

Office of Electricity Delivery
and Energy Reliability
Smart Grid R&D Program



Summary Report:

2014 DOE Resilient Electric Distribution Grid R&D Workshop

June 11, 2014

Upton, New York

Acknowledgment

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) acknowledges the support provided by the organizations represented at the Resilient Electric Distribution Grid R&D Workshop. The report content is based on the workshop session discussions, with session summary descriptions taken from the report-out presentations by individual teams during the closing plenary. Contributions to this report by all workshop participants, via expressed viewpoints during the workshop and review comments on the draft report, are duly acknowledged. The preparation of this workshop report was coordinated by Energy & Environmental Resources Group, LLC (E2RG).

This workshop was sponsored by the DOE Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability and hosted by DOE's Brookhaven National Laboratory in Upton, New York.

Workshop Summary

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability (OE) held the [Resilient Electric Distribution Grid R&D Workshop](#) on the morning of June 11, 2014, at DOE's Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL) in Upton, New York. The objective of this half-day workshop was to engage stakeholders to identify an initial set of R&D needs and associated R&D projects critical to enhancing electric distribution grid resilience to natural disasters. The resulting set of needs and projects from the workshop will be further defined and developed by the DOE into a full program plan in 2015 to guide future investments by the Smart Grid R&D Program. The full program plan will incorporate information gathered for the 2015 Quadrennial Energy Review (QER) Report, as pertaining to resilient electric distribution grid R&D.

The workshop was held opportunely in between two other events with gatherings of key stakeholder groups in electric distribution grids. The preceding event was the [Workshop on Resilience Metrics for Energy Transmission and Distribution Infrastructure](#) that was sponsored by the DOE Office of Energy Policy and Systems Analysis, which leads in the DOE QER effort. The ensuing event was the [DOE Smart Grid R&D Program 2014 Peer Review](#) meeting. Registrants for this workshop could also register for these two joining events.

The workshop began with a host welcome by Dr. Gerry Stokes, Associate Laboratory Director – Global and Regional Solution, at BNL. Mr. Dan Ton, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary of Power Systems Engineering Division, DOE's Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability (OE), briefed on the emergence of enhanced resilience as a focus area of increasing emphasis within the OE. The resiliency focus area directly supports the OE's lead role in implementing the DOE Climate Action Plan strategy in the following three areas: supporting communities as they prepare for climate impacts; grid expansion and modernization; and rebuilding and learning from hurricane Sandy. He then provided an overview of the workshop, including the purpose and the agenda, followed by introduction of the four panelists on an opening plenary.

The plenary panel comprised subject area experts representing utilities, national labs, and academia. The panelists presented on current and best practices, current capabilities and ongoing R&D, and gaps and benefits, all relating to resilient electric distribution grids. Their presentations (available via the web links on each presentation title below) were delivered in the following order:

- [Electric Distribution Resiliency for Major Storm Events](#), Mr. Edward Gray, PE, Director-Transmission and Distribution Engineering, Public Service Electric and Gas Company
- [The Future Grid: Engineering Dreams](#), Dr. Craig Miller, Chief Scientist, Cooperative Research Network, National Rural Electric Cooperative Association
- [Electric Power Distribution System Resilience: Federal Government and National Lab Perspective](#), Dr. Russell Bent, Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL)
- [R&D Needs in Resilient Distribution Systems](#), Professor Chen-Ching Liu, Energy Systems Innovation Center, Washington State University

The workshop then proceeded into two concurrent breakout sessions, each moderated by a national laboratory expert on a different set of R&D topics. The moderators generally followed a similar process in session discussions, which began with brainstorming on critical R&D needs, followed by voting to determine the top R&D needs, and then, for each top R&D need,

brainstorming on and/or determining the top R&D projects in support of the need. The topics for each breakout session are listed below, along with the session moderator and scribe:

Breakout Session 1: Design and planning for a resilient electric distribution grid (Moderator: Dr. Russell Bent, LANL; Scribe: Mr. Mustafa Biviji, E2RG)

Breakout Session 2: Operational response and system recovery R&D (Moderator: Dr. Jean-Paul Watson, Sandia National Laboratories (SNL); Scribe: Dr. Cesar Augusto Silva Monroy, SNL)

The workshop concluded with a combined session in which the session moderators reported the summary of their session discussions to the entire group. The report-out presentations ([1](#), [2](#)) and session discussion notes ([1](#)) are summarized in the section Breakout Session Findings below.

Mr. Ton thanked the attendees and indicated that this workshop report will be made available to all attendees, including all presentations at the workshop. He further stated that follow up with the attendees would be done to further define and develop the R&D needs and projects resulting from this workshop.

