1984 STATE APPELLATE COURT JURISDICTION GUIDE FOR STATISTICAL REPORTING

Summary Tables

Robert T. Roper with Mary E. Elsner and Victor E. Flango

60800

A joint effort of the Conference of State Court Administrators and the

Court Statistics and Information Management Project of the National Center for State Courts

1984

STATE APPELLATE COURT JURISDICTION GUIDE

FOR STATISTICAL REPORTING

Summary Tables

Robert T. Roper, Ph.D.

with
Mary E. Elsner, M.S.
and
Victor E. Flango, Ph.D.

A joint effort of the
Conference of State Court Administrators
and the
Court Statistics and Information Management Project
of the National Center for State Courts
Williamsburg, Virginia 23187-8798

October, 1985

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by Public Domain/Bureau of Justice Statistics/US Department of Justi

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

Role of the Conference of State Court Administrators

The support and guidance of the COSCA Court Statistics and Information Systems Committee, whose names appear below, exemplifies the vital role COSCA plays in making available nation-wide state court caseload statistics.

COSCA Court Statistics and Information Systems Committee

Mr. Walter J. Kane, <u>Chairman</u> State Court Administrator, Rhode Island

Mr. William G. Bohn State Court Administrator, North Dakota

Dr. Hugh M. Collins
Deputy Judicial Administrator,
Louisiana

Ms. Sue K. Dosal State Court Administrator, Minnesota

Mr. Stanley R. Collis
Executive Officer and Jury
Commissioner
Alameda Superior Court,
California

Honorable Roy O. Gulley
Director, Administrative Office
of the Courts, Illinois

Mr. J. Denis Moran Director of State Courts, Wisconsin

Mr. Larry P. Polansky, <u>Vice Chairman</u>
Executive Officer of the
District of Columbia Courts

Professor Richard J. Richardson University of North Carolina

Mr. Francis J. Taillefer Director of Information Services, North Carolina

Court Statistics and Information Management Project Staff

Mary E. Elsner
Project Co-Director
Robert T. Roper
Project Co-Director

Victor E. Flango Senior Staff Associate Brenda W. Jones Administrative Secretary

National Center for State Courts Staff

Patricia H. Maddox Word Processing Supervisor Stacey A. Healy Word Processing Secretary

Catherine J. Meek
Word Procesing Secretary

National Center for State Courts Board of Directors

President
Edward F. Hennessey,
Chief Justice, Supreme Judicial
Court of Massachusetts

President-Elect Robert C. Murphy, Chief Judge, Court of Appeals of Maryland

Vice-President
Arthur H. Snowden II,
Administrative Director of the
Courts, Alaska

Dorothy T. Beasley, Judge, Court of Appeals of Georgia

William G. Clark, Chief Justice, Supreme Court of Illinois

Stanley R. Collis, Executive Officer, Alameda Superior Court, Oakland, California

Sue K. Dosal, State Court Administrator, Supreme Court of Minnesota

Haliburton Fales, 2d, White & Case, New York City

Vernon M. Geddy, Jr., McGuire, Woods & Battle, Williamsburg, Virginia Harriet P. Henry, Judge at Large, Maine District Court

Charles V. Johnson, Judge, Superior Court, King County, Washington

Gladys Kessler, Presiding Judge, Family Division, Superior Court, District of Columbia

Edward B. McConnell, Executive Director, National Center for State Courts

Robert N.C. Nix, Jr., Chief Justice, Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

John T. Racanelli, Presiding Justice, California Court of Appeal, First District

Thomas J. Stovall, Presiding Judge, Second Administrative Judicial District of Texas

