

Performance and pedagogy

by Henry Gulick, Indiana University

(Henry Gulick, Professor of Clarinet at Indiana University, has taught some of America's most important clarinet players. Those fortunate enough to have studied with him during the past three decades know him to be a "teacher's teacher", a skilled performer, and one dedicated to the clarinet. This is the first in a series of articles to be published in Vol. 8. Questions may be directed to Professor Gulick at Indiana University, School of Music, Bloomington, Indiana 47401. Ed.)

Introduction

Let me first express my gratitude to the editor, James Gillespie, for the opportunity to put these ideas into print. I am flattered by his invitation, yet apprehensive, knowing that different methods can result in effective teaching, and knowing how essential the student's talent is to successful music-making.

But this last phrase must not be an excuse for a negative or pessimistic approach; there are degrees of talent, and pedagogy has come a long way in the last fifty years.

In these articles, the emphasis will be on the college level, selectively admitted clarinetist. This first article will deal with literature and tone.

The principal objective of any educational experience should be to lead the student to independence. After training in the fundamentals, the clarinetist should mature to the point where unfamiliar music can be learned and then interpreted with command, understanding and taste — without help from the teacher. Of course, I have done my share of spoon-feeding, and there will always be some recitals which are coached to the hilt, but this is not the ideal.

I do not imply that thirty years' experience has brought me all of the answers. Questions will always arise when dealing with sound, and with actions which are locked within the body. For instance, how does one teach consistency? I have not yet solved that one. Or consider this: it is possible that the best players, among other qualities, have a superior ability to hear themselves as others hear them? If so, is it an inborn trait, a component of what we call talent? Can it be taught and improved? I am not referring to recording and listening to the playback, although that has its value. I mean that moment of truth in live performance, whether it be the practice room, the teacher's studio, or the concert stage.

The importance of a positive mental attitude has been stressed by most teachers, and rightly so. This condition, which leads to relaxed concentration, is vital. But the importance of physical well being has not been emphasized enough. The professional performer/teacher knows full well what I am driving at, but how many lessons have been spoiled or marred by students dragging in tired, sleepy, anemic or undernourished? Small wonder that the concentration, coordination and reflexes are not operating at peak efficiency! We should have a large sign over our studio doors, with the motto "YOU MUST BE MENTALLY AND PHYSICALLY READY TO PLAY CLARINET!"

Literature

I use a fairly wide variety of literature, (a little weak on the avant-garde), with two criteria: is it pedagogically worthwhile, and is it musically interesting? There is much material on the market today which fulfills the first requirement but not the second, in my opinion.

First, let us consider method books for the freshman. When I was at that point, the Klosé was *de rigueur*. Over the years I, and probably many other teachers, drifted away from it. Although trite at times, and old-fashioned, there remain worthwhile studies: the 45 *Exercises Upon Different Combinations of Articulation*, and the 12 *Studies in the Different Registers*, in particular. Good use may be made with some students of the 15 *Grand Etudes* (more as studies than duets), and the 20 *Characteristic Studies*.

It is now pertinent to ask if a method book is really essential for the college clarinetist; I say that while not essential, it is extremely useful in many cases. Writing a new clarinet method has become a Herculean task, what with the diffusion of compositional styles in recent years.

The Rose *Studies* are probably the most widely used books in the clarinet world. The 32 (Ferling, oboe, expanded by Rose), the 40 (Various composers, violin), and the 20 from Rode (violin), have earned their prominence. Both for slow, expressive studies (mainly in the 32) and for articulation, these prove that transcriptions can be as worthwhile as originals — as though any proof were needed.

Technique: Baermann *Method*, Vol. 3. As a basic book of scales, arpeggios and thirds, etc., and in spite of deficiencies, this is excellent. Missing are harmonic minor and whole-tone scales, and augmented arpeggios. The octave study is flighty, and for basic practice I use the one in Klosé, an extremely important exercise.

I firmly believe that at least one book of Jeanjean should be studied, and I prefer the 18 *Etudes de Perfectionnement*. If students are not quite prepared for the technical patterns, I assign as background nos. 2, 4, and 6 in the 16 *Etudes Modernes* by the same composer. These three studies cover a fair share of the patterns which are inherent in the style.

Polatschek: 28 *Advanced Studies*. On first glance, this is just a collection of black notes, but there is much more beneath the surface. Melodic interest, which is the *sine qua non*, is present in many different styles.

Good modern studies are scarce, in my opinion. As others have remarked, it might be just as well to study the music; for example, what etude book really prepares us for the Stravinsky *Three Pieces*? Nevertheless, I do like and use the F. Zitek 16 *Modern Studies*. There are no uneven meters or avant-garde techniques, but they do bend the mind away from the traditional approach. Some of the etudes can even be used in recital.

Baroque: There are qualities in this style which are not found in later periods to such a degree: endurance, quick breathing, articulation, ornaments, and occasional improvisation. There are several good books available — I use the Bach/Giampieri 21 *Pezzi*, along with individual transcriptions.

Henri Sarlit: *25 Etudes from Chopin and Schumann*. For the very advanced student, these are outstanding. I like the technical challenge, but even more worthwhile are the poetic and lyrical qualities.

Naturally not all students will reach the technical level required for the most difficult books listed above. In such cases, I use Langenus *Method* Vol. 3, Cavallini *Caprices*, and whichever other books are appropriate to the needs of the student.

Orchestral Excerpts — The eight volumes in the International edition cover the literature well, with a few exceptions: Respighi, for example.

Discussion Books: Keith Stein, *The Art of Clarinet Playing*. I recommend that all students own this. Particularly strong are the sections on breathing and support, articulation, double lip, and interpretation. Bonade, *The Clarinetist's Compendium*. This has valuable material on phrasing, staccato, and reed-fixing.

Solos and Chamber Music: A balanced diet, mostly chosen from my list which was published in *The Clarinet*, Fall 1978, Vol. 6, No. 1. The clarinet has a respectable body of literature, but I feel a shortage in two areas:

1. Conservative pieces for clarinet alone. Nowhere is it engraved in bronze that new works must contain quarter tones, multiphonics, and three-octave skips in thirty-second notes. Some students seem to want more of the romantic style, as for instance, the Willson Osborne *Rhapsody*. It is quite sparse!

2. Good Baroque transcriptions. We need meticulous, well-thought-out arrangements, by people who are expert in both Baroque style and clarinet.

Regarding the pieces for clarinet alone: the performer should have some latitude in choice of clarinet. I see nothing

wrong in playing all of the Stravinsky *Three Pieces* on the B-flat clarinet; the Sutermeister *Capriccio*, indicated for A, real sounds better on the B-flat. I think. Conversely, the Osborn *Rhapsody* (indicated B-flat) fits the A as though tailor made.

