

University of North Texas at Dallas



About This Report

About Your Multi-Year Report

For institutions participating in multiple NSSE administrations since 2013, the year of the last survey update, this report presents year-to-year results for Engagement Indicators (EIs), High-Impact Practices (HIPs), and key academic challenge items to illustrate patterns of change or stability. It also provides details such as number of respondents, standard deviation, and standard error so that statistical tests can be calculated.

For more information and recommendations for analyzing NSSE data over time, consult the *Multi-Year Data Analysis Guide* on the NSSE website. nsse.indiana.edu/pdf/MYDAG.pdf

This report contains three main parts: (a) a page that provides a quick reference to important information about each year's administration, (b) multi-year figures, and (c) detailed statistics. Key terms and features are illustrated below.

Report sections

Administration Summaries (p. 3)	A summary of respondent counts, response rates, sampling errors, and administration details for each participation year.
Engagement Results by Theme (pp. 4-7)	Results for ten EIs and selected individual survey items are displayed, organized under four broad themes. The Academic Challenge theme is represented by four EIs as well as several individual items. The three remaining engagement themes (Learning with Peers, Experiences with Faculty, and Campus Environment) are each represented by two EIs.
High-Impact Practices (pp. 8-9)	Results for six HIPs are displayed. First-year student results indicate students who participated in a learning community, service-learning, and research with faculty, and who planned to do an internship or field experience, study abroad, and a culminating senior experience. Senior results indicate students who participated in all six.
Detailed Statistics (pp. 10-13)	Displays detailed information for results including counts, standard errors, and confidence intervals (CIs) for each measure.

Interpreting year-to-year results

When examining year-to-year results, you may wonder whether observed differences signify meaningful change and whether a trend is indicated. Figures display CIs around each score showing the range of values that is estimated to contain the population score 95% of the time. Upper and lower CI bounds are also reported in the Detailed Statistics section.

For further investigation

The Report Builder—Institution Version, updated with current data in the fall, allows for multi-year analysis of Engagement Indicators and individual items. It also affords the analysis of results by subpopulation.



201820192020

NSSE 2017 Multi-Year Report

Administration Summaries

University of North Texas at Dallas

The precision of an institution's population estimates can vary between administrations. An important early step in conducting a multi-year analysis is to review data quality. The values in the tables below were drawn from your *Administration Summary* reports.

Response Details by Participation Year

		Fii	rst-year studen	nts				Seniors		
Year	Response rate ^a	Sampling error ^b	Total respondents ^c	Full completions	Partial completions	Response rate ^a	Sampling error ^b	Total respondents ^c	Full completions	Partial completions
2013										
2014										
2015										
2016	20%	+/- 10.4%	71	43	28	18%	+/- 7.8%	132	84	48
2017	31%	+/- 8.2%	100	77	23	24%	+/- 6.6%	167	129	38
2018										
2019										
2020										

istration Detail	s by Participation	Year				
Recruitment		Incentives		Report Sample		
method	Sample type	offered	Additional question sets	identified ^d	BCSSE	FSSE
Email	Census	No	Academic Advising, FY Experiences / Sr Transitions	No	No	Yes
Email	Census	Yes	FY Experiences / Sr Transitions	No	No	Yes
	Recruitment method	Recruitment method Sample type Email Census	method Sample type offered Email Census No	Recruitment method Sample type offered Additional question sets Email Census No Academic Advising, FY Experiences / Sr Transitions	Recruitment method Sample type offered Additional question sets Report Sample identified identified identified No Academic Advising, FY Experiences / Sr Transitions No	Recruitment method Sample type offered Additional question sets Report Sample identified BCSSE Email Census No Academic Advising, FY Experiences / Sr Transitions No No

Note: All of your institution's participation years since 2013 (the first year of the updated NSSE) are reported. Years in which your institution did not participate are blank.

- a. Response rates (number of respondents divided by sample size) are adjusted for ineligibility, nondeliverable addresses, and students who were unavailable during the survey administration.
- b. Sampling error gauges the precision of results based on a sample survey. It is an estimate (at the 95% confidence level) of how much survey item percentages for your respondents could differ from those of the entire population of students at your institution. While data with larger sampling errors (such as +/-10%) need not be dismissed out of hand, such results should be interpreted more conservatively.
- c. Count used to calculate response rates and sampling errors for each Administration Summary report. Includes all census-administered and randomly sampled students, regardless of "Report Sample" designation.
- d. Starting in 2017, institutions had the option to flag a subset of students for exclusion from reports. Refer to your Administration Summary report(s).



