



Technical information:

Employment: (202) 691-6559 USDL 06-461

<http://www.bls.gov/sae/>

Unemployment: 691-6392

<http://www.bls.gov/lau/>

For release: 10:00 A.M. EST

Media contact: 691-5902

Friday, March 17, 2006

## **METROPOLITAN AREA EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT: JANUARY 2006**

Unemployment rates were lower in January than a year earlier in 336 of the 367 metropolitan areas, higher in 26 areas, and unchanged in 5 areas, the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U.S. Department of Labor reported today. Ten metropolitan areas registered jobless rates below 3.0 percent, and nine areas recorded rates of more than 10.0 percent. The national unemployment rate was 5.1 percent, not seasonally adjusted, down from 5.7 percent a year earlier.

### Metropolitan Area Unemployment (Not Seasonally Adjusted)

In January, 72 metropolitan areas posted unemployment rates below 4.0 percent, up from 27 areas a year earlier, while 42 areas reported unemployment rates of at least 7.0 percent, down from 74 areas in January 2005. Honolulu, Hawaii, registered the lowest jobless rate, 2.2 percent, closely followed by Cape Coral-Fort Myers, Fla., and Naples-Marco Island, Fla., 2.3 and 2.4 percent, respectively. Gulfport-Biloxi, Miss., again recorded the highest unemployment rate in January, 17.9 percent. The next highest rates were reported in Pascagoula, Miss., 13.8 percent, and El Centro, Calif., 13.3 percent. Overall, 199 areas had unemployment rates below the U.S. rate of 5.1 percent, 156 areas registered higher rates, and 12 areas had the same rate. (See table 1 and the map.)

The largest over-the-year jobless rate decrease for January was posted in Janesville, Wis. (-4.2 percentage points). The next largest rate declines were reported in El Centro, Calif. (-2.5 percentage points), and Sebastian-Vero Beach, Fla. (-2.4 points). Seven other areas recorded over-the-year unemployment rate decreases of 2.0 percentage points or more, and 93 additional areas had rate decreases of at least 1.0 percentage point from January 2005. Two Mississippi areas that were impacted by Hurricane Katrina registered the largest rate increases from January 2005—Gulfport-Biloxi (+11.6 percentage points) and Pascagoula (+6.4 points). The only other area to report an over-the-year rate increase of 1.0 percentage point or more was New Orleans-Metairie-Kenner, La. (+1.9 points). In January, 215 areas recorded rate declines over the year that were greater than or equal to that of the nation (-0.6 percentage point).

Of the 49 metropolitan areas with a Census 2000 population of 1 million or more, Orlando-Kissimmee, Fla., and Washington-Arlington-Alexandria, D.C.-Va.-Md.-W.Va., posted the lowest unemployment rates in January, 3.0 and 3.1 percent, respectively. The next lowest rates were recorded in Jacksonville, Fla., and Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, Fla., 3.2 percent each, Miami-Fort Lauderdale-Miami Beach, Fla., 3.3 percent, and Richmond, Va., 3.4 percent. The highest jobless rates among the large areas again were reported in New Orleans-Metairie-Kenner, La., 7.8 percent, and Detroit-Warren-Livonia, Mich., 7.6 percent. The next highest rates were recorded in Louisville-Jefferson County, Ky.-Ind., 6.5 percent, and

Memphis, Tenn.-Miss.-Ark., and Providence-Fall River-Warwick, R.I.-Mass., 6.1 percent each. Forty-six large areas had lower unemployment rates than a year earlier, and three registered higher rates. The largest over-the-year jobless rate decreases were posted in Jacksonville, Fla. (-1.3 percentage points), and Miami-Fort Lauderdale-Miami Beach, Fla., Orlando-Kissimmee, Fla., Portland-Vancouver-Beaverton, Ore.-Wash., and Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, Fla. (-1.2 points each). Five additional large areas experienced over-the-year rate declines of at least 1.0 percentage point. The largest rate increase from January 2005 was reported by New Orleans-Metairie-Kenner, La. (+1.9 percentage points). No other large area had a jobless rate increase greater than 0.3 percentage point.

#### Metropolitan Division Unemployment (Not Seasonally Adjusted)

Eleven of the most populous metropolitan areas are composed of 34 metropolitan divisions, which are essentially separately identifiable employment centers. Bethesda-Gaithersburg-Frederick, Md., posted the lowest unemployment rate in January, 3.0 percent, followed closely by Fort Lauderdale-Pompano Beach-Deerfield Beach, Fla., and Washington-Arlington-Alexandria, D.C.-Va.-Md.-W.Va., 3.1 percent each. West Palm Beach-Boca Raton-Boynton Beach, Fla., recorded the next lowest rate, 3.2 percent. The highest unemployment rate among divisions continued to be reported in Detroit-Livonia-Dearborn, Mich., 8.9 percent, and Lawrence-Methuen-Salem, Mass.-N.H., 8.2 percent. (See table 2.)

Over the year, January jobless rates were lower in 30 of the 34 metropolitan divisions, higher in 3 divisions, and unchanged in 1. Unemployment rates were down by 1.0 percentage point or more in five of the metropolitan divisions. The largest rate decreases were posted in Tacoma, Wash., and West Palm Beach-Boca Raton-Boynton Beach, Fla. (-1.4 percentage points each). The other two divisions in the Miami-Fort Lauderdale-Miami Beach, Fla. metropolitan area—Fort Lauderdale-Pompano Beach-Deerfield Beach and Miami-Miami Beach-Kendall—had the next largest rate decreases from January 2005 (-1.2 percentage points each). Gary, Ind., was the only other division to record a rate decrease of at least 1.0 percentage point. None of the divisions reported a rate increase from a year earlier greater than 0.3 percentage point.

For 5 of the 11 metropolitan areas that contain divisions, the ranges between the highest and lowest division unemployment rates were 1.0 percentage point or more. The metropolitan areas that had the widest rate ranges among their divisions were Boston-Cambridge-Quincy, Mass.-N.H. (Lawrence-Methuen-Salem, Mass.-N.H., 8.2 percent, compared with Nashua, N.H., 4.0 percent), and Detroit-Warren-Livonia, Mich. (Detroit-Livonia-Dearborn, 8.9 percent, compared with Warren-Troy-Farmington Hills, 6.7 percent).

#### Metropolitan Area Nonfarm Employment (Not Seasonally Adjusted)

In January 2006, 331 metropolitan areas recorded over-the-year increases in nonfarm payroll employment, 30 reported decreases, and 6 had no change. The largest over-the-year employment gains were posted in Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale, Ariz. (+111,800), Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington, Texas (+97,000), New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island, N.Y.-N.J.-Pa. (+91,100), and Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana, Calif. (+79,900). The largest percentage increase in employment was reported in St. George, Utah (+10.2 percent), followed by Yuba City, Calif. (+7.6 percent), and Las Vegas-Paradise, Nev., and Prescott, Ariz. (+7.2 percent each). (See table 3.)

Large over-the-year decreases in employment in New Orleans-Metairie-Kenner, La. (-197,500), and Gulfport-Biloxi, Miss. (-22,900), reflect the impact of Hurricane Katrina, which struck the Gulf Coast in late August. The largest over-the-year percentage declines in employment were reported in New Orleans-Metairie-Kenner, La. (-32.6 percent), Gulfport-Biloxi, Miss. (-20.3 percent), Spartanburg, S.C. (-4.6 percent), and Anderson, S.C. (-4.1 percent).

Over the year, nonfarm employment rose in 34 of the 36 metropolitan areas with annual average employment levels above 750,000 in 2005. The largest over-the-year percentage increases in employment in these large metropolitan areas occurred in Las Vegas-Paradise, Nev. (+7.2 percent), Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale, Ariz. (+6.5 percent), Orlando-Kissimmee, Fla. (+4.7 percent), and Seattle-Tacoma-Bellevue, Wash. (+4.2 percent). Among the largest areas, the only over-the-year percentage decreases in employment were recorded in Milwaukee-Waukesha-West Allis, Wis. (-0.9 percent), and Detroit-Warren-Livonia, Mich. (-0.5 percent).

#### Metropolitan Division Nonfarm Employment (Not Seasonally Adjusted)

Nonfarm payroll employment data were available in January 2006 for 32 metropolitan divisions, which are essentially separately identifiable employment centers within a metropolitan area. Twenty-nine of the 32 metropolitan divisions reported over-the-year employment increases, while 3 reported decreases. The largest over-the-year increase in the metropolitan divisions occurred in Dallas-Plano-Irving, Texas (+75,600), followed by New York-White Plains-Wayne, N.Y.-N.J. (+57,600), Washington-Arlington-Alexandria, D.C.-Va.-Md.-W.Va. (+56,000), and Seattle-Bellevue-Everett, Wash. (+55,900). Over-the-year employment losses were recorded in Detroit-Livonia-Dearborn, Mich. (-9,800), Warren-Troy-Farmington Hills, Mich. (-900), and Brockton-Bridgewater-Easton, Mass. (-100). (See table 4.)

The largest over-the-year percentage increases in employment among the metropolitan divisions were reported in Fort Lauderdale-Pompano Beach-Deerfield Beach, Fla. (+4.5 percent), Seattle-Bellevue-Everett, Wash. (+4.2 percent), Dallas-Plano-Irving, Texas (+4.0 percent), and Tacoma, Wash. (+3.9 percent). Over-the-year percentage decreases in employment among the divisions were reported for Detroit-Livonia-Dearborn, Mich. (-1.2 percent), and Brockton-Bridgewater-Easton, Mass., and Warren-Troy-Farmington Hills, Mich. (-0.1 percent each).

---

The Regional and State Employment and Unemployment release for February is scheduled to be issued on March 30. The Metropolitan Area Employment and Unemployment release for February is scheduled to be issued on April 5.

#### **Revisions to Metropolitan Area Employment and Unemployment Data Series**

The metropolitan area labor force and unemployment estimates presented in tables 1 and 2 of this release have been revised for 2005 to incorporate updated inputs and to reflect new state totals. Estimates on the BLS Web site have not been revised and do not match the estimates for January or December 2005 in this release. Those data will be released according to the annual processing schedule provided at <http://www.bls.gov/lau/launews1.htm>.

In addition, all nonfarm payroll employment estimates for states, metropolitan areas, and metropolitan divisions presented in tables 3 and 4 of this release have been revised to reflect 2005 benchmark levels. For most states, areas, and divisions, data back to April 2004 were subject to revision. For information on benchmark procedures, see <http://www.bls.gov/sae/2005bmk.htm>.

Effective with this release, the titles of several metropolitan areas and divisions have been changed to reflect updates in Office of Management and Budget Bulletin Nos. 05-02, issued on February 22, 2005, and 06-01, issued on December 5, 2005. For specific information on the title changes, see the above-mentioned bulletins, available at <http://www.bls.gov/lau/lausmsa.htm> or call (202) 691-6392.

### **Elimination of Monthly Revisions to Local Area Unemployment Statistics Data**

Beginning with the release of February 2006 data, the Local Area Unemployment Statistics (LAUS) program will discontinue regular revisions to monthly estimates. Instead of producing “preliminary” and “revised” estimates (tables 1 and 2) for each month, LAUS will produce a single monthly estimate that is subject to revision at the beginning of the following calendar year. This change, which results from reduced funding as part of the rescission in the BLS fiscal year 2006 appropriation, applies to all of the more than 7,200 LAUS geographic areas. This change does not affect nonfarm payroll employment estimates, which will continue to be presented on a preliminary and revised basis (tables 3 and 4) each month.

### **Hurricane Katrina and Revisions to January 2006 Metropolitan Area Labor Force Estimates**

In January, BLS and its state partners again made modifications to the usual estimation procedures for the LAUS program to reflect the impact of Hurricane Katrina on the labor force statistics in affected areas. These modifications included: (1) allowing the state labor force estimates for Louisiana and Mississippi to reflect the effects of Katrina by overriding the built-in feature of the methodology that smoothes over large shifts in key inputs unemployment insurance claims and nonfarm wage and salary employment; (2) modifying the state population controls to account for displacement due to Katrina; (3) developing labor force estimates for the New Orleans-Metairie-Kenner metropolitan area using an alternative to the model-based method; and (4) not publishing labor force estimates for the parishes within the New Orleans-Metairie-Kenner metropolitan area or cities within those parishes where the quality of input data was severely compromised by the hurricane.

Estimates in this release may differ from those already published by some states because of an adjustment made by BLS. On February 24, BLS released January 2006 labor force estimates for states and modeled areas to its cooperating state partners as part of regular monthly production. These original estimates included model adjustments to account for the impact of Hurricane Katrina on the Louisiana and West South Central division unemployment estimates. Based on a subsequent review of the estimates, however, BLS determined that the adjustment for Louisiana’s unemployment was not warranted and performed reestimation without that adjustment. This change had a fairly large impact on the other three states in the West South Central division and, due to real-time benchmarking, small impacts on the other states across the nation. Some states—which independently set their publication schedules—released estimates at both the statewide and substate levels, prior to the BLS corrections.

For more information on LAUS procedures and estimates for January 2006, see *Hurricane Information: Katrina and Rita* on the BLS Web site at <http://www.bls.gov/katrina/home.htm> or call (202) 691-6392.



# Technical Note

This release presents labor force and unemployment data from the Local Area Unemployment Statistics (LAUS) program (tables 1 and 2) for 375 metropolitan statistical areas and metropolitan New England City and Town Areas (NECTAs), including those in Puerto Rico. Estimates for over 30 metropolitan and NECTA divisions also are presented. Nonfarm payroll employment estimates from the Current Employment Statistics (CES) program (tables 3 and 4) are provided for the same areas. State estimates were previously published in the news release, *Regional and State Employment and Unemployment*, and are republished in this release for ease of reference. The LAUS and CES programs are both federal-state cooperative endeavors.

## Labor force and unemployment—from the LAUS program

**Definitions.** The labor force and unemployment data are based on the same concepts and definitions as those used for the official national estimates obtained from the Current Population Survey (CPS), a sample survey of households that is conducted for the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) by the U.S. Census Bureau. The labor force includes both the employed and the unemployed. Employed persons are those who did any work at all for pay or profit in the survey reference week (the week including the 12th of the month) or worked 15 hours or more without pay in a family business or farm, plus those not working who had a job from which they were temporarily absent, whether or not paid, for such reasons as labor-management dispute, illness, or vacation. Unemployed persons are those who did not work at all (in the reference week), had actively looked for a job (sometime in the 4-week period ending with the survey reference week), and were currently available for work; persons on layoff expecting recall need not be looking for work to be counted as unemployed.

**Method of estimation.** Effective January 2005, estimates for all census divisions, states, the District of Columbia, the Los Angeles-Long-Beach-Glendale metropolitan division, and New York City were produced using updated time-series models with real-time benchmarking. Model-based estimation was extended to the following areas and respective balances-of-state: Chicago-Naperville-Joliet, IL Metropolitan Division; Cleveland-Elyria-Mentor, OH Metropolitan Statistical Area; Detroit-Warren-Livonia, MI Metropolitan Statistical Area; Miami-Miami-Beach-Kendall, FL Metropolitan Division; New Orleans-Metairie-Kenner, LA Metropolitan Statistical Area; and Seattle-Bellevue-Everett, WA Metropolitan Division. This will improve the statistical basis of the estimation for these areas and provide important tools for analysis, such as measures of errors and seasonally adjusted series. For all other substate areas, estimates are prepared through indirect estimation procedures. Employment estimates, which are based largely on “place of work” estimates from the CES program, are adjusted to refer to place of residence as used in the CPS. Unemployment estimates are aggregates of persons previously employed in industries covered by state unemployment (UI) laws and entrants to the labor force data from the CPS. The substate estimates of employment and unemployment, which geographically exhaust the entire state, are adjusted proportionally to ensure that they add to the independently estimated state or balance-of-state totals. A detailed description of the estimation procedures is available from BLS upon request.

**Annual revisions.** Labor force and unemployment data shown for the prior year reflect adjustments made at the end of each year, usually implemented with January estimates. The adjusted estimates reflect updated population data from the U.S. Census Bureau, any revisions in the other data sources, and model reestimation at the state and selected area level. All substate estimates are adjusted to add to the revised model-based estimates.

