required of the supplier
- 462 - Shared supplier questionnaires across like organizations, such as within the same critical
463 infrastructure sector
- 464 - Propagating acquirer's security requirements to suppliers' suppliers
- 465 - Ensuring that suppliers have only the access they need in terms of data,
466 capability/functionality, infrastructure; bounding this access by specific time frames
467 during which suppliers need it
- 468 - Provision of organization-wide training for all relevant stakeholders within the
469 organization, such as supply chain, legal, product development, and procurement; this
470 training may also be extended to key suppliers
- 471 - Identification of alternative sources of critical components to ensure uninterrupted
472 production and delivery of products

- 473 - Secure requirements guiding disposal of hardware that contains regulated data (e.g., PII)
474 or otherwise sensitive information (e.g., intellectual property)
475 - Protocols for securely terminating supplier relationships to ensure that all hardware
476 containing acquirer's data has been properly disposed of and that the risks of data leakage
477 have been minimized

478 **3.3 Know and manage your critical suppliers**

479 Critical suppliers are those suppliers which, if disrupted, would create a negative business impact
480 on the organization. Identifying such suppliers requires organizations to first identify and
481 prioritize critical assets, systems, processes, and data, and then identify suppliers that either have
482 access to or provide infrastructure for critical assets, systems, processes, and data.

483 Several criteria can be used to determine supplier criticality:

- 484 - Revenue contribution of suppliers
485 - Whether a supplier processes critical data belonging to the acquirer, such as regulated
486 data (e.g., PII, PHI) or intellectual property
487 - Whether a supplier has access to the acquirer's system and network infrastructure
488 - Whether a supplier can become an attack vector by being compromised and allowing
489 threat actors access to the acquirer
490 - For technology companies, whether a supplier can become an attack vector for the
491 technology company's products or services delivered to customers

492 There is a number of NIST and industry resources that can be used to identify critical suppliers:

- 493 - NIST has made available a free tool that helps identify the impact of suppliers to the
494 organization; NISTIR 8272 describes the tool and how to use it [[NISTIR 8272](#)].
495 - NISTIR 8179, *Criticality Analysis Process Model*, provides a comprehensive
496 methodology for determining project and product criticality that can be used as an input
497 in determining supplier criticality [[NISTIR 8179](#)].
498 - The Business Impact Analysis (BIA) described in NIST SP 800-34, Rev. 1 can also be
499 used to determine supplier criticality [[SP 800-34](#)].
500 - The Business Continuity Planning booklet published by FFIEC (Federal Financial
501 Institutions Examination Council) provides a process and list of considerations that can
502 be adapted to determine supplier criticality [[FFIEC BCP](#)].

503 Once suppliers are identified, risks can be assessed, and suppliers can be prioritized by their
504 criticality. Best practice organizations have established supplier requirements by criticality and
505 include the use of master specifications for security requirements. These requirements are used in
506 supplier contracts (e.g., Terms and Conditions), and adherence to these requirements is
507 monitored during the supplier relationship lifecycle.

508 **3.4 Understand your supply chain**

509 To manage cybersecurity risks originating from supply chains, organizations need to understand
510 their supply chains, including multiple layers of sub-suppliers. Today's supply chains are
511 extended and extensive and include multiple organizations across the globe. In this environment,
512 the risks may stem from suppliers' connectivity to their suppliers, component sourcing for
513 hardware and software suppliers, technologies shared upstream and downstream within supply
514 chains, and processes and people within those supply chains.

515 Best practice organizations establish real-time visibility into the production processes of their
516 outsourced manufacturers with the capacity to capture not only defect rates but causes of failure
517 and, therefore, prevent a supplier's ability to shortcut testing requirements before shipment. This
518 includes the use of BOM as well as tools and methods to audit provenance claims at any point in
519 the supply chain. Such visibility and transparency reduce the risk of counterfeiting and improve
520 the quality of the resulting products. Additionally, best practice organizations have insight into
521 how their suppliers vet their personnel, who they are outsourcing to, and who has access to the
522 acquirer's data.