Clement C. Torbert, Jr., Chief Justice, Supreme Court of Alabama

Gerald T. Wetherington, Chief Judge, Eleventh Judicial Circuit of Florida

Table of contents

		Page
Acknowledg	ments	vii
Introducti	on:	
Signific Methodol	nd of guide	1 1 2 2
Appendix A		105
Appendix B Appendix C		109
Appendix C	by publication date	115
List of su	mmary tables	
Table 1.	Law trained staff in state appellate courts, 1984	5
Table 2.	Structure of panels in state appellate courts, 1984	11
Table 3.	Oral argument in state appellate courts, 1984	17
Table 4.	Use of expediting procedures in state appellate courts, 1984	23
Table 5.	Method of counting cases in state appellate courts, 1984	29
Table 6.	Mandatory and discretionary subject-matter jurisdiction in state appellate courts, 1984 PART I: Mandatory subject-matter jurisdiction PART II: Discretionary subject-matter jurisdiction	35 36 37
Table 7.	Some procedures for granting/denying discretionary review in state appellate courts, 1984	47
Table 8.	Sentence review in non-capital state offense cases, 1984	52
Table 9.	Route of appeals of administrative agency cases in state appellate courts, 1984	57
Table 10.	Contents of opinion counts in state appellate courts, 1984	62
	NCJ 18 56 UAN 20 1986	
	BAN 80 1966	

ACQUISITIONS

		Page
List of su	mmary tables (continued)	
Table 11.	Data availability on manner of disposition in state appellate courts, 1984	67
Table 12.	Data availability on type of disposition in state appellate courts, 1984	73
Table 13.	Length of time, in days, within intent to appeal (e.g., notice of appeal) must be filed from trial court judgment, 1984	80
Table 14.	Length of time, in days, within which the trial court record must be certified to first level appellate court. Frequency, grantor and length of extensions, 1984	83
Table 15.	Length of time, in days, within which the trial court record must be certified to the court of last resort, if the case comes from an intermediate appellate court, 1984	89
Table 16.	Length of time, in days, within which legal briefs must be filed in state appellate courts. Frequency, grantor and length of extensions, 1984	92
Table 17.	Time periods, in days, within which state appellate justices/judges must write opinions, 1984	98

Acknowledgments

This State Appellate Court Jurisdiction Guide for Statistical Reporting could not have been undertaken without the support and cooperation of the members of both the Conference of State Court Administrators, and the National Conference of Appellate Court Clerks. Completion of the jurisdiction guide profiles made significant demands on their staff time and expertise, and their cooperation and assistance were invaluable. Revisions and maintenance of the guide in the future should be much less time-consuming, except where court systems or information systems are substantially changed.

We are especially appreciative of the "contact people" who directly contributed to this effort. A list of these individuals can be found in Appendix B.

A special thanks to Penny Clifford and Richard Van Duizend who reviewed a draft of this <u>Guide</u>, and provided guidance on its organization and formatting.

Finally, this document could not have been completed, in a timely manner, without the diligence of Brenda Jones in managing the logistics of the data collection effort, and the word processing skills of Pat Maddox, Stacey Healy, and Cathy Meek in preparing the data collection profiles and the camera-ready document. The patience of this entire group was appreciated during this process.

Introduction

Background of guide

The goals of the Court Statistics and Information Management Project (formerly the National Court Statistics Project), of the National Center for State Courts are to collect, compile, analyze, and disseminate comparable state court caseload statistics. These data are reported in the State Court Caseload Statistics: Annual Report series. The information gathered by this Guide is being incorporated into the 1984 edition of the annual report series.

During the past several years, it became clear to Project staff that ambiguities existed among appellate court data elements in the national database. The most significant questions stemmed from an inability to distinguish between a court's mandatory and discretionary jurisdiction. Other problems that needed attention included: descriptions of the type of output included in a court's opinion count; identification of various roles law clerks play in working on a court's caseload; illustrations of some procedures used to expedite caseflow; outlining of the link between administrative agencies and the state appellate courts; understanding of the various methods used by state appellate courts in granting review to discretionary petitions; and the need for describing the structure of state appellate courts. Project staff determined that a concerted effort was address these and other needed to questions about the jurisdiction and operating procedures in state appellate courts as part of our effort to develop comparable data and statistics for state appellate courts. A companion volume that addresses a similar set of jurisdictional questions for trial courts has recently been published (Clifford and Roper, 1984 State Trial Court Jurisdiction for Statistical Reporting, Guide : Williamsburg, Va., National Center for State Courts, 1985).

Significance for court management and statistical reporting

When studying state court systems, unlike studying the Federal system, researchers have difficulty acquiring any sense of uniformity in jurisdiction and procedure—which is a likely explanation for the research void in studying state appellate courts. This <u>Guide</u> is an important step in providing an understanding of state appellate courts' jurisdiction and organization, as well as sensitizing readers to the political culture of each court.