The workshop was open to all interested parties, with a total of 85 registrants representing vendors, utilities, national laboratories, universities, research institutes, and end users.

Breakout Session Findings

The R&D needs and the R&D projects in support of each top-3 voted need, identified from Breakout session 1, are listed in Table 1, in priority order. The votes casted by attendees to determine the top-3 R&D needs are shown in parentheses; similarly, the votes casted to show the top-2 R&D projects for each top-voted need are also shown in parentheses.

Table 1. R&D Needs and Projects Identified from Breakout Session 1 - Design and Planning for a Resilient Electric Distribution Grid

Breakout Session 1: Design and Planning	
R&D Needs	R&D Projects
1. Design of segmented and agile distributed system (18-20 votes)	1. Emergency controls, segmentation, communications (14 votes) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Tools for adaptive settings 2. Microgrid to feeder integration (11 votes) <p>Others:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Economical protection scheme: Affordable hardware (10 votes) • Load participation/prioritization (9 votes) • How to have an economical changing typology? (7 votes) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – N-1 does not capture... fundamental problem: Design operation awareness • Define microgrid/classes/types/markets (5 votes) • Why are we doing it ... What is the policy?

Breakout Session 1: Design and Planning	
2. Big data & analytics (16 votes)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Multi scale modeling: DIST+TRANS (16 votes) 2. Real-time database – speed (15 votes) <p>Others:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Data validation & dealing with uncertainty (14 votes) • Open database (13 votes) • Power flow solving (10 votes) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Real-time stochastic/stackable power flow • Data reduction (8 votes)
3. Stochastic and uncertainty (15 votes)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Robust control to uncertain data (18 votes) 2. Predictive models (13 votes) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Threats – Loads – Assets – Real-time data <p>Other:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DER/Renewable/ uncertain generation (10 votes)
<p>Other R&D needs identified:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Protection, power electronics and switching control – hardware (15 votes) • Resource management – inventory/crews and operator preparedness (8 votes) • Impact assessment (9/10 votes) • Interdependency architecture with fuel supply (2/3 votes) 	

Breakout session 2 comprised brainstorming the R&D needs, consolidating similar R&D needs into one, and then applying a range of 1-5 to represent the total votes cast (with 5 being the most votes). Afterward, for each top-voted need, R&D projects were discussed, but without determination of their relative priority. Table 2 below lists the R&D needs, in voting order, and the associated, unprioritized R&D projects.

Further, breakout session 2 had general discussions on operational assessment and response; these discussion notes are included in the [report-out presentation](#).

Table 2. R&D Needs and Projects Identified from Breakout Session 2 - Operational Response and System Recovery

Breakout Session 2: Operational Response and System Recovery	
R&D Needs	R&D Projects (unprioritized)
<p>1. Proactive assessment of damage (automated calls to customers, smart meters) (5, tied with the need below)</p> <p>Related need:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technologies for rapid damage assessment to facilitate rapid recovery 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Damage assessment UAVs to support real-time Google maps Meteorological tools at micro level (e.g., real-time Google maps/street view) Low cost ubiquitous monitoring devices New devices to support degradation identification Harden communications
<p>1. Situational awareness (5, tied with the need above)</p> <p>Related needs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> State estimation under disrupted conditions Open architecture to allow visualization of event information from multiple information systems in utilities (crews on the field, SCADA, DMS, etc.). Platform for situational and state awareness to coordinate first responders including fire crews, etc.; Technologies for coordination. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Develop architecture <ul style="list-style-type: none"> to interface with E911 platform used by first responders integrated with ubiquitous monitoring devices demonstrated via testbed State estimation with new data and new devices Cyberphysical degradation, and the necessary understanding when it occurs to respond to it 3-phase state estimation
<p>2. Decision support to determine restoration priorities (4 for 1st vote casting, 13 votes from 2nd casting*)</p> <p>Related needs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Automated analysis for optimal decision support Restoration process simulation to determine optimal recovery best-practices and play-back of previous event for crew training Advancing (cost-effective) automation of distribution system operations DG islanding capabilities and utility visibility into islands, integration into DMS Coordination of resynchronization of islands 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Develop technologies to find alternative restoration strategies Cost effective resilient control systems (automation for resiliency) Understanding islanding in advance vs. islanding in response to an event Coupling of electric restoration models to other infrastructure models (transportation, communications, etc.) Integration of microgrids to DMS Advancing standardization of microgrid resources such as inverters, DGs Technologies for controllability and observability of microgrids by utilities

Breakout Session 2: Operational Response and System Recovery

- Integration of microgrid and DER
- Having restoration process models to couple back into planning activities to predict how long the recovery efforts will take; Example: crew routing; Can the process then later be improved?

