## State Storyboard for Clean Water Act Majors (2008)

	State Storyboard for Clean Water Act Majors (2008)														
State	Region	Facilities	Facilities in SNC <sup>†</sup>	Facilities in Non- Compliance <sup>†</sup>	% of Facilities Out of Compliance (SNC + Non-SNC)	% Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) entered	Facs w/ Enforcement Cases (State Lead)	Facs w/ Enforcement Cases (EPA Lead)	Facilities with Formal Enforcement per 100 Facilities in Noncompliance (State + EPA)	Facilities w/ Formal Enforcement per 100 Facilities in SNC (State)	Formal Enforcement per 100	Penalties (State)**	Penalties (EPA)**	Total Penalties (State + EPA)**	Facs w/ Informal Enforcement Actions
RI	1	22	1	11		100%	4	1		400.0	100.0	\$171,000	\$75,000		12
MA	1	109	32	89		95%	0	12		0.0	37.5	\$0	\$340,000		5
NH	1	45	18	36		100%	0	3		0.0	16.7	\$0	\$0		0
VT	1	33	4	27		91%	2	0		50.0	0.0	\$0	\$0		0
ME	1	86	46	84		93%	6	0		13.0	0.0	\$0	\$0		0
CT	1	102	21 66	51		100% 99%	0	6		5.7 0.0	11.4	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$833,607		0
PR NY	2	73 323	63	70 264		99%	15	7	<b>-</b>	23.8	9.1 11.1	\$272,000	\$833,607		0
NJ	2	150	20	146		99%	6	0	-	30.0	0.0	\$272,000	\$0		6
VI	2	4	3	3		100%	0	0	•	0.0	0.0	\$0	\$0		0
VA	3	154	4	10		83%	5	0		125.0	0.0	\$133,740	\$0		16
MD	3	90	14	32		87%	3	0		21.4	0.0	\$325	\$0		1
WV	3	100	31	44		96%	3	0		9.7	0.0	\$0	\$0	-	0
PA	3	379	90	145		99%	6	0		6.7	0.0	\$205,250	\$0		5
DC	3	5	3	4		80%	0	0		0.0	0.0	\$0	\$0		0
DE	3	21	4	14		91%	0	0		0.0	0.0	\$0	\$0		0
NC	4	214	38	63		99%	41	1		107.9	1.6	\$215,635	\$0		70
GA	4	189	25	41		68%	23	1		92.0	4.4	\$31,387	\$900	<u> </u>	6
MS	4	87	16	31		95%	11	0		68.8	0.0	\$62,750	\$0		35
KY SC	4	133 166	20 50	24 125		94% 94%	4	6 5		5.0 8.0	30.0 10.0	\$1,000 \$35,899	\$0 \$0	_	84 57
FL	4	201	47	114		95%	8	0	-	17.0	0.0	\$12,625	\$0	•	4
AL	4	190	91	141		98%	7	0	<b>-</b>	7.7	0.0	\$61,100	\$0	-	18
TN	4	147	110	136		95%	0	1		0.0	0.9	\$0	\$900	_	2
MN	5	93	7	33		93%	7	0		100.0	0.0	\$2,250	\$0		0
IL	5	248	41	203		89%	21	1		51.2	1.2	\$20,000	\$714,200		34
MI	5	183	44	151		86%	10	0		22.7	0.0	\$0	\$0		26
IN	5	195	33	163		100%	4	1	1	11.5	3.0	\$269,190	\$269,190		0
OH	5	287	80	110		97%	0	1		0.0	0.8	\$0	\$0		71
WI	5	122	16	25		100%	0	0		0.0	0.0	\$0	\$0	_	0
OK LA	6	103 235	30 86	59 124		98% 90%	44 36	0	_	146.7 41.9	0.0 8.1	\$25,000 \$401,232	\$0 \$0		56 64
TX	6	610	100	276		89%	36	0		36.0	0.0	\$0	\$0		137
NM	6	34	10	19		97%	0	2		0.0	20.0	\$0	\$10,800		20
AR	6	113	51	90		98%	6	1		11.8	2.0	\$20,857			59
GM	6	0	0	0		-	0	0		-	-	\$0	\$0		0
KS	7	51	36	38		22%	2	0		5.6	0.0	\$10,000	\$0		0
MO	7	174	23	40		93%	1	0		4.3	0.0	\$0	\$0		1
IA	7	125	34	65		72%	1	0	I	2.9	0.0	\$0	\$0		14
NE	7	50	24	42		92%	0	0		0.0	0.0	\$0	\$0		2
SD UT	8	29 35	3 5	6 8		93% 100%	1	0		33.3 20.0	0.0	\$149,930 \$1,000	\$0 \$0		10 2
CO	8	96	12	8 37		100%	4	0		33.3	0.0	\$1,000	\$0	•	18
MT	8	24	6	21		100%	1	0		16.7	0.0	\$0	\$0		16
ND	8	26	0	1		89%	0	0		-	-	\$0	\$0		0
WY	8	23	2	0		83%	0	0		0.0	0.0	\$0	\$0		1
CA	9	213	71	175		81%	19	3		26.8	4.2	\$0	\$0		10
HI	9	19	6	12		90%	0	1		0.0	16.7	\$0	\$0		0
AS	9	4	0	4		100%	0	0		-	-	\$0	\$0		0
AZ	9	71	16	44		96%	0	0		0.0	0.0	\$0	\$0		0
GU	9	4	4	4		75%	0	0		0.0	0.0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0		0
NI NV	9	12	0	0		83%	1	0		-		\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0		0
AK	10	64	6	28		78%	0	0		0.0	0.0	\$0	\$0		15
ID	10	53	12	20		94%	0	0		0.0	0.0	\$0	\$0		10
OR	10	68	N/A*	0		100%	4	1		NA*	NA*	\$0	\$0		13
WA	10	77	1	38		8%	0	0		0.0	0.0	\$0	\$0		3
Nat. Average		113	28	62		90%	6	1		31	6	36880	39379		16
Notes:															