Getting back to the recital literature in general, I have often wondered why the French Impressionistic style seems to be the most difficult of all. Students can play German, Italian, English or American music, but such composers as Debussy (*Rhapsody* and *Petite Pièce*), Ravel (*Vocalise en forme de Habanera*), Pierné (*Canzonetta*), Mazellier (*Fantasy Ballet*), do not fare so well. They tend to play this music like the average American pronounces the French language! Strange, when one considers how many French materials and French pedagogy books we are using. The atmospheric, elegant, suave and sophisticated styles require not only command of the instrument, but they also require, if not a certain temperament, at least an understanding of that temperament.

Surely one of our most vexing problems is in deciding weekly lesson assignments. Not so much what to assign, but how much? How do we balance depth and scope? Idealistically, I suppose that the entire freshman year could be spent on long tones and scales, but this might not improve the dropout rate.

Keeping in mind the standards of the school, and the abilities of the student, I try to assign a proper diet. At the freshman level, a typical assignment might include a slow Re study, a page from Baermann 3 (scales, etc.), and a bit of literature — Weber, for example. This is the three-course menu, and the Weber is there primarily to help maintain the student's interest, and should rank last in practice priority. For the older student, a two-part assignment might be a study (Jeanjean, Polatschek, etc.) and part of a solo, or, a study of orchestral excerpts.

*vertical pressure
not horizontal as
other ligatures*

*exclusive side shoulders **

- Will not distort or crush reed fibers nor warp mouthpiece as do metal ligatures
- Top and bottom straps are spaced wider apart and work independently of each other. (Helps regulate and control reed opening).
- Sound posts are scientifically designed to give equal pressure and full reed vibration.
- Tighten screws firmly to desired tension. (very important).

Luyben
Music

PLaza 3-7111
4318 MAIN
KANSAS CITY, MO.

In other words, I rarely assign just one item; I believe in variety, and I feel that a certain amount of material should be covered. The student should learn that to sight-read, assimilate and retain are at the heart of this business. At the same time, I state that depth of preparation is more important than breadth; better two pages well prepared than five pages skimmed over.

Tone

Tone is not an absolute. This is the interesting and frustrating fact which dominates our study. When it comes to sound, words fail us; and there are so many variables! Reed, mouthpiece, ligature, barrel, instrument, and acoustics — who wouldn't feel frustrated or confused at times? But let us try to keep this in perspective; who of us has not felt let down upon hearing for the first time some of the biggest names in the clarinet world? Perhaps we said to ourselves "That sound may be all right, but it's not what I want", or even "How does that person hold a job with that sound"? Allowing for the fact that tastes vary, it does seem that to most conductors there are qualities more important than a gorgeous, stunning tone. If I were ranking these:

1. Consistency — Crudely put, this means being there with the right note at the right time. In larger context, it means that even on bad days, one's playing does not fall below a certain minimum standard.

2. Musical Taste — Again, not an absolute. The best exponents are flexible, and can satisfy different conductors.

3. Intonation — If the outstanding clarinetists ever have a problem, this is usually it.

4. Rhythm — Not merely good rhythm, which is assumed, but going beyond this to a quality usually described as rhythmic vitality.

5. Knowledge of Literature — Essential, in these days of minimal rehearsal time for the standard works.

Furthermore, there is a truth in the saying "An isolated tone is not 'beautiful'. It becomes beautiful only in context, in the way it relates to the other notes in the phrase". Thus tone is, to some extent, a function of phrasing.

Now the preceding remarks are not meant to downgrade the importance of good sound; I make them primarily for those clarinetists who spend endless days searching for the elusive, and illusive, perfect mouthpiece and perfect reed.

Tone begins with a concept: a mental image which has formed through hearing oneself, colleagues, teachers, radio, television background music, and recordings. The words which I use most often to describe this idealized sound are: round, mellow, resonant, and liquid or fluid.

Once the concept is well in mind, we must consider the materials being used, beginning with the instrument.

Although one brand of clarinet tends to dominate our field, this may be due more to the work of expert craftsmen such as Moennig and Brannen than to any inherent factory production. It is surprising how few listeners can discriminate between the top brands, if they are not told in advance. Differences tend to be more obvious in terms of intonation. I use the Buffet R-13, Plain Boehm 17-6, finding no real advantage in the extra mechanical options.

Barrel — This has considerable effect on tone, intonation, and resistance. Wooden ones may change over a period of time. This is an extremely important component which is sometimes overlooked. There are several new models on the market, but I have not yet found one that I prefer.

Mouthpiece — It is not necessary or appropriate to list the many good brands which are available. I use the Borbeck Antares 11.

Ligature — There is a wide choice of high quality ligatures. I use the Luyben. Differences not always discerned by the listener may still be quite important to the performer; for instance, one may feel more free-blowing than another.

Reed — My basic tenet is this: those who spend a modest amount of time working on reeds sound as well, on average, as those who spend an inordinate amount of time.

From 1935 to 1965 I used Vandoren reeds. In 1965 I spent a sabbatical leave studying reed-making with Kalmen Opperman. I learned much, but for various reasons I later went back to commercial reeds — with more knowledge of how to work with them. Now, I think that Morré, Olivieri and Vandoren all have possibilities. Much depends on the player and the mouthpiece; it is a matter of experimentation until the best combination is found.

For background information on reed-fixing, I recommend that the freshmen read Stein's *The Art of Clarinet Playing*, Chapter 2; Bonade's *Clarinetist's Compendium*, Ch. 4; and Opperman's *Handbook for Making and Adjusting Single Reeds*, especially Ch. 9. Like learning to drive a car, one really only

Handmade

JAMES KANTER

Mouthpieces

Bb CLARINET
Eb CLARINET
BASS CLARINET
CUSTOM REFACING

For Information Write or Call:

JAMES KANTER

7914 Sadring Avenue
Canoga Park, California 91304

(213) 992-1820

learns by doing, but these sources are helpful.

The aforementioned brands having different kinds of cane and cut, we must approach them differently. For instance, Vandoren is being cut thinner, but with a denser cane. Olivieri usually has a thinner tip with the thick base. Morrè ordinarily requires more time, sanding, (and patience!) than the other two brands.


I have no prejudice against clipping a reed — what harm can it do to try it! However, after clipping, some reeds must be lightly sanded to restore the taper which has been blunted.

Other points: We must learn to play on the "B" reeds too, and not just the "A" reeds. Do not practice in a room that is too live! It gives an overly favorable impression of the reed.

Actually, I give away quite a few reeds, so long as the student does not develop a dependency. Many of the good commercial reeds are born, not made. Furthermore, a reed which doesn't quite respond on my mouthpiece may play well for someone else.

So much for the equipment! Now let us consider the performer's role in tone production.

