

MAKING APPROPRIATIONS FOR ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 1998, AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES

SEPTEMBER 26, 1997.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. MCDADE, from the committee on conference,
submitted the following

CONFERENCE REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 2203]

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 2203) “making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1998, and for other purposes”, having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and inserted by said amendment, insert:

That the following sums are appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1998, for energy and water development, and for other purposes, namely:

TITLE I

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

The following appropriations shall be expended under the direction of the Secretary of the Army and the supervision of the Chief of Engineers for authorized civil functions of the Department of the

Army pertaining to rivers and harbors, flood control, beach erosion, and related purposes.

GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

For expenses necessary for the collection and study of basic information pertaining to river and harbor, flood control, shore protection, and related projects, restudy of authorized projects, miscellaneous investigations, and, when authorized by laws, surveys and detailed studies and plans and specifications of projects prior to construction, \$156,804,000, to remain available until expended, of which funds are provided for the following projects in the amounts specified:

Delaware Bay Coastline, Delaware and New Jersey, \$456,000;

Tampa Harbor, Alafia Channel, Florida, \$270,000;

Laulaulei, Hawaii, \$200,000;

Barnegat Inlet to Little Egg Harbor Inlet, New Jersey, \$400,000;

Brigantine Inlet to Great Egg Harbor Inlet, New Jersey, \$472,000;

Great Egg Harbor Inlet to Townsends Inlet, New Jersey, \$400,000;

Lower Cape May Meadows—Cape May Point, New Jersey, \$154,000;

Manasquan Inlet to Barnegat Inlet, New Jersey, \$400,000;

Raritan Bay to Sandy Hook Bay (Cliffwood Beach), New Jersey, \$300,000;

Townsends Inlet to Cape May Inlet, New Jersey, \$500,000; and

Monongahela River, Fairmont, West Virginia, \$350,000:

Provided, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use \$600,000 of the funds appropriated in Public Law 102-377 for the Red River Waterway, Shreveport, Louisiana, to Daingerfield, Texas, project for the feasibility phase of the Red River Navigation, Southwest Arkansas, study: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use \$470,000 of the funds appropriated herein to initiate the feasibility phase for the Metropolitan Louisville, Southwest, Kentucky, study: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army is directed to use \$500,000 of the funds appropriated herein to implement section 211(f)(7) of Public Law 104-303 (110 Stat. 3684) and to reimburse the non-Federal sponsor a portion of the Federal share of project costs for the Hunting Bayou element of the project for flood control, Buffalo Bayou and tributaries, Texas: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army is directed to use \$150,000 of the funds appropriated herein to implement section 211(f)(8) of Public Law 104-303 (110 Stat. 3684) and to reimburse the non-Federal sponsor a portion of the Federal share of project costs for the project for flood control, White Oak Bayou watershed, Texas.

CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

For the prosecution of river and harbor, flood control, shore protection, and related projects authorized by laws; and detailed studies, and plans and specifications, of projects (including those for development with participation or under consideration for participation by States, local governments, or private groups) authorized or made eligible for selection by law (but such studies shall not constitute a commitment of the Government to construction), \$1,473,373,000, to remain available until expended, of which such sums as are necessary pursuant to Public Law 99-662 shall be derived from the Inland Waterways Trust Fund, for one-half of the costs of construction and rehabilitation of inland waterways projects, including rehabilitation costs for the Lock and Dam 25, Mississippi River, Illinois and Missouri; Lock and Dam 14, Mississippi River, Iowa; Lock and Dam 24, Mississippi River, Illinois and Missouri; and Lock and Dam 3, Mississippi River, Minnesota, projects, and of which funds are provided for the following projects in the amounts specified:

- Arkansas River, Tucker Creek, Arkansas, \$300,000;*
- Norco Bluffs, California, \$1,000,000;*
- San Timoteo Creek (Santa Ana River Mainstem), California, \$5,000,000;*
- Panama City Beaches, Florida, \$5,000,000;*
- Tybee Island, Georgia, \$2,000,000;*
- Indianapolis Central Waterfront, Indiana, \$5,000,000;*
- Indiana Shoreline Erosion, Indiana, \$3,000,000;*
- Lake George, Hobart, Indiana, \$3,500,000;*
- Ohio River Flood Protection, Indiana, \$1,300,000;*
- Harlan, Williamsburg, and Middlesboro, Kentucky, elements of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River, \$26,390,000;*
- Martin County, Kentucky, element of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River, \$5,000,000;*
- Pike County, Kentucky, element of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River, \$5,300,000;*
- Town of Martin (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), Kentucky, \$700,000;*
- Salyersville, Kentucky, \$2,050,000;*
- Southern and Eastern Kentucky, Kentucky, \$3,000,000;*
- Lake Pontchartrain and Vicinity (Hurricane Protection), Louisiana, \$22,920,000;*
- Lake Pontchartrain (Jefferson Parish) Stormwater Discharge, Louisiana, \$3,000,000;*
- Jackson County, Mississippi, \$3,000,000;*
- Natchez Bluff, Mississippi, \$4,000,000;*
- Pearl River, Mississippi (Walkiah Bluff), \$2,000,000;*
- Joseph G. Minish Passaic River Park, New Jersey, \$3,000,000;*
- Hudson River, Athens, New York, \$8,700,000;*
- Lackawanna River, Olyphant, Pennsylvania, \$1,400,000;*
- Lackawanna River, Scranton, Pennsylvania, \$5,425,000;*

Lycoming County, Pennsylvania, \$339,000;

South Central Pennsylvania Environment Improvement Program, \$30,000,000, of which \$10,000,000 shall be available only for water-related environmental infrastructure and resource protection and development projects in Lackawanna, Lycoming, Susquehanna, Wyoming, Pike, and Monroe counties in Pennsylvania in accordance with the purposes of subsection (a) and requirements of subsection (b) through (e) of section 313 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992, as amended;

Wallisville Lake, Texas, \$9,200,000;

Virginia Beach, Virginia (Reimbursement), \$925,000;

Virginia Beach, Virginia (Hurricane Protection), \$13,000,000;

West Virginia and Pennsylvania Flood Control, West Virginia and Pennsylvania, \$3,000,000;

Hatfield Bottom (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), West Virginia, \$1,000,000;

Lower Mingo (Kermit) (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), West Virginia, \$6,300,000;

Lower Mingo, West Virginia, Tributaries Supplement, \$150,000;

Upper Mingo County (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), West Virginia, \$3,000,000;

Levisa Basin Flood Warning System (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), Kentucky and Virginia, \$400,000;

Tug Fork Basin Flood Warning System (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), West Virginia, \$400,000; and

Wayne County (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), West Virginia, \$1,200,000:

Provided, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to proceed with design and construction of the Southeast Louisiana, Louisiana, project and to award continuing contracts, which are not to be considered fully funded, beginning in fiscal year 1998 consistent with the limit of the authorized appropriation ceiling: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use \$225,000 of funds provided herein to construct necessary repairs to the flume and conduit for flood control at the Hagerman's Run, Williamsport, Pennsylvania, flood control project: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army is directed to incorporate the economic analyses for the Green Ridge and Plot sections of the Lackawanna River, Scranton, Pennsylvania, project with the economic analysis for the Albright Street section of the project, and to cost-share and implement these combined sections as a single project with no separable elements, except that each section may be undertaken individually when the non-Federal sponsor provides the applicable local cooperation requirements: Provided further, That section 114 of Public Law 101-101, the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 1990, is amended by striking "total cost of \$19,600,000" and inserting in lieu thereof, "total cost of

\$40,000,000”: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is authorized and directed to combine the Wilmington Harbor—Northeast Cape Fear River, North Carolina, project authorized in section 202(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986, the Wilmington Harbor, Channel Widening, North Carolina, project authorized in section 101(a)(23) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996, and the Cape Fear—Northeast (Cape Fear) Rivers, North Carolina, project authorized in section 101(a)(22) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 into a single project with one Project Cooperation Agreement based on cost sharing as a single project: Provided further, That The Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use \$20,000,000 of the funds appropriated herein to initiate construction of the Houston-Galveston Navigation Channels, Texas, project and execute a Project Cooperation Agreement for the entire project authorized in the Water Resources Development Act of 1996, Public Law 104–303: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army acting through the Chief of Engineers, may use up to \$5,000,000 of the funding appropriated herein to initiate construction of an emergency outlet from Devils Lake, North Dakota, to the Sheyenne river, and that this amount is designated by Congress as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(D)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (2 U.S.C. 901(b)(2)(D)(i)); except that funds shall not become available unless the Secretary of the Army determines that an emergency (as defined in section 102 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5122)) exists with respect to the emergency need for the outlet and reports to Congress that the construction is technically sound, economically justified, and environmentally acceptable and in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.): Provided further, That the economic justification for the emergency outlet shall be prepared in accordance with the principles and guidelines for economic evaluation as required by regulations and procedures of the Army Corps of Engineers for all flood control projects, and that the economic justification be fully described, including the analysis of the benefits and costs, in the project plan documents: Provided further, That the plans for the emergency outlet shall be reviewed and, to be effective, shall contain assurances provided by the Secretary of State, after consultation with the International Joint Commission, that the project will not violate the requirements or intent of the Treaty Between the United States and Great Britain Relating to Boundary Waters Between the United States and Canada, signed at Washington January 11, 1909 (36 Stat. 2448; TS 548) (commonly known as the “Boundary Waters Treaty of 1909”): Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army shall submit the final plans and other documents for the emergency outlet to Congress: Provided further, That no funds made available under this Act or any other Act for any fiscal year may be used by the Secretary of the Army to carry out the portion of the feasibility study of the Devils Lake Basin, North Dakota, authorized under the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 1993 (Public Law 102–377), that addresses the needs of the area for stabilized lake levels through inlet controls, or to otherwise study any facility

or carry out any activity that would permit the transfer of water from the Missouri River Basin into Devils Lake: Provided further, That the entire amount of \$5,000,000 shall be available only to the extent an official budget request, that includes the designation of the entire amount of the request as an emergency requirement as defined by the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended, is transmitted by the President to the Congress: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army is directed to use \$2,000,000 of the funds appropriated herein to implement section 211(f)(6) of Public Law 104-303 (110 Stat. 3683) and to reimburse the non-Federal sponsor a portion of the Federal share of project construction costs for the flood control components comprising the Brays Bayou element of the project for flood control, Buffalo Bayou and tributaries, Texas.

FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, ARKANSAS, ILLINOIS, KENTUCKY, LOUISIANA, MISSISSIPPI, MISSOURI, AND TENNESSEE

For expenses necessary for prosecuting work of flood control, and rescue work, repair, restoration, or maintenance of flood control projects threatened or destroyed by flood, as authorized by law (33 U.S.C. 702a, 702g-1), \$296,212,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That notwithstanding the funding limitations set forth in Public Law 104-6 (109 Stat. 85), the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is authorized and directed to use additional funds appropriated herein or previously appropriated to complete remedial measures to prevent slope instability at Hickman Bluff, Kentucky: Provided further, That, using funds appropriated in this Act, the Secretary of the Army may construct the Ten and Fifteen Mile Bayou channel enlargement as an integral part of the work accomplished on the St. Francis Basin, Arkansas and Missouri Project, authorized by the Flood Control Act of 1950: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use up to \$4,000,000, including the \$1,900,000 appropriated herein, to dredge Sardis Lake, Mississippi, at 100 percent Federal cost, so that the City of Sardis, Mississippi, may proceed with its development of the valuable resources of Sardis Lake in Mississippi, consistent with language provided in House Report 104-679, accompanying the Fiscal Year 1997 Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act (Public Law 104-206): Provided further, That within available funds, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to conduct, at 100 percent Federal cost, the necessary Environmental Assessment and Impact Studies for the initial components of Sardis Lake development as provided in the Sardis Lake Recreation and Tourism Master Plan, Phase II.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, GENERAL

For expenses necessary for the preservation, operation, maintenance, and care of existing river and harbor, flood control, and related works, including such sums as may be necessary for the maintenance of harbor channels provided by a State, municipality or other public agency, outside of harbor lines, and serving essential needs of general commerce and navigation; surveys and charting of

northern and northwestern lakes and connecting waters; clearing and straightening channels; and removal of obstructions to navigation, \$1,740,025,000, to remain available until expended, of which such sums as become available in the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund, pursuant to Public Law 99-662, may be derived from that Fund, and of which such sums as become available from the special account established by the Land and Water Conservation Act of 1965, as amended (16 U.S.C. 460l), may be derived from that Fund for construction, operation, and maintenance of outdoor recreation facilities, and of which funds are provided for the following projects in the amounts specified:

*Anclote River, Florida, \$1,500,000;
Beverly Shores, Indiana, \$1,700,000;
Boston Harbor, Massachusetts, \$16,500,000;
Flint River, Michigan, \$875,000; and
Raystown Lake, Pennsylvania, \$4,690,000:*

Provided, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use funds appropriated in Public Law 104-206 to reimburse the local sponsor of the Fort Myers Beach, Florida, project for the maintenance dredging performed by the local sponsor to open the authorized channel to navigation in fiscal year 1996: Provided further, That no funds, whether appropriated, contributed, or otherwise provided, shall be available to the United States Army Corps of Engineers for the purpose of acquiring land in Jasper County, South Carolina, in connection with the Savannah Harbor navigation project: Provided, further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is authorized and directed to dredge a navigational channel in the Chena River at Fairbanks, Alaska, from its confluence with the Tanana River upstream to the University Road Bridge that will allow the safe passage during normal water levels of vessels up to 350 feet in length, 60 feet in width, and drafting up to 3 feet: Provided further, That using \$6,000,000 of funds appropriated herein, the Secretary of the Army is directed to extend the navigation channel on the Allegheny River, Pennsylvania, project to provide passenger boat access to the Kittanning, Pennsylvania, Riverfront Park: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use \$2,500,000 of the funds provided herein to implement measures upstream of Lake Cumberland, Kentucky, to intercept and dispose of solid waste.

REGULATORY PROGRAM

For expenses necessary for administration of laws pertaining to regulation of navigable waters and wetlands, \$106,000,000, to remain available until expended.

FLOOD CONTROL AND COASTAL EMERGENCIES

For expenses necessary for emergency flood control, hurricane, and shore protection activities, as authorized by section 5 of the Flood Control Act approved August 18, 1941, as amended, \$4,000,000, to remain available until expended.

FORMERLY UTILIZED SITES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For expenses necessary to administer and execute the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program to clean up contaminated sites throughout the United States where work was performed as part of the Nation's early atomic energy program, \$140,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the unexpended balances of prior appropriations provided for these activities in this Act or any previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act may be transferred to and merged with this appropriation account, and thereafter, may be accounted for as one fund for the same time period as originally enacted.

GENERAL EXPENSES

For expenses necessary for general administration and related functions in the Office of the Chief of Engineers and offices of the Division Engineers, activities of the Coastal Engineering Research Board, the Humphreys Engineer Center Support Activity, the Engineering Strategic Studies Center, the Water Resources Support Center, and the USACE Finance Center, and for costs of implementing the Secretary of the Army's plan to reduce the number of division offices as directed in title I, Public Law 104-206, \$148,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That no part of any other appropriation provided in title I, of this Act shall be available to fund the activities of the Office of the Chief of Engineers or the executive direction and management activities of the division offices.

REVOLVING FUND

Amounts in the Revolving Fund may be used to construct a 17,000 square foot addition to the United States Army Corps of Engineers Alaska District main office building on Elmendorf Air Force Base. The Revolving Fund shall be reimbursed for such funding from the benefiting appropriations by collection each year of user fees sufficient to repay the capitalized cost of the asset and to operate and maintain the asset.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION

Appropriations in this title shall be available for official reception and representation expenses (not to exceed \$5,000); and during the current fiscal year the revolving fund, Corps of Engineers, shall be available for purchase (not to exceed 100 for replacement only) and hire of passenger motor vehicles.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

SEC. 101. In fiscal year 1998, the Secretary of the Army is authorized and directed to provide planning, design and construction assistance to non-Federal interests in carrying out water related environmental infrastructure and environmental resources development projects in Alaska, including assistance for wastewater treatment and related facilities; water supply, storage, treatment and

distribution facilities; and development, restoration or improvement of wetlands and other aquatic areas for the purpose of protection and development of surface water resources: Provided, That the non-Federal interest shall enter into a binding agreement with the Secretary wherein the non-Federal interest will provide all lands, easements, rights-of-way, relocations, and dredge material disposal areas required for the projects, and pay 50 per centum of the costs of required feasibility studies, 25 per centum of the costs of designing and constructing the project, and 100 per centum of the costs of operation, maintenance, repair, replacement or rehabilitation of the project: Provided further, That the value of lands, easements, rights-of-way, relocations and dredged material disposal areas provided by the non-Federal interest shall be credited toward the non-Federal share, not to exceed 25 per centum, of the costs of designing and constructing the project: Provided further, That utilizing \$5,000,000 of the funds appropriated herein, the Secretary is directed to carry out this section.

SEC. 102. GREEN BROOK SUB-BASIN FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT, NEW JERSEY.—No funds made available under this Act or any other Act for any fiscal year may be used by the Secretary of the Army to construct the Oak Way detention structure or the Sky Top detention structure in Berkeley Heights, New Jersey, as part of the project for flood control, Green Brook Sub-basin, Raritan River Basin, New Jersey, authorized by section 401(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (Public Law 99-662, 100 Stat. 4119).

TITLE II

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT

CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT COMPLETION ACCOUNT

For carrying out activities authorized by the Central Utah Project Completion Act, and for activities related to the Unitah and Upalco Units authorized by 43 U.S.C. 620, \$40,353,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$16,610,000 shall be deposited into the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Account: Provided, That of the amounts deposited into that account, \$5,000,000 shall be considered the Federal contribution authorized by paragraph 402(b)(2) of the Central Utah Project Completion Act and \$11,610,000 shall be available to the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Commission to carry out activities authorized under that Act.

In addition, for necessary expenses incurred in carrying out related responsibilities of the Secretary of the Interior, \$800,000, to remain available until expended.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

For carrying out the functions of the Bureau of Reclamation as provided in the Federal reclamation laws (Act of June 17, 1902, 32 Stat. 388, and Acts amendatory thereof or supplementary thereto) and other Acts applicable to that Bureau as follows:

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For management, development, and restoration of water and related natural resources and for related activities, including the operation, maintenance and rehabilitation of reclamation and other facilities, participation in fulfilling related Federal responsibilities to Native Americans, and related grants to, and cooperative and other agreements with, State and local governments, Indian tribes, and others, \$694,348,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$18,758,000 shall be available for transfer to the Upper Colorado River Basin Fund and \$56,442,000 shall be available for transfer to the Lower Colorado River Basin Development Fund, and of which such amounts as may be necessary may be advanced to the Colorado River Dam Fund: Provided, That such transfers may be increased or decreased within the overall appropriation under this heading: Provided further, That of the total appropriated, the amount for program activities that can be financed by the Reclamation Fund or the Bureau of Reclamation special fee account established by 16 U.S.C. 460l—6a(i) shall be derived from that Fund or account: Provided further, That funds contributed under 43 U.S.C. 395 are available until expended for the purposes for which contributed: Provided further, That funds advanced under 43 U.S.C. 397a shall be credited to this account and are available until expended for the same purposes as the sums appropriated under this heading: Provided further, That any amounts provided for the safety of dams modification work at Coolidge Dam, San Carlos Irrigation Project, Arizona, are in addition to the amount authorized in 43 U.S.C. 509: Provided further, That using \$500,000 of funds appropriated herein, the Secretary of the Interior shall undertake a non-reimbursable project to install drains in the Pena Blanca area of New Mexico to prevent seepage from Cochiti Dam: Provided further, That funds available for expenditure for the Department Irrigation Drainage Program may be expended by the Bureau of Reclamation for site remediation on a non-reimbursable basis: Provided further, That the amount authorized for Indian municipal, rural, and industrial water features by Section 10 of Public Law 89-108, as amended by Section 8 of Public Law 99-294 and Section 1701(b) of Public Law 102-575, is increased by \$1,300,000 (October, 1997 prices): Provided further, That the unexpended balances of the Bureau of Reclamation appropriation accounts for "Construction Program (Including Transfer of Funds)", "General Investigations", "Emergency Fund", and "Operation and Maintenance" shall be transferred to and merged with this account, to be available for the purposes for which they originally were appropriated: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Interior may use \$2,500,000 of funds appropriated herein to initiate construction of the McCall Area Wastewater Reclamation and Reuse, Idaho, project.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

For the cost of direct loans and/or grants, \$10,000,000, to remain available until expended, as authorized by the Small Reclamation Projects Act of August 6, 1956, as amended (43 U.S.C. 422a-422l): Provided, That such costs, including the cost of modify-

ing such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974: Provided further, That these funds are available to subsidize gross obligations for the principal amount of direct loans not to exceed \$31,000,000.

In addition, for administrative expenses necessary to carry out the program for direct loans and/or grants, \$425,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That of the total sums appropriated, the amount of program activities that can be financed by the Reclamation Fund shall be derived from that Fund.

CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT RESTORATION FUND

For carrying out the programs, projects, plans, and habitat restoration, improvement, and acquisition provisions of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act, such sums as may be collected in the Central Valley Project Restoration Fund pursuant to sections 3407(d), 3404(c)(3), 3405(f), and 3406(c)(1) of Public Law 102-575, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the Bureau of Reclamation is directed to levy additional mitigation and restoration payments totaling no more than \$25,130,000 (October 1992 price levels) on a three-year rolling average basis, as authorized by section 3407(d) of Public Law 102-575.

CALIFORNIA BAY-DELTA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Department of the Interior and other participating Federal agencies in carrying out the California Bay-Delta Environmental Enhancement and Water Security Act consistent with plans to be approved by the Secretary of the Interior, in consultation with such Federal agencies, \$85,000,000, to remain available until expended, of which such amounts as may be necessary to conform with such plans shall be transferred to appropriate accounts of such Federal agencies: Provided, That such funds may be obligated only as non-Federal sources provide their share in accordance with the cost-sharing agreement required under section 102(d) of such Act: Provided further, That such funds may be obligated prior to the completion of a final programmatic environmental impact statement only if: (1) consistent with 40 C.F.R. 1506.1(c); and (2) used for purposes that the Secretary finds are of sufficiently high priority to warrant such an expenditure.

POLICY AND ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses of policy, administration, and related functions in the office of the Commissioner, the Denver office, and offices in the five regions of the Bureau of Reclamation, to remain available until expended, \$47,558,000, to be derived from the Reclamation Fund and be nonreimbursable as provided in 43 U.S.C. 377: Provided, That no part of any other appropriation in this Act shall be available for activities or functions budgeted as policy and administrative expenses.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION

Appropriations for the Bureau of Reclamation shall be available for purchase of not to exceed six passenger motor vehicles for replacement only.

TITLE III

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

ENERGY PROGRAMS

ENERGY SUPPLY

For expenses of the Department of Energy activities including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for energy supply, and uranium supply and enrichment activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, \$906,807,000.

NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for non-defense environmental management activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction or expansion, \$497,059,000, to remain available until expended.

URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING FUND

For necessary expenses in carrying out uranium enrichment facility decontamination and decommissioning, remedial actions and other activities of title II of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 and title X, subtitle A of the Energy Policy Act of 1992, \$220,200,000, to be derived from the Fund, to remain available until expended: Provided, That \$40,000,000 of amounts derived from the Fund for such expenses shall be available in accordance with title X, subtitle A, of the Energy Policy Act of 1992.

SCIENCE

For expenses of the Department of Energy activities including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for science activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, and purchase of 15 passenger motor vehicles for replacement only, \$2,235,708,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That \$35,000,000 of the unobligated balances originally available for Superconducting Super

Collider termination activities shall be made available for other activities under this heading.

NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL FUND

For nuclear waste disposal activities to carry out the purposes of Public Law 97-425, as amended, including the acquisition of real property or facility construction or expansion, \$160,000,000, to remain available until expended, to be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund; of which \$4,000,000 shall be available to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to license a multi-purpose canister design; and of which not to exceed \$5,000,000 may be provided to affected local governments, as defined in Public Law 97-425, to conduct appropriate activities pursuant to the Act: Provided, That the distribution of the funds to the units of local government shall be determined by the Department of Energy: Provided further, That the funds shall be made available to the units of local government by direct payment: Provided further, That within ninety days of the completion of each Federal fiscal year, each local entity shall provide certification to the Department of Energy, that all funds expended from such payments have been expended for activities as defined in Public Law 97-425. Failure to provide such certification shall cause such entity to be prohibited from any further funding provided for similar activities: Provided further, That none of the funds herein appropriated may be: (1) used directly or indirectly to influence legislative action on any matter pending before Congress or a State legislature or for lobbying activity as provided in 18 U.S.C. 1913; (2) used for litigation expenses; or (3) used to support multistate efforts or other coalition building activities inconsistent with the restrictions contained in this Act: Provided further, That none of the funds provided herein shall be distributed to the State of Nevada by direct payment, grant, or other means, for financial assistance under section 116 of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, as amended: Provided further, That the foregoing proviso shall not apply to payments in lieu of taxes under section 116(c)(3)(A) of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, as amended.

DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

For salaries and expenses of the Department of Energy necessary for departmental administration in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the hire of passenger motor vehicles and official reception and representation expenses (not to exceed \$35,000), \$218,747,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That moneys received by the Department for miscellaneous revenues estimated to total \$131,330,000 in fiscal year 1998 may be retained and used for operating expenses within this account, and may remain available until expended, as authorized by section 201 of Public Law 95-238, notwithstanding the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 3302: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of miscellaneous revenues received during fiscal year 1998 so as to result in a final fiscal year 1998 appropriation from the General Fund estimated at not more than \$87,417,000.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, \$27,500,000, to remain available until expended.

ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

WEAPONS ACTIVITIES

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other incidental expenses necessary for atomic energy defense weapons activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act 42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion; and the purchase of passenger motor vehicles (not to exceed 70 for replacement only), \$4,146,692,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That funding for any ballistic missile defense program undertaken by the Department of Energy for the Department of Defense shall be provided by the Department of Defense according to procedures established for Work for Others by the Department of Energy.

DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT

For Department of Energy Expenses, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for atomic energy defense environmental restoration and waste management activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion; and the purchase of passenger motor vehicles (not to exceed 6 for replacement only), \$4,429,438,000, to remain available until expended; and, in addition, \$200,000,000 for privatization projects, to remain available until expended.

DEFENSE FACILITIES CLOSURE PROJECTS

For expenses of the Department of Energy to accelerate the closure of defense environmental management sites, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other necessary expenses, \$890,800,000, to remain available until expended.

OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for atomic energy defense, other defense activities, in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101, et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, and the purchase of passenger motor vehicles (not to exceed 2 for replacement only), \$1,666,008,000, to remain available until expended.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

For nuclear waste disposal activities to carry out the purposes of Public Law 97-425, as amended, including the acquisition of real property or facility construction or expansion, \$190,000,000, to remain available until expended.

POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ALASKA POWER ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses of operation and maintenance of projects in Alaska and of marketing electric power and energy, \$3,500,000, to remain available until expended; and, in addition, \$10,000,000 for capital assets acquisition, to remain available until expended.

BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION FUND

Expenditures from the Bonneville Power Administration Fund, established pursuant to Public Law 93-454, are approved for the anadromous fish supplementation facilities in the Yakima River Basin, Methow River Basin and Upper Snake River Basin, for the Billy Shaw Reservoir resident fish substitution project, and for the resident trout fish culture facility in Southeast Idaho; and official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed \$3,000.

During fiscal year 1998, no new direct loan obligation may be made.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHEASTERN POWER
ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses of operation and maintenance of power transmission facilities and of marketing electric power and energy pursuant to the provisions of section 5 of the Flood Control Act of 1944 (16 U.S.C. 825s), as applied to the southeastern power area, \$12,222,000, to remain available until expended; in addition, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, not to exceed \$20,000,000 in reimbursement for transmission wheeling and ancillary services, to remain available until expended.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHWESTERN POWER
ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses of operation and maintenance of power transmission facilities and of marketing electric power and energy, and for construction and acquisition of transmission lines, substations and appurtenant facilities, and for administrative expenses, including official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed \$1,500 in carrying out the provisions of section 5 of the Flood Control Act of 1944 (16 U.S.C. 825s), as applied to the southwestern power area, \$25,210,000, to remain available until expended; in addition, notwithstanding the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 3302, not to exceed \$4,650,000 in reimbursements, to remain available until expended.

CONSTRUCTION, REHABILITATION, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE,
WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For carrying out the functions authorized by title III, section 302(a)(1)(E) of the Act of August 4, 1977 (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), and other related activities including conservation and renewable resources programs as authorized, including the replacement of not more than two helicopters through transfers, exchanges, or sale, and official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed \$1,500, \$189,043,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$182,806,000 shall be derived from the Department of the Interior Reclamation Fund: Provided, That of the amount herein appropriated, \$5,592,000 is for deposit into the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Account pursuant to title IV of the Reclamation Projects Authorization and Adjustment Act of 1992: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Treasury is authorized to transfer from the Colorado River Dam Fund to the Western Area Power Administration \$5,592,000 to carry out the power marketing and transmission activities of the Boulder Canyon project as provided in section 104(a)(4) of the Hoover Power Plant Act of 1984, to remain available until expended.

FALCON AND AMISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND

For operation, maintenance, and emergency costs for the hydroelectric facilities at the Falcon and Amistad Dams, \$970,000, to remain available until expended, and to be derived from the Falcon and Amistad Operating and Maintenance Fund of the Western Area Power Administration, as provided in section 423 of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, fiscal years 1994 and 1995.

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission to carry out the provisions of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, the hire of passenger motor vehicles, and official reception and representation expenses (not to exceed \$3,000), \$162,141,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, not to exceed \$162,141,000 of revenues from fees and annual charges, and other services and collections in fiscal year 1998 shall be retained and used for necessary expenses in this account, and shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated from the General Fund shall be reduced as revenues are received during fiscal year 1998 so as to result in a final fiscal year 1998 appropriation from the General Fund estimated at not more than \$0.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 301. (a) None of the funds appropriated by this Act or any prior appropriations Act may be used to award a management and operating contract unless such contract is awarded using competitive procedures or the Secretary of Energy grants, on a case-by-case basis, a waiver to allow for such a deviation. The Secretary may not delegate the authority to grant such a waiver.

(b) At least 60 days before a contract award, amendment, or modification for which the Secretary intends to grant such a waiver, the Secretary shall submit to the Subcommittees on Energy and Water Development of the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report notifying the subcommittees of the waiver and setting forth the reasons for the waiver.

SEC. 302. (a) None of the funds appropriated by this Act or any prior appropriations Act may be used to award, amend, or modify a contract in a manner that deviates from the Federal Acquisition Regulation, unless the Secretary of Energy grants, on a case-by-case basis, a waiver to allow for such a deviation. The Secretary may not delegate the authority to grant such a waiver.

(b) At least 60 days before a contract award, amendment, or modification for which the Secretary intends to grant such a waiver, the Secretary shall submit to the Subcommittees on Energy and Water Development of the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report notifying the subcommittees of the waiver and setting forth the reasons for the waiver.

SEC. 303. None of the funds appropriated by this Act or any prior appropriations Act may be used to—

- (1) develop or implement a workforce restructuring plan that covers employees of the Department of Energy; or*
- (2) provide enhanced severance payments or other benefits for employees of the Department of Energy;*

under section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102-484; 106 Stat. 2644; 42 U.S.C. 7274h).

SEC. 304. None of the funds appropriated by this Act or any prior appropriations Act may be used to augment the \$61,159,000 made available for obligation by this Act for severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants under section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102-484; 106 Stat. 2644; 42 U.S.C. 7274h).

SEC. 305. None of the funds appropriated by this Act or any prior appropriations Act may be used to prepare or initiate Requests For Proposals (RFPs) for a program if the program has not been funded by Congress.

(TRANSFERS OF UNEXPENDED BALANCES)

SEC. 306. The unexpended balances of prior appropriations provided for activities in this Act may be transferred to appropriation accounts for such activities established pursuant to this title. Bal-

ances so transferred may be merged with funds in the applicable established accounts and thereafter may be accounted for as one fund for the same time period as originally enacted.

TITLE IV

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION

For expenses necessary to carry out the programs authorized by the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965, as amended, notwithstanding section 405 of said Act, and for necessary expenses for the Federal Co-Chairman and the alternate on the Appalachian Regional Commission and for payment of the Federal share of the administrative expenses of the Commission, including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, and hire of passenger motor vehicles, \$170,000,000, to remain available until expended.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board in carrying out activities authorized by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended by Public Law 100-456, section 1441, \$17,000,000, to remain available until expended.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Commission in carrying out the purposes of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended, and the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, including the employment of aliens; services authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109; publication and dissemination of atomic information; purchase, repair, and cleaning of uniforms; official representation expenses (not to exceed \$20,000); reimbursements to the General Services Administration for security guard services; hire of passenger motor vehicles and aircraft, \$468,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That of the amount appropriated herein, \$15,000,000 shall be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund: Provided further, That from this appropriation, transfers of sums may be made to other agencies of the Government for the performance of the work for which this appropriation is made, and in such cases the sums so transferred may be merged with the appropriation to which transferred: Provided further, That moneys received by the Commission for the cooperative nuclear safety research program, services rendered to State governments, foreign governments and international organizations, and the material and information access authorization programs, including criminal history checks under section 149 of the Atomic Energy Act may be retained and used for salaries and expenses associated with those activities, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, and shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That reve-

nues from licensing fees, inspection services, and other services and collections estimated at \$450,000,000 in fiscal year 1998 shall be retained and used for necessary salaries and expenses in this account, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, and shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That \$3,000,000 of the funds herein appropriated for regulatory reviews and other assistance provided to the Department of Energy and other Federal agencies shall be excluded from license fee revenues, notwithstanding 42 U.S.C. 2214: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of revenues received during fiscal year 1998 from licensing fees, inspection services and other services and collections, excluding those moneys received for the cooperative nuclear safety research program, services rendered to State governments, foreign governments and international organizations, and the material and information access authorization programs, so as to result in a final fiscal year 1998 appropriation estimated at not more than \$18,000,000.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, including services authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, \$4,800,000, to remain available until expended; and in addition, an amount not to exceed 5 percent of this sum may be transferred from Salaries and Expenses, Nuclear Regulatory Commission: Provided, That notice of such transfers shall be given to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and Senate: Provided further, That from this appropriation, transfers of sums may be made to other agencies of the Government for the performance of the work for which this appropriation is made, and in such cases the sums so transferred may be merged with the appropriation to which transferred: Provided further, That revenues from licensing fees, inspection services, and other services and collections shall be retained and used for necessary salaries and expenses in this account, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, and shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of revenues received during fiscal year 1998 from licensing fees, inspection services, and other services and collections, so as to result in a final fiscal year 1998 appropriation estimated at not more than \$0.

NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board, as authorized by Public Law 100-203, section 5051, \$2,600,000, to be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund, and to remain available until expended.

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

For the purpose of carrying out the provisions of the Tennessee Valley Authority Act of 1933, as amended (16 U.S.C. Ch. 12A), in-

cluding hire, maintenance, and operation of aircraft, and purchase and hire of passenger motor vehicles, \$70,000,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$6,900,000 shall be available for operation, maintenance, surveillance, and improvement of Land Between the Lakes; and for essential stewardship activities for which appropriations were provided to the Tennessee Valley Authority in Public Law 104-206, such sums as are necessary in fiscal year 1999 and thereafter, to be derived only from one or more of the following sources: nonpower fund balances and collections; investment returns of the nonpower program; applied programmatic savings in the power and nonpower programs; savings from the suspension of bonuses and awards; savings from reductions in memberships and contributions; increases in collections resulting from nonpower activities, including user fees; or increases in charges to private and public utilities both investor and cooperatively owned, as well as to direct load customers: Provided, That such funds are available to fund the stewardship activities under this paragraph, notwithstanding sections 11, 14, 15, 29, or other provisions of the Tennessee Valley Authority Act, as amended, or provisions of the TVA power bond covenants: Provided further, That the savings from, and revenue adjustments to, the TVA budget in fiscal year 1999 and thereafter shall be sufficient to fund the aforementioned stewardship activities such that the net spending authority and resulting outlays for these activities shall not exceed \$0 in fiscal year 1999 and thereafter.

TITLE V

GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 501. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used in any way, directly or indirectly, to influence congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in section 1913 of title 18, United States Code.

SEC. 502. (a) PURCHASE OF AMERICAN-MADE EQUIPMENT AND PRODUCTS.—It is the sense of the Congress that, to the greatest extent practicable, all equipment and products purchased with funds made available in this Act should be American-made.

(b) NOTICE REQUIREMENT.—In providing financial assistance to, or entering into any contract with, any entity using funds made available in this Act, the head of each Federal agency, to the greatest extent practicable, shall provide to such entity a notice describing the statement made in subsection (a) by the Congress.

(c) PROHIBITION OF CONTRACTS WITH PERSONS FALSELY LABELING PRODUCTS AS MADE IN AMERICA.—If it has been finally determined by a court or Federal agency that any person intentionally affixed a label bearing a “Made in America” inscription, or any inscription with the same meaning, to any product sold in or shipped to the United States that is not made in the United States, the person shall be ineligible to receive any contract or subcontract made with funds made available in this Act, pursuant to the debarment, suspension, and ineligibility procedures described in sections 9.400 through 9.409 of title 48, Code of Federal Regulations.

SEC. 503. None of the funds made available in this Act may be provided by contract or by grant (including a grant of funds to be

available for student aid) to any institution of higher education, or subelement thereof, that is currently ineligible for contracts and grants pursuant to section 514 of the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 1997 (as contained in section 101(e) of division A of Public law 104-208; 110 Stat. 3009-270).