## Employment—from the CES program

**Definitions.** Employment data refer to persons on establishment payrolls who receive pay for any part of the pay period that includes the 12th of the month. Persons are counted at their place of work rather than at their place of residence; those appearing on more than one payroll are counted on each payroll. Industries are classified on the basis of their principal activity in accordance with the 2002 version of the North American Industry Classification System.

**Method of estimation.** The employment data are estimated using a “link relative” technique in which a ratio (link relative) of current-month employment to that of the previous month is computed from a sample of establishments reporting for both months. The estimates of employment for the current month are obtained by multiplying the estimates for the previous month by these ratios. Small-domain models are used as the official estimators for the approximately 10 percent of CES published series which have insufficient sample for direct sample-based estimates.

**Annual revisions.** Employment estimates are adjusted annually to a complete count of jobs, called benchmarks, derived principally from tax reports that are submitted by employers who are covered under state unemployment insurance (UI) laws. The benchmark information is used to adjust the monthly estimates between the new benchmark and the preceding one and also to establish the level of employment for the new benchmark month. Thus, the benchmarking process establishes the level of employment, and the sample is used to measure the month-to-month changes in the level for the subsequent months.

## Reliability of the estimates

The estimates presented in this release are based on sample survey and administrative data and thus are subject to sampling and other types of errors. Sampling error is a measure of sampling variability—that is, variation that occurs by chance because a sample rather than the entire population is surveyed. Survey data are also subject to nonsampling errors, such as those which can be introduced into the data collection and processing operations. Estimates not directly derived from sample surveys are subject to additional errors resulting from the special estimation processes used. The sums of individual items may not always equal the totals shown in the same tables because of rounding. With respect to the LAUS program, unemployment rates are computed from unrounded data rather than from data that may be displayed in the tables; differences, however, are generally insignificant.

**Labor force and unemployment estimates.** Model-based error measures, including for over-the-month change, are available for states on the BLS Web site at <http://www.bls.gov/lau/lastderr.htm>. Measures of nonsampling error are not available, but additional information on the subject is provided in the BLS monthly periodical, *Employment and Earnings*.

**Employment estimates.** Measures of sampling error for state CES data at the supersector level and for metropolitan area CES data at the total nonfarm level are available on the BLS Web site at <http://www.bls.gov/sae/790stderr.htm>. Information on recent benchmark revisions for states is available at <http://www.bls.gov/sae>.

### **Area definitions**

The substate area data published in this release reflect the standards and definitions established by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget on February 18, 2004. A detailed list of the geographic definitions is available on the Web at <http://www.bls.gov/lau/lausmsa.htm> and also is published annually in the May issue of *Employment and Earnings*.

### **Additional information**

More complete information on the technical procedures used to develop these estimates and additional data appear in *Employment and Earnings*, which is available by subscription from the Super-

intendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402 (telephone 202-512-1800).

Estimates of unadjusted and seasonally adjusted labor force and unemployment data for states, census regions and divisions, and eight areas are available in the news release, *Regional and State Employment and Unemployment*. Estimates of labor force and unemployment for all states, metropolitan areas, labor market areas, counties, cities with a population of 25,000 or more, and other areas used in the administration of various federal economic assistance programs are available on the BLS Web site at <http://www.bls.gov/lau>. Employment data from the CES program are available at <http://www.bls.gov/sae/>.

Information in this release will be made available to sensory impaired individuals upon request. Voice phone: 202-691-5200; TDD message referral phone: 1-800-877-8339.

**LABOR FORCE DATA  
NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED**

**LABOR FORCE DATA  
NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED**

**Table 1. Civilian labor force and unemployment by state and metropolitan area**

(Numbers in thousands)

State and area	Civilian labor force			Unemployed					
				Number			Percent of labor force		
	Jan. 2005	Dec. 2005	Jan. 2006	Jan. 2005	Dec. 2005	Jan. 2006	Jan. 2005	Dec. 2005	Jan. 2006
<b>Alabama</b> .....	2,129.0	2,175.3	2,155.2	102.5	70.4	87.8	4.8	3.2	4.1
Anniston-Oxford .....	53.8	54.2	53.8	2.6	1.8	2.2	4.8	3.3	4.1
Auburn-Opelika .....	62.5	65.1	64.2	2.4	1.6	2.0	3.9	2.5	3.2
Birmingham-Hoover .....	528.5	539.5	532.3	22.7	16.3	20.4	4.3	3.0	3.8
Decatur .....	71.7	72.2	71.8	3.7	2.5	3.1	5.1	3.4	4.3
Dothan .....	64.9	66.1	65.5	2.8	1.8	2.3	4.3	2.7	3.6
Florence-Muscle Shoals .....	65.8	68.0	67.7	3.7	2.6	3.2	5.7	3.8	4.7
Gadsden .....	46.5	47.9	47.3	2.5	1.6	2.0	5.3	3.4	4.2
Huntsville .....	192.4	197.6	195.4	7.4	5.3	6.7	3.9	2.7	3.4
Mobile .....	178.2	183.6	181.6	9.5	6.5	7.9	5.4	3.5	4.4
Montgomery .....	165.6	169.9	168.1	7.9	5.6	7.0	4.8	3.3	4.1
Tuscaloosa .....	93.5	96.6	95.3	4.0	2.7	3.5	4.3	2.8	3.6
<b>Alaska</b> .....	334.0	338.9	337.5	26.8	23.4	26.1	8.0	6.9	7.7
Anchorage .....	183.0	188.6	186.0	11.8	10.5	11.8	6.4	5.6	6.4
Fairbanks .....	43.2	45.1	43.7	3.1	2.6	3.0	7.1	5.8	6.8
<b>Arizona</b> .....	2,788.7	2,886.8	2,880.5	129.2	122.0	144.2	4.6	4.2	5.0
Flagstaff .....	64.9	67.1	66.5	3.9	3.4	4.1	6.0	5.1	6.2
Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale .....	1,876.7	1,952.9	1,948.8	78.9	72.4	87.5	4.2	3.7	4.5
Prescott .....	86.5	90.6	90.0	3.7	3.3	4.1	4.2	3.7	4.5
Tucson .....	433.4	441.2	438.9	19.5	18.5	22.4	4.5	4.2	5.1
Yuma .....	72.2	75.8	75.7	7.8	9.4	8.8	10.7	12.4	11.6
<b>Arkansas</b> .....	1,312.5	1,369.0	1,368.6	79.5	59.7	69.3	6.1	4.4	5.1
Fayetteville-Springdale-Rogers .....	211.7	226.8	227.1	7.5	5.6	6.9	3.5	2.5	3.0
Fort Smith .....	132.8	138.9	138.3	7.0	5.7	6.0	5.3	4.1	4.3
Hot Springs .....	40.7	41.7	41.4	2.6	1.8	2.1	6.5	4.4	5.0
Jonesboro .....	55.2	57.6	57.3	3.3	2.5	2.9	6.0	4.4	5.1
Little Rock-North Little Rock .....	326.3	343.9	340.4	17.1	12.9	14.8	5.2	3.7	4.3
Pine Bluff .....	46.4	46.8	47.1	3.9	3.0	3.5	8.5	6.5	7.4
<b>California</b> .....	17,506.5	17,805.1	17,658.7	1,079.2	853.3	936.3	6.2	4.8	5.3
Bakersfield .....	317.4	326.8	323.8	32.7	25.7	28.0	10.3	7.9	8.6
Chico .....	98.1	99.6	99.3	7.7	5.8	6.9	7.9	5.8	6.9
El Centro .....	59.9	62.7	61.3	9.5	8.3	8.1	15.8	13.1	13.3
Fresno .....	400.2	402.1	396.9	45.6	33.5	37.6	11.4	8.3	9.5
Hanford-Corcoran .....	53.2	53.2	53.9	6.5	4.6	5.6	12.2	8.6	10.4
Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana .....	6,358.6	6,499.8	6,432.8	354.0	296.6	316.0	5.6	4.6	4.9
Madera .....	60.3	61.4	60.9	5.9	4.5	4.8	9.8	7.3	7.9
Merced .....	96.5	100.8	98.7	12.4	9.4	11.0	12.8	9.3	11.1
Modesto .....	223.2	229.7	226.9	22.2	16.8	19.2	10.0	7.3	8.4
Napa .....	69.8	71.0	70.5	3.6	2.8	3.0	5.2	4.0	4.3
Oxnard-Thousand Oaks-Ventura .....	415.8	420.9	419.4	22.8	17.8	19.2	5.5	4.2	4.6
Redding .....	82.6	81.9	82.4	7.1	5.2	6.3	8.5	6.4	7.7
Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario .....	1,694.3	1,735.5	1,723.8	92.1	72.7	78.9	5.4	4.2	4.6
Sacramento—Arden-Arcade—Roseville .....	1,014.1	1,033.9	1,032.6	54.4	42.3	48.9	5.4	4.1	4.7
Salinas .....	196.5	196.5	194.3	23.6	18.4	20.9	12.0	9.4	10.8
San Diego-Carlsbad-San Marcos .....	1,496.0	1,519.2	1,508.4	69.2	55.2	60.7	4.6	3.6	4.0
San Francisco-Oakland-Fremont .....	2,177.5	2,195.0	2,174.6	115.3	87.5	96.6	5.3	4.0	4.4
San Jose-Sunnyvale-Santa Clara .....	849.4	848.7	841.8	52.4	38.5	42.8	6.2	4.5	5.1
San Luis Obispo-Paso Robles .....	129.9	131.0	130.2	6.2	4.8	5.3	4.8	3.6	4.0
Santa Barbara-Santa Maria .....	207.8	213.1	208.8	11.3	8.8	9.6	5.4	4.1	4.6
Santa Cruz-Watsonville .....	142.2	141.8	140.7	12.5	9.1	10.6	8.8	6.4	7.5
Santa Rosa-Petaluma .....	253.4	256.6	254.2	12.6	9.6	10.9	5.0	3.8	4.3
Stockton .....	280.6	283.9	282.9	26.0	19.9	22.5	9.3	7.0	7.9
Vallejo-Fairfield .....	207.1	212.0	211.0	12.7	9.8	11.1	6.1	4.6	5.3
Visalia-Porterville .....	180.9	183.4	182.0	22.3	16.8	18.3	12.3	9.2	10.1
Yuba City .....	64.7	67.5	67.5	7.4	5.8	6.6	11.4	8.6	9.8
<b>Colorado</b> .....	2,511.9	2,561.8	2,548.1	140.3	114.8	131.4	5.6	4.5	5.2
Boulder .....	165.9	168.8	167.0	8.1	6.5	7.4	4.9	3.8	4.4
Colorado Springs .....	294.7	300.1	299.1	16.9	14.2	16.1	5.7	4.7	5.4
Denver-Aurora .....	1,288.0	1,315.9	1,307.4	74.0	60.6	68.9	5.7	4.6	5.3
Fort Collins-Loveland .....	159.6	165.3	162.6	7.8	6.8	7.8	4.9	4.1	4.8
Grand Junction .....	68.4	70.9	69.8	4.0	2.9	3.4	5.9	4.1	4.8
Greeley .....	107.2	110.4	110.1	6.3	5.1	5.7	5.9	4.6	5.2
Pueblo .....	68.0	68.5	68.2	5.1	4.2	4.6	7.5	6.1	6.8
<b>Connecticut</b> .....	1,789.1	1,812.6	1,803.1	95.8	76.1	91.3	5.4	4.2	5.1
Bridgeport-Stamford-Norwalk .....	452.9	460.3	457.9	22.3	17.6	22.2	4.9	3.8	4.8
Danbury .....	88.1	89.9	88.9	3.6	2.8	3.4	4.1	3.1	3.8
Hartford-West Hartford-East Hartford .....	562.5	568.9	566.2	31.8	25.0	29.6	5.7	4.4	5.2
New Haven .....	298.3	301.1	299.1	16.0	12.8	15.0	5.4	4.3	5.0
Norwich-New London .....	146.3	148.1	147.5	7.1	6.1	7.1	4.9	4.1	4.8
Waterbury .....	99.2	99.9	99.5	6.9	5.6	6.5	7.0	5.6	6.5

See footnotes at end of table.

**LABOR FORCE DATA  
NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED**

**LABOR FORCE DATA  
NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED**

**Table 1. Civilian labor force and unemployment by state and metropolitan area—Continued**

(Numbers in thousands)