Breath Support — The firm abdomen, acting as the air compressor. Support must increase when playing soft. It seems to me that the clarinet does not require a great deal of air, but it does require a certain amount of air pressure. Testing in conjunction with our physics department at Indiana University, for a doctoral document by Charles Ray Smith, indicates that air pressure does *decrease* in the high register. This has been a point of confusion (and bone of contention) for many students, as well as teachers! As a basic exercise, this Interval Study is most valuable:



This should be practiced slowly, at different dynamic levels, and should be transposed into all keys and registers.

Voicing — I support the theory of Voicing as described in the article by Raymond Wheeler, *NACWPI Bulletin* Fall 1973, Vol. 22 No 1. The lower range is best served by the "oo" vowel, as in "too" (tongue high and back), while in

the upper register "ah" (tongue low and forward) is recommended.

Embouchure — Minimum amount of lower lip over the teeth. Equal pressure around the lips. For biggest, freest sound, take as much reed as possible without losing control and refinement. Ideally, no change in embouchure for the different registers; in practice, there is a slight increase in firmness for the altissimo register. Jaw comes forward for the high notes, so there is a slightly lower pressure on the reed. Embouchure is firmer when playing loud, more relaxed when soft, to equalize pitch.

I am a strong believer in temporary (remedial technique) or permanent change to double lip. This is explained in detail in Keith Stein's *Art of Clarinet Playing*, Chapter 14. I play this way much of the time, and I find the following advantages:

1. It makes the tone mellower
2. It compensates for uneven teeth
3. It helps the player to relax
4. It places the tension where it should be

The only disadvantage, as many readers know: it becomes much more difficult to perform standing.

One of our most neglected techniques is the art of the quick breath. Now the basic theory of breathing — through the corners of the mouth, from the abdomen, is well known (although many freshmen are shallow breathers). As with so many problems, we notice the awkward gaps which result from taking too much time to breath, more readily in someone else's playing than in our own. The solution lies with the metronome, of course. By using this device, we immediately become aware of any delay in the note just after the breath.

Breathing Problems — One may point to passages such as Beethoven *Symphony* No. 7, second movement, and Brahms *Piano Concerto* No. 2, third movement, but the most obvious problems are in the operas of Richard Wagner, where we are left to find our own commas within seemingly endless slurs.

Vibrato: I encourage the use of vibrato in certain passages; it warms the sound, enhances blend, and masks minor intonation deficiencies. I am slightly surprised at the amount of resistance among students. Perhaps this is due, at least partially, to the lack of a model; I am unable to demonstrate to my satisfaction, or anyone else's. We are too much on the defensive in this area, worrying about others' opinions. I surmise that our doubts stem from our being in a transitional stage, and that the next generation will see increasing use of vibrato. As to method, I favor the jaw: it seems to have more flexibility in controlling speed and width.

Tone Color: We have been deficient in this regard; feeling that the clarinet, with its differing registers, has a built-in variety of colors, we have allowed the flutists and oboists to leave us far behind. The amount of reed/mouthpiece taken in, the embouchure pressure, the voicing, and the diaphragm pressure allow for changes in size, intensity, and brighter or darker sound. The great risk with these variables is that the intonation may suffer; coloring demands experience and excellent control, and playing in tune must take precedence.

My next article will deal with that nemesis of so many freshmen: articulation.

ARKANSAS WOODWIND SUPPLY

QUALITY SUPPLIES AND ACCESSORIES
FOR CLARINET AND SAXOPHONE
AT SPECIAL PRICES

SEND FOR OUR CATALOG

P.O. Box 55180, Hillcrest Station
Little Rock, Arkansas 72205

Performance and pedagogy, part II

By Henry Gulick, Indiana University

(This is the second in a continuing series. Ed.)

Articulation

Let us admit at the outset that the clarinet is by nature a legato instrument; that the mouthpiece and reed occupy too much space, causing the tongue to feel crowded; that the average student's first concession is "My tonguing isn't too good." The picture is not really so bleak: it only requires careful analysis, practice, listening and patience.

The first step is to make this clear to the freshman: rapid articulation, and staccato, will be two separate roads. Fast is not short, and short is not fast. As to which aspect is emphasized first, I prefer speed, since it is so closely related to lightness — our paramount objective.

Of the various possible methods, I use the tip-to-tip system; i.e., the tip of the tongue contacts the thinnest part of the flat surface of the reed. Only the tip of the tongue should move, and it should be an up/down motion. It all begins with proper breath support, of course; this is where the real work is done, and not in the tongue itself.

I use three basic syllables:


1. T — The tongue is firm.
2. D — The tongue is more relaxed. This is used for lyrical passages, and dots under slur.
3. T — T For Staccato.

Following our theory of voicing (see previous article) the lower range syllables would be TU, DU, TUT; for the upper register TAH, DAH, TAHT.

The Prepared Attack is a very basic and useful technique. The air stream is pressurized and the tongue touches the reed on the upbeat; on the downbeat it is only necessary to withdraw the tongue from the reed. There must be no sympathetic movement of the embouchure when tonguing.

Accents are made by using more air — although the tongue must be firm. Imagine that the air stream is the fuel, and that the tongue is the spark.

The first exercise is: (with metronome)



(Also to be transposed)

For lightness, the prime requirements are minimum contact, short stroke, an up/down motion, and no tongue pressure against the reed — the feather rather than the sledge hammer, if I may change the metaphor. There should be no tongue noise and no "undertone" in the attack. The tongue remains away from the reed until the next attack.

Speed is to some extent inborn, but it can usually be improved. I set an arbitrary goal of four notes per beat at 120 MM, equivalent to six notes per beat at 80 MM (8 notes per second). Need I add that this goal is not always reached? For those interested in professional performance, minimum goals

of 132 = ♩, 88 = ♩. seem advisable. What we work for is at least short bursts of speed; fortunately, that is the way in which it usually occurs. Here are a few of the more (in)famous examples:

Beethoven — *Symphony No. 4, Finale*

Mendelssohn — *Symphony No. 3 ("Scotch"), 2nd movement*

Mendelssohn — *Symphony No. 4 ("Italian"), Finale*

Mendelssohn — "Scherzo" from *Midsummer Night's Dream*

Sibelius — *Symphony No. 1, 3rd movement*

Smetana — *Overture to The Bartered Bride*

Liszt — *Hungarian Rhapsody No. 2*

Glinka — *Kamarinskaya*

I digress slightly, to point out that there is no tonguing problem in the Urtext of the Glinka, belatedly published in 1956. *The Works of M. I. Glinka*, State Musical Publishing House, Moscow. Volume 2, pages 123-126. The excerpt is found in *International*, Volume 1, page 18. For the record, notice also no accent in measure 3, and the last note of m. 9 is tied into m. 10.

Glinka — *Kamarinskaya*

Allargo moderato



The only genuine solo of extended length, with rapid tonguing, that I am able to dredge from my collection, occurs in Ernst von Dohnanyi's *Ruralia Hungarica*, opus 32b, fifth movement.