SEC. 504. None of the funds made available in this Act may be obligated or expended to enter into or renew a contract with a contractor that is subject to the reporting requirement set forth in subsection (d) of section 4212 of title 38, United States Code, but has not submitted the most recent report required by such subsection.

SEC. 505. None of the funds made available in this Act to pay the salary of any officer or employee of the Department of the Interior may be used for the Animas-La Plata Project, in Colorado and New Mexico, except for: (1) activities required to comply with the applicable provisions of current law; and (2) continuation of activities pursuant to the Colorado Ute Indian Water Rights Settlement Act of 1988 (Public Law 100-585).

SEC. 506. Section 1621 of title XVI of the Reclamation Wastewater and Groundwater Act, Public Law 104-266, is amended by—

(1) striking “study” in the section title and in subsection (a), and inserting “project” into the title and in subsection (a);

(2) inserting in subsection (a) “planning, design, and construction of the” following “to participate in the”; and

(3) inserting in subsection (a) “and nonpotable surface water” following “impaired ground water”.

SEC. 507. Section 1208(a)(2) of the Yavapai-Prescott Indian Treaty Settlement Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-434) is amended by striking “\$4,000,000 for construction” and inserting in lieu thereof “\$13,000,000, at 1997 prices, for construction plus or minus such amounts as may be justified by reason of ordinary fluctuations of applicable cost indexes”.

SEC. 508. (a) The State of West Virginia shall receive credit towards its required contribution under Contract No. DACW59-C-0071 for the cost of recreational facilities to be constructed by a joint venture of the State in cooperation with private interests for recreation development at Stonewall Jackson Lake, West Virginia, except that the State shall receive no credit for costs associated with golf course development and the amount of the credit may not exceed the amount owed by State under the Contract.

(b) The Corps of Engineers shall revise both the 1977 recreation cost-sharing agreement and the Park and Recreation Lease dated October 2, 1995 to remove the requirement that such recreation facilities are to be owned by the Government at the time of their completion as contained in Article 2-06 of the cost-sharing agreement and Article 36 of the lease.

(c) Nothing in this section shall reduce the amount of funds owed the United States Government pursuant to the 1977 recreation cost-sharing agreement.

SEC. 509. Amounts to be transferred to the Department of Energy by the United States Enrichment Corporation (USEC) pursuant to this section shall be retained and used for the specific purpose of development and demonstration of AVLIS technology for

uranium enrichment: Provided, That, notwithstanding section 1605 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2297e-4), USEC shall transfer to the Department such sums as are necessary in fiscal year 1998 for AVLIS demonstration and development activities to be derived only from one or more of the following sources: savings from adjustments in the level of inventories; savings from reductions in capital and operating costs; savings from reductions in power costs including savings from increased use of off-peak power; or savings from adjustments in the amount of purchases: Provided further, That the savings from such reductions and adjustments in the amounts paid by USEC in fiscal year 1998 shall be sufficient to fund the aforementioned AVLIS demonstration and development activities such that the net spending authority and resulting outlays for these activities shall not exceed \$0 in fiscal year 1998 and thereafter: Provided further, That, prior to transferring funds to the Department for AVLIS activities pursuant to this section, the Chief Financial Officer of USEC shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and Senate an itemized listing of the amounts of the reductions made pursuant to this section to fund the proposed transfer: Provided further, That, by November 1, 1998, the Chief Financial Officer of USEC shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and Senate an itemized listing of the amounts of the reductions made pursuant to this section for fiscal year 1998: Provided further, That the provisions in this section related to the transfer to and use by the Department of funds for AVLIS demonstration and development activities shall expire as of the privatization date for USEC, as defined in Section 3102 of the USEC Privatization Act (42 U.S.C. 2297h), and the total amount obligated by the Department pursuant to this section for AVLIS demonstration and development activities shall not exceed \$60,000,000.

SEC. 510. (a) None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be used to determine the final point of discharge for the interceptor drain for the San Luis Unit until development by the Secretary of the Interior and the State of California of a plan, which shall conform to the water quality standards of the State of California as approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, to minimize any detrimental effect of the San Luis drainage waters.

(b) The costs of the Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and the costs of the San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program shall be classified by the Secretary of the Interior as reimbursable or non-reimbursable and collected until fully repaid pursuant to the "Cleanup Program—Alternative Repayment Plan" and the "SJVDP—Alternative Repayment Plan" described in the report entitled "Repayment Report, Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program, February 1995", prepared by the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation. Any future obligations of funds by the United States relating to, or providing for, drainage service or drainage studies for the San Luis Unit shall be fully reimbursable by San Luis Unit beneficiaries of such service or studies pursuant to Federal Reclamation law.

SEC. 511. MAINTENANCE OF SECURITY AT THE GASEOUS DIFFUSION PLANTS.—Section 3107 of the USEC Privatization Act (42 U.S.C. 2297h-5) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(h) MAINTENANCE OF SECURITY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—With respect to the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Kentucky, and the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Ohio, the guidelines relating to the authority of the Department of Energy’s contractors (including any Federal agency, or private entity operating a gaseous diffusion plant under a contract or lease with the Department of Energy) and any subcontractor (at any tier) to carry firearms and make arrests in providing security at Federal installations, issued under section 161k. of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2201k.) shall require, at a minimum, the presence of an adequate number of security guards carrying sidearms at all times to ensure maintenance of security at the gaseous diffusion plants (whether a gaseous diffusion plant is operated directly by a Federal agency or by a private entity under a contract or lease with a Federal agency).

SEC. 512. None of the funds made available in this or any other Act may be used to restart the High Flux Beam Reactor.

This Act may be cited as the “Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 1998”.

And the Senate agree to the same.

JOSEPH MCDADE,
HAROLD ROGERS,
JOE KNOLLENBERG,
R.P. FRELINGHUYSEN,
MIKE PARKER,
SONNY CALLAHAN,
JAY DICKY,
BOB LIVINGSTON,
VIC FAZIO,
PETER J. VISCLOSKY,
CHET EDWARDS,
ED PASTOR,
DAVID R. OBEY,

Managers on the Part of the House.

PETE V. DOMENICI,
THAD COCHRAN,
SLADE GORTON,
MITCH MCCONNELL,
ROBERT F. BENNETT,
CONRAD BURNS,
LARRY CRAIG,
TED STEVENS,
HARRY REID,
ROBERT C. BYRD,
FRITZ HOLLINGS,
PATY MURRAY,
HERB KOHL,
BYRON L. DORGAN,
DANIEL K. INOUE,

Managers on the Part of the Senate.

JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The managers on the part of the House and the Senate at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 2203) making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1998, and for other purposes, submit the following joint statement to the House and the Senate in explanation of the effects of the action agreed upon by the managers and recommended in the accompanying conference report.

The language and allocations set forth in House Report 105-190 and Senate Report 105-44 should be complied with unless specifically addressed to the contrary in the conference report and statement of the managers. Report language included by the House which is not contradicted by the report of the Senate or the conference, and Senate report language which is not contradicted by the report of the House or the conference is approved by the committee of conference. The statement of the managers, while repeating some report language for emphasis, does not intend to negate the language referred to above unless expressly provided herein. In cases where both the House report and Senate report address a particular issue not specifically addressed in the conference report or joint statement of managers, the conferees have determined that the House and Senate reports are not inconsistent and are to be interpreted accordingly. In cases in which the House or Senate have directed the submission of a report, such report is to be submitted to both House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

Senate amendment: The Senate deleted the entire House bill after the enacting clause and inserted the Senate bill. The conference agreement includes a revised bill.

TITLE I

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Corps of Engineers. Additional items of conference agreement are discussed below.

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

The conference agreement appropriates \$156,804,000 for General Investigations instead of \$157,260,000 as proposed by the House and \$164,065,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes \$100,000 for the Corps of Engineers to undertake a reconnaissance study of the need for navigational improvements on the Mobile, Tombigbee, and Black Warrior Rivers in accordance with the resolution (Docket #2512) adopted on May 7, 1997, by the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives.

The conferees have provided an additional \$200,000 for the Corps of Engineers to accelerate work on the feasibility study for the development of a comprehensive basin management plan for navigation, including recreational navigation, environmental restoration, and water quality for the Dog River, Alabama, watershed.

The conference agreement includes \$270,000 for the Newport Bay Harbor, California, study, the same as the budget request. Within the funds provided, \$100,000 is for the Corps of Engineers to undertake a reconnaissance study for management of the Newport Bay/San Diego Creek Watershed in the interest of environmental preservation and restoration, water quality and sediment control, and the avoidance or minimization of undesirable impacts resulting from urbanization and other present and future watershed activities.

The conferees have provided \$40,000 for completion of the feasibility study for navigational improvements at Port Hueneme in California, the same as the budget request. Federal interest recommendations for channel improvements shall be based on the potential for future shipping operations at the port.

The conference agreement includes \$100,000 for the Corps of Engineers to initiate a reconnaissance study of options for increased flood protection along the Toulumne River and its tributaries.

The conferees direct the Secretary of the Army to use the \$600,000 provided for the Truckee Meadows, Reno, Nevada, project authorized by Section 3(a)(10) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1988 to resume preconstruction engineering and design incorporating recent data from the 1996/1997 flooding event.

The conference agreement includes \$200,000 for the Corps of Engineers to participate in the development of Special Area Management Plans in Orange and San Diego Counties, California, as described in the House report.

The conference agreement includes \$500,000 for the Corps of Engineers to modify the Lower West Branch Susquehanna River Basin Environmental Restoration, Pennsylvania, reconnaissance study to address the wide range of complex water resources problems in the large study area which includes Clinton, Northumberland, Lycoming, Sullivan, Tioga, and Union Counties, Pennsylvania and, as requested, to negotiate separate feasibility study agreements with state, county, and other public interests for subwatersheds within the river basin.

The conference agreement includes \$500,000 as proposed by the Senate for a study of the Grand Neosho River basin in Oklahoma as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have agreed to move the funds for this effort to the Operation and Maintenance, General account.

The conferees agree that funds provided for the Lower Platte River and Tributaries, Nebraska, study should also be used to con-

duct studies authorized by Section 503(d)(11) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996.

For the Lower Potomac Estuary Watershed, Virginia and Maryland, study, the conferees expect the Corps of Engineers to negotiate separate feasibility study cost-sharing agreements with state and local interests in Virginia and Maryland for individual sub-basins within the watershed.

The conference agreement includes \$8,500,000 for Coordination Studies With Other Agencies. Within the funds provided, the conferees urge the Corps of Engineers to work with the Riverside County, California, Flood Control and Water Conservation District to complete the floodplain maintenance plan for Murrieta Creek and to participate in the development of Special Area Management Plans in southern California in coordination with the State of California Natural Community Conservation Planning Program. In addition, the amount provided includes \$400,000 for the Pacific Northwest forest case study as described in the Senate report.

The conference agreement includes \$32,000,000 for the Corps of Engineers Research and Development program instead of \$27,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$37,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The amount provided includes \$2,000,000 for the development of strategies for the control of zebra mussels and the full budget request for the CFIRMS program.

The conferees have included language in the bill earmarking funds for the following projects in the amounts specified: Delaware Bay Coastline, Delaware and New Jersey, \$456,000; Tampa Harbor, Alafia Channel, Florida, \$270,000; Lualaulei, Hawaii, \$200,000; Barnegat Inlet to Little Egg Harbor Inlet, New Jersey, \$400,000; Brigantine Inlet to Great Egg Harbor Inlet, New Jersey, \$472,000; Great Egg Harbor Inlet to Townsends Inlet, New Jersey, \$400,000; Lower Cape May Meadows—Cape May Point, New Jersey, \$154,000; Manasquan Inlet to Barnegat Inlet, New Jersey, \$400,000; Raritan Bay to Sandy Hook Bay (Cliffwood Beach), New Jersey \$300,000; Townsends Inlet to Cape May Inlet, New Jersey, \$500,000; and Monongahela River, Fairmont, West Virginia, \$350,000.

The conference agreement deletes funds earmarked in the Senate bill for the Norco Bluffs, California, project. This project has been funded in the Construction, General, account.

The conference agreement deletes language contained in the Senate bill providing funds for the Tahoe Basin study in California and Nevada. The amount appropriated for General Investigations includes \$750,000 for this project. The conference agreement also deletes language contained in the Senate bill providing funds for preconstruction engineering and design for the Delaware Coast from Cape Henlopen to Fenwick Island, Delaware, project. The amount appropriated for General Investigations includes \$300,000 for preconstruction engineering and design of the project.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House which directs the Corps of Engineers to initiate feasibility phase studies of extending commercial navigation on the Red River upstream of Shreveport-Bossier, Louisiana, into southwest Arkansas using previously appropriated funds and language proposed by the House which directs the Corps of Engineers to initiate feasibil-

ity phase studies for the Metropolitan Louisville, Southwest, Kentucky, study.

The conferees have also included language in the bill directing the Corps of Engineers to use \$150,000 to implement Section 211(f)(8) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 and to reimburse the non-Federal sponsor a portion of the Federal share of project costs for the White Oak Bayou, Texas, project, and language directing the Corps of Engineers to use \$500,000 to implement Section 211(f)(7) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 and to reimburse the non-Federal sponsor a portion of the Federal share of project costs for the Hunting Bayou, Texas, project.

CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

The conference agreement appropriates \$1,473,373,000 for Construction, General, instead of \$1,475,892,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,284,266,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees agree with the language in the Senate report regarding the Faulkner's Island, Connecticut, project.

The Secretary of the Army is directed to use \$600,000 of available funds to plan and implement a flood warning system for Reno, Nevada, using, to the maximum extent possible, work of non-Federal entities.

The conference agreement includes \$1,140,000 for the Canaveral Harbor Deepening, Florida, project. The funds provided include \$640,000 to reimburse the local sponsor for the Federal share of revetment work completed by the sponsor and \$500,000 for widening of the entrance channel.

With the funds provided for the East Rockaway Inlet to Rockaway Inlet and Jamaica Bay, New York, project the conferees direct the Corps of Engineers to initiate a reevaluation report to identify more cost-effective measures of providing storm damage protection for the project. In conducting the reevaluation, the Corps should include consideration of using dredged material from maintenance dredging of East Rockaway Inlet and should also investigate the potential for ecosystem restoration within the project area.

Within the funds provided for the Chesapeake Bay Environmental Restoration and Protection Program, the conferees expect the Corps of Engineers to give priority to projects that protect the environmental, historic, and cultural resources of Smith Island, Maryland and Virginia.

The conference agreement provides funding for small boat harbor projects at Knife River, McQuade Road (Duluth), Taconite Harbor, and Two Harbors, Minnesota. Each of these projects is fully authorized. By providing funding for these projects, the conferees intend that these badly needed projects proceed expeditiously, and direct the Secretary of the Army to expedite the consideration and construction of these projects. In addition, the Secretary is to preserve scarce Federal, state, and local resources by utilizing a flexible approach in pursuing these projects. The managers are aware that, in the construction of another small boat harbor at Silver Bay, a cooperative effort with state and local interests allowed for the swift and satisfactory completion of the project. The managers

direct the Secretary to employ similar procedures, including using existing feasibility and other study documents and designs prepared by the State of Minnesota, and to construct the project in cooperation with the state.

The conference agreement includes \$3,100,000 for the Corps of Engineers to complete planning engineering and design and initiate construction of the Lower Basin and Stony Brook portions of the Raritan River Basin, Green Brook Sub-Basin, New Jersey, project. Within the funds provided, \$100,000 shall be used to re-evaluate alternative plans for the Upper Basin portion of the project. Language has been included under General Provisions, Corps of Engineers—Civil, which provides that no funds made available in this Act or any other Act for any fiscal year may be utilized by the Secretary of the Army to construct the Oak Way detention structure or the Sky Top detention structure in Berkeley Heights, New Jersey, as part of the project for flood control.

The conference agreement includes \$95,000,000 for the Columbia River Juvenile Fish Mitigation program in Washington, Oregon, and Idaho instead of \$85,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$117,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees note that the budget request for this program appeared to reflect the pursuit of multiple restoration strategies. Some of these may not be adopted, rendering expensive measures obsolete. The conferees request the Northwest Power Planning Council, with assistance from the Independent Scientific Advisory Board (to the extent that the Board feels it can participate without compromising its primary function), established jointly with the National Marine Fisheries Service, to conduct a review of the major fish mitigation capital construction activities proposed for implementation at the Federal dams in the Columbia River Basin including those called for in the 1995 Biological Opinion of the National Marine Fisheries Service regarding the Snake River salmon. The review shall be completed by June 30, 1998. Upon completion of the review, the Corps of Engineers shall seek regional recommendations, as provided by the Bonneville Power Administration Fish and Wildlife Budget Memorandum of Agreement dated September 16, 1996, on implementing the recommendations contained in the review. In addition, the findings of the review shall be supplied to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees.

The conference agreement includes a total of \$58,267,000 for the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River project. In addition to the amounts in the budget request, the conference agreement includes: \$26,390,000 for the Harlan, Williamsburg, and Middlesboro, Kentucky, elements; \$5,300,000 for the Pike County, Kentucky, element; \$5,000,000 for the Martin County, Kentucky, element; \$700,000 for the Town of Martin, Kentucky, element; \$500,000 for a Detailed Project Report for the Buchanan County, Virginia, element; \$1,000,000 for the Hatfield Bottom, West Virginia, element; \$6,300,000 for the Lower Mingo (Kermit), West Virginia, element; \$150,000 for a Detailed Project Report for the Lower Mingo, West Virginia, element; \$3,000,000 for the Upper Mingo, West Virginia, element; \$1,200,000 for the Wayne County, West Virginia, element; \$400,000 for a flood warning system for the Levisa Basin; and

\$400,000 for a flood warning system for the Tug Fork Basin. In addition, the conferees are aware of the flood situation at Haysi Dam and urge the Corps of Engineers to reevaluate the benefit-cost analysis and provide to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and the Senate a report on the Haysi Dam, Virginia, element of the project prior to submission of the fiscal year 1999 budget. The conference agreement also deletes language proposed by the Senate which provided that flood warning systems for the Tug Fork and Levisa Basins would be undertaken at full Federal expense.

Using \$463,000 of the funds provided for the LaFarge Lake and Kickapoo River, Wisconsin, project, the Corps of Engineers is directed to complete the Memorandum of Understanding between the Ho-Chunk Nation and the State of Wisconsin, evaluate a conservation easement, covenant, or other appropriate legal instrument for the protection of archeological resources at the site, start processing real estate documents for future land transfers, and continue coordination activities as authorized by the Water Resources Development Act of 1996. The remaining \$250,000 is for planning and engineering of the highway relocations and to complete required NEPA documentation as authorized.

The conference agreement includes \$40,000,000 for the Section 205 program. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with the projects described in the House and Senate reports. For the Lake Carl Blackwell project in Oklahoma, the Corps of Engineers may use available funds to proceed with plans and specifications for the project. In addition, the Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with studies of flooding problems along Dry Creek in Cortland County, New York, and the Lamoille and Missisquoi Rivers in Vermont.

The conferees agree that the Huntsville Spring Branch, Alabama, project funded by the House under Section 206 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 should proceed as a small flood control project under the Section 205 program. The conferees also agree that the Reno, Nevada, project and the Lycoming County, Pennsylvania, project should proceed under the Section 205 program.

The conference agreement includes \$11,000,000 for the Section 14 program. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with the projects described in the House and Senate reports.

The conference agreement includes \$3,000,000 for the Section 103 program. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with the projects described in the House report.

The conference agreement includes \$11,400,000 for the Section 107 program. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with the projects described in the House and Senate reports.

The conference agreement includes \$2,000,000 for the Section 208 program. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with the projects described in the House report.

The aquatic restoration project at Hamilton Army Airfield in Marin County, California, funded under the Section 204 program

by the House has been funded under the General Investigations account.

The conference agreement includes \$21,175,000 for the Section 1135 program. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with the projects described in the House and Senate reports.

The conference agreement includes \$6,000,000 for the Section 206 program. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with the projects described in the House and the Senate reports. In addition, the Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with a project to restore environmental resources along Cache Creek in California. Abandoned gravel pits along the lower Cache Creek corridor would be used to restore seasonal and permanent wetlands and riparian habitat.

The conferees have included language in the bill earmarking funds for the following projects in the amounts specified: Arkansas River, Tucker Creek, Arkansas, \$300,000; Norco Bluffs, California, \$1,000,000; San Timoteo Creek (Santa Ana River Mainstem), California, \$5,000,000; Panama City Beaches, Florida, \$5,000,000; Tybee Island, Georgia, \$2,000,000; Indianapolis Central Waterfront, Indiana \$5,000,000; Indiana Shoreline Erosion, Indiana, \$3,000,000; Lake George, Hobart, Indiana, \$3,500,000; Ohio River Flood Protection, Indiana \$1,300,000; Harlan, Williamsburg, and Middlesboro (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), Kentucky, \$26,390,000; Martin County (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), Kentucky, \$5,000,000; Pike County (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), Kentucky, \$5,300,000; Town of Martin (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), Kentucky, \$700,000; Levisa Basin Flood Warning System (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), Kentucky and Virginia, \$400,000; Salyersville, Kentucky, \$2,050,000; Southern and Eastern Kentucky, Kentucky, \$3,000,000; Lake Pontchartrain and Vicinity (Hurricane Protection), Louisiana, \$22,920,000; Lake Pontchartrain Stormwater Discharge, Louisiana, \$3,000,000; Jackson County, Mississippi, \$3,000,000; Natchez Bluff, Mississippi, \$4,000,000; Pearl River (Walkiah Bluff), Mississippi, \$2,000,000; Joseph G. Minish Passaic River Park, New Jersey, \$3,000,000; Hudson River, Athens, New York, \$8,700,000; Lackawanna River, Olyphant, Pennsylvania, \$1,400,000; Lackawanna River, Scranton, Pennsylvania, \$5,425,000; Lycoming County, Pennsylvania, \$339,000; South Central Pennsylvania Environment Improvement Program, Pennsylvania, \$30,000,000; Wallisville Lake, Texas, \$9,200,000; Virginia Beach, Virginia (Reimbursement), \$925,000; Virginia Beach (Hurricane Protection), Virginia, \$13,000,000; West Virginia and Pennsylvania Flood Control, West Virginia and Pennsylvania \$3,000,000; Hatfield Bottom (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), West Virginia, \$1,000,000; Lower Mingo (Kermit) (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), West Virginia, \$6,300,000; Lower Mingo Tributaries Supplement (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), West Virginia, \$150,000; Upper Mingo County (Levisa and

Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), West Virginia, \$3,000,000; Tug Fork Basin Flood Warning System (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), West Virginia, \$400,000; and Wayne County (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), West Virginia, \$1,200,000.

For the South Central Pennsylvania Infrastructure Program, within the \$10,000,000 provided for water-related environmental infrastructure and resource protection and development projects in Lackawanna, Lycoming, Susquehanna, Wyoming, Pike, and Monroe Counties in Pennsylvania, \$1,000,000 is for Olyphant Borough, Lackawanna County; \$1,000,000 is for Jefferson Township, Lackawanna County; \$2,000,000 is for Scott Township Water and Sewer Authority, Lackawanna County; \$2,850,000 is for Westfall Municipal Sewage Authority, Pike County; \$800,000 is for the Township of Tobyhanna Sewer Authority, Monroe County; \$750,000 is for Thompson Borough, Susquehanna County; \$900,000 is for Old Lycoming Township Sewer Authority, Lycoming County; and \$700,000 is for Lycoming County Water and Sewer Authority for a public sewer extension in Armstrong Township, Lycoming County.

The conference agreement includes language in the bill directing the Secretary of the Army to: use \$225,000 to undertake repairs to the flume and conduit at Hagerman's Run for the flood control project at Williamsport, Pennsylvania; proceed with design and construction of the Southeast Louisiana, Louisiana, project using continuing contracts consistent with the limit of the authorized appropriation ceiling; incorporate the economic analyses for the Green Ridge and Plot Sections of the Lackawanna River, Scranton, Pennsylvania, project with the analysis for the Albright Street section of the project and cost-share and implement the combined sections as single project; combine three separate navigation improvements projects in Wilmington Harbor, North Carolina, into a single project; to use \$20,000,000 to initiate construction of the Houston-Galveston Navigation Channels, Texas, project and execute a Project Cooperation Agreement for the entire authorized project.

The conferees are aware that the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers has determined, pursuant to the requirements of Section 533(d) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996, that additional work to be carried out on the Southeast Louisiana, Louisiana, project with funds in excess of the amount authorized to be appropriated in Section 533(c) of said Act is technically sound, environmentally acceptable, and economic. Therefore, the conferees direct the Corps of Engineers to proceed immediately with design and construction of the entire Southeast Louisiana project.

The conference agreement also includes language that increases the appropriation ceiling for the Rillito River, Arizona, project and language that provides \$5,000,000 for the Corps of Engineers to initiate construction of an emergency outlet from Devils Lake, North Dakota, to the Sheyenne River subject to a number of conditions. The Senate language has been amended to make technical corrections regarding the designation of the project as an emergency.

The conference agreement deletes funds earmarked in the House bill for the Flint River, Michigan, project. The project has been funded in the Operation and Maintenance, General account.

The conference agreement deletes language contained in the Senate bill earmarking funds for the Red River Emergency Bank Protection, Arkansas, project.

The conferees direct the Secretary of the Army to consider the recommendation of the Special Reevaluation Report for the McCook Reservoir, Illinois, project as developed by the Corps of Engineers Chicago District. The conference agreement deletes language contained in the Senate bill regarding this issue.

The conference agreement also includes bill language directing the Secretary of the Army to use \$2,000,000 to implement Section 211(f)(6) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 and to reimburse the non-Federal sponsor for a portion of the Federal share of the project costs for the Brays Bayou, Texas, project.

In light of the current budgetary situation, the conferees are concerned with the funding implications associated with any projects which the Secretary of the Army approves for construction by non-Federal sponsors under reimbursement authorities, such as Section 211 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996. The conferees are particularly concerned with the ability to provide funding for reimbursement agreements while trying to meet the funding demands for ongoing Federal construction projects nationwide. Therefore, the conferees direct the Secretary of the Army to notify the Committees on Appropriations of the House and the Senate prior to initiating negotiations for a reimbursement agreement for construction of any project. Such notification shall include the total commitment and the annual requirements that the Administration proposes to support in future budget submissions. The conferees urge the Secretary to reimburse a non-Federal sponsor for applicable costs only after the Secretary and the non-Federal sponsor have entered into a formal written agreement specifying the terms and conditions for the reimbursement. Given the need to establish a disciplined and orderly schedule for reimbursements, the conferees expect that the terms of the agreement will specify that reimbursements for the Federal share of project costs will be provided on an incremental basis in accordance with the terms of the agreement and on a schedule that would be consistent with a Federal construction schedule. In addition, in recognition of the need to protect the Federal interest, the conferees suggest that the Secretary include a provision in the agreement that will allow the Secretary to withhold scheduled reimbursements to the non-Federal sponsor or require the non-Federal sponsor to remit previously received reimbursements in the event that the sponsor fails to complete the entire project or a separable element of the project.

FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, ARKANSAS, ILLINOIS, KENTUCKY, LOUISIANA, MISSISSIPPI, MISSOURI, AND TENNESSEE

The conference agreement appropriates \$296,212,000 for Flood Control, Mississippi River and Tributaries instead of \$285,450,000 as proposed by the House and \$289,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement provides \$31,000,000 for the Mississippi River Levees element of the Mississippi River and Tributaries project. The increase over the budget request shall be used to bring mainline levees up to grade as described in the House report and to advance construction of the Commerce to Birds Point levee in Missouri.

The conference agreement includes \$900,000 with which the Corps of Engineers is directed to complete preconstruction engineering and design and initiate construction for the Louisiana State Penitentiary Levee project.

The conferees expect the Corps of Engineers to expedite work on East Goose Creek in Oxford, Mississippi, under the Yazoo Basin Demonstration Erosion Control Program.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate authorizing and directing the Corps of Engineers to use funds appropriated in this Act or previously appropriated funds to complete remedial measures to prevent slope instability at Hickman Bluff, Kentucky.

The conference agreement includes language which directs the Secretary of the Army to use up to \$4,000,000, including \$1,900,000 appropriated in this Act, to dredge Sardis Lake, Mississippi, at full Federal expense, and which directs the Secretary of the Army to conduct, at full Federal expense, the necessary environmental assessment and impact studies for the initial components of Sardis Lake development.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, GENERAL

The conference agreement appropriates \$1,740,025,000 for Operation and Maintenance, General, instead of \$1,726,955,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,661,203,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees have provided an additional \$150,000 under the McNary Lock and Dam project in Oregon and Washington for the Corps of Engineers to address questions and concerns raised in litigation associated with the Kennewick Man skeleton, ancient remains found at Columbia Park on the Columbia River near Kennewick, Washington. The additional funds will allow the Corps to continue to store the remains in a manner that preserves their scientific, historic, and cultural value, address questions regarding testing of material, conduct site evaluations, and acquire expert services.

The conferees agree with the language in the Senate report regarding the Charleston Harbor, South Carolina, project.

The conference agreement includes \$400,000 for the Corps of Engineers to proceed with the Corpus Christi Ship Channel, Rincon Canal System, Texas, project as authorized by Section 509 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996.

For the Green Bay Harbor, Wisconsin, diked disposal project, the conferees expect the Corps of Engineers to use the funds provided to expand the existing Section 123 facility at Bay Port using the local and state approved designs. Further, the conferees intend the Bay Port expansion to be funded using the funding arrangements specified in Section 201 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996.

The attention of the Corps of Engineers is directed to the following projects in need of maintenance or review: Alabama-Coosa River navigation system; Brunswick Harbor, Georgia; and Little and Murrells Inlets in South Carolina.

The conference agreement includes language in the bill earmarking funds for the following projects in the amounts specified: Anclote River, Florida, \$1,500,000; Beverly Shores, Indiana, \$1,700,000; Boston Harbor, Massachusetts, \$16,500,000; Flint River, Michigan, \$875,000; and Raystown Lake, Pennsylvania, \$4,690,000.

The conference agreement includes an additional \$2,170,000 for the Raystown Lake, Pennsylvania, project for the Corps of Engineers to implement recommendations of the 1992 update of the project Master Plan and for continued operation and maintenance of project facilities.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House directing the Corps of Engineers to reimburse the local sponsor for the Fort Myers Beach, Florida, project for maintenance dredging performed by the local sponsor using previously appropriated funds.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which provides that none of the funds appropriated in the Act shall be used for the purpose of acquiring land in Jasper County, South Carolina, in connection with the Savannah Harbor navigation project and language proposed by the Senate authorizing and directing the Corps of Engineers to dredge a navigation channel in the Chena River at Fairbanks, Alaska.

Language has been included in the bill which directs the Secretary of the Army to use \$6,000,000 of the funds appropriated in the Act to extend the navigation channel on the Allegheny River project to provide passenger boat access to the Kittanning, Pennsylvania, Riverfront Park.

The conference agreement includes language in the bill directing the Corps of Engineers to use \$2,500,000 to implement measures upstream of Lake Cumberland in Kentucky to intercept and dispose of solid waste. The conferees expect the Corps of Engineers to proceed with this measure in a manner that is economically feasible and in accordance with applicable laws.

REGULATORY PROGRAM

The conference agreement appropriates \$106,000,000 for the Regulatory Program as proposed by the Senate instead of \$112,000,000 as proposed by the House.

The conferees expect that the increase provided over the amount appropriated in fiscal year 1997 will be used to begin implementation of an administrative appeals process for the Corps of Engineers Regulatory Program.

Not later than 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is urged to make a final decision with respect to the permits applied for under permit application number 95-2-00970 for the replacement of the existing 350-foot wood dock with a 400-foot concrete extension of the existing Terminal 5 dock (including associated dredging and filling) in the West Waterway of the Duwamish

River in Seattle, Washington. The Secretary shall not reject that application on the basis of any claim of Indian treaty rights, but shall leave any question with respect to such rights to be determined in the course of judicial review of his action on the same basis as any other permit under Section 404 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1344).

FLOOD CONTROL AND COASTAL EMERGENCIES

The conference agreement appropriates \$4,000,000 for Flood Control and Coastal Emergencies instead of \$14,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$10,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees have agreed to include the language proposed by the Senate directing that construction of the Ten and Fifteen Mile Bayou channel enlargement project be considered as an integral part of the St. Francis Basin, Arkansas and Missouri, project under the Mississippi River and Tributaries account.

The conferees are concerned that funding provided by PL 105-18, the Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act of 1997, is not being vigorously applied to necessary repairs and projects resulting from the disaster events of 1996 and 1997 because of an overly restrictive interpretation of PL 84-99 by the Corps of Engineers.

For example, the Corps of Engineers has determined that many of the levees in the Sacramento and San Joaquin River Basins, California, which were affected by this year's catastrophic flood, are ineligible for repair and rehabilitation with Flood Control and Coastal Emergency funds due to conditions which are considered to have existed before the flood. In addition, some projects have been rejected by not considering the economic benefits to the system as a whole.

Problems across the country are similar, where the Corps has ruled projects ineligible that may be within the scope of the statute and are likely to prevent even greater expenditures should there be future disasters. The problem is particularly acute because of the unknown effects of the impending El Nino weather system and the imminent threat that it poses to many areas of the country.

The conferees are committed to ensuring that the people and their homes, schools, and economic livelihoods, as well as critical infrastructure, are protected against future floods and direct the Corps of Engineers to perform an immediate reassessment of all projects considered for funding under PL 105-18 where PL 84-99 funding has been denied. Every effort should be made to make use of the previously-appropriated emergency funds for any and all authorized purposes within the entire reading of the statute.

FORMERLY UTILIZED SITES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM

The conference agreement appropriates \$140,000,000 for the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP) instead of \$110,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$162,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement also transfers the FUSRAP program from the Department of Energy to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for program execution. The Corps currently manages and executes a similar program, the Formerly Used Defense Sites program, for the Department of Defense, and the

conferees believe there are significant cost and schedule efficiencies to be gained by having the Corps manage FUSRAP as well.

The conferees are aware of the concerns expressed that a transition from one Federal agency to another may create unnecessary delays in the program. The conferees expect the Department of Energy and the Corps to make every effort to ensure that this transition goes smoothly, that execution of the program is maintained in accordance with current schedules, and that overall execution performance is improved. The Department of Energy recently announced that it will complete the existing management and operating contract for the FUSRAP program with a contract change becoming effective in the spring of 1998. The conferees expect the program to continue within the existing contract framework during that period, and will expect minimal disruption in operations during that time as the terms of current contracts are honored.

The conferees direct the Corps of Engineers to review the baseline cost, scope, schedule, and technical assumptions for each of the cleanup sites, and determine what actions can be taken to reduce costs and accelerate cleanup activities. The Corps should determine if it is possible and/or reasonable to meet the proposed 2002 completion date and report to the Committees on Appropriations within 90 days on what steps must be taken to meet this date.

The conferees expect the Chief of Engineers to select an organization and process within the Corps which can execute this high priority program most effectively and efficiently. To avoid potential jurisdictional problems, however, overall program management, schedule and resource priority setting, and principal point of contact responsibilities for FUSRAP are to be handled as part of, and integrally with, the overall Civil Works program of the Corps.

GENERAL EXPENSES

The conference agreement appropriates \$148,000,000 for General Expenses as proposed by the House and the Senate.

REVOLVING FUND

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which permits the Corps of Engineers to use amounts in the Revolving Fund for an addition to the Alaska District's main office building on Elmendorf Air Force Base and which directs that the Revolving Fund shall be reimbursed from the benefiting appropriations by collections each year of user fees sufficient to repay the capital cost of the asset and to operate and maintain the asset.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate which provides that the Secretary of the Army, in fiscal year 1998, shall advertise for competitive bid at least 8,500,000 cubic yards of the hopper dredge volume accomplished with Government-owned dredges in fiscal year 1992 and which, notwithstanding the provisions of this section, authorizes the Secretary of

the Army to use the Corps of Engineers dredge fleet to undertake projects under certain conditions.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which authorizes and directs the Secretary of the Army to provide planning, design, and construction assistance to non-Federal interests in carrying out water related environmental infrastructure and environmental resources development projects. The Senate language has been amended to provide that the authority will be limited to fiscal year 1998 and to projects in the State of Alaska. The conference agreement provides \$5,000,000 for the Corps of Engineers to carry out the provisions of this section.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate regarding the Raritan River Basin, Greenbrook Sub-basin flood control project in New Jersey. The Senate language has been amended to provide that none of the funds made available under this Act or any other Act for any fiscal year may be used to construct the Oak Way detention structure or the Sky Top detention structure in Berkeley Heights, New Jersey, rather than carry out any plan for, or otherwise construct, the Oak Way detention structure or the Sky Top detention structure in Berkeley Heights, New Jersey.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate which provides that none of the funds appropriated in this Act may be used to consider any application for a permit that, if granted, would result in the diversion of groundwater from the Great Lakes basin.