State and area	Civilian labor force			Unemployed					
				Number			Percent of labor force		
	Jan. 2005	Dec. 2005	Jan. 2006	Jan. 2005	Dec. 2005	Jan. 2006	Jan. 2005	Dec. 2005	Jan. 2006
<b>Delaware</b> .....	431.0	443.9	442.7	19.6	18.1	19.0	4.5	4.1	4.3
Dover .....	71.5	74.4	74.5	2.5	2.7	2.8	3.5	3.6	3.8
<b>District of Columbia</b> .....	293.9	292.0	290.7	20.6	16.7	15.8	7.0	5.7	5.4
Washington-Arlington-Alexandria .....	2,825.5	2,890.2	2,897.1	104.9	82.0	89.9	3.7	2.8	3.1
<b>Florida</b> .....	8,456.2	8,729.7	8,715.7	366.8	257.0	271.3	4.3	2.9	3.1
Cape Coral-Fort Myers .....	258.5	273.8	274.4	9.0	6.0	6.3	3.5	2.2	2.3
Deltona-Daytona Beach-Ormond Beach .....	234.2	241.0	241.3	10.2	6.7	7.3	4.3	2.8	3.0
Fort Walton Beach-Crestview-Destin .....	92.0	95.9	96.0	3.2	2.3	2.5	3.5	2.4	2.6
Gainesville .....	124.2	127.2	127.1	4.1	2.9	3.2	3.3	2.3	2.5
Jacksonville .....	609.9	630.7	627.1	27.2	18.8	20.3	4.5	3.0	3.2
Lakeland .....	252.5	260.5	260.3	11.4	8.0	8.5	4.5	3.1	3.3
Miami-Fort Lauderdale-Miami Beach .....	2,632.2	2,704.3	2,697.2	119.2	87.7	88.5	4.5	3.2	3.3
Naples-Marco Island .....	142.3	148.3	149.1	4.7	3.4	3.6	3.3	2.3	2.4
Ocala .....	120.5	124.7	124.5	5.0	3.5	3.8	4.2	2.8	3.0
Orlando-Kissimmee .....	980.0	1,023.7	1,021.7	40.7	28.5	30.3	4.2	2.8	3.0
Palm Bay-Melbourne-Titusville .....	247.4	252.6	251.6	10.2	7.1	7.7	4.1	2.8	3.0
Panama City-Lynn Haven .....	77.0	79.4	79.6	3.8	2.8	3.1	4.9	3.5	3.9
Pensacola-Ferry Pass-Brent .....	191.2	197.9	197.1	9.4	5.8	6.2	4.9	2.9	3.2
Port St. Lucie-Fort Pierce .....	168.5	173.7	173.0	8.5	5.6	5.9	5.0	3.2	3.4
Punta Gorda .....	61.3	62.7	63.1	3.0	1.7	1.9	4.9	2.7	3.1
Sarasota-Bradenton-Venice .....	313.0	329.4	328.2	11.4	7.4	8.3	3.6	2.2	2.5
Sebastian-Vero Beach .....	56.3	58.0	57.8	3.2	1.8	1.9	5.7	3.1	3.3
Tallahassee .....	171.9	175.8	175.0	6.5	4.6	5.0	3.8	2.6	2.9
Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater .....	1,262.2	1,301.9	1,298.1	55.1	38.2	41.2	4.4	2.9	3.2
<b>Georgia</b> .....	4,499.4	4,657.2	4,636.2	238.2	226.1	230.2	5.3	4.9	5.0
Albany .....	73.6	76.3	76.3	4.5	4.2	4.3	6.1	5.5	5.7
Athens-Clarke County .....	96.5	100.7	100.0	3.8	3.8	3.8	4.0	3.8	3.8
Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Marietta .....	2,518.3	2,626.4	2,605.5	131.3	127.7	128.8	5.2	4.9	4.9
Augusta-Richmond County .....	250.9	255.0	255.5	15.3	15.2	15.0	6.1	6.0	5.9
Brunswick .....	50.3	52.4	52.7	2.4	2.2	2.3	4.9	4.1	4.3
Columbus .....	125.6	130.1	128.9	7.0	7.0	7.2	5.5	5.4	5.6
Dalton .....	64.8	67.9	67.2	3.2	3.1	3.1	4.9	4.6	4.7
Gainesville .....	81.1	83.1	83.3	3.4	3.3	3.4	4.3	4.0	4.1
Hinesville-Fort Stewart .....	28.6	29.1	29.3	1.8	1.7	1.7	6.3	5.8	5.9
Macon .....	109.3	111.2	111.2	6.2	5.7	6.0	5.7	5.1	5.4
Rome .....	49.6	50.7	50.2	2.7	2.2	2.4	5.5	4.4	4.7
Savannah .....	162.5	168.7	168.3	7.3	6.6	6.8	4.5	3.9	4.0
Valdosta .....	63.3	66.1	66.2	2.6	2.6	2.9	4.1	4.0	4.5
Warner Robins .....	61.6	63.0	63.2	2.8	2.8	2.8	4.6	4.4	4.4
<b>Hawaii</b> .....	624.0	644.8	645.9	17.4	15.2	14.5	2.8	2.4	2.2
Honolulu .....	436.5	453.9	452.2	12.1	10.4	9.9	2.8	2.3	2.2
<b>Idaho</b> .....	722.1	746.0	743.1	38.3	24.6	32.7	5.3	3.3	4.4
Boise City-Nampa .....	277.8	289.9	290.9	12.7	7.9	10.4	4.6	2.7	3.6
Coeur d'Alene .....	65.7	68.7	66.1	4.3	2.8	3.5	6.6	4.0	5.4
Idaho Falls .....	58.6	60.8	61.6	2.5	1.5	2.1	4.3	2.4	3.4
Lewiston .....	30.1	29.2	29.2	1.9	1.3	1.3	6.3	4.3	4.3
Pocatello .....	44.9	45.3	45.2	2.4	1.5	2.0	5.3	3.3	4.4
<b>Illinois</b> .....	6,384.1	6,478.8	6,457.5	405.6	336.1	371.6	6.4	5.2	5.8
Bloomington-Normal .....	84.4	91.2	87.3	4.2	3.1	3.6	5.0	3.4	4.2
Champaign-Urbana .....	114.1	121.8	115.3	5.3	4.3	5.1	4.7	3.5	4.4
Chicago-Naperville-Joliet .....	4,696.5	4,724.5	4,732.0	298.4	250.6	266.6	6.4	5.3	5.6
Danville .....	37.6	38.8	38.3	2.9	2.2	2.5	7.7	5.6	6.7
Davenport-Moline-Rock Island .....	200.7	207.8	202.9	11.5	9.5	10.8	5.7	4.6	5.3
Decatur .....	52.5	54.5	53.5	3.6	3.2	3.5	6.8	5.9	6.6
Kankakee-Bradley .....	52.2	53.6	52.9	4.1	3.2	4.0	7.9	6.0	7.5
Peoria .....	188.6	195.7	192.6	10.7	8.6	10.0	5.7	4.4	5.2
Rockford .....	164.1	168.5	166.3	12.3	10.5	11.9	7.5	6.3	7.1
Springfield .....	110.5	114.6	112.9	6.0	4.9	5.9	5.4	4.3	5.2
<b>Indiana</b> .....	3,149.6	3,212.2	3,224.5	193.5	169.0	170.5	6.1	5.3	5.3
Anderson .....	62.6	63.8	64.0	4.7	4.2	4.3	7.4	6.6	6.7
Bloomington .....	94.9	96.9	96.3	5.3	4.6	4.9	5.6	4.8	5.1
Columbus .....	36.3	37.4	37.4	2.0	1.8	1.8	5.4	4.8	4.9
Elkhart-Goshen .....	99.0	101.3	102.6	5.0	4.6	4.5	5.1	4.5	4.4
Evansville .....	179.8	182.2	183.3	9.8	8.9	9.1	5.4	4.9	5.0
Fort Wayne .....	207.5	213.3	214.9	12.2	10.7	10.8	5.9	5.0	5.0
Indianapolis-Carmel .....	858.1	876.4	880.7	46.4	41.4	41.0	5.4	4.7	4.7
Kokomo .....	46.9	46.5	47.1	3.4	3.0	3.3	7.2	6.5	7.0
Lafayette .....	92.8	96.5	95.9	4.9	4.4	4.4	5.3	4.6	4.6
Michigan City-La Porte .....	52.3	53.5	53.9	3.7	3.3	3.4	7.1	6.1	6.3
Muncie .....	55.5	56.3	56.0	4.3	3.6	3.7	7.7	6.4	6.5

See footnotes at end of table.



**LABOR FORCE DATA  
NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED**

**LABOR FORCE DATA  
NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED**

**Table 1. Civilian labor force and unemployment by state and metropolitan area—Continued**

(Numbers in thousands)

State and area	Civilian labor force			Unemployed					
				Number			Percent of labor force		
	Jan. 2005	Dec. 2005	Jan. 2006	Jan. 2005	Dec. 2005	Jan. 2006	Jan. 2005	Dec. 2005	Jan. 2006
<b>Indiana—Continued</b>									
South Bend-Mishawaka .....	159.5	164.7	165.0	9.1	8.6	8.5	5.7	5.2	5.2
Terre Haute .....	79.8	81.2	81.0	6.5	5.3	5.5	8.1	6.6	6.8
<b>Iowa</b>									
Iowa .....	1,631.8	1,666.4	1,654.2	91.5	75.9	82.9	5.6	4.6	5.0
Ames .....	47.2	47.9	46.9	1.7	1.4	1.6	3.5	2.9	3.3
Cedar Rapids .....	139.9	140.9	138.5	7.9	6.6	6.9	5.6	4.7	5.0
Des Moines-West Des Moines .....	296.1	302.3	300.2	14.8	12.4	13.2	5.0	4.1	4.4
Dubuque .....	50.4	51.7	52.2	3.1	2.5	2.9	6.1	4.8	5.6
Iowa City .....	84.8	88.6	88.3	3.4	2.8	3.1	4.0	3.2	3.5
Sioux City .....	73.8	76.4	76.1	4.6	3.6	4.1	6.2	4.8	5.4
Waterloo-Cedar Falls .....	92.6	94.4	93.4	5.0	4.1	4.4	5.4	4.4	4.7
<b>Kansas</b>									
Kansas .....	1,464.9	1,473.9	1,463.4	85.3	62.9	73.2	5.8	4.3	5.0
Lawrence .....	63.0	63.1	60.9	2.9	2.1	2.5	4.6	3.3	4.0
Topeka .....	124.3	123.0	122.9	8.6	5.9	6.7	6.9	4.8	5.5
Wichita .....	306.7	305.4	305.6	18.8	14.3	16.4	6.1	4.7	5.4
<b>Kentucky</b>									
Kentucky .....	1,960.8	2,019.2	1,990.4	123.9	124.7	137.8	6.3	6.2	6.9
Bowling Green .....	58.8	62.5	61.1	3.0	3.1	3.5	5.1	5.0	5.7
Elizabethtown .....	52.0	53.2	52.6	3.2	3.2	3.4	6.2	6.0	6.6
Lexington-Fayette .....	222.6	233.0	229.3	10.4	11.1	11.8	4.7	4.8	5.2
Louisville-Jefferson County .....	599.3	618.4	610.6	36.9	37.3	39.4	6.2	6.0	6.5
Owensboro .....	54.4	56.0	55.1	3.6	3.5	3.9	6.6	6.2	7.0
<b>Louisiana</b>									
Louisiana .....	2,066.3	1,897.0	1,862.0	132.1	109.9	102.7	6.4	5.8	5.5
Alexandria .....	66.6	68.2	68.1	4.1	2.9	2.9	6.1	4.3	4.2
Baton Rouge .....	348.0	363.4	356.3	22.1	19.3	17.7	6.3	5.3	5.0
Houma-Bayou Cane-Thibodaux .....	93.4	92.5	91.7	5.0	4.1	3.8	5.4	4.4	4.2
Lafayette .....	123.2	128.6	125.2	6.1	4.8	4.7	4.9	3.8	3.8
Lake Charles .....	92.7	95.6	91.8	5.6	4.5	4.1	6.1	4.7	4.5
Monroe .....	81.9	82.0	80.7	5.4	3.6	3.6	6.6	4.4	4.4
New Orleans-Metairie-Kenner .....	618.9	431.5	426.8	36.5	37.1	33.3	5.9	8.6	7.8
Shreveport-Bossier City .....	177.5	181.2	179.5	11.4	7.9	7.7	6.4	4.4	4.3
<b>Maine</b>									
Maine .....	691.3	712.4	703.4	37.8	33.0	37.6	5.5	4.6	5.3
Bangor .....	70.8	72.1	72.7	3.7	3.1	3.7	5.3	4.3	5.1
Lewiston-Auburn .....	56.1	57.6	57.7	3.0	2.6	3.0	5.3	4.5	5.2
Portland-South Portland-Biddeford .....	201.0	208.3	204.1	7.9	6.9	7.7	3.9	3.3	3.8
<b>Maryland</b>									
Maryland .....	2,880.4	2,941.6	2,945.1	136.4	104.3	119.6	4.7	3.5	4.1
Baltimore-Towson .....	1,345.6	1,376.8	1,376.2	67.4	51.2	58.9	5.0	3.7	4.3
Cumberland .....	48.6	49.5	49.1	3.5	2.7	3.2	7.1	5.4	6.6
Hagerstown-Martinsburg .....	116.2	118.1	118.9	5.3	4.2	5.1	4.5	3.5	4.3
Salisbury .....	60.5	61.5	61.8	3.4	2.6	3.0	5.7	4.2	4.9
<b>Massachusetts</b>									
Massachusetts .....	3,336.1	3,365.0	3,338.1	182.8	150.3	176.9	5.5	4.5	5.3
Barnstable Town .....	124.8	129.0	125.7	7.9	6.3	7.9	6.3	4.9	6.3
Boston-Cambridge-Quincy .....	2,425.9	2,448.6	2,431.9	121.1	101.0	115.3	5.0	4.1	4.7
Leominster-Fitchburg-Gardner .....	72.1	71.9	71.7	5.2	4.2	4.8	7.3	5.9	6.7
New Bedford .....	83.9	83.9	84.1	6.9	5.4	6.8	8.3	6.5	8.1
Pittsfield .....	38.4	38.4	38.6	2.0	1.6	2.0	5.3	4.0	5.1
Springfield .....	340.6	343.1	340.2	20.4	16.8	20.4	6.0	4.9	6.0
Worcester .....	286.7	287.3	286.6	16.1	12.7	15.2	5.6	4.4	5.3
<b>Michigan</b>									
Michigan .....	5,030.2	5,103.3	5,055.6	392.7	321.4	356.9	7.8	6.3	7.1
Ann Arbor .....	189.1	194.7	193.1	8.8	7.4	8.1	4.7	3.8	4.2
Battle Creek .....	72.1	73.2	72.8	5.3	4.3	4.7	7.4	5.9	6.5
Bay City .....	55.6	56.7	56.5	4.8	3.6	4.0	8.6	6.3	7.1
Detroit-Warren-Livonia .....	2,172.3	2,190.1	2,145.4	173.6	146.1	163.8	8.0	6.7	7.6
Flint .....	214.3	215.8	216.2	18.8	14.9	16.9	8.8	6.9	7.8
Grand Rapids-Wyoming .....	403.8	415.9	416.0	27.8	22.0	23.2	6.9	5.3	5.6
Holland-Grand Haven .....	134.1	138.0	138.3	8.0	6.5	7.0	6.0	4.7	5.1
Jackson .....	78.9	80.2	79.4	6.1	4.9	5.3	7.7	6.1	6.6
Kalamazoo-Portage .....	172.2	174.5	175.2	11.3	9.0	9.6	6.6	5.2	5.5
Lansing-East Lansing .....	247.6	254.0	254.7	15.6	13.8	14.9	6.3	5.4	5.8
Monroe .....	77.4	78.4	78.1	5.4	4.1	5.1	6.9	5.2	6.5
Muskegon-Norton Shores .....	89.7	91.8	92.5	7.0	5.7	5.9	7.8	6.2	6.4
Niles-Benton Harbor .....	78.4	79.8	80.0	6.4	5.0	5.4	8.2	6.3	6.7
Saginaw-Saginaw Township North .....	100.0	100.7	100.4	9.2	6.8	7.6	9.2	6.8	7.5
<b>Minnesota</b>									
Minnesota .....	2,914.3	2,944.5	2,921.1	150.6	117.7	146.4	5.2	4.0	5.0
Duluth .....	142.4	144.7	143.5	9.4	6.9	8.9	6.6	4.8	6.2
Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington .....	1,830.4	1,856.9	1,836.3	82.7	67.1	80.6	4.5	3.6	4.4
Rochester .....	103.6	103.8	103.8	4.7	3.6	4.5	4.6	3.4	4.3
St. Cloud .....	103.9	105.6	104.6	6.1	4.5	5.9	5.8	4.3	5.6

See footnotes at end of table.

**LABOR FORCE DATA  
NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED**

**LABOR FORCE DATA  
NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED**

**Table 1. Civilian labor force and unemployment by state and metropolitan area—Continued**

(Numbers in thousands)