Molto vivace



© 1925 by Rózsavölgyi & Co., Budapest. Copyright assigned 1950 to Editio Musica Budapest.

Dohnanyi has recorded this on piano; his tempo is about $\text{♩} = 168$. Would that his consideration of our breathing problems had been extended to articulation! Actually, the entire passage can be played in one breath. The only recourse for many of us would be to slur by fours or by accent. It is ironic that for the pianist it is very difficult to differentiate between slur and staccato at this tempo.

Clearly the greater challenge in performing most of the above excerpts is encountered not in the orchestra but in auditions. To play these in context is difficult enough; to play them alone with absolutely no faking before a sophisticated committee raises the standard to another level.

Nor is this the end of the story. Such passages may be rare and exceptional, but we must still contend with the ubiquitous ♩ (Rossini, Overture to *The Barber of Seville*), the ♩ (Beethoven, 1st mvt. *Symphony No. 7*), the

♩ (Liszt, *Hungarian Rhapsody No. 1*) and similar rhythms. The clarinetist with a slow tongue will probably lack accuracy and clarity in this type of playing.


Most of these headaches can be avoided in choosing recital literature, either by careful selection, or by judicious editing. A few slur marks with the pencil, and Presto! the problem vanishes. And why not? If we had more of a general audience — rather than just playing for each other, such issues would not loom so large in our thinking. Who counts how many sixteenth notes we articulate in the Mozart *Concerto*? Is not the superabundance of articulation in his clarinet works one reason for von Weber's tackiness? I do not mean to be contentious — I am only trying to say that there is nothing wrong with slurring a phrase here and there, as, come to think of it, I recently proposed for Messrs. Glinka and Dohnanyi.

Staccato

The clipped staccato, in which the tone is stopped by the tongue, should be handled with the greatest of care. It has much potential for benefit or harm and it must be done one certain way. It is better to introduce it late than too early in the student's progress. I do find that most of my students are able to master the technique after study of the fundamentals involved in lightness and speed as discussed above.

This is the attack/release method in which the latter is just as incisive as the former. It gives a crisper, bouncier effect than ending the tone with the air.

I begin with Chapter 3 (Method of Staccato) in Bonade's *Compendium*, and this exercise:




(Also transposed)

The line indicates continuation of the air.

This is the one and only release where we end long notes with the tongue — for this exercise only! We must keep several points in mind:

1. The air stream is steady and continuous.
2. The tongue acts as a damper: i.e., it is touching the reed in the rests.
3. There must be no sympathetic movement of embouchure, throat or diaphragm.
4. The tongue must not push against the reed — it merely rests there.

This exercise should be practiced in the chalumeau and throat tones for two or three weeks. Gradually, it may be extended into the clarion register. Along with the exercise on the same note, I use this study for tone matching:



1. Slur
 2. Staccato
- Eventually in all registers.

There should be no appreciable deterioration of tone quality when playing staccato.

Once we hear evidence of proper control, we proceed to the Klosé *45 Exercises in Articulation*. These are practiced very slowly, following these rules, which also apply to the Rose articulation studies:

1. Any 16th note not slurred is assumed to be staccato.
2. Always stress the first slurred note.
3. Detach (Clip) the last slurred 16th note, IF it is followed by staccato.
4. Think of staccato as softer than slurred notes; this is because staccato tends to penetrate and to attract the ear.

Following the Klosé 45, we go to the Rose 32 even-numbered studies, followed by the Rose 40, and, if necessary, the 20 from Rode. At first, one must practice under tempo; speed is not an issue until mastery of the above principles is evident.

Loud staccato is rarely in good taste, but these articulation studies must be practiced slightly on the loud side at first to establish resonance. In the stages through which we progress, lightness and softness, and eventually speed, should develop over a period of months.

A few general observations:

Length of the staccato is determined by how long the tongue remains away from the reed.

Usually, the altissimo register should not be played as short as the other registers.

With very rare exceptions the last note of a phrase should not be stopped with the tongue.


When making a crescendo in articulation the notes should lengthen as they louden.

It often happens, usually in the sophomore year, that this system becomes overused and exaggerated. The student, eager to display newly found mastery of this difficult technique, begins to sound sophomoric — clipping to such a degree that the Tijuana Brass would sound mushy by comparison. I

recall vividly that day at Eastman with Mont Arey, when I was rendering one of the last articulation studies from Rose. He commented, "It sure sounds like an exercise." Shocked, I said "Isn't it?" "No, it is music," was his reply. Suddenly I realized that this system is not an end in itself. It is only one more tool in our kit — one ingredient in some recipes. In short, we must temper method with musicality.

Bonade's "Slow Syncro-Motion" Staccato — Preparing the fingering before tonguing. This is emphasized in his *Compendium*. It never seems to do harm, and in some cases it does help. The catch is that at a rapid tempo there is not time to think about it. At least it is worth trying when the student has difficulty coordinating fingers and tongue.

Breath Staccato — This is an in-between effect. The sound is ended by stopping just enough air that the reed ceases to vibrate; some air continues. The effect is more refined than ending the tone with the tongue. It may be useful in such passages as Beethoven, *Symphony No. 2*, second movement:



CONVERGENCE! — So at last, after many a long hour of practice, the roads of speed and shortness converge. The student should now be able to make a clear difference between

and . There should be control of the style of attack and release, from the mildest to the most aggressive. There should be control of the length of notes, from the longest to the shortest. This is the time to reread such works as the Mendelssohn Scherzo, which should be both fast AND short. Unlike the flute and bassoon, we cannot say with impunity, "It will sound short because it is rapid." It is the nature of clarinet tone to ring slightly, to have an after-sound.

Staccato and Style — French and German are convenient terms with some tradition, to give concepts of the dry, short staccato (French), and the more resonant, fleshier German style. The *extremely* short staccato is more of an ensemble effect to match other instruments — the tone quality may suffer in this one — and is rarely recommended in solo playing. Generalizations are dangerous however, and much depends upon individual taste.

On the other side of the coin: in large and small ensembles, we must have a meeting in the minds to achieve unity and consistency. Furthermore, we should be able to please the conductors; yet how often we wait for criticism or suggestions which are not forthcoming! Either we are articulating awfully well, or else they don't hear that well — take your choice.

Let us be clear on this point: clipping notes with the tongue is not really all that common. We spend so much time with the Rose studies, etc., it is our fault that the student may develop a distorted idea of this approach.