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES		CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE	
		INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
	ALABAMA				
(SPE)	BLACK WARRIOR AND TOMBIGBEE RIVERS, AL.	---	---	100,000	---
(N)	CAHABA RIVER WATERSHED, AL.	400,000	---	400,000	---
(SPE)	DOG RIVER, AL.	200,000	---	400,000	---
	VILLAGE CREEK, JEFFERSON COUNTY (BIRMINGHAM WATERSHED)	400,000	---	400,000	---
	ALASKA				
(N)	ANCHOR POINT HARBOR, AK.	54,000	---	54,000	---
(FDP)	ANIAK, AK.	125,000	---	125,000	---
(E)	CHENA RIVER WATERSHED, AK.	168,000	---	168,000	---
(N)	COASTAL STUDIES NAVIGATION IMPROVEMENT, AK.	450,000	---	600,000	---
(FC)	COOK INLET, AK.	---	125,000	---	125,000
(N)	DOUGLAS HARBOR, AK.	---	---	100,000	---
(N)	DUTCH HARBOR, AK.	50,000	---	50,000	---
(FDP)	KENAI RIVER, AK.	---	---	100,000	---
(N)	KENAI RIVER NAVIGATION, AK.	150,000	---	150,000	---
(N)	KUSKOKWIM RIVER, AK.	100,000	---	100,000	---
(FDP)	MATANUSKA RIVER, AK.	---	---	100,000	---
(N)	NOME HARBOR IMPROVEMENTS, AK.	450,000	---	490,000	---
(N)	PORT LIONS HARBOR, AK.	---	---	100,000	---
(N)	SAND POINT HARBOR, AK.	---	37,000	---	37,000
(N)	SEWARD HARBOR, AK.	118,000	---	118,000	---
(N)	SHIP CREEK, AK.	150,000	---	225,000	---
(FDP)	SITKA LIGHTERING FACILITY, AK.	120,000	---	100,000	---
(N)	ST PAUL HARBOR, AK.	---	138,000	---	---
(N)	WRANGELL HARBOR, AK.	200,000	---	330,000	---
(N)	VALDEZ HARBOR, AK.	---	---	100,000	---
	ARIZONA				
(SPE)	COLONIAS ALONG U.S. - MEXICO BORDER, AZ & TX.	100,000	---	100,000	---
(FDP)	GILA RIVER, NORTH SCOTTSDALE, AZ.	400,000	---	400,000	---
(FDP)	GILA RIVER, SANTA CRUZ RIVER BASIN, AZ.	400,000	---	400,000	---
(FDP)	RIO DE FLAG, FLAGSTAFF, AZ.	325,000	---	325,000	---

(E)	RIO SALADO WATERSHED ECOSYSTEM, AZ.....	540,000	---	---	540,000	---
(E)	TRES RIOS, AZ.....	400,000	---	---	800,000	---
(FC)	TUCSON DRAINAGE AREA, AZ.....	---	825,000	---	---	825,000
ARKANSAS						
(FDP)	MAY BRANCH, FORT SMITH, AR.....	240,000	---	---	240,000	---
(FC)	MCKINNEY BAYOU, AR & TX.....	---	200,000	---	---	200,000
(N)	WHITE RIVER TO NEWPORT, AR.....	---	---	---	---	400,000
CALIFORNIA						
(FC)	AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED, CA.....	---	401,000	---	---	1,500,000
(FDP)	ARROYO PASAJERO, CA.....	146,000	---	---	146,000	---
(FC)	ARROYO PASAJERO, CA.....	---	1,000,000	---	---	1,000,000
(E)	BOLINAS LAGOON ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CA.....	240,000	---	---	750,000	---
	BOLSA CHICA CHANNEL, CA.....	---	---	---	40,000	---
	HAMILTON AIRFIELD WETLAND RESTORATION, CA.....	---	---	---	100,000	---
	CITIES OF ARCADIA AND SIERRA MADRE, CA.....	---	---	---	526,000	---
	CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH, CA.....	---	---	---	100,000	---
	CLEAR LAKE BASIN WATERSHED RESTORATION, CA.....	---	---	---	100,000	---
	COSUMNES AND MOKELUMNE RIVERS, CA.....	---	---	---	100,000	---
(E)	IMPERIAL COUNTY WATERSHED STUDY, CA.....	200,000	---	---	200,000	---
(FC)	KAWEAH RIVER, CA.....	---	1,100,000	---	---	1,100,000
(SPE)	LACDA WATER CONS & SUP(HANSEN & LOPEZ DAMS), CA.....	204,000	---	---	204,000	---
(SPE)	LACDA WATER CONS & SUP(WHITTIER NARROWS & SANTA FE DAM	189,000	---	---	189,000	---
	LAGUNA DE SANTA ROSA, CA.....	---	---	---	100,000	---
	MALIBU CREEK WATERSHED, CA.....	---	---	---	100,000	---
(FDP)	MARIN COUNTY SHORELINE, SAN CLEMENTE CREEK, CA.....	150,000	---	---	150,000	---
(N)	MARINA DEL REY AND BALLONA CREEK, CA.....	530,000	---	---	530,000	---
(SPE)	MOJAVE RIVER DAM, CA.....	200,000	---	---	200,000	---
	MORRO BAY ESTUARY, CA.....	---	---	---	100,000	---
	MUGU LAGOON, CA.....	---	---	---	100,000	---
(E)	N CA STREAMS, CACHE CREEK ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, C	250,000	---	---	250,000	---
(E)	N CA STREAMS, COLUSA BASIN, CA.....	100,000	---	---	100,000	---
(FDP)	N CA STREAMS, DRY CREEK, M'DDLETOWN, CA.....	200,000	---	---	200,000	---
(E)	N CA STREAMS, FAIRFIELD STREAMS AND CORDELLIA MARSH, CA	250,000	---	---	250,000	---
(E)	N CA STREAMS, LOWER SACRAMENTO RVR RIPARIAN REVEGETATI	300,000	---	---	300,000	---
(E)	N CA STREAMS, MIDDLE CREEK, CA.....	350,000	---	---	350,000	---
(E)	N CA STREAMS, SACRAMENTO RIVER WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PL	400,000	---	---	400,000	---
(FDP)	N CA STREAMS, VACAVILLE, DIXON AND VICINITY, CA.....	200,000	---	---	200,000	---
(FC)	N CA STREAMS, YUBA RIVER BASIN, CA.....	---	50,000	---	---	50,000
(FC)	N CA STREAMS, YUBA RIVER BASIN, CA.....	325,000	---	---	325,000	---
	NAPA RIVER, CA.....	---	1,600,000	---	---	1,600,000

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES		CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE	
		INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
(E)	NAPA RIVER, SALT MARSH RESTORATION, CA.	500,000	---	500,000	---
(E)	NEWPORT BAY HARBOR, CA.	270,000	---	270,000	---
(FC)	PAJARO RIVER AT WATSONVILLE, CA.	---	500,000	---	500,000
(N)	PILLAR POINT HARBOR, CA.	225,000	---	225,000	---
(N)	PORT HUENEME, CA.	40,000	250,000	---	250,000
(N)	PORT HUENEME, CA. (DEEPENING), CA.	---	160,000	40,000	---
(N)	PORT OF LONG BEACH (DEEPENING), CA.	---	---	---	---
(E)	PORT OF STOCKTON, CA.	---	---	100,000	---
(FDP)	PRADO BASIN WATER SUPPLY, CA.	378,000	---	378,000	---
(E)	RANCHO PALOS VERDES, CA.	79,000	---	79,000	---
(E)	REDWOOD CITY HARBOR, CA.	---	---	100,000	---
(E)	RUSSIAN RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CA.	240,000	---	240,000	---
(SPE)	SACRAMENTO - SAN JOAQUIN DELTA, CA.	750,000	---	750,000	---
(E)	SACRAMENTO - SAN JOAQUIN DELTA, WESTERN DELTA ISLANDS.	300,000	---	300,000	---
(spc)	SACRAMENTO & SAN JOAQUIN RIVERS COMPREHENSIVE STUDY, C	---	---	3,650,000	---
(FDP)	SACRAMENTO WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PLAN, CA.	---	---	500,000	---
(FDP)	SAN ANTONIO CREEK, CA.	178,000	---	178,000	---
(N)	SAN DIEGO HARBOR (DEEPENING), CA.	300,000	---	300,000	---
(N)	SAN DIEGO HARBOR, NATIONAL CITY MARINE TERMINAL, CA.	---	---	100,000	---
(E)	SAN FRANCISCO BAY, CA.	---	---	100,000	---
(FC)	SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, FARMINGTON DAM, CA.	---	---	225,000	---
(FDP)	SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, PINE FLAT DAM, F&W HABITAT RESTO	400,000	---	400,000	---
(FDP)	SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, SOUTH SACRAMENTO COUNTY STREA	---	500,000	---	500,000
(FDP)	SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, STOCKTON METROPOLITAN AREA, C	180,000	---	180,000	---
(FDP)	SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, TULE RIVER, CA.	450,000	---	450,000	---
(FDP)	SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, WEST STANISLAUS COUNTY, CA.	250,000	---	250,000	---
(E)	SAN JUAN AND ALISO CREEKS WATERSHED MANAGEMENT, CA.	150,000	---	150,000	---
(FDP)	SAN PABLO BAY WATERSHED, CA.	315,000	---	315,000	---
(FDP)	SANTA BARBARA COUNTY STREAMS, LOWER MISSION CREEK, CA.	380,000	---	380,000	---
(FDP)	SANTA MARGARITA RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, CA.	300,000	---	300,000	---
(N)	SANTA MONICA WATER INFRASTRUCTURE RELIABILITY, CA.	---	---	500,000	---
(N)	SOUTHAMPTON SHOAL CHANNEL AND EXTENSION, CA.	600,000	---	600,000	---
(E)	SOUTHEAST LOS ANGELES CNTY WATER CONSERVATION & SUPPLY	---	---	500,000	---
(E)	SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA AQUATIC RESOURCES, CA.	---	---	200,000	---
(E)	TAHOE BASIN, CA & NV.	320,000	---	320,000	---
(E)	TIJUANA RIVER VALLEY, CA.	---	---	100,000	---

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES		CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE	
		INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
	GEORGIA				
(N)	AUGUSTA, GA.			100,000	---
(N)	BRUNSWICK HARBOR, GA.	93,000	---	93,000	---
(FDP)	BRUNSWICK HARBOR, GA.		1,100,000		1,100,000
(N)	FLINT RIVER BASIN STUDY, GA.	300,000	---	300,000	---
(N)	LOWER SAVANNAH RIVER, GA & SC.		94,000		94,000
(E)	METRO ATLANTA WATERSHED, GA.	400,000	---	400,000	---
(N)	NEW SAVANNAH BLUFF LOCK AND DAM, GA.		---	100,000	---
(N)	SAVANNAH HARBOR EXPANSION, GA.	800,000	---	250,000	800,000
(FDP)	SAVANNAH/CHATHAM COUNTY REGIONAL FLOOD CONTROL, GA.	250,000	---	300,000	---
(SPEC)	SAVANNAH RIVER BASIN COMPREHENSIVE, GA & SC.		---		---
	HAWAII				
(N)	BARBERS POINT HARBOR MODIFICATION, OAHU, HI.	333,000	---	333,000	---
(N)	HONOLULU HARBOR MODIFICATIONS, OAHU, HI.	100,000	---	100,000	---
(N)	KIKIAOLA SMALL BOAT HARBOR, KAUAI, HI.		267,000		267,000
(FDP)	LAULAU, HI.		---	200,000	---
(FDP)	WAILUPE STREAM FLOOD CONTROL STUDY, OAHU, HI.	227,000	---	227,000	---
	ILLINOIS				
(FDP)	ALEXANDER AND PULASKI COUNTIES, IL.	200,000	---	200,000	---
(FC)	DES PLAINES RIVER, IL.		400,000		400,000
(FDP)	ILLINOIS RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, IL.		---	100,000	---
(FDP)	KANKAKEE RIVER BASIN, IL & IN.	250,000	---	300,000	---
(FC)	MISSISSIPPI RIVER AT QUINCY, IL.	250,000	---	250,000	---
(FC)	NUTWOOD DRAINAGE AND LEVEE DISTRICT, IL.		395,000		395,000
(SPE)	PEORIA RIVERFRONT DEVELOPMENT, IL.		---	200,000	---
(RCP)	UPPER MISS RVR SYSTEM FLD PROFILE STUDY, IL, IA, MN, MO	1,957,000	---	1,957,000	---
(RCP)	UPPER MISSISSIPPI & ILLINOIS NAV STUDY, IL, IA, MN, MO	7,700,000	---	7,700,000	---
(FC)	WAUKEGAN HARBOR, IL.		---	100,000	---
(FC)	WOOD RIVER DAED, MADISON COUNTY, IL.		112,000		112,000
(FC)	WOOD RIVER LEVEE, IL.		---	100,000	---

INDIANA

(FC) INDIANAPOLIS, WHITE RIVER (NORTH), IN..... 458,000 --- 458,000 458,000
 (FDP) LITTLE CALUMET RIVER BASIN, CADY MARSH DITCH, IN..... 150,000 --- 150,000
 (FDP) WABASH RIVER BASIN (MIDDLE REACHES), IN & IL..... 56,000 --- 56,000

IOWA

(RCP) CORALVILLE LAKE, IA..... 339,000 --- 339,000 ---
 (FDP) DES MOINES AND RACCOON RIVERS, IA..... 100,000 --- 100,000

KANSAS

(RCP) SALINA, KS..... 135,000 --- 135,000 ---
 (RCP) TOPEKA, KS..... 155,000 --- 155,000 ---
 (FC) TURKEY CREEK BASIN, KS & MO..... 261,000 --- 261,000 261,000
 (FDP) TURKEY CREEK BASIN, KS & MO..... 30,000 --- 30,000 ---
 (RCP) WILSON LAKE, KS..... 38,000 --- 38,000 ---

KENTUCKY

(N) AUGUSTA, KY..... 100,000 --- 100,000 ---
 (N) DOVER, KY..... 100,000 --- 100,000 ---
 (N) FRANKFORT, KY..... 50,000 --- 50,000 ---
 (N) GRAYSON LAKE, KY..... 300,000 --- 300,000 ---
 (N) GREEN AND BARREN RIVERS NAVIGATION DISPOSITION STUDY,..... 1,750,000 --- 1,750,000 ---
 (N) KENTUCKY LOCK, KY..... 375,000 --- 375,000 ---
 (FDP) LEXINGTON, FAYETTE COUNTY, KY..... 500,000 --- 500,000 ---
 (FC) LICKING RIVER WATERSHED, KY..... 525,000 --- 525,000 525,000
 (FDP) METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, BEARGRASS CREEK, KY..... 300,000 --- 300,000 ---
 (FDP) METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, MILL CREEK BASIN, KY..... 470,000 --- 470,000 ---
 (N) METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, SOUTHWEST, KY..... 8,800,000 --- 8,800,000 ---
 (N) OHIO RIVER MAIN STEM SYSTEMS STUDY, KY, IL, IN, PA, WV..... 100,000 --- 100,000 ---
 (N) OLIVE HILL, KY.....

LOUISIANA

(FDP) AMITE RIVER - DARLINGTON RESERVOIR, LA..... 300,000 --- 300,000 ---
 (FDP) BAYOU TIGRE, ERATH, LA..... 350,000 --- 350,000 ---
 (FDP) BLACK BAYOU DIVERSION, LA..... 265,000 --- 265,000 265,000
 (FC) COMITE RIVER, LA..... 620,000 --- 620,000 620,000
 (FC) EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH, LA..... 850,000 --- 850,000 ---
 (N) INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY LOCKS, LA..... 138,000 --- 138,000 ---
 (FDP) JEFFERSON PARISH, LA.....

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES		CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE	
		INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
(FDP)	LAFAYETTE PARISH, LA.....	600,000	---	600,000	---
(N)	MISSISSIPPI RIVER SHIP CHANNEL IMPROVEMENTS, LA.....	400,000	---	400,000	---
(FDP)	ORLEANS PARISH, LA.....	350,000	---	350,000	---
(N)	PORT FOURCHON, LA.....	---	129,000	---	129,000
(FDP)	WALLACE LAKE, LA.....	---	---	100,000	---
(FDP)	WEST SHORE - LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN, LA.....	250,000	---	250,000	---
	MARYLAND				
(FDP)	ANACOSTIA RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, MD & DC.....	600,000	---	600,000	---
(E)	ANACOSTIA RIVER FEDERAL WATERSHED IMPACT ASSESSMENT, M.....	690,000	---	690,000	---
(N)	BALTIMORE HARBOR ANCHORAGES & CHANNELS, MD & VA.....	---	338,000	---	338,000
(FDP)	BALTIMORE METROPOLITAN WATER RESOURCES STUDY, MD.....	415,000	---	415,000	---
(N)	HAVRE DE GRACE, MD.....	---	---	100,000	---
(N)	OCEAN CITY, MD AND VICINITY.....	108,000	---	108,000	---
(FDP)	PATUXENT RIVER WATER RESOURCES, MD.....	600,000	---	600,000	---
(E)	SMITH ISLAND ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, MD.....	200,000	---	200,000	---
	MASSACHUSETTS				
(E)	BLACKSTONE RIVER WATERSHED RESTORATION, MA & RI.....	350,000	---	350,000	---
	MICHIGAN				
	SAULT STE MARIE, MI.....	---	---	---	100,000
	MINNESOTA				
(FC)	CROOKSTON, MN.....	---	400,000	---	400,000
	MISSISSIPPI				
(FC)	PASCAGOULA HARBOR, MS.....	---	---	100,000	---
	PEARL RIVER WATERSHED, MS.....	---	2,640,000	---	100,000

MISSOURI

(FC)	BALLWIN, MO.....	---	---	100,000	---	656,000	---
(FDP)	BLUE RIVER BASIN, KANSAS CITY, MO.....	---	---	---	---	---	---
(FDP)	CHESTERFIELD, MO.....	365,000	---	365,000	---	---	---
(FDP)	FESTUS AND CRYSTAL CITY, MO.....	173,000	---	223,000	---	---	---
(RCP)	KANSAS CITY, MO & KS.....	100,000	---	400,000	---	---	---
(FDP)	KIMMSWICK, MO.....	51,000	---	51,000	---	---	---
(FDP)	LOWER RIVER DES PERES, MO.....	57,000	---	107,000	---	---	---
(RCP)	MISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM, UNITS L455 & R460-471, MO	300,000	---	300,000	---	---	---
(RCP)	ST LOUIS FLOOD PROTECTION, MO.....	100,000	---	100,000	---	---	---
(N)	ST LOUIS HARBOR, MO & IL.....	---	---	---	---	---	---
(FDP)	SWOPE PARK INDUSTRIAL AREA, KANSAS CITY, MO.....	120,000	---	120,000	---	---	---

NEBRASKA

(FDP)	ANTELOPE CREEK, LINCOLN, NE.....	90,000	---	90,000	---	---	---
(FDP)	LOWER PLATTE RIVER & TRIBUTARIES, NE.....	300,000	---	300,000	---	---	---

NEVADA

(E)	LOWER LAS VEGAS WASH WETLANDS, NV.....	200,000	---	200,000	---	---	---
(E)	LOWER TRUCKEE RIVER, PYRAMID LAKE PAIUTE RESERVATION..	---	---	---	---	---	---
(E)	LOWER TRUCKEE RIVER, PYRAMID LAKE PAIUTE RESERVATION..	354,000	---	354,000	---	---	---
(E)	LOWER TRUCKEE RIVER, WASHOE COUNTY, NV.....	177,000	---	177,000	---	---	---
(E)	LOWER TRUCKEE RIVER, WASHOE COUNTY, NV.....	---	---	---	---	---	---
(FDP)	NORTH LAS VEGAS, CHANNEL "A", NV.....	100,000	---	100,000	---	---	---
(FDP)	TRUCKEE MEADOWS, RENO, NV.....	300,000	---	300,000	---	---	---
(E)	WALKER RIVER BASIN, NV.....	300,000	---	400,000	---	---	---

NEW JERSEY

(E)	BARNEGAT BAY, NJ.....	450,000	---	450,000	---	---	---
(E)	BRIGANTINE INLET TO LITTLE EGG HARBOR INLET, NJ.....	---	---	---	---	---	---
(SP)	GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET TO GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET, NJ.....	72,000	---	72,000	---	---	---
(SP)	LOWER CAPE MAY MEADOWS - CAPE MAY POINT, NJ.....	---	---	---	---	---	---
(E)	MANASQUAN INLET TO BARNEGAT INLET, NJ.....	54,000	---	54,000	---	---	---
(E)	NEW JERSEY INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, ENV RESTORATION, NJ.	450,000	---	450,000	---	---	---
(SP)	RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, NJ.....	1,200,000	---	1,500,000	---	---	---
(FDP)	SOUTH RIVER, RARITAN RIVER BASIN, NJ.....	---	---	---	---	---	---
(E)	TOWNSENDS INLET TO CAPE MAY INLET, NJ.....	1,510,000	---	1,510,000	---	---	---
(E)	UPPER PASSAIC RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, NJ.....	---	---	---	---	---	---
(E)	UPPER ROCKAWAY RIVER, NJ.....	---	---	---	---	---	---

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES		CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE	
		INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
(E)	RIO GRANDE ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, NM & CO.....	100,000	---	100,000	---
	NEW MEXICO				
	NEW YORK				
(RCP)	ADDISON, NY.....	350,000	---	350,000	---
(N)	ARTHUR KILL CHANNEL - HOWLAND HOOK MARINE TERMINAL, NY	---	378,000	---	878,000
(SP)	ATLANTIC COAST OF NEW YORK, NY.....	1,400,000	---	1,400,000	---
	AUSABLE RIVER BASIN, NY.....	---	---	---	---
(E)	BOQUET RIVER BASIN AND TRIBUTARIES, NY.....	200,000	---	200,000	---
(N)	CHEMUNG RIVER BASIN ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NY & PA	---	---	---	---
(N)	FLUSHING BAY AND CREEK, NY.....	250,000	---	250,000	---
(SP)	HUDSON RIVER HABITAT RESTORATION, NY.....	400,000	---	400,000	---
	JAMAICA BAY, MARINE PARK AND PLUMB BEACH, NY.....	---	---	---	---
	LINDENHURST, NY.....	---	---	---	---
(N)	MONTAUK POINT, NY.....	---	---	---	---
(N)	NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY HARBOR, NY & NJ.....	1,250,000	---	1,250,000	---
(SPE)	NEW YORK HARBOR ANCHORAGES, NY.....	---	---	---	---
(BE)	ONONDAGA LAKE, NY.....	115,000	---	115,000	---
(E)	ORCHARD BEACH, BRONX, NY.....	---	---	---	---
(FDP)	SOUTH SHORE OF LONG ISLAND, NY.....	150,000	---	150,000	---
(FDP)	SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN WATER MANAGEMENT, NY, PA & MD.	300,000	---	300,000	---
(FDP)	UPPER DELAWARE RIVER WATERSHED, NY.....	451,000	---	451,000	---
(FDP)	UPPER SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN ENVIRON RESTORATION, NY.	200,000	---	200,000	---
	NORTH CAROLINA				
(FC)	BRUNSWICK COUNTY BEACHES, NC.....	---	1,000,000	---	1,000,000
(N)	CAPE FEAR - NORTHEAST (CAPE FEAR) RIVER, NC.....	---	330,000	---	---
(SP)	DARE COUNTY BEACHES, NC.....	450,000	---	450,000	---
(N)	LOCKWOODS FOLLY INLET, NC.....	---	---	---	---
	WILMINGTON HARBOR - NORTHEAST CAPE FEAR RIVER, NC.....	---	100,000	---	---

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES		CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE	
		INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
	SOUTH CAROLINA				
(RCP)	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, SC.....	500,000	---	500,000	---
(E)	CHARLESTON ESTUARY, SC.....	100,000	---	100,000	---
(N)	CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC (DEEPENING & WIDENING).....	---	200,000	---	---
(N)	PAWLEYS ISLAND, SC.....	---	---	100,000	---
(N)	SANTEE COOPER, CONGAREE RIVERS, SC.....	300,000	---	300,000	---
(E)	YADKIN-PEE DEE RIVER WATERSHED, SC & NC.....	300,000	---	300,000	---
	TENNESSEE				
(FC)	EAST RIDGE, HAMILTON CO, TN.....	---	300,000	---	300,000
(FC)	METRO CENTER LEVEE, DAVIDSON CO, TN.....	---	150,000	---	150,000
	NOLICHUCKY WATERSHED, TN.....	---	---	100,000	---
	NORTH CHICKAMAUGA CREEK WATERSHED, TN.....	---	---	100,000	---
	TEXAS				
(FDP)	ALPINE, TX.....	300,000	---	---	---
(FC)	BRAYS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX.....	---	1,830,000	---	---
(N)	CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, TX.....	800,000	---	800,000	---
(FC)	CYPRESS CREEK, HOUSTON, TX.....	---	937,000	---	937,000
(E)	CYPRESS VALLEY WATERSHED, TX.....	220,000	---	220,000	---
(FC)	DALLAS FLOODWAY EXTENSION, TRINITY RIVER, TX.....	---	940,000	---	940,000
(FC)	FORT WORTH SWUMPS, 14 & 15, UPPER TRINITY RIVER BASIN, TX.....	---	70,000	---	70,000
(N)	GIWW - ARKANSAS NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE, TX.....	---	324,000	---	324,000
(RCP)	GIWW - BRAZOS RIVER TO PORT O'CONNOR, TX.....	220,000	---	220,000	---
(RDF)	GIWW - HIGH ISLAND TO BRAZOS RIVER, TX.....	1,000,000	---	1,000,000	---
(RCP)	GIWW - PORT O'CONNOR TO CORPUS CHRISTI BAY, TX.....	170,000	---	170,000	---
(FC)	GRAHAM, TX (BRAZOS RIVER BASIN).....	---	105,000	---	105,000
(FC)	GREENS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX.....	---	1,000,000	---	1,000,000
(FC)	HUNTING BAYOU, TX.....	---	---	---	---
(N)	MIDDLE BRAZOS RIVER, TX.....	---	---	510,000	---
(N)	NECHES RIVER & TRIBUTARIES SALTWATER BARRIER, TX.....	---	400,000	---	---
(FDP)	NORTHWEST EL PASO, TX.....	130,000	---	130,000	---
(FDP)	PECAN BAYOU, BROWNWOOD, TX.....	179,000	---	179,000	---
(E)	PLAINVIEW, BRAZOS RIVER BASIN, TX.....	211,000	---	211,000	---
(E)	PACKERY CHANNEL, CORPUS CHRISTI BAY, TX.....	211,000	---	211,000	---

(FC)	RAYMONDVILLE DRAIN, TX.....	---	370,000	---	370,000	
(FC)	SABINE - NECHES WATERWAY, TX.....	---	---	100,000	---	
(FDP)	SOUTH MAIN CHANNEL, TX.....	---	---	1,200,000	1,000,000	
	UPPER TRINITY RIVER BASIN, TX.....	---	---	150,000	---	
	WHITE OAK BAYOU, TX.....	---	---	---	---	
	UTAH					
(FDP)	PROVO AND VICINITY, UT.....	350,000	---	---	---	
(E)	UPPER JORDAN RIVER RESTORATION, UT.....	150,000	---	---	---	
	VIRGIN ISLANDS					
(N)	CROWN BAY CHANNEL, VI.....	---	270,000	---	270,000	
	VERMONT					
	SUMERSET & SEARSBORG DAMS, DEERFIELD RIVER, VT.....	---	---	100,000	---	
	VIRGINIA					
(N)	AIWW BRIDGE REPLACEMENT, DEEP CREEK, VA.....	100,000	---	---	---	
(SPE)	ELIZABETH RIVER BASIN, ENVIR RESTORATION, HAMPTON ROAD	200,000	---	---	---	
	JAMES RIVER, VA.....	---	---	100,000	---	
	LAKE MERRIWEATHER, VA.....	---	---	100,000	---	
(E)	LOWER POTOMAC ESTUARY WATERSHED, VA & MD.....	200,000	---	---	---	
(SPE)	NORFOLK HARBOR AND CHANNELS, CRANEY ISLAND, VA.....	250,000	---	---	---	
	RAPPAHANNOCK RIVER, VA (EMBREY DAM REMOVAL).....	---	---	100,000	---	
	POWELL RIVER WATERSHED, VA.....	---	---	200,000	---	
	PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY WATERSHED, VA.....	---	---	100,000	---	
	WASHINGTON					
(N)	BLAIR WATERWAY NAVIGATION STUDY, TACOMA HARBOR, WA.....	600,000	---	---	---	
(SPE)	CHIEF JOSEPH POOL RAISE, WA.....	100,000	---	---	---	
(E)	DUWAMISH AND GREEN RIVER, WA.....	252,000	---	---	---	
(FC)	HOWARD HANSON DAM, WA.....	---	460,000	---	460,000	
(RCP)	HOWARD HANSON DAM, WA.....	---	---	56,000	---	
(RCP)	LAKE WASHINGTON SHIP CANAL, WA.....	100,000	---	---	---	
(N)	PUGET SOUND CONFINED DISPOSAL SITES, WA.....	386,000	---	---	---	
(FDP)	SKAGIT RIVER, WA.....	400,000	---	---	---	
(E)	STILLGAMISH RIVER, WA.....	100,000	---	---	---	

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES		CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE	
		INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
	WEST VIRGINIA				
(SPE)	CHEAT RIVER BASIN, WV.....	200,000	---	200,000	---
(N)	KANAWHA RIVER NAVIGATION, WV.....	121,000	---	121,000	---
(N)	LONDON LOCKS AND DAM, WV.....	---	672,000	---	1,000,000
(N)	MARMET LOCKS AND DAM, WV.....	---	830,000	---	---
(FDP)	MONONGAHELA RIVER, FAIRMONT, WV.....	---	---	---	350,000
(E)	NORTH BRANCH POTOMAC RIVER ENVIRON RESTORATION, WV, MD	553,000	---	553,000	---
(E)	TYGART THREE BASIN ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, WV.....	200,000	---	200,000	---
(E)	TYGART VALLEY R B, GRASSY RUN ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATIO	100,000	---	100,000	---
	UPPER MONONGAHELA RIVER, WV.....	---	---	100,000	---
	WEST VIRGINIA STATEWIDE FLOOD PROTEC PLAN.....	---	---	400,000	---
	WYOMING				
(E)	JACKSON HOLE RESTORATION, WY.....	200,000	---	200,000	---
	MISCELLANEOUS				
	AUTOMATED INFORMATION SYSTEM SUPPORT.....	550,000	---	550,000	---
	COASTAL FIELD DATA COLLECTION.....	1,500,000	---	1,500,000	---
	COORDINATION STUDIES WITH OTHER AGENCIES.....	11,690,000	---	8,500,000	---
	ENVIRONMENTAL DATA STUDIES.....	100,000	---	100,000	---
	FLOOD DAMAGE DATA.....	400,000	---	400,000	---
	GREAT LAKES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM (SEC. 401).....	---	---	500,000	---
	FLOOD PLAIN MANAGEMENT SERVICES.....	9,000,000	---	9,000,000	---
	HYDROLOGIC STUDIES.....	500,000	---	500,000	---
	INTERNATIONAL WATER STUDIES.....	340,000	---	340,000	---
	NATIONAL DREDGING NEEDS STUDY OF PORTS AND HARBORS.....	671,000	---	671,000	---
	PRECIPITATION STUDIES (NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE).....	400,000	---	400,000	---
	REMOTE SENSING/GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM SUPPORT.....	420,000	---	420,000	---
	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.....	37,000,000	---	32,000,000	---
	SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTERS.....	130,000	---	130,000	---
	STREAM GAGING (U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY).....	800,000	---	800,000	---
	TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS.....	800,000	---	800,000	---
	REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE.....	-26,006,000	---	-34,006,000	---
	TOTAL, GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS.....	113,898,000	36,102,000	118,588,000	38,216,000

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE
ALABAMA			
(N)	BLACK WARRIOR AND TOMBIGBEE RIVERS, VICINITY OF JACKSON	600,000	600,000
(N)	TENNESSEE - TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY WILDLIFE MITIGATION, AL	3,440,000	3,440,000
(MP)	WALTER F GEORGE LOCK AND DAM, AL & GA (MAJOR REHAB)...	2,800,000	2,800,000
ALASKA			
	CHIGNIK HARBOR, AK.....	---	4,500,000
(N)	COOK INLET, AK.....	---	3,945,000
(N)	DILLINGHAM, AK (SHORELINE EROSION).....	---	1,200,000
(N)	KAKE HARBOR, AK.....	3,600,000	3,600,000
(N)	ST. PAUL HARBOR, AK.....	---	6,638,000
ARIZONA			
(FC)	CLIFTON, AZ.....	2,300,000	2,300,000
	RILLITO RIVER, AZ.....	---	4,000,000
ARKANSAS			
(FC)	ARKANSAS RIVER, TUCKER CREEK, AR.....	---	300,000
(MP)	DARDANELLE LOCK AND DAM POWERHOUSE, AR (MAJOR REHAB)...	3,000,000	3,000,000
(N)	MCCLELLAN - KERR ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM, AR.	2,000,000	2,000,000
(N)	MONTGOMERY POINT LOCK & DAM, AR.....	10,000,000	20,000,000
CALIFORNIA			
(FC)	AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED, CA.....	9,400,000	9,400,000
	AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED (NATOMAS), CA.....	---	10,100,000
(FC)	CORTE MADERA CREEK, CA.....	600,000	600,000
(FC)	COYOTE AND BERRYESSA CREEKS, CA.....	1,000,000	1,000,000
	CRESCENT CITY HARBOR, CA.....	---	600,000
(FC)	GUADALUPE RIVER, CA.....	19,000,000	19,000,000
(N)	HUMBOLDT HARBOR AND BAY, CA.....	6,000,000	6,000,000
(FC)	LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA, CA.....	11,700,000	20,700,000
(N)	LOS ANGELES HARBOR, CA.....	16,100,000	26,100,000
(FC)	LOWER SACRAMENTO AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA.....	300,000	2,000,000
(FC)	MARYSVILLE/YUBA CITY LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA.....	7,300,000	9,300,000
(FC)	MERCED COUNTY STREAMS, CA.....	1,100,000	5,100,000
(FC)	MID-VALLEY AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA.....	3,100,000	5,600,000
	NORCO BLUFFS, CA.....	---	1,000,000
(N)	OAKLAND HARBOR, CA.....	8,935,000	8,935,000
	PORT OF LONG BEACH, CA.....	---	6,000,000
(N)	RICHMOND HARBOR, CA.....	8,620,000	8,620,000
(FC)	SACRAMENTO RIVER BANK PROTECTION PROJECT, CA.....	5,500,000	5,500,000
(FC)	SACRAMENTO RIVER, GLENN-COLUSA IRRIGATION DISTRICT, CA	600,000	600,000
	SAN FRANCISCO BAY TO STOCKTON, CA.....	---	250,000
(FC)	SAN LORENZO RIVER, CA.....	4,200,000	4,200,000
(FC)	SAN LUIS REY RIVER, CA.....	5,400,000	5,400,000
(FC)	SANTA ANA RIVER MAINSTEM, CA.....	52,900,000	52,900,000
	SANTA MONICA BREAKWATER, CA.....	---	1,500,000
(FC)	SANTA PAULA CREEK, CA.....	4,000,000	4,000,000
	SILVER STRAND SHORELINE, IMPERIAL BEACH, CA.....	---	500,000
(FC)	UPPER SACRAMENTO AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA.....	200,000	2,500,000
(FC)	WEST SACRAMENTO, CA.....	7,600,000	7,600,000
COLORADO			
(FC)	ALAMOSA, CO.....	3,298,000	3,298,000
DELAWARE			
(BE)	DELAWARE COAST PROTECTION, DE.....	224,000	224,000
FLORIDA			
	BROWARD COUNTY, FL.....	---	100,000
(N)	CANAVERAL HARBOR, FL.....	2,500,000	6,000,000
	CANAVERAL HARBOR DEEPENING, FL.....	---	1,140,000
(FC)	CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA, FL.....	27,400,000	27,400,000
(BE)	DADE COUNTY, FL.....	8,185,000	9,400,000
(BE)	DUVAL COUNTY, FL.....	278,000	278,000
(FC)	EVERGLADES AND SOUTH FLORIDA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, FL	10,000,000	10,000,000
	FORT PIERCE BEACH, FL.....	---	2,261,000
(FC)	FOUR RIVER BASINS, FL.....	693,000	693,000
(MP)	JIM WOODRUFF LOCK AND DAM POWERHOUSE, FL & GA (MAJOR R	6,000,000	6,000,000
(E)	KISSIMMEE RIVER, FL.....	3,000,000	3,000,000
	LEE COUNTY, FL.....	---	300,000
(BE)	MANATEE COUNTY, FL.....	206,000	206,000
(N)	MANATEE HARBOR, FL.....	1,872,000	1,872,000
(BE)	MARTIN COUNTY, FL.....	99,000	99,000
(N)	MIAMI HARBOR CHANNEL, FL.....	2,889,000	5,889,000
(BE)	PALM BEACH COUNTY, FL (REIMBURSEMENT).....	202,000	3,500,000
	PALM VALLEY BRIDGE, FL.....	---	680,000
	PANAMA CITY BEACHES, FL.....	---	5,000,000
(BE)	PINELLAS COUNTY, FL.....	4,586,000	12,586,000
(BE)	SARASOTA COUNTY, FL.....	500,000	500,000
	ST JOHNS COUNTY, FL.....	---	300,000
GEORGIA			
(MP)	BUFORD POWERHOUSE, GA (MAJOR REHAB).....	900,000	900,000
(MP)	HARTWELL LAKE POWERHOUSE, GA & SC (MAJOR REHAB).....	7,000,000	7,000,000
(MP)	RICHARD B RUSSELL DAM AND LAKE, GA & SC.....	4,000,000	4,000,000
(MP)	THURMOND LAKE POWERHOUSE, GA & SC (MAJOR REHAB).....	11,000,000	11,000,000
	TYBEE ISLAND, GA.....	---	2,000,000

