

Department of Defense (DoD) Joint Federated Assurance Center (JFAC) Overview

Kristen Baldwin

Principal Deputy, Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Systems Engineering (DASD(SE))

17th Annual NDIA Systems Engineering Conference Springfield, VA | October 29, 2014

17th NDIA SE Conference 2014/10/29 | Page-1



Malicious Supply Chain Risk



• Threat:

 Nation-state, terrorist, criminal, or rogue developer who gains control of systems through supply chain opportunities, exploits vulnerabilities remotely, and/or degrades system behavior


• Vulnerabilities:

- All systems, networks, and applications
- Intentionally implanted logic
- Unintentional vulnerabilities maliciously exploited (e.g., poor quality or fragile code)

Consequences:

- Loss of critical data and technology
- System corruption
- Loss of confidence in critical warfighting capability; mission impact

Access points are throughout the lifecycle...




...and across multiple supply chain entry points

- Government
- Prime, subcontractors
- Vendors, commercial parts manufacturers
- 3rd party test/certification activities



DoD SW and HW Assurance Background





17th NDIA SE Conference 2014/10/29 | Page-3



Congressional Direction



403		404		405	
1~ Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632)) that are awarded contracts		1 partment and supporting policies related to software		1 (C) the requirements for the discharge by	7
$2\;$ by the Department of Defense to assist such businesses		2 assurance and supply chain risk management.		2 the federation, in coordination with the Center	£
3 to		3 (b) Discharge of Establishment.—In providing		3 for Assured Software of the National Security	7
4 (1) understand the gravity and scope of cyber		4 for the establishment of the federation, the Secretary shall		4 Agency, of a program of research and develop-	-
5 threats;		5 consider whether the purpose of the federation can be met		5 ment to improve automated software code vul-	-
6 (2) develop a plan to protect intellectual prop-		$6\;$ by existing centers in the Department. If the Department		6 nerability analysis and testing tools;	
7 erty; and		7 determines that there are capabilities gaps that cannot be		7 (D) the requirements for the federation to	э
8 (3) develop a plan to protect the networks of		8 satisfied by existing centers, the Department shall devise		8 procure, manage, and distribute enterprise li-	-
9 such businesses.		9 a strategy for creating and providing resources for such		9 censes for automated software vulnerability	y
10 sec. 937. Joint federated centers for trusted de-		10 capabilities to fill such gaps.		10 analysis tools; and	
11 FENSE SYSTEMS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF		11 (c) CHARTER.—Not later than 180 days after the		ts for the discharge by	9
12 DEFENSE.		12 date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall issue		NDAA 2017) ation with the Defense	е
13 (a) Federation Required.—		 (c) CHARTER.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall ison a charter for the federation. The secretary shall ison Act for Fiscal Year 201 Act for Fiscal Defense Centers for Trusted Defense Defense (A) the role of the federation in supporting program offices in implementing the trusted de- 	4	of a program of re-	-
14 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense		14 For Fiscal Tea	S	vsteins a improve hardward	е
15 shall provide for the establishment of a joint for		Trusted Derche		esting, and protection tools.	
16 tion of capabilities to a see Autho	JL	Conters for Trus	-1	(d) REPORT.—The Secretary shall submit to the con-	-
17 tional Detense for derat	ed	Center of Delene	1	17 gressional defense committees, at the time of the submitta	d _
18 National Joint Feucra	1	Department	1	18 to Congress of the budget of the President for fiscal year	r
¹⁹ Sec. 937 30	_	(A) the role of the federation in supporting	1	19 2016 pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, United States	s
20 accent-wide federa-		20 program offices in implementing the trusted de-	2	20 Code, a report on the funding and management of the fed	l-
21 and a support the trusted defense		21 fense systems strategy of the Department;	2	21 eration. The report shall set forth such recommendations	s
22 system needs of the Department to ensure security		(B) the software and hardware assurance	2	22 as the Secretary considers appropriate regarding the opti	i-
23 in the software and hardware developed, acquired,		23 expertise and capabilities of the federation, in-		23 mal placement of the federation within the organizationa	ıl
24 maintained, and used by the Department, pursuant		24 cluding policies, standards, requirements, best		24 structure of the Department, including responsibility for	r
25 to the trusted defense systems strategy of the De-		25 practices, contracting, training, and testing;		25 the funding and management of the federation.	



NDAA 937 Approach and Status



Congress, through NDAA 2014 Section 937, directed DoD to:

"...provide for the establishment of a joint federation of capabilities to support the trusted defense system needs...to ensure security in the software and hardware developed, acquired, maintained, and used by the Department."