This I wish to emphasize: we can compromise with shortness; sometimes we must compromise with speed; but never, ever, should we compromise with lightness. For the wood-

winds, lightness is a life-giving quality; heaviness is deadening. At the same time, there is that essential artistic element of contrast, the stressed and unstressed, the weighted and the buoyant. And what better model can we find than the violin? This may seem odd. My total violin study was three months at a very tender age. But it strikes me that fine violinists have the keenest grasp of these principles. Through their bowing technique, the articulation is so sharply etched that there can be no mistaking the musical purpose. This concept is especially apropos in such a work as the Mozart clarinet *Concerto*, first and third movements. The black-note passages have a built-in brilliance and will take care of themselves, but the other passages need these subtle inflections. It is the difference between style and no style, between animation and just another commonplace performance. So, as the singer is the best model for slow movements, the violinist can be a sort of ideal for the more rapid movements.

At this point, I am going way out on a limb and offer a few value judgments. This is not to impose my opinions on anyone. It is to illustrate differences in style. All examples refer to articulation in lively tempi.

Baroque — Not clipped, but there should be a definite "ping" in the attack. Thus, T — is predominant.

Classical — Fairly short, but the most important quality is lightness.

Weber and Spohr — The true German staccato. Not quite as short a staccato as Mozart.

Brahms — Articulation is rare. In the *Sonatas*, *Trio* and *Quintet*, only the last movement of the first *Sonata* has staccato, detached but resonant. One variation in the last movement of the *Quintet* has semi-staccato, done with D—. The third movement of the second symphony and the *Haydn Variations* (No. 5), have passages with the very short ensemble staccato.

Debussy — Very light and short in the *Première Rhapsody*. Likewise, and *fast*, in *Fêtes* and *La Mer*.

Hindemith, *Sonata* — Very light and short in the second movement. Just slightly longer in the fourth movement.

Copland, *Concerto* — Due to jazz influence, eighth notes may be longer than quarter notes (where quarter notes are percussive or "incisive").

My high school clarinet teacher, Oakley Pittman, used to say that the main difference between amateur and professional was in their style of attack and release. At the time, I thought that was quite an exaggeration. Years of experience have mellowed my opinion considerably. Mont Arey was fond of saying, "It's all in the articulation." In a word, what I have tried to describe here comes down to Flexibility. To make the player the Master and the clarinet the Slave in this challenging aspect of performance, one which does not come naturally, but must be studied in structured fashion — that is the goal of my murky prose.

My next article will deal with Intonation and Technique.

Performance and pedagogy, part III

On pitch

By Henry Gulick, Indiana University

There is no doubt in my mind that, at the professional level of clarinet performance, intonation is the most common problem. Many of the old-timers of my acquaintance, who could practically play the literature in their sleep, seemed to worry more than ever about pitch. Notice, too, how often conductors' criticism of clarinetists involves intonation.

It is generally agreed that the primary reason for this is the clarinet's lack of flexibility, in the sense of "lipping." Most notes cannot be changed very far — the long-tube notes especially so.

Other factors are: the inherent acoustical faults of the twelfths; the necessity in orchestra of using both B-flat and A clarinets; and the frequent lack of vibrato, which mercilessly exposes the slightest discrepancy.

We are in the anomalous position that, while playing sharp is quite prevalent, it may also happen that orchestra pitch climbs to the point where the clarinets are left flat. But no one in the audience says "Wow, is that orchestra sharp! Only the clarinets are in tune!"

Apparently, human nature dictates a preference for sharpness over flatness. The very words have become — well, take the example of the winning coach telling sports reporters, "We were sharp out there today;" meanwhile, the losing coach is saying, "We were flat, that's all." Or, consult the dictionary: among other, irrelevant definitions, we find, SHARP: Astute, alert, discerning, stylish. FLAT: boring, vapid, unsavory, lifeless, dull. Thus does language reflect life.



So, what happens? Many players tune a little high "just to be on the safe side," and, given the tendency of ensemble pitch to rise, the sharpest player becomes king of the mountain, as it were. Yet, in a slightly different context, it is better to approach the concept of a pitch from below than from above: to begin too relaxed rather than too tight. This is particularly true with notes of sharp tendencies.

Unfortunately, college clarinetists are usually forced into a dual approach to tuning: one approach for playing with piano accompaniment, and another approach for ensemble, which often ends the rehearsal or concert considerably higher. Add to this, opposite tendencies in dynamic changes: flute and brasses tend to sharpen when loud, flatten when soft. And, add the opposite tendencies in temperature extremes in orchestra: strings tend to sharpen when cold, flatten when warm. This can create great difficulty when trying to play in tune with the harp, especially. In total, it is no wonder that intonation is the Waterloo of so many musical organizations!

There is also the matter of actual pitch versus perceived (by the performer) pitch. Many of us have had this experience: "I thought that I was playing in tune, but when I heard the playback, the clarinet was a trifle sharp." Dr. Donald Stauffer, in his "Intonation Deficiencies of Wind Instruments in Ensemble" (Catholic University Press, Washington, D. C., 1954) states that the clarinet (and tuba) is especially prone to this. I definitely agree, although his reasons, "hollowness of tone or lack of vibrato" need scientific study. My first guess is

that it stems from a widely-held (consciously or unconsciously) theory that the clarinet gets its best tone quality near the top of the pitch. Whatever the reasons and theories, the solution obviously lies in practicing with the strobe and the recording machine.

In checking many clarinets, I am struck by one fact: there are no flat notes, as a rule. Minor exceptions are low F

 if the bell is pulled, and this F-sharp  with the

chromatic fingering if the barrel is pulled. Have manufacturers learned that buyers will tolerate a little sharpness in certain notes, but flatness will be returned to the factory? Is it easier to lower a note than to raise it? Is the ear more tolerant of sharpness than flatness? The eyes seem to carry.

Let us now consider a few facts of clarinet life:

1. Warmth sharpens. Built for the ideal temperature of 68 degrees, pitch will rise *about* 1 cent for each degree above this. The many studies in this area do not totally agree.
2. Humidity sharpens. Charles Norman Todenhoft, in his doctoral thesis "The Effect of Humidity Upon the Intonation and Response of Wood Clarinets" (Indiana University, 1966) found that for each 10% increase in humidity, the average intonation was raised 1.1 cents. The only exceptions were in the altissimo register.
3. The clarinet tends to flatten when loud and sharpens when soft; this is counteracted by firming the embouchure in forte and relaxing it slightly in piano. Also, the fingers may be closer to the tone-holes in piano.

The dilemma of best tone OR best pitch is a genuine Scylla and Charybdis situation, and one that we hope to avoid. Within certain limits, I feel that playing in tune is more important; a slight loss of tone quality will not usually be as apparent to the listener as poor intonation would be.

The electronic-stroboscopic frequency meter, or "strobe," has been a revelation, for purposes of pedagogy and practice. Such an aid has its limitations, of course; there is no substitute for careful listening and the willingness to adjust.

The Twelfths

Now there may have been a time, with some models, when manufacturers' tuning of the twelfths was truly a compromise: one register slightly flat, the other register slightly sharp. Whatever the past, today's guideline, at least with the Buffet R13, is more like one register in tune and the other register sharp.