523 **3.5 Closely collaborate with your key suppliers**

524 Best practice organizations establish close relationships with their suppliers, up to and including
525 creating shared ecosystems between acquirers and suppliers to increase coordination and
526 simplify the management of complex shared supply chains. Increasingly, organizations are
527 treating their suppliers as members of their ecosystem in a variety of ways:

- 528 - Acquirers work with suppliers in a much more collaborative way than in the past by
529 investing into maintaining close work relationships through frequent visits and
530 communications
- 531 - Acquirers invest into mentoring and coaching suppliers on C-SCRM and actively
532 helping suppliers improve their cybersecurity and supply chain practices
- 533 - Acquirers and suppliers invest in common solutions
- 534 - Acquirers require use of the same standards within the acquirer organizations and by
535 suppliers, thereby simplifying communications about cybersecurity risk and mitigations
536 and helping to achieve a uniform level of quality throughout the ecosystem

537 It should be noted that the sophistication and level of formality of acquirer-supplier relationships
538 increase with the maturity of the C-SCRM practices. For example, smaller businesses establish
539 and maintain close relationships with their key suppliers by conducting frequent visits, phone
540 calls, and other forms of informal communication. Larger and more mature organizations use
541 more documented processes and procedures and hold multiple formal meetings with their
542 suppliers. Acquirers and suppliers within the ecosystem coach each other upstream and
543 downstream. Because most organizations find themselves in the roles of acquirers and suppliers,
544 the presence of more mature acquirers in the overall ecosystem generally increases the maturity
545 of the entire ecosystem. An example of this effect is when executives join Boards of more
546 mature organizations and become exposed to the practices deployed in those organizations as
547 well as the questions and topics discussed at Board meetings. Executives then bring those

548 practices and topics to their own organizations and advocate for adoption. A similar effect is
549 achieved when organizations belong to industry groups, information-sharing organizations, and
550 roundtables where individuals and organizations can learn from each other. Another method for
551 acquirers and suppliers to coach each other is through the use of supplier questionnaires, which
552 are used to identify opportunities for additional supplier mentoring and training. Some suppliers
553 also use acquirer questionnaires to shape security requirements that suppliers apply to their
554 products and services.

555 **3.6 Include key suppliers in your resilience and improvement activities**

556 Threat actors actively target acquirers through suppliers. In addition to cybersecurity risks, there
557 are environmental risks, such as severe weather and geopolitical unrest, that continually threaten
558 to disrupt the supply chain. Incidents will happen to even the most mature organizations, which
559 makes resiliency planning essential. Mature organizations include their critical suppliers,
560 products, and assets in their contingency planning, incident response, and disaster recovery.
561 These organizations test such plans with key stakeholders to include suppliers to ensure the
562 readiness of all involved parties and effectiveness of the plans. This ensures that critical
563 procedures and protocols are established and well-understood ahead of any significant event.
564 Resilience and improvement activities include:

- 565 - Rules and protocols for information sharing between acquirers and suppliers, sometimes
- 566 within larger critical infrastructure sector ecosystems
- 567 - Joint development and review/revision of incident response, business continuity, and
- 568 disaster recovery plans
- 569 - Protocols for communicating vulnerabilities and incidents
- 570 - Responsibilities for responding to cybersecurity incidents
- 571 - Coordinated communication methods and protocols
- 572 - Coordinated restoration and recovery procedures
- 573 - Collaborative lessons learned processes
- 574 - Updates of coordinated response and recovery plans based on lessons learned

575 More mature acquirers have formal continuous improvement processes that include collecting
576 lessons learned from supply chain incidents; sharing potential improvements throughout the
577 ecosystem; incorporating results into planning, response, and recovery processes; and sharing
578 them with appropriate organizations throughout the enterprise. This process includes
579 stakeholders from the organization and suppliers to ensure identified risks are remediated.

580 **3.7 Assess and monitor throughout supplier relationship**

581 Organizations and their environments are continuously evolving. A supplier assessment
582 conducted prior to bringing a supplier on board is a snapshot in time that becomes obsolete
583 before it is completed. Mature acquirers establish supplier-monitoring programs that cover the
584 entire supplier relationship lifecycle and monitor a variety of risks, including security, quality,
585 financial, and geopolitical risk, to name a few. This practice of monitoring and review includes
586 validating that suppliers are meeting cybersecurity and other key SLA requirements and
587 identifying any changes in supplier status (e.g., financial, legal, ownership).

