

University of North Texas at Dallas



About This Report

About Your Multi-Year Report

For institutions participating in multiple NSSE administrations since 2013, the year of the last survey update, this report presents year-to-year results for Engagement Indicators (EIs), High-Impact Practices (HIPs), and key academic challenge items to illustrate patterns of change or stability. It also provides details such as number of respondents, standard deviation, and standard error so that statistical tests can be calculated.

For more information and recommendations for analyzing NSSE data over time, view our webinar entitled the *Recommendations for Using Multiple Years of NSSE Data*: nsse.indiana.edu/html/webinars.cfm

This report contains three main parts: (a) a page that provides a quick reference to important information about each year's administration, (b) multi-year figures, and (c) detailed statistics. Key terms and features are illustrated below.

Report sections

Administration Summaries (p. 3)	A summary of respondent counts, response rates, sampling errors, and administration details for each participation year.
Engagement Results by Theme (pp. 4-7)	Results for ten EIs and selected individual survey items are displayed, organized under four broad themes. The Academic Challenge theme is represented by four EIs as well as several individual items. The three remaining engagement themes (Learning with Peers, Experiences with Faculty, and Campus Environment) are each represented by two EIs.
High-Impact Practices (pp. 8-9)	Results for six HIPs are displayed. First-year student results indicate students who participated in service-learning, a learning community, and research with faculty, and who planned to do an internship or field experience, study abroad, and a culminating senior experience. Senior results indicate students who participated in all six.
Detailed Statistics (pp. 10-13)	Displays detailed information for results including counts, standard errors, and confidence intervals (CIs) for each measure.

Interpreting year-to-year results

When examining year-to-year results, you may wonder whether observed differences signify meaningful change and whether a trend is indicated. Figures display CIs around each score showing the range of values that is estimated to contain the population score 95% of the time. Upper and lower CI bounds are also reported in the Detailed Statistics section.

For further investigation

The Report Builder, updated with current data in the fall, allows for multi-year analysis of Engagement Indicators and individual items (including HIPs). It also affords the analysis of results by subpopulation.



Administration Summaries

University of North Texas at Dallas

The precision of an institution's population estimates can vary between administrations. An important early step in conducting a multi-year analysis is to review data quality. The values in the tables below were drawn from your *Administration Summary* reports.

Response Details by Participation Year

		Fi	rst-year studen	nts		Seniors									
Year	Response rate ^a	Sampling error ^b	Total respondents ^c	Full completions	Partial completions	Response rate ^a	Sampling error ^b	Total respondents ^c	Full completions	Partial completions					
2013															
2014															
2015															
2016	20%	+/- 10.4%	71	43	28	18%	+/- 7.8%	132	84	48					
2017	31%	+/- 8.2%	100	77	23	24%	+/- 6.6%	167	129	38					
2018	20%	+/- 10.0%	78	58	20	20%	+/- 6.2%	199	157	42					
2019															
2020															

Admini	istration Detai	ls by Participati	on Year				
	Recruitment		Incentives		Report Sample		
Year	method	Sample type	offered	Additional question sets	identified ^d	BCSSE	FSSE
2013							
2014							
2015							

2013							
2014							
2015							
2016	Email	Census	No	Academic Advising, FY Experiences / Sr Transitions	No	No	Yes
2017	Email	Census	Yes	FY Experiences / Sr Transitions	No	No	Yes
2018	Email	Census	Yes	FY Experiences / Sr Transitions	No	No	Yes
2019							
2020							

Note: All of your institution's participation years since 2013 (the first year of the updated NSSE) are reported. Years in which your institution did not participate are blank.

a. Response rates (number of respondents divided by sample size) are adjusted for ineligibility, nondeliverable addresses, and students who were unavailable during the survey administration.

b. Sampling error gauges the precision of results based on a sample survey. It is an estimate (at the 95% confidence level) of how much survey item percentages for your respondents could differ from those of the entire population of students at your institution. While data with larger sampling errors (such as +/-10%) need not be dismissed out of hand, such results should be interpreted more conservatively.

c. Count used to calculate response rates and sampling errors for each Administration Summary report. Includes all census-administered and randomly sampled students, regardless of "Report Sample" designation.


d. Starting in 2017, institutions had the option to flag a subset of students for exclusion from reports. Refer to your Administration Summary report(s).



Engagement Results by Theme

University of North Texas at Dallas

Engagement Indicators (EIs) represent the average student responses to a set of related survey questions. The Academic Challenge theme contains four EIs as well as several important individual items. See pages 10-12 for detailed statistics. For more information, including the items that make up each EI, refer to your *Engagement Indicators* report.