The most immediate effect of this Guide is that the information it contains will be applied to the 1984 national database making it the most comparable yet available. The Guide enables staff to: (1) classify an appellate court's caseload and disposition data into standard case types; (2) distinguish between an appellate court's mandatory and discretionary jurisdiction (which will allow studies on agenda setting and the impact of discretionary jurisdiction on court caseload); (3) identify proceedings that some appellate courts count as cases, but do not comport with standard national definitions of an appellate court case (e.q., motions for time extensions); (4) more clearly identify different operating structures and procedures among the various appellate courts (which will facilitate research on the impact of different operating procedures on court workload, e.q., the use of panel rather than en banc decision-making); (5) more explicitly describe the link between administrative agencies and the appellate courts; (6) design the statistical profile used for collecting the national database into a more parsimonious document; (7) improve significantly the understanding of missing data in national statistics (e.g., was the data excluded because it did not have jurisdiction, or it had jurisdiction but data were unavailable, or the court had jurisdiction, but the data were collapsed with that of another case type?); (8) identify the point in the process when various appellate courts count cases (e.g., at the filing of either the notice of appeal or the record); (9) more accurately identify the components of the "opinion count" (e.g., does it include full majority opinions exclusively, or does it also include per curiam opinions and/or memoranda?); (10) correct double counting problems that resulted from an inability to identify whether petitions to appeal that were granted retained the same docket number or were refiled as regular appeals; (11) begin identifying the role of oral argument in appellate case processing; and (12) outline some of the time standards used in state appellate court processing.

Methodology

An initial court profile was designed to be the data collection document for the information contained in this Guide. It was based on the Project's previous statistical work in state appellate courts. The first draft was pre-tested by using it to classify the data for two appellate courts, and based on this pre-test was revised substantially. The individual court profiles were then completed for all appellate courts based upon information from the State Court Caseload Statistics: Annual Report, 1980, and Comparative Outline of Basic Appellate Court Structure and Procedures in the United States, 1983 (Kramer, National Conference of Appellate Court Clerks, 1983).

The court profiles were distributed to each state court administrator and appellate court clerk for verification and the obtaining of information that was unavailable in a published form. In some instances, the state court administrator took the lead in responding to Project staff's questions, while in other jurisdictions the appellate court clerk responded directly to staff's inquiries. In

still other courts, the state court administrator and the appellate court clerks coordinated their efforts. Appendix B lists those individuals who served as contact people on this Guide.

When a state profile was returned to Project staff, the information was compared to the most recent annual report for that state and whatever published court rules were available. At that point, a phone call was made to the contact person to clarify any inconsistencies and ambiguities. At least one phone call was made to each court. After completing the first phone calls, it became clear that the form needed further refinement. The final data collection form (i.e., state profile) is in Appendix A.

Once the court profiles were completed, the data were entered on the summary tables. The summary tables organize the various data elements for each individual court by specific topics: (1) court structure, organization, and operating procedures; (2) court subjectmatter jurisdiction; (3) data availability disposition types; and (4) standards for case processing in state appellate courts. Once the data were entered on the summary tables, the relevant portions of each table were sent to the contact person in each state court for final verification. A list of those courts whose summary table entries were verified is in Appendix C. Final adjustments to the data were made after receiving the completed verification forms.

Continuing improvements

As is the case with any scientific endeavor, the acquisition of knowledge is incremental and dynamic (i.e., constantly changing). This <u>Guide</u> represents the first systematic effort to collect jurisdictional information for individual state appellate courts, and as such, was a learning experience for Project staff as well as some of the contact people in the state

courts. The primary task was one of translating the different terminology employed by the various state courts into a set of generic terms.

Further refinements and additions will be needed in the data collection instrument (i.e., court profiles) for future updates of this <u>Guide</u>. Some types of additional information will include: more precise information of the type and amount of legal work done by the court clerk; information concerning the availability of additional data elements (e.g.,

oral arguments); a more detailed description of rules governing oral argument; more specific information on specific programs used to expedite cases; and the impact of appellate procedure on more detailed case types. Some court personnel have already suggested improvements for future editions. Additional comments and corrections are a welcome part of the revision process, and should be directed to the Court Statistics and Information Management Project at the National Center for State Courts, 300 Newport Avenue, Williamsburg, Virginia, 23187-8798.

