currently in progress, and submit this information to EPA.

EPA uses this information to construct a complete picture of the known effects of the chemicals in question, leading to determinations by EPA of whether additional testing of the chemicals is required. The information enables EPA to base its testing decisions on the most complete information available and to avoid demands for testing that may be duplicative. EPA will use information obtained via this collection to support its investigation of the risks posed by chemicals and, in particular, to support its decisions on whether to require industry to test chemicals under section 4 of TSCA.

Responses to the collection of information are mandatory (see 40 CFR part 716). Respondents may claim all or part of a notice confidential. EPA will disclose information that is covered by a claim of confidentiality only to the extent permitted by, and in accordance with, the procedures in TSCA section 14 and 40 CFR part 2.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to range between 2 hours and 32 hours per response, depending upon the requirements that the collection places on each respondent, for an estimated 1,203 respondents making one or more submissions of information annually. These estimates include the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information. No person is required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for these regulations are displayed in 40 CFR Part 9

Respondents/Affected Entities: Entities potentially affected by this action are companies that manufacture, process, import, or distribute in commerce chemical substances or mixtures.

Estimated No. of Respondents: 1,203. Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 4,542 hours.

Frequency of Collection: On occasion. Changes in Burden Estimates: There is a decrease (from 9,668 hours to 4,542 hours) in the total estimated respondent burden as compared with that identified in the information collection request most recently approved by OMB. This decrease reflects updated analyses of information related to the historical reporting patterns and the numbers of chemicals listed on the TSCA section 8(d) reporting rule, and EPA's revisions to section 8(d) reporting requirements.

According to the procedures prescribed in 5 CFR 1320.12, EPA has submitted this ICR to OMB for review and approval. Any comments related to the renewal of this ICR should be submitted within 30 days of this document, as described above.

Dated: June 4, 1999.

Joseph Retzer,

Director, Regulatory Information Division. [FR Doc. 99–14764 Filed 6–9–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6357-8]

Adequacy Status of Submitted State Implementation Plans for Transportation Conformity Purposes

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of Adequacy Status.

SUMMARY: In this notice, we are publicizing the list of submitted state implementation plans (SIPs) with motor vehicle emissions budgets that we have found adequate or inadequate for transportation conformity purposes. On March 2, 1999, the D.C. Circuit Court ruled that submitted SIPs cannot be used for conformity determinations until EPA has affirmatively found them adequate.

Areas can use the SIPs that we have found adequate in conformity determinations, and any conformity determination already made using a SIP that is adequate will remain valid. SIPs that we have found inadequate cannot be used for further conformity determinations. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Regarding this notice or future guidance: Laura Voss, U.S. EPA, 2000 Traverwood Drive, Ann Arbor, MI 48105. voss.laura@epa.gov (734) 214– 4858.

Regarding specific areas listed in the table: see the EPA Regional contact person listed in the table.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act. EPA's conformity rule requires that transportation plans, programs, and projects conform to state air quality implementation plans (SIPs) and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's motor vehicle emission budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and it also should not be used to prejudge EPA's ultimate approval of the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved when reviewed with the entire SIP submission.

The list in this notice is not a complete list of all SIPs that have been submitted to EPA. This is merely a list of those pending SIP submissions that we have found adequate or inadequate to date. We have approved some SIPs, and we are still reviewing the adequacy of others. We've described our future process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in guidance (May 14, 1999 memo titled "Conformity Guidance on Implementation of March 2, 1999 Conformity Court Decision''). We will be revising our conformity rules shortly to codify this guidance. You can obtain a copy of this guidance from EPA's conformity website: http:// *www.epa.gov/oms/transp.htm*, or by calling the contact name listed in FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section. Future adequacy determinations will also be announced in the Federal Register.