Other R&D needs identified:

- Interdependency between communication infrastructure and ability to gather information about system state (4 for first vote casting; 5 votes from second vote casting*)
- Understand tradeoffs of hardening, self-healing, recovery crews, and automation (3)
- Technology for safe clearing of debris that poses electrical risks (e.g., entangled wires on trees on the road) because there are not enough electrical crews to clear roads (1)
- Data analytics, better understanding of data needs
- Improve resiliency of communications systems and integration/visibility into/from electric operations systems

* A subsequent vote casting was done on two R&D needs with similar initial vote counts. The *number* of votes from the second casting is shown in parentheses, which differs from the 1-5 range applied to the first vote casting.

Appendix A: Agenda

Workshop Outline <i>Resilient Electric Distribution Grid R&D</i> Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability (OE) U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)	
Purpose <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ To identify key R&D activities for enhancing resilience of electric distribution grids to natural disasters: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Share current practices by distribution utilities – Share ongoing activities on resilient electric distribution grid R&D – Define R&D gaps – Identify key R&D activities to fill the gaps 	
Non-purpose <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Not to discuss issues and activities relating to manufactured events, including cyber attacks ▪ Not to discuss issues and activities relating to bulk power generation and transmission 	
Wednesday, 11 June 2014, 8:00 AM to Noon	
7:30	Registration and Continental Breakfast
8:00 -9:00	Opening Plenary <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Welcome ▪ Panel session on current practices and overview of R&D activities and gaps, with panelists representing utility, national lab, and academia
9:00-10:30	Two Concurrent Breakout Sessions – <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Design, preparedness, and planning for a resilient electric distribution grid 2. Operational response and system recovery <p style="margin-left: 40px;">9:00 Identification of the top-3 most critical R&D needs</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">9:30 Definition of the top-2 highest priority R&D projects for each critical need</p>
10:30 10:45	Break
10:45 – Noon	Report-out and Closing Sessions <p style="margin-left: 40px;">10:45 Report-out presentations and discussions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Report-out by each breakout session spokesperson (20 minutes) ▪ Facilitated Q&A after each report-out (10 minutes) <p style="margin-left: 40px;">11:45 Closing Session</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Discussion of paths forward ▪ Final thoughts
12:00	Adjourn Workshop

Ver: 6 June 2014

Appendix B: Registrant List

Michael Ahern
Worcester Polytechnic Institute
mfahern@wpi.edu

Yao Aleke
Brookhaven National Laboratory
yaoaleke@yahoo.com

Michael Andrus
FSU Center for Advanced Power Systems
andrus@caps.fsu.edu

Tim Avampato
Eaton
timjavampato@eaton.com

Scott Backhaus
Los Alamos National Laboratory
backhaus@lanl.gov

Neal Bartek
San Diego Gas and Electric
nbartek@semprautilities.com

Russell Bent
Los Alamos National Laboratory
rbent@lanl.gov

Mustafa Biviji
Energy & Environmental Resources Group, LLC
mustafa@e2rg.com

Rebecca Borrero
Office of Educational Programs
rborrero1@fordham.edu

Ward Bower
Ward Bower Innovations LLC
wibower@centurylink.net

Steve Bukowski
New Mexico State University
sbukowsk@nmsu.edu

David Burke
MIT Lincoln Laboratory
david.burke@ll.mit.edu

Kwok Cheung
Alstom Grid
kwok.cheung@alstom.com

Guenter Conzelmann
Argonne National Laboratory
guenter@anl.gov

David Corbus
National Renewable Energy Laboratory
David.Corbus@nrel.gov

Aleksandar Dimitrovski
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
ad1@ornl.gov

Joseph Eto
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
jheto@lbl.gov

Claude Florvil
PSEG
claudio.florvil@pseg.com

Ed Gray
PSE&G
edward.gray@pseg.com

Stephanie Hamilton
Brookhaven National Laboratory
shamilton@bnl.gov

Charles Hanley
Sandia National Laboratories
cghanle@sandia.gov

Robert Harris
National Rural Electric Cooperative Association
robert.harris@nreca.coop

Edward Hedges
Kansas City Power & Light Co.
ed.hedges@kcpl.com

Zach Humphrey
United Illuminating Company
zachary.humphrey@uinet.com

Avnaesh Jayantilal
Alstom Grid
avnaesh.jayantilal@alstom.com

Robert Jeffers
Sandia National Laboratories
rfjeffe@sandia.gov

Jaesung Jung
Brookhaven National Lab
jsjung@bnl.gov

James Kavicky
Argonne National Laboratory
kavicky@anl.gov

Thomas King
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
kingtj@ornl.gov