588 Assessing supplier controls on a regular basis helps manage cyber supply chain risks by
589 determining whether agreed-upon requirements and controls are being met, identifying
590 improvements that may be required, and then monitoring the completion of those improvement
591 actions.

592 Acquirers deploy a variety of supplier assessment and monitoring mechanisms, such as self-
593 assessment, supplier attestation, third-party assessments, formal certifications, and site visits. For
594 most critical suppliers, acquirers use a combination of formal certifications, third-party
595 assessments, and site visits. Assessments allow organizations to understand the changes in a
596 supplier's status and discover changes in risks. The frequency and robustness of the assessments
597 should be established based on supplier criticality. Critical suppliers should be assessed more
598 frequently, and more extensive assessment methods should be used to determine if there are any
599 changes in risk.

600 Large organizations may rely on hundreds of supplier assessments every year, causing some
601 suppliers to answer a burdensome number of questionnaires in turn. Shared assessments are an
602 emerging practice within some critical infrastructure organizations, which involves using a single
603 supplier assessment to satisfy multiple acquirers. In a shared assessment, a number of acquirers
604 create a single assessment methodology and questionnaire which may then be applied to
605 thousands of suppliers that support a particular need. Suppliers can then reuse their answers to
606 such questionnaires by providing them to multiple acquirers. Some critical infrastructure sectors
607 have established entities to run third-party risk processes for industry segments, with C-SCRM
608 being included in these processes. While this approach may save acquirers and suppliers
609 significant time and resources, organizations should carefully consider whether shared
610 assessments fit their own particular needs, including risk tolerance, operating environment, and
611 regulatory obligations.

612 In addition to supplier assessments, organizations can deploy technical processes and
613 technologies to monitor any changes in a supplier's risk status. If suppliers have dedicated
614 connections to the acquirer's infrastructure, the acquirer's security operations center can monitor
615 any changes to the supplier's connection to the acquirer's network and systems. Acquirers can
616 also use a variety of cybersecurity risk-rating solutions to provide insights into cybersecurity
617 risks posed by suppliers.

618 **3.8 Plan for the full lifecycle**

619 When organizations put technical solutions into their infrastructures, they expect those solutions
620 to continue working for as long as they are needed by the organization. However, organizations
621 should plan for unexpected interruptions to the supply chain to ensure business continuity.
622 Examples of such interruptions include suppliers stopping support of obsolete hardware and
623 software, discontinuing production of hardware components, or adopting a significant change of
624 business direction caused by acquisition or change in supplier ownership or management.

625 Organizations should deploy a variety of practices to manage this particular risk, including
626 purchasing reserve quantities of critical components and establishing relationships with approved
627 resellers that are likely to stay in business. An innovative method deployed by digital companies

628 is to bring ailing component manufacturers in-house to ensure an uninterrupted supply of critical
629 components.

4 Recommendations

631 The following are key recommendations based on the first and second-generation case studies,
632 reviewed standards, and best practice documents. These recommendations are organized
633 according to the Key Practices. [Appendix A](#) provides a mapping of the recommendations to the
634 Key Practices above, and [Appendix C](#) provides a mapping of the recommendations to various
635 supply chain security resources.