Tuning the narrow twelfths primarily involves lowering these three notes in the chalumeau:



In some cases, it may be necessary to add the F-C key or the E-B key — with some changes in the tone quality, of course.

Tuning the first series of wide twelfths again puts us between a rock and a hard place:



If we pull the bell to bring the B and C down, this flattens the low E and F. But since the upper notes are more common, it is preferable to extend at the bell, and increase embouchure pressure for the lower notes as necessary. Fortunately, the E and F often have a spread quality, and we improve tone while improving pitch.

The higher series of wide twelfths takes us above the staff, where sharp playing covers the market like a mulch:




The high B and C are among the worst offenders; so pervasive is the problem, that I sometimes resort to alternate fingerings (temporarily and for demonstration purposes): B with middle instead of first finger, plus C-sharp/G-sharp key, and C regular plus third finger and C-sharp/G-sharp key. Not that these are practical fingerings! The idea is to destroy the old concept of sharpness and edgy quality, to be replaced by a sound that is better in tune and mellower. It helps prove how essential it is to not bite or close the throat in the high register.

Tendencies to sharpness continue with the standard high C-sharp fingering 2-3-4-5; almost invariably this must be half-holed to keep it down to correct level. Likewise, high D must be half-holed if the resonator key is used in the 2-3-4 fingering. Life is much simpler if both resonator key and half-hole can be omitted. Other sharp fingerings, for students with medium to medium strong reeds: the "long" F 1-2-3-C-sharp/G-sharp key 4-5-6; the "long" F-sharp 1-2-4-5-6 Resonator key; the "Paris Conservatory" G 2-4-5 Resonator key. By no means am I advocating the banning of these fingerings; if they can be let down to correct levels, fine! I am only arguing against blind (or should I say deaf) acceptance. Too many freshmen have accepted altissimo fingerings on faith, from a teacher or a chart, without careful testing. Soon, the sharp fingering is engraved in the ear, and an in-tune fingering sounds flat to them.

Tuning of the throat tones can be a problem, and much depends on the barrel. With the barrel all the way in, the throat tones should be slightly sharp to the rest of the clarinet, and the instrument should be slightly sharp when warmed up. Pulling the barrel, then, should accomplish two things: bring the clarinet down around A440 (along with the aforementioned pulling of the bell), and bring the throat tones better in tune with the instrument itself. Experimentation is necessary to find fingerings which are both resonant

WHY DIDN'T SOMEONE THINK OF THIS BEFORE?



A light source that fits comfortably into the palm of one hand and provides a flat surface for detail work. **The Reed Light!**

Its primary use, of course, is in working on single reeds (clarinet and saxophone families), although double reed players also find it useful. One hand holds the reed in place while thumb pressure on the top plate operates the light; leaving the other hand free to use the appropriate tool (blade, rush, sandpaper, etc.)

The Reed Light has been designed to be rugged, practical and small enough to fit in most instrument cases. It operates on two AA batteries (included). The bulb is a standard PR4 or PR2 flashlight bulb. The amount of thumb pressure desired to activate the light is adjustable using any small standard screwdriver.

**ReedTM
+
Light**

Don O'Brien Enterprises
Manufacturer & Distributor


P.O. Box 428
El Cerrito, CA 94530
(415) 524-7529

PAT. PENDING

\$13.95 Calif. residents add 6% sales tax
\$1.00 Postage & Handling

and in tune.

As to third-line B-flat: I recommend A key plus third side key. With practice, this fingering can be used almost

anywhere — don't give up too early! If a  precedes or follows, I play it with the left hand little finger, so that the right hand may gravitate toward the side key. I admit that for some students the hands are too small; the best fingerings then become 3 6 F-C key or 2-3 F-C key. Jack Brymer's book *Clarinet* (Schirmer, Macmillan, 1977), is an excellent source of resonance fingerings for the throat tones, as well as fine-tuning fingerings for other notes. For the altissimo register I recommend Paul Drushler's *The Altissimo Register: A Partial Approach* (Shall-u-mo, Rochester, NY.)


Usually the A clarinet is more difficult to play in tune than the B-flat; it requires more favoring and humoring. The altissimo register will almost certainly be sharper. Likewise, the tone quality may not be as homogeneous.

Obviously, working with other instruments is very helpful, but I would emphasize the perfect intervals: unison, octave, fifth and fourth. It is much more difficult to find a benchmark when playing thirds and sixths, which is the disadvantage of most duets.

Most readers are probably familiar with putting adhesive tape in tone holes to lower a sharp note - or, more permanently, shellac or nail polish. Reaming to raise a flat note is not to be undertaken lightly, and should be done only by experts. A set of tuning rings, and extra barrels of differing lengths, are useful items to have in reserve.

Exercises for Improving Intonation:

Long tones —




Octaves, twelfths and perfect intervals —

Sound chord on piano with sustaining pedal down —

(Transpose to all keys and registers)



Perfect intervals of opposite tendencies —



More difficult variant of preceding (notes of lower tendency forte; notes of higher tendency piano)



Technique (Mainly Slurred)

The salient fact about the teaching of technique is that it requires patience. When working with a freshman, the goals of true evenness, smoothness and speed may be far in the future: all other aspects of performance can be noticeably improved in less time. In other words, if a student's technique is slow, and if the hand position and fingerings are correct, what can the teacher say, really, but "Practice!" If there are any genuine short cuts in this area they remain to be discovered, as far as I know.


As a basic text of scales, arpeggios and thirds through all keys, I have mentioned Baermann's *Method*, Volume 3, but there are others, of course. Such authors as Klosé, Langenus, Hamelin, Jeanjean and Didier are examples. Tragically, some students fail to set up a daily routine with such basic books, preferring to spend their time on "more interesting things." As a result, they never develop a solid foundation of technical facility.

In discussing evenness, let us be clear that this means "even" from two different standpoints: 1. Rhythm — which depends on the fingers; 2. Sound — which depends on embouchure, voicing and breath support.

It is not my purpose to deal with the more elementary approaches to technique; I assume that the selectively admitted clarinetist will have a certain amount of facility. In fact, with many freshmen, it may be further along than tone, intonation or articulation.

At the college level, "matching" is one of the key words, and this begins with matching fingers rising with fingers descending. That is, the fingers must have the same precision and definition in leaving as in returning. For a brilliant and detailed discussion of this, see the writings of Rosario Mazzeo. Let me just say, however, that in a way the piano is a good model, since every note is sounded in exactly the same fashion. I say "in a way," because the piano has the fault of being too percussive by nature. But for sheer nimbleness, evenness, and such as things as trills, the piano is unique.

It is a real challenge to match remote keys with the easy keys: to equalize the awkward changes with the natural scale of the clarinet. An obvious example would be to compare a B-major scale with an F-major scale; or, reduced to basic patterns:




Transpose to other keys

We all know well the tendency to fogginess in these more difficult changes. Here, matching clarity is a matter of embouchure and breath support, plus clean finger action.