Alex Kragie
State of Connecticut DEEP
alex.kragie@ct.gov

Jayant Kumar
Alstom
jayant.s.kumar@alstom.com

Serena Lee
Con Edison
leese@coned.com

Carl Lemiesz
Wakefield Municipal Gas and Light Department
clemiesz@wmgl.com

Erik Limpaecher
MIT Lincoln Laboratory
elimpaecher@ll.mit.edu

Chen-Ching Liu
Washington State University
liu@wsu.edu

Guodong Liu
Oak Ridge National Lab
liug@ornl.gov

John Looney
Brookhaven National Laboratory
jlooney@bnl.gov

James Mader
United Illuminating
jim.mader@uinet.com

Sarah Mahmood
DHS S&T
sarah.mahmood@dhs.gov

Raul Martinez
Office of Educational Programs
rmarti16@syr.edu

Luciano Martini
RSE
luciano.martini@rse-web.it

Melanie Miller
Duke Energy
Melanie.Miller@duke-energy.com

Craig Miller
National Rural Electric Cooperative Association
craig.miller@nreca.coop

Paul Moskowitz
Idaho National Laboratory
paul.moskowitz@inl.gov

Bill Muston
Oncor
bill.muston@oncor.com

Galen Nelson
Mass Clean Energy Center
gnelson@masscec.com

Patrick Noonan
PSEG - Long Island
patrick.noonan@pseg.com

Richard Ohlsen
Brookhaven National Laboratory
rohlsen@bnl.gov

John Orr
Worcester Polytechnic Institute
orr@wpi.edu

Frank Peveryly
Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc.
peverylyf@oru.com

Julia Phillips
Argonne National Lab
phillipsj@anl.gov

Rob Pratt
Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
robert.pratt@pnnl.gov

Jim Reilly
Reilly Associates
j_reilly@verizon.net

Craig Rieger
Idaho National Laboratory
craig.rieger@inl.gov

Rush Robinett
Michigan Tech University
rdrobine@mtu.edu

Kevin Schneider
Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
kevin.schneider@pnnl.gov

Charles Scirbona
Orange and Rockland Utilities
scirbonac@oru.com

Mario Sciulli
National Energy Technology Laboratory
mario.sciulli@netl.doe.gov

Paulo Silva
Burns & McDonnell Engineering
phsilva@burnsmcd.com

Cesar Silva Monroy
Sandia National Laboratories
casilv@sandia.gov

Merrill Smith
U.S. Department of Energy
merrill.smith@hq.doe.gov

Michael Stadler
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
MStadler@lbl.gov

Igor Stamenkovic
Eaton
igorstamenkovic@eaton.com

Jason Stamp
Sandia National Laboratories
jestamp@sandia.gov

Gerald Stokes
Brookhaven National Laboratory
gstokes@bnl.gov

Richard Sweetser
Exergy Partners Corp.
rsweetser@exergypartners.com

Angeli Tompkins
Argonne National Laboratory
angeli@anl.gov

Dan Ton
U.S. Department of Energy
Dan.ton@hq.doe.gov

Eddy Trinklein
Michigan Tech University
ehtrinkl@mtu.edu

Athi Varuttamaseni
Brookhaven National Laboratory
avarutta@bnl.gov

Subrahmanyam Venkata
Alstom Grid Inc.
mani.venkata@alstom.com

Yaosuo Xue
Siemens Corporate Research
yaosuo.xue@siemens.com

Michael Villaran
Brookhaven National Laboratory
villaran@bnl.gov

Meng Yue
Brookhaven National Laboratory
yuemeng@bnl.gov

Predrag Vujovic
PSEG LI
predrag.vujovic@pseg.com

Marvin Zavala-Iraheta
San Diego Gas and Electric
MZavala-iraheta@semprautilities.com

W-T. Paul Wang
Energy & Environmental Resources Group, LLC
wang@e2rg.com

Peng Zhang
University of Connecticut
peng@engr.uconn.edu

Jianhui Wang
Argonne National Laboratory
jianhui.wang@anl.gov

Xiaoyu Wang
Brookhaven National Laboratory
xywang@bnl.gov

Jean-Paul Watson
Sandia National Laboratories
jwatson@sandia.gov

Steve Widergren
Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
steve.widergren@pnnl.gov

Herman Wiegman
GE Global Research
wiegman@ge.com

Yin Xu
Washington State University
yxu2@eecs.wsu.edu

Yan Xu
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
xuy3@ornl.gov