State and area	Civilian labor force			Unemployed					
				Number			Percent of labor force		
	Jan. 2005	Dec. 2005	Jan. 2006	Jan. 2005	Dec. 2005	Jan. 2006	Jan. 2005	Dec. 2005	Jan. 2006
<b>Mississippi</b> .....	1,337.2	1,323.6	1,315.5	104.4	109.3	120.1	7.8	8.3	9.1
Gulfport-Biloxi .....	122.1	111.6	109.5	7.7	19.4	19.6	6.3	17.4	17.9
Hattiesburg .....	63.5	65.5	64.7	3.9	3.7	4.3	6.1	5.6	6.6
Jackson .....	267.6	268.2	266.2	17.0	15.1	17.0	6.3	5.6	6.4
Pascagoula .....	70.9	71.2	71.0	5.3	8.6	9.8	7.4	12.0	13.8
<b>Missouri</b> .....	3,000.1	3,013.9	2,997.8	192.4	144.5	157.3	6.4	4.8	5.2
Columbia .....	89.5	93.5	90.8	3.8	2.8	3.1	4.2	3.0	3.4
Jefferson City .....	79.3	78.5	79.2	4.1	3.1	3.5	5.2	4.0	4.4
Joplin .....	83.1	84.4	84.4	4.4	3.3	3.7	5.4	3.9	4.4
Kansas City .....	1,029.2	1,032.0	1,026.3	65.9	51.0	54.3	6.4	4.9	5.3
St. Joseph .....	65.2	65.3	65.4	4.7	3.3	3.4	7.2	5.0	5.1
St. Louis <sup>1</sup> .....	1,451.0	1,459.5	1,452.7	93.8	70.9	79.6	6.5	4.9	5.5
Springfield .....	211.1	216.3	215.5	10.2	7.8	8.6	4.8	3.6	4.0
<b>Montana</b> .....	482.3	492.3	489.7	25.0	18.6	23.8	5.2	3.8	4.9
Billings .....	83.7	85.4	85.3	3.4	2.5	3.2	4.0	3.0	3.7
Great Falls .....	39.1	39.6	39.7	2.0	1.5	1.8	5.2	3.7	4.6
Missoula .....	56.9	58.6	56.6	2.6	2.1	2.7	4.6	3.5	4.7
<b>Nebraska</b> .....	976.2	982.9	971.2	44.7	35.0	39.4	4.6	3.6	4.1
Lincoln .....	165.3	166.0	164.2	6.8	5.4	6.1	4.1	3.2	3.7
Omaha-Council Bluffs .....	442.1	445.2	440.7	22.6	17.9	20.3	5.1	4.0	4.6
<b>Nevada</b> .....	1,193.9	1,230.8	1,228.0	56.0	43.5	50.7	4.7	3.5	4.1
Carson City .....	27.1	27.1	27.0	1.7	1.1	1.4	6.1	4.0	5.3
Las Vegas-Paradise .....	846.3	875.8	876.1	36.6	30.3	33.9	4.3	3.5	3.9
Reno-Sparks .....	206.2	212.7	209.5	11.1	7.2	9.4	5.4	3.4	4.5
<b>New Hampshire</b> .....	723.7	732.0	733.0	29.5	23.7	27.9	4.1	3.2	3.8
Manchester .....	105.8	107.5	108.4	4.2	3.5	4.1	4.0	3.2	3.8
Portsmouth .....	43.1	44.5	44.4	1.6	1.4	1.5	3.8	3.1	3.4
Rochester-Dover .....	80.5	82.8	81.9	3.2	2.4	2.9	3.9	3.0	3.6
<b>New Jersey</b> .....	4,350.2	4,467.2	4,450.4	207.9	192.2	224.4	4.8	4.3	5.0
Atlantic City .....	133.8	137.3	137.3	8.0	7.5	8.7	6.0	5.4	6.4
Ocean City .....	51.3	54.8	53.3	5.4	4.6	5.7	10.5	8.4	10.7
Trenton-Ewing .....	190.9	197.1	197.8	7.8	7.4	8.8	4.1	3.8	4.4
Vineland-Millville-Bridgeton .....	69.2	71.2	71.7	4.9	4.8	5.6	7.1	6.7	7.8
<b>New Mexico</b> .....	916.3	947.7	934.4	50.5	41.2	46.7	5.5	4.4	5.0
Albuquerque .....	392.0	406.1	400.9	19.7	16.4	18.3	5.0	4.0	4.6
Farmington .....	54.3	56.2	55.4	3.1	2.5	2.9	5.8	4.4	5.2
Las Cruces .....	84.0	89.3	85.7	5.3	4.2	4.9	6.3	4.7	5.7
Santa Fe .....	75.2	78.3	76.9	3.2	2.7	3.1	4.3	3.5	4.0
<b>New York</b> .....	9,313.9	9,447.8	9,448.3	527.8	458.6	490.0	5.7	4.9	5.2
Albany-Schenectady-Troy .....	452.6	456.3	456.5	20.8	17.3	18.9	4.6	3.8	4.1
Binghamton .....	122.0	123.4	122.4	7.4	5.9	6.5	6.1	4.8	5.3
Buffalo-Niagara Falls .....	584.0	587.6	586.0	36.4	30.1	32.6	6.2	5.1	5.6
Elmira .....	41.0	41.0	41.0	2.7	2.0	2.2	6.5	5.0	5.3
Glens Falls .....	65.7	66.1	66.6	3.9	3.2	3.6	5.9	4.8	5.3
Ithaca .....	53.1	55.4	53.4	2.0	1.7	1.8	3.7	3.0	3.3
Kingston .....	90.9	91.6	92.0	4.7	3.8	4.2	5.1	4.2	4.6
New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island .....	9,030.2	9,221.7	9,212.4	477.7	432.2	470.8	5.3	4.7	5.1
New York City .....	3,694.7	3,763.6	3,781.0	228.8	211.4	221.3	6.2	5.6	5.9
Poughkeepsie-Newburgh-Middletown .....	321.4	327.8	326.3	14.9	12.5	13.6	4.6	3.8	4.2
Rochester .....	533.7	527.2	526.5	29.8	24.1	26.2	5.6	4.6	5.0
Syracuse .....	327.8	334.5	332.5	19.4	15.8	17.2	5.9	4.7	5.2
Utica-Rome .....	142.1	143.0	142.8	8.5	6.8	7.4	6.0	4.7	5.2
<b>North Carolina</b> .....	4,256.3	4,353.4	4,331.1	240.6	203.5	206.4	5.7	4.7	4.8
Asheville .....	197.1	201.5	200.0	9.3	7.5	7.9	4.7	3.7	3.9
Burlington .....	68.4	69.5	69.2	4.1	3.7	3.7	5.9	5.4	5.4
Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord .....	778.8	805.6	801.4	43.1	37.5	37.2	5.5	4.7	4.6
Durham .....	241.2	248.8	248.8	10.7	9.5	9.4	4.4	3.8	3.8
Fayetteville .....	144.7	149.3	149.3	8.3	7.8	7.9	5.8	5.2	5.3
Goldsboro .....	51.1	51.6	51.3	2.9	2.5	2.5	5.6	4.9	4.9
Greensboro-High Point .....	358.1	362.0	358.6	19.6	17.2	17.2	5.5	4.8	4.8
Greenville .....	81.6	82.8	83.2	4.8	4.1	4.1	5.8	4.9	4.9
Hickory-Lenoir-Morganton .....	176.9	175.5	175.3	11.9	10.3	10.4	6.7	5.9	5.9
Jacksonville .....	55.7	57.4	57.6	3.3	2.8	2.7	5.9	4.8	4.7
Raleigh-Cary .....	488.3	512.2	508.1	21.2	18.0	17.8	4.4	3.5	3.5
Rocky Mount .....	68.0	68.0	67.2	5.1	4.2	4.4	7.6	6.2	6.5
Wilmington .....	156.4	165.1	164.0	7.7	6.2	6.4	4.9	3.8	3.9
Winston-Salem .....	230.2	234.2	232.3	11.4	9.8	9.7	4.9	4.2	4.2

See footnotes at end of table.

**LABOR FORCE DATA  
NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED**

**LABOR FORCE DATA  
NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED**

**Table 1. Civilian labor force and unemployment by state and metropolitan area—Continued**

(Numbers in thousands)

State and area	Civilian labor force			Unemployed					
				Number			Percent of labor force		
	Jan. 2005	Dec. 2005	Jan. 2006	Jan. 2005	Dec. 2005	Jan. 2006	Jan. 2005	Dec. 2005	Jan. 2006
<b>North Dakota</b> .....	347.7	354.2	352.5	14.9	11.2	13.9	4.3	3.2	3.9
Bismarck .....	57.4	58.5	58.4	2.3	1.6	2.1	4.1	2.8	3.6
Fargo .....	110.5	113.9	113.2	4.0	3.1	3.7	3.6	2.7	3.3
Grand Forks .....	55.1	55.9	56.0	2.4	1.8	2.4	4.3	3.3	4.2
<b>Ohio</b> .....	5,806.2	5,899.9	5,839.2	396.5	326.6	356.5	6.8	5.5	6.1
Akron .....	374.2	383.6	378.4	25.2	21.2	22.7	6.7	5.5	6.0
Canton-Massillon .....	204.3	206.1	203.2	15.4	12.4	13.2	7.6	6.0	6.5
Cincinnati-Middletown .....	1,073.5	1,103.3	1,092.8	64.4	56.3	61.4	6.0	5.1	5.6
Cleveland-Elyria-Mentor .....	1,073.2	1,080.9	1,076.1	68.1	57.5	62.1	6.3	5.3	5.8
Columbus .....	910.3	930.5	915.6	53.0	44.3	47.0	5.8	4.8	5.1
Dayton .....	422.9	423.2	423.8	29.1	23.8	28.6	6.9	5.6	6.7
Lima .....	51.8	52.3	51.6	3.7	3.0	3.2	7.1	5.7	6.2
Mansfield .....	62.3	62.8	62.1	4.9	3.9	4.2	7.9	6.3	6.7
Sandusky .....	40.7	41.4	41.1	3.4	2.8	3.1	8.4	6.8	7.6
Springfield .....	69.9	70.4	69.6	5.1	4.4	4.5	7.3	6.2	6.4
Toledo .....	329.4	337.4	332.2	25.7	21.2	24.5	7.8	6.3	7.4
Weirton-Stuebenville .....	56.3	56.9	55.0	4.8	4.0	4.2	8.4	7.0	7.7
Youngstown-Warren-Boardman .....	276.6	282.6	277.7	21.9	17.6	18.7	7.9	6.2	6.7
<b>Oklahoma</b> .....	1,712.7	1,753.2	1,738.9	88.9	69.4	76.9	5.2	4.0	4.4
Lawton .....	46.1	46.4	46.2	2.3	2.0	2.3	5.1	4.4	5.0
Oklahoma City .....	569.9	584.1	582.6	29.6	22.7	25.0	5.2	3.9	4.3
Tulsa .....	437.0	450.7	455.2	22.2	17.7	19.7	5.1	3.9	4.5
<b>Oregon</b> .....	1,829.1	1,858.1	1,851.3	130.5	99.8	111.8	7.1	5.4	6.0
Bend .....	71.9	74.2	74.2	5.1	3.8	4.2	7.2	5.2	5.7
Corvallis .....	41.7	42.4	41.5	2.1	1.9	2.2	5.1	4.4	5.2
Eugene-Springfield .....	173.4	174.8	173.6	12.0	9.3	10.4	6.9	5.3	6.0
Medford .....	97.4	102.2	100.0	7.3	5.0	6.4	7.5	4.9	6.4
Portland-Vancouver-Beaverton .....	1,088.5	1,109.2	1,105.1	71.1	53.7	58.9	6.5	4.8	5.3
Salem .....	178.2	180.4	178.7	13.1	9.9	11.1	7.4	5.5	6.2
<b>Pennsylvania</b> .....	6,223.8	6,285.0	6,230.7	357.4	270.6	306.1	5.7	4.3	4.9
Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton .....	403.1	411.1	410.6	22.4	17.2	19.7	5.6	4.2	4.8
Alltoona .....	64.7	64.6	64.4	4.5	2.9	3.2	6.9	4.5	5.0
Erie .....	139.9	140.8	139.9	9.4	7.0	8.1	6.7	5.0	5.8
Harrisburg-Carlisle .....	274.8	278.8	277.6	12.1	9.6	10.8	4.4	3.5	3.9
Johnstown .....	67.2	67.5	66.9	5.4	3.6	4.0	8.0	5.3	6.0
Lancaster .....	264.9	267.6	266.5	10.6	8.4	9.8	4.0	3.1	3.7
Lebanon .....	69.0	70.0	70.0	2.8	2.2	2.5	4.1	3.1	3.6
Philadelphia-Camden-Wilmington .....	2,901.6	2,962.4	2,931.3	146.7	125.6	137.9	5.1	4.2	4.7
Pittsburgh .....	1,199.4	1,210.2	1,197.2	74.0	54.6	62.7	6.2	4.5	5.2
Reading .....	197.3	199.1	198.9	10.8	8.2	9.3	5.4	4.1	4.7
Scranton-Wilkes-Barre .....	276.6	280.5	278.0	19.2	13.3	15.6	6.9	4.8	5.6
State College .....	69.5	74.1	69.4	3.4	2.4	2.7	4.9	3.2	3.9
Williamsport .....	60.0	59.6	59.6	4.1	3.1	3.5	6.9	5.2	5.9
York-Hanover .....	217.3	220.2	219.4	9.9	7.8	8.9	4.6	3.5	4.1
<b>Rhode Island</b> .....	561.0	575.7	573.4	33.8	27.6	32.8	6.0	4.8	5.7
Providence-Fall River-Warwick .....	696.1	710.9	708.0	44.2	35.8	43.4	6.3	5.0	6.1
<b>South Carolina</b> .....	2,036.2	2,087.1	2,073.0	148.1	140.7	136.7	7.3	6.7	6.6
Anderson .....	83.6	82.3	81.7	6.3	6.0	5.8	7.6	7.3	7.1
Charleston-North Charleston .....	289.1	293.9	295.9	16.2	15.1	15.1	5.6	5.1	5.1
Columbia .....	353.8	359.3	358.0	21.2	19.7	19.6	6.0	5.5	5.5
Florence .....	92.7	93.8	93.7	9.0	8.3	7.9	9.7	8.8	8.5
Greenville .....	294.8	297.7	296.6	18.5	17.2	16.7	6.3	5.8	5.6
Myrtle Beach-Conway-North Myrtle Beach .....	112.1	120.7	119.4	8.8	7.4	7.6	7.8	6.1	6.4
Spartanburg .....	131.3	129.4	127.3	10.5	10.4	9.5	8.0	8.0	7.5
Sumter .....	46.9	47.2	47.7	4.4	4.1	3.8	9.4	8.6	8.0
<b>South Dakota</b> .....	420.2	428.8	420.4	19.6	16.2	16.8	4.7	3.8	4.0
Rapid City .....	62.9	63.4	62.8	2.9	2.4	2.5	4.5	3.8	4.0
Sioux Falls .....	117.6	121.0	118.8	4.9	3.7	4.0	4.2	3.1	3.3
<b>Tennessee</b> .....	2,870.3	2,925.2	2,896.8	181.8	148.6	164.5	6.3	5.1	5.7
Chattanooga .....	248.0	253.4	251.1	13.1	11.0	11.9	5.3	4.3	4.7
Clarksville .....	102.2	105.6	105.2	6.1	5.9	6.3	6.0	5.6	6.0
Cleveland .....	52.7	53.9	53.5	3.2	2.6	2.9	6.1	4.8	5.5
Jackson .....	52.8	54.3	53.5	3.5	2.8	3.0	6.6	5.1	5.6
Johnson City .....	93.8	96.4	94.3	5.8	4.5	4.9	6.2	4.7	5.2
Kingsport-Bristol-Bristol .....	139.7	140.3	140.2	8.6	6.4	7.2	6.1	4.6	5.1
Knoxville .....	335.2	341.3	337.5	16.6	13.6	14.8	4.9	4.0	4.4
Memphis .....	591.8	605.9	595.7	42.0	35.4	36.4	7.1	5.8	6.1
Morristown .....	62.7	63.5	62.6	4.3	3.5	4.1	6.9	5.6	6.6
Nashville-Davidson—Murfreesboro .....	726.9	754.7	742.5	34.7	30.1	32.6	4.8	4.0	4.4

See footnotes at end of table.

**LABOR FORCE DATA  
NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED**

**LABOR FORCE DATA  
NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED**

**Table 1. Civilian labor force and unemployment by state and metropolitan area—Continued**

(Numbers in thousands)