Status of Submitted Budgets:

-

State	Pollutant	Area	Submitted SIP	Date of finding	Adequate/ir adequate
Re	gion 1: Contact Do	onald Cooke, U.S. EPA Region 1, Suite 1100 (cooke.donald@epa.gov (6		reet, Boston, MA 02114	-2023,
ИЕ NH	Ozone Ozone	Portland Area Boston-Lawrence-Worcester Area	15% Post-96 rate of progress.	April 28, 1999 April 29, 1999 August 19, 1998	Adequate. Adequate. Adequate.
IH	Ozone	Portsmouth-Dover-Rochester Area	Attainment demonstra- tion. Post-96 rate of progress. Attainment demonstra-	April 29, 1999 August 19, 1998	Adequate. Adequate.
IA	Ozone	Boston-Lawrence-Worcester (E. Mass) Area	tion. 15% Post-96 rate of	June 5, 1997 June 5, 1997	Adequate. Adequate.
1A	Ozone	Boston-Lawrence-Worcester (E. Mass) Area	progress. Attainment demonstra- tion.	February 19, 1999	Adequate.
1A	Ozone	Springfield (W. Mass) Area	Attainment demonstra- tion.	February 19, 1999	Adequate.
R	egion 2: Contact F	ل Rudolph Kapichak, U.S. EPA Region 2, Air Pro kapichak.rudolph@epa.gov		adway, New York, NY 1	0007,
IY	Ozone	New York Portion of the NY–NJ–CT severe ozone nonattainment area.	Post-96 rate of progress.	September 17, 1997	Adequate.
Region 3:		tworth (Maryland, Virginia, and D.C. areas); L nia, PA 19103, wentworth.paul@epa.gov (215			
C	Ozone	Washington DC	Post-96 rate of	December 31, 1997	Adequate.
ID	Ozone	Maryland portion of DC area	progress. Post-96 rate of	February 27, 1998	Adequate.
Α	Ozone	Northern Virginia portion of DC area	progress. Post-96 rate of progress.	February 27, 1998	Adequate.
ID	Ozone	Baltimore	Post-96 rate of progress.	April 28, 1999	Adequate.
ID	Ozone	Cecil County	Post-96 rate of progress.	April 28, 1999	Adequate.
E	Ozone	New Castle County	Post-96 rate of progress.	April 29, 1999	Adequate.
E	Ozone	Kent County	Post-96 rate of progress.	April 29, 1999	Adequate.
Region 4:	Contact Kay Prin	ce, U.S. EPA Region 4, Air, Pesticides, and T GA 30303, prince.kay@epa.go	oxics Management Divis ov (404) 562–9026	sion, 61 Forsyth Street S	S.W., Atlanta
Y N	Ozone Ozone	Louisville	15% Maintenance plan	April 29, 1999 August 7, 1998	Adequate. Adequate.
Region 5: (Contact Ryan Bah	r, U.S. EPA Region 5, Air and Radiation Divis 3590, bahr.ryan@epa.gov		oulevard, AR–18J, Chica	ago, IL 6060
۸	Ozone	Lake and Porter County	Post-96 rate of	February 2, 1998	Adequate.
	Ozone	Chicago area	progress. Post-96 rate of progress.	February 3, 1998	Adequate.
egion 6: C	Contact Jahan Beh	nam, U.S. EPA Region 6, Fountain Place 12tl behnam.jahanbakhsh@epa.ge	h Floor Suite 1200, 1445	Ross Avenue, Dallas, T	X 75202–27
A	Ozone	Baton Rouge	Attainment demonstra-	April 28, 1999	Adequate.
x	Ozone	El Paso	tion. Section 179B inter- national border plan.	January 12, 1998	Adequate.
Region 8: (L Contact Jeff Houk,	U.S. EPA Region 8, 999 18th Street Suite 50		66, houk.jeff@epa.gov (303) 312–64
:0	Carbon mon- oxide.	Colorado Springs	Maintenance plan 1998—2009 budgets 2010 budget and be- yond.	April 29, 1999	Inadequate Adequate.