Table 1. Law trained staff in state appellate courts, 1984.

Table 1 displays the amount of law trained staff in state appellate courts. A blank space indicates that no information was available for that data element. All codes used in this Table are defined at the end of the Table.

The number of courts refers to the number of distinct groups of justices/judges that serve a specific geographic jurisdiction. For example, a state divided into five geographic appellate districts, where litigants must file an appeal with the appellate district within a specific geographic jurisdiction, would have five courts even if they all followed the same rules and procedures and had a similar subject-matter jurisdiction. In a situation where litigants can file in any appellate district, the number of courts is given as one.

The number of regular justices/judges refers to positions authorized by either the state constitution or statutes. The number of supplemental justices/judges represents the "full-time equivalent" (i.e., FTE) of retired or transferred justices/judges who do judicial work in state appellate courts on a temporary basis. For example, two half-time supplemental judges represent one FTE.

Court clerks are the chief administrators of the courts. In most instances, the number of court clerks is equal to the number of courts. Occasionally, however, one court clerk serves both levels of appellate courts in a state. This situation is indicated by the word "SAME" in the "number of court clerks" column for each of the state appellate courts.

Although all court clerks perform administrative functions, some also spend a portion of their time screening discretionary petitions and briefing cases. A "YES" in the column, "Does court clerk do much legal work?" indicates that the clerk spends some time doing this sort of legal work for the court.

The columns labelled, "Legal support personnel" references the number of law clerks and central staff assigned to the various justices/judges. In some instances, law clerks (sometimes referred to as "elbow clerks") are shared among the justices/judges making it difficult to identify a specific number of "elbow clerks" per justice/judge. In these situations a total number of all "elbow clerks" assigned appears as, "n.#." Support personnel provide a variety of legal assistance for the justices/judges and the court, and should be considered when developing measures of productivity in the courts.

			Number		Does	Law trained support personnel				
			of supple- mental	Number of court clerks	clerk do much legal work?	Each chief	Each assc.	Each supple- mental	Central staff	
COLR	1	9	0	1	YES	3	2	••	2	21
IAC	. 1.	3	(0)	1	YES	1	1		3	6
IAC	1	5	0	. 1.	NO	2	2	<u></u>	0	10
COLR IAC	1	5 3	0	SAME SAME	NO NO	2.5	2.5		1 3	13.5
COLR IAC	1 2	5 12/3*	0	1 2	YES NO	2 2/1*	2 2/1*		6 11/4*	16 42
COLR COLR]	7 6	0 0	SAME SAME	NO NO	2 2	2 2] 0	15 12
COLR IAC	1 5	7 74	0	1 5	NO NO	7 n.15	4		11 52	42 203
COLR IAC	1	7 10	0	SAME SAME	NO NO	2	2		0 12	14 22
	COLR IAC COLR IAC COLR IAC COLR IAC COLR COLR COLR	Court of type courts COLR 1 IAC 1 IAC 1 COLR 1 IAC 1 COLR 1 IAC 2 COLR 1	Number regular regul	Number regular mental justices justices justices justices feet feet justices feet feet justices feet fe	Number Of Supple Number Of Supple Of Of Supple Of Of Supple Of Of Supple Of Of Supple Of Of Of Of Of Of Of O	Number Of Supple Number Court Clerk Supple Of Mumber Of Other Other	Number Of Supple Number Clerk Cler	Number Of Number Of Number Clerk Clerk Clerk Supple Number Of much Chief assc. Court Of justices justices Court Law clerks assig Court Of much Chief assc. Legal justice justice justice justice judge Judge COLR 1	Number of of of supple- Number of of supple- number of much of justices occurt legal justice occurt legal justice judge occurt legal justice judge judge occurs legal justice judge judge occurs legal justice judge judge occurs legal legal legal judge occurs legal lega	Number of supple with supple court of supple supple court of supple court of justices of supple court type courts judges (FTE) clerks work? Sach supple supple supple court type courts judges (FTE) clerks work? Sach supple supple supple supple supple supple court type courts judges (FTE) clerks work? Sach supple sup