- 636 - Establish supply chain risk councils to include executives from across the organization
637 (e.g., cyber, product security, procurement, ERM, business units, etc.)
- 638 - Create explicit collaborative roles, structures, and processes for supply chain,
639 cybersecurity, product security, and physical security functions
- 640 - Increase board involvement in C-SCRM through regular risk discussions and sharing of
641 measures of performance
- 642 - Integrate cybersecurity considerations into the system and product lifecycle
- 643 - Clearly define roles and responsibilities for security aspects of specific supplier
644 relationships
- 645 - Use master requirements lists and SLAs to establish requirements with suppliers
- 646 - Propagate security requirements to suppliers' sub-suppliers
- 647 - Train key stakeholders in your organization and within the supplier's organization
- 648 - Terminate supplier relationships with security in mind
- 649 - Use the Criticality Analysis Process Model or BIA to determine supplier criticality
- 650 - Establish visibility into your suppliers' production processes (e.g., capture defect rates,
651 causes of failure, and testing)
- 652 - Know if your data and infrastructure are accessible to suppliers' sub-suppliers
- 653 - Mentor and coach suppliers to improve their cybersecurity practices
- 654 - Require the use of the same standards within both acquirer and supplier organizations
- 655 - Use acquirer assessment questionnaires to influence acquirer's cybersecurity
656 requirements
- 657 - Include key suppliers in incident response, business continuity, and disaster recovery
658 plans and tests
- 659 - Establish protocols for vulnerability disclosure and incident notification
- 660 - Establish protocols for communications with external stakeholders during incidents
- 661 - Collaborate on lessons learned and update joint plans based on lessons learned
- 662 - Use third-party assessments, site visits, and formal certification to assess critical suppliers
- 663 - Have plans in place for supplied product obsolescence
- 664

665 **References**

- 666 [FFIEC BCP] Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (2015) Business
667 Impact Analysis. *Business Continuity Planning* (FFIEC, Arlington, VA),
668 FFIEC Information Technology Examination Handbook, pp 5-8.
669 Available at [https://ithandbook.ffiec.gov/it-booklets/business-continuity-
planning/business-impact-analysis.aspx](https://ithandbook.ffiec.gov/it-booklets/business-continuity-
670 planning/business-impact-analysis.aspx)
- 671 [NISTIR 7622] Boyens JM, Paulsen C, Bartol N, Shankles S, Moorthy R (2012) Notional
672 Supply Chain Risk Management Practices for Federal Information
673 Systems. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg,
674 MD), NIST Interagency or Internal Report (IR) 7622.
675 <https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.IR.7622>
- 676 [NISTIR 8179] Paulsen C, Boyens JM, Bartol N, Winkler K (2018) Criticality Analysis
677 Process Model: Prioritizing Systems and Components. (National Institute
678 of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Interagency or
679 Internal Report (IR) 8179. <https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.IR.8179>
- 680 [NISTIR 8272] [Authors] (forthcoming) Impact Analysis Tool for Interdependent Cyber
681 Supply Chain Risks. (National Institute of Standards and Technology,
682 Gaithersburg, MD), Draft NIST Interagency or Internal Report (IR) 8272.
- 683 [NIST C-SCRM] National Institute of Standards and Technology (2019) *Cyber Supply
684 Chain Risk Management*. Available at [https://csrc.nist.gov/projects/cyber-
supply-chain-risk-management/](https://csrc.nist.gov/projects/cyber-
685 supply-chain-risk-management/)
- 686 [SP 800-34] Swanson MA, Bowen P, Phillips AW, Gallup D, Lynes D (2010)
687 Contingency Planning Guide for Federal Information Systems. (National
688 Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special
689 Publication (SP) 800-34, Rev. 1, Includes updates as of November 11,
690 2010. <https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-34r1>
- 691 [SP 800-53] Joint Task Force (2017) Security and Privacy Controls for Information
692 Systems and Organizations. (National Institute of Standards and
693 Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), Draft NIST Special Publication 800-53,
694 Rev. 5. Available at [https://csrc.nist.gov/publications/detail/sp/800-53/rev-
5/draft](https://csrc.nist.gov/publications/detail/sp/800-53/rev-
695 5/draft)
- 696 [SP 800-161] Boyens JM, Paulsen C, Moorthy R, Bartol N (2015) Supply Chain Risk
697 Management Practices for Federal Information Systems and
698 Organizations. (National Institute of Standards and Technology,
699 Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-161.
700 <https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-161>

