Watershed Assessment Model (WAM) Evaluation of the Suwannee River Basin



Presented by

Del Bottcher

Soil and Water Engineering Technology, Inc.



WAM Development History

- 1984 1st GIS watershed model "Basin" developed for Kissimmee River, FL (UF / COE)
- 1988 Basin New Zealand (BNZ) GIS watershed model (UF/SWET/NIWA)
- 1988-96 Multiple upgrades of BNZ (UF/SWET)
- 1997 First release of the Watershed Assessment Model -WAM for Suwannee River WMD (SWET/Mock•Roos)
- 1998 Daily routing option of WAM released SJR-WAM
- 2000 WAMView Release (ArcView version of WAM Model)
- 2000 WAM-O(Okura Catchment) release in New Zealand
- 2001-04 Multiple upgrades of WAM

How the Model Works!





Figure 5: Model Reaches and Estimated Depressions for the Suwannee Basin



<u>File Project Window Help</u>



RUNNING the INTERFACE

- 🗆 🗙





ArcView GIS 3.2a File Edit View Theme Analysis Surface Graphics Window Help dd 🛪 🗙 🐼 🐏 🗈 🔺 M 534,680.74 **#** 745,111.20 **#** Scale 1: 386,061 题 🔶 🗿 Overall



- 🗆 🗙

- 🗆 X





🛃 start

🛄 trainingsl... ArcView

📵 Presenta... 🔍 🛃 10:21 .











Suwannee River @ US 27



Suwannee River @ US 27



Suwannee River @ US 27



Fanning Springs Flow



Figure 9. Simulated Flow for Fanning Springs for the Original and Two Split Spingsheds

Fanning Springs Nitrogen



Figure 10. Simulated versus Observed Nitrogen Concentration in the Fanning Springs.

WAM Applications in Florida



Watershed Assessment Model (WAM)

WAM is a tool that has been shown to be useful in the assessment of watershuld-related properties. WAM was developed to allow engineers and planners to assess the water quality of both surface water and groundwater based on land use, soils, climate, and other factors. The model simulates the primary physical processes important for watershull hydrologic and pollutant transport. The WAM GIS-based coverages including . Land use.

- Soils.
- Topography.
- Hydrography.
- · Basin and sub-basin boundaries,
- Point sources and service area coverages.
- · Climate data, and
- · Land use and soils description files.

The coverages are used to develop data that can be used in the simulation of a variety of physical and chemical processes. The advantage of this model over others is its ability to:

- Use a grid-based system to assess the spatial impact of existing and modified land uses on water quality and quantity for tributaries within the Lake Okeechobee watershed;
- Develop phosphorus (P) load allocations for total maximum daily loads (TMDLs) that will be acceptable to Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP);
- Identify P and flow "hot spots";
- · Rank P loadings by source, subbasin, and sub-watersheds

The model can be used to assess P load strategies including the use of stormwater treatment areas (STAs) and reservoir assisted stormwater treatment areas (RASTAs). WAM also has the ability to aid in the assessment of the impact of growth changes in the watershed.

WAM was developed based on a grid cell representation of the watershed. The grid cell representation allows for the identification of surface and groundwater flow and phosphorus comconstrations for each cell. The model than "routes" the surface water and groundwater flows from the cells to assess the flow and phosphorus levels throughout the watershed and at the discharge to Lake Okeachoke. Figure 1 shows the conceptual

routing schemes and flow distances that are calculated for each cell. Thus, the model simulates the following elements:

- Surface water and ground water flow allowing for the assessment of flow and pollutant loading for a tributary reach at both the daily and bourly time increment as necessary.
- Water quality including particulate and soluble phosphorus, particulate and soluble mirrogen (NO3, NH4, and organic N), total suspended solids, and biological oxygen demand. WAM was recently linked to WASP (SWET, 2003), which enables the simulation of dissolved oxygen and chlorophyll-a.
- Time-series outputs at the source cells, subbasins, and individual tributary reaches including: source load maps (surface water and groundwater), attenuated subbasin and basin loads, ranking of land uses by load source, daily time series of flows and pollutants, and comparative displays of different BMP/Management Scenarios.

The model simulates the hydrology of the watershed using other imbedded models including "Groundwater Loading Efficats of Agricultural Management Systems" (GLEAMS; Knitsel, 1993), "Everglades Agricultural Area Model" (EAAMod; Botcher et al., 1998; SWET, 1999), and two submodels written specifically for WAM to handle wetland and urban larkdeapse. Dynamic routing of flaws is accomplished.



Figure 1. Flow Path Routing for Attenuation Distance Determination



Heprinted by Soil & Water Engineering Technology, Inc., (www.swet.com) by permission of US LPA Hegion 4

through the use of an algorithm that uses a Mamning's flow equation based technique (Jacobson et al., 1998). Attenuation is based on the flow rate, characteristics of the flow path, and the distance of travel. The model provides many features that improve its ability to simulate the physical features in the generation of flows and loadings including:

- Flow structures simulation
- Generation of typical farms
- BMPs
- Rain somes built into unique cella definitions, which also allows use with NEXRAD Data
- Full eroston/deposition and instream routing –is used with pond/ reservoirs
- Closed basins and depressions are simulated
- Separate simulation of vegetative areas in residential/ urban
- · Simulation of point sources with service areas
- Urban retention ponds
- · Impervious sediment buildup/washoff
- Shoreline reaches for more precise delivery to rivers/lakes/ estuaries
- · Wildlife diversity within wetlands
- Spatial map of areas having wetland assimilation protection.
- · Indexing submodels for BOD, bacteria, and toxins

A schematic of WAM major components is shown in Figure 2. As seen, the ownall operation of the model is managad by the ArcView-based interface. The interface allows the user to view available data, modify land use conditions, execute the model, and view results.

References

Bottcher, A.B., J.G. Hiscock, and B.M. Jacobson. 2002. WAM-View, A GIS Approach to Watershoal Assessment Mickeling. Proceeding of the Watersheal 2002 Pre-Conference Modeling Wockshop, FL Lauderdale, FL, Publishen Water Environment Fuderation. Alexandria, VA.

Bottcher, A.B., N.B. Pickering, and A.B. Cooper. 1998. EAAMOD-FIELD: A Flow and Phosphorous Model for High Water Tables. Proceedings of the 7th Annual Drainage Symposium. American Society of Agricultural Engineers, St. Joseph, MI.



Figure 2. Schematic of WAM Layout

Jacobson, Barry M., Adelbert B. Bottober, Nigel B. Pickering, and Jeffrey G. Hiscock. 1998. Unique routing algorithm for watershed assessment model. ASAE Toper No. 98-2237. Am. Soc. of Agr. Eng., St. Joseph, MI 49085.

Knisel, W. G. 1993. GLEAMS: Groundwater Loading Effects of Agricultural Management Systems. UGA-CPES-BAED Publication no. 5.

SWET. 1999. EAAMOD Technical and User Manuals. Final Reports to the Everglades Research and Education Center, University of Florida, Belle Glade, FL. Also available from www.wet.com.

SWET. 2002. WAMView Training Manual. Developed for EPA Region IV Training. Published by: Soil and Water Engineering Technology, Inc., Guinesville, FL.

SWET, 2003. Calibration of WAM-WASP for Dissolved Oxygen in S-191. Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Tallahasee, FL.

Visit the Watershed & Water Quality Modeling Technical Support Center Website http://www.epa.gov/athens/wwqtsc/index.html





Dynamic Modeling Process