State and area	Civilian labor force			Unemployed					
				Number			Percent of labor force		
	Jan. 2005	Dec. 2005	Jan. 2006	Jan. 2005	Dec. 2005	Jan. 2006	Jan. 2005	Dec. 2005	Jan. 2006
<b>Texas</b> .....	11,081.1	11,325.8	11,289.6	656.2	540.8	593.4	5.9	4.8	5.3
Abilene .....	80.0	81.9	82.0	3.7	3.0	3.5	4.7	3.6	4.3
Amarillo .....	125.4	129.7	128.7	5.5	4.4	4.9	4.4	3.4	3.8
Austin-Round Rock .....	788.6	814.1	814.4	38.0	31.4	34.9	4.8	3.9	4.3
Beaumont-Port Arthur .....	177.8	176.7	175.1	14.0	13.0	12.8	7.9	7.3	7.3
Brownsville-Harlingen .....	141.6	142.1	142.9	12.5	9.1	10.1	8.8	6.4	7.1
College Station-Bryan .....	100.2	105.5	101.1	4.4	3.9	4.4	4.4	3.7	4.3
Corpus Christi .....	198.7	199.4	198.4	12.5	9.8	10.6	6.3	4.9	5.4
Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington .....	2,977.8	3,066.0	3,055.5	171.4	140.1	153.1	5.8	4.6	5.0
El Paso .....	291.7	294.0	291.7	23.5	18.2	19.9	8.1	6.2	6.8
Houston-Sugar Land-Baytown .....	2,591.8	2,668.8	2,660.1	154.2	136.9	148.3	5.9	5.1	5.6
Killeen-Temple-Fort Hood .....	149.1	152.7	152.5	8.3	7.6	8.5	5.5	5.0	5.6
Laredo .....	85.2	88.4	88.7	5.9	4.4	5.0	6.9	5.0	5.6
Longview .....	101.8	104.3	104.3	5.6	4.5	5.0	5.5	4.4	4.8
Lubbock .....	140.4	142.4	141.3	6.2	4.9	5.6	4.4	3.5	4.0
McAllen-Edinburg-Mission .....	262.7	269.1	270.5	24.4	18.7	21.1	9.3	6.9	7.8
Midland .....	65.6	68.5	67.9	2.7	2.2	2.4	4.2	3.1	3.5
Odessa .....	61.9	64.2	63.8	3.3	2.5	2.7	5.4	3.8	4.3
San Angelo .....	53.3	52.9	52.9	2.5	2.0	2.3	4.7	3.8	4.3
San Antonio .....	885.2	902.9	899.3	48.8	38.7	43.0	5.5	4.3	4.8
Sherman-Denison .....	56.5	57.2	56.6	3.3	2.6	2.8	5.9	4.6	5.0
Texarkana .....	61.5	63.6	63.3	3.5	2.9	3.2	5.7	4.5	5.0
Tyler .....	96.5	97.3	96.9	5.2	4.0	4.4	5.4	4.1	4.6
Victoria .....	56.6	57.7	57.5	3.1	2.4	2.6	5.5	4.2	4.6
Waco .....	112.3	113.6	112.4	5.8	4.9	5.3	5.1	4.3	4.8
Wichita Falls .....	74.3	75.3	75.1	3.8	3.0	3.3	5.1	3.9	4.5
<b>Utah</b> .....	1,245.2	1,285.5	1,276.4	58.8	45.0	52.7	4.7	3.5	4.1
Logan .....	63.9	63.3	63.5	2.3	1.7	2.1	3.5	2.7	3.2
Ogden-Clearfield .....	241.7	246.2	244.0	11.8	9.3	11.0	4.9	3.8	4.5
Provo-Orem .....	203.2	209.9	208.6	8.8	6.6	8.0	4.3	3.2	3.9
St. George .....	53.2	57.0	56.8	2.2	1.7	1.9	4.1	2.9	3.4
Salt Lake City .....	552.9	566.9	564.4	26.3	20.1	23.0	4.8	3.5	4.1
<b>Vermont</b> .....	350.5	359.8	358.2	15.3	12.1	14.8	4.4	3.4	4.1
Burlington-South Burlington .....	110.2	114.1	111.5	4.1	3.5	4.1	3.7	3.1	3.7
<b>Virginia</b> .....	3,857.7	3,944.5	3,941.0	145.3	118.2	129.3	3.8	3.0	3.3
Blacksburg-Christiansburg-Radford .....	74.4	78.1	75.7	2.9	2.6	2.7	3.9	3.4	3.5
Charlottesville .....	95.9	99.5	100.7	3.0	2.3	2.7	3.2	2.3	2.7
Danville .....	52.6	52.2	51.3	4.1	4.1	4.2	7.7	7.8	8.3
Harrisonburg .....	61.6	61.6	61.3	1.9	1.6	1.6	3.1	2.5	2.7
Lynchburg .....	115.8	119.3	118.2	4.7	3.8	4.1	4.1	3.2	3.4
Richmond .....	611.7	621.4	624.2	24.0	20.0	21.4	3.9	3.2	3.4
Roanoke .....	148.3	152.2	151.2	5.6	4.3	4.6	3.7	2.8	3.1
Virginia Beach-Norfolk-Newport News .....	780.7	798.9	792.2	34.8	27.7	30.3	4.5	3.5	3.8
Winchester .....	60.7	62.8	63.0	2.0	1.5	1.8	3.4	2.4	2.8
<b>Washington</b> .....	3,242.8	3,322.7	3,303.3	207.3	171.6	175.6	6.4	5.2	5.3
Bellingham .....	102.7	106.6	107.3	6.1	4.5	4.8	5.9	4.2	4.5
Bremerton-Silverdale .....	124.7	126.4	124.9	7.0	5.7	5.9	5.6	4.5	4.7
Kennewick-Richland-Pasco .....	111.1	113.1	111.9	8.3	7.8	8.1	7.4	6.9	7.3
Longview .....	43.5	44.0	43.8	3.7	2.8	3.0	8.5	6.3	6.8
Mount Vernon-Anacortes .....	55.6	57.2	57.8	4.2	3.1	3.3	7.5	5.4	5.6
Olympia .....	123.0	126.2	126.6	6.9	5.4	5.9	5.6	4.3	4.6
Seattle-Tacoma-Bellevue .....	1,717.2	1,764.9	1,754.2	93.5	81.7	79.7	5.4	4.6	4.5
Spokane .....	228.1	234.8	230.7	16.1	12.5	13.0	7.1	5.3	5.6
Wenatchee .....	54.6	56.6	56.5	4.7	3.6	3.7	8.6	6.4	6.6
Yakima .....	112.6	114.3	115.0	12.0	10.3	10.8	10.7	9.0	9.4
<b>West Virginia</b> .....	780.8	801.3	793.8	46.3	33.6	38.0	5.9	4.2	4.8
Charleston .....	137.2	139.4	137.0	7.9	5.4	6.0	5.8	3.9	4.4
Huntington-Ashland .....	129.2	132.9	130.4	7.8	6.3	6.9	6.0	4.7	5.3
Morgantown .....	57.4	59.8	59.3	2.5	1.7	1.9	4.3	2.8	3.2
Parkersburg-Marietta-Vienna .....	78.7	79.3	78.7	5.4	3.7	4.4	6.8	4.7	5.6
Wheeling .....	67.5	69.7	68.6	5.1	3.4	4.1	7.6	4.9	6.0
<b>Wisconsin</b> .....	3,009.9	3,041.2	3,033.9	164.6	135.0	153.0	5.5	4.4	5.0
Appleton .....	119.3	120.8	120.4	5.8	5.0	5.8	4.9	4.2	4.8
Eau Claire .....	84.2	87.6	85.9	4.8	3.8	4.3	5.7	4.4	5.0
Fond du Lac .....	55.7	56.4	56.5	2.9	2.4	2.8	5.2	4.3	4.9
Green Bay .....	167.7	171.1	170.3	8.5	7.7	8.6	5.1	4.5	5.0
Janesville .....	83.2	83.3	83.2	8.0	4.1	4.5	9.6	5.0	5.4
La Crosse .....	73.6	74.1	73.6	3.5	2.8	3.3	4.8	3.8	4.5
Madison .....	328.9	335.7	334.4	11.5	10.1	11.4	3.5	3.0	3.4
Milwaukee-Waukesha-West Allis .....	784.8	783.9	775.5	40.9	35.5	38.6	5.2	4.5	5.0
Oshkosh-Neenah .....	90.9	92.5	91.5	4.2	3.6	4.2	4.7	3.9	4.6

See footnotes at end of table.

**LABOR FORCE DATA  
NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED**

**LABOR FORCE DATA  
NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED**

**Table 1. Civilian labor force and unemployment by state and metropolitan area—Continued**

(Numbers in thousands)

State and area	Civilian labor force			Unemployed					
				Number			Percent of labor force		
	Jan. 2005	Dec. 2005	Jan. 2006	Jan. 2005	Dec. 2005	Jan. 2006	Jan. 2005	Dec. 2005	Jan. 2006
<b>Wisconsin—Continued</b>									
Racine .....	98.6	100.7	100.0	6.3	5.5	6.0	6.4	5.4	6.0
Sheboygan .....	63.9	64.7	65.1	2.6	2.4	2.6	4.1	3.7	4.0
Wausau .....	73.4	74.3	74.8	3.6	2.7	3.3	4.9	3.6	4.4
<b>Wyoming</b> .....	277.7	285.0	282.6	12.3	9.3	11.9	4.4	3.3	4.2
Casper .....	39.9	40.6	40.7	1.6	1.3	1.6	4.1	3.1	3.8
Cheyenne .....	42.4	42.2	42.5	2.1	1.6	2.1	5.0	3.7	4.8
<b>Puerto Rico</b> .....	1,373.0	1,415.4	1,385.1	148.4	152.6	159.8	10.8	10.8	11.5
Aguadilla-Isabela-San Sebastian .....	112.9	115.9	113.8	14.3	16.2	16.9	12.6	13.9	14.9
Fajardo .....	28.7	29.1	28.6	4.0	4.0	4.0	14.0	13.6	14.1
Guayama .....	25.7	26.6	25.9	4.0	4.3	4.6	15.6	16.2	17.6
Mayaguez .....	37.2	38.3	37.4	4.9	4.8	5.0	13.3	12.5	13.5
Ponce .....	91.3	94.3	90.9	10.0	10.6	11.1	10.9	11.2	12.2
San German-Cabo Rojo .....	51.4	51.6	50.4	6.2	5.9	6.4	12.0	11.5	12.6
San Juan-Caguas-Guaynabo .....	926.1	961.6	937.6	91.4	92.8	97.0	9.9	9.6	10.3
Yauco .....	38.2	39.0	38.9	5.6	5.5	5.8	14.7	14.1	14.9

<sup>1</sup> Area boundaries do not reflect official OMB definitions.

NOTE: Data refer to place of residence. Data for Puerto Rico are derived from a monthly household survey similar to the Current Population Survey. Area definitions are based on Office of Management and Budget Bulletin No. 06-01, dated December 5, 2005, and are available at <http://www.bls.gov/lau/lausmsa.htm> and in the May issue of *Employment and Earnings*. Areas in the six New England states are Metropolitan New England City and Town Areas (NECTAs),

while areas in other states are county-based. Some metropolitan areas lie in two or more states. They are listed under the state that appears first in their titles. Davenport-Moline-Rock Island, Iowa-Ill., and Weirton-Steubenville, W.Va-Ohio, are the exceptions in that they are listed under Illinois and Ohio, respectively, for operational reasons. Data for 2005 have been revised to incorporate updated inputs and adjustments to new state controls.

Table 2. Civilian labor force and unemployment by state, selected metropolitan area, and metropolitan division <sup>1</sup>

(Numbers in thousands)

State, area, and division	Civilian labor force			Unemployed					
				Number			Percent of labor force		
	Jan. 2005	Dec. 2005	Jan. 2006	Jan. 2005	Dec. 2005	Jan. 2006	Jan. 2005	Dec. 2005	Jan. 2006
<b>California</b>	17,506.5	17,805.1	17,658.7	1,079.2	853.3	936.3	6.2	4.8	5.3
Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana	6,358.6	6,499.8	6,432.8	354.0	296.6	316.0	5.6	4.6	4.9
Los Angeles-Long Beach-Glendale	4,770.2	4,886.7	4,834.0	289.5	245.7	260.0	6.1	5.0	5.4
Santa Ana-Anaheim-Irvine	1,588.5	1,613.1	1,598.8	64.5	50.9	56.0	4.1	3.2	3.5
San Francisco-Oakland-Fremont	2,177.5	2,195.0	2,174.6	115.3	87.5	96.6	5.3	4.0	4.4
Oakland-Fremont-Hayward	1,257.8	1,274.2	1,264.1	69.3	52.5	57.8	5.5	4.1	4.6
San Francisco-San Mateo-Redwood City	919.6	920.8	910.5	46.1	35.0	38.8	5.0	3.8	4.3
<b>District of Columbia</b>	293.9	292.0	290.7	20.6	16.7	15.8	7.0	5.7	5.4
Washington-Arlington-Alexandria <sup>2</sup>	2,825.5	2,890.2	2,897.1	104.9	82.0	89.9	3.7	2.8	3.1
Bethesda-Gaithersburg-Frederick <sup>3</sup>	617.9	631.0	634.0	21.6	16.1	19.0	3.5	2.5	3.0
Washington-Arlington-Alexandria <sup>2</sup>	2,207.6	2,259.2	2,263.1	83.3	65.9	70.9	3.8	2.9	3.1
<b>Florida</b>	8,456.2	8,729.7	8,715.7	366.8	257.0	271.3	4.3	2.9	3.1
Miami-Fort Lauderdale-Miami Beach	2,632.2	2,704.3	2,697.2	119.2	87.7	88.5	4.5	3.2	3.3
Fort Lauderdale-Pompano Beach-Deerfield Beach	929.7	965.9	964.7	40.0	27.9	29.9	4.3	2.9	3.1
Miami-Miami Beach-Kendall	1,101.6	1,118.1	1,114.5	51.6	40.7	38.7	4.7	3.6	3.5
West Palm Beach-Boca Raton-Boynton Beach	601.0	620.3	618.1	27.6	19.0	20.0	4.6	3.1	3.2
<b>Illinois</b>	6,384.1	6,478.8	6,457.5	405.6	336.1	371.6	6.4	5.2	5.8
Chicago-Naperville-Joliet <sup>2</sup>	4,696.5	4,724.5	4,732.0	298.4	250.6	266.6	6.4	5.3	5.6
Chicago-Naperville-Joliet	3,941.1	3,947.1	3,960.7	252.9	212.2	224.3	6.4	5.4	5.7
Gary <sup>3</sup>	323.1	330.1	329.7	20.9	18.3	18.2	6.5	5.5	5.5
Lake County-Kenosha County <sup>2</sup>	432.3	447.3	441.6	24.6	20.2	24.1	5.7	4.5	5.5
<b>Massachusetts</b>	3,336.1	3,365.0	3,338.1	182.8	150.3	176.9	5.5	4.5	5.3
Boston-Cambridge-Quincy <sup>2</sup>	2,425.9	2,448.6	2,431.9	121.1	101.0	115.3	5.0	4.1	4.7
Boston-Cambridge-Quincy	1,458.1	1,472.5	1,460.3	68.0	57.8	65.4	4.7	3.9	4.5
Brockton-Bridgewater-Easton	122.5	123.1	122.3	7.2	5.8	7.0	5.8	4.7	5.7
Framingham	142.4	144.3	143.1	6.2	5.2	6.1	4.4	3.6	4.2
Haverhill-North Andover-Amesbury <sup>2</sup>	118.1	119.2	119.0	6.4	5.1	5.9	5.4	4.3	4.9
Lawrence-Methuen-Salem <sup>2</sup>	69.7	69.6	69.1	6.2	5.1	5.6	8.9	7.3	8.2
Lowell-Billerica-Chelmsford <sup>2</sup>	149.9	150.2	149.7	8.8	7.0	7.9	5.9	4.6	5.3
Lynn-Peabody-Salem	125.8	126.9	125.8	6.9	5.8	6.6	5.5	4.6	5.2
Nashua <sup>2</sup>	177.7	180.4	180.6	7.9	6.5	7.3	4.5	3.6	4.0
Taunton-Norton-Raynham	61.6	62.4	62.1	3.5	2.7	3.5	5.6	4.4	5.6
<b>Michigan</b>	5,030.2	5,103.3	5,055.6	392.7	321.4	356.9	7.8	6.3	7.1
Detroit-Warren-Livonia	2,172.3	2,190.1	2,145.4	173.6	146.1	163.8	8.0	6.7	7.6
Detroit-Livonia-Dearborn	902.6	904.5	887.2	84.9	71.2	79.4	9.4	7.9	8.9
Warren-Troy-Farmington Hills	1,269.7	1,285.7	1,258.2	88.8	74.8	84.4	7.0	5.8	6.7
<b>New York</b>	9,313.9	9,447.8	9,448.3	527.8	458.6	490.0	5.7	4.9	5.2
New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island <sup>2</sup>	9,030.2	9,221.7	9,212.4	477.7	432.2	470.8	5.3	4.7	5.1
Edison <sup>3</sup>	1,143.7	1,183.6	1,178.5	50.1	46.3	54.7	4.4	3.9	4.6
Nassau-Suffolk	1,452.6	1,482.0	1,472.0	68.7	57.4	61.2	4.7	3.9	4.2
New York-White Plains-Wayne <sup>2</sup>	5,365.3	5,468.5	5,475.7	307.8	281.8	300.4	5.7	5.2	5.5
Newark-Union <sup>3</sup>	1,068.4	1,087.6	1,086.2	51.0	46.7	54.5	4.8	4.3	5.0
<b>Pennsylvania</b>	6,223.8	6,285.0	6,230.7	357.4	270.6	306.1	5.7	4.3	4.9
Philadelphia-Camden-Wilmington <sup>2</sup>	2,901.6	2,962.4	2,931.3	146.7	125.6	137.9	5.1	4.2	4.7
Camden <sup>3</sup>	642.6	661.2	657.5	29.5	28.2	32.1	4.6	4.3	4.9
Philadelphia	1,905.9	1,940.2	1,915.2	99.2	81.5	89.8	5.2	4.2	4.7
Wilmington <sup>3</sup>	353.2	361.0	358.6	17.9	15.9	16.0	5.1	4.4	4.5
<b>Texas</b>	11,081.1	11,325.8	11,289.6	656.2	540.8	593.4	5.9	4.8	5.3
Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington	2,977.8	3,066.0	3,055.5	171.4	140.1	153.1	5.8	4.6	5.0
Dallas-Plano-Irving	1,988.6	2,053.8	2,050.0	114.8	95.3	104.1	5.8	4.6	5.1
Fort Worth-Arlington	989.2	1,012.2	1,005.5	56.6	44.7	49.0	5.7	4.4	4.9
<b>Washington</b>	3,242.8	3,322.7	3,303.3	207.3	171.6	175.6	6.4	5.2	5.3
Seattle-Tacoma-Bellevue	1,717.2	1,764.9	1,754.2	93.5	81.7	79.7	5.4	4.6	4.5
Seattle-Bellevue-Everett	1,345.6	1,382.7	1,374.2	68.5	62.8	59.6	5.1	4.5	4.3
Tacoma	371.7	382.2	380.0	25.1	18.9	20.1	6.7	5.0	5.3

<sup>1</sup> These 11 areas contain all of the 34 metropolitan divisions.