Engagement Results by Theme

University of North Texas at Dallas

Engagement Indicators (EIs) represent the average student responses to a set of related survey questions. The Academic Challenge theme contains four EIs as well as several important individual items. See pages 10-12 for detailed statistics. For more information, including the items that make up each EI, refer to your *Engagement Indicators* report.




- a. Values for Course Reading and Assigned Writing are estimates calculated from two or more survey questions.
- b. Extent to which courses challenged students to do their best work (1 = "Not at all" to 7 = "Very much").
- c. How much students said the institution emphasizes spending significant time studying and on academic work (1 = "Very little," 2 = "Some," 3 = "Quite a bit," and 4 = "Very much").



Engagement Results by Theme

University of North Texas at Dallas

Engagement Indicators (EIs) represent the average student responses to a set of related survey questions. The Academic Challenge theme contains four EIs as well as several important individual items. See pages 10-12 for detailed statistics. For more information, including the items that make up each EI, refer to your *Engagement Indicators* report.




- a. Values for Course Reading and Assigned Writing are estimates calculated from two or more survey questions.
- b. Extent to which courses challenged students to do their best work (1 = "Not at all" to 7 = "Very much").
- c. How much students said the institution emphasizes spending significant time studying and on academic work (1 = "Very little," 2 = "Some," 3 = "Quite a bit," and 4 = "Very much").




Engagement Results by Theme

University of North Texas at Dallas

Engagement Indicators (EIs) represent the average student responses to a set of related survey questions. Each theme below is represented by two EIs. See pages 10-12 for detailed statistics. For more information, including the items that make up each EI, refer to your Engagement Indicators report.





Campus Environment: First-year students









Engagement Results by Theme

University of North Texas at Dallas

Engagement Indicators (EIs) represent the average student responses to a set of related survey questions. Each theme below is represented by two EIs. See pages 10-12 for detailed statistics. For more information, including the items that make up each EI, refer to your Engagement Indicators report.



2017

2016



High-Impact Practices

University of North Texas at Dallas

Research with Faculty

Due to their positive associations with student learning and retention, special undergraduate opportunities are designated "high-impact." The figures below display first-year students' participation, or intent to participate, in High-Impact Practices (HIPs) by year. See page 13 for detailed statistics. For more information, refer to your High-Impact Practices report.

High-Impact Practices: First-year students



50%

25%

0%


2013


2014


2015

2016

2017









Overall first-year HIP participation

The figure below displays the percentages of firstyear students who participated in one, and two or

more, HIPs. The figure is limited to participation in a learning community, service-learning, and

Study Abroad

2013


2014

2015

2016

2017

25%







High-Impact Practices


University of North Texas at Dallas

Due to their positive associations with student learning and retention, special undergraduate opportunities are designated "high-impact." Participation in High-Impact Practices (HIPs) by year is displayed in the figures below. See page 13 for detailed statistics. For more information, refer to your High-Impact Practices report.

High-Impact Practices: Seniors Learning Community Service-Learning Research with Faculty (Done or in progress) (Some, most, or all courses) (Done or in progress) 100% 100% 100% 75% 75% 75% 50% 50% 50% 100% 25% 25% 25% 0% 75% 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2013 2015 2017 2013 2014 2015 2016 Internship/Field Experience **Study Abroad Culminating Senior Experience** (Done or in progress) (Done or in progress) (Done or in progress) 50% 100% 100% 100% 75% 75% 75% 25% 50% 50% 50% 0% 2013 2014 25% 25% 25% 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017

Overall senior HIP participation

The figure below displays the percentages of seniors who participated in one, and two or more, HIPs. The figure includes all six HIPs.





Detailed Statistics: Engagement Indicators and Additional Items University of North Texas at Dallas