Table 1. Law trained staff in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

				Number		Does	Law trained support personnel Law clerks assigned to:				
State: Court name	Court type		Number of regular justices/ judges	of supple- mental	Number of court clerks	court clerk do much legal work?	Each chief	Each assc.	Each supple- mental justice/ judge		Total legal support personnel
CONNECTICUT: Supreme Court Appellate Court		1	6* 5	0	SAME SAME	YES YES	2 1	1		2.25 2.25	9.25 7.25
DELAWARE: Supreme Court	COLR	1	5	0	1	YES	1	1		0	5
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: Court of Appeals	COLR	.1	9	1.3	1	YES	3	2	2	3	25
FLORIDA: Supreme Court District Court of Appeals		1 5	7	0	1 5	NO NO	3 2	2		0 2*	15 94
GEORGIA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals			7 9	0	1	YES NO	3	2 3		2	17 28
HAWAII: Supreme Court Intermediate Court of Appeals		1	5	0	SAME SAME	NO NO	3 2	2 2		1 0	12 6
IDAHO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals		1	5 3	0 0	SAME SAME	YES YES	2	2		.5	10.5 3.5
ILLINOIS: Supreme Court Appellate Court	COLR 1AC	1 5	7 34	0	1 5	NO NO	3 2	3 2	2	4 30	25 114
INDIANA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	1 4	5 12	0 0	SAME SAME	NO NO	2 2	2 2		1 12	11 36
IOWA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	1	9 6	0	SAME SAME	NO NO	1	1		3* 3*	12* 9*
KANSAS: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC]	7 7	0	SAME SAME	NO NO	1	1	8*	0 8*	7 15
KENTUCKY: Supreme Court Court of Appeals		1.	7	0	SAME SAME	NO YES	3	1		3 8	12 22
						 ,					

Table 1. Law trained staff in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

			Number	Number of	Alous boso	Does court clerk	Law cle	rks assig	Each	t person	
State: Court name	Court type	Number of courts	of regular justices/ judges	supple- mental justices (FTE)	Number of court clerks	do much legal work?	Each chief justice/ judge	Each assc. justice/ judge	supple- mental justice/ judge	Central staff	
LOUISIANA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals		1 5	7 48	0	1 5	NO NO	3 n.9	3 [']		6 40	27 135
MAINE: Supreme Judicial Court Sitting as		***************************************		:							
Law Court	COLR	1	7	0	1	YES	2	1.5		0	11
MARYLAND: Court of Appeals Court of Special	COLR	1	7	0	1	NO	2	2		0	14
Appeals	IAC	1	13	0	1 .	NO	2	2		3	29
MASSACHUSETTS: Supreme Judicial Court		1	7* 10	0 2	1	NO NO	2 2	2 1	i	5 8	19 21
MICHIGAN: Supreme Court Court of Appeals			7 18	0 0	1	NO YES	3 1	3		14 43	35 61
MINNESOTA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals		1	9 12*	0 0	SAME SAME	NO NO	1	1 n.15		4 5	13 21
MISSISSIPPI: Supreme Court	COLR	1	9	0	1	NO	2	2		0	18
MISSOURI: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR] 3	7 32	0	1 3	NO YES	2 3*	2		1 12	15 50
MONTANA: Supreme Court	COLR	1	7	0	1	NO	2	2		0	14
NEBRASKA: Supreme Court	COLR	1	7	0	1	NO	2	2*		0	13*
NEVADA: Supreme Court	COLR	1	5	0	1	NO	1	1		9	14
NEW HAMPSHIRE: Supreme Court	COLR	1	5	0	1	YES	2	2		0	10

Table 1. Law trained staff in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