<sup>2</sup> Part of the area (or division) is in one or more adjacent states.

<sup>3</sup> All of the division is in one or more adjacent states.

NOTE: Data refer to place of residence. Area definitions are based on Office of Management and Budget Bulletin No. 06-01, dated December 5, 2005, and are available at <http://www.bls.gov/law/tausmsa.htm> and in the May issue of *Employment and Earnings*. Areas in the six New England states are Metropolitan New England City and Town Areas (NECTAs), while areas in other states are county-based. Some metropolitan areas lie in two or more states. They are listed under the state that corresponds to

the first city in their title. Metropolitan divisions are listed under their metropolitan areas. Some divisions lie in more than one state, and some, like Camden, N.J., are totally outside the states under which their metropolitan areas are listed. Two sets of metropolitan areas and divisions have similar or identical titles. For Washington-Arlington-Alexandria, D.C.-Va.-Md.-W.Va., the metropolitan area and division titles are identical. For the Chicago-Naperville-Joliet, Ill.-Ind.-Wis., metropolitan area, the division title is similar but includes only Illinois. Data for 2005 have been revised to incorporate updated inputs and adjustments to new state controls.



**ESTABLISHMENT DATA  
NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED**

**ESTABLISHMENT DATA  
NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED**

**Table 3. Employees on nonfarm payrolls by state and metropolitan area**

(Numbers in thousands)

State and area	December		January		Change from January 2005 to January 2006 <sup>P</sup>	
	2004	2005	2005	2006 <sup>P</sup>	Number	Percent
<b>Alabama</b> .....	1,932.4	1,971.3	1,899.8	1,947.0	47.2	2.5
Anniston-Oxford .....	51.5	51.5	50.5	51.3	.8	1.6
Auburn-Opelika .....	50.6	53.2	50.0	52.7	2.7	5.4
Birmingham-Hoover .....	517.7	525.8	509.4	518.6	9.2	1.8
Decatur .....	56.6	57.4	56.3	57.1	.8	1.4
Dothan .....	61.4	62.1	60.0	61.0	1.0	1.7
Florence-Muscle Shoals .....	53.9	55.7	52.8	55.6	2.8	5.3
Gadsden .....	38.7	39.7	37.7	39.1	1.4	3.7
Huntsville .....	196.8	201.4	193.7	199.2	5.5	2.8
Mobile .....	174.1	179.0	170.7	176.9	6.2	3.6
Montgomery .....	170.6	174.8	167.7	172.8	5.1	3.0
Tuscaloosa .....	91.4	93.6	89.5	92.2	2.7	3.0
<b>Alaska</b> .....	294.3	298.6	288.6	292.6	4.0	1.4
Anchorage .....	161.7	165.2	156.6	159.5	2.9	1.9
Fairbanks .....	37.1	37.2	35.0	35.2	.2	.6
<b>Arizona</b> .....	2,475.8	2,603.4	2,413.1	2,549.1	136.0	5.6
Flagstaff .....	60.4	63.1	58.2	61.2	3.0	5.2
Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale .....	1,756.7	1,862.8	1,714.5	1,826.3	111.8	6.5
Prescott .....	57.7	61.5	55.9	59.9	4.0	7.2
Tucson .....	372.4	378.6	356.3	369.9	13.6	3.8
Yuma .....	52.7	55.0	52.2	54.7	2.5	4.8
<b>Arkansas</b> .....	1,173.6	1,189.8	1,151.0	1,167.8	16.8	1.5
Fayetteville-Springdale-Rogers .....	193.9	203.3	191.0	200.5	9.5	5.0
Fort Smith .....	118.3	121.2	116.0	120.2	4.2	3.6
Hot Springs .....	36.9	37.0	36.3	36.5	.2	.6
Jonesboro .....	48.5	48.7	46.9	47.7	.8	1.7
Little Rock-North Little Rock .....	333.2	339.1	325.4	332.2	6.8	2.1
Pine Bluff .....	40.5	40.2	39.9	39.7	-.2	-.5
<b>California</b> .....	14,780.4	15,034.6	14,451.9	14,769.8	317.9	2.2
Bakersfield .....	217.2	225.4	214.6	222.6	8.0	3.7
Chico .....	72.8	74.5	71.1	73.3	2.2	3.1
El Centro .....	41.7	44.4	41.1	43.7	2.6	6.3
Fresno .....	289.9	295.4	284.3	290.1	5.8	2.0
Hanford-Corcoran .....	33.2	33.0	32.4	32.6	.2	.6
Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana .....	5,528.8	5,581.7	5,417.9	5,497.8	79.9	1.5
Madera .....	33.2	33.8	32.2	33.6	1.4	4.3
Merced .....	57.6	59.9	55.5	57.7	2.2	4.0
Modesto .....	156.6	162.0	152.7	159.6	6.9	4.5
Napa .....	60.7	62.8	59.3	61.3	2.0	3.4
Oxnard-Thousand Oaks-Ventura .....	291.1	296.6	285.7	293.1	7.4	2.6
Redding .....	63.9	64.0	62.0	62.8	.8	1.3
Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario .....	1,205.0	1,246.0	1,184.4	1,229.3	44.9	3.8
Sacramento-Arden-Arcade-Roseville .....	870.7	898.4	861.4	889.2	27.8	3.2
Salinas .....	128.1	128.5	123.3	125.5	2.2	1.8
San Diego-Carlsbad-San Marcos .....	1,285.1	1,302.2	1,256.1	1,284.3	28.2	2.2
San Francisco-Oakland-Fremont .....	1,988.9	2,014.6	1,941.0	1,980.2	39.2	2.0
San Jose-Sunnyvale-Santa Clara .....	872.1	875.9	855.9	863.1	7.2	.8
San Luis Obispo-Paso Robles .....	99.6	101.2	98.1	99.9	1.8	1.8
Santa Barbara-Santa Maria .....	170.2	172.4	166.0	169.1	3.1	1.9
Santa Cruz-Watsonville .....	93.7	93.4	90.9	91.2	.3	.3
Santa Rosa-Petaluma .....	186.2	189.3	181.5	185.5	4.0	2.2
Stockton .....	203.8	209.4	199.2	205.2	6.0	3.0
Vallejo-Fairfield .....	126.5	129.4	122.7	127.8	5.1	4.2
Visalia-Porterville .....	107.0	109.3	104.0	106.3	2.3	2.2
Yuba City .....	39.3	41.4	38.2	41.1	2.9	7.6
<b>Colorado</b> .....	2,224.4	2,269.6	2,163.5	2,218.5	55.0	2.5
Boulder .....	161.5	163.7	156.8	159.3	2.5	1.6
Colorado Springs .....	252.1	255.7	245.4	250.6	5.2	2.1
Denver-Aurora .....	1,186.1	1,211.5	1,154.5	1,185.1	30.6	2.7
Fort Collins-Loveland .....	130.0	132.6	124.7	128.0	3.3	2.6
Grand Junction .....	55.9	57.8	53.8	55.8	2.0	3.7
Greeley .....	75.7	78.2	73.0	76.5	3.5	4.8
Pueblo .....	55.7	55.9	53.8	54.7	.9	1.7
<b>Connecticut</b> .....	1,681.3	1,691.0	1,630.3	1,644.4	14.1	.9
Bridgeport-Stamford-Norwalk .....	416.3	418.0	402.0	406.8	4.8	1.2
Danbury .....	69.7	70.4	67.6	68.3	.7	1.0
Hartford-West Hartford-East Hartford .....	548.6	552.9	535.0	540.2	5.2	1.0
New Haven .....	278.0	275.7	268.2	268.7	.5	.2
Norwich-New London .....	136.1	136.4	132.8	133.2	.4	.3
Waterbury .....	70.4	69.9	68.3	68.5	.2	.3
<b>Delaware</b> .....	430.8	437.1	416.8	423.9	7.1	1.7
Dover .....	63.3	65.8	62.0	64.2	2.2	3.5
<b>District of Columbia</b> .....	678.6	689.2	670.3	679.6	9.3	1.4
Washington-Arlington-Alexandria .....	2,915.7	2,971.6	2,848.2	2,915.1	66.9	2.3

See footnotes at end of table.

**ESTABLISHMENT DATA  
NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED**

**ESTABLISHMENT DATA  
NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED**

**Table 3. Employees on nonfarm payrolls by state and metropolitan area—Continued**

(Numbers in thousands)

State and area	December		January		Change from January 2005 to January 2006 <sup>P</sup>	
	2004	2005	2005	2006 <sup>P</sup>	Number	Percent
<b>Florida</b> .....	7,747.5	8,031.8	7,631.8	7,941.0	309.2	4.1
Cape Coral-Fort Myers .....	217.3	231.6	215.8	230.1	14.3	6.6
Deltona-Daytona Beach-Ormond Beach .....	169.5	175.5	167.7	174.3	6.6	3.9
Fort Walton Beach-Crestview-Destin .....	84.9	88.5	84.0	87.6	3.6	4.3
Gainesville .....	131.2	132.0	127.7	130.9	3.2	2.5
Jacksonville .....	602.4	622.1	592.3	613.5	21.2	3.6
Lakeland .....	209.4	217.9	207.1	215.7	8.6	4.2
Miami-Fort Lauderdale-Miami Beach .....	2,384.5	2,452.0	2,342.5	2,419.5	77.0	3.3
Naples-Marco Island .....	127.3	134.2	126.2	133.8	7.6	6.0
Ocala .....	99.1	102.8	98.0	101.8	3.8	3.9
Orlando-Kissimmee .....	1,017.4	1,070.8	1,011.8	1,059.6	47.8	4.7
Palm Bay-Melbourne-Titusville .....	208.6	214.9	207.9	212.3	4.4	2.1
Panama City-Lynn Haven .....	71.3	73.2	69.9	72.6	2.7	3.9
Pensacola-Ferry Pass-Brent .....	165.5	171.5	162.1	169.1	7.0	4.3
Port St. Lucie-Fort Pierce .....	125.2	131.1	123.7	129.2	5.5	4.4
Punta Gorda .....	39.8	41.6	39.8	41.2	1.4	3.5
Sarasota-Bradenton-Venice .....	295.9	311.2	290.4	307.2	16.8	5.8
Sebastian-Vero Beach .....	45.4	49.1	45.9	48.6	2.7	5.9
Tallahassee .....	172.6	175.6	169.4	173.3	3.9	2.3
Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater .....	1,275.1	1,317.6	1,260.4	1,300.9	40.5	3.2
<b>Georgia</b> .....	3,969.3	4,063.9	3,910.8	3,992.4	81.6	2.1
Albany .....	64.1	66.0	63.1	64.7	1.6	2.5
Athens-Clarke County .....	78.6	80.4	77.0	78.7	1.7	2.2
Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Marietta .....	2,314.9	2,384.6	2,279.9	2,339.5	59.6	2.6
Augusta-Richmond County .....	216.7	216.0	212.3	214.2	1.9	.9
Brunswick .....	43.1	45.1	42.8	44.5	1.7	4.0
Columbus .....	122.6	124.3	119.9	121.7	1.8	1.5
Dalton .....	77.5	79.4	75.7	77.5	1.8	2.4
Gainesville .....	69.7	70.7	69.2	70.0	.8	1.2
Hinesville-Fort Stewart .....	17.4	17.7	17.4	17.6	.2	1.1
Macon .....	102.1	102.3	100.1	100.8	.7	.7
Rome .....	42.7	43.9	42.5	43.0	.5	1.2
Savannah .....	150.4	154.4	148.0	152.3	4.3	2.9
Valdosta .....	53.5	55.2	53.0	54.3	1.3	2.5
Warner Robins .....	52.7	53.6	52.5	53.2	.7	1.3
<b>Hawaii</b> .....	601.8	620.4	585.0	605.1	20.1	3.4
Honolulu .....	444.1	458.0	429.9	444.4	14.5	3.4
<b>Idaho</b> .....	598.9	627.7	581.5	611.5	30.0	5.2
Boise City-Nampa .....	249.0	263.6	243.4	257.8	14.4	5.9
Coeur d'Alene .....	50.1	52.9	48.1	51.0	2.9	6.0
Idaho Falls .....	49.9	53.3	49.2	52.3	3.1	6.3
Lewiston .....	26.7	26.8	26.8	26.1	-.7	-2.6
Pocatello .....	38.8	39.5	37.6	38.4	.8	2.1
<b>Illinois</b> .....	5,897.6	5,942.1	5,700.8	5,762.7	61.9	1.1
Bloomington-Normal .....	89.8	92.7	85.0	87.7	2.7	3.2
Champaign-Urbana .....	114.5	114.6	106.9	107.1	.2	.2
Chicago-Naperville-Joliet .....	4,479.5	4,522.2	4,339.6	4,391.0	51.4	1.2
Danville .....	32.2	32.4	31.4	31.6	.2	.6
Davenport-Moline-Rock Island .....	185.8	189.6	180.7	183.6	2.9	1.6
Decatur .....	54.5	55.1	53.1	53.7	.6	1.1
Kankakee-Bradley .....	42.9	42.8	41.1	41.7	.6	1.5
Peoria .....	177.8	181.1	173.9	176.9	3.0	1.7
Rockford .....	156.8	155.2	150.6	151.2	.6	.4
Springfield .....	111.2	112.7	108.2	109.5	1.3	1.2
<b>Indiana</b> .....	2,967.9	2,999.9	2,882.2	2,920.5	38.3	1.3
Anderson .....	44.7	45.2	43.5	44.3	.8	1.8
Bloomington .....	79.9	84.3	81.1	81.1	.0	.0
Columbus .....	43.2	43.5	41.6	42.4	.8	1.9
Elkhart-Goshen .....	127.7	129.7	125.5	128.4	2.9	2.3
Evansville .....	179.9	179.7	175.7	177.0	1.3	.7
Fort Wayne .....	213.9	218.0	208.3	213.6	5.3	2.5
Indianapolis-Carmel .....	892.7	895.4	862.8	875.7	12.9	1.5
Kokomo .....	48.9	47.5	47.3	46.4	-.9	-1.9
Lafayette .....	94.1	95.3	90.6	92.4	1.8	2.0
Michigan City-La Porte .....	47.2	47.5	45.6	46.6	1.0	2.2
Muncie .....	54.5	53.7	51.9	51.9	.0	.0
South Bend-Mishawaka .....	148.2	149.4	142.8	145.7	2.9	2.0
Terre Haute .....	75.1	75.1	72.0	73.0	1.0	1.4
<b>Iowa</b> .....	1,482.7	1,509.4	1,434.2	1,462.3	28.1	2.0
Ames .....	47.7	47.3	45.6	45.9	.3	.7
Cedar Rapids .....	132.3	133.5	128.7	130.4	1.7	1.3
Des Moines-West Des Moines .....	305.1	310.4	295.8	307.3	11.5	3.9
Dubuque .....	53.6	54.7	51.8	54.8	3.0	5.8
Iowa City .....	86.2	88.3	82.0	87.6	5.6	6.8
Sioux City .....	72.0	73.3	68.6	72.6	4.0	5.8
Waterloo-Cedar Falls .....	89.1	89.4	85.5	87.9	2.4	2.8

See footnotes at end of table.