Likewise, tonal matching is a hurdle in dynamic changes. How often we hear a technical passage played forte with perfect clarity; played softly and the fog rolls in! This comes back to embouchure and breath control.


To illustrate both problems at once: play a C-major scale

forte, then a D-flat major scale piano — the mature player will have equal clarity. Or, go back to the basic patterns and interpolate these dynamics:



Also transposed

All of the foregoing discussion brings us to consideration of "Positive Action" (who coined this term?). If we examine the total spectrum of possible finger action:



the extremes are out of bounds, and are not recommended. Slow, expressive playing demands a noiseless action with no "popping" of the fingers, and is more to the left side of the spectrum. Bonade explains this well in his *Compendium*, Chapters 1 and 2. Technically brilliant passages lean more to the right side of the spectrum, and call for this "Positive Action" — not hammered, but a little more in that direction. If this approach is important in the easy keys, it is absolutely essential in the remote keys.

In working out difficult technical passages, there are several useful procedures:

1. Slow it down — this is no doubt the most important. A metronome can be helpful for steadiness and working back up to tempo.
2. Break it into small components — isolate and concentrate on the difficult skips.
3. Change the articulation — as many different ways as possible.
4. Change the accents and groupings.
5. Work back from the last notes — the last 2, last 3, 4, etc., until reassembled.

6. Dotted rhythms — 

7. Transpose — why not, at the very least, the transpositions which are helpful in the orchestra? 1/2 tone higher (B-flat on A), 1 tone higher (C on B-flat), 1 1/2 tones higher (C on A), and 1/2 tone lower (A on B-flat).

I do not wish to create any more mental hazards than we already have, but I must mention that phenomenon known as the Chromatic Progression. I wish that some musician/psychologist would explain why the thought process tends to become tangled in such passages as the cadenzas from *Le Coq d'Or* and *Peter and the Wolf*! Do we simply need to practice more studies in chromaticism? I have no ready answers, but I do offer this for trial: for once, do not read ahead or think ahead — keep the eyes where the fingers are.

One comforting thought for the performer whose techni-

que is not totally unlimited: it is not necessarily the player with the most technique who is most successful in performance. It is the player with the most nerve, or the one who is the most relaxed. Controlled technique is what succeeds in such solo passages as the fourth movement of the Shostakovich *First Symphony*.


Contemporary music poses special difficulties in disjunct skips, irregular patterns, etc. Sight-reading and retention become much greater problems, as we all know. Yet, what I consider the supreme challenge in the orchestral literature, the Alberto Ginastera *Variaciones Concertantes*, Variation 4, is not wildly non-traditional — awkward is the first word that comes to my mind. Written in C in the score, in B-flat in the part, and sometimes played on the A, the metronome marking is surely a mistake: *d.* = 132. Fortunately, I know of no conductor who takes that tempo! But to say that the variation sounds brilliant does not mean that it is well written for the clarinet. The pity is, that with a few slight changes, it would be much more playable, and would sound just as brilliant.

I wonder if the composer consulted with a clarinetist? I would stake my last dollar that he did not. Have we fallen so far from the days of Mozart/Stadler, Brahms/Mühlfeld, et al, that composer and performer have become antagonists rather than allies in creativity? That would indeed be a sad day for both.

In my fourth and last article: Expression — teaching the unteachable?

PerfectaReed

Box 594, Ardsley, N.Y. 10502



PerfectaReed enables any musician, skilled or un-skilled, to quickly adjust reeds to vibrate and play freely. Order your PerfectaReed today.

Satisfaction guaranteed or your money back!

\$60.00

N.Y. residents add sales tax

Performance and pedagogy — part IV

Expression and style

By Henry Gulick, Indiana University

After my previous articles on articulation, intonation, and technique, the ground is not quite so solid in this area. We are now dealing with certain intangibles and personal taste; so much pedagogy is achieved through actual demonstration, playing or singing, that mere words fall short of the goal.

What constitutes expression? Where are the lines between cold, warm, and maudlin? These are questions which can never be answered dogmatically. The key point is that, within limits, expression can and is being taught. I do not give up in this area; I keep thinking "Someday, this flower will bloom." For some students, it is partly a matter of maturity: just aging from the teens into the twenties brings more heart to their performance. There are many fine professional clarinetists who were known only as technicians in their college days. For the talented student, to use a crude metaphor, it is like priming a pump: a suggestion here, a demonstration there, and the student gradually takes over. One thing is sure: we must be tactful in this aspect of pedagogy. No one likes to admit to coldness, and a positive outlook is essential.

Expression Deferred?

I think that we wait too long to stress expression with the pre-college students. Too often we say or think, "When you develop more technique," or "When you have more command of the instrument." This delaying tactic is negative and defeatist.

For the young clarinetist, it begins with songs where the words are known, and preferably, have been sung by the student; this is where the trait comes most naturally. A generation or two ago, this meant Stephen Foster melodies and the like; the elementary method books were liberally sprinkled with these. Today, it may be better to use tunes which the student can relate to more easily, and there are many examples of beautiful diatonic melodies which can be used: *Yesterday*, *Bridge Over Troubled Waters*, etc. Nor is it always necessary to write them out! Both expression and relative pitch can be improved while playing by ear.

Phrasing

Before proceeding, I wish to clarify this word which has become a bit ambiguous. Bonade, in his *Compendium*, seems to use it in the sense of slow, expressive playing. I have heard string players use it as almost synonymous with bowing. But the *Harvard Dictionary* has this to say: "Properly speaking, phrasing refers to the separation of a melody into its constituent phrases," and later: "In practice the term phrasing is often applied to what is properly termed articulation." The simple and direct definition from the *Harvard Dictionary* is obviously the most suitable.

The Singer As Model

In establishing a concept, we take the singer as our model. For most *cantabile* passages "How would I sing this?" is the first and most important question. Thus, for example, the slow *Rose Studies* become Songs Without Words — this sets the stage for a lyrical approach. And speaking of *Rose*, I recommend highly the *16 Phrasing Studies*, edited by Daniel Bonade. In this book we find the odd-numbered studies from

the *Rose 32 Etudes*, with "correct phrasing marks, dynamics, punctuation and interpretation."

The next step is to consider the style of attack and release. In *dolce* passages, the attack syllable is usually D—, the gentle beginning. Releases are tapered or rounded off. All of this, of course, is quite imitative of the singer.


Line, in the sense of continuity, is the next element to be worked on. The importance of a consistent air stream cannot be overemphasized, because it gives the smooth connection between notes. At the same time, there must be no "popping" of the fingers; nothing will destroy expression and legato more than this hammering noise, and it is a very easy vice for clarinetists to fall into.