				Number	• 1	Does court	Law trained support personnel Law clerks assigned to:				
State: Court name	Court type		Number of regular justices/ judges	of supple- mental	Number of court clerks	clerk do much legal work?	Each chief	Each assc.	Each supple- mental justice/ judge	Central staff	
NEW JERSEY: Supreme Court	COLR	. 1	. 7	0	1	YES	3	2		2	17
Appellate Division of Superior Court .	1AC	1	21	2*	1 .	NO	2	1	1.	14	44
NEW MEXICO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals		1	5 7	0	1	NO YES	3	2		0 7	10 14
NEW YORK:											
Court of Appeals Appellate Division		1	7	, 0	1	YES	3	2		8 . nd .	23
of Supreme Court Appellate Term of Supreme Court	IAC	4	45 15	0	4 2	YES YES	1	1	- 	85 26	130 41
Supreme court		2	15		; '	153			<u> </u>		41
NORTH CAROLINA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals			7 12	0 0	1	YES NO	2 1	1		0 11	8 23
NORTH DAKOTA: Supreme Court	COLR	1	5	0	1	NO	1	1,		4	9
OHIO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals		1 12	7 53	0 0) 88*	NO NO	2	2		6	20 54
OKLAHOMA: Supreme Court Court of Criminal	COLR	1	9	0	SAME	YES	1	1		4	13
Appeals]]	3 12	0 0	SAME SAME	YES YES	2 2	2		0 0	6 13
OREGON: Supreme Court Court of Appeals		1	7 10	0 0	SAME SAME	NO NO] n.9*	1		1.5	8.5 19.5
PENNSYLVANIA: Supreme Court Superior Court Commonwealth Court .	COLR IAC IAC	1 1 1	7 15 9	0 7 3	1	NO NO YES	6.5 5 3	4.5 n.63	3.5	0 17 3	33.5 85.5 36
PUERTO RICO: Supreme Court	COLR	1	7	0	1	YES	3	2		4	19
RHODE ISLAND: Supreme Court	COLR	1	5	0	1	NO	3	2		5	16

Table 1. Law trained staff in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

State: Court name	Court type	Number of courts	Number of regular justices/ judges	Number of supple- mental justices (FTE)	Number of court clerks	Does court clerk do much legal work?	Law cler Each chief	ks assig Each assc.	ed suppor ned to: Each supple- mental justice/ judge		Total legal
SOUTH CAROLINA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	1	5 6	0	SAME SAME	NO NO	1	1		14 5	19 11
SOUTH DAKOTA: Supreme Court	COLR	1	5	0	1	NO	1	.1		2	7
TENNESSEE: Supreme Court Court of Appeals Court of Criminal Appeals		1 1	5 12 9	0 0	3* 3*	NO NO	1	1		4.3 1.3	9.3 13.3
TEXAS: Supreme Court Court of Criminal Appeals Court of Appeals	COLR	1 1 14	9 9 80	0 0 0	1 14	NO NO NO	2 2 1	2 2 1		7 5 57	25 23 137
UTAH: Supreme Court	COLR	1.	5	0	1	YES	2	2		3	13
VERMONT: Supreme Court	COLR	1	5	0	1	YES	1	1		0	5
VIRGINIA: Supreme Court	COLR	1	7	2.5	1	YES	1	1	0	9	16
WASHINGTON: Supreme Court Court of Appeals		1 3	9 16	0 0	1 3	YES *	1 2	1.5		6	19 32*
WEST VIRGINIA: Supreme Court	COLR	1	5	0	1	NO	2	2		8	18
WISCONSIN: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	1 4	7 12	0 0	SAME SAME	NO NO	2 1	1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3 10	11 22
WYOMING: Supreme Court	COLR	1	5	0	2	NO	1	1		2	7,

^{-- =} Data element is inapplicable.

Footnotes:

Arizona--Court of Appeals: There are two courts (i.e., divisions) of the Court of Appeals. Data for the number of judges and law trained support personnel are provided for each of the courts. The number on the left side of the slash is for Division 1, and the number on the right side of the slash is for Division 2.

COLR = Court of last resort.

IAC = Intermediate appellate court.

Table 1. Law trained staff in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

Connecticut--Supreme Court: Six judges sit en banc while in conference only; otherwise, five members sit as the Court in each case.

Florida--District Court of Appeals: The First District is the only district with a two-member central staff.

Iowa: There are six central staff attorneys who are shared among the two appellate courts. Therefore, each court was assigned a "3" in central staff as an estimate of full time equivalent help.

Kansas--Court of Appeals: The eight members of central staff serve both the regular judges and supplemental judges.