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE
HAWAII			
(FC)	IAO STREAM FLOOD CONTROL, MAUI, HI (DEF CORR)	275,000	275,000
(N)	MAALAEA HARBOR, MAUI, HI	691,000	691,000
ILLINOIS			
(FC)	ALTON TO GALE ORGANIZED LEVEE DISTRICT, IL & MO (DEF C	575,000	575,000
(BE)	CHICAGO SHORELINE, IL	10,000,000	10,000,000
	CHICAGO SANITARY AND SHIP CANAL DISPERSAL BARRIER, IL	---	500,000
	DES PLAINES RIVER WETLANDS DEMONSTRATION PROJECT, IL	---	1,000,000
(FC)	EAST ST LOUIS, IL	2,800,000	2,800,000
	EAST ST LOUIS AND VICINITY (INTERIOR FLOOD CONTROL), I	---	300,000
(N)	LOCK AND DAM 24, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IL & MO (MAJOR REH	4,370,000	5,370,000
(N)	LOCK AND DAM 25, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IL & MO (MAJOR REH	4,230,000	4,230,000
(FC)	LOVES PARK, IL	500,000	500,000
(N)	MELVIN PRICE LOCK AND DAM, IL & MO	1,900,000	1,900,000
	NORTH BRANCH CHICAGO RIVER, IL	---	539,000
	O'HARE RESERVOIR, IL	---	2,100,000
(N)	OLMSTED LOCKS AND DAM, IL & KY	98,440,000	98,440,000
(FC)	REND LAKE, IL (DEF CORR)	5,252,000	5,252,000
(N)	UPPER MISS RVR SYSTEM ENV MGMT PROGRAM, IL, IA, MO, MN	14,000,000	16,000,000
INDIANA			
(N)	BURNS WATERWAY HARBOR, IN (MAJOR REHAB)	3,000,000	4,400,000
(FC)	FORT WAYNE METROPOLITAN AREA, IN	5,300,000	5,800,000
	INDIANA SHORELINE EROSION, IN	---	3,000,000
	INDIANAPOLIS CENTRAL WATERFRONT, IN	---	5,000,000
	LAKE GEORGE, HOBART, IN	---	3,500,000
(FC)	LITTLE CALUMET RIVER, IN	5,300,000	5,300,000
	OHIO RIVER FLOOD PROTECTION, IN	---	1,300,000
	WABASH RIVER, NEW HARMONY, IN	---	500,000
IOWA			
(N)	LOCK AND DAM 14, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IA (MAJOR REHAB)	6,600,000	6,600,000
(N)	MISSOURI RIVER FISH AND WILDLIFE MITIGATION, IA, NE, K	3,895,000	3,895,000
(FC)	MISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM, IA, NE, KS & MO	1,000,000	1,000,000
(FC)	MUSCATINE ISLAND, IA	2,000,000	2,000,000
(FC)	PERRY CREEK, IA	8,255,000	8,255,000
KANSAS			
(FC)	ARKANSAS CITY, KS	2,000,000	2,000,000
(FC)	WINFIELD, KS	2,000,000	2,000,000
KENTUCKY			
(MP)	BARKLEY DAM AND LAKE BARKLEY, KY & TN	3,500,000	3,500,000
(FC)	DEWEY LAKE, KY (DAM SAFETY)	250,000	250,000
	KENTUCKY LOCK AND DAM, KY	---	4,000,000
(N)	MALPINE LOCKS & DAMS, KY & IN	1,720,000	6,720,000
(FC)	METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, POND CREEK, KY	1,800,000	1,800,000
	SALYERSVILLE, KY	---	2,050,000
	SOUTHERN AND EASTERN KENTUCKY, KY	---	3,000,000
LOUISIANA			
(FC)	ALOHA - RIGOLETTE, LA	1,510,000	1,510,000
	GRAND ISLE AND VICINITY, LA	---	1,000,000
(FC)	LAKE PONCHARTRAIN AND VICINITY, LA (HURRICANE PROTECT	6,448,000	22,920,000
	LAKE PONCHARTRAIN STORMWATER DISCHARGE, LA	---	3,000,000
(FC)	LAROSE TO GOLDEN MEADOW, LA (HURRICANE PROTECTION)	541,000	541,000
(N)	MISSISSIPPI RIVER - GULF OUTLET, LA	2,018,000	2,018,000
(N)	MISSISSIPPI RIVER SHIP CHANNEL, GULF TO BATON ROUGE, L	1,793,000	2,593,000
(FC)	NEW ORLEANS TO VENICE, LA (HURRICANE PROTECTION)	1,700,000	1,700,000
	RED RIVER BELOW DENISON DAM (TWELVEMILE BAYOU), LA	---	1,000,000
(N)	RED RIVER WATERWAY, MISSISSIPPI RIVER TO SHREVEPORT, L	9,990,000	16,490,000
(FC)	SOUTHEAST LOUISIANA, LA	6,440,000	47,000,000
(FC)	WEST BANK - EAST OF HARVEY CANAL, LA (HURRICANE PROTEC	2,385,000	2,385,000
(FC)	WESTWEGO TO HARVEY CANAL, LA (HURRICANE PROTECTION)	4,300,000	6,041,000
MARYLAND			
(FC)	ANACOSTIA RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, MD & DC	4,400,000	4,400,000
(BE)	ATLANTIC COAST OF MARYLAND, MD	1,797,000	1,797,000
	CHESAPEAKE BAY ENVIRON. RESTORATION & PROT. PROG., MD	---	1,000,000
(E)	CHESAPEAKE BAY OYSTER RECOVERY, MD	542,000	542,000
(SPEC)	CUMBERLAND, MD (SEC. 535 WRDA 96)	---	376,000
(E)	POPLAR ISLAND, MD	30,621,000	25,621,000
MASSACHUSETTS			
(N)	BOSTON HARBOR, MA	3,920,000	6,000,000
(FC)	HODGES VILLAGE DAM, MA (MAJOR REHAB)	7,900,000	7,900,000
(FC)	ROUGHANS POINT, REVERE, MA	1,880,000	1,880,000
(FC)	TOWN BROOK, QUINCY AND BRAINTREE, MA	700,000	700,000
MONTANA			
	NORTH FORK, FLATHEAD RIVER, MT. (SEC 584	---	50,000
	MONITORING STATION)	---	---

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE
MINNESOTA			
	KNIFE RIVER HARBOR, MN.....	---	150,000
(FDP)	ST. CROIX RIVER, STILLWATER, MN.....	---	1,000,000
(N)	LOCK AND DAM 3, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MN (MAJOR REHAB)...	800,000	800,000
(FC)	MARSHALL, MN.....	500,000	500,000
(N)	PINE RIVER DAM, CROSS LAKE, MN (DAM SAFETY).....	300,000	300,000
MISSISSIPPI			
(FDP)	JACKSON COUNTY, MS.....	---	3,000,000
(FDP)	NATCHEZ BLUFF, MS.....	---	4,000,000
(FDP)	PEARL RIVER VICINITY OF WALKIAK BLUFF, MS.....	---	2,000,000
	PASCAGOULA HARBOR, MS.....	---	800,000
MISSOURI			
(FC)	BLUE RIVER CHANNEL, KANSAS CITY, MO.....	17,900,000	17,900,000
(FC)	CAPE GIRARDEAU - JACKSON, MO.....	1,800,000	1,800,000
(FC)	MERAMEC RIVER BASIN, VALLEY PARK LEVEE, MO.....	2,347,000	2,347,000
(N)	MISS RIVER BTWN THE OHIO AND MO RIVERS (REG WORKS), MO.....	3,446,000	3,446,000
(FC)	ST GENEVIEVE, MO.....	4,145,000	5,145,000
(MP)	TABLE ROCK LAKE, MO & AR (DAM SAFETY).....	800,000	800,000
NEBRASKA			
(FC)	MISSOURI NATIONAL RECREATIONAL RIVER, NE & SD.....	150,000	150,000
(FC)	WOOD RIVER, GRAND ISLAND, NE.....	500,000	500,000
NEVADA			
(FC)	TROPICANA AND FLAMINGO WASHES, NV.....	20,000,000	20,000,000
NEW JERSEY			
(BE)	CAPE MAY INLET TO LOWER TOWNSHIP, NJ.....	280,000	280,000
(BE)	GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET AND PECK BEACH, NJ.....	3,076,000	3,076,000
(FC)	HOLLY ANN'S BROOK AT HALEDON, PROSPECT PARK AND PATERS NEW YORK HARBOR & ADJACENT CHNLS, PORT JERSEY CHNL, NJ.....	7,090,000	7,090,000
(FC)	PASSAIC RIVER PRESERVATION OF NATURAL STORAGE AREAS, N PASSAIC RIVER STREAMBANK RESTORATION, NJ.....	3,500,000	3,500,000
(FC)	RAMAPO RIVER AT OAKLAND, NJ.....	277,000	2,500,000
	RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, NJ.....	---	200,000
	RARITAN RIVER BASIN, GREEN BROOK SUB-BASIN, NJ.....	---	3,100,000
(BE)	SANDY HOOK TO BARNEGAT INLET, NJ.....	15,116,000	15,116,000
NEW MEXICO			
(FC)	ABIQUIU DAM EMERGENCY GATES, NM.....	1,400,000	1,400,000
(FC)	ACEQUIAS IRRIGATION SYSTEM, NM.....	600,000	1,000,000
(FC)	ALAMOGORDO, NM.....	400,000	400,000
(FC)	GALISTEO DAM, NM (DAM SAFETY).....	2,720,000	2,720,000
(FC)	LAS CRUCES, NM.....	300,000	1,500,000
(FC)	MIDDLE RIO GRANDE FLOOD PROTECTION, BERNALILLO TO BELE.....	560,000	560,000
(FC)	RIO GRANDE FLOODWAY, SAN ACACIA TO BOSQUE DEL APACHE, ..	280,000	280,000
(FC)	TWO RIVERS DAM, NM (DAM SAFETY).....	2,563,000	2,563,000
NEW YORK			
(BE)	ATLANTIC COAST OF NYC, ROCKAWAY INLET TO NORTON POINT, ..	1,000,000	1,000,000
(BE)	EAST ROCKAWAY INLET TO ROCKAWAY INLET AND JAMAICA BAY, ..	600,000	600,000
(BE)	FIRE ISLAND INLET TO JONES INLET, NY.....	285,000	285,000
(BE)	FIRE ISLAND INLET TO MONTAUK POINT, NY.....	4,802,000	4,802,000
	HUDSON RIVER, ATHENS, NY.....	---	8,700,000
(N)	KILL VAN KULL AND NEWARK BAY CHANNEL, NY & NJ.....	429,000	929,000
(BE)	LONG BEACH ISLAND, NY.....	---	2,000,000
	ORCHARD BEACH, NY.....	---	400,000
	NEW YORK CITY WATERSHED, NY.....	---	5,000,000
	NEW YORK STATE CANAL SYSTEM, NY.....	---	2,000,000
NORTH CAROLINA			
(N)	AIHW - REPLACEMENT OF FEDERAL HIGHWAY BRIDGES, NC.....	7,000,000	7,000,000
(BE)	CAROLINA BEACH AND VICINITY, NC.....	2,840,000	2,840,000
(N)	WILMINGTON HARBOR AND ADJACENT CHANNELS, NC.....	3,700,000	2,430,000
(BE)	WRIGHTSVILLE BEACH, NC.....	1,070,000	1,070,000
NORTH DAKOTA			
	BUFORD - TRENTON IRRIGATION DISTRICT, ND.....	---	2,000,000
(MP)	GARRISON DAM AND POWER PLANT, ND (MAJOR REHAB).....	300,000	300,000
(FC)	HOMME LAKE, ND (DAM SAFETY).....	200,000	200,000
(FC)	LAKE ASHTABULA AND BALDHILL DAM, ND (DAM SAFETY).....	500,000	500,000
(FC)	LAKE ASHTABULA AND BALDHILL DAM, ND (MAJOR REHAB).....	1,200,000	1,200,000
(FC)	SHEYENNE RIVER, ND.....	500,000	500,000

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE
OHIO			
(FC)	BEACH CITY LAKE, MUSKINGUM RIVER LAKES, OH (DAM SAFETY)	105,000	105,000
(FC)	HOLDS CREEK, WEST CARROLLTON, OH	1,890,000	1,890,000
(FC)	LOWER GIRARD DAM, OH	1,500,000	1,500,000
(FC)	METROPOLITAN REGION OF CINCINNATI, DUCK CREEK, OH	2,120,000	2,120,000
(FC)	MILL CREEK, OH	2,518,000	2,518,000
(FC)	WEST COLUMBUS, OH	15,181,000	15,181,000
OKLAHOMA			
(FC)	FRY CREEKS, BIXBY, OK	3,928,000	3,928,000
(FC)	MINGO CREEK, TULSA, OK	7,000,000	7,000,000
(MP)	TENKILLER FERRY LAKE, OK (DAM SAFETY)	95,000	95,000
OREGON			
(MP)	BONNEVILLE POWERHOUSE PHASE II, OR & WA (MAJOR REHAB)	13,000,000	13,000,000
(MP)	COLUMBIA RIVER TREATY FISHING ACCESS SITES, OR & WA	8,400,000	8,400,000
(FC)	ELK CREEK LAKE, OR	3,900,000	3,900,000
PENNSYLVANIA			
(N)	GRAYS LANDING LOCK AND DAM, MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA	260,000	2,900,000
(FC)	JOHNSTOWN, PA (MAJOR REHAB)	6,205,000	6,369,000
(FC)	LACKAWANNA RIVER, OLYPHANT, PA	400,000	1,400,000
(FC)	LACKAWANNA RIVER, SCRANTON, PA	425,000	5,425,000
(N)	LOCKS AND DAMS 2, 3 AND 4, MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA	2,700,000	12,700,000
(BE)	PRESQUE ISLE PENINSULA, PA (PERMANENT)	500,000	500,000
(FC)	SAN MILL RUN, PITTSBURGH, PA	500,000	500,000
(FC)	SOUTH CENTRAL PA ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENT, PA	---	30,000,000
	SOUTHEASTERN PENNSYLVANIA, PA	---	1,000,000
	SUNBURY, PA	---	200,000
	SUSQUEHANNA RIVER, PA	---	400,000
	WILLIAMSPORT, PA	---	225,000
(FC)	WYOMING VALLEY, PA (LEEVE RAISING)	13,000,000	13,000,000
PUERTO RICO			
(FC)	PORTUGUES AND BUCANA RIVERS, PR	12,712,000	12,712,000
(FC)	RIO DE LA PLATA, PR	810,000	810,000
(FC)	RIO PUERTO NUEVO, PR	11,868,000	11,868,000
(N)	SAN JUAN HARBOR, PR	2,400,000	2,400,000
SOUTH CAROLINA			
(N)	CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC	---	2,000,000
(BE)	COOPER RIVER, CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC	1,869,000	1,869,000
(BE)	MYRTLE BEACH, SC	10,000,000	10,000,000
TENNESSEE			
	BLACK FOX, MURFREE AND OAKLANDS SPRINGS WETLANDS, TN	---	2,900,000
	EAST RIDGE, TN	---	500,000
	TENNESSEE RIVER, HAMILTON COUNTY, TN	---	1,500,000
TEXAS			
(N)	BRAYS BAYOU, TX	---	2,000,000
(N)	CHANNEL TO VICTORIA, TX	7,300,000	7,300,000
(FC)	CLEAR CREEK, TX	750,000	750,000
(FC)	EL PASO, TX	5,290,000	5,290,000
(N)	FREPORT HARBOR, TX	4,900,000	4,900,000
(N)	GIWW - ARANSAS NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE, TX	---	3,000,000
(N)	GIWW - SARGENT BEACH, TX	940,000	940,000
(N)	HOUSTON - GALVESTON NAVIGATION CHANNELS, TX	15,000,000	20,000,000
(FC)	MCGRATH CREEK, WICHITA FALLS, TX	3,291,000	3,291,000
(FC)	RED RIVER BELOW DENISON DAM (BOWIE COUNTY LEVEE), TX	---	900,000
(FC)	SAN ANTONIO CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, TX	390,000	1,600,000
(FC)	SIMS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX	9,590,000	13,000,000
(FC)	WACO LAKE, TX (DAM SAFETY)	1,700,000	1,700,000
	WALLISVILLE LAKE, TX	---	9,200,000
UTAH			
(FC)	LITTLE DELL LAKE, UT	---	1,000,000
(FC)	UPPER JORDAN RIVER, UT	700,000	700,000
VIRGINIA			
(N)	AIWW BRIDGE AT GREAT BRIDGE, VA	1,525,000	1,525,000
	LYNCHBURG COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOW, VA	---	1,000,000
	NEABCO CREEK, VA	---	800,000
	NORFOLK HARBOR (50-FOOT ANCHORAGE), VA	---	1,200,000
(N)	NORFOLK HARBOR AND CHANNELS (DEEPENING), VA	1,098,000	1,098,000
	RICHMOND COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOW, VA	---	1,000,000
(FC)	ROANOKE RIVER UPPER BASIN, HEADWATERS AREA, VA	4,400,000	4,400,000
	VIRGINIA BEACH, VA	---	13,000,000
	VIRGINIA BEACH, VA (REIMBURSEMENT)	---	925,000
WASHINGTON			
(MP)	COLUMBIA RIVER FISH MITIGATION, WA, OR & ID	127,000,000	95,000,000
(MP)	LOWER SNAKE RIVER FISH & WILDLIFE COMPENSATION, WA, OR	4,000,000	4,000,000
(MP)	THE DALLES POWERHOUSE (UNITS 1-14), WA & OR (MAJOR REH	4,000,000	4,000,000

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE
WEST VIRGINIA			
(FC)	GREENBRIER RIVER BASIN, WV.....	---	1,500,000
(FC)	LEVISA AND TUG FORKS AND UPPER CUMBERLAND RIVER, WV, V	7,927,000	58,267,000
(FC)	MARMET LOCKS AND DAM, WV.....	---	1,830,000
(FDP)	LOWER MUD RIVER, MILTON, WV.....	---	100,000
(N)	ROBERT C BYRD LOCKS AND DAM, WV & OH.....	5,386,000	5,386,000
(N)	SOUTHERN WV ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAM, WV.....	---	2,000,000
(FC)	TYGART LAKE, WV (DAM SAFETY).....	1,000,000	1,000,000
(FC)	WEST VIRGINIA AND PENNSYLVANIA FLOOD CONTROL, WV & PA.	---	3,000,000
(N)	WINFIELD LOCKS AND DAM, WV.....	8,500,000	8,500,000
WISCONSIN			
(FC)	LAFARGE LAKE, KICKAPOO RIVER, WI.....	---	713,000
(FC)	PORTAGE, WI.....	1,500,000	1,500,000
MISCELLANEOUS			
	AQUATIC PLANT CONTROL PROGRAM.....	2,600,000	5,000,000
	AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION (SECTION 206).....	2,000,000	6,000,000
	BEACH EROSION CONTROL PROJECTS (SECTION 103).....	3,000,000	3,000,000
	BENEFICIAL USES OF DREDGED MATERIAL (SECTION 204).....	2,000,000	2,000,000
	CLEARING AND SNAGGING PROJECT (SECTION 208).....	500,000	2,000,000
	EMERGENCY STREAMBANK & SHORELINE PROTECTION (SEC. 14)	7,500,000	11,000,000
	EMPLOYEES' COMPENSATION.....	18,048,000	18,048,000
	ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE.....	---	5,000,000
	FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS (SECTION 205).....	25,500,000	40,000,000
	INLAND WATERWAYS USERS BOARD - BOARD EXPENSE.....	40,000	40,000
	INLAND WATERWAYS USERS BOARD - CORPS EXPENSE.....	185,000	185,000
	NAVIGATION MITIGATION PROJECT (SECTION 111).....	500,000	500,000
	NAVIGATION PROJECTS (SECTION 107).....	5,000,000	11,400,000
	PROJECT MODIFICATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF THE ENVIRONME	14,175,000	21,175,000
	REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE.....	-45,863,000	-69,574,000
	TOTAL, CONSTRUCTION GENERAL.....	1,062,470,000	1,473,373,000
GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS			
SURVEYS:			
GENERAL STUDIES:			
(FDP)	MEMPHIS METRO AREA, TN & MS.....	800,000	800,000
(FDP)	MORGANZA, LA TO THE GULF OF MEXICO.....	1,070,000	2,570,000
(FDP)	RAPIDS AND ST. LANDRY PARISHES, LA.....	---	1,100,000
(FDP)	REELFOOT LAKE, TN & KY.....	335,000	335,000
(FDP)	SOUTHEAST ARKANSAS, AR.....	---	500,000
(FDP)	WOLF RIVER, MEMPHIS, TN.....	465,000	465,000
	COLLECTION AND STUDY OF BASIC DATA.....	345,000	345,000
	SUBTOTAL, GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS.....	3,015,000	5,115,000
CONSTRUCTION			
(FC)	CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN.....	44,490,000	44,490,000
(FC)	EIGHT MILE CREEK, AR.....	812,000	812,000
(FC)	HELENA & VICINITY, AR.....	700,000	700,000
(FC)	MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN.	24,238,000	31,000,000
(FC)	ST FRANCIS BASIN, AR & MO.....	5,000,000	5,000,000
(FC)	WHITEMAN'S CREEK, AR.....	1,105,000	1,105,000
(FC)	ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, FLOODWAY SYSTEM, LA.....	3,300,000	3,300,000
(FC)	ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, LA.....	19,100,000	21,100,000
(FC)	LOUISIANA STATE PENITENTIARY LEVEE, LA.....	---	900,000
(FC)	MISSISSIPPI AND LOUISIANA ESTUARINE AREAS, LA & MS.....	300,000	300,000
(FC)	MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, LA.....	11,600,000	13,600,000
(FC)	TENSAS BASIN, RED RIVER BACKWATER, LA.....	7,006,000	7,006,000
(FC)	YAZOO BASIN, MS:	(25,470,000)	(32,970,000)
(FC)	BACKWATER LESS ROCKY BAYOU, MS.....	20,000	520,000
(FC)	BIG SUNFLOWER RIVER, MS.....	3,862,000	3,862,000
(FC)	DEMONSTRATION EROSION CONTROL, MS.....	10,000,000	15,000,000
(FC)	F&W MITIGATION LANDS, MS.....	363,000	363,000
(FC)	MAIN STEM, MS.....	25,000	25,000
(FC)	REFORMULATION UNIT, MS.....	2,000,000	2,000,000
(FC)	TRIBUTARIES, MS.....	200,000	200,000
(FC)	UPPER YAZOO PROJECTS, MS.....	9,000,000	11,000,000
(FC)	ST. JOHNS BAYOU - NEW MADRID FLOODWAY, MO.....	3,000,000	3,000,000
(FC)	NONCONNAH CREEK, FLOOD CONTROL FEATURE, TN & MS.....	2,000,000	2,000,000
(FC)	WEST TENNESSEE TRIBUTARIES, TN.....	2,200,000	2,200,000
	SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION.....	160,221,000	169,383,000
MAINTENANCE			
(FC)	CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN.....	56,112,000	59,162,000
(N)	HELENA HARBOR, PHILLIPS CO, AR.....	280,000	280,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AR.....	472,000	472,000
(FC)	LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER - NORTH BANK, AR.....	840,000	840,000
(FC)	LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER - SOUTH BANK, AR.....	124,000	124,000

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
(FC)	MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN.	7,252,000	7,252,000
(FC)	ST FRANCIS RIVER BASIN, AR & MO	8,130,000	8,130,000
(FC)	TENSAS BASIN, BOEUF AND TENSAS RIVERS, AR & LA	2,807,000	2,807,000
(FC)	WHITE RIVER BACKWATER, AR	1,500,000	1,500,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IL	49,000	49,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KY	27,000	27,000
(FC)	ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, FLOODWAY SYSTEM, LA	670,000	670,000
(FC)	ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, LA	10,700,000	12,700,000
(FC)	BATON ROUGE HARBOR - DEVIL SWAMP, LA	150,000	150,000
(FC)	BAYOU COCODRIE AND TRIBUTARIES, LA	92,000	92,000
(FC)	BONNET CARRE, LA	1,000,000	1,000,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, LA	390,000	390,000
(FC)	LOWER RED RIVER - SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA	378,000	378,000
(FC)	MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, LA	377,000	377,000
(FC)	OLD RIVER, LA	4,390,000	4,390,000
(FC)	TENSAS BASIN, RED RIVER BACKWATER, LA	2,891,000	2,891,000
(N)	GREENVILLE HARBOR, MS	361,000	361,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MS	203,000	203,000
(N)	VICKSBURG HARBOR, MS	237,000	237,000
	YAZOO BASIN, MS:	(21,802,000)	(25,802,000)
(FC)	ARKABUTLA LAKE, MS	3,514,000	3,514,000
(FC)	BIG SUNFLOWER RIVER, MS	237,000	2,237,000
(FC)	ENID LAKE, MS	3,556,000	3,556,000
(FC)	GREENWOOD, MS	816,000	816,000
(FC)	GRENADA LAKE, MS	4,662,000	4,662,000
(FC)	MAIN STEM, MS	1,151,000	1,151,000
(FC)	SARDIS LAKE, MS	4,766,000	6,666,000
(FC)	TRIBUTARIES, MS	1,343,000	1,343,000
(FC)	WILL M WHITTINGTON AUX CHAN, MS	498,000	498,000
(FC)	YAZOO BACKWATER AREA, MS	524,000	524,000
(FC)	YAZOO CITY, MS	835,000	835,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MO	220,000	220,000
(FC)	WAPPAPELLO LAKE, MO	7,468,000	7,468,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TN	124,000	124,000
(N)	MEMPHIS HARBOR (MCKELLAR LAKE), TN	1,345,000	1,345,000
(FC)	MAPPING	-1,027,000	-1,027,000
(FC)	REDUCTION FOR SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE	-18,754,000	-18,754,000
	SUBTOTAL, MAINTENANCE	112,764,000	121,714,000
	TOTAL, FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES	266,000,000	296,212,000
ALABAMA			
(N)	ALABAMA - COOSA RIVER, AL	4,903,000	4,903,000
	BAYOU CODEN, AL	---	5,000
(N)	BAYOU LA BATRE, AL	6,000	705,000
(N)	BLACK WARRIOR AND TOMBIGEE RIVERS, AL	16,252,000	18,252,000
(N)	DAUPHIN ISLAND BAY, AL	500,000	752,000
(N)	GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, AL	3,677,000	3,677,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AL	30,000	30,000
(MP)	MILLERS FERRY LOCK AND DAM, WILLIAM "BILL" DANNELLY LA	6,335,000	6,335,000
(N)	MOBILE HARBOR, AL	17,936,000	19,936,000
	PERDIDO PASS CHANNEL, AL	---	300,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AL	300,000	300,000
(MP)	ROBERT F HENRY LOCK AND DAM, AL	3,858,000	4,389,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, AL	20,000	20,000
(N)	TENNESSEE - TOMBIGEE WATERWAY, AL & MS	16,058,000	18,713,000
(MP)	WALTER F GEORGE LOCK AND DAM, AL & GA	6,044,000	7,544,000
ALASKA			
(N)	ANCHORAGE HARBOR, AK	1,400,000	1,400,000
(N)	BETHEL HARBOR, AK	20,000	20,000
(FC)	CHENA RIVER LAKES, AK	1,766,000	2,566,000
(N)	DILLINGHAM HARBOR, AK	459,000	459,000
(N)	HOMER HARBOR, AK	245,000	245,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AK	27,000	27,000
(N)	NINILCHIK HARBOR, AK	200,000	200,000
(N)	NOME HARBOR, AK	260,000	260,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AK	565,000	565,000
(N)	WRANGELL NARROWS, AK	400,000	400,000
ARIZONA			
(FC)	ALAMO LAKE, AZ	1,055,000	1,055,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AZ	107,000	107,000
(FC)	PAINTED ROCK DAM, AZ	2,293,000	2,293,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, AZ	22,000	22,000
(FC)	WHITLOW RANCH DAM, AZ	199,000	199,000
ARKANSAS			
(MP)	BEAVER LAKE, AR	3,918,000	3,918,000
(MP)	BLAKELY MT DAM - LAKE OUACHITA, AR	4,632,000	4,632,000
(FC)	BLUE MOUNTAIN LAKE, AR	1,105,000	1,105,000
(MP)	BULL SHOALS LAKE, AR	4,810,000	4,810,000
(MP)	DARDANELLE LOCK AND DAM, AR	5,679,000	5,679,000
(MP)	DEGRAY LAKE, AR	3,959,000	3,959,000
(FC)	DEQUEEN LAKE, AR	1,012,000	2,000,000
(FC)	DIERKS LAKE, AR	1,015,000	1,015,000
(FC)	GILLHAM LAKE, AR	946,000	946,000

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
(MP)	GREERS FERRY LAKE, AR.....	4,241,000	4,241,000
(N)	HELENA HARBOR, AR.....	283,000	283,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AR.....	210,000	210,000
(N)	MCCLELLAN - KERR ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM, AR.....	21,604,000	21,604,000
(FC)	MILLWOOD LAKE, AR.....	1,647,000	1,647,000
(MP)	NARROWS DAM - LAKE GREESON, AR.....	3,568,000	3,568,000
(FC)	NINROD LAKE, AR.....	1,284,000	1,284,000
(MP)	NORFORK LAKE, AR.....	3,183,000	3,183,000
(N)	OSCEOLA HARBOR, AR.....	311,000	311,000
(N)	OUACHITA AND BLACK RIVERS, AR & LA.....	5,179,000	5,179,000
(MP)	OZARK - JETA TAYLOR LOCK AND DAM, AR.....	3,789,000	3,789,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AR.....	5,000	5,000
(N)	WHITE RIVER, AR.....	2,265,000	2,265,000
(N)	YELLOW BEND PORT, AR.....	120,000	120,000
CALIFORNIA			
(FC)	BLACK BUTTE LAKE, CA.....	1,587,000	1,587,000
(FC)	BUCHANAN DAM - H V EASTMAN LAKE, CA.....	1,372,000	1,372,000
(N)	CHANNEL ISLANDS HARBOR, CA.....	3,000,000	3,000,000
(FC)	COYOTE VALLEY DAM (LAKE MENDOCINO), CA.....	2,718,000	2,718,000
(N)	CRESCENT CITY HARBOR, CA.....	1,140,000	1,140,000
(FC)	DRY CREEK (WARM SPRINGS) LAKE AND CHANNEL, CA.....	3,451,000	3,451,000
(FC)	FARMINGTON DAM, CA.....	281,000	281,000
(FC)	HIDDEN DAM - HENSLEY LAKE, CA.....	1,371,000	1,371,000
(N)	HUMBOLDT HARBOR AND BAY, CA.....	3,775,000	3,775,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, CA.....	1,326,000	1,326,000
(FC)	ISABELLA LAKE, CA.....	1,413,000	1,413,000
(N)	LOS ANGELES - LONG BEACH HARBOR MODEL, CA.....	165,000	165,000
(FC)	LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA, CA.....	4,288,000	6,288,000
(FC)	MARINA DEL RAY, CA.....	---	500,000
(FC)	MERCED COUNTY STREAM GROUP, CA.....	252,000	252,000
(FC)	MOJAVE RIVER DAM, CA.....	307,000	307,000
(FC)	MORRO BAY HARBOR, CA.....	---	3,200,000
(FC)	NEW HOGAN LAKE, CA.....	2,110,000	2,110,000
(MP)	NEW MELONES LAKE (DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL), CA.....	938,000	938,000
(N)	OAKLAND HARBOR, CA.....	3,146,000	4,350,000
(FC)	OCEANSIDE HARBOR, CA.....	---	900,000
(N)	PETALUMA RIVER, CA.....	2,090,000	2,090,000
(FC)	PINE FLAT LAKE, CA.....	1,968,000	1,968,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, CA.....	1,615,000	1,615,000
(N)	RICHMOND HARBOR, CA.....	2,667,000	2,667,000
(N)	SACRAMENTO RIVER (30 FOOT PROJECT), CA.....	1,778,000	1,778,000
(N)	SACRAMENTO RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES (DEBRIS CONTROL), CA.....	884,000	884,000
(N)	SACRAMENTO RIVER SHALLOW DRAFT CHANNEL, CA.....	133,000	133,000
(N)	SAN DIEGO HARBOR, CA.....	175,000	175,000
(N)	SAN FRANCISCO BAY - DELTA MODEL STRUCTURE, CA.....	1,787,000	1,787,000
(N)	SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR AND BAY (DRIFT REMOVAL), CA.....	2,309,000	2,309,000
(N)	SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR, CA.....	2,267,000	2,267,000
(N)	SAN JOAQUIN RIVER, CA.....	1,494,000	1,494,000
(N)	SAN PABLO BAY AND MARE ISLAND STRAIT, CA.....	1,680,000	1,680,000
(FC)	SANTA ANA RIVER BASIN, CA.....	2,762,000	2,762,000
(N)	SANTA BARBARA HARBOR, CA.....	1,492,000	1,492,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, CA.....	968,000	968,000
(FC)	SUCCESS LAKE, CA.....	1,573,000	1,573,000
(N)	SUISUN BAY CHANNEL, CA.....	952,000	952,000
(FC)	TERMINUS DAM (LAKE KAWEAH), CA.....	2,073,000	2,073,000
(N)	VENTURA HARBOR, CA.....	2,236,000	2,236,000
COLORADO			
(FC)	BEAR CREEK LAKE, CO.....	361,000	361,000
(FC)	CHATFIELD LAKE, CO.....	715,000	715,000
(FC)	CHERRY CREEK LAKE, CO.....	945,000	945,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, CO.....	110,000	110,000
(FC)	JOHN MARTIN RESERVOIR, CO.....	1,595,000	1,595,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, CO.....	368,000	368,000
(FC)	TRINIDAD LAKE, CO.....	627,000	627,000
CONNECTICUT			
(FC)	BLACK ROCK LAKE, CT.....	400,000	400,000
(FC)	COLEBROOK RIVER LAKE, CT.....	558,000	558,000
(FC)	HANCOCK BROOK LAKE, CT.....	199,000	199,000
(FC)	HOP BROOK LAKE, CT.....	843,000	843,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, CT.....	35,000	35,000
(FC)	MANSFIELD HOLLOW LAKE, CT.....	360,000	360,000
(FC)	NORTHFIELD BROOK LAKE, CT.....	401,000	401,000
(N)	PATCHOGUE RIVER, CT.....	466,000	466,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, CT.....	1,241,000	1,241,000
(FC)	STAMFORD HURRICANE BARRIER, CT.....	351,000	351,000
(FC)	THOMASTON DAM, CT.....	489,000	489,000
(FC)	WEST THOMPSON LAKE, CT.....	395,000	395,000
DELAWARE			
(N)	CHESAPEAKE AND DELAWARE CANAL - ST GEORGE'S BRIDGE REP	14,000,000	14,000,000
(N)	INTRACASTAL WATERWAY, DELAWARE R TO CHESAPEAKE BAY, D	11,794,000	11,794,000
(N)	MISPELLION RIVER, DE.....	165,000	165,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, DE.....	50,000	50,000
(N)	WILMINGTON HARBOR, DE.....	2,360,000	2,360,000
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA			
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, DC.....	6,000	6,000
(N)	POTOMAC AND ANACOSTIA RIVERS (DRIFT REMOVAL), DC.....	840,000	840,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, DC.....	32,000	32,000
(N)	WASHINGTON HARBOR, DC.....	35,000	35,000