Approach:

- Establish a Federation of HwA and SwA capabilities to support programs in program protection planning and execution
- Support program offices across the life cycle by identifying and facilitating access to Department SwA and HwA expertise and capabilities, policies, guidance, requirements, best practices, contracting language, training, and testing support
- Coordinate with DoD R&D for SwA & HwA
- Procure, manage, and distribute enterprise licenses for SW and HW assurance tools

Status:

- Charter under review for DepSecDef signature
- 937 Congressional Report in process and on track
- Working concept of operations, capability map, and capability gap analysis
- Initial capability on track for 2015

Implementing Section 937 through a DoD Joint Federated Assurance Center



Charter Mapping to Section 937 Language



• Key provisions:

- "provide for the establishment of a joint federation of capabilities to support the trusted defense system needs...to ensure security in the <u>software</u> and <u>hardware</u> developed, acquired, maintained, and used by the Department"
- "consider whether capabilities can be met by existing centers"
- "[if gaps] shall devise a strategy
 [for] resources [to fill such gaps]"
- "[NLT 180 days, SECDEF shall] issue a <u>charter</u>…"
- "submit to congressional defense committees...a <u>report</u> on funding and management"

- Charter elements:
 - Role of federation in supporting program offices
 - SwA and HwA expertise and capabilities of the Federation, including policies, standards, requirements, best practices contracting, training and testing
 - R&D program with NSA Center for Assured Software to improve code vulnerability analysis and testing tools
 - Requirements to procure manage, and distribute enterprise licenses for analysis tools
 - R&D program with DMEA to improve hardware vulnerability, testing, and protection tools

Establishes a Federation of Software and Hardware Assurance Capabilities Across DoD



JFAC Goals and Functions



• Goals

- Operationalize and institutionalize assurance capabilities in support of PMOs and other organizations
- Organize to better leverage the DoD, interagency, and public/private sector capabilities in hardware and software assurance
- Collaborate across the DoD to influence R&D investments in hardware and software assurance capability gaps
- Evaluate, over time, the impact of DoD investments and activities in support of assurance

• Functions:

- Support Program Offices and Systems across the Lifecycle
- Sustain an inventory of SwA and HwA resources across DoD
- Coordinate the R&D agenda for assurance (hardware, software, systems, services, mission) across DoD
- Procure, manage and enable access to enterprise licenses for selected automated software vulnerability analysis and other tools
- Communicate assurance expectations to the broader community



JFAC Stakeholders




• Steering Committee

- USD AT&L
- DoD CIO
- Department of Army
- Missile Defense Agency
- Department of Navy
- Defense Information Systems Agency
- Department of Air Force
- National Reconnaissance Office
- National Security Agency
- Defense Microelectronics Activity

• Working Groups

- Advisory Working Group assigned by above organizations
- Software and Hardware Working Groups consisting of key service providers
- Coordination Activity

Joint Federated Assurance Center



Intent is to federate existing DoD capabilities, ensure sharing of best practices, and provide visibility to programs

17th NDIA SE Conference 2014/10/29 | Page-8





- Reduce risk and costs to programs through maturing software assurance tools, techniques and processes
- Assurance issue resolution through collaboration across the community (federated problem solving)
- Leverage commercial products and methods, and spur innovation
- Incorporate SwA and HwA in contracts for enhanced program protection
- Raise the bar on reducing defects and vulnerabilities in developed SW through SwA and HwA Standardization
- Heighten SwA visibility through outreach, mentoring, training and education
- Assess capability gaps over time and recommend plans to close


17th NDIA SE Conference 2014/10/29 | Page-9



JFAC Hardware-Focused Customer Interactions




Counterfeit, Re-cycled E-waste, Blacktopped, Potential Malicious, Clones and Substitutions





JFAC Software-Focused Customer Interactions





17th NDIA SE Conference 2014/10/29 | Page-11







• JFAC is a federation of existing capabilities

- To support cross-cutting needs
- To maximize use of available resources
- R&D is a key component of JFAC operation
- Innovation of SW and HW inspection, analysis, detection, assessment, and remediation tools is vital
- How can industry help
 - Share assurance metrics and best practices
 - Continue to improve SW and HW assurance capability
 - Develop and maintain SW and HW assurance standards





Kristen Baldwin PD, DASD(SE) 703-614-4514 | kristen.j.baldwin.civ@mail.mil

Thomas Hurt

Deputy Director, Software Assurance, DASD(SE) 571-372-6129 | thomas.d.hurt.civ@mail.mil

17th NDIA SE Conference 2014/10/29 | Page-13



Systems Engineering: Critical to Defense Acquisition





Defense Innovation Marketplace http://www.defenseinnovationmarketplace.mil

DASD, Systems Engineering http://www.acq.osd.mil/se

17th NDIA SE Conference 2014/10/29 | Page-14