				Firs	st-vear s	students	;		•					Senio	ors			
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Academic Challenge																		
Higher-Order Learning	Mean				38.4	42.5								41.7	41.9			
	n				55	91								115	157			
	SD				13.0	12.1								13.2	13.5			
	SE				1.75	1.27								1.22	1.08			
	CI upper bound				41.8	45.0								44.1	44.1			
	CI lower bound				35.0	40.0								39.3	39.8			
Reflective & Integrative	Mean				35.9	39.9								39.0	38.2			
Learning	n				63	95								121	160			
2001111118	SD				11.0	12.0								12.6	12.9			
	SE				1.39	1.23								1.14	1.02			
	CI upper bound				38.6	42.3								41.2	40.2			
	CI lower bound				33.2	37.5								36.7	36.2			
Learning Strategies	Mean				41.1	39.6								43.2	42.4			
	n				52	81								100	141			
	SD				14.6	12.4								14.2	13.6			
	SE				2.04	1.38								1.42	1.14			
	CI upper bound				45.1	42.3								46.0	44.6			
	CI lower bound				37.1	36.9				_				40.4	40.1			
Quantitative Reasoning	Mean				32.2	30.9								28.3	29.0			
	n				56	92								118	153			
	SD				17.0	15.6								16.8	16.6			
	SE				2.28	1.62								1.54	1.34			
	CI upper bound				36.6	34.1								31.3	31.6			
	CI lower bound				27.7	27.8								25.3	26.3			
Academic Challenge (addit					40.4	40.7								40.4	44.0			
Preparing for Class	Mean				13.4	13.7								13.1	14.3			
(hours/week)	n				43	78								88	135			
	SD				9.7	8.3								8.5	8.3			
	SE				1.48	.94								.91	.71			
	CI upper bound CI lower bound				16.3 10.5	15.6								14.8 11.3	15.7 12.9			
						11.9				_								
Course Reading	Mean				7.5 43	7.5 78								7.6 87	8.9 134			
Estimated hours per week	n SD				7.3	6.2								6.2	7.2			
calculated from two survey questions.	SE				7.3 1.12	.70								.66	.62			
questions.	SE CI upper bound				9.7	.70 8.9								.66 8.9	10.2			
	CI lower bound				9.7 5.4	6.1								6.3	7.7			
	Ci lower boulla				5.4	0.1								0.5	1.1			

Notes: n = Number of respondents; SD = Standard deviation; SE = Standard error of the mean; upper and lower bounds represent the 95% confidence interval (mean +/- 1.96 * SE).



Detailed Statistics: Engagement Indicators and Additional Items University of North Texas at Dallas

				Firs	t-year s	students	;						Senio	ors			
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Academic Challenge (additi	ional items, co	ntinued)															
Assigned Writing	Mean				45.2	58.3							62.8	51.5			
Estimated number of pages	n				45	82							95	145			
calculated from three survey	SD				81.4	111.5							85.0	65.0			
questions.	SE				12.09	12.28							8.72	5.41			
	CI upper bound				68.9	82.3							79.9	62.1			
	CI lower bound				21.5	34.2							45.7	40.9			
Course Challenge	Mean				5.6	5.6							5.9	5.9			
Extent to which courses challenged	n				52	81							99	144			
students to do their best work (1 =	SD				1.4	1.2							1.3	1.3			
"Not at all" to 7 = "Very much").	SE				.20	.13							.13	.11			
	CI upper bound				6.0	5.9							6.1	6.1			
	CI lower bound				5.2	5.4							5.6	5.7			
Academic Emphasis	Mean				3.1	3.3							3.3	3.1			
Perceived institutional emphasis on	n				46	77							87	138			
spending significant time studying	SD				0.8	0.6							0.7	8.0			
and on academic work (1 = "Very	SE				.13	.07							.08	.07			
little," 2 = "Some," 3 = "Quite a bit,"	CI upper bound				3.3	3.5							3.4	3.2			
and 4 = "Very much").	CI lower bound				2.8	3.2							3.1	2.9			
Learning with Peers																	
Collaborative Learning	Mean				30.5	34.7							28.6	31.4			
J	n				64	99							127	162			
	SD				15.1	14.1							13.6	15.6			
	SE				1.89	1.42							1.21	1.22			
	CI upper bound				34.2	37.5							30.9	33.8			
	CI lower bound				26.8	31.9							26.2	29.0			
Discussions with Diverse	Mean				45.0	42.5							42.1	40.3			
Others	n				49	82							100	143			
o thici s	SD				15.2	14.1							17.8	17.9			
	SE				2.17	1.57							1.79	1.49			
	CI upper bound				49.2	45.6							45.6	43.2			
	CI lower bound				40.7	39.5							38.6	37.4			

 $Notes: n = Number of respondents; SD = Standard \ deviation; SE = Standard \ error \ of \ the \ mean; upper \ and \ lower \ bounds \ represent the 95\% \ confidence \ interval \ (mean \ +/- \ 1.96 * SE).$



Detailed Statistics: Engagement Indicators and Additional Items University of North Texas at Dallas