- a. Values for Course Reading and Assigned Writing are estimates calculated from two or more survey questions.
- b. Extent to which courses challenged students to do their best work (1 = "Not at all" to 7 = "Very much").
- c. How much students said the institution emphasizes spending significant time studying and on academic work (1 = "Very little," 2 = "Some," 3 = "Quite a bit," and 4 = "Very much").




Academic Challenge: Seniors

NSSE 2018 Multi-Year Report

Engagement Results by Theme

University of North Texas at Dallas

Engagement Indicators (EIs) represent the average student responses to a set of related survey questions. The Academic Challenge theme contains four EIs as well as several important individual items. See pages 10-12 for detailed statistics. For more information, including the items that make up each EI, refer to your *Engagement Indicators* report.




- a. Values for Course Reading and Assigned Writing are estimates calculated from two or more survey questions.
- b. Extent to which courses challenged students to do their best work (1 = "Not at all" to 7 = "Very much").
- c. How much students said the institution emphasizes spending significant time studying and on academic work (1 = "Very little," 2 = "Some," 3 = "Quite a bit," and 4 = "Very much").





Engagement Results by Theme

University of North Texas at Dallas

Engagement Indicators (EIs) represent the average student responses to a set of related survey questions. Each theme below is represented by two EIs. See pages 10-12 for detailed statistics. For more information, including the items that make up each EI, refer to your *Engagement Indicators* report.












Engagement Results by Theme

University of North Texas at Dallas

Engagement Indicators (EIs) represent the average student responses to a set of related survey questions. Each theme below is represented by two EIs. See pages 10-12 for detailed statistics. For more information, including the items that make up each EI, refer to your *Engagement Indicators* report.









High-Impact Practices

University of North Texas at Dallas

Due to their positive associations with student learning and retention, special undergraduate opportunities are designated "high-impact." The figures below display first-year students' participation, or intent to participate, in High-Impact Practices (HIPs) by year. See page 13 for detailed statistics. For more information, refer to your *High-Impact Practices* report.

High-Impact Practices: First-year students






High-Impact Practices

University of North Texas at Dallas

Due to their positive associations with student learning and retention, special undergraduate opportunities are designated "high-impact." Participation in High-Impact Practices (HIPs) by year is displayed in the figures below. See page 13 for detailed statistics. For more information, refer to your *High-Impact Practices* report.





Detailed Statistics: Engagement Indicators and Additional Items University of North Texas at Dallas

				Firs	t-year s	tudents	5						Senio	ors			
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Academic Challenge																	
Higher-Order Learning	Mean				38.4	42.5	41.5						41.7	41.9	39.1		
0	n				55	91	69						115	157	173		
	SD				13.0	12.1	14.0						13.2	13.5	15.6		
	SE				1.75	1.27	1.69						1.22	1.08	1.18		
	CI upper bound				41.8	45.0	44.8						44.1	44.1	41.4		
	CI lower bound				35.0	40.0	38.2						39.3	39.8	36.8		
Reflective & Integrative	Mean				35.9	39.9	37.6						39.0	38.2	37.6		
Learning	n				63	95	70						121	160	182		
Ecarining	SD				11.0	12.0	11.9						12.6	12.9	12.3		
	SE				1.39	1.23	1.42						1.14	1.02	.91		
	CI upper bound				38.6	42.3	40.4						41.2	40.2	39.4		
	CI lower bound				33.2	37.5	34.8						36.7	36.2	35.8		
Learning Strategies	Mean				41.1	39.6	40.7						43.2	42.4	40.1		
	n				52	81	67						100	141	161		
	SD				14.6	12.4	13.3						14.2	13.6	13.7		
	SE				2.04	1.38	1.63						1.42	1.14	1.08		
	CI upper bound				45.1	42.3	43.9						46.0	44.6	42.2		
	CI lower bound				37.1	36.9	37.5						40.4	40.1	37.9		
Quantitative Reasoning	Mean				32.2	30.9	31.0						28.3	29.0	24.2		
	n				56	92	67						118	153	167		
	SD				17.0	15.6	15.9						16.8	16.6	17.2		
	SE				2.28	1.62	1.95						1.54	1.34	1.33		
	CI upper bound				36.6	34.1	34.8						31.3	31.6	26.8		
	CI lower bound				27.7	27.8	27.2						25.3	26.3	21.6		
Academic Challenge (addit	ional items)																
Preparing for Class	Mean				13.4	13.7	13.0						13.1	14.3	14.2		
(hours/week)	n				43	78	64						88	135	159		
(,	SD				9.7	8.3	7.9						8.5	8.3	9.2		
	SE				1.48	.94	.99						.91	.71	.73		
	CI upper bound				16.3	15.6	14.9						14.8	15.7	15.6		
	CI lower bound				10.5	11.9	11.0						11.3	12.9	12.8		
Course Reading	Mean				7.5	7.5	6.8						7.6	8.9	8.2		
Estimated hours per week	n				43	78	64						87	134	158		
calculated from two survey	SD				7.3	6.2	5.5						6.2	7.2	7.2		
questions.	SE				1.12	.70	.69						.66	.62	.57		
	CI upper bound				9.7	8.9	8.2						8.9	10.2	9.3		
	CI lower bound				5.4	6.1	5.5						6.3	7.7	7.1		

Notes: n = Number of respondents; SD = Standard deviation; SE = Standard error of the mean; upper and lower bounds represent the 95% confidence interval (mean +/- 1.96 * SE).