701

702 **Appendix A—Recommendations Mapped to Key Practices**

	Integrate across the organization	Establish a formal program	Know and manage your critical suppliers	Understand your supply chain	Closely collaborate with your key suppliers	Include key suppliers in your resilience and improvement activities	Assess and monitor throughout supplier relationship	Plan for the full lifecycle
Establish supply chain risk councils to include executives from across the organization (cyber, product security, procurement, ERM, business units, etc.)	✓	✓						
Create explicit collaborative roles, structures, and processes for supply chain, cybersecurity, product security, and physical security functions	✓	✓						
Increase board involvement in C-SCRM through regular risk discussions and sharing of measures of performance	✓	✓						
Integrate cybersecurity considerations into system and product lifecycle	✓	✓						
Clearly define roles and responsibilities for security aspects of specific supplier relationships		✓			✓			
Use master requirements list and SLAs to establish requirements with suppliers		✓	✓					
Propagate security requirements to supplier's sub-suppliers		✓	✓		✓			
Train key stakeholders in your organization and within supplier organization		✓	✓		✓	✓		
Terminate supplier relationships with security in mind	✓	✓	✓	✓				
Use Criticality Analysis Process Model or BIA to determine supplier criticality			✓					
Establish visibility into your suppliers production processes to capture, e.g., defect rates, causes of failure, and testing			✓	✓	✓			
Know if your data and infrastructure are accessible to supplier's sub-suppliers			✓	✓	✓			
Mentor and coach suppliers to improve their cybersecurity practices					✓	✓		
Require use of the same standards within acquirer and supplier organizations	✓	✓			✓			
Use acquirer assessment questionnaires to influence acquirer cybersecurity requirements		✓	✓		✓	✓		
Include key suppliers in IR, DR, and CP plans and tests	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Establish protocols for vulnerability disclosure and incident notification	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Establish protocols for communications with external stakeholders during incidents	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Collaborate on lessons learned and update joint plans based on lessons learned	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Use third party assessments, site visits, and formal certification to assess critical suppliers		✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	
Have plans in place for supplied product obsolescence		✓		✓				✓

703

704 **Appendix B—Government and Industry Resources**

705 This section includes available government and industry resources that organizations can use to
 706 learn more. These resources are presented with additional information that the readers of this
 707 document may find useful for deciding which resources are relevant for their particular needs.
 708 The following information is provided for each resource:

- 709 - Scope – specific sector of the acquirer or a type of supplier that is being sought
- 710 - Audience – whether the resource speaks to both acquirers and suppliers
- 711 - Context of use – high-level summary of what the resource provides

Document	Scope	Audience	Context of Use
NIST SP 800-161, <i>Supply Chain Risk Management Practices for Federal Information Systems and Organizations</i>	Federal information systems	Acquirers	Identifying, assessing, and mitigating ICT supply chain risks
NIST Cybersecurity Framework	Any	Acquirers and Suppliers	General information on the Key Practices of supply chain in the cybersecurity context
NISTIR 7622, <i>Notional Supply Chain Risk Management Practices for Federal Information Systems</i>	Federal information systems	Acquirers and Suppliers	Security in supplier relationships for federal information systems
Financial Services Sector Cybersecurity Framework Profile	Financial services	Acquirers and Suppliers	Security in financial services, including internal and external dependencies
International Organization for Standardization (ISO)/International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) ISO/IEC 27001: <i>Information Security Management Systems – Requirements</i>	Any	Acquirers and Suppliers	Establishing information security management system within an organization
ISO/IEC 27002: <i>Code of practice for information security controls</i>	Any	Acquirers and Suppliers	Guidance for implementing security controls in support of information security management system in ISO/IEC 27001