Architecture, shape, contour — so many of the phrases in the *Rose* studies are of the arch variety, almost to the point of monotony. But it is essential to see the total outline of the phrase; later, when the student has had more experience, one can experiment with other possible ways of shaping these phrases.

Inflection, stress, emphasis — we see stresses falling on long notes, notes on main beats, peak notes, etc. "Black" notes, in these slow studies. (sixteenths, thirty-seconds and the like) should be played lighter; they are only traveling notes to take us from one important note to the next important note. If all notes are made to sound equally important it becomes very wearing on the listener. Robert Donington puts it well in *The Interpretation of Early Music*: "But if the ornamental figuration has been fully written out by the composer, it all looks equally important to the eye, and the performer tends to play or sing the ornamental notes as emphatically as the structural notes. He weights them too regularly and measures them too literally. The melody is indeed obscured . . . and the effect is very ponderous and unsatisfactory." Although Donington is specifically discussing the Baroque performance practice of embellishing and filling in the melodic line, the principle has validity for later periods of music as well.

It is quite common to make these slow *Rose* studies sound too serious, almost gloomy. It should be stressed that they are to be played "with a smile," *amabile*. Of course it is only natural that freshmen would be very self-conscious at this stage; one can only hope and assume that the moth will become a butterfly.

Dynamic contrast is an important component of expression. This should be exaggerated in the *Rose* studies, both for the style, and to improve breath control.


Rubato may be involved, and basically there are two kinds: within the beat, and the beat itself. The best example of the first type is  where lingering on the first note is compensated for by hurrying the last three notes. One important concept, which may or may not entail rubato, is the juxtaposition and symmetry of Action/Repose, or Tension/Relaxation, best indicated by the arrows:



While this idea is intrinsic in many kinds of music, the first clarinet works which come to my mind are the Sutermeister *Capriccio* and the Osborne *Rhapsody*.

In the lively tempi, expression is often referred to as style; here, too, inflection is the very heart. As discussed in my ar-

ticle on articulation, the subtle stresses which are produced by using slightly more air give us the contrast so essential to artistic effect. Take an example: the first eight measures of the Mozart *Concerto*:



Many clarinetists can play the notes in tempo, with a decent sound; but how many play them with genuine style? The most common fault is that they make all notes equally important. Inflection, an overall lightness, and a dash of rhythmic vitality are the difference between outstanding and run-of-the-mill.

It has truly been said that transitions are the acid test for musicians, whether they be composer, arranger, conductor, or performer. How smoothly, how logically, do we get from here to there, from this mood into that mood? This area becomes quite subjective; one must bring to it musical understanding, a keen sense of style, and the ability to see the whole picture.

A Few Miscellaneous Points

Chewing, mushrooming, ballooning, phrasing every note — these are different ways of describing the same vice. The clarinet is rather prone to this; it is apparently due to sympathetic movement of the diaphragm. It is much more readily noticed by the listener than by the performer.

Generally, it is better to save (delay) such effects as *crescendo*/*diminuendo* and *accelerando*/*ritardando*. If done too early, the impact is diluted, and it is not as noticeable to the audience.

Another approach which is quite important is "mentally breaking up the stems." Keith Stein (*The Art of Clarinet Playing*, Chapter 14) calls this Phrase Drive, and he explains it in detail. It must be done in a subtle way, but it can make quite a difference in the total effect.

Students have a tendency to accent ornaments: exploding trills, and playing grace notes which do not sound graceful. True, there are passages where it may be appropriate to accent such ornaments, but they are definitely the exception.

The use of vibrato to enhance expression is a highly personal matter, but it has not been exploited in the American school to the extent of some other countries. I believe that we will hear increased use of this in the next generation.

Tone color can make a significant contribution to style; one can scarcely imagine playing a Brahms *Sonata* with the sound used in the Debussy *Rhapsody*, for example. The clarinet becomes a much more attractive recital instrument when careful thought is given to the possibilities in this area.

Periods and Style

Baroque — It is difficult to discuss style, when we are still facing the problem of transcription quality. In my opinion, arrangements should stay mostly in the upper register; the lower sounds do not fit, just as the A clarinet is not appropriate. Vibratoless tone with no embellishing can cause the slow movements to sound dreary. Without question, the biggest hurdle is the usual lack of rests; such mundane concerns as removing the saliva from the reed are greatly

magnified. One would think, however, that if oboists can surmount these problems, then clarinetists should be able to do likewise.

Classical — The best definitions are the short ones, and somewhere in my study of German, I found this: Classicism is Perfection (*Vollendung* or *Vollkommenheit*). Alas, of the clarinet *Concerti* and *Sonatas*, only one could be considered first-rate music; alongside the Mozart *Concerto*, the other works pale into mediocrity and are music which only a clarinetist could love.

Romantic — If Classicism is Perfection, then Romanticism is Infinity (German, *Unendlichkeit*). In terms of style, this means exaggeration of dynamics and tempo changes, as well as an increased use of *rubato*. A big sound is most suitable, so that we draw the big picture. The Schumann *Fantasy Pieces* represents the epitome of this style.

French — The Gallic temperament is the most difficult for the student to relate to. The subtle, the atmospheric, the impetuous, the nonchalant are the elusive qualities. Yet, it is rarely profound or philosophical; much of it is, in spirit, ballet music. This is the most successful concept that I have been able to discover.

Music of Recent Origin — Here we run the gamut, from the conservative and romantic, through the unexpressive and abstract, to the most avant-garde. The music must be met on its own terms. Works for clarinet alone are especially susceptible to varying interpretations; whatever those may be, the first rule is that they be performed with conviction and authority!

Personal

Thinking back on my own teachers, I have always considered Mont Arey and Gustave Langenus as Romanticists, and "expressive" is the word that comes to mind. Viktor Polatschek, on the other hand, struck me as a Classicist — "sensitive" would be the description of his playing style. Enough of that! I am becoming entangled in words and labels, and it would be ridiculous to say that both qualities cannot be found in the same performer. But why are these qualities too uncommon in today's clarinet world? At the less advanced level, a preoccupation with technical problems is the obvious answer. At the higher level, it is often the obsession with tonal projection: this feeling "If I am first clarinet, large orchestra, large auditorium, conductor does little to soften the accompaniment, then I must produce this much sound." It is one of the greatest challenges of this profession, to project and to be sensitive at the same time.

Conclusion

I ask the reader's forgiveness, for trying to dissect the heart and soul of musical performance. At the moment, I can only quote T.S. Eliot:

"Where is the wisdom we have lost in knowledge?
Where is the knowledge we have lost in information?"

It is scarcely necessary to add that all of the foregoing must sound spontaneous in the concert hall; it must not give the impression of being done by formula, premeditation, or "My teacher told me to play it this way." In a very positive sense, one must don the mystic cloak. It is a combination of mind, heart and talent which enables the artist to reach the transcendental heights.