				Firs	t-year s	students			Seniors									
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Experiences with Faculty																		
Student-Faculty	Mean				22.9	23.7								19.0	20.5			
Interaction	n				59	93								119	158			
interaction	SD				17.1	15.8								16.2	16.5			
	SE				2.23	1.64								1.49	1.31			
	CI upper bound				27.3	26.9								21.9	23.0			
	CI lower bound				18.6	20.5								16.1	17.9			
Effective Teaching	Mean				41.5	44.1								42.3	40.6			
Practices	n				57	93								120	157			
ractices	SD				14.7	14.2								13.7	14.5			
	SE				1.96	1.47								1.25	1.16			
	CI upper bound				45.3	47.0								44.8	42.8			
	CI lower bound				37.7	41.3								39.9	38.3			
Campus Environment																		
Quality of Interactions	Mean				47.6	43.1								43.3	43.8			
•	n				52	81								96	140			
	SD				13.9	13.9								13.3	14.2			
	SE				1.94	1.55								1.36	1.21			
	CI upper bound				51.4	46.1								45.9	46.2			
	CI lower bound				43.8	40.0								40.6	41.4			
Supportive Environment	Mean				37.5	41.6								34.6	35.4			
•	n				44	75								86	136			
	SD				14.4	14.4								16.6	16.3			
	SE				2.18	1.66								1.78	1.40			
	CI upper bound				41.8	44.8								38.1	38.2			
	CI lower bound				33.2	38.3								31.1	32.7			

Notes: n = Number of respondents; SD = Standard deviation; SE = Standard error of the mean; upper and lower bounds represent the 95% confidence interval (mean +/- 1.96 * SE).



Detailed Statistics: High-Impact Practices
University of North Texas at Dallas

	<u>'</u>						011		cy Oi ii	iortii ic	Aus ut	Duna	,				
				Firs	st-year s	students							Seni	ors			
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Learning Community ^a	%				14	14							14	18			
Learning Community	n				51	82							98	143			
	SE				4.9	3.8							3.5	3.3			
	CI upper bound (%)				24	21							21	25			
	CI lower bound (%)				4	6							7	12			
Service-Learning ^a	%				68	77							67	68			
3	n				50	82							99	143			
	SE				6.6	4.7							4.8	3.9			
	CI upper bound (%)				81	86							76	75			
	CI lower bound (%)				55	68							57	60			
Research with Faculty ^a	%				5	5							3	9			
•	n				52	82							98	141			
	SE				3.0	2.5							1.7	2.4			
	CI upper bound (%)				10	10							6	13			
	CI lower bound (%)				0	0							0	4			
Internship or Field	%				51	72							26	25			
Experience	n				52	82							98	144			
(First-year results: Plan to do)	SE				7.0	5.0							4.5	3.6			
(First year results. Flam to do)	CI upper bound (%)				65	82							35	32			
	CI lower bound (%) %				37 28	62 31							18 0	18 2			
Study Abroad					28 52	82							0 97	143			
(First-year results: Plan to do)	n SE				6.3	5.2							0.0	1.1			
	CI upper bound (%)				41	41							0.0	4			
	CI lower bound (%)				16	21							0	0			
Culminatina Canian	%				45	58							28	24			
Culminating Senior	n				52	82							98	140			
Experience	SE				7.0	5.5							4.5	3.6			
(First-year results: Plan to do)	CI upper bound (%)				59	69							36	31			
	CI lower bound (%)				31	47							19	16			
Overall HIP Participati					31	-1,							13	10			
Participated in one HIP	%				56	61							39	38			
r articipated in one im	n				52	82							99	144			
	SE				7.0	5.4							4.9	4.1			
	CI upper bound (%)				69	71							49	46			
	CI lower bound (%)				42	50							30	30			
Participated in two or	%				12	16							38	38			
	n				52	82							99	144			
more HIPs	SE				4.6	4.1							4.9	4.1			
	CI upper bound (%)				21	24							47	46			
	CI lower bound (%)				3	8							28	30			

Notes: n = Number of respondents; SE = Standard error of the proportion (sqrt[(p*(1-p))/(n-1)]) where p is the proportion; upper and lower bounds represent the 95% confidence interval (p+/-1.96*SE).

IPEDS: 484905

a. Results are the percentage who had done the activity.

b. First-year results are limited to participation in a Learning Community, Service-Learning, and Research with Faculty; senior results include all six HIPs.