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
FLORIDA			
(N)	AIHW, NORFOLK TO ST JOHNS RIVER, FL, GA, SC, NC & VA..	30,000	30,000
(N)	ANCLOTE RIVER, FL.....	---	1,600,000
(N)	CANAVERAL HARBOR, FL.....	6,460,000	6,460,000
(N)	CARRABELLE HARBOR, FL.....	520,000	520,000
(FC)	CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA, FL.....	9,500,000	9,500,000
(N)	CHARLOTTE HARBOR, FL.....	---	2,000,000
(N)	FERNANDINA HARBOR, FL.....	1,536,000	1,536,000
(N)	FORT PIERCE HARBOR, FL.....	717,000	717,000
(N)	HORSESHOE COVE, FL.....	---	1,000,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, FL.....	50,000	50,000
(N)	INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, CALOOSAHATCHEE R TO ANCLOTE R.,	47,000	47,000
(N)	INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, JACKSONVILLE TO MIAMI, FL.....	2,909,000	2,909,000
(N)	JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL.....	5,961,000	7,500,000
(MP)	JIM WOODRUFF LOCK AND DAM, LAKE SEMINOLE, FL, AL & GA.	5,399,000	5,399,000
(N)	MIAMI HARBOR, FL.....	408,000	408,000
(N)	OKEECHOBEE WATERWAY, FL.....	3,503,000	3,503,000
(N)	PALM BEACH HARBOR, FL.....	1,079,000	1,079,000
(N)	PANAMA CITY HARBOR, FL.....	700,000	700,000
(N)	PENSACOLA HARBOR, FL.....	50,000	50,000
(N)	PONCE DE LEON INLET, FL.....	3,500,000	3,500,000
(N)	PORT EVERGLADES HARBOR, FL.....	5,000	5,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, FL.....	410,000	410,000
(N)	REMOVAL OF AQUATIC GROWTH, FL.....	3,032,000	3,032,000
(N)	ST PETERSBURG HARBOR, FL.....	10,000	10,000
(N)	STEINHATCHEE RIVER, FL.....	5,000	5,000
(N)	TAMPA HARBOR, FL.....	6,607,000	6,607,000
(N)	WITHLACOOCHIE RIVER, FL.....	34,000	34,000
GEORGIA			
(MP)	ALLATOONA LAKE, GA.....	4,628,000	4,628,000
(N)	APALACHICOLA CHATTAHOOCHEE AND FLINT RIVERS, GA, AL &	4,741,000	6,500,000
(N)	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, GA.....	1,783,000	1,783,000
(N)	BRUNSWICK HARBOR, GA.....	3,030,000	3,030,000
(MP)	BUFORD DAM AND LAKE SIDNEY LANIER, GA.....	6,179,000	6,179,000
(MP)	CARTERS DAM AND LAKE, GA.....	4,500,000	4,500,000
(MP)	HARTWELL LAKE, GA & SC.....	9,547,000	9,547,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, GA.....	40,000	40,000
(MP)	J STROM THURMOND LAKE, GA & SC.....	8,982,000	8,982,000
(MP)	RICHARD B RUSSELL DAM AND LAKE, GA & SC.....	7,820,000	7,820,000
(N)	SAVANNAH HARBOR, GA.....	8,059,000	14,500,000
(N)	SAVANNAH RIVER BELOW AUGUSTA, GA.....	207,000	207,000
(MP)	WEST POINT DAM AND LAKE, GA & AL.....	4,631,000	4,631,000
HAWAII			
(N)	BARBERS POINT HARBOR, HI.....	84,000	84,000
(N)	HALEIWA SMALL BOAT HARBOR, HI.....	334,000	334,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, HI.....	188,000	188,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, HI.....	415,000	415,000
(N)	WAIANA SMALL BOAT HARBOR, HI.....	334,000	334,000
IDAHO			
(MP)	ALBENI FALLS DAM, ID.....	4,775,000	4,775,000
(MP)	DWORSKAK DAM AND RESERVOIR, ID.....	7,866,000	7,866,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, ID.....	89,000	89,000
(FC)	LUCKY PEAK LAKE, ID.....	1,087,000	1,087,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, ID.....	193,000	193,000
(N)	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, ID.....	64,000	64,000
ILLINOIS			
(N)	CALUMET HARBOR AND RIVER, IL & IN.....	717,000	717,000
(FC)	CARLYLE LAKE, IL.....	3,908,000	3,908,000
(N)	CHICAGO HARBOR, IL.....	4,545,000	4,545,000
(N)	CHICAGO RIVER, IL.....	343,000	343,000
(FC)	FARM CREEK RESERVOIRS, IL.....	294,000	294,000
(N)	ILLINOIS WATERWAY (LMVD PORTION), IL.....	1,310,000	1,310,000
(N)	ILLINOIS WATERWAY (NCD PORTION), IL & IN.....	22,738,000	22,738,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IL.....	657,000	657,000
(N)	KASKASKIA RIVER NAVIGATION, IL.....	1,433,000	1,633,000
(N)	LAKE MICHIGAN DIVERSION, IL.....	796,000	796,000
(FC)	LAKE SHELBYVILLE, IL.....	4,820,000	4,820,000
(N)	MISS R BETWEEN MO R AND MINNEAPOLIS (LMVD PORTION), IL	10,535,000	10,535,000
(N)	MISS R BETWEEN MO R AND MINNEAPOLIS, IL, IA, MN, MO &	81,363,000	81,363,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, IL.....	110,000	110,000
(FC)	REND LAKE, IL.....	3,451,000	3,451,000
(N)	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, IL.....	129,000	129,000
(N)	WAUKEGAN HARBOR, IL.....	643,000	643,000
INDIANA			
(FC)	BEVERLY SHORES, IN.....	---	1,700,000
(FC)	BROOKVILLE LAKE, IN.....	754,000	754,000
(N)	BURNS WATERWAY HARBOR, IN.....	902,000	902,000
(FC)	CAGLES MILL LAKE, IN.....	709,000	709,000
(FC)	CECIL M HARDEN LAKE, IN.....	715,000	715,000
(FC)	HUNTINGTON LAKE, IN.....	1,242,000	1,242,000
(N)	INDIANA HARBOR, IN.....	732,000	732,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IN.....	133,000	133,000
(N)	MICHIGAN CITY HARBOR, IN.....	56,000	56,000
(FC)	MISSISSINEHA LAKE, IN.....	975,000	975,000
(FC)	MONROE LAKE, IN.....	778,000	778,000

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
(FC)	PATOKA LAKE, IN.....	739,000	739,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, IN.....	30,000	30,000
(FC)	SALAMONIE LAKE, IN.....	832,000	832,000
(N)	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, IN.....	120,000	120,000
IOWA			
(FC)	CORALVILLE LAKE, IA.....	2,731,000	2,731,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IA.....	183,000	183,000
(FC)	MISSOURI RIVER - KENSLERS BEND, NE TO SIOUX CITY, IA.....	152,000	152,000
(N)	MISSOURI RIVER - SIOUX CITY TO MOUTH, IA, NE, KS & MO.....	6,496,000	6,496,000
(FC)	RATHBUN LAKE, IA.....	1,746,000	1,746,000
(FC)	RED ROCK DAM - LAKE RED ROCK, IA.....	3,291,000	3,291,000
(FC)	SAYLORVILLE LAKE, IA.....	4,191,000	4,191,000
KANSAS			
(FC)	CLINTON LAKE, KS.....	1,482,000	1,482,000
(FC)	COUNCIL GROVE LAKE, KS.....	1,003,000	1,003,000
(FC)	EL DORADO LAKE, KS.....	488,000	488,000
(FC)	ELK CITY LAKE, KS.....	699,000	699,000
(FC)	FALL RIVER LAKE, KS.....	772,000	772,000
(FC)	HILLSDALE LAKE, KS.....	790,000	790,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KS.....	250,000	250,000
(FC)	JOHN REDMOND DAM AND RESERVOIR, KS.....	1,019,000	1,019,000
(FC)	KANOPOLIS LAKE, KS.....	1,219,000	1,219,000
(FC)	MARION LAKE, KS.....	1,630,000	1,630,000
(FC)	MELVERN LAKE, KS.....	1,580,000	1,580,000
(FC)	MILFORD LAKE, KS.....	1,537,000	1,537,000
(FC)	PEARSON - SKUBITZ BIG HILL LAKE, KS.....	799,000	799,000
(FC)	PERRY LAKE, KS.....	1,673,000	1,673,000
(FC)	POMONA LAKE, KS.....	1,533,000	1,533,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, KS.....	178,000	178,000
(FC)	TORONTO LAKE, KS.....	364,000	364,000
(FC)	TUTTLE CREEK LAKE, KS.....	1,858,000	1,858,000
(FC)	WILSON LAKE, KS.....	1,349,000	1,349,000
KENTUCKY			
(MP)	BARKLEY DAM AND LAKE BARKLEY, KY & TN.....	8,127,000	8,127,000
(FC)	BARREN RIVER LAKE, KY.....	1,918,000	1,918,000
(N)	BIG SANDY HARBOR, KY.....	1,120,000	1,120,000
(FC)	BUCKHORN LAKE, KY.....	1,309,000	1,309,000
(FC)	CARR FORK LAKE, KY.....	1,374,000	1,374,000
(FC)	CAVE RUN LAKE, KY.....	908,000	908,000
(FC)	DEWEY LAKE, KY.....	1,167,000	1,167,000
(N)	ELVIS STAHR (HICKMAN) HARBOR, KY.....	334,000	334,000
(FC)	FIGHTRAP LAKE, KY.....	1,602,000	1,602,000
(FC)	GRAYSON LAKE, KY.....	1,014,000	1,014,000
(N)	GREEN AND BARREN RIVERS, KY.....	1,915,000	1,915,000
(FC)	GREEN RIVER LAKE, KY.....	1,759,000	1,759,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KY.....	137,000	137,000
(N)	KENTUCKY RIVER, KY.....	4,843,000	4,843,000
(MP)	LAUREL RIVER LAKE, KY.....	1,233,000	1,233,000
(N)	LICKING RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, KY.....	24,000	24,000
(FC)	MARTINS FORK LAKE, KY.....	654,000	654,000
(FC)	MIDDLESBORO CUMBERLAND RIVER BASIN, KY.....	52,000	52,000
(FC)	NOLIN LAKE, KY.....	1,795,000	1,795,000
(N)	OHIO RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, KY, IL, IN, OH, PA & WV.....	53,126,000	53,126,000
(N)	OHIO RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, KY, IL, IN, OH, PA & WV.....	5,889,000	5,889,000
(FC)	PAINTSVILLE LAKE, KY.....	878,000	878,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, KY.....	5,000	5,000
(FC)	ROUGH RIVER LAKE, KY.....	1,669,000	1,669,000
(FC)	TAYLORSVILLE LAKE, KY.....	1,086,000	1,086,000
(MP)	WOLF CREEK DAM - LAKE CUMBERLAND, KY.....	4,290,000	6,790,000
(FC)	YATESVILLE LAKE, KY.....	1,111,000	1,111,000
LOUISIANA			
(N)	ATCHAFALAYA RIVER AND BAYOUS CHENE, BOEUF AND BLACK, L.....	10,436,000	10,436,000
(N)	BARATARIA BAY WATERWAY, LA.....	505,000	505,000
(FC)	BAYOU BODCAU RESERVOIR, LA.....	466,000	466,000
(N)	BAYOU LAFOURCHE AND LAFOURCHE JUMP WATERWAY, LA.....	5,000	5,000
(FC)	BAYOU PIERRE, LA.....	25,000	25,000
(N)	BAYOU SEGNETTE WATERWAY, LA.....	10,000	10,000
(N)	BAYOU TECHE AND VERMILION RIVER, LA.....	25,000	25,000
(N)	BAYOU TECHE, LA.....	172,000	172,000
(FC)	CADDO LAKE, LA.....	78,000	78,000
(N)	CALCASIEU RIVER AND PASS, LA.....	6,680,000	6,680,000
(N)	FRESHWATER BAYOU, LA.....	2,452,000	2,452,000
(N)	GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, LA & TX.....	15,015,000	15,015,000
(N)	HOUMA NAVIGATION CANAL, LA.....	826,000	826,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, LA.....	414,000	414,000
(N)	LAKE PROVIDENCE HARBOR, LA.....	371,000	371,000
(N)	MADISON PARISH PORT, LA.....	56,000	56,000
(N)	MERMENTAU RIVER, LA.....	1,143,000	1,143,000
(N)	MISSISSIPPI RIVER - BATON ROUGE TO GULF OF MEXICO, LA.....	41,000,000	48,100,000
(N)	MISSISSIPPI RIVER - GULF OUTLET, LA.....	10,998,000	14,498,000
(N)	MISSISSIPPI RIVER OUTLETS AT VENICE, LA.....	2,400,000	2,400,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, LA.....	144,000	144,000
(N)	RED RIVER WATERWAY, MISSISSIPPI RIVER TO SHREVEPORT, L.....	7,714,000	10,192,000
(FC)	REMOVAL OF AQUATIC GROWTH, LA.....	1,960,000	1,960,000
(FC)	WALLACE LAKE, LA.....	152,000	152,000
(N)	WATERWAY - EMPIRE TO THE GULF, LA.....	765,000	765,000
(N)	WATERWAY FROM INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY TO B DULAC, LA.....	335,000	335,000

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
MAINE			
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, ME.....	15,000	15,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, ME.....	722,000	722,000
MARYLAND			
(N)	BALTIMORE HARBOR & CHANNELS, MD (50 FT).....	12,025,000	12,025,000
(N)	BALTIMORE HARBOR (DRIFT REMOVAL), MD.....	425,000	425,000
(N)	BALTIMORE HARBOR (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS),	560,000	560,000
(N)	CHESTER RIVER, MD.....	65,000	65,000
(FC)	CUMBERLAND, MD AND RIDGELEY, WV.....	111,000	111,000
(N)	HONGA RIVER AND TAR BAY, MD.....	677,000	677,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MD.....	28,000	28,000
(FC)	JENNINGS RANDOLPH LAKE, MD & WV.....	1,528,000	1,528,000
(N)	LOWER THOROFARE DEAL ISLAND, MD.....	63,000	63,000
(N)	OCEAN CITY HARBOR AND INLET AND SINEPUXENT BAY, MD	47,000	47,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MD.....	306,000	306,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, MD.....	79,000	79,000
(N)	TWITCH COVE AND BIG THOROFARE RIVER, MD.....	2,600,000	2,600,000
(N)	UPPER THOROFARE, MD.....	53,000	53,000
(N)	WICOMICO RIVER, MD.....	829,000	829,000
MASSACHUSETTS			
(FC)	BARRE FALLS DAM, MA.....	340,000	340,000
(FC)	BIRCH HILL DAM, MA.....	385,000	385,000
(N)	BOSTON HARBOR, MA.....	16,500,000	16,500,000
(FC)	BUFFUMVILLE LAKE, MA.....	359,000	359,000
(N)	CAPE COD CANAL, MA.....	8,855,000	8,855,000
(FC)	CHARLES RIVER NATURAL VALLEY STORAGE AREA, MA.....	156,000	156,000
(FC)	COHASSET HARBOR, MA.....	---	1,500,000
(FC)	CONANT BROOK LAKE, MA.....	138,000	138,000
(FC)	EAST BRIMFIELD LAKE, MA.....	327,000	327,000
(N)	GREEN HARBOR, MA.....	296,000	296,000
(FC)	HODGES VILLAGE DAM, MA.....	348,000	348,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MA.....	78,000	78,000
(FC)	KNIGHTVILLE DAM, MA.....	527,000	527,000
(FC)	LITTLEVILLE LAKE, MA.....	459,000	459,000
(FC)	NEW BEDFORD FAIRHAVEN AND ACUSHNET HURRICANE BARRIER,	242,000	242,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MA.....	1,117,000	1,117,000
(N)	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MA.....	16,000	16,000
(FC)	TULLY LAKE, MA.....	391,000	391,000
(FC)	WEST HILL DAM, MA.....	415,000	415,000
(FC)	WESTVILLE LAKE, MA.....	488,000	488,000
MICHIGAN			
(N)	ALPENA HARBOR, MI.....	324,000	324,000
(N)	CEDAR RIVER HARBOR, MI.....	---	2,377,000
(N)	CHANNELS IN LAKE ST CLAIR, MI.....	805,000	805,000
(N)	CHARLEVOIX HARBOR, MI.....	475,000	475,000
(N)	DETROIT RIVER, MI.....	2,839,000	2,839,000
(N)	FRANKFORT HARBOR, MI.....	210,000	210,000
(N)	FLINT RIVER, MI.....	---	875,000
(N)	GRAND HAVEN HARBOR, MI.....	1,129,000	1,129,000
(N)	HARBOR BEACH HARBOR, MI.....	359,000	359,000
(N)	HOLLAND HARBOR, MI.....	392,000	392,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MI.....	205,000	205,000
(N)	KEWEENAW WATERWAY, MI.....	976,000	976,000
(N)	LUDINGTON HARBOR, MI.....	607,000	607,000
(N)	MANISTEE HARBOR, MI.....	276,000	276,000
(N)	MANISTIQUE HARBOR, MI.....	60,000	60,000
(N)	MARQUETTE HARBOR, MI.....	257,000	257,000
(N)	MENOMINEE HARBOR, MI & WI.....	337,000	337,000
(N)	MONROE HARBOR, MI.....	316,000	316,000
(N)	MUSKOGON HARBOR, MI.....	157,000	157,000
(N)	ONTONAGON HARBOR, MI.....	407,000	407,000
(N)	PENTWATER HARBOR, MI.....	1,579,000	1,579,000
(N)	PORTAGE LAKE HARBOR, MI.....	21,000	21,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MI.....	211,000	211,000
(N)	ROUGE RIVER, MI.....	134,000	134,000
(N)	SAGINAW RIVER, MI.....	1,291,000	1,291,000
(FC)	SEBEWAING RIVER (ICE JAM REMOVAL), MI.....	10,000	10,000
(N)	ST CLAIR RIVER, MI.....	1,014,000	1,014,000
(N)	ST JOSEPH HARBOR, MI.....	587,000	587,000
(MP)	ST MARYS RIVER, MI.....	17,744,000	17,744,000
(N)	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MI.....	2,353,000	2,353,000
(N)	WHITE LAKE HARBOR, MI.....	1,585,000	1,585,000
MINNESOTA			
(FC)	ALTERNATIVE TECHNOLOGY PROJECT, DULUTH, MN.....	---	200,000
(N)	BIGSTONE LAKE WHETSTONE RIVER, MN & SD.....	184,000	184,000
(N)	DULUTH - SUPERIOR HARBOR, MN & WI.....	3,749,000	3,749,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MN.....	103,000	103,000
(FC)	LAC QUI PALE LAKES, MINNESOTA RIVER, MN.....	549,000	549,000
(N)	MINNESOTA RIVER, MN.....	150,000	150,000
(FC)	ORWELL LAKE, MN.....	930,000	930,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MN.....	70,000	70,000
(FC)	RED LAKE RESERVOIR, MN.....	175,000	175,000
(N)	RESERVOIRS AT HEADWATERS OF MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MN.....	2,677,000	2,677,000
(N)	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MN.....	239,000	239,000
MISSISSIPPI			
(N)	BILOXI HARBOR, MS.....	464,000	464,000
(N)	CLAIBORNE COUNTY PORT, MS.....	158,000	158,000
(FC)	EAST FORK, TOMBIGBEE RIVER, MS.....	120,000	120,000
(N)	GULFPORT HARBOR, MS.....	2,121,000	2,121,000

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MS.	114,000	114,000
(N)	MOUTH OF YAZOO RIVER, MS.	79,000	79,000
(FC)	OKATIBBEE LAKE, MS.	1,500,000	1,500,000
(N)	PASCAGOULA HARBOR, MS.	2,620,000	2,620,000
(N)	PEARL RIVER, MS.	391,000	391,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MS.	5,000	5,000
(N)	ROSEDALE HARBOR, MS.	406,000	406,000
(N)	YAZOO RIVER, MS.	15,000	15,000
MISSOURI			
(N)	CARUTHERSVILLE HARBOR, MO.	176,000	176,000
(MP)	CLARENCE CANNON DAM AND MARK TWAIN LAKE, MO.	4,677,000	5,000,000
(FC)	CLEARWATER LAKE, MO.	1,891,000	2,341,000
(MP)	HARRY S TRUMAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, MO.	8,006,000	8,006,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MO.	399,000	399,000
(FC)	LITTLE BLUE RIVER LAKES, MO.	867,000	867,000
(FC)	LONG BRANCH LAKE, MO.	889,000	889,000
(N)	MISS RIVER BTWN THE OHIO AND MO RIVERS (REG WORKS), MO	14,839,000	14,839,000
(N)	NEW MADRID HARBOR, MO.	21,000	21,000
(FC)	POMME DE TERRE LAKE, MO.	1,668,000	1,668,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MO.	5,000	5,000
(FC)	SMITHVILLE LAKE, MO.	1,063,000	1,063,000
(N)	SOUTHEAST MISSOURI PORT, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MO.	275,000	275,000
(MP)	STOCKTON LAKE, MO.	2,988,000	2,988,000
(MP)	TABLE ROCK LAKE, MO.	4,576,000	4,576,000
(FC)	UNION LAKE, MO.	5,000	5,000
(FC)	WAPPAPELLO LAKE, MO.	20,000	20,000
MONTANA			
(MP)	FT PECK DAM AND LAKE, MT.	3,664,000	3,664,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MT.	23,000	23,000
(MP)	LIBBY DAM, LAKE KOOCANUSA, MT.	6,517,000	6,517,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, MT.	53,000	53,000
(N)	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MT.	69,000	69,000
NEBRASKA			
(MP)	GAVINS POINT DAM, LEWIS AND CLARK LAKE, NE & SD.	5,469,000	5,469,000
(FC)	HARLAN COUNTY LAKE, NE.	1,395,000	1,395,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NE.	164,000	164,000
(MP)	MISSOURI NATIONAL RECREATIONAL RIVER, NE.	200,000	200,000
(MP)	MISSOURI R MASTER WTR CONTROL MANUAL, NE, IA, KS, MO.	1,800,000	1,800,000
(MP)	MISSOURI RIVER BASIN COLLABORATIVE WATER PLANNING, NE.	250,000	250,000
(FC)	PAPILLION CREEK & TRIBUTARIES LAKES, NE.	690,000	690,000
(FC)	SALT CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES, NE.	854,000	854,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, NE.	116,000	116,000
NEVADA			
(FC)	MARTIS CREEK LAKE, NV & CA.	480,000	480,000
(FC)	PINE AND MATHEWS CANYONS LAKES, NV.	145,000	145,000
NEW HAMPSHIRE			
(FC)	BLACKWATER DAM, NH.	404,000	404,000
(FC)	EDWARD MACDOWELL LAKE, NH.	456,000	456,000
(FC)	FRANKLIN FALLS DAM, NH.	813,000	813,000
(FC)	HOPKINTON - EVERETT LAKES, NH.	973,000	973,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NH.	10,000	10,000
(FC)	OTTER BROOK LAKE, NH.	478,000	478,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NH.	161,000	161,000
(FC)	SURRY MOUNTAIN LAKE, NH.	616,000	616,000
NEW JERSEY			
(N)	BARNEGAT INLET, NJ.	1,050,000	1,050,000
(N)	COLD SPRING INLET, NJ.	375,000	375,000
(N)	DELAWARE RIVER AT CAMDEN, NJ.	20,000	20,000
(N)	DELAWARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA TO THE SEA, NJ, PA & DE.	15,098,000	15,098,000
(N)	DELAWARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA, PA TO TRENTON, NJ.	1,480,000	1,480,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NJ.	443,000	443,000
(N)	NEW JERSEY INTRACASTAL WATERWAY, NJ.	2,040,000	2,040,000
(N)	NEWARK BAY, HACKENSACK AND PASSAIC RIVERS, NJ.	570,000	5,710,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NJ.	1,021,000	1,021,000
(N)	RARITAN RIVER TO ARTHUR KILL CUT-OFF, NJ.	250,000	250,000
(FC)	TUCKERTON CREEK, NJ.	---	650,000
NEW MEXICO			
(FC)	ABIQUIU DAM, NM.	1,295,000	1,295,000
(FC)	COCHITI LAKE, NM.	1,922,000	1,922,000
(FC)	CONCHAS LAKE, NM.	1,081,000	1,081,000
(FC)	GALISTEO DAM, NM.	299,000	299,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NM.	66,000	66,000
(FC)	JEMEZ CANYON DAM, NM.	457,000	457,000
(FC)	SANTA ROSA DAM AND LAKE, NM.	891,000	891,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, NM.	64,000	64,000
(FC)	TWO RIVERS DAM, NM.	329,000	329,000
(FC)	UPPER RIO GRANDE WATER OPERATIONS MODEL.	---	1,000,000
NEW YORK			
(FC)	ALMOND LAKE, NY.	435,000	435,000
(FC)	ARKPORT DAM, NY.	218,000	218,000
(N)	BLACK ROCK CHANNEL AND TONAWANDA HARBOR, NY.	4,350,000	4,350,000
(N)	BRONX RIVER, NY.	600,000	600,000
(N)	BUFFALO HARBOR, NY.	1,550,000	1,550,000

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
	BUFFALO HARBOR ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING, NY.....	---	125,000
(N)	BUTTERMILK CHANNEL, NY.....	220,000	220,000
(N)	CATSKILL CREEK, NY.....	20,000	20,000
(N)	DUNKIRK HARBOR, NY.....	545,000	545,000
(N)	EAST ROCKAWAY INLET, NY.....	2,000,000	2,000,000
(FC)	EAST SIDNEY LAKE, NY.....	483,000	483,000
(N)	EASTCHESTER CREEK, NY.....	650,000	650,000
(N)	FLUSHING BAY & CREEK, NY.....	155,000	155,000
(N)	GLEN COVE CREEK, NY.....	540,000	540,000
(N)	HUDSON RIVER, NY.....	3,275,000	3,275,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NY.....	549,000	549,000
(N)	JAMAICA BAY, NY.....	100,000	100,000
	MAMARONECK HARBOR, NY.....	---	4,500,000
(FC)	MT MORRIS LAKE, NY.....	1,385,000	1,385,000
(N)	NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY CHANNELS, NY.....	800,000	32,000,000
(N)	NEW YORK HARBOR (DRIFT REMOVAL), NY & NJ.....	4,800,000	4,800,000
(N)	NEW YORK HARBOR (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS).....	730,000	730,000
(N)	NEW YORK HARBOR, NY.....	7,764,000	7,764,000
	OWASCO OUTLET, NY.....	---	250,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NY.....	1,504,000	1,504,000
(N)	RONDOUT HARBOR, NY.....	1,245,000	1,245,000
	SAG HARBOR, NY.....	---	90,000
(N)	SAUGERTIES HARBOR, NY.....	20,000	20,000
(FC)	SOUTHERN NEW YORK FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS, NY.....	526,000	526,000
(N)	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, NY.....	551,000	551,000
(N)	WESTCHESTER CREEK, NY.....	500,000	500,000
(FC)	WHITNEY POINT LAKE, NY.....	627,000	627,000
	NORTH CAROLINA		
(N)	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NC.....	5,438,000	5,438,000
(FC)	B EVERETT JORDAN DAM AND LAKE, NC.....	973,000	973,000
(N)	BOGUE INLET AND CHANNEL, NC.....	590,000	590,000
(N)	CAPE FEAR RIVER ABOVE WILMINGTON, NC.....	548,000	548,000
(N)	CAROLINA BEACH INLET, NC.....	1,340,000	1,340,000
(FC)	FALLS LAKE, NC.....	867,000	867,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NC.....	22,000	22,000
(N)	LOCKWOODS FOLLY RIVER, NC.....	375,000	375,000
(N)	WANTED (SHALLOWBAG) BAY, NC.....	5,074,000	5,074,000
(N)	MASONBORO INLET AND CONNECTING CHANNELS, NC.....	2,251,000	2,200,000
(N)	MOREHEAD CITY HARBOR, NC.....	2,672,000	2,672,000
(N)	NEW RIVER INLET, NC.....	650,000	650,000
(N)	NEW TOPSAIL INLET AND CONNECTING CHANNELS, NC.....	180,000	180,000
(N)	PAMLICO AND TAR RIVERS, NC.....	100,000	100,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NC.....	59,000	59,000
(N)	ROANOK RIVER, NC.....	100,000	100,000
(FC)	W KERR SCOTT DAM AND RESERVOIR, NC.....	1,468,000	1,468,000
(N)	WILMINGTON HARBOR, NC.....	5,834,000	5,834,000
	NORTH DAKOTA		
	SD & NE, BTID (SEC. 33).....	---	750,000
(FC)	BOWMAN - HALEY LAKE, ND.....	194,000	194,000
(MP)	GARRISON DAM, LAKE SAKAKAWEA, ND.....	9,143,000	9,193,000
(FC)	HORNE LAKE, ND.....	188,000	188,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, ND.....	50,000	50,000
(FC)	LAKE ASHTABULA AND BALDHILL DAM, ND.....	1,149,000	1,149,000
	MISSOURI RIVER BETION FT. PECK, MT & GAVINS FT. DAM.....	---	750,000
(FC)	PIPESTEM LAKE, ND.....	395,000	395,000
(FC)	SOURIS RIVER, ND.....	188,000	188,000
(N)	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, ND.....	30,000	30,000
	OHIO		
(FC)	ALUM CREEK LAKE, OH.....	616,000	616,000
(N)	ASHTABULA HARBOR, OH.....	1,175,000	1,175,000
(FC)	BERLIN LAKE, OH.....	2,368,000	2,368,000
(FC)	CAESAR CREEK LAKE, OH.....	1,153,000	1,153,000
(FC)	CLARENCE J BROWN DAM, OH.....	726,000	726,000
(N)	CLEVELAND HARBOR, OH.....	6,560,000	6,560,000
(N)	CONNEAUT HARBOR, OH.....	1,358,000	1,358,000
(FC)	DEER CREEK LAKE, OH.....	678,000	678,000
(FC)	DELAWARE LAKE, OH.....	814,000	814,000
(FC)	DILLON LAKE, OH.....	501,000	501,000
(N)	FAIRPORT HARBOR, OH.....	400,000	400,000
(N)	HURON HARBOR, OH.....	1,035,000	1,035,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OH.....	220,000	220,000
(N)	LORAIN HARBOR, OH.....	1,325,000	1,325,000
	MAHONING RIVER, OH.....	---	1,000,000
(FC)	MASSILLON LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, OH.....	25,000	25,000
(FC)	MICHAEL J KIRWAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, OH.....	882,000	882,000
(FC)	MOSQUITO CREEK LAKE, OH.....	965,000	965,000
(FC)	MUSKINGUM RIVER LAKES, OH.....	6,060,000	6,060,000
(FC)	NORTH BRANCH KOKOSING RIVER LAKE, OH.....	311,000	311,000
(FC)	PAINT CREEK LAKE, OH.....	569,000	569,000
(N)	PORTSMOUTH HARBOR, OH.....	75,000	75,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, OH.....	74,000	74,000
(FC)	ROSEVILLE LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, OH.....	30,000	30,000
(N)	SANDUSKY HARBOR, OH.....	1,015,000	1,015,000
(N)	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, OH.....	206,000	206,000
(N)	TOLEDO HARBOR, OH.....	3,575,000	3,575,000
(FC)	TOM JENKINS DAM, OH.....	245,000	245,000
(FC)	WEST FORK OF MILL CREEK LAKE, OH.....	546,000	546,000
(FC)	WILLIAM H HARSHA LAKE, OH.....	846,000	846,000
	OKLAHOMA		
(FC)	ARCADIA LAKE, OK.....	277,000	277,000
(FC)	BIRCH LAKE, OK.....	836,000	836,000

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
(MP)	BROKEN BOW LAKE, OK.....	1,671,000	1,671,000
(FC)	CANDY LAKE, OK.....	39,000	39,000
(FC)	CANTON LAKE, OK.....	1,756,000	1,756,000
(FC)	COPAN LAKE, OK.....	906,000	906,000
(MP)	EUFULA LAKE, OK.....	3,959,000	3,958,000
(MP)	FORT GIBSON LAKE, OK.....	3,354,000	3,354,000
(FC)	FORT SUPPLY LAKE, OK.....	817,000	817,000
(FC)	GRAND NEOSHO RIVER BASIN, OK.....	---	500,000
(FC)	GREAT SALT PLAINS LAKE, OK.....	323,000	323,000
(FC)	HEYBURN LAKE, OK.....	813,000	813,000
(FC)	HUGO LAKE, OK.....	1,510,000	1,510,000
(FC)	HULAH LAKE, OK.....	462,000	462,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OK.....	168,000	168,000
(FC)	KAW LAKE, OK.....	1,735,000	1,735,000
(MP)	KEYSTONE LAKE, OK.....	3,453,000	3,453,000
(FC)	OLOGAH LAKE, OK.....	1,329,000	1,329,000
(FC)	OPTIMA LAKE, OK.....	285,000	285,000
(FC)	PENSACOLA RESERVOIR - LAKE OF THE CHEROKEES, OK.....	20,000	20,000
(FC)	PINE CREEK LAKE, OK.....	1,088,000	1,088,000
(MP)	ROBERT S KERR LOCK AND DAM AND RESERVOIRS, OK.....	3,795,000	3,795,000
(FC)	SARDIS LAKE, OK.....	1,037,000	1,037,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, OK.....	558,000	558,000
(FC)	SKIATOOK LAKE, OK.....	949,000	949,000
(MP)	TENKILLER FERRY LAKE, OK.....	3,423,000	3,423,000
(FC)	MAURIKA LAKE, OK.....	1,486,000	1,486,000
(MP)	WEBBERS FALLS LOCK AND DAM, OK.....	3,288,000	3,288,000
(FC)	WISTER LAKE, OK.....	824,000	824,000
OREGON			
(FC)	APPEGATE LAKE, OR.....	787,000	787,000
(FC)	BLUE RIVER LAKE, OR.....	276,000	276,000
(MP)	BONNEVILLE LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA.....	16,576,000	16,691,000
(N)	CHETCO RIVER, OR.....	500,000	500,000
(N)	COLUMBIA & LWR WILLAMETTE R BLW VANCOUVER, WA & PORTLA.....	11,332,000	11,332,000
(N)	COLUMBIA RIVER AT THE MOUTH, OR & WA.....	7,904,000	7,904,000
(N)	COLUMBIA RIVER BETWEEN VANCOUVER, WA AND THE DALLES, O.....	346,000	346,000
(N)	COOS BAY, OR.....	4,892,000	4,892,000
(N)	COUILLE RIVER, OR.....	377,000	377,000
(FC)	COTTAGE GROVE LAKE, OR.....	708,000	708,000
(MP)	COUGAR LAKE, OR.....	1,157,000	1,157,000
(N)	DEPOE BAY, OR.....	33,000	33,000
(MP)	DETROIT LAKE, OR.....	2,200,000	2,200,000
(FC)	DORNA LAKE, OR.....	512,000	512,000
(FC)	FALL CREEK LAKE, OR.....	618,000	618,000
(FC)	FERN RIDGE LAKE, OR.....	955,000	955,000
(MP)	GREEN PETER - FOSTER LAKES, OR.....	2,545,000	2,545,000
(MP)	HILLS CREEK LAKE, OR.....	748,000	748,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OR.....	78,000	78,000
(MP)	JOHN DAY LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA.....	12,886,000	12,886,000
(MP)	LOOKOUT POINT LAKE, OR.....	3,991,000	3,991,000
(MP)	LOST CREEK LAKE, OR.....	4,030,000	4,030,000
(MP)	MCNARY LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA.....	12,333,000	12,483,000
(N)	PORT ORFORD, OR.....	484,000	484,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, OR.....	135,000	135,000
(N)	ROGUE RIVER, OR.....	746,000	1,353,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, OR.....	115,000	115,000
(N)	SIUSLAW RIVER, OR.....	965,000	965,000
(N)	SKIPANON CHANNEL, OR.....	5,000	5,000
(N)	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, OR.....	7,000	7,000
(N)	TILLAMOOK BAY AND BAR, OR.....	13,000	13,000
(N)	UMPOUA RIVER, OR.....	1,321,000	1,321,000
(N)	WILLAMETTE RIVER AT WILLAMETTE FALLS, OR.....	606,000	606,000
(FC)	WILLAMETTE RIVER BANK PROTECTION, OR.....	61,000	61,000
(FC)	WILLAMETTE RIVER BASIN, OR.....	---	647,000
(FC)	WILLOW CREEK LAKE, OR.....	564,000	564,000
(N)	YAQUINA BAY AND HARBOR, OR.....	1,607,000	1,607,000
PENNSYLVANIA			
(N)	ALLEGHENY RIVER, PA.....	6,700,000	12,700,000
(FC)	ALVIN R BUSH DAM, PA.....	622,000	622,000
(FC)	AYLESWORTH CREEK LAKE, PA.....	200,000	225,000
(FC)	BELTZVILLE LAKE, PA.....	1,046,000	1,046,000
(FC)	BLUE MARSH LAKE, PA.....	1,986,000	1,986,000
(FC)	CONEMAUGH RIVER LAKE, PA.....	3,127,000	3,127,000
(FC)	COWANESQUE LAKE, PA.....	1,679,000	1,679,000
(FC)	CROOKED CREEK LAKE, PA.....	1,452,000	1,452,000
(FC)	CURWENSVILLE LAKE, PA.....	677,000	804,000
(FC)	EAST BRANCH CLARION RIVER LAKE, PA.....	799,000	799,000
(N)	ERIE HARBOR, PA.....	635,000	635,000
(FC)	FOSTER JOSEPH SAYERS DAM, PA.....	728,000	728,000
(FC)	FRANCIS E WALTER DAM, PA.....	715,000	715,000
(FC)	GENERAL EDGAR JADWIN DAM AND RESERVOIR, PA.....	287,000	287,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, PA.....	205,000	205,000
(FC)	JOHNSTOWN, PA.....	1,109,000	1,109,000
(FC)	KINZUA DAM AND ALLEGHENY RESERVOIR, PA.....	1,400,000	1,400,000
(FC)	LOYALHANNA LAKE, PA.....	1,182,000	1,182,000
(FC)	MAHONING CREEK LAKE, PA.....	826,000	826,000
(N)	MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA.....	13,864,000	13,864,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, PA.....	15,000	15,000
(FC)	PROMPTON LAKE, PA.....	438,000	438,000
(FC)	PUNKSUTAWNEY, PA.....	13,000	13,000
(FC)	RAYSTOWN LAKE, PA.....	2,620,000	4,690,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, PA.....	53,000	53,000
(N)	SCHUYLKILL RIVER, PA.....	1,290,000	1,290,000
(FC)	SHENANGO RIVER LAKE, PA.....	1,916,000	1,916,000
(FC)	STILLWATER LAKE, PA.....	334,000	334,000
(N)	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, PA.....	82,000	82,000
(FC)	TIOGA - HAMMOND LAKES, PA.....	1,775,000	2,155,000