Detailed Statistics: Engagement Indicators and Additional Items University of North Texas at Dallas

		45.2 58.3 45 82 81.4 111.5 12.09 12.28 68.9 82.3 21.5 34.2 5.6 5.6 52 81 1.4 1.2 .20 .13 6.0 5.9 5.2 5.4 3.1 3.3 46 77 0.8 0.6 .13 .07 3.3 3.5 2.8 3.2 30.5 34.7 64 99 15.1 14.1 1.89 1.42 34.2 37.5 26.8 31.9 45.0 42.5 49 82 15.2 14.1 2.17 1.57 49.2 45.6											Senio	rs			
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Academic Challenge (additi	ional items, co	ntinued)															
Assigned Writing	Mean				45.2	58.3	46.9						62.8	51.5	54.4		
Estimated number of pages	n				45	82	65						95	145	165		
calculated from three survey	SD				81.4	111.5	84.4						85.0	65.0	70.6		
questions.	SE				12.09	12.28	10.48						8.72	5.41	5.50		
	CI upper bound				68.9	82.3	67.5						79.9	62.1	65.2		
	CI lower bound				21.5	34.2	26.4						45.7	40.9	43.7		
Course Challenge	Mean				5.6	5.6	5.8						5.9	5.9	6.0		
Extent to which courses challenged	n				52	81	67						99	144	164		
students to do their best work (1 =	SD				1.4	1.2	1.4						1.3	1.3	1.1		
"Not at all" to 7 = "Very much").	SE				.20	.13	.17						.13	.11	.09		
	CI upper bound						6.1						6.1	6.1	6.2		
	CI lower bound						5.5						5.6	5.7	5.8		
Academic Emphasis	Mean						3.1						3.3	3.1	3.0		
Perceived institutional emphasis on	n						66						87	138	160		
spending significant time studying	SD						0.8						0.7	0.8	0.8		
and on academic work (1 = "Very	SE						.10						.08	.07	.06		
little," 2 = "Some," 3 = "Quite a bit,"	CI upper bound						3.3						3.4	3.2	3.1		
and 4 = "Very much").	CI lower bound				2.8	3.2	2.9						3.1	2.9	2.9		
Learning with Peers																	
Collaborative Learning	Mean				30.5	34.7	32.9						28.6	31.4	28.1		
J	n				64	99	73						127	162	189		
	SD				15.1	14.1	14.0						13.6	15.6	14.5		
	SE				1.89	1.42	1.64						1.21	1.22	1.06		
	CI upper bound				34.2	37.5	36.1						30.9	33.8	30.1		
	CI lower bound				26.8	31.9	29.7						26.2	29.0	26.0		
Discussions with Diverse	Mean					42.5	38.7						42.1	40.3	37.0		
Others	n				49	82	65						100	143	165		
- CC.13	SD					14.1	16.8						17.8	17.9	18.0		
	SE				2.17	1.57	2.09						1.79	1.49	1.40		
	CI upper bound					45.6	42.8						45.6	43.2	39.7		
	CI lower bound				40.7	39.5	34.6						38.6	37.4	34.3		

 $Notes: n = Number of respondents; SD = Standard \ deviation; SE = Standard \ error \ of \ the \ mean; upper \ and \ lower \ bounds \ represent the 95\% \ confidence \ interval \ (mean \ +/- \ 1.96 * SE).$



Detailed Statistics: Engagement Indicators and Additional Items University of North Texas at Dallas