Bold state abbreviation indicates states that do not have the authorization of the CWA program.

<sup>†</sup> The Clean Water Act regulations require states to categorize violations into serious (SNC) violations and non SNC violations.

\* National Data for Noncompliance and SNC is not available for Oregon.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star\star}$  Indicates a column for which states are not required to report data to a federal database

<sup>-</sup> indicates insufficient data or a denominator of 0.

## State Storyboard for Clean Water Act Majors (2009)

NH CT VT RI MA ME NY NJ PR VI VI VA MD PA WV DC GA NC KY MS FL SC TN AL MN IL CT	Region 01 01 01 01 01 01 02 02 02 02 02 03 03 03 03 03	Facilities 46 95 32 25 109 85 319 147 67 5	Facilities in SNC <sup>†</sup> 14  15  1  3  32  26  63  20	24 39 13 16 83	% of Facilities Out of Compliance (SNC + Non-SNC)	Monitoring Reports (DMRs) entered 100%	Facs w/ Enforcement Cases (State Lead)	Facs w/ Enforcement Cases (EPA Lead)	100 Facilities in	Facilities w/ Formal Enforcement per 100 Facilities in Non Compliance (EPA)	Total	Facilities with Formal Enforcement per 100 Facilities in Noncompliance (State + EPA)	Formal Enforcement per 100 Facilities in SNC (State)		Penalties (State)	Penalties (EPA)"	Total	Total Penalties (State + EPA)**
NH CT VT RI MA ME NY NJ PR VI VA MD PA WV DC GA NC KY MS FL SC TN AL MN IL CT	01 01 01 01 01 01 02 02 02 02 02 03 03 03	46 95 32 25 109 85 319 147 67 5	14 15 1 3 32 26 63	Non-Compliance <sup>†</sup> 24  39  13  16  83		(DMRs) entered 100%	Cases (State Lead)	Cases (EPA Lead)	Non-Compliance	100 Facilities in Non	Total	100 Facilities in Noncompliance	100 Facilities in	100 Facilities in			Total	
NH CT VT RI MA ME NY NJ PR VI VA MD PA WV DC GA NC KY MS FL SC TN AL MN IL CT	01 01 01 01 01 01 02 02 02 02 02 03 03 03	46 95 32 25 109 85 319 147 67 5	14 15 1 3 32 26 63	24 39 13 16 83		entered 100%	Lead)	Lead)			Total				(State)"	(EPA)"	Total	
CT VT RI MA ME NY NJ PR VI VA MD PA WV DC DE GA NC KY MS FL SC TN AL MN IL OH	01 01 01 01 01 02 02 02 02 02 02 03 03 03	95 32 25 109 85 319 147 67 5	15 1 3 32 26 63	39 13 16 83			0											
CT VT RI MA ME NY NJ PR VI VA MD PA WV DC DE GA NC KY MS FL SC TN AL MN IL OH	01 01 01 01 01 02 02 02 02 02 02 03 03 03	95 32 25 109 85 319 147 67 5	15 1 3 32 26 63	39 13 16 83				5	0.0	20.8	20.8	(,	0.0	35.7	\$0	\$0	\$0	(
VT RI MA ME NY NJ PR VI VA MD PA WV DC DE GA NC KY MS FL SC TN AL MN IL OH	01 01 01 01 02 02 02 02 02 02 03 03 03	32 25 109 85 319 147 67 5	26 63	13 16 83			5	3	12.8	7.7	20.5		33.3	20.0	\$1,720,500			
RI MA ME NY NJ PR VI VA MD PA WV DC GA NG KY MS FL SC TN AL MN IL OH	01 01 01 02 02 02 02 02 02 03 03 03	25 109 85 319 147 67 5	26 63	16 83		100%	1	0	7.7	0.0	7.7		100.0	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