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
(FC)	TIONESTA LAKE, PA.....	1,293,000	1,293,000
(FC)	UNION CITY LAKE PA.....	324,000	324,000
(FC)	WOODCOCK CREEK LAKE, PA.....	821,000	821,000
(FC)	YORK INDIAN ROCK DAM, PA.....	518,000	518,000
(FC)	YOUGHIOGHENY RIVER LAKE, PA & MD.....	1,663,000	1,663,000
RHODE ISLAND			
(N)	BLOCK ISLAND HARBOR OF REFUGE, RI.....	342,000	342,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, RI.....	5,000	5,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, RI.....	677,000	677,000
SOUTH CAROLINA			
(N)	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, SC.....	2,850,000	2,850,000
(N)	CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC.....	3,815,000	4,715,000
(N)	COOPER RIVER, CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC.....	3,372,000	3,365,000
(N)	FOLLY RIVER, SC.....	246,000	246,000
(N)	GEORGETOWN HARBOR, SC.....	3,165,000	3,665,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, SC.....	27,000	27,000
(N)	PORT ROYAL HARBOR, SC.....	981,000	981,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, SC.....	20,000	20,000
(N)	SHIPYARD RIVER, SC.....	400,000	400,000
(N)	TOWN CREEK, SC.....	---	360,000
SOUTH DAKOTA			
(MP)	BIG BEND DAM - LAKE SHARPE, SD.....	5,759,000	5,759,000
(FC)	COLD BROOK LAKE, SD.....	325,000	325,000
(FC)	COTTONWOOD SPRINGS LAKE, SD.....	200,000	200,000
(MP)	FT RANDALL DAM - LAKE FRANCIS CASE, SD.....	7,863,000	7,863,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, SD.....	14,000	14,000
(FC)	JAMES RIVER, JAMESTOWN & PIPESTEM RESERV., SD.....	---	100,000
(FC)	LAKE TRAVERSE, SD & MN.....	1,499,000	1,499,000
(MP)	OAHE DAM - LAKE OAHE, SD & ND.....	8,854,000	9,154,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, SD.....	67,000	67,000
TENNESSEE			
(MP)	CENTER HILL LAKE, TN.....	5,373,000	5,373,000
(MP)	CHEAM LOCK AND DAM, TN.....	4,832,000	4,832,000
(MP)	CORDELL HULL DAM AND RESERVOIR, TN.....	4,097,000	4,097,000
(MP)	DALE HOLLOW LAKE, TN.....	3,622,000	3,622,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TN.....	133,000	133,000
(MP)	J PERCY PRIEST DAM AND RESERVOIR, TN.....	3,348,000	3,348,000
(MP)	OLD HICKORY LOCK AND DAM, TN.....	6,404,000	6,404,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, TN.....	4,000	4,000
(N)	TENNESSEE RIVER, TN.....	10,266,000	10,266,000
(N)	WOLF RIVER HARBOR, TN.....	310,000	310,000
TEXAS			
(FC)	AQUILLA LAKE, TX.....	602,000	602,000
(FC)	ARKANSAS - RED RIVER BASINS CHLORIDE CONTROL - AREA VI.....	1,185,000	1,185,000
(N)	BARBOUR TERMINAL CHANNEL, TX.....	845,000	845,000
(FC)	BARDWELL LAKE, TX.....	1,301,000	1,301,000
(N)	BAYPORT SHIP CHANNEL TX.....	1,170,000	1,170,000
(FC)	BELTON LAKE, TX.....	2,650,000	2,650,000
(FC)	BENBROOK LAKE, TX.....	1,660,000	1,660,000
(N)	BRAZOS ISLAND HARBOR, TX.....	1,050,000	1,050,000
(FC)	BUFFALO BAYOU AND TRIBUTARIES, TX.....	3,457,000	3,457,000
(FC)	CANYON LAKE, TX.....	2,052,000	2,052,000
(N)	CHANNEL TO PORT MANSFIELD, TX.....	155,000	155,000
(FC)	COOPER LAKE AND CHANNELS, TX.....	978,000	978,000
(N)	CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, TX.....	1,885,000	1,885,000
(N)	CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL (RINCON CANAL), TX.....	400,000	400,000
(MP)	DENISON DAM - LAKE TEXOMA, TX.....	4,681,000	4,681,000
(FC)	ESTELLINE SPRINGS EXPERIMENTAL PROJECT, TX.....	14,000	14,000
(FC)	FERRELLS BRIDGE DAM - LAKE O'THE PINES, TX.....	2,113,000	2,113,000
(N)	FREEPORT HARBOR, TX.....	4,350,000	4,350,000
(N)	GALVESTON HARBOR AND CHANNEL, TX.....	3,010,000	3,010,000
(N)	GIWW - CHANNEL TO VICTORIA, TX.....	1,940,000	1,940,000
(N)	GIWW - CHOCOLATE BAYOU, TX.....	1,160,000	1,160,000
(FC)	GRANGER DAM AND LAKE, TX.....	1,517,000	1,517,000
(FC)	GRAPEVINE LAKE, TX.....	1,804,000	1,804,000
(N)	GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, TX.....	17,072,000	17,072,000
(FC)	HORDS CREEK LAKE, TX.....	1,133,000	1,133,000
(N)	HOUSTON SHIP CHANNEL, TX.....	7,617,000	7,617,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TX.....	296,000	296,000
(FC)	JOE POOL LAKE, TX.....	817,000	817,000
(FC)	LAKE KEMP, TX.....	235,000	235,000
(FC)	LAVON LAKE, TX.....	2,476,000	2,476,000
(FC)	LEWISVILLE DAM, TX.....	2,467,000	2,467,000
(N)	MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX.....	3,460,000	3,460,000
(N)	MOUTH OF THE COLORADO RIVER, TX.....	1,900,000	1,900,000
(FC)	NAVARRO MILLS LAKE, TX.....	1,373,000	1,373,000
(FC)	NORTH SAN GABRIEL DAM AND LAKE GEORGETOWN, TX.....	1,650,000	1,650,000
(FC)	O C FISHER DAM AND LAKE, TX.....	1,287,000	1,287,000
(FC)	PAT WAYSE LAKE, TX.....	856,000	856,000
(FC)	PROCTOR LAKE, TX.....	2,197,000	2,197,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, TX.....	85,000	85,000
(FC)	RAY ROBERTS LAKE, TX.....	768,000	768,000
(N)	SABINE - NECHES WATERWAY, TX.....	8,020,000	8,020,000
(MP)	SAM RAYBURN DAM AND RESERVOIR, TX.....	4,038,000	4,038,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, TX.....	49,000	49,000
(FC)	SOMERVILLE LAKE, TX.....	2,367,000	2,367,000

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
(FC)	STILLHOUSE HOLLOW DAM, TX.....	1,514,000	1,514,000
(N)	TEXAS CITY SHIP CHANNEL, TX.....	770,000	770,000
(MP)	TOWN BLUFF DAM - B A STEINHAGEN LAKE, TX.....	1,469,000	1,469,000
(FC)	WACO LAKE, TX.....	2,031,000	2,031,000
(FC)	WALLISVILLE LAKE, TX.....	488,000	488,000
(MP)	WHITNE LAKE, TX.....	3,628,000	3,628,000
(FC)	WRIGHT PATMAN DAM AND LAKE, TX.....	2,446,000	2,446,000
UTAH			
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, UT.....	58,000	58,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, UT.....	452,000	452,000
VERMONT			
(FC)	BALL MOUNTAIN LAKE, VT.....	606,000	606,000
(N)	CONNECTICUT RIVER BASIN (MASTER PLAN), VT.....	---	200,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, VT.....	40,000	40,000
(N)	NARROWS OF LAKE CHAMPLAIN, VT & NY.....	556,000	556,000
(FC)	NORTH HARTLAND LAKE, VT.....	672,000	672,000
(FC)	NORTH SPRINGFIELD LAKE, VT.....	570,000	570,000
(FC)	TOWNSHEND LAKE, VT.....	602,000	602,000
(FC)	UNION VILLAGE DAM, VT.....	439,000	439,000
VIRGINIA			
(N)	APPOMATTOX RIVER, VA.....	25,000	25,000
(N)	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, VA.....	1,971,000	1,971,000
(N)	CHANNEL TO NEWPORT NEWS, VA.....	485,000	485,000
(N)	CHINCOTEAGUE INLET, VA.....	1,094,000	1,094,000
(FC)	GATHRIGHT DAM AND LAKE WOKOMAW, VA.....	1,544,000	1,544,000
(N)	HAMPTON RDS, NORFOLK & NEWPORT NEWS HBR, VA (DRIFT REM)	707,000	707,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, VA.....	69,000	69,000
(N)	JAMES RIVER CHANNEL, VA.....	3,635,000	5,000,000
(MP)	JOHN H KERR LAKE, VA & NC.....	7,906,000	7,906,000
(FC)	JOHN W FLANNAGAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, VA.....	1,192,000	1,192,000
(N)	NEABSCO CREEK, VA.....	---	1,000,000
(N)	NORFOLK HARBOR (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS), V	280,000	280,000
(N)	NORFOLK HARBOR, VA.....	5,310,000	5,310,000
(FC)	NORTH FORK OF POUND RIVER LAKE, VA.....	301,000	301,000
(N)	POTOMAC RIVER AT ALEXANDRIA, VA.....	---	174,000
(N)	POTOMAC RIVER BELOW WASHINGTON, DC, VA.....	---	176,000
(MP)	PHILPOTT LAKE, VA.....	2,075,000	2,075,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, VA.....	711,000	711,000
(N)	RUDEE INLET, VA.....	---	535,000
(N)	THIMBLE SHOAL CHANNEL, VA.....	177,000	177,000
(N)	WATERWAY ON THE COAST OF VIRGINIA, VA.....	1,082,000	1,082,000
WASHINGTON			
(N)	ANACORTES HARBOR, WA.....	240,000	240,000
(MP)	CHIEF JOSEPH DAM, WA.....	12,547,000	12,547,000
(N)	COLUMBIA RIVER AT BAKER BAY, WA & OR.....	10,000	10,000
(N)	COLUMBIA RIVER BETWEEN CHINOOK AND SAND ISLAND, WA.....	6,000	6,000
(N)	EVERETT HARBOR AND SNOHOMISH RIVER, WA.....	1,202,000	1,202,000
(N)	GRAYS HARBOR AND CHEHALIS RIVER, WA.....	7,226,000	13,226,000
(FC)	HOWARD HANSON DAM, WA.....	1,271,000	1,271,000
(MP)	ICE HARBOR LOCK AND DAM, WA.....	8,090,000	8,090,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WA.....	173,000	173,000
(N)	LAKE CROCKETT (KEYSTONE HARBOR), WA.....	352,000	352,000
(N)	LAKE WASHINGTON SHIP CANAL, WA.....	6,558,000	6,558,000
(MP)	LITTLE GOOSE LOCK AND DAM, WA.....	5,672,000	5,672,000
(MP)	LOWER GRANITE LOCK AND DAM, WA.....	7,684,000	7,684,000
(MP)	LOWER MONUMENTAL LOCK AND DAM, WA.....	5,461,000	5,461,000
(FC)	MILL CREEK LAKE, WA.....	762,000	762,000
(FC)	MT ST HELENS, WA.....	415,000	415,000
(FC)	MUD MOUNTAIN DAM, WA.....	1,953,000	1,953,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, WA.....	294,000	294,000
(N)	PUGET SOUND AND TRIBUTARY WATERS, WA.....	1,050,000	1,050,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, WA.....	492,000	492,000
(N)	SEATTLE HARBOR, WA.....	787,000	787,000
(FC)	STILLAGUAMISH RIVER, WA.....	186,000	186,000
(N)	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, WA.....	61,000	61,000
(N)	SWINOMISH CHANNEL, WA.....	375,000	375,000
(FC)	TACOMA, PUYALLUP RIVER, WA.....	72,000	72,000
(MP)	THE DALLES LOCK AND DAM, WA & OR.....	10,744,000	10,744,000
(N)	WILLAPA RIVER AND HARBOR, WA.....	615,000	2,615,000
WEST VIRGINIA			
(FC)	BEECH FORK LAKE, WV.....	1,018,000	1,018,000
(FC)	BLUESTONE LAKE, WV.....	1,253,000	1,828,000
(FC)	BURNSVILLE LAKE, WV.....	1,167,000	1,167,000
(FC)	EAST LYNN LAKE, WV.....	1,563,000	1,563,000
(N)	ELK RIVER HARBOR, WV.....	370,000	370,000
(FC)	ELKINS, WV.....	11,000	11,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WV.....	93,000	93,000
(N)	KANAWHA RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, WV.....	8,743,000	8,743,000
(FC)	R D BAILEY LAKE, WV.....	1,418,000	1,418,000
(FC)	STONEWALL JACKSON LAKE, WV.....	970,000	970,000
(FC)	SUMMERSVILLE LAKE, WV.....	1,812,000	1,812,000
(FC)	TUTTON LAKE, WV.....	1,611,000	1,611,000
(N)	TYGART LAKE, WV.....	1,243,000	1,243,000

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
WISCONSIN			
(FC)	EAU GALLE RIVER LAKE, WI.....	910,000	910,000
(N)	FOX RIVER, WI.....	1,926,000	1,926,000
(N)	GREEN BAY HARBOR, WI.....	1,048,000	1,048,000
(N)	GREEN BAY HARBOR, WI (DIKE DISPOSAL).....	3,613,000	3,613,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WI.....	15,000	15,000
(N)	KEWAUNEE HARBOR, WI.....	188,000	188,000
(FC)	LA FARGE LAKE, WI.....	93,000	93,000
(N)	MANITOWOC HARBOR, WI.....	407,000	407,000
(N)	MILWAUKEE HARBOR, WI.....	1,779,000	1,779,000
(N)	PORT WASHINGTON HARBOR, WI.....	175,000	175,000
(N)	PORT WING HARBOR, WI.....	222,000	222,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, WI.....	96,000	96,000
(N)	SHEBOYGAN HARBOR, WI.....	511,000	511,000
(N)	STURGEON BAY HARBOR & LAKE MICHIGAN SHIP CANAL, WI.....	324,000	324,000
(N)	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, WI.....	475,000	475,000
(N)	TWO RIVERS HARBOR, WI.....	199,000	199,000
WYOMING			
(FC)	JACKSON HOLE LEVEES, WY.....	553,000	553,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, WY.....	315,000	315,000
MISCELLANEOUS			
	COASTAL INLET RESEARCH PROGRAM.....	4,000,000	2,500,000
	CULTURAL RESOURCES (NAGPRA/CURATION).....	2,000,000	1,500,000
	DREDGING DATA AND LOCK PERFORMANCE MONITORING SYSTEM..	735,000	500,000
	DREDGING OPERATIONS AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH (DOER)..	6,000,000	4,000,000
	DREDGING OPERATIONS TECHNICAL SUPPORT (DOTS) PROGRAM..	1,700,000	1,500,000
	EARTHQUAKE HAZARDS PROGRAM FOR BUILDINGS AND LIFELINES	2,930,000	2,500,000
	GREAT LAKES SEDIMENT TRANSPORT MODELS.....	---	500,000
	HARBOR MAINTENANCE FEE DATA COLLECTION.....	600,000	600,000
	MONITORING OF COASTAL NAVIGATION PROJECTS.....	1,900,000	1,500,000
	NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM.....	20,000	20,000
	NATIONAL EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS PROGRAMS (NEPP).....	5,500,000	5,500,000
	NATIONAL RESOURCES TECHNICAL SUPPORT (NRTS).....	900,000	700,000
	PERFORMANCE BASED BUDGETING SUPPORT PROGRAM.....	415,000	415,000
	PROTECT, CLEAR AND STRAIGHTEN CHANNELS (SECTION 3)....	50,000	1,000,000
	RELIABILITY MODELS PROGRAM FOR MAJOR REHABILITATION...	675,000	500,000
	REMOVAL OF SUNKEN VESSELS.....	500,000	500,000
	REPAIR, EVALUATION, MAINT & REHAB RESEARCH (REMR II)...	3,000,000	2,000,000
	WATER OPERATIONS TECHNICAL SUPPORT (WOTS) PROGRAM....	850,000	650,000
	WATERBORNE COMMERCE STATISTICS.....	4,000,000	4,000,000
	REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE.....	-29,368,000	-32,253,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.....	1,618,000,000	1,740,025,000

TITLE II
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT

CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT COMPLETION ACCOUNT

The conference agreement appropriates \$41,153,000 to carry out the provisions of the Central Utah Project Completion Act as proposed by the House and the Senate.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Bureau of Reclamation. Additional items of conference agreement are discussed below.

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES

The conference agreement appropriates \$694,348,000 for Water and Related Resources instead of \$651,931,000 as proposed by the House and \$688,379,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes \$56,442,000 for the Central Arizona Project, \$4,796,000 below the budget request. The conferees direct that \$3,245,000 of the reduction be derived from native fish protection activities. The remainder of the reduction should be derived from noncontract costs.

The conference agreement includes \$4,700,000 for the Applied Science and Technology Development program. Within the amount provided, \$1,000,000 is for completion of the in-situ copper mining research project. In addition, \$300,000 has been provided for Bureau of Reclamation oversight of that program and for related technology transfer activities.

The conference agreement includes an additional \$1,500,000 for the completion of design and initiation of construction of the fish screen at the Contra Costa Canal intake at Rock Slough in California.

The conference agreement includes an additional \$3,000,000 for the Anadromous Fish Screen Program. Within funds available to the Anadromous Fish Screen Program, including funds appropriated in fiscal year 1997, the conferees direct the Bureau of Reclamation to fund the following fish screen projects at the levels indicated below: Reclamation District 108, \$5,000,000; Reclamation District 1004, \$2,625,000; and Princeton-Glenn-Codora and Provident Irrigation Districts, \$2,500,000.

The conference agreement includes \$6,000,000 for the Animas-La Plata project as proposed by the Senate. The conferees continue to support the Animas-La Plata project in Colorado and New Mexico, which is necessary to satisfy the requirements of the Colorado Ute Indian Water Rights Settlement Act of 1988. Controversy has delayed the construction of the project by the Bureau of Reclamation despite the commitments made in the Settlement Act and a subsequent directive by the Congress that those portions of the project which were approved under the Endangered Species Act should be constructed without delay. In the last year, the Governor

of Colorado and the Secretary of the Interior have convened the project supporters and opponents in a process intended to seek resolution of the controversy. The Colorado process calls for a project proposal from parties to the settlement as well as one from those who oppose the project as presently contemplated. The conferees direct that funds previously appropriated for the project and still available are to be used for the project and advancement of a modified project from the process which meets the original intent of the Settlement to provide a new supply of water to meet the present and future needs of the Ute Tribes and the surrounding region. In the event such a project is advanced, the Department of the Interior and other Federal agencies are directed to utilize to the fullest extent the existing environmental compliance documents.

The conferees direct the Bureau of Reclamation to notify the Committees on Appropriations of the House and the Senate before reprogramming any funds from the Equus Beds Groundwater Recharge Demonstration Project in Kansas. The conferees understand that the project is being cost shared on a 50-50 basis.

The conference agreement includes \$300,000 for the Bureau of Reclamation to work with local interests to identify the most effective voluntary water conservation practices applicable to the Walker River Basin in Nevada, and to quantify the contribution that voluntary conservation can make to solving the water resources problems in Walker Lake and the basin as a whole.

The conference agreement includes \$400,000 for NEPA compliance and design activities associated with the Rio Grande Conveyance/Pipeline project in New Mexico and Texas.

The conferees are concerned with the impacts on recreation and resident fish populations resulting from the operating regimes at Hungry Horse and Grand Coulee Dams. The Northwest Power Planning Council has developed a regionally approved plan, known as the Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program, and the Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Bureau of Reclamation, should consider the Council's program and operate the projects in a manner consistent with the program.

The conferees direct that of the \$500,000 provided for facility operation and maintenance on the Newlands Project in Nevada, that \$300,000 shall be applied to the costs of supplying water to the Stillwater National Wildlife Refuge and to recovery of endangered fish in accordance with the Truckee-Carson Pyramid Lake Water Rights Settlement Act, Public Law 101-618, and the Truckee River Water Quality Agreement. Further, \$200,000 shall be used to assist the town of Fernley, Nevada, and the Pyramid Lake Tribe, on behalf of the town of Wadsworth in evaluating the joint municipal water source and delivery system, a wastewater conveyance source, and wastewater reclamation for the Fernley Wildlife Management Area.

The conference agreement includes \$5,759,000 for the Wetland Development Program. Within the amount recommended, the conferees have included \$1,450,000 under fish and wildlife management and development for the Bureau of Reclamation to undertake Central Arizona Project fish and wildlife activities.

The conferees are in agreement with the language in the House report regarding operation and maintenance (O&M) costs,

deficits, and budget development. With regard to water rate-setting policies, the conferees urge the Bureau of Reclamation to review and, where necessary, consider modification to these policies to ensure that current O&M water rate revenues are applied against O&M expenses with any deficiency resulting in an O&M deficit to the water contractor.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House regarding the Coolidge Dam, San Carlos Irrigation project in Arizona.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate providing \$500,000 for the installation of drains in the Pena Blanca area of New Mexico to prevent seepage from Cochiti Dam.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate providing that funds available for expenditure for the Departmental Irrigation Drainage Program may be expended for site remediation on a non-reimbursable basis.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate to increase the authorized level of appropriations for the municipal, rural, and industrial water systems for the Fort Berthold, Standing Rock, and Spirit Lake Nation in order to allow activities to continue. The Senate language has been amended to make technical corrections.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate providing \$80,000 to complete the feasibility study of alternatives for meeting the drinking water needs on the Cheyenne River Sioux Reservation and surrounding communities in South Dakota. Funding for this project is included in the amount appropriated for Water and Related Resources.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate providing that the Secretary of the Interior may use \$2,500,000 for the McCall Area Wastewater Reclamation and Reuse project in Idaho.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate providing \$300,000 for planning studies and other activities for the Ute Reservoir Pipeline (Quay County portion) in New Mexico. Funding for this project is included in the amount appropriated for Water and Related Resources.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate providing \$185,000 for a feasibility study of alternatives for the Crow Creek Rural Water Supply System to meet the drinking water needs on the Crow Creek Sioux Indian Reservation in South Dakota. Funding for this project is included in the amount appropriated for water and related resources.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The conference agreement appropriates \$10,425,000 for the Bureau of Reclamation Loan Program Account as proposed by the House and Senate.

CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT RESTORATION FUND

The conference agreement appropriates \$33,130,000 for the Central Valley Project Restoration Fund as proposed by the Senate instead of \$39,130,000 as proposed by the House.

The conference agreement includes language which provides that the Secretary of the Interior shall levy additional mitigation and restoration payments totaling no more than \$25,130,000 (October 1992 price levels) on a three-year rolling average basis, as authorized by Section 3407(d) of Public Law 102-575.

CALIFORNIA BAY-DELTA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION

The conference agreement appropriates \$85,000,000 for the California Bay-Delta Ecosystem Restoration program instead of \$120,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$50,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

POLICY AND ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement appropriates \$47,558,000 for Policy and Administration as proposed by the Senate instead of \$47,658,000 as proposed by the House.

SPECIAL FUNDS

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate regarding the Reclamation Fund and the special fund in the Treasury created by the Act of December 22, 1987. The Bureau of Reclamation has advised the conferees that this language is not required.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES		CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE	
		RESOURCES MGMT & DEVELOPMENT	FACILITIES OM&R	RESOURCES MGMT & DEVELOPMENT	FACILITIES OM&R
WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES					
ARIZONA					
	CENTRAL ARIZONA PROJECT (LCRBDF)	61,242,000	---	56,442,000	---
	COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL, TITLE I	3,078,000	6,500,000	3,078,000	6,500,000
	COLORADO RIVER FRONT WORK & LEVEE SYSTEM	4,200,000	---	4,200,000	---
	INDIAN WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT PROJECT	---	8,317,000	---	8,317,000
	SALT RIVER PROJECT, HORSE MESA DAM	---	1,200,000	---	1,200,000
	SOUTHERN ARIZONA REGIONAL WATER MANAGEMENT STUDY	200,000	---	200,000	---
	SOUTHERN ARIZONA WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT ACT	6,693,000	---	6,693,000	---
	TRES RIOS WETLANDS DEMONSTRATION	1,000,000	---	1,000,000	---
	TUCSON AREA WATER RECLAMATION STUDY	200,000	---	200,000	---
	VERDE RIVER BASIN RECLAMATION STUDY	75,000	---	200,000	---
	WEST SALT RIVER VALLEY WATER MANAGEMENT STUDY	1,670,000	11,868,000	1,670,000	13,368,000
	YUMA AREA PROJECTS	---	---	---	---
CALIFORNIA					
	BRACKISH WATER RECLAMATION DEMONSTRATION FACILITY	555,000	8,881,000	2,000,000	8,881,000
	CACHUMA PROJECT	---	---	565,000	---
	CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT	---	---	---	---
	AMERICAN RIVER DIVISION	2,219,000	16,204,000	10,819,000	16,204,000
	CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT IMPROVEMENT ACT	4,362,000	---	4,362,000	---
	DELTA DIVISION	13,368,000	4,682,000	14,868,000	4,682,000
	EAST SIDE DIVISION	199,000	3,190,000	199,000	3,190,000
	FRIANT DIVISION	3,426,000	5,406,000	3,426,000	5,406,000
	MISCELLANEOUS PROJECT PROGRAMS	16,632,000	2,504,000	19,632,000	2,504,000
	SACRAMENTO RIVER DIVISION	7,885,000	1,186,000	8,695,000	1,186,000
	SAN FELIPE DIVISION	1,345,000	---	1,345,000	---
	SAN JOAQUIN DIVISION	6,955,000	---	6,955,000	---
	SHASTA DIVISION	6,619,000	4,929,000	6,619,000	4,929,000
	TRINITY RIVER DIVISION	5,643,000	4,220,000	5,643,000	4,220,000
	WATER AND POWER OPERATIONS	445,000	4,981,000	445,000	4,981,000
	WEST SAN JOAQUIN DIVISION, SAN LUIS UNIT	2,839,000	9,833,000	2,839,000	12,833,000

DEL NORTE & CRESCENT CITY WASTEWATER RECLAMATION STUDY	---	---	---	550,000	---
IMPERIAL VALLEY WATER RECLAMATION STUDY	---	---	---	75,000	---
LOS ANGELES AREA WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE	---	---	10,000,000	10,000,000	---
LOWER OWENS RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL STUDY	---	---	100,000	100,000	---
MAMMOTH LAKES WATER OPTIMIZATION STUDY	---	---	80,000	80,000	---
NEW MELONES WATER MANAGEMENT STUDY	---	---	---	100,000	---
ORLAND PROJECT	---	45,000	431,000	431,000	45,000
SACRAMENTO COUNTY WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE STUDY	---	---	---	500,000	---
SALTON SEA RESEARCH PROJECT	---	---	400,000	400,000	---
SAN DIEGO AREA WATER RECLAMATION PROGRAM	---	---	13,000,000	13,000,000	---
SAN FRANCISCO AREA WATER RECLAMATION STUDY	---	---	375,000	375,000	---
SAN GABRIEL BASIN PROJECT	---	---	5,235,000	5,235,000	---
SAN JOSE AREA WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE	---	---	3,000,000	3,000,000	---
SOLANO PROJECT	---	208,000	1,624,000	1,624,000	208,000
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA COASTAL WATER SUPPLY STUDY	---	---	350,000	350,000	---
SO. CALIF. COMPREHENSIVE WATER RECLAMATION STUDY	---	---	769,000	769,000	---
COLORADO					
ANIMAS-LAPLATA PROJECT, SECT. 5 & 8	---	---	6,000,000	6,000,000	---
BOSTWICK PARK PROJECT	---	36,000	40,000	40,000	36,000
COLLBRAN PROJECT	---	716,000	72,000	72,000	716,000
COLORADO-BIG THOMPSON PROJECT	---	6,539,000	115,000	115,000	6,539,000
DALLAS CREEK PROJECT	---	---	18,000	18,000	---
DOLORES PROJECT	---	---	10,592,000	10,592,000	---
FRYINGPAN-ARKANSAS PROJECT	---	---	46,000	46,000	---
GRAND VALLEY UNIT CRBSCP	---	---	4,456,000	4,456,000	---
LEADVILLE / ARKANSAS RIVER RECOVERY PROJECT	---	---	770,000	770,000	---
LOWER GUNNISON BASIN UNIT, CRBSCP	---	444,000	---	---	444,000
MESA COUNTY WATER CONSERVATION STUDY	---	---	90,000	90,000	---
PARADOX UNIT, CRBSCP	---	2,782,000	70,000	70,000	2,782,000
SAN LUIS VALLEY PROJECT, CLOSED BASIN / CONEJO	---	3,052,000	103,000	103,000	3,052,000
UPPER COLORADO AREA PROJECTS	---	57,000	---	---	57,000
IDAHO					
BOISE AREA PROJECTS	---	2,421,000	2,577,000	2,577,000	2,421,000
COLUMBIA-SNAKE RIVER SALMON RECOVERY PROJECT	---	---	13,062,000	13,062,000	---
IDAHO RIVER SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT STUDY	---	---	300,000	300,000	---
MCCALL AREA WASTEWATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE	---	---	---	2,500,000	---
MINIDOKA AREA PROJECTS	---	---	---	3,728,000	---
MINIDOKA NORTHSIDE DRAINWATER PROJECT	---	1,742,000	300,000	300,000	1,742,000
UPPER SALMON RIVER WATER OPTIMIZATION STUDY	---	---	175,000	175,000	---

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES		CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE	
		RESOURCES MGMT & DEVELOPMENT	FACILITIES OM&R	RESOURCES MGMT & DEVELOPMENT	FACILITIES OM&R
	KANSAS				
	CHENEY RESERVOIR WATER QUALITY STUDY.....	131,000	---	131,000	---
	CHEYENNE BOTTOMS WILDLIFE AREA STUDY.....	101,000	---	101,000	---
	EQUUS BEDS GROUNDWATER RECHARGE DEMONSTRATION PROJECT.....	---	---	667,000	---
	WICHITA PROJECT.....	122,000	68,000	122,000	68,000
	MONTANA				
	COLD CLIMATE WASTEWATER TREATMENT.....	37,000	---	37,000	---
	FORT PECK INDIAN RESERVATION.....	---	---	240,000	---
	FORT PECK RURAL WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM.....	---	---	300,000	---
	HUNGRY HORSE PROJECT.....	---	408,000	---	408,000
	JEFFERSON RIVER BASIN RETURN FLOW STUDY.....	86,000	---	86,000	---
	MILK RIVER PROJECT.....	53,000	706,000	53,000	706,000
	MONTANA RIVER SYSTEMS STUDY.....	180,000	---	180,000	---
	PALLID STURGEON RECOVERY DECISION.....	65,000	---	65,000	---
	WESTERN MONTANA WATER CONSERVATION STUDY.....	50,000	---	50,000	---
	YELLOWSTONE RIVER BASIN STUDY.....	75,000	---	75,000	---
	NEBRASKA				
	NEBRASKA RAINWATER BASIN ASSESSMENT.....	133,000	---	133,000	---
	NEBRASKA WATER SUPPLY ASSESSMENT.....	88,000	---	88,000	---
	NEVADA				
	LAS VEGAS SHALLOW AQUIFER DESALINATION DEMO.....	---	---	3,750,000	---
	NEWLANDS PROJECT.....	3,750,000	---	3,750,000	500,000
	WALKER RIVER BASIN.....	---	---	300,000	---
	WASHOE PROJECT.....	866,000	461,000	866,000	461,000
	NEW MEXICO				
	ALBUQUERQUE WASTEWATER RECYCLING.....	---	---	5,000,000	---
	BRANTLEY PROJECT.....	1,200,000	---	1,200,000	---

CARLSBAD PROJECT.....	1,059,000	437,000	1,059,000	437,000
MIDDLE RIO GRANDE PROJECT.....	1,830,000	8,932,000	1,830,000	9,432,000
MIDDLE RIO GRANDE WATER CONVEYANCE MANAGEMENT PLAN.....	80,000	---	80,000	---
PECOS RIVER BASIN WATER SALVAGE PROJECT.....	---	129,000	---	129,000
RIO GRANDE CONVEYANCE CANAL/PIPELINE.....	---	---	---	---
RIO GRANDE PROJECT.....	537,000	2,475,000	400,000	2,475,000
RIO GRANDE RIPARIAN TREE SPECIES CONSUMPTIVE USE.....	75,000	---	537,000	---
SAN JUAN-CHAMA PROJECT.....	70,000	153,000	75,000	153,000
SAN JUAN GALLUP-NAVAJO PIPELINE.....	---	---	450,000	---
SANTE FE WATER REUSE.....	---	---	500,000	---
UTE RESERVOIR PIPELINE.....	---	---	300,000	---
VELARDE COMMUNITY DITCH PROJECT.....	---	1,000,000	---	1,000,000
NORTH DAKOTA				
FREEZE/THAW DESALINATION DEMONSTRATION PROJECT.....	360,000	---	360,000	---
GARRISON DIVERSION UNIT, P-SMBP.....	17,025,000	6,350,000	22,525,000	6,350,000
OKLAHOMA				
ARBUCKLE PROJECT.....	39,000	55,000	39,000	55,000
LUGERT-ALTUS WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT OPTIONS.....	100,000	---	100,000	---
MCGEE CREEK PROJECT.....	162,000	383,000	162,000	383,000
MOUNTAIN PARK PROJECT.....	79,000	44,000	79,000	44,000
NORMAN PROJECT.....	104,000	12,000	104,000	12,000
OKLAHOMA WATER SUPPLY STUDY.....	135,000	---	135,000	---
WASHITA BASIN PROJECT.....	143,000	172,000	143,000	172,000
W.C. AUSTIN PROJECT.....	70,000	85,000	70,000	85,000
OREGON				
CENTRAL OREGON IRRIG. SYSTEM CONSERVATION FEASIBILITY.....	225,000	---	225,000	---
CROOKED RIVER PROJECT.....	116,000	400,000	116,000	400,000
DESCHUTES PROJECT.....	89,000	146,000	89,000	146,000
GRANDE RONDE WATER OPTIMIZATION STUDY.....	50,000	---	50,000	---
KLAMATH PROJECT.....	2,405,000	370,000	2,405,000	370,000
OREGON STREAM RESTORATION PLANNING STUDY.....	75,000	---	75,000	---
OREGON SUBBASIN CONSERVATION PLANNING STUDY.....	175,000	---	175,000	---
ROGUE RIVER BASIN PROJECT, TALENT DIVISION.....	87,000	682,000	87,000	682,000
SOUTHERN OREGON COASTAL RIVER BASINS STUDY.....	175,000	---	175,000	---
TUALATIN PROJECT.....	13,000	111,000	13,000	111,000
UMATILLA PROJECT.....	7,849,000	1,505,000	7,849,000	1,505,000

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES		CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE	
		RESOURCES MGMT & DEVELOPMENT	FACILITIES OM&R	RESOURCES MGMT & DEVELOPMENT	FACILITIES OM&R
SOUTH DAKOTA					
	BELLE FOURCHE, P-SMBP.....	---	2,520,000	---	2,520,000
	BLACK HILLS REGIONAL WATER STUDY.....	100,000	---	100,000	---
	CHEYENNE RIVER SIOUX RESERVATION.....	---	---	80,000	---
	CROW CREEK RURAL WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM.....	---	---	185,000	---
	MID-DAKOTA RURAL WATER PROJECT.....	10,000,000	---	13,000,000	---
	MISSOURI RIVER BASIN TRIBES WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN.....	20,976,000	---	208,000	---
	MNI WICONI PROJECT.....	20,976,000	3,349,000	27,976,000	3,349,000
	RAPID CITY WASTEWATER REUSE STUDY.....	78,000	---	75,000	---
TEXAS					
	CANADIAN RIVER PROJECT.....	25,000	55,000	25,000	55,000
	COLORADO RIVER PROJECT.....	2,000	7,000	2,000	7,000
	NUECES RIVER PROJECT.....	127,000	195,000	127,000	195,000
	NORTHWEST WASTEWATER REUSE PROJECT.....	---	---	1,000,000	---
	PALMETTO BEND PROJECT.....	169,000	314,000	169,000	314,000
	RINCON BAYOU - NUECES MARSH RESTORATION STUDY.....	150,000	---	150,000	---
	RIO GRANDE PROJECT DRAINS WATER QUALITY STUDY.....	95,000	---	95,000	---
	RIO GRANDE/RIO BRAVO INTERNATIONAL BASIN ASSESSMNT.....	150,000	---	150,000	---
	SAN ANGELO PROJECT.....	100,000	20,479,000	100,000	20,479,000
	SAN ANTONIO WATER RECYCLING PROGRAM.....	---	---	200,000	---
UTAH					
	ASHLEY/BRUSH CREEKS OPTIMIZATION STUDY.....	130,000	---	130,000	---
	CARBON/EMERY COUNTIES WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN.....	130,000	---	130,000	---
	CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT BONNEVILLE/JENSEN.....	3,921,000	44,000	3,921,000	44,000
	OGDEN RIVER BASIN WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT STUDY.....	125,000	---	125,000	---
	PROVO RIVER PROJECT.....	235,000	217,000	235,000	217,000
	TODELE WASTEWATER TREATMENT & REUSE.....	---	---	500,000	---
	WEBER BASIN PROJECT.....	373,000	1,538,000	373,000	1,538,000

WASHINGTON

COLUMBIA BASIN PROJECT.....	4,239,000	6,099,000	4,239,000	6,099,000
WASHINGTON RIVER BASIN PLANNING.....	175,000	---	175,000	---
YAKIMA PROJECT.....	8,980,000	8,839,000	9,980,000	8,839,000

WYOMING

KENDRICK PROJECT.....	107,000	2,547,000	107,000	2,547,000
NORTH PLATTE PROJECT.....	93,000	1,137,000	93,000	1,137,000
SHOSHONE PROJECT.....	80,000	1,152,000	80,000	1,152,000

VARIOUS

COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL, T. II BASINWIDE	7,600,000	---	7,600,000	---
COLORADO RIVER STORAGE, SECT. 8, REC. FISH & WILDLIFE.	2,284,000	---	2,284,000	---
COLORADO RIVER WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT.....	310,000	---	310,000	---
DEPARTMENT IRRIGATION DRAINAGE PROGRAM.....	3,553,000	---	3,109,000	---
EFFICIENCY INCENTIVES PROGRAM.....	5,250,000	---	3,000,000	---
ENDANGERED SPECIES RECOVERY IMPLEMENTATION.....	14,257,000	---	14,257,000	---
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION.....	1,990,000	---	1,990,000	---
ENVIRONMENTAL/INTERAGENCY COORDINATION ACTIVITIES.....	1,665,000	---	1,338,000	---
EXAMINATION OF EXISTING STRUCTURES.....	---	2,142,000	---	2,142,000
GENERAL PLANNING STUDIES.....	1,730,000	---	1,730,000	---
INVESTIGATION OF EXISTING PROJECTS.....	1,500,000	---	500,000	---
LAND RESOURCES MANAGEMENT PROGRAM.....	7,602,000	---	7,602,000	---
MINOR WORK ON COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS.....	90,000	---	90,000	---
MISCELLANEOUS FLOOD CONTROL OPERATIONS.....	105,000	766,000	105,000	766,000
NATIONAL FISH & WILDLIFE FOUNDATION.....	1,500,000	---	1,500,000	---
NATIVE AMERICAN AFFAIRS.....	8,459,000	---	8,000,000	---
NEGOTIATION AND ADMINISTRATION OF WATER MARKETING.....	662,000	---	662,000	---
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROGRAM MANAGEMENT.....	107,000	215,000	107,000	215,000
PICK-SLOAN MISSOURI BASIN - OTHER PROJECTS.....	3,648,000	16,376,000	3,648,000	16,376,000
POWER PROGRAM SERVICES.....	622,000	1,050,000	622,000	1,050,000
PUBLIC ACCESS AND SAFETY PROGRAM.....	394,000	66,000	394,000	66,000
RECLAMATION LAW ADMINISTRATION.....	4,996,000	---	4,800,000	---
RECLAMATION RECREATION MANAGEMENT - TITLE 28.....	4,288,000	---	3,000,000	---
RECREATION AND FISH & WILDLIFE PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION.	4,922,000	---	3,261,000	---

SAFETY OF DAMS:	---	1,200,000	---	1,200,000
DEPARTMENT DAM SAFETY PROGRAM.....	---	42,433,000	---	42,433,000
SAFETY OF DAMS EVALUATION & MODIFICATION.....	---	---	---	---

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES RESOURCES MGMT & DEVELOPMENT	FACILITIES OM&R	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE RESOURCES MGMT & DEVELOPMENT	FACILITIES OM&R
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY:					
	APPLIED SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	3,850,000	---	4,700,000	---
	DESALINATION RESEARCH DEV PROGRAM.....	2,000,000	---	3,700,000	---
	GROUNDWATER RECHARGE.....	199,000	---	199,000	---
	IMPROVED RIVER BASIN MANAGEMENT CONTROL.....	400,000	---	---	---
	TECHNOLOGY ADVANCEMENT.....	400,000	---	300,000	---
	WATERSHED / RIVER SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT.....	1,000,000	---	1,000,000	---
	SITE SECURITY.....	---	5,000,000	---	5,000,000
	SOIL AND MOISTURE CONSERVATION.....	239,000	---	239,000	---
	TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO STATES.....	1,700,000	---	1,500,000	---
	WATER MANAGEMENT CONSERVATION PROGRAM.....	9,801,000	---	9,235,000	---
	WETLANDS DEVELOPMENT.....	6,309,000	---	5,759,000	---
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION BASED ON ANTICIPATED DELAYS....	---	-30,953,000	---	-33,463,000
	TOTAL, WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES.....	421,874,000	229,678,000	461,680,000	232,668,000
LOAN PROGRAM					
CALIFORNIA					
	CASTROVILLE IRRIGATION WATER.....	2,100,000	---	2,100,000	---
	CHINO BASIN DESALINATION.....	1,718,000	---	1,718,000	---
	SALINAS VALLEY.....	1,300,000	---	1,300,000	---
	SAN SEVAINE PROJECT.....	976,000	---	976,000	---
	TEMESCAL VALLEY PROJECT.....	651,000	---	651,000	---
OREGON					
	MILLTOWN HILL, DOUGLAS COUNTY.....	3,255,000	---	3,255,000	---
VARIOUS					
	LOAN ADMINISTRATION.....	425,000	---	425,000	---
	TOTAL, LOAN PROGRAM.....	10,425,000	---	10,425,000	---

TITLE III

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Department of Energy. Additional items of conference agreements are discussed below.