				Firs	t-year s	tudents	3							Senio	ors			
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	201	20	14	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Experiences with Faculty										•								
Student-Faculty	Mean				22.9	23.7	23.6							19.0	20.5	17.2		
Interaction	n				59	93	70							119	158	176		
interaction	SD				17.1	15.8	16.8							16.2	16.5	14.9		
	SE				2.23	1.64	2.01							1.49	1.31	1.12		
	CI upper bound				27.3	26.9	27.6							21.9	23.0	19.4		
	CI lower bound				18.6	20.5	19.7							16.1	17.9	15.0		
Effective Teaching	Mean				41.5	44.1	45.0							42.3	40.6	39.9		
Practices	n				57	93	69							120	157	173		
Tractices	SD				14.7	14.2	12.8							13.7	14.5	15.7		
	SE				1.96	1.47	1.54							1.25	1.16	1.19		
	CI upper bound				45.3	47.0	48.0							44.8	42.8	42.2		
	CI lower bound				37.7	41.3	42.0							39.9	38.3	37.5		
Campus Environment																		
Quality of Interactions	Mean				47.6	43.1	43.8							43.3	43.8	41.8		
•	n				52	81	65							96	140	151		
	SD				13.9	13.9	14.4							13.3	14.2	15.4		
	SE				1.94	1.55	1.79							1.36	1.21	1.26		
	CI upper bound				51.4	46.1	47.3							45.9	46.2	44.3		
	CI lower bound				43.8	40.0	40.3							40.6	41.4	39.4		
Supportive Environment	Mean				37.5	41.6	39.4							34.6	35.4	33.0		
• •	n				44	75	64							86	136	157		
	SD				14.4	14.4	16.6							16.6	16.3	16.3		
	SE				2.18	1.66	2.07							1.78	1.40	1.30		
	CI upper bound				41.8	44.8	43.5							38.1	38.2	35.5		
	CI lower bound				33.2	38.3	35.3							31.1	32.7	30.4		

Notes: n = Number of respondents; SD = Standard deviation; SE = Standard error of the mean; upper and lower bounds represent the 95% confidence interval (mean +/- 1.96 * SE).



Detailed Statistics: High-Impact Practices
University of North Texas at Dallas

	,						01	1100131	.,	tortir it	Aus ut	Duna	,				
				Firs	st-year s	students							Senio				
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Service-Learning ^a	%				68	77	76						67	68	58		
oc. vice rearring	n				50	82	67						99	143	166		
	SE				6.6	4.7	5.3						4.8	3.9	3.9		
	CI upper bound (%)				81	86	86						76	75	65		
	CI lower bound (%)				55	68	66						57	60	50		
Learning Community ^a	%				14	14	6						14	18	13		
	n				51	82	67						98	143	167		
	SE L L(0)				4.9	3.8	2.9						3.5	3.3	2.6		
	CI upper bound (%)				24	21	12						21	25	18		
	CI lower bound (%)				4	6	0			-			7	12	8		
Research with Faculty ^a	%				5	5	4						3	9	5		
	n				52	82	66						98	141	166		
	SE L L(0)				3.0	2.5	2.5						1.7	2.4	1.6		
	CI upper bound (%)				10	10	9						6	13	8		
	CI lower bound (%)				0	72	0						0 26	25	21		
Internship or Field	%				51 52	7 2 82	77 67						26 98	25 144	21 167		
Experience ^b	n SE				52 7.0	82 5.0	5.2						98 4.5	3.6	3.2		
(First-year results: Plan to do)	CI upper bound (%)				7.0 65	82	3.2 87						4.5 35	32	3.2 28		
(First-year results. Fran to do)	CI lower bound (%)				37	62	67						18	18	15		
	%				28	31	41			-			0	2	13		
Study Abroad ^b	n				52	82	67						97	143	165		
(First-year results: Plan to do)	SE				6.3	5.2	6.1						0.0	1.1	0.6		
	CI upper bound (%)				41	41	53						0	4	2		
	CI lower bound (%)				16	21	29						0	0	0		
Culminating Senior	%				45	58	53			-			28	24	22		
	n				52	82	64						98	140	166		
Experience ^b	SE				7.0	5.5	6.3						4.5	3.6	3.2		
(First-year results: Plan to do)	CI upper bound (%)				59	69	66						36	31	28		
	CI lower bound (%)				31	47	41						19	16	15		
Overall HIP Participat																	
Participated in one HIP	%				56	61	73						39	38	38		
. a. c.e.pacca oc	n				52	82	67						99	144	167		
	SE				7.0	5.4	5.5						4.9	4.1	3.8		
	CI upper bound (%)				69	71	84						49	46	45		
	CI lower bound (%)				42	50	62						30	30	30		
Participated in two or	%	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>	12	16	6	<u></u>		<u> </u>			38	38	30		
more HIPs	n				52	82	67						99	144	167		
IIIUI E HIPS	SE				4.6	4.1	2.9						4.9	4.1	3.6		
	CI upper bound (%)				21	24	12						47	46	37		
	CI lower bound (%)				3	8	0						28	30	23		

Notes: n = Number of respondents; SE = Standard error of the proportion (sqrt[(p*(1-p))/(n-1)]) where p is the proportion; upper and lower bounds represent the 95% confidence interval (p+/-1.96*SE).

IPEDS: 484905

a. Results are the percentage who had done the activity.

b. First-year results are the percentage who planned to do the activity; Senior results are the percentage who had done the activity.

c. First-year results are limited to participation in a Learning Community, Service-Learning, and Research with Faculty; senior results include all six HIPs.