**ESTABLISHMENT DATA  
NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED**

**ESTABLISHMENT DATA  
NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED**

**Table 3. Employees on nonfarm payrolls by state and metropolitan area—Continued**

(Numbers in thousands)

State and area	December		January		Change from January 2005 to January 2006 <sup>P</sup>	
	2004	2005	2005	2006 <sup>P</sup>	Number	Percent
<b>Kansas</b> .....	1,349.3	1,347.5	1,303.3	1,320.6	17.3	1.3
Lawrence .....	53.6	52.1	49.5	49.2	-.3	-.6
Topeka .....	111.6	110.8	108.6	109.6	1.0	.9
Wichita .....	290.1	288.6	282.9	285.4	2.5	.9
<b>Kentucky</b> .....	1,825.4	1,851.2	1,778.9	1,810.5	31.6	1.8
Bowling Green .....	59.0	61.5	57.2	59.7	2.5	4.4
Elizabethtown .....	46.2	46.7	45.6	46.2	.6	1.3
Lexington-Fayette .....	246.6	253.0	241.7	248.2	6.5	2.7
Louisville-Jefferson County .....	609.3	613.7	591.4	600.7	9.3	1.6
Owensboro .....	50.3	50.9	49.0	49.8	.8	1.6
<b>Louisiana</b> .....	1,939.0	1,750.6	1,905.0	1,736.3	-168.7	-8.9
Alexandria .....	63.1	65.4	61.9	65.3	3.4	5.5
Baton Rouge .....	344.5	361.4	337.8	356.9	19.1	5.7
Houma-Bayou Cane-Thibodaux .....	83.8	84.7	82.5	84.2	1.7	2.1
Lafayette .....	136.1	142.8	134.0	139.8	5.8	4.3
Lake Charles .....	89.5	92.6	88.1	89.4	1.3	1.5
Monroe .....	78.9	79.8	77.2	78.5	1.3	1.7
New Orleans-Metairie-Kenner .....	616.0	408.5	606.5	409.0	-197.5	-32.6
Shreveport-Bossier City .....	172.0	178.4	170.0	177.2	7.2	4.2
<b>Maine</b> .....	616.7	616.7	589.5	589.3	-.2	.0
Bangor .....	66.7	66.9	63.5	64.2	.7	1.1
Lewiston-Auburn .....	48.4	48.9	46.9	47.3	.4	.9
Portland-South Portland-Biddeford .....	196.0	196.0	186.9	187.1	.2	.1
<b>Maryland</b> .....	2,560.6	2,590.3	2,482.0	2,519.0	37.0	1.5
Baltimore-Towson .....	1,284.8	1,308.1	1,248.8	1,271.7	22.9	1.8
Cumberland .....	40.4	40.6	39.0	39.0	.0	.0
Hagerstown-Martinsburg .....	100.7	101.1	98.3	99.1	.8	.8
Salisbury .....	55.2	55.2	52.6	53.4	.8	1.5
<b>Massachusetts</b> .....	3,220.6	3,238.7	3,113.6	3,145.2	31.6	1.0
Barnstable Town .....	96.7	97.4	90.5	91.9	1.4	1.5
Boston-Cambridge-Quincy .....	2,439.3	2,456.2	2,366.9	2,390.3	23.4	1.0
Leominster-Fitchburg-Gardner .....	51.4	50.9	49.6	49.8	.2	-.4
New Bedford .....	64.1	64.7	62.6	63.2	.6	1.0
Pittsfield .....	36.4	36.7	35.6	36.1	.5	1.4
Springfield .....	299.1	298.4	288.9	289.5	.6	-.2
Worcester .....	246.3	246.3	239.0	240.7	1.7	.7
<b>Michigan</b> .....	4,451.6	4,429.0	4,283.9	4,275.6	-8.3	-.2
Ann Arbor .....	207.8	208.0	198.8	200.8	2.0	1.0
Battle Creek .....	64.1	63.1	60.9	60.9	.0	.0
Bay City .....	40.0	39.8	37.9	38.4	.5	1.3
Detroit-Warren-Livonia .....	2,082.3	2,062.4	2,007.6	1,996.9	-10.7	-.5
Flint .....	158.8	157.4	153.0	152.9	-.1	-.1
Grand Rapids-Wyoming .....	394.3	401.6	382.2	391.1	8.9	2.3
Holland-Grand Haven .....	116.3	117.9	113.1	114.7	1.6	1.4
Jackson .....	61.7	61.9	59.6	59.5	-.1	-.2
Kalamazoo-Portage .....	145.5	146.7	141.8	143.4	1.6	1.1
Lansing-East Lansing .....	234.0	231.2	222.8	225.7	2.9	1.3
Monroe .....	44.0	44.2	42.7	42.3	-.4	-.9
Muskegon-Norton Shores .....	66.8	67.7	64.9	66.7	1.8	2.8
Niles-Benton Harbor .....	64.6	65.5	62.9	63.8	.9	1.4
Saginaw-Saginaw Township North .....	94.6	94.2	91.0	90.9	-.1	-.1
<b>Minnesota</b> .....	2,722.9	2,746.3	2,629.3	2,671.4	42.1	1.6
Duluth .....	130.3	131.9	125.4	128.0	2.6	2.1
Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington .....	1,767.9	1,783.8	1,715.0	1,736.0	21.0	1.2
Rochester .....	104.1	105.2	102.1	103.3	1.2	1.2
St. Cloud .....	97.8	99.8	94.5	96.3	1.8	1.9
<b>Mississippi</b> .....	1,133.1	1,130.3	1,118.4	1,120.2	1.8	.2
Gulfport-Biloxi .....	114.5	91.5	113.0	90.1	-22.9	-20.3
Hattiesburg .....	56.3	58.2	55.1	57.5	2.4	4.4
Jackson .....	258.1	258.6	253.1	257.9	4.8	1.9
Pascagoula .....	55.3	55.1	54.6	54.6	.0	.0
<b>Missouri</b> .....	2,730.9	2,756.7	2,656.0	2,688.5	32.5	1.2
Columbia .....	89.7	92.7	85.3	88.3	3.0	3.5
Jefferson City .....	78.5	79.1	77.7	78.6	.9	1.2
Joplin .....	77.1	78.9	75.1	77.5	2.4	3.2
Kansas City .....	981.5	989.4	955.0	972.0	17.0	1.8
St. Joseph .....	53.7	55.2	52.7	54.4	1.7	3.2
St. Louis <sup>1</sup> .....	1,340.8	1,356.5	1,306.2	1,328.6	22.4	1.7
Springfield .....	187.7	194.5	184.1	190.8	6.7	3.6

See footnotes at end of table.

**ESTABLISHMENT DATA  
NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED**

**ESTABLISHMENT DATA  
NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED**

**Table 3. Employees on nonfarm payrolls by state and metropolitan area—Continued**

(Numbers in thousands)

State and area	December		January		Change from January 2005 to January 2006 <sup>P</sup>	
	2004	2005	2005	2006 <sup>P</sup>	Number	Percent
<b>Montana</b> .....	416.6	425.3	400.8	409.7	8.9	2.2
Billings .....	75.5	77.0	72.9	74.8	1.9	2.6
Great Falls .....	34.0	34.2	32.5	33.0	.5	1.5
Missoula .....	54.7	55.5	52.0	51.9	-.1	-.2
<b>Nebraska</b> .....	933.7	947.0	911.8	933.9	22.1	2.4
Lincoln .....	169.7	170.9	164.9	168.6	3.7	2.2
Omaha-Council Bluffs .....	449.9	458.6	440.7	451.7	11.0	2.5
<b>Nevada</b> .....	1,193.0	1,264.0	1,166.7	1,243.5	76.8	6.6
Carson City .....	32.4	33.3	31.4	32.5	1.1	3.5
Las Vegas-Paradise .....	843.9	901.7	832.4	892.0	59.6	7.2
Reno-Sparks .....	215.4	223.4	204.1	215.8	11.7	5.7
<b>New Hampshire</b> .....	638.2	642.5	621.4	626.2	4.8	.8
Manchester .....	100.8	101.5	97.7	99.9	2.2	2.3
Portsmouth .....	54.6	56.0	52.8	54.4	1.6	3.0
Rochester-Dover .....	55.9	57.1	54.1	54.5	.4	.7
<b>New Jersey</b> .....	4,064.0	4,101.5	3,946.0	3,995.5	49.5	1.3
Atlantic City .....	152.7	153.4	147.6	149.0	1.4	.9
Ocean City .....	39.4	40.3	35.4	36.7	1.3	3.7
Trenton-Ewing .....	237.7	241.2	230.5	236.2	5.7	2.5
Vineland-Millville-Bridgeton .....	64.8	65.6	62.1	63.7	1.6	2.6
<b>New Mexico</b> .....	805.2	826.2	786.5	807.1	20.6	2.6
Albuquerque .....	376.9	385.1	367.5	376.2	8.7	2.4
Farmington .....	49.2	50.4	47.9	49.0	1.1	2.3
Las Cruces .....	64.7	67.8	63.0	64.5	1.5	2.4
Santa Fe .....	61.1	62.5	59.1	60.7	1.6	2.7
<b>New York</b> .....	8,639.6	8,680.4	8,329.6	8,402.1	72.5	.9
Albany-Schenectady-Troy .....	451.1	453.0	436.8	438.3	1.5	.3
Binghamton .....	114.1	114.2	109.4	109.6	.2	.2
Buffalo-Niagara Falls .....	558.7	555.9	535.3	535.9	.6	.1
Elmira .....	41.6	40.9	39.5	39.4	-.1	-.3
Glens Falls .....	52.8	52.7	50.9	51.4	.5	1.0
Ithaca .....	63.8	63.9	59.5	59.6	.1	.2
Kingston .....	64.7	64.5	62.3	62.7	.4	.6
New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island .....	8,462.5	8,520.5	8,165.6	8,256.7	91.1	1.1
Poughkeepsie-Newburgh-Middletown .....	258.8	259.6	247.0	249.8	2.8	1.1
Rochester .....	520.2	512.6	504.0	495.1	-8.9	-1.8
Syracuse .....	324.7	328.4	311.5	315.5	4.0	1.3
Utica-Rome .....	135.1	134.5	129.8	130.2	.4	.3
<b>North Carolina</b> .....	3,911.1	3,971.2	3,832.7	3,904.1	71.4	1.9
Asheville .....	167.7	169.7	163.8	166.1	2.3	1.4
Burlington .....	60.1	59.6	58.5	58.7	.2	.3
Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord .....	790.0	808.9	775.0	795.6	20.6	2.7
Durham .....	270.5	274.9	265.2	272.3	7.1	2.7
Fayetteville .....	123.3	127.0	122.5	125.6	3.1	2.5
Goldensboro .....	43.3	43.5	42.6	42.8	.2	.5
Greensboro-High Point .....	362.3	362.0	356.0	354.5	-1.5	-.4
Greenville .....	70.5	71.2	69.2	70.9	1.7	2.5
Hickory-Lenoir-Morganton .....	165.4	162.9	163.0	160.8	-2.2	-1.3
Jacksonville .....	41.9	42.8	40.9	42.5	1.6	3.9
Raleigh-Cary .....	458.2	475.5	448.4	465.9	17.5	3.9
Rocky Mount .....	63.6	62.3	61.6	60.6	-1.0	-1.6
Wilmington .....	129.7	135.9	126.8	133.2	6.4	5.0
Winston-Salem .....	210.9	213.1	207.7	209.1	1.4	.7
<b>North Dakota</b> .....	343.9	351.1	333.4	342.5	9.1	2.7
Bismarck .....	56.2	57.9	54.9	56.5	1.6	2.9
Fargo .....	112.0	115.1	108.3	112.3	4.0	3.7
Grand Forks .....	52.7	54.0	51.1	52.8	1.7	3.3
<b>Ohio</b> .....	5,476.5	5,497.3	5,287.2	5,326.5	39.3	.7
Akron .....	338.5	343.7	328.0	333.6	5.6	1.7
Canton-Massillon .....	179.3	178.0	173.2	173.1	-.1	-.1
Cincinnati-Middletown .....	1,039.4	1,049.1	1,004.3	1,024.4	20.1	2.0
Cleveland-Elyria-Mentor .....	1,084.0	1,078.8	1,044.9	1,049.5	4.6	.4
Columbus .....	934.8	938.3	902.7	910.1	7.4	.8
Dayton .....	414.4	411.2	404.1	403.1	-1.0	-.2
Lima .....	58.6	57.8	56.4	56.4	.0	.0
Mansfield .....	60.3	59.6	58.0	58.2	.2	.3
Sandusky .....	37.1	37.4	35.8	36.6	.8	2.2
Springfield .....	53.7	53.0	52.0	51.8	-.2	-.4
Toledo .....	334.2	334.7	319.7	322.4	2.7	.8
Weirton-Steubenville .....	48.8	47.4	46.5	45.1	-1.4	-3.0
Youngstown-Warren-Boardman .....	246.3	248.1	237.1	240.3	3.2	1.3

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3. Employees on nonfarm payrolls by state and metropolitan area—Continued

(Numbers in thousands)

State and area	December		January		Change from January 2005 to January 2006 <sup>P</sup>	
	2004	2005	2005	2006 <sup>P</sup>	Number	Percent
<b>Oklahoma</b> .....	1,503.5	1,538.8	1,465.5	1,508.0	42.5	2.9
Lawton .....	41.3	41.1	40.1	40.3	.2	.5
Oklahoma City .....	554.6	566.1	536.7	552.1	15.4	2.9
Tulsa .....	403.9	420.3	400.2	413.1	12.9	3.2
<b>Oregon</b> .....	1,645.7	1,697.2	1,600.2	1,659.0	58.8	3.7
Bend .....	61.9	64.9	60.5	63.5	3.0	5.0
Corvallis .....	38.5	38.0	37.9	37.4	-.5	-1.3
Eugene-Springfield .....	150.2	152.4	145.7	147.3	1.6	1.1
Medford .....	82.4	86.1	78.0	81.3	3.3	4.2
Portland-Vancouver-Beaverton .....	978.7	1,007.1	954.0	983.8	29.8	3.1
Salem .....	145.0	146.6	140.7	143.4	2.7	1.9
<b>Pennsylvania</b> .....	5,728.7	5,783.6	5,568.7	5,633.6	64.9	1.2
Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton .....	334.2	340.7	326.6	334.5	7.9	2.4
Altoona .....	62.3	62.3	60.1	61.2	1.1	1.8
Erie .....	133.2	134.0	129.7	130.5	.8	.6
Harrisburg-Carlisle .....	323.6	327.5	316.3	321.1	4.8	1.5
Johnstown .....	60.5	61.1	58.3	59.3	1.0	1.7
Lancaster .....	236.3	238.8	229.6	232.4	2.8	1.2
Lebanon .....	47.5	48.8	46.6	47.8	1.2	2.6
Philadelphia-Camden-Wilmington .....	2,799.8	2,820.6	2,715.8	2,742.4	26.6	1.0
Pittsburgh .....	1,143.3	1,149.4	1,111.0	1,118.5	7.5	.7
Reading .....	170.0	171.7	165.5	168.4	2.9	1.8
Scranton—Wilkes-Barre .....	260.9	264.9	252.9	257.4	4.5	1.8
State College .....	73.1	74.9	67.9	68.5	.6	.9
Williamsport .....	54.3	54.1	52.7	53.0	.3	.6
York-Hanover .....	177.8	179.4	173.5	176.0	2.5	1.4
<b>Rhode Island</b> .....	495.3	497.9	475.8	479.5	3.7	.8
Providence-Fall River-Warwick .....	590.0	590.4	568.3	569.1	.8	.1
<b>South Carolina</b> .....	1,857.4	1,885.7	1,820.5	1,847.3	26.8	1.5
Anderson .....	64.2	61.5	62.8	60.2	-2.6	-4.1
Charleston-North Charleston .....	276.0	278.6	271.3	275.9	4.6	1.7
Columbia .....	351.8	355.8	347.3	349.0	1.7	.5
Florence .....	88.4	86.3	84.4	85.0	.6	.7
Greenville .....	298.4	299.6	293.1	294.4	1.3	.4
Myrtle Beach-Conway-North Myrtle Beach .....	107.9	114.4	104.0	110.7	6.7	6.4
Spartanburg .....	126.7	119.6	121.8	116.2	-5.6	-4.6
Sumter .....	41.4	41.0	40.5	41.1	.6	1.5
<b>South Dakota</b> .....	385.4	391.4	375.3	383.3	8.0	2.1
Rapid City .....	58.0	58.2	56.6	57.6	1.0	1.8
Sioux Falls .....	124.9	127.8	121.3	125.4	4.1	3.4
<b>Tennessee</b> .....	2,756.2	2,789.3	2,671.3	2,708.9	37.6	1.4
Chattanooga .....	242.5	244.3	236.1	240.0	3.9	1.7
Clarksville .....	82.6	84.3	80.8	83.3	2.5	3.1
Cleveland .....	42.1	42.8	40.9	41.9	1.0	2.4
Jackson .....	61.2	61.7	58.9	60.3	1.4	2.4
Johnson City .....	80.6	82.2	77.8	79.4	1.6	2.1
Kingsport-Bristol-Bristol .....	121.2	122.0	118.8	120.2	1.4	1.2
Knoxville .....	327.5	333.0	321.7	327.2	5.5	1.7
Memphis .....	625.3	636.8	610.8	624.4	13.6	2.2
Morristown .....	52.1	52.1	50.7	50.4	-.3	-.6
Nashville-Davidson—Murfreesboro .....	735.5	752.5	713.7	735.0	21.3	3.0
<b>Texas</b> .....	9,658.8	9,923.7	9,493.0	9,771.8	278.8	2.9
Abilene .....	64.2	65.6	62.7	64.6	1.9	3.0
Amarillo .....	107.6	110.1	104.3	108.0	3.7	3.5
Austin-Round Rock .....	681.8	706.7	672.2	698.8	26.6	4.0
Beaumont-Port Arthur .....	153.6	154.2	152.8	152.0	-.8	-.5
Brownsville-Harlingen .....	116.7	119.2	114.7	118.2	3.5	3.1
College Station-Bryan .....	90.3	91.2	84.9	86.0	1.1	1.3
Corpus Christi .....	169.1	172.1	167.3	169.3	2.0	1.2
Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington .....	2,747.7	2,827.1	2,689.1	2,786.1	97.0	3.6
El Paso .....	260.5	264.8	255.2	259.7	4.5	1.8
Houston-Sugar Land-Baytown .....	2,329.0	2,404.1	2,291.3	2,370.7	79.4	3.5
Killeen-Temple-Fort Hood .....	116.4	119.1	114.3	117.2	2.9	2.5
Laredo .....	79.2	84.0	78.2	83.2	5.0	6.4
Longview .....	88.7	92.0	87.4	90.8	3.4	3.9
Lubbock .....	125.9	128.3	123.9	125.6	1.7	1.4
McAllen-Edinburg-Mission .....	192.8	203.1	189.9	200.8	10.9	5.7
Midland .....	57.6	61.1	57.7	60.0	2.3	4.0
Odessa .....	53.0	55.3	51.7	54.4	2.7	5.2
San Angelo .....	43.8	44.1	43.3	43.2	-.1	-.2
San Antonio .....	766.2	789.9	756.8	778.1	21.3	2.8
Sherman-Denison .....	44.4	44.4	43.2	43.5	.3	.7
Texarkana .....	53.9	56.1	53.1	55.1	2.0	3.8
Tyler .....	90.4	91.9	88.9	90.6	1.7	1.9
Victoria .....	47.8	49.7	47.5	48.8	1.3	2.7
Waco .....	102.8	106.8	103.6	104.6	1.0	1.0
Wichita Falls .....	61.5	62.3	60.1	61.4	1.3	2.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3. Employees on nonfarm payrolls by state and metropolitan area—Continued

