## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE DISTRICT OF IDAHO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Case No. CV01-337-N-EJL
	)	
V.	)	
	)	ORDER
TAIGEN & SONS, INC.;	)	
ROBERT TAIGEN; JACKLYNE M.	)	
TAIGEN; and DDI ARCHITECTURE	)	
& PLANNING CO.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

Pending before the Court in the above entitled matter is Defendants remedial plan submitted as directed by the Court. The Plaintiff has responded to the remedial plan and the time for filing any reply has expired. The matter is now ripe for the Court's review. Having considered both parties suggestions as to the plan for addressing the violations of the Fair Housing Act and Americans with Disabilities Act the Court finds as follows. The plans offered by each side are substantially similar which suggests that both parties desire to remedy the violations. The exceptions being that the Plaintiff's proposed plan is more comprehensive, requires the repairs in a shorter time, and the repairs will be made without a request being made. The Court agrees that the repairs should be made regardless of any request and all repairs should be made within one year or sooner. Because the discrepancies between the two remedial plans are minimal and able to be resolved by the parties, Court directs the parties to confer and submit a joint remedial plan and proposed order within twenty-one days in this order.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.



DATED: January 25, 2006

r duras

Honorable Edward J. Lodge U. S. District Judge