REPROGRAMMINGS

The conference agreement does not provide the Department of Energy with any internal reprogramming flexibility in fiscal year 1998 unless specifically identified in the House, Senate, or conference reports. Any reallocation of new or prior year budget authority or prior year deobligations must be submitted to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations in advance in writing and may not be implemented prior to approval by the Committees.

EXTERNAL REGULATION OF DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY FACILITIES

The conference agreement directs that all new nuclear facilities for which construction starts in the year 2000 or beyond are to be constructed in accordance with Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) licensing standards. The Department is directed to consult with the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations should implementation of this policy pose critical national security concerns with respect to any particular nuclear facility.

SUPPORT SERVICE CONTRACTS

The conferees agree with the House report language which directs the Department to prepare a report on the use of support service contractors and the use of management and operating contractor and subcontractor employees detailed to Headquarters. This report is due on January 30, 1998. The Department should consult with the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations on the level of detail required in this report.

The conferees continue to be concerned about the Department's inappropriate use of support service contractors. The Department continues to pay contractors to perform day to day functions that should be performed by Federal employees. There is a clear distinction between administrative support and technical assistance. Support service contractors can play an important and cost-effective role in supplying special technical expertise unavailable within the Department. However, the conferees believe there has been a distinct lack of responsible management of these contractors. Therefore, the Department is directed to develop a plan to provide more effective management of support service contractors without increasing the number of Federal employees. This plan is to be submitted to Congress at the time of the fiscal year 1999 budget submission. The Department is directed to reduce the number of support service contractors providing administrative support and performing inherently governmental functions. Remaining support service contractors should include only those providing specific technical assistance with a well-defined product or service as the deliverable and an established completion date for the product or

service. These technical assistance contracts must meet the Congressional intent of full and open competition, fixed price contracts, and performance-based management.

GENERAL REDUCTIONS NECESSARY TO ACCOMMODATE SPECIFIC
PROGRAM DIRECTIONS

In the event that specific program guidance contained in the House, Senate, or conference reports requires a general reduction of available funding, such reductions shall not be applied disproportionately against any program, project, or activity.

ENERGY SUPPLY

The conference agreement includes \$906,807,000, instead of \$880,730,000 as proposed by the House and \$966,940,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement does not include bill language extending the availability of funds in this account beyond fiscal year 1998.

SOLAR AND RENEWABLE ENERGY

The conference agreement includes \$346,266,000, which includes \$301,962,000 for the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy and \$44,304,000 representing research done by the Office of Energy Research. This action follows the direction provided by the House to put research back into research and development. The Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy and the Office of Energy Research are directed to work together to ensure that the Department's solar and renewable research and development budget reflects the cooperation of the two Offices. The Department is directed to submit its fiscal year 1999 solar and renewable energy budget comprehensively, as it is displayed in the table in this conference report.

Photovoltaic energy systems.—From the amount provided, \$1,500,000 shall be directed to university research to increase university participation in this program and to fund the acquisition of photovoltaic test equipment at the participating institutions. Furthermore, while developing its FY 1999 budget request, the Department is encouraged to consider the funding needs of university photovoltaic programs.

Solar thermal energy systems.—The conference agreement does not include the Senate prohibition on funding to deploy additional dish/engine systems.

Biomass/biofuels.—The conference agreement includes \$98,385,000, which includes \$38,635,000 for research done by the Officer of Energy Research. The conferees direct that the funds be allocated in the following manner: Within "Power systems"—\$1,500,000 for thermal conversion, \$23,000,000 for system development, \$3,000,000 for biomass cogeneration, and \$750,000 for the Gridley rice straw project; and, within "Biofuels"—\$27,000,000 for ethanol production, including \$4,000,000 for the biomass ethanol plant in Jennings, Louisiana, and \$2,500,000 for the Consortium for Plant Biotechnology Research. The Department is directed to provide \$3,500,000 for feedstock development and \$2,000,000 for

the regional biomass program each to be equally derived from the power systems and biofuels programs.

Wind.—The conference agreement does not include the House prohibition on funding for incremental product improvement partnerships with manufacturers.

International solar energy.—The conference agreement includes \$1,375,000, an increase of \$625,000 over the amount provided by the House. The conferees direct that the funding be provided for the U.S. initiative on joint implementation as provided in the Senate report.

Hydrogen.—The conference agreement does not include House language urging the Department to avoid commitments to multi-million dollar demonstration projects. The conference agreement includes \$3,000,000 for the Russian—American Fuel Cell Consortium, \$1,000,000 less than the amount provided by the Senate.

Renewable Indian energy resources.—The conference agreement includes \$4,000,000, the amount provided by the Senate, which includes: \$2,000,000, the same amount as the current year, for the Power Creek Hydroelectric Project in Cordova, Alaska; \$800,000 for the Old Harbor Hydroelectric Project in the Village of Old Harbor, Alaska; \$1,000,000 for the Upper Lynn Canal Regional Electric Project in Skagway Bay, Alaska; and \$100,000 to complete studies and confirm the feasibility of several small hydroelectric facilities in the Village of Scammon Bay, Alaska.

Electric energy systems and storage.—The conference agreement includes up to \$1,000,000 for a research and development partnership to manufacture electric transmission lines using aluminum matrix composite materials.

Federal buildings/Remote power initiatives.—The House and Senate each included proposals intended to direct the Department to identify and pursue near term opportunities to exploit the strengths of solar and renewable energy technologies. The conference agreement includes both initiatives and provides \$5,000,000 for these activities. The Department is directed to provide the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations with a program plan which includes a funding profile, and criteria for awarding proposals. All proposals must include a cost benefit analysis. The Department may approve only proposals that have verifiable, favorable cost benefits over a period of not more than ten years. Cost benefits shall be based exclusively on actual monetary costs and savings.

Program direction.—The conference agreement includes \$15,651,000 for program direction. The conferees have provided additional funds to address the issues raised in the House report with regard to program taxes. In short, the Department has reallocated program funds to pay for support service contractors, equipment, travel, “cross-cutting” activities, “Assistant Secretary initiatives” and other activities not described in the budget request. All funding for support service contracts and the aforementioned activities is provided in program direction. The Department is directed to end its practice of taxing programs and to allocate funding to programs in accordance with allocations stipulated in appropriations bills.

Excessive carryover balances.—The conferees strongly endorse the concerns expressed in the House report and direct that the Department allocate the prior year balance adjustment to programs with consideration given to which programs have available carryover funds. The conferees direct that the Department allocate new budget authority for solar and renewable programs after making an adjustment which reflects a careful analysis of each program's share of carryover balances.

Executive Order 12902.—The conference agreement includes the Senate recommendation that the assessment and report be done by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

NUCLEAR ENERGY

University reactor fuel assistance and support.—The recommendation is \$7,000,000, a \$3,000,000 increase over the current fiscal year. The Department is directed to include appropriate laboratories, industry groups and universities in this program. The conference agreement provides \$2,200,000 for the core university reactor peer-reviewed Nuclear Engineering Education Research (NEER) program. None of the funds are to be provided to industry and no less than \$5,000,000 is to be made available to universities participating in this program.

Termination costs.—The conference agreement includes \$77,035,000, including a total of \$33,000,000 for electrometallurgical-related activities. An additional \$12,000,000 is provided for nuclear technology research and development in Other Defense Activities. The conference agreement does not include the Senate recommendation to provide \$3,000,000 for the advanced light water reactor program. The conference agreement includes the Senate reduction to the budget request, \$1,500,000, for management studies and evaluations.

Isotope support.—The conference agreement recommendation for isotope support shall include funds for isotope production and distribution including alpha-emitter production, chemistry research and preclinical studies.

Program direction.—The conference agreement combines the separate program direction lines in the uranium, isotope support and other nuclear energy programs. The amount provided, \$21,000,000, is \$5,110,000 more than the amount provided by the House and \$3,066,000 less than the comparable amount in the budget request.

ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH

The conference agreement includes \$66,050,000, of which \$23,550,000 is provided for program direction. The conferees have provided a more balanced distribution of the program direction funding by providing an additional \$20,000,000 in the defense environment, safety and health program.

MAGNETIC FUSION ENERGY

The conferees have adopted the Senate title for this program. The conference agreement provides \$232,000,000 which includes

\$2,000,000 for fusion irradiation activities currently funded under the domestic nuclear energy program.

FUNDING ADJUSTMENTS

The conference agreement includes a \$31,535,000 adjustment reflecting availability of prior year balances, an increase of \$13,000,000 to the adjustment recommended by the House. The Department is directed to evaluate availability of prior year balances and allocate this reduction based on that evaluation.

NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

The conference agreement appropriates \$497,059,000 instead of \$497,619,000 as proposed by the House and \$664,684,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have agreed to transfer the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP) to the Corps of Engineers, and funding for this program is contained in Title I of the bill.

The conferees direct the Department of Energy to assess the cost of decommissioning the Southwest Experimental Fast Oxide Reactor site in Arkansas and provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations by September 30, 1998. The conferees further acknowledge the purpose of the Integrated Petroleum Environmental Consortium, but do not believe this initiative properly falls within the jurisdiction of the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Subcommittees.

The conference agreement funds the University Research Program in Robotics at a level of \$4,000,000 in the Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Management appropriation account.

The conferees are aware that Advanced Nuclear & Medical Systems Inc. (ANMS) which had been the principal proponent for delaying the deactivation and decommissioning of the Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) at Richland, Washington, has withdrawn its proposal to convert the FFTF for tritium and medical isotope production. On the basis of the original proposal, the Department has delayed until December 1998 a decision to shut down the reactor, increasing the costs to the government of maintaining the reactor in a standby condition. The conferees direct the Department to make a determination on the continued standby status of the FFTF as part of the fiscal year 1999 budget submission.

URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING FUND

The conference agreement appropriates \$220,200,000 as proposed by the House instead of \$230,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement retains bill language proposed by the House providing funds for the uranium and thorium reimbursement program, and increases the funding level of \$40,000,000. The conferees agree with the House proposed reporting requirements.

SCIENCE

The conference agreement includes \$2,235,708,000, \$28,076,000 more than House and \$12,631,000 more than the comparable Senate amount.

High energy physics.—The conference agreement provides \$680,035,000 for high energy physics. This is the amount provided by the House and represents a \$5,000,000 increase over the amount requested by the Administration.

Nuclear physics.—The conference agreement provides \$320,925,000 for nuclear physics. This is the amount provided by the House and represents a \$5,000,000 increase over the amount requested by the Administration.

BIOLOGICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH

The conferees support the peer-reviewed nuclear medicine research program in biological imaging at the University of California Los Angeles and strongly encourage the Department to fully fund that research in fiscal year 1998.

The Department of Energy will initiate and carry out a rigorous, peer-reviewed research program that will apply the molecular level knowledge gained from the Department's human genome and structural biology research to ascertain the effects on levels ranging from cells to whole organisms that arise from low-dose-rate exposures to energy and defense-related insults (such as radiation and chemicals). By providing a scientific basis for determining the effects of low-dose exposure, this program will lead to reductions in the uncertainties inherent in current calculations and the development of new, more reliable risk management methods. The ultimate goal is adequate, cost effective health protection for workers and the public from radiation, chemicals and waste clean-up that is commensurate with actual risks.

The conferees have included \$3,000,000 for this effort in fiscal year 1998 and direct the Department to develop a multi-year program plan, including budgets, for the subsequent ten years.

The conference agreement includes \$4,000,000 to upgrade a nuclear radiation center to accommodate boron neutron capture therapy (BNCT) research in conjunction with the University of California—Davis. BNCT is the selective irradiation of tissue for treatment of inoperable brain tumors. The conference agreement also includes \$7,500,000 for design, planning and construction of an expansion of the Medical University of South Carolina's cancer research center. This addition will provide research and treatment areas for the utilization of Positron Emission Tomography, using metabolic bio-markers, a ribozyme-based gene therapy. The conferees are aware of the high rate of cancer nationwide, the need to translate basic bio-marker research to direct application, and the need for expansion of this facility. The conferees have provided \$3,000,000 to develop proton scanning technology. This effort utilizes the existing proton therapy capabilities at the Proton Cancer Treatment Center at Loma Linda Medical Center in California in cooperation with the Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory. This effort will expand the use of this superior radiation treatment, enabling more precise, safe, and effective treatment of breast, lung and

other cancers, without disabling side effects. The conference agreement also includes \$3,000,000 for cancer treatment efforts included in the Medical Research Initiative at the University of Rochester Medical Center.

The conference agreement includes \$2,000,000 for Englewood Hospital in New Jersey which employs a condensed diagnosis process in its breast cancer treatment program. The conference agreement also includes \$10,000,000 for the Northeast Regional Cancer Institute for innovative research that supports the Department's exploration of microbial genetics. The Department will benefit from the Institute's unique assets to pursue medical research related to the Human Genome Project. Also, recent breakthrough findings indicate that there is a third form of life, the Archaea, whose unique properties allow them to flourish under extreme conditions. Understanding the genetic basis of these properties promises to lead to diverse applications and public benefit. The Department has played an early and leading role in supporting this research. This new collaboration will expand the Department's exploration of the science and applications of these results for its energy, environmental, and health effects missions. The conference agreement also includes \$2,500,000 for design, planning and construction of a science and engineering center at Highlands University in Las Vegas, New Mexico.

Human Genome Project.—The conference agreement does not include House language opposing the increase proposed in the budget request to evaluate ethical, legal and social implications of genome research.

National Institute for Global and Environmental Change (NIGEC).—The conference agreement includes \$8,200,000, the amount provided in the budget request.

BASIC ENERGY SCIENCES

Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research (EPSCoR).—The conference agreement includes \$7,000,000, the amount provided in the budget request.

OTHER ENERGY RESEARCH

Computational and technology research.—The conference agreement does not include House language regarding the transfer of funds to the fusion program, nor the Senate language regarding computer equipment for the Institute for Computational Chemistry and Molecular Modeling.

University and science education.—The conference agreement does not include the Senate proposal to provide \$10,000,000 for this program.

NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL FUND

The conference agreement appropriates \$160,000,000 as proposed by both the House and the Senate, including \$4,000,000 to be made available to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission for multipurpose canister licensing, as proposed by the Senate. The agreement includes no funding for the State of Nevada as proposed by the House, instead of \$1,500,000 as proposed by the Senate. The

agreement includes \$5,000,000 for affected units of local government instead of \$0 as proposed by the House and \$6,175,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The agreement includes a reduction of \$11,950,000 from the science program and a reduction of \$16,000,000 for personnel costs, training and travel expenses for Federal employees, support service contractors, non-safety related training for contractor employees, cooperative agreements and other programs not directly associated with the performance of characterization and interim storage activities.

The conferees fully expect the Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management to achieve its Strategic Alignment Initiative targets for fiscal year 1998.

The conferees recognize the capability and availability of resources at the University of Nevada-Las Vegas to store data and scientific studies related to Yucca Mountain and encourage the Department to maximize utilization of this resource.

DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement appropriates \$218,747,000 for Departmental Administration instead of \$214,723,000 as proposed by the House and \$220,847,000 as proposed by the Senate. Revenues of \$131,330,000 are estimated to be received in fiscal year 1998, resulting in a net appropriation of \$87,417,000.

The conference agreement deletes bill language proposed by the Senate providing additional amounts for cost of work for others provided that such increases are offset by revenue increases of the same or greater amount.

The conference agreement directs the Department to reduce staffing through buyouts and attrition to the level which can be appropriately supported within the available funds provided for fiscal year 1998. No direction to the Department to reduce specific organizations has been provided, but the conferees expect the Department to assess objectively the workload and value added by many of these support and administrative organizations and the redundancy existing with program organizations which have their own support staffs. Staffing reductions are *not* to be prorated across every organization.

Of the amount provided for other expenses within Departmental Administration, \$1,623,000 is available for salaries and expenses in the Office of the Secretary to pay the salaries and expenses of employees otherwise on detail to the Office of the Secretary.

The conferees have provided \$6,000,000 for a corporate management information system. The Department is directed to provide detailed information on the systems to be acquired, project costs and milestones, and a description of how these new systems will consolidate, eliminate, or integrate with all of the Department's current information systems. This detailed analysis is to be provided as part of the fiscal year 1999 budget submission.

The conference agreement provides reprogramming authority of \$1,000,000 or 10 percent, whichever is less, within the Departmental Administration account. This should provide the needed

flexibility to manage this account. Congressional notification of the use of this authority is to be provided on a quarterly basis.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

The conference agreement includes \$27,500,000, as proposed by both the House and Senate.

ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

WEAPONS ACTIVITIES

The conference agreement appropriates \$4,146,692,000 instead of \$3,943,442,000 as proposed by the House and \$4,302,450,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate providing that funds are available until expended, and that funding for any ballistic missile defense program undertaken by the Department of Energy for the Department of Defense must be provided in accordance with procedures established for Work for Others by the Department of Energy.

Stockpile stewardship.—The conference agreement supports increased funding for many activities in the core stockpile stewardship program with the following specific adjustments. An additional \$45,000,000 has been provided for the core research and advanced technology program and enhanced non-nuclear component assessment and experimental activities. As directed by the Senate, \$15,000,000 is provided to develop an in-house, contingent source of radiation hardened microelectronics. An increase of \$20,000,000 over the budget request is provided for the accelerated strategic computing initiative for a total of \$224,800,000. An appropriation of \$177,002,000, an increase of \$20,000,000 over the request, is provided to maintain a readiness capability to conduct an underground nuclear test at the Nevada test site. An additional \$30,000,000 is provided for infrastructure and equipment needs at the national laboratories and the Nevada test site.

The conferees understand that the Department has unique capabilities to assist the Department of Defense in its mission of land mine remediation. The conferees urge the Department to develop a proposal for a Work for Others program with the Department of Defense that would involve testing and demonstration of DOE land mine detection technology at the Nevada Test Site.

The conferees are aware of the significant scientific and technological advances made in the pulsed power program over the past year on the Z-accelerator at Sandia National Laboratory. The Department should support continued Z-physics experiments and improved diagnostic capabilities in the coming year.

Within the technology transfer program, \$10,000,000 is provided for the American Textile Partnership (AMTEX). No funds are provided for the Partnership for Next Generation Vehicles.

The conference agreement does not provide additional funding for the inertial confinement fusion program, but expects the Department to allocate existing funds to fully exploit the capabilities of the Nike, Omega, and Nova lasers.

Stockpile management.—For core stockpile management, the conference agreement provides \$2,052,150,000, which includes the

following adjustments to the budget request. An additional \$35,000,000 is provided in support of the W87 program and to provide capability at the Y-12 plant in Oak Ridge, Tennessee, in preparation for expected stockpile life extension program, \$7,500,000 is provided for enhanced surveillance activities, and \$35,000,000 is provided for manufacturing and infrastructure initiatives. Joint development of manufacturing technologies with laboratories is increased by \$5,000,000, and \$7,500,000 is provided for the Department's environmental surety program. An additional \$10,000,000 is recommended to sustain the modernization of the weapons complex begun last year; and an additional \$8,000,000 is included to continue upgrades to the existing tritium recycling facility.

Within the budget request for stockpile management, the Department included \$45,200,000 for safeguards and security activities at the Rocky Flats, Colorado, and Fernald, Ohio, environmental cleanup sites. The conference agreement transfers that funding to the Defense Facilities Closure Projects account.

The conferees have not provided funding for improvements to Greenville Road in Livermore, California. The City of Livermore has sought for several years to have funds appropriated in this bill for highway construction. The conferees are reluctant to proceed down the path of funding highways at every Department of Energy facility and urge the City to seek funding from more appropriate sources.

Program direction.—For program direction funding, the conference agreement provides \$250,000,000, a reduction of \$53,500,000 from the budget request. The Department anticipates carrying unobligated funds into fiscal year 1998 which will supplement this appropriation. The reduction is imposed in part because of the conferees' frustration that the program has been unable to reduce its employee levels to those established by the Department's own Strategic Alignment Initiative. The Department is directed to meet the Strategic Alignment Initiative personnel ceilings which have been established for the defense programs organization in fiscal year 1998, and to impose the reduction in a manner that results in the implementation of the recommendations made by the Institute for Defense Analysis in its 120 day review of the program's management structure.

DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT

The conference agreement appropriates \$4,429,438,000 for Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Management instead of \$5,263,270,000 as proposed by the House and \$5,654,974,000 as proposed by the Senate. Additional funding of \$890,800,000 is contained in the Defense Facilities Closure Projects account and \$200,000,000 for Environmental Management Privatization, for a total of \$5,520,238,000 provided for all defense environmental management activities.

The conference agreement deletes language included by the Senate earmarking funds for closure projects. The conference agreement includes the Senate language providing that funds are available until expended.

Environmental restoration.—The conference agreement provides \$1,010,973,000 for environmental restoration, which is the

budget request for all sites with only two exceptions. The conference agreement moves funding of \$743,600,000, the budget request included in environmental restoration for the Rocky Flats and Fernald sites, from this program to a new appropriation account, Defense Facilities Closure Projects.

An additional \$10,000,000 has been included in the environmental restoration program to accelerate cleanup at those sites or facilities which can effectively reduce outyear mortgage costs with small incremental funding increases. The conferees view the acceleration of cleanup of the Hanford 100 area as a prime example of a project that should continue to receive support. A small increase in funds provided in fiscal year 1998 could expedite the cleanup of reactors along the Columbia River in Hanford's 100 area and significantly reduce the outyear mortgages.

Waste management.—The conference agreement includes the funding level of \$1,571,644,000 proposed by the Senate for the waste management program, an increase of \$35,000,000 over the budget request. The additional funding should be used to continue critical ongoing activities at the Defense Waste Processing Facility in South Carolina, the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant in New Mexico, and the Hanford tank farm in Washington. The conferees have included in the funds otherwise available for the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant, \$1,748,000, the same as the current year, for the Environmental Evaluation Group.

Nuclear materials and facilities stabilization.—The conference agreement includes \$1,256,821,000 for nuclear materials and facilities stabilization. The recommendation includes an additional \$43,000,000 over the budget request for operation of facilities at the Savannah River Site to accelerate stabilization of “at risk” spent nuclear fuel currently stored at the site. The conferees agree with the House language on the need for a status report on these activities and direct that it be submitted by November 15, 1997. The conference agreement also provides an additional \$15,000,000 for the National Spent Fuel Program.

At the request of the Department, the conference agreement consolidates two prior year construction projects at the Savannah River Site, the Health Physics Site Support Facility and the Environmental Monitoring Laboratory.

Technology development.—The conference agreement provides \$220,000,000 for the technology development program. As proposed by the House, \$4,000,000 is provided for the University Research Program in Robotics. Funding of \$5,000,000 is provided for the domestic and international technology systems applications programs, and the budget request of \$40,066,000 is provided to support the private industry programs.

The conference agreement provides \$27,000,000 to support the Department's efforts to deploy cost-effective new technologies. Deployment of new technologies is a strategic activity affecting virtually all environmental management programs and sites, and should be strongly supported as a complex-wide program, not another initiative established and maintained in isolation in the technology development organization.

The conferees acknowledge the work done by the Department's Environmental Management Advisory Board (EMAB) in reviewing

these deployment proposals, and would like to focus the panel on efforts to change records of decision which hamper the consideration and implementation of new technologies which may be faster and more cost effective than traditional cleanup remedies.

Six months after enactment of this Act and semi-annually thereafter, the Department is to provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations on the technologies under development within the program. The report should provide a description of each technology and its applications, an accounting of the Department's investment to date in the technology, and an anticipated return on investment.

The conferees note that technologies developed under this program will be of little or no value to the Department unless they are incorporated into the Department's environmental management records of decision. Regardless of the Department's tendency toward "stove-pipe" organizational arrangements, the Assistant Secretary of Environmental Management is to ensure that the Department's contractors are made aware of and utilize technologies developed by this program.

The conference report accompanying the fiscal year 1997 Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act included a recommendation that the Department continue technology development on alternatives that might achieve satisfactory cleanup results at a significantly lower cost. The conferees believe that it would be prudent for the Department to maintain a research and development program that focuses on higher risk, high-payoff processing and vitrification technologies in parallel with ongoing efforts that could serve as a backup in the event conditions change. The conferees reaffirm the recommendation stated last year and strongly urge the Department to undertake a joint, cooperative effort between the Offices of Waste Management and Technology Development to assess the effectiveness and technical feasibility of the modular in-can and in-tank vitrification technology consistent with the fiscal year 1997 Energy and Water Development Appropriations conference agreement.

The conferees urge the Department to support a joint, cooperative effort between the Offices of Technology Development, Environmental Restoration, Waste Management, and Nuclear Materials and Facilities Stabilization to develop a program to accelerate cleanup of lands which can be transferred to the public sector for other uses. Technology demonstrations should be directed to contaminated Department of Energy sites demonstrating the capability of applying integration of technologies to recover useful lands for transfer to the public sector. These demonstrations should be in diverse regions of the country with the emphasis on a return on investment (ROI) analysis with firm schedules and cost analyses that support the ROI analysis. The lands should be determined by the ability to transfer them to the private sector in three to five years. The changes required to regulations, based on expected reductions of risk, increased public safety, and financial benefit to the government must be a specific end product of this demonstration. Reports on progress of these programs should be submitted to the Committees on Appropriations for information on an annual basis with emphasis on completion of specific land restoration in three years.

Environmental science program.—The conferees are pleased with the progress to date in implementing the environmental basic research science program, and have provided \$55,000,000 for this activity in fiscal year 1998, an increase of \$5,000,000 over the budget request. From these funds, \$48,000,000 has been provided for the basic science program, and \$7,000,000 for risk policy. Of the risk policy funding, \$4,000,000 is provided for the Consortium for Risk Evaluation with Stakeholder Participation (CRESP).

The conferees agree that the Department is to provide to the Committees on Appropriations a list of each research grant that has been funded, a description of what cleanup problem is to be addressed, and how the grantee is to interact with the Department and field sites to address the specific problems.

Privatization.—The conference agreement provides \$200,000,000 for the environmental privatization program to guarantee the Federal government's commitment to a variety of projects for which private financing will be sought by the contractors involved in bidding on these activities at Department of Energy sites. This funding is to be allocated consistent with the direction provided in the Fiscal Year 1998 National Security Authorization Act. An additional \$32,100,000 for the two privatization projects proposed for Fernald, Ohio, has been provided in the Defense Facilities Closure Projects account.

The conferees support statements in the Senate committee report on the importance of the tank waste remediation system (TWRS) privatization project. TWRS is an absolutely essential cleanup priority for the Hanford site. The conferees further believe that the funds provided by the conference agreement are sufficient for TWRS to proceed on schedule. Combined with last year's appropriation, the total budget authority provided by Congress for TWRS underscores the commitment to see this project completed.

The conferees also recognize the importance of meeting cleanup milestones at the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory in the court-ordered settlement agreement between the Department and the State of Idaho. Adequate funds should be provided for this purpose.

Program direction.—The conferees have provided \$345,000,000 for the program direction account. The Department will carry unobligated balances into fiscal year 1998 which will increase the funding available in this account.

Economic development.—The conference agreement maintains the current policy that no cleanup funds are to be used for economic development activities. The conferees have provided \$61,159,000 in the worker and community transition program which was established and authorized to fund such activities, and expect all economic development activities to be funded from that program.

DEFENSE FACILITIES CLOSURE PROJECTS

The conference agreement appropriates \$890,800,000 for the Defense Facilities Closure Projects account instead of \$905,800,000 as proposed by the House and \$65,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The Department requested \$15,000,000 for closure projects as part of the Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Manage-

ment appropriation account. The conference agreement has established a separate appropriation account for closure projects to provide maximum visibility and accountability for program activities.

Last year the conferees expressed significant interest in accelerating closure of environmental management sites and urged the Department to provide adequate funds to support this effort at sites which could be cleaned up within ten years with a notable reduction in mortgage costs due to the accelerated schedule. The Administration's fiscal year 1998 budget request did not implement this direction. The conferees consider this a very important issue, and have established a separate appropriation account to fund those Department of Energy sites which have an established cost, schedule, and project plan which permits closure of the entire site by 2006. At this time, the conferees are aware of only two sites which meet this criteria: Rocky Flats, Colorado, and Fernald, Ohio. The Department is urged to develop firm cost, schedule, and technical plans for other sites such as Mound and the RMI Ashtabula project in Ohio which can be closed by 2006, and include those sites in this account in the fiscal year 1999 budget request.

The conferees are aware that portions of other sites which will continue to have a Department of Energy presence beyond 2006 are also candidates for accelerated cleanup activities. To accommodate those sites such as Savannah River, Hanford, and Oak Ridge, the conferees have provided additional funding in the defense environmental restoration program to accelerate cleanup activities. Sites with a continued Federal presence beyond 2006 are not candidates for the closure projects account.

The conferees are pleased that the Department now supports a 2006 closure date for the Rocky Flats site in Colorado. With a relatively small increase in funding over the budget request in fiscal year 1998, it is anticipated that total project costs of \$1,000,000,000 can be saved. The Department's budget included \$598,850,000 for Rocky Flats in various program accounts including \$44,000,000 funded in the Weapons Activity account for safeguards activities. The conference agreement consolidates all of this funding and provides an additional \$33,250,000 for a total of \$632,100,000 for cleanup activities.

Current cost projections indicate that closing the Fernald, Ohio, site by 2006 would cost approximately \$2,500,000,000, while closing it by 2011 increases costs to approximately \$2,800,000,000. The conferees' recommendation of \$258,700,000 provides the budget request from the environmental restoration program, \$1,200,000 for safeguards from the Weapons Activities appropriations, \$25,200,000 for the Waste Pits Remedial Action project, and \$6,900,000 for the Silo 3 Residue Waste Treatment project.

As part of the fiscal year 1999 budget submittal, the Department is directed to provide adequate detail showing the cost, scope, schedule, and technical assumptions which support these project closures by 2006. The Department is directed to ensure that the budget justifications provide adequate detail to permit Congress to track closure progress on an annual basis.

The current management and organization structure in the Environmental Management program at the Department does not lend itself to the successful management of dynamic projects with

established completion dates and fixed price costs. Federal management of such projects requires skills quite different from the level of effort activities often performed at DOE sites. The Department is directed to provide the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations within 60 days of enactment of this bill with a detailed plan outlining a proposed project management structure which reduces the numerous layers of Federal bureaucracy through which closure projects must report.

OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

The conference agreement includes the Senate language providing that funds are available until expended.

The conference agreement appropriates \$1,666,008,000 for Other Defense Activities instead of \$1,580,504,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,637,981,000 as proposed by the Senate. Details of the conference agreement are provided below.

NONPROLIFERATION AND NATIONAL SECURITY

The conference agreement provides \$658,300,000 for nonproliferation and national security instead of \$586,700,000 as proposed by the House and \$662,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Within the funding for arms control, a total of \$29,600,000 is provided for the Initiatives for Proliferation Prevention (IPP). The House language requiring a separate report on the IPP program is eliminated. However, the conferees expect the Department to ensure that these funds are used only for activities directly related to preventing the exodus of nuclear weapons scientists from the former Soviet Union.

From within available funds for arms control, the conference agreement provides \$10,000,000 for nuclear material security at a site in Kazakstan.

The conference agreement provides \$30,000,000, an increase of \$10,000,000 over the budget request, for the Department's security investigations program. The conferees are aware that the Department's budget request was not sufficient to support the necessary number of security clearances required in fiscal year 1998.

The conference agreement provides \$82,900,000 for the program direction account. The conferees direct the Department to meet the Strategic Alignment Initiative personnel ceilings which have been established for the nonproliferation and national security organization in fiscal year 1998 and beyond.

ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH (DEFENSE)

The conference agreement provides \$94,000,000, an increase of \$40,000,000 over the budget request, for defense-related environment, safety and health activities. The recommendation provides the Senate funding level for programmatic activities, and \$20,000,000 for the program direction account. Included in the recommendation is \$2,000,000 for the final year of the Hanford thyroid study.

WORKER AND COMMUNITY TRANSITION

The conference agreement provides \$61,159,000 for the worker and community transition program instead of \$56,000,000 as provided by the House and \$62,000,000 as provided by the Senate. The conferees direct that no other Departmental funds be used to provide enhanced severance payments and other benefits under the provisions of Section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 1993, and that the Department provide a report by March 30, 1998, regarding the future need and justification for the program.

The conferees direct that none of the funds provided for this program be used for additional severance payments and benefits for Federal employees of the Department of Energy. Federal employees are covered by a multitude of laws which control employee benefits and protections during the downsizing of Federal agencies.

The Department submitted a budget amendment to establish an asset management pilot projects program within DOE and to sell or lease five specific assets. The conferees support this initiative, but funding considerations will not permit DOE to retain the net proceeds from the sales or leases. The Department is urged to proceed with implementation of the asset sales program under the current guidelines which permit the Department to retain proceeds from the sales and leases to the extent they are needed to cover the administrative costs of executing the sale or lease. The conferees are aware of the proposal for the national pilot program for electronics recovery and recycling, and have provided \$3,500,000 to initiate this program.

The conferees recognize the reductions in the defense work force at the Nevada Test Site as a consequence of defense downsizing. Of the eleven defense facilities sites engaged in downsizing, the Nevada Test Site experienced the second highest reduction in full time equivalent employees. However, Nevada has received less community transition support than any other qualifying defense facility. The conferees urge the Secretary to ensure equitable worker and community transition funding.

FISSILE MATERIALS DISPOSITION

The conference agreement provides the budget request of \$103,796,000 for fissile materials disposition. The Department is commended for its recognition that, despite the controversy it evokes, the burn-up of plutonium in mixed-oxide fuel is the preferred method of disposing of large volumes of weapons grade plutonium. The conferees expect the Department to adhere to the schedule and process for selection of contractors for the mixed-oxide fuel plant and reactors in fiscal year 1998.

However, the conferees direct that the principle objective of the materials disposition program be the conversion of Russian and United States classified materials shapes with special emphasis on weapon primary "pits" into non-weapons usable, verifiable shapes and forms. Material in classified shapes is by far the most attractive for diversion, theft or weapons reassembly, and for that reason this class of material requires immediate attention even if its initial treatment does not lead immediately to final disposition. The

conversion of weapons grade plutonium into metallic or oxide forms is acceptable for this step. The choice between oxide or metallic forms should be dictated solely by the rapidity with which the conversion can be accomplished and is dependent upon construction details for different classified shapes. Any delays in this first step predicated on additional research for methods of preparation of materials forms or licensing issues for eventual disposition in mixed-oxide fuel or vitrification are not acceptable. Adequate technologies are available today for conversion of all types of classified shapes.