(Numbers in thousands)

State and area	December		January		Change from January 2005 to January 2006 <sup>P</sup>	
	2004	2005	2005	2006 <sup>P</sup>	Number	Percent
<b>Utah</b> .....	1,136.4	1,188.9	1,110.8	1,164.0	53.2	4.8
Logan .....	50.5	51.4	49.9	50.5	.6	1.2
Ogden-Clearfield .....	187.0	192.8	181.8	187.8	6.0	3.3
Provo-Orem .....	170.2	178.5	165.7	174.2	8.5	5.1
St. George .....	45.2	49.3	44.0	48.5	4.5	10.2
Salt Lake City .....	583.1	610.9	572.1	599.5	27.4	4.8
<b>Vermont</b> .....	310.3	312.5	302.9	304.8	1.9	.6
Burlington-South Burlington .....	116.1	114.1	111.0	110.1	-.9	-.8
<b>Virginia</b> .....	3,660.0	3,722.3	3,573.4	3,661.3	87.9	2.5
Blacksburg-Christiansburg-Radford .....	70.3	73.2	68.4	69.5	1.1	1.6
Charlottesville .....	93.8	98.4	92.2	97.6	5.4	5.9
Danville .....	44.5	42.9	42.8	41.2	-1.6	-3.7
Harrisonburg .....	61.2	62.0	60.3	60.7	.4	.7
Lynchburg .....	106.4	108.8	103.3	105.7	2.4	2.3
Richmond .....	615.0	625.2	603.1	616.7	13.6	2.3
Roanoke .....	158.6	163.4	155.4	159.5	4.1	2.6
Virginia Beach-Norfolk-Newport News .....	759.8	772.3	738.2	751.1	12.9	1.7
Winchester .....	55.1	57.2	53.9	56.2	2.3	4.3
<b>Washington</b> .....	2,749.6	2,834.3	2,688.2	2,776.2	88.0	3.3
Bellingham .....	79.5	83.2	77.9	81.3	3.4	4.4
Bremerton-Silverdale .....	84.1	86.0	81.6	85.3	3.7	4.5
Kennewick-Richland-Pasco .....	87.2	87.4	84.7	85.6	.9	1.1
Longview .....	36.5	37.6	35.9	36.9	1.0	2.8
Mount Vernon-Anacortes .....	44.6	46.1	43.5	45.4	1.9	4.4
Olympia .....	94.7	97.8	93.2	96.7	3.5	3.8
Seattle-Tacoma-Bellevue .....	1,620.9	1,681.5	1,586.4	1,652.3	65.9	4.2
Spokane .....	204.7	210.9	199.1	204.7	5.6	2.8
Wenatchee .....	36.6	38.2	35.1	37.1	2.0	5.7
Yakima .....	74.9	77.4	72.9	76.1	3.2	4.4
<b>West Virginia</b> .....	747.3	757.4	727.1	737.2	10.1	1.4
Charleston .....	149.6	150.5	146.0	146.7	.7	.5
Huntington-Ashland .....	120.1	120.9	115.6	117.4	1.8	1.6
Morgantown .....	57.3	59.1	56.0	58.1	2.1	3.8
Parkersburg-Marietta-Vienna .....	75.0	73.9	71.7	72.1	.4	.6
Wheeling .....	68.2	69.9	65.8	67.5	1.7	2.6
<b>Wisconsin</b> .....	2,841.5	2,872.0	2,757.8	2,791.5	33.7	1.2
Appleton .....	117.2	117.6	114.3	115.5	1.2	1.0
Eau Claire .....	79.4	80.6	75.4	77.3	1.9	2.5
Fond du Lac .....	48.2	48.7	47.1	48.2	1.1	2.3
Green Bay .....	168.4	171.0	164.3	167.1	2.8	1.7
Janesville .....	69.8	69.6	64.9	68.1	3.2	4.9
La Crosse .....	73.5	73.6	71.6	71.7	.1	.1
Madison .....	342.8	348.5	335.6	341.1	5.5	1.6
Milwaukee-Waukesha-West Allis .....	840.5	838.2	822.7	815.2	-7.5	-.9
Oshkosh-Neenah .....	92.1	93.2	89.8	90.1	.3	.3
Racine .....	80.9	82.3	78.3	80.1	1.8	2.3
Sheboygan .....	62.9	63.4	61.9	62.9	1.0	1.6
Wausau .....	71.7	72.7	70.1	71.7	1.6	2.3
<b>Wyoming</b> .....	256.0	263.6	249.6	257.9	8.3	3.3
Casper .....	36.8	37.6	35.8	37.1	1.3	3.6
Cheyenne .....	40.7	41.8	40.0	40.9	.9	2.3
<b>Puerto Rico</b> .....	1,097.2	1,075.9	1,036.5	1,048.9	12.4	1.2
Aguadilla-Isabela-San Sebastian .....	53.2	51.8	50.7	50.2	-.5	-1.0
Fajardo .....	17.8	17.7	17.4	17.5	.1	.6
Guayama .....	18.7	18.3	17.7	17.7	.0	.0
Mayaguez .....	43.3	42.5	40.5	41.2	.7	1.7
Ponce .....	69.9	68.9	67.0	66.1	-.9	-1.3
San German-Cabo Rojo .....	23.6	22.4	22.4	21.6	-.8	-3.6
San Juan-Caguas-Guaynabo .....	824.1	811.2	776.0	791.4	15.4	2.0
Yauco .....	15.6	15.1	14.6	14.9	.3	2.1
<b>Virgin Islands</b> .....	44.1	43.9	43.9	43.7	-.2	-.5

<sup>1</sup> Area boundaries do not reflect official OMB definitions.

<sup>P</sup> = preliminary.

NOTE: Data are counts of jobs by place of work. Data have been revised to reflect 2005 benchmark levels. Estimates subsequent to the current benchmark are provisional and will be revised when new information becomes available. Area definitions are based on Office of Management and Budget Bulletin No. 06-01, dated December 5, 2005, and are available at <http://www.bls.gov/lau/lausmsa.htm> and in the May issue of

*Employment and Earnings*. Areas in the six New England states are Metropolitan New England City and Town Areas (NECTAs), while areas in other states are county-based. Some metropolitan areas lie in two or more states. They are listed under the state that appears first in their titles. Davenport-Moline-Rock Island, Iowa-Ill., and Weirton-Steubenville, W. Va.-Ohio, are the exceptions in that they are listed under Illinois and Ohio, respectively, for operational reasons.



Table 4. Employees on nonfarm payrolls by state, selected metropolitan area, and metropolitan division

(Numbers in thousands)

State, area, and division	December		January		Change from January 2005 to January 2006 <sup>P</sup>	
	2004	2005	2005	2006 <sup>P</sup>	Number	Percent
<b>California</b> .....	14,780.4	15,034.6	14,451.9	14,769.8	317.9	2.2
Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana .....	5,528.8	5,581.7	5,417.9	5,497.8	79.9	1.5
Los Angeles-Long Beach-Glendale .....	4,041.6	4,072.5	3,962.2	4,012.4	50.2	1.3
Santa Ana-Anaheim-Irvine .....	1,487.2	1,509.2	1,455.7	1,485.4	29.7	2.0
San Francisco-Oakland-Fremont .....	1,988.9	2,014.6	1,941.0	1,980.2	39.2	2.0
Oakland-Fremont-Hayward .....	1,038.4	1,056.7	1,014.9	1,041.0	26.1	2.6
San Francisco-San Mateo-Redwood City .....	950.5	957.9	926.1	939.2	13.1	1.4
<b>District of Columbia</b> .....	678.6	689.2	670.3	679.6	9.3	1.4
Washington-Arlington-Alexandria <sup>1</sup> .....	2,915.7	2,971.6	2,848.2	2,915.1	66.9	2.3
Bethesda-Gaithersburg-Frederick <sup>2</sup> .....	570.7	581.7	556.9	567.8	10.9	2.0
Washington-Arlington-Alexandria <sup>1</sup> .....	2,345.0	2,389.9	2,291.3	2,347.3	56.0	2.4
<b>Florida</b> .....	7,747.5	8,031.8	7,631.8	7,941.0	309.2	4.1
Miami-Fort Lauderdale-Miami Beach .....	2,384.5	2,452.0	2,342.5	2,419.5	77.0	3.3
Fort Lauderdale-Pompano Beach-Deerfield Beach .....	764.8	796.6	755.3	789.5	34.2	4.5
Miami-Miami Beach-Kendall .....	1,047.1	1,064.5	1,024.6	1,046.3	21.7	2.1
West Palm Beach-Boca Raton-Boynton Beach .....	572.6	590.9	562.6	583.7	21.1	3.8
<b>Illinois</b> .....	5,897.6	5,942.1	5,700.8	5,762.7	61.9	1.1
Chicago-Naperville-Joliet <sup>1</sup> .....	4,479.5	4,522.2	4,339.6	4,391.0	51.4	1.2
Chicago-Naperville-Joliet .....	3,806.8	3,844.4	3,690.0	3,731.4	41.4	1.1
Gary <sup>2</sup> .....	279.7	281.2	270.2	273.9	3.7	1.4
Lake County-Kenosha County <sup>1</sup> .....	393.0	396.6	379.4	385.7	6.3	1.7
<b>Massachusetts</b> .....	3,220.6	3,238.7	3,113.6	3,145.2	31.6	1.0
Boston-Cambridge-Quincy <sup>1</sup> .....	2,439.3	2,456.2	2,366.9	2,390.3	23.4	1.0
Boston-Cambridge-Quincy .....	1,662.5	1,670.8	1,610.4	1,624.3	13.9	.9
Brockton-Bridgewater-Easton .....	90.8	90.3	87.5	87.4	-.1	-1.1
Framingham .....	155.4	157.2	150.5	152.3	1.8	1.2
Haverhill-North Andover-Amesbury <sup>1</sup> .....	76.1	77.4	74.3	75.7	1.4	1.9
Lowell-Billerica-Chelmsford <sup>1</sup> .....	116.7	117.8	114.4	115.3	.9	.8
Lynn-Peabody-Salem .....	102.9	103.6	99.7	100.9	1.2	1.2
Nashua <sup>1</sup> .....	133.8	135.7	130.2	132.7	2.5	1.9
<b>Michigan</b> .....	4,451.6	4,429.0	4,283.9	4,275.6	-8.3	-.2
Detroit-Warren-Livonia .....	2,082.3	2,062.4	2,007.6	1,996.9	-10.7	-5.1
Detroit-Livonia-Dearborn .....	842.0	829.3	813.3	803.5	-9.8	-1.2
Warren-Troy-Farmington Hills .....	1,240.3	1,233.1	1,194.3	1,193.4	-.9	-.1
<b>New York</b> .....	8,639.6	8,680.4	8,329.6	8,402.1	72.5	.9
New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island <sup>1</sup> .....	8,462.5	8,520.5	8,165.6	8,256.7	91.1	1.1
Edison <sup>2</sup> .....	1,021.4	1,036.2	982.3	1,002.6	20.3	2.1
Nassau-Suffolk .....	1,264.9	1,268.9	1,203.6	1,214.4	10.8	.9
New York-White Plains-Wayne <sup>1</sup> .....	5,130.0	5,175.6	4,969.9	5,027.5	57.6	1.2
Newark-Union <sup>2</sup> .....	1,046.2	1,039.8	1,009.8	1,012.2	2.4	.2
<b>Pennsylvania</b> .....	5,728.7	5,783.6	5,568.7	5,633.6	64.9	1.2
Philadelphia-Camden-Wilmington <sup>1</sup> .....	2,799.8	2,820.6	2,715.8	2,742.4	26.6	1.0
Camden <sup>2</sup> .....	544.3	547.1	524.1	529.1	5.0	1.0
Philadelphia .....	1,901.7	1,919.5	1,852.4	1,867.9	15.5	.8
Wilmington <sup>2</sup> .....	353.8	354.9	340.1	345.4	5.3	1.6
<b>Texas</b> .....	9,658.8	9,923.7	9,493.0	9,771.8	278.8	2.9
Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington .....	2,747.7	2,827.1	2,689.1	2,786.1	97.0	3.6
Dallas-Plano-Irving .....	1,934.9	1,997.3	1,895.8	1,971.4	75.6	4.0
Fort Worth-Arlington .....	812.8	829.8	793.3	814.7	21.4	2.7
<b>Washington</b> .....	2,749.6	2,834.3	2,688.2	2,776.2	88.0	3.3
Seattle-Tacoma-Bellevue .....	1,620.9	1,681.5	1,586.4	1,652.3	65.9	4.2
Seattle-Bellevue-Everett .....	1,358.6	1,410.6	1,330.6	1,386.5	55.9	4.2
Tacoma .....	262.3	270.9	255.8	265.8	10.0	3.9

<sup>1</sup> Part of the area is in one or more adjacent states.

<sup>2</sup> All of the area is in one or more adjacent states.

<sup>P</sup> = preliminary.

NOTE: Data are counts of jobs by place of work. Data have been revised to reflect 2005 benchmark levels. Estimates subsequent to the current benchmark are provisional and will be revised when new information becomes available. Area definitions are based on Office of Management and Budget Bulletin No. 06-01, dated December 5, 2005, and

are available at <http://www.bls.gov/lau/lausmsa.htm> and in the May issue of *Employment and Earnings*. Areas in the six New England states are Metropolitan New England City and Town Areas (NECTAs), while areas in other states are county-based. Some metropolitan areas lie in two or more states. They are listed under the state that appears first in their titles. Some divisions lie in more than one state, and some, like Camden, N.J., are totally outside the states under which their metropolitan areas are listed.

# Unemployment rates for metropolitan areas, not seasonally adjusted, January 2006

(U.S. rate = 5.1 percent)