MA ME NY NJ PR VI VA MD PA WV DC DE GA NC KY MS FL SC TN AL MN IL	01 01 02 02 02 02 02 03 03 03	109 85 319 147 67 5	26 63	83		100%	1	0	6.3	0.0	6.3		33.3	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
ME NY NJ PR VI VA MD PA WV DC DC GA NC KY MS FL SC TN AL MN IL OH	01 02 02 02 02 02 02 03 03 03	85 319 147 67 5	26 63			98%	0	3	0.0	3.6	3.6		0.0	9.4	\$0	\$0	\$0	
NY NJ PR VI VA MD PA WV DC DE GA NC KY MS FL SC TN AL MN IL OH	02 02 02 02 02 03 03 03	319 147 67 5	63	82		88%	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	•	0.0	0.0	\$0		\$0	
NJ PR VI VA MD PA WV DC DE GA NC KY MS FL SC TN AL MN IL	02 02 02 03 03 03 03	147 67 5		234		99%	25	14	10.7	6.0	16.7		39.7	22.2	\$715,570		\$715,570	
PR VI VA MD PA WV DC DE GA NC KY MS FL SC TN AL MN IL OH	02 02 03 03 03 03	67 5	20	145		99%	17	0	11.7	0.0	11.7		85.0	0.0	\$0			
VI VA MD PA WV DC DE GA NC KY MS FL SC TN AL MN IL	02 03 03 03 03	5	63	67		100%	0	6	0.0	9.0	9.0	_	0.0	9.5	\$0			
VA MID PA WV DC DE GA NC KY MS FL SC TN AL MN IL	03 03 03 03		4	4		80%	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	\$0		\$0	-
MD PA WV DC DE GA NC KY MS FL SC TN AL MN IL OH	03 03 03	100	3	6		87%	2	0	50.0	0.0	50.0		100.0	0.0	\$23,870			
PA WV DC DE GA NC KY MS FL SC TN AL MN IL	03 03	84	4	16		100%	5	0	31.3	0.0			125.0	0.0	\$11,906		\$11,906	
WV DC DE GA NC KY MS FL SC TN AL MN IL	03	346	80	147		100%	34	0	23.1	0.0	31.3 23.1		42.5	0.0	\$11,906	\$0		•
DC DE GA NC KY MS FL SC TN AL MN IL OH							34	0										_
DE GA NC KY MS FL SC TN AL MN IL		100	35	48		96%	6	0	12.5	0.0	12.5		17.1	0.0	\$48,950		\$48,950	•
GA NC KY MS FL SC TN AL MN IL	03	4	1	1		100%	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	\$0			
NC KY MS FL SC TN AL MN IL	03	21	1	11		95%	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	\$0		\$0	
KY MS FL SC TN AL MN IL	04	186	26	34		98%	32	2	94.1	5.9	100.0		123.1	7.7	\$263,231	\$1,800		
MS FL SC TN AL MN IL OH	04	217	34	53		96%	44	2	83.0	3.8	86.8		129.4	5.9	\$302,707			
FL SC TN AL MN IL	04	130	16	32		86%	11	2	34.4	6.3	40.6		68.8	12.5	\$135,500		\$135,500	
SC TN AL MN IL	04	91	12	29		90%	8	0	27.6	0.0	27.6		66.7	0.0	\$18,650		\$18,650	<u> </u>
TN AL MN IL OH	04	204	52	145		84%	22	0	15.2	0.0	15.2		42.3	0.0	\$560,232		\$560,232	
AL MN IL OH	04	165	86	108		90%	12	1	11.1	0.9	12.0		14.0	1.2	\$63,625	\$0	\$63,625	
MN IL OH	04	144	64	109		99%	4	4	3.7	3.7	7.3		6.3	6.3	\$285,019		\$567,038	
IL OH	04	158	105	148		98%	3	1	2.0	0.7	2.7	l .	2.9	1.0	\$8,200	\$900	\$9,100	
ОН	05	95	3	27		88%	6	0	22.2	0.0	22.2		200.0	0.0	\$5,228	\$0	\$5,228	
	05	276	36	194		98%	31	1	16.0	0.5	16.5		86.1	2.8	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	05	292	39	64		96%	4	2	6.3	3.1	9.4		10.3	5.1	\$165,350	\$0	\$165,350	
IN	05	195	12	147		100%	5	0	3.4	0.0	3.4		41.7	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<del></del>