NUCLEAR ENERGY (DEFENSE)

The conference agreement provides \$35,000,000 for the international nuclear safety program to improve the safety of Soviet-designed nuclear reactors, a decrease of \$15,000,000 from the budget request. The conference agreement does not provide funding for the spent fuel management program nor the Chernobyl shutdown initiative.

OFFICE OF HEARING AND APPEALS

The conference agreement provides \$2,300,000 instead of \$1,900,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,685,000 as proposed by the Senate.

INDEPENDENT ASSESSMENT OF DOE PROJECTS

The conference agreement provides \$35,000,000 as proposed by the House to provide for external reviews of the Department's individual construction and privatization projects, and an external review of the Department's facility acquisition management process. The immediate concern of the conferees is a review of all Department of Energy construction projects initiated in fiscal year 1998, construction projects currently in the conceptual design phase, ongoing projects if recommended by the initial assessment required below, and projects proposed by the Department for privatization. These evaluations should include a review and assessment of the quality of the technical scopes, cost estimates, schedules, and supporting data regarding these construction projects, and should make recommendations on the validity of the proposed costs, scopes, and schedules.

While the House bill directed that these reviews be conducted by the Corps of Engineers, the conferees acknowledge that there may be other qualified, unbiased external organizations that could conduct this type of assessment. Therefore, prior to obligating any funds provided for review of these construction and privatization projects, the conferees expect the Department to contract with an impartial independent organization with expertise in the evaluation of government management and administrative functions, for a detailed analysis of the proposed independent assessment of construction projects.

This contract should produce a report to be submitted to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations not later than December 31, 1997. The report should address the need for conducting independent assessments of the Department's proposed and ongoing construction projects and projects proposed for privat-

ization, assess the proposed content of these reviews as outlined above, as well as recommend the appropriate entity(ies) (including, but not limited to, the Corps of Engineers) to conduct these reviews. The conferees expect this contract to be entered into as soon as possible, and expect the Department to consult with the Appropriations Committees regarding the selection of an independent organization to produce this report.

In addition to the report on the need for an independent assessment of the Department's construction projects, the conferees direct that the Department's overall management structure and process for identifying, managing, designing and constructing facilities also be reviewed by an impartial independent organization with expertise in the evaluation of government management and administrative functions. The report should be provided to the Committees on Appropriations by June 30, 1998. The process used by the Department and its contractors to identify project requirements, develop scopes of work, execute and manage design, prepare cost estimates, select contract types, and execute and manage construction must be examined. The review should assess the level of oversight and experience of field and headquarters Federal personnel involved in this process. The recommendations of the report should include an analysis of the effectiveness of this process, advantages, disadvantages, and recommended improvements with the ultimate goal of establishing an overall departmental process that has more control of the projects and reduces project cost growth and schedule slippages. This study should also include a review of large operating projects such as environmental projects which may or may not involve much construction, but should clearly be managed with the same principles and guidelines.

NAVAL REACTORS

The conference agreement provides \$670,500,000, instead of \$673,500,000 as proposed by the House and \$660,500,000 as proposed by the Senate. An additional \$30,000,000 over the budget request has been provided to continue test reactor inactivation efforts and environmental cleanup activities which are scheduled to be completed in fiscal year 2002.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

The conference agreement appropriates \$190,000,000 and includes the Senate language providing that funds are available until expended. The House bill did not include this provision.

POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS

ALASKA POWER ADMINISTRATION

In addition to the \$1,000,000 provided by the House and Senate, the conference agreement provides \$2,500,000, as recommended by the Senate, to replace a damaged transmission cable. The conferees are aware that, in addition to the \$3,500,000 provided in this paragraph, the Department has additional funding available from funds appropriated in prior years. Any funds in ex-

cess of current requirements shall be returned to the Treasury of the United States upon the sale of the Administration.

The conference agreement includes \$10,000,000 for the Swan Lake—Lake Tye Intertie project, \$10,000,000 less than the amount recommended by the Senate.

BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION

A total of \$3,750,000 has been made available to Bonneville as permanent borrowing authority. During fiscal year 1998, Bonneville plans to repay the Treasury \$805,000,000, of which \$228,000,000 is to repay principal on the the Federal investment in these facilities.

The conferees note that the Senate report directs the Northwest Power Planning Council to provide a final hatchery review report by October 1998. As this late date will impede the ability of the Appropriations Committees to incorporate the findings of the review into the fiscal year 1999 appropriations process, the conferees direct the Council to provide the final hatchery review report by June 1998.

Cost control.—The conferees commend Bonneville for its actions in the last three years to reduce planned spending by approximately \$600,000,000 annually and to reduce staffing by 1,000 positions. The conferees believe there is an opportunity, and need, to further reduce costs. The conferees understand that Bonneville and the Northwest Power Planning Council are reviewing Bonneville's planned spending in order to recommend ways for Bonneville to further control costs and have engaged a group of senior business executives to aid in this effort. The conferees support the efforts to assure that limited ratepayer dollars are prudently spent. All program expenditures, other than debt service, must be carefully reviewed by Bonneville to determine whether additional reductions or program terminations can be made to minimize the potential for stranded costs and to keep rates competitive in the wholesale power market. Concurrent with this review, Bonneville staffing levels should continue to be reviewed and adjusted to match changing program needs. The conferees direct that Bonneville and the Council provide a report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by March 1, 1998, identifying specific recommendations for cost reductions in all non-debt service spending for which Bonneville is responsible. This report should include consideration of which current programs and functions Bonneville should continue to perform in a competitive market, and not focus merely on improved management efficiency.

SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement includes \$12,222,000, the same amount recommended by the House and the Senate.

SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement includes \$25,210,000, the same amount recommended by the House.

WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement provides \$189,043,000, the same amount provided by the House. The conference agreement also includes the Senate recommendation that \$5,592,000 be available as a transfer from the Colorado River Dam Fund.

The conference agreement also includes \$5,592,000, the same amount as the Senate, to be deposited in the Utah reclamation mitigation and conservation account.

The conferees are aware of the Western Area Power Administration's proposed distribution of projected fiscal year 1998 costs across several financing sources, including funds appropriated by the Congress. As Federal appropriated funds are reduced while electricity rates drop in the marketplace, the conferees direct that Western keep its wholesale rate as competitive as possible and thereby maintain as robust a repayment stream back to the Treasury as possible.

FALCON AND AMISTAD FUND

The conference agreement includes \$970,000, the same amount recommended by the House.

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

The conference agreement includes \$162,141,000, the same amount recommended by the House and Senate.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

SEC. 301. The conference agreement includes a provision by the House that none of the funds in this Act or any prior appropriations Act may be used to award a management and operating contract unless such contract is awarded using competitive procedures, or the Secretary of Energy grants, on a case-by-case basis, a waiver to allow for such a deviation. At least 60 days before such action, the Secretary of Energy must submit to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations a report notifying the Committees of the waiver and setting forth the reasons for the waiver. Section 301 does not preclude extension of a contract awarded using competitive procedures.

SEC. 302. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House that none of the funds in this Act or any prior appropriations Act may be used to award, amend, or modify a contract in a manner that deviates from the Federal Acquisition Regulation, unless the Secretary of Energy grants, on a case-by-case basis, a waiver to allow for such a deviation. At least 60 days before such action, the Secretary of Energy must submit to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations a report notifying the Committees of the waiver and setting forth the reasons for the waiver.

The conferees direct the Department, as contracts are awarded or renegotiated, to standardize its contracts in accordance with the Federal Acquisition Regulation. In awarding, amending, or modifying contracts, the Department is directed to be cognizant of and

utilize provisions of the Federal Acquisition Regulation that permit exceptions to the Federal Acquisition Regulation and provisions intended to address the special circumstances entailed by management and operating contracts.

SEC. 303. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House that none of the funds in this Act or any prior appropriations Act may be used to prepare or implement workforce restructuring plans or provide enhanced severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants for Federal employees of the Department of Energy under section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993, Public Law 102-484.

SEC. 304. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House that none of the funds in this Act or any prior appropriations Act may be used to augment the \$61,159,000 made available for obligation in this Act for severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants authorized under the provisions of section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993, Public Law 102-484. This provision does not preclude the Department from proposing a reprogramming if deemed critical to program needs during fiscal year 1998.

SEC. 305. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House that none of the funds in this Act or any prior appropriations Act may be used to prepare or initiate Requests for Proposals for a program if the program has not been funded by Congress.

SEC. 306. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House that permits the transfer and merger of unexpended balances of prior appropriations with appropriation accounts established in this bill.

Provision transferred to title V

The general provision proposed by the House to prohibit agency lobbying of Congress has been moved to Title V, and will apply to each agency and department funded in this bill.

Provisions not included in the conference agreement

The conference agreement does not include the House provision prohibiting the use of funds to award or modify any contract for support services without a cost comparison conducted under the procedures and requirements of Office of Management and Budget Circular A-76.

The conference agreement does not include the House provision prohibiting the use of funds to award or modify a management and operating contract which includes funds for support services contracts for use by Department of Energy personnel.

The conference agreement does not include the House provision requiring an independent assessment before initiation of new construction projects, but funds have been provided for external reviews of the Department's facility acquisition processes and individual construction projects.

Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Estimate	Conference
ENERGY SUPPLY		
SOLAR AND RENEWABLE ENERGY		
Solar energy		
Solar building technology research.....	4,000	2,720
Photovoltaic energy systems.....	77,000	66,511
Photovoltaic energy research.....	---	2,274
Subtotal, Photovoltaic.....	77,000	68,785
Solar thermal energy systems.....	19,800	16,775
Biomass/biofuels energy systems		
Power systems.....	36,500	28,600
Biofuels.....	40,040	31,150
Subtotal, Biomass/biofuels energy systems.....	76,540	59,750
Biomass/biofuels energy research.....	---	38,635
Subtotal, Biomass.....	76,540	98,385
Wind energy systems.....	42,858	33,030
Wind energy research.....	---	295
Subtotal, Wind.....	42,858	33,325
Renewable energy production incentive program.....	4,000	3,000
International solar energy program.....	7,000	1,375
Solar technology transfer.....	1,360	---
National renewable energy laboratory.....	2,800	1,000
Construction		
96-E-100 FTLB renovation and expansion, Golden, CO.....	2,200	2,200
Subtotal, National renewable energy laboratory..	5,000	3,200
Total, Solar Energy.....	237,558	227,565
Geothermal		
Geothermal technology development.....	30,000	29,500
Hydrogen research.....	15,000	16,250
Hydrogen energy research.....	---	3,100
Total, Hydrogen.....	15,000	19,350
Hydropower development.....	1,000	750
Renewable Indian energy resources.....	---	4,000
Electric energy systems and storage		
Electric and magnetic fields R&D.....	8,000	8,000
High temperature superconducting R&D.....	32,500	32,500
Energy storage systems.....	4,000	3,950
Climate challenge.....	1,000	---
Total, Electric energy systems and storage.....	45,500	44,450
Federal building/Remote power initiative.....	---	5,000
Program direction.....	15,642	15,651
TOTAL, SOLAR AND RENEWABLE ENERGY.....	344,700	346,266
NUCLEAR ENERGY		
Nuclear energy R&D		
Advanced radioisotope power system.....	47,000	40,500
Oak Ridge landlord.....	9,500	9,500
Test reactor area landlord.....	3,217	3,000
Construction		
95-E-201 Test reactor area fire and life safety improvements, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID.....	4,425	4,425
Subtotal, Test reactor area Landlord.....	7,642	7,425
Advanced test reactor fusion irradiation.....	2,000	---
University reactor fuel assistance and support.....	6,000	7,000
Nuclear energy security.....	39,761	---
Total, Nuclear energy R&D.....	111,903	64,425

Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Estimate	Conference
Termination costs.....	76,035	77,035
Uranium programs.....	79,135	61,600
Construction		
98-U-200 depleted UF6 cylinder storage yards, Paducah, KY.....	400	400
96-U-201 depleted UF6 cylinder storage yards, Paducah, KY.....	6,000	2,600
Subtotal, Construction.....	6,400	3,000
Total, Uranium programs.....	85,535	64,600
Isotope support.....	21,704	16,000
Program direction.....	16,700	21,000
TOTAL, NUCLEAR ENERGY.....	311,877	243,060
ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH		
Environment, safety and health.....	62,731	42,500
Program direction.....	46,185	23,550
TOTAL, ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH.....	108,916	66,050
ENERGY RESEARCH		
Fusion energy sciences program.....	225,000	232,000
ENERGY SUPPORT ACTIVITIES		
Technical information management program.....	2,427	1,600
Program direction.....	8,560	7,500
Construction.....	1,000	1,000
Total, Technical information management program...	11,987	10,100
Field offices and management.....	100,233	95,000
TOTAL, ENERGY SUPPORT ACTIVITIES.....	112,220	105,100
Subtotal, Energy supply.....	1,102,713	992,476
Renewable energy research program.....	---	-44,304
Use of prior year balances.....	-18,535	-31,535
General reduction for contractor training.....	---	-9,830
TOTAL, ENERGY SUPPLY 1/.....	1,084,178	906,807
(Energy asset acquisitions).....	(15,322)	---
(Energy supply, research and development).....	(1,068,856)	(906,807)
NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT		
Environmental restoration.....	457,625	275,000
Waste management.....	153,004	153,004
Construction		
94-E-602 Bethel Valley federal facility agreement upgrades, ORNL.....	1,900	1,900
93-E-900 Long-term storage of TMI-2 fuel, INEL....	397	397
Subtotal, Construction.....	2,297	2,297
Total, Waste management.....	155,301	155,301
Nuclear materials and facilities stabilization.....	71,758	71,758
Subtotal, Non-defense environmental management...	684,684	502,059
General reduction.....	---	-5,000
TOTAL, NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT.....	684,684	497,059

Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Estimate	Conference
URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING FUND		
Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund.....	248,788	220,200
SCIENCE		
High energy physics		
Research and technology.....	205,240	210,240
Facility operations.....		
Construction	418,945	418,945
98-G-304 Neutrinos at the main injector, Fermilab.....	5,500	5,500
98-G-305 C-Zero area experimental hall, Fermilab.....	5,000	5,000
97-G-303 Master substation upgrade, SLAC.....	9,400	9,400
92-G-302 Fermilab main injector, Fermilab.....	30,950	30,950
Subtotal, Construction.....	50,850	50,850
Subtotal, Facility operations.....	469,795	469,795
Total, High energy physics.....	675,035	680,035
Nuclear physics.....		
Construction	256,525	261,525
91-G-300 Relativistic heavy ion collider, BNL.....	59,400	59,400
Total, Nuclear physics.....	315,925	320,925
Biological and environmental research.....		
376,710	406,710	
Basic energy sciences		
Materials sciences.....	392,475	392,475
Chemical sciences.....	199,933	199,933
Engineering and geosciences.....	41,371	41,371
Energy biosciences.....	27,461	27,461
Construction		
96-E-300 Combustion research facility, Phase II, SNL/L.....	7,000	7,000
Total, Basic energy sciences.....	668,240	668,240
Other energy research		
Computational and technology research.....	175,907	150,907
Energy research analyses.....	1,500	1,500
Program direction.....	30,600	---
Multiprogram energy labs - facility support		
Multiprogram general purpose facilities		
Construction		
MEL-001 Multiprogram energy laboratory infrastructure projects, various locations 1/.	7,259	7,259
95-E-301 Central heating plant rehabilitation, Phase I (ANL).....	3,442	3,442
94-E-363 Roofing improvements (ORNL).....	4,000	4,000
Subtotal, Multiprogram gen. purpose facilities	14,701	14,701
Environment, safety and health		
Construction		
96-E-333 Multiprogram energy laboratories upgrades, various locations.....	5,273	5,273
95-E-307 Fire safety imp. III (ANL).....	718	718
95-E-308 Sanitary system mods. II (BNL).....	568	568
Subtotal, Environment, safety and health.....	6,559	6,559
Subtotal, Multiprogram energy labs - fac. suppor	21,260	21,260
Total, Other energy research.....	229,267	173,667

Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Estimate	Conference
Program direction.....	10,200	37,600
Subtotal, Science.....	2,275,377	2,287,177
Use of prior year SSC balances.....	-15,000	-35,000
Use of other prior year balances.....	---	-13,800
General reduction for contractor training.....	---	-2,669
TOTAL, SCIENCE.....	2,260,377	2,235,708
(Science asset acquisitions).....	(138,510)	---
(Science).....	(2,121,867)	(2,235,708)
DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION		
Administrative operations		
Office of the Secretary - salaries and expenses.....	2,850	2,500
General management - personnel compensation and benefits.....	104,530	101,695
General management - other expenses.....	77,356	73,000
Program support		
Minority economic impact.....	2,320	1,650
Policy analysis and system studies.....	2,096	500
Consumer affairs.....	40	40
Public affairs.....	50	50
Environmental policy studies.....	2,500	1,750
Scientific and technical training.....	800	500
Information management.....	8,000	6,000
Subtotal, Program support.....	15,806	10,490
Total, Administrative operations.....	200,542	187,685
Cost of work for others.....	32,062	32,062
Subtotal, Departmental Administration.....	232,604	219,747
Use of prior year balances and other adjustments.....	---	-1,000
Total, Departmental administration (gross).....	232,604	218,747
Miscellaneous revenues.....	-131,330	-131,330
TOTAL, DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION (net).....	101,274	87,417
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL		
Office of Inspector General.....	29,499	27,500
ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES		
WEAPONS ACTIVITIES		
Stockpile stewardship		
Core stockpile stewardship.....	1,158,290	1,288,290
Construction		
97-D-102 Dual-axis radiographic hydrotest facility, LANL, Los Alamos, NM.....	46,300	46,300
96-D-102 Stockpile stewardship facilities revitalization, Phase VI, various locations 1/..	51,106	19,810
96-D-103 ATLAS, Los Alamos National Laboratory 1/.....	19,800	13,400
96-D-104 Process and environmental technology laboratory, SNL 1/.....	29,820	---
96-D-105 Contained firing facility addition, LLNL 1/.....	26,000	19,300
Subtotal, Construction.....	173,026	98,810
Subtotal, Core stockpile stewardship.....	1,331,316	1,387,100
Inertial fusion.....	217,000	217,000
Construction		
96-D-111 National ignition facility, TBD 1/.....	876,400	197,800
Subtotal, Inertial fusion.....	1,093,400	414,800

RSGPOC
PS36AGPO

Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Estimate	Conference
Technology transfer/education		
Technology transfer.....	60,000	56,250
Education.....	9,000	9,000
Subtotal, Technology transfer/education.....	69,000	65,250
Total, Stockpile stewardship.....	2,493,716	1,867,150
Stockpile management.....	1,828,465	1,891,265
Construction		
98-D-123 Stockpile mgmt. restructuring init Tritium factory modernization and consolidation, Savannah River, SR 1/.....	14,343	11,000
98-D-124 Stockpile mgmt. restructuring init Y-12 consolidation, Oak Ridge, TN 1/.....	7,311	6,450
98-D-125 Tritium extraction facility, SR 1/.....	39,453	9,650
98-D-126 Acceleration prod. of tritium, VL 1/.....	168,590	67,865
97-D-122 Nuclear materials storage facility renovation, LANL, Los Alamos, NM 1/.....	41,292	9,200
97-D-123 Structural upgrades, Kansas City plant, Kansas City, KS 1/.....	16,600	---
97-D-124 Steam plant waste water treatment facility, upgrade, Y-12 plant, Oak Ridge, TN.....	1,900	1,900
96-D-122 Sewage treatment quality upgrade (STQU) Pantex plant 1/.....	10,600	6,900
96-D-123 Retrofit HVAC and chillers, for Ozone protection Y-12 plant.....	2,700	2,700
95-D-102 Chemistry and metallurgy research (CMR) upgrades project, LANL 1/.....	106,360	5,000
95-D-122 Sanitary sewer upgrade, Y-12 plant.....	12,600	12,600
94-D-124 Hydrogen fluoride supply system, Y-12 plant.....	1,400	1,400
94-D-125 Upgrade life safety, Kansas City plant...	2,000	2,000
94-D-128 Environmental safety and health analytical laboratory, Pantex plant 1/.....	3,000	---
93-D-122 Life safety upgrades, Y-12 plant.....	2,100	2,100
92-D-126 replace emergency notification system, VL.....	3,200	3,200
88-D-122 Facilities capability assurance program (FCAP), various locations.....	19,520	18,920
Subtotal, Construction.....	452,969	160,885
Total, Stockpile management.....	2,281,434	2,052,150
Program direction.....	303,500	250,000
Subtotal, Weapons activities.....	5,078,650	4,169,300
Use of prior year balances.....	---	-2,608
General reduction.....	---	-20,000
TOTAL, WEAPONS ACTIVITIES.....	5,078,650	4,146,692
(Defense asset acquisitions).....	(1,502,395)	---
(Weapons activities).....	(3,576,255)	(4,146,692)
DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MGMT.		
Environmental restoration.....	1,356,573	622,973
Uranium enrichment D&D fund contribution.....	388,000	388,000
Total, Environmental restoration.....	1,744,573	1,010,973

Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Estimate	Conference
Closure projects.....	15,000	---
Waste management.....	1,455,576	1,480,876
Construction		
98-D-401 H-tank farm storm water systems upgrade, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC 1/.....	12,000	1,000
97-D-402 Tank farm restoration and safe operations, Richland, WA 1/.....	41,530	13,961
96-D-408 Waste mgmt upgrades, various locations 1/ 95-D-402 Install permanent electrical service WIPP, AL.....	12,709	8,200
95-D-405 Industrial landfill V and construction/ demolition landfill VII, Y-12 Plant, Oak Ridge, TN.....	176	176
95-D-407 219-S Secondary containment upgrade, Richland, WA.....	3,800	3,800
94-D-404 Melton Valley storage tank capacity increase, ORNL.....	2,500	2,500
94-D-407 Initial tank retrieval systems, Richland, WA 1/.....	1,219	1,219
93-D-187 High level waste removal from filled waste tanks, Savannah River, SC 1/.....	182,800	15,100
92-D-172 Hazardous waste treatment and processing facility, Pantex Plant.....	171,969	17,520
89-D-174 Replacement high level waste evaporator, Savannah River, SC.....	5,000	5,000
86-D-103 Decontamination and waste treatment facility, LLNL, Livermore, CA 1/.....	1,042	1,042
Subtotal, Construction.....	23,573	11,250
Total, Waste management.....	458,318	80,768
Nuclear materials and facilities stabilization.....	1,118,114	1,176,114
Construction		
98-D-453 Plutonium stabilization and handling system for PFP, Richland, WA 1/.....	13,636	8,136
98-D-700 INEL road rehabilitation, INEL, ID 1/....	10,800	500
97-D-450 Actinide packaging and storage facility, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC.....	18,000	18,000
97-D-451 B-Plant safety class ventilation upgrades, Richland, WA.....	2,000	2,000
97-D-470 Environment monitoring laboratory/health physics facility, Savannah River, Aiken, SC 1/....	27,780	5,600
97-D-473 Health physics site support facility, Savannah River, Aiken, SC 1/.....	15,200	---
96-D-406 Spent nuclear fuels canister storage and stabilization facility, Richland, WA.....	16,744	16,744
96-D-461 Electrical distribution upgrade, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID.....	2,927	2,927
96-D-464 Electrical & utility systems upgrade, Idaho Chemical Processing Plant, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID 1/.....	38,500	14,985
96-D-471 CFC HVAC/chiller retrofit, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC 1/.....	34,959	8,500
95-D-155 Upgrade site road infrastructure, Savannah River, SC.....	2,713	2,713
95-D-456 Security facilities consolidation, Idaho Chemical Processing Plant, INEL, ID 1/.....	1,087	602
Subtotal, Construction.....	184,346	80,707
Total, Nuclear materials & fac. stabilization.....	1,302,460	1,266,821

RSGPOC
PS35AGPO

Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Estimate	Conference
Technology development.....	257,881	220,000
Policy and management.....	23,104	20,000
Environmental science program.....	50,000	55,000
Program direction.....	388,251	345,000
Subtotal, Defense environmental management.....	5,695,163	4,479,438
General reduction.....	---	-50,000
TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRON. RESTORATION AND WASTE MGMT	5,695,163	4,429,438
(Defense asset acquisitions).....	(642,664)	---
(Defense environmental restoration and waste mgmt)	(5,052,499)	(4,429,438)
DEFENSE FACILITIES CLOSURE PROJECTS		
Closure projects.....	---	890,800
DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PRIVATIZATION		
Privatization initiatives, various locations.....	1,006,000	200,000
TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT.....	6,058,499	5,520,238
OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES		
Other national security programs		
Nonproliferation and national security		
Verification and control technology		
Nonproliferation and verification, R&D.....	210,000	210,000
Arms control.....	234,600	234,600
Intelligence.....	33,600	33,600
Subtotal, Verification and control technology.....	478,200	478,200
Emergency management.....	27,700	20,000
Nuclear safeguards and security.....	47,200	47,200
Security investigations.....	20,000	30,000
Program direction - NN.....	94,900	82,900
Subtotal, Nonproliferation and national security.....	668,000	658,300
Environment, safety and health (Defense).....	54,000	74,000
Program direction - EH.....	---	20,000
Subtotal, Environment, safety & health (Defense)	54,000	94,000
Worker and community transition.....	65,800	57,659
Program direction - WT.....	4,700	3,600
Subtotal, Worker and community transition.....	70,500	61,159
Fissile materials disposition.....	99,451	99,451
Program direction - MD.....	4,345	4,345
Subtotal, Fissile materials disposition.....	103,796	103,796
Nuclear energy (Defense)		
Nuclear technology research and development:		
Electrometallurgical program.....	25,000	12,000
International nuclear safety:		
Soviet designed reactors.....	50,000	35,000
Nuclear security: Spent fuel management.....	4,000	---
Chernobyl shutdown initiative.....	2,000	---
Subtotal, Nuclear energy (Defense).....	81,000	47,000
Office of hearings and appeals.....	2,685	2,300
Total, Other national security programs.....	979,981	966,555

RSGPOC
PS36AGPO

Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Estimate	Conference
Independent assessment of DOE projects.....	---	35,000
Naval reactors		
Naval reactors development.....	605,920	635,920
Construction		
98-D-200 Site laboratory facility upgrade, various locations 1/.....	1,200	5,700
97-D-201 Advanced test reactor secondary coolant system refurbishment, INEL, ID 1/.....	4,600	4,600
95-D-200 Laboratory systems and hot cell upgrades, various locations 1/.....	1,100	1,100
90-N-102 Expended core facility dry cell project, Naval Reactors Facility, ID 1/.....	14,900	3,100
Subtotal, Construction.....	21,800	14,500
Subtotal, Naval reactors development.....	627,720	650,420
Program direction.....	20,080	20,080
Total, Naval reactors.....	647,800	670,500
Subtotal, Other defense activities.....	1,627,781	1,672,055
Use of prior year balances.....	---	-6,047
TOTAL, OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES.....	1,627,781	1,666,008
(Defense asset acquisitions).....	(21,800)	---
(Other defense activities).....	(1,605,981)	(1,666,008)
DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL		
Defense nuclear waste disposal.....	190,000	190,000
TOTAL, ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES.....	13,597,594	11,522,938
(Defense asset acquisitions).....	(2,166,859)	---
(Atomic energy defense activities).....	(11,430,735)	(11,522,938)
POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS		
ALASKA POWER ADMINISTRATION		
Operation and maintenance/program direction.....	1,000	3,500
Capital assets acquisition.....	---	10,000
SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION		
Operation and maintenance		
Operation and maintenance/program direction.....	4,313	4,313
Purchase power and wheeling.....	11,909	11,909
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance.....	16,222	16,222
Use of prior year balances.....	-2,000	-4,000
TOTAL, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION.....	14,222	12,222
SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION		
Operation and maintenance		
Operating expenses.....	2,382	2,382
Purchase power and wheeling.....	57	57
Program direction.....	17,309	17,309
Construction.....	6,752	6,752
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance.....	26,500	26,500
Use of prior year balances.....	---	-1,290
TOTAL, SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION.....	26,500	25,210

R&GPOC
PS36AGPO

Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Estimate	Conference
WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION		
Operation and maintenance		
Construction and rehabilitation.....	24,243	24,243
System operation and maintenance.....	39,246	39,246
Purchase power and wheeling.....	54,886	54,886
Program direction.....	106,157	106,157
Utah mitigation and conservation.....	5,432	5,432
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance.....	229,964	229,964
Use of prior year balances.....	-35,630	-40,921
Transfer of authority from Department of Interior.....	---	5,592
TOTAL, WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION.....	194,334	189,043
FALCON AND AMISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND		
Operation and maintenance.....	1,065	970
TOTAL, POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS.....	237,121	240,945
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION		
Federal energy regulatory commission.....	167,577	162,141
FERC revenues.....	-167,577	-162,141
TOTAL, FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION.....	---	---
NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL FUND		
Discretionary funding.....	190,000	160,000
GRAND TOTAL, DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY.....	18,433,515	15,898,574

1/ The Request for this account was \$2,999,497. The lower totals shown for the Request and prior year reflect Committee recommendation to combine certain functions of the Office of Energy Research with General Science and Research in a new account, General Science and Other Research Activities, and to create a separate account for Non-Defense Environmental Management.

TITLE IV

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION

The conference agreement appropriates \$170,000,000 instead of \$160,000,000 as proposed by both the House and the Senate. The agreement includes \$92,500,000 for the highway development program. In addition, the agreement includes \$10,000,000 for ARC highways, to be allocated at the discretion of the ARC Federal Co-Chairman.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

The conference agreement appropriates \$17,000,000 for the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board instead of \$16,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$17,500,000 as proposed by the Senate.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes \$468,000,000, instead of \$462,700,000 as proposed by the House and \$476,500,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided \$15,000,000, to be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund, for the Commission's ongoing work to characterize Yucca Mountain as a potential site for a permanent nuclear waste repository. The conference agreement also includes \$2,000,000, the amount provided by the House and Senate, for activities related to commercial vitrification at the Hanford site and \$1,000,000, as provided by the House, for activities related to independent oversight of certain Department of Energy nuclear facilities.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

The conference agreement includes \$4,800,000, the same amount provided by the House and Senate.

NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

The conference agreement appropriates \$2,600,000 instead of \$2,400,000 as proposed by the House and \$3,200,000 as proposed by the Senate.

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

The conference agreement includes \$70,000,000 instead of \$0 as proposed by the House and \$86,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement includes language earmarking \$6,900,000 for Land Between the Lakes. The agreement includes language proposed by the House providing for direct funding by TVA of its nonpower programs, amended to delay its implementation until fiscal year 1999.

The conferees accept the Administration's proposal to terminate appropriated funding for TVA after fiscal year 1998.

It is the view of the conferees that the environmental, stewardship, and economic development activities of the TVA have been of tremendous benefit to the Tennessee Valley region and have contributed substantially to the general prosperity of the country. It is possible, however, that other entities may be well suited to perform the vital public services currently provided by TVA.

Accordingly, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget should undertake a review of the nonpower functions of the TVA to determine whether TVA or some other entity should be responsible for their continued execution. A report based on this review should accompany the fiscal year 1999 budget submission to Congress.

The conferees direct that from non-appropriated funds, TVA shall relocate power lines in the area of the lake development proposed by Union County, Mississippi. The conferees also expect TVA to assist in the preparation of environmental impact statements where necessary.

TITLE V

GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 501. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House in title III of the bill that none of the funds in this Act or any prior appropriations Act may be used in any way, directly or indirectly, to influence congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in section 1913 of title 18, United States Code. The conferees direct each agency or department to notify the House and Senate Committee on Appropriations by January 15, 1998, of the actions taken to apprise its Federal and contractor employees of this provision.

SEC. 502. The conference agreement includes language proposed by both the House and Senate regarding the purchase of American-made equipment and products, and prohibiting contracts with persons falsely labeling products as made in America.

SEC. 503. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House prohibiting the award of funds to institutions not in compliance with certain requirements regarding campus access for units of the Senior Reserve Officer Training Corps and Federal military recruitment personnel.

SEC. 504. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House prohibiting the use of funds to enter into or renew contracts with entities failing to comply with statutory reporting requirements concerning the employment of certain veterans.

SEC. 505. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House which provides that none of the funds made available by this Act may be used for the Animas-La Plata project in Colorado and New Mexico except for activities required to comply with the applicable provisions of current law and the continuation of activities pursuant to the Colorado Ute Indian Water Rights Settlement Act of 1988.

SEC. 506. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which clarifies that the Albuquerque Metro-

politan Area Water Reclamation and Reuse project is eligible for construction under Title XVI of the Reclamation Projects Authorization and Adjustment Act of 1992, Public Law 102-575, as amended. The language has been amended to make technical corrections.

SEC. 507. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which amends the Yavapai-Prescott Indian Treaty Settlement Act of 1994 to increase the appropriations ceiling for the Chandler Pumping Plant feature of the Yakima River Basin Water Enhancement Project.

SEC. 508. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate regarding the construction of recreational features at the Stonewall Jackson Lake project in West Virginia.

SEC. 509. The conference agreement includes a provision allowing the United States Enrichment Corporation (USEC) to transfer funds to the Department of Energy to be used for development and demonstration of the Atomic Vapor Laser Isotope Separation (AVLIS) technology for uranium enrichment. The funds to be transferred are to be derived from savings achieved by the USEC during fiscal year 1998, and the total amount obligated by the Department may not exceed \$60,000,000.

This provision will permit continued development of the AVLIS technology until the Corporation is sold. The provision is necessitated by the Administration's inability to sell the Corporation in accordance with the Administration's own schedule. Within 30 days of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Treasury is to provide to the Committees on Appropriations a report on the issues that must be resolved prior to sale of the Corporation and the date on which the Corporation will be sold.

SEC. 510. The conference agreement includes language which provides that none of the funds made available by this Act may be used to determine the final point of discharge for the interceptor drain for the San Luis Unit of the Central Valley project until development by the Secretary of the Interior and the State of California of a plan, which shall conform to the water quality standards of the State of California as approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, to minimize any detrimental effect of the San Luis drainage waters. The language also provides that the costs of the Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and the San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program shall be classified as reimbursable or non-reimbursable by the Secretary of the Interior as described in the Bureau of Reclamation report entitled, "Repayment Report, Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program, February 1995" and that any future obligation of funds for drainage service or drainage studies for the San Luis Unit shall be fully reimbursable by San Luis Unit beneficiaries pursuant to Reclamation law.

SEC. 511. The conference agreement includes language amending the USEC Privatization Act to require the presence of an adequate number of security guards carrying sidearms to ensure maintenance of security at the gaseous diffusion plants.

SEC. 512. High Flux Beam Reactor (HFBR) at Brookhaven National Laboratory—The conference agreement includes bill language prohibiting the use of funds in this or any other Act for the

purpose of restarting the High Flux Reactor (HFBR). In fiscal year 1998, the Department of Energy is directed to drain the spent fuel pool, and may add a steel wall liner to the pool so that additional radioactive material may be removed without the threat of leakage. The Department of Energy is also directed to meet the requirements outlined in Suffolk County Sanitary Code Article 12, complete seismic upgrades, and seal the floor drain.

The Department of Energy is also directed to undertake an environmental impact statement (EIS) with respect to the HFBR. The conferees expect that the EIS will be a comprehensive survey of any environmental hazards that the tritium leak or other contamination associated with the HFBR pose to the drinking water and health of the people in the surrounding communities, and that it will provide a detailed plan for remediation. The findings of the EIS and a plan for any necessary remediation shall be reported to Congress.

Provisions not adopted by the conferees

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate that authorized the Secretary of the Interior to use funds appropriated for the Bureau of Reclamation to enter into cooperative agreements with willing private landowners for restoration and enhancement of fish, wildlife, and other resources on public or private land within watersheds that contain Bureau of Reclamation projects.

CONFERENCE TOTAL—WITH COMPARISONS

The total new budget (obligational) authority for the fiscal year 1998 recommended by the Committee of Conference, with comparisons to the fiscal year 1997 amount, the 1998 budget estimates, and the House and Senate bills for 1998 follow:

New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1997	\$20,990,027,000
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1998	23,047,903,000
House bill, fiscal year 1998	20,416,989,000
Senate bill, fiscal year 1998	21,209,623,000
Conference agreement, fiscal year 1998	21,152,202,000
Conference agreement compared with:	
New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1997 ..	+162,175,000
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1998	-1,895,701,000
House bill, fiscal year 1998	+735,213,000
Senate bill, fiscal year 1998	-57,421,000

JOSEPH MCDADE,
HAROLD ROGERS,
JOE KNOLLENBERG,
R.P. FRELINGHUYSEN,
MIKE PARKER,
SONNY CALLAHAN,
JAY DICKY,
BOB LIVINGSTON,
VIC FAZIO,
PETER J. VISCLOSKY,
CHET EDWARDS,
ED PASTOR,
DAVID R. OBEY,

Managers on the Part of the House.

PETE V. DOMENICI,
THAD COCHRAN,
SLADE GORTON,
MITCH MCCONNELL,
ROBERT E. BENNETT,
CONRAD BURNS,
LARRY CRAIG,
TED STEVENS,
HARRY REID,
ROBERT C. BYRD,
FRITZ HOLLINGS,
PATTY MURRAY,
HERB KOHL,
BYRON L. DORGAN,
DANIEL K. INOUE,

Managers on the Part of the Senate.