Document	Scope	Audience	Context of Use
ISO/IEC 27036-1, Information Security for Supplier Relationships – Part 1: <i>Overview and concepts</i>	Any	Acquirers and Suppliers	Overview of ISO/IEC 27306 series standard
ISO/IEC 27036-2, Information Security for Supplier Relationships – Part 2: <i>Requirements</i>	Any	Acquirers and Suppliers	Security in supplier relationships for any products and services
ISO/IEC 27036-3, Information Security for Supplier Relationships – Part 3: <i>Guidelines for ICT Supply Chain Security</i>	Information and Communication Technology (ICT) products and services	Acquirers and Suppliers	Security in supplier relationships for ICT products and services
ISO/IEC 27036-4, Information Security for Supplier Relationships – Part 4: <i>Guidelines for Security of Cloud Services</i>	Cloud services	Acquirers and Suppliers	Security aspects of cloud services acquisition
ISO/IEC 20243 / O-TTPS, <i>Open Trusted Technology Provider Standard</i>	Commercial off-the-shelf products	ICT Providers	Cyber supply chain risk management of COTS products engineering and acquisition
ISO/IEC 15408, <i>Common Criteria</i>	Any	Acquirers and Suppliers	Evaluation criteria for ICT products
IEC 62443-2-4, <i>Security for industrial automation and control systems – Part 2-4</i>	Industrial Control Systems suppliers	Suppliers	Security capabilities of Industrial Control Systems Suppliers
2015 Case Studies – NIST Best Practices in Cyber Supply Chain Risk Management: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cisco • Boeing and Exostar • Cisco • Communications Company • Deere • Dupont • Exelon • Fire Eye • Fujitsu 	Any	Acquirers	Industry best practices

Document	Scope	Audience	Context of Use
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Great River Energy (GRE) • Intel • Juniper • NetApp • Northrop Grumman • P&G • Resilinc • Schweitzer Engineering Laboratories, Inc. (SEL) • Smart Manufacturing • Utility 			
Software Assurance Forum for Excellence in Code (SAFECode), Framework for Supply Chain Integrity	Software	Software developers	Guidance on software integrity practices
SAFECode Overview of Software Integrity Controls	Software	Software developers	Guidance on software integrity practices
UTC: Cyber Supply Chain Risk Management for Utilities – <i>Roadmap for Implementation</i>	Utilities	Acquirer	Basic C-SCRM practices for acquirers
NERC CIP-013 Implementation Guidelines	Electric energy utilities	ICS Acquirer	Implementation guidance for C-SCRM requirements for energy utilities
Cybersecurity Procurement Language for Energy Delivery Systems	Electric energy utilities	Acquirer and Suppliers	Requirements language to include in procurement of energy delivery systems

712

713 **Appendix C—Recommendations to Key Government and Industry Resources**

	NIST SP 800-161	NISTIR 7622	2015 Case Studies	2019 Case Studies	CSF	FSP	UTC	ISO/IEC 27002	ISO/IEC 27036	ISO/IEC 20243
Establish supply chain risk councils to include executives from across the organization (cyber, product security, procurement, ERM, business units, etc.)	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓				
Create explicit collaborative roles, structures, and processes for supply chain, cybersecurity, product security, and physical security functions			✓	✓		✓				✓
Increase board involvement in C-SCRM through regular risk discussions and sharing of measures of performance			✓	✓		✓				
Integrate cybersecurity considerations into system and product lifecycle	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓
Clearly define roles and responsibilities for security aspects of specific supplier relationships	✓		✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Use master requirements list and SLAs to establish requirements with suppliers	✓		✓	✓			✓	✓	✓	✓
Propagate security requirements to supplier's sub-suppliers	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Train key stakeholders in your organization and within supplier organization	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Terminate supplier relationships with security in mind	✓	✓				✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Use Criticality Analysis Process Model or BIA to determine supplier criticality	✓			✓	✓	✓			✓	
Establish visibility into your suppliers production processes to capture, e.g., defect rates, causes of failure, and testing		✓	✓	✓					✓	✓
Know if your data and infrastructure are accessible to supplier's sub-suppliers	✓			✓				✓	✓	✓
Mentor and coach suppliers to improve their cybersecurity practices	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓
Require use of the same standards within acquirer and supplier organizations				✓						
Use acquirer assessment questionnaires to influence acquirer cybersecurity requirements				✓						
Include key suppliers in IR, DR, and CP plans and tests	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Establish protocols for vulnerability disclosure and incident notification	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Establish protocols for communications with external stakeholders during incidents	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Collaborate on lessons learned and update joint plans based on lessons learned	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Use third party assessments, site visits, and formal certification to assess critical suppliers	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Have plans in place for supplied product obsolescence	✓	✓				✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

714