State	Pollutant	Area	Submitted SIP	Date of finding	Adequate/in- adequate
CO	Carbon mon- oxide.	Longmont	Maintenance plan	May 14, 1999	Inadequate.
UT	Carbon mon- oxide.	Ogden	Maintenance plan	April 29, 1999	Adequate.
UT	Carbon mon- oxide.	Provo	Attainment demonstra- tion.	May 14, 1999	Inadequate.

Region 9: Contact Karina O'Connor, U.S. EPA Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, oconnor.karina@epa.gov (415) 744–1247.

AZ	Carbon mon- oxide.	Phoenix	Attainment demonstra- tion.	May 5, 1999	Inadequate.			
AZ	Ozone	Phoenix	Attainment demonstra- tion and 15%.	May 5, 1999	Inadequate.			
CA	PM 10	San Joaquin Valley	Attainment demonstra- tion.	May 5, 1999	Inadequate.			
NV	Carbon mon- oxide.	Las Vegas	Attainment demonstra- tion.	May 5, 1999	Inadequate.			
Region 10: Contact Wayne Elson, U.S. EPA Region 10, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, WA 98101, elson.wayne@epa.gov (206) 553-1463.								
AK	Carbon mon- oxide.	Anchorage	Attainment demonstra- tion.	May 14, 1999	Inadequate.			
AK	Carbon mon- oxide.	Fairbanks	Attainment demonstra- tion.	May 14, 1999	Inadequate.			
WA	Carbon mon- oxide.	Spokane	Attainment demonstra- tion.	May 14, 1999	Inadequate.			

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q. Dated: June 3, 1999.

Robert Perciasepe,

Assistant Administrator for Office of Air and Radiation. [FR Doc. 99–14769 Filed 6–9–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6356-8]

Urban Wet Weather Flows Advisory Committee, the Storm Water Phase II Advisory Subcommittee, and the Sanitary Sewer Overflow Advisory Subcommittee

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of charter renewal.

SUMMARY: Notice is given that the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is renewing the Charter for the Urban Wet Weather (UWW) Flows Advisory Committee (and its two subcommittees) for an additional 2-year period. This Committee serves the public interest, in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), 5 U.S.C. appl. 2 section 9(c). The purpose of the Urban Wet Weather Flows Federal Advisory Committee is to provide advice and counsel to the Administrator of EPA on issues associated with urban wet weather discharges, including municipal and

industrial storm water runoff, combined sewer overflows, and sanitary sewer overflows. It is determined that the Urban Wet Weather Flows Federal Advisory Committee is in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Agency by law.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Contact Kevin Weiss, Office of Wastewater Management, USEPA, at (202) 260– 9524, or Internet: weiss.kevin@epa.gov

Dated: May 18, 1999.

Michael B. Cook,

Director, Office of Wastewater Management, Designated Federal Official. [FR Doc. 99–14767 Filed 6–9–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6358-1]

Environmental Laboratory Advisory Board, Meeting Date and Agenda

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) will convene an open meeting of the Environmental Laboratory Advisory Board (ELAB) on June 30, 1999, from 1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. to be held at the Sheraton Hotel located at 534 Broadway, Saratoga Springs, New York. Topics for discussion will include at a minimum reporting on the status of ELAB's previous recommendations, a review of activities by the Third Party Assessors Workgroup, proposed changes to the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Conference standards, and the findings of the Scope of Accreditation Workgroup. The public is encouraged to attend. Time will be allotted for public comment.

Written comments on the meeting agenda should be directed to Ms. Elizabeth Dutrow; Designated Federal Officer; USEPA; 401 M Street, SW (8724R); Washington, DC 20460. If questions arise, please contact Ms. Dutrow by phone at (202) 564–9061, by facsimile at (202) 565–2441 or by email at dutrow.elizabeth@epa.gov.

Dated: May 18, 1999.

Nancy W. Wentworth,

Director, Quality Assurance Division. [FR Doc. 99–14758 Filed 6–9–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6356-7]

National Drinking Water Advisory Council; Small Systems Implementation Working Group; Open Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).