MI	05	179	25	98		88%	2	0	2.0	0.0	2.0		8.0	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
WI	05	129	6	129		99%	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	06	102	32	55		98%	28	0	50.9	0.0	50.9		87.5	0.0	\$47,000			
	06	208	75	121		98%	33	11	27.3	9.1	36.4		44.0	14.7	\$107,400		\$113,400	
	06	35	8	21		97%	0	2	0.0	9.5	9.5		0.0	25.0	\$0	\$0	\$0	_
	06	110	47	88		99%	6	2	6.8	2.3	9.1		12.8	4.3	\$59,800			
	06	635	206	382		93%	22	5	5.8	1.3	7.1		10.7	2.4		\$2,585,004		
	06	0	0	0		-	0	0	-	-	0.0	-	-	-	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	07	51	24	41		100%	0	5	0.0	12.2	12.2		0.0	20.8	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	07	173	38	44		90%	1	2	2.3	4.5	6.8		2.6	5.3	\$0		\$0	
	07	128	35	67		67%	2	0	3.0	0.0	3.0		5.7	0.0	\$5,000	\$0		
	07	55	19	47		93%	1	0	2.1	0.0	2.1		5.3	0.0	\$0,000	\$0	\$0,000	-
	08	36	2	7		100%	5	0	71.4	0.0	71.4		250.0	0.0	\$23,264	\$0		•
	08	37	7	25		97%	2	1	8.0	4.0	12.0		28.6	14.3	\$23,204	\$0		-
	08	112	22	59		99%	6	0	10.2	0.0	10.2		27.3	0.0	\$92,278	\$0		_
	08	23	11	13		99%	0	0	10.2 7.7	0.0	7.7		9.1	0.0	\$92,278		\$92,278	-
	08	23	- 11	13		73%	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	\$0 \$0		\$0	
	08		2				0	0										
		29	2	8		93%	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	\$0		\$0	
	09	2	2	2		100%	0	2	0.0	100.0	100.0		0.0	100.0	\$0		\$0	
CA	09	208	57	177		91%	49	2	27.7	1.1	28.8		86.0	3.5	\$0		\$0	
HI	09	17	4	10		100%	1	0	10.0	0.0	10.0	<u> </u>	25.0	0.0	\$0		\$0	
	09	72	7	42		87%	0	1	0.0	2.4	2.4	I .	0.0	14.3	\$0		\$0	
AS	09	4	0	4		100%	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		-	-	\$0		\$0	
	09	4	4	4		100%	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	\$0		\$0	
	09	0	0	0		-	0	0	-	-	0.0		-	-	\$0		\$0	
	09	13	0	0	l,	77%	0	0	-	-	0.0		-	-	\$0		\$0	
ID	10	54	9	15		92%	0	2	0.0	13.3	13.3		0.0	22.2	\$0	\$262,500	\$262,500	
AK	10	57	11	24		76%	0	2	0.0	8.3	8.3		0.0	18.2	\$0	\$120,000	\$120,000	
WA						16%	0	2	0.0	5.7	5.7		0.0	200.0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
OR	10	74	1	35														
Nat. Average		74 68	1 N/A*	35 0		97%	2	0	-	-	0.0		NA*	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

**Bold** state abbreviation indicates states that do not have the authorization of the CWA program.

<sup>†</sup> The Clean Water Act regulations require states to categorize violations into Category I (serious violations), and Category II (other violations). Typically, a Cat 1 violation is one that is more than 40% over limit for a conventional pollutant discharge, or more than 20% over limit for a toxic discharge.

\* National Data for Noncompliance and SNC is not available for Oregon.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Indicates a column for which states are not required to report data to a federal database

<sup>-</sup> indicates insufficient data or a denominator of 0.