Massachusetts--Supreme Judicial Court: Five justices are considered en banc, and four of the five members change monthly.

Minnesota--Court of Appeals: An en banc hearing consists of seven members.

Missouri--Court of Appeals: There are three chief judges, one for each Court.

Nebraska: Two associate justices have one clerk each, and share another.

New Jersey--Appellate Division of Superior Court: The data do not include settlement judges.

Ohio: Technically, there is a clerk for the Court of Appeals in each county.

Oregon--Court of Appeals: The Chief Judge has three clerks, and each of the three presiding judges has two clerks.

Tennessee: There are three divisions for all appellate courts. One clerk serves all the appellate courts within each division.

Washington--Court of Appeals: Only one court (i.e., division) has a lawyer-clerk. Total support personnel does not include commissioners and their clerks. There are two commissioners in each division, and each has a law clerk in Division I and Division II--Division III has three interns.

Table 2. Structure of panels in state appellate courts, 1984.

Table 2 identifies the extent to which state appellate courts make decisions an banc, or rely on smaller groups (i.e., panels). A "--" indicates that the court does not use panels in its decision-making process. A blank space indicates that no information was available for that data element. All other codes used in this Table are defined at the end of the Table.

The "number of panels" indicates the number of these panels that operate within the court. The word "VARIES" in this column indicates that the number of panels is not constant, and varies depending on the court's terms (i.e., sessions) and caseload demands. The "size of panels" refers to the number of justices/judges who sit on each panel. The number of panels multiplied by the number of judges sitting on each panel may not equal the size of the court, depending on whether the chief justice/judge serves on all panels, the extent to which membership on the panel rotates, and the frequency of the rotation, if there is any.

The column entitled, "Permanent or rotating membership" identifies whether the membership of a panel is permanent (i.e., changes only on vacancy), or rotates (e.g., changes by case, day, month, year). If a panel rotates, the "Frequency of rotation" column describes how often the membership of panels changes.

The right-hand segment of this Table describes the panels' basic workloads. If a court's entire workload is managed by panels and the court never sits en banc, there is an 'X' in the column labelled "Generally, entire workload is managed by panels." If decisions on the merits are handled both by panels and by the court en banc, an 'X' appears in the column labelled "Panels share cases 'on the merits' w/en banc." Finally, if the screening of discretionary cases is done exclusively by panels, an 'X' is illustrated in the column, "Panels screen discretionary cases (none en banc)," and an 'O' appears in this column if some, or all screening is conducted en banc.

					Basic descr	Basic description of panel workload			
State: Court name	Court type	Number of panels	Size of panels	Permanent or rotating membership	Frequency of rotation	Generally, entire workload is managed by panels	Panels share cases "on merits" w/en banc	Panels screen discre- tionary cases (none en banc)	
ALABAMA: Supreme Court Court of Civil	COLR	2	5	PERM.		0	X	X	
Appeals	IAC			-				er er eller er V olume r	
Appeals	IAC		, 7 -				, m, m	* 	
ALASKA:						·			
Supreme Court Court of Appeals				्र । । । । । । । । । । । । । । । । । । ।					
ARIZONA:	· · · · · · ·	- 							
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	4*	3	ROTATE	3X YEAR	X	- -	- X	
ARKANSAS:				1					
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR		== ,		and the second s				
CALIFORNIA:									
Supreme Court Court of Appeals		VARIES	3	ROTATE	DAILY	x	0	- x	
COLORADO:	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Supreme Court Court of Appeals		3	3	ROTATE	3X YEAR			X	
CONNECTICUT:		; '							
Supreme Court Appellate Court			3	ROTATE	DAILY	CASES ONLY	- -	<u>.</u>	

Table 2. Structure of panels in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

						Basic descr	iption of pa	nel workload Panels
State: Court name	Court type	Number of panels	Size of panels	Permanent or rotating membership	Frequency of rotation	Generally, entire workload is managed by panels	Panels share cases "on merits" w/en banc	screen discre- tionary cases (none en banc)
DELAWARE: Supreme Court	COLR	1	3	ROTATE	BY CASE	0	0	X
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: Court of Appeals	COLR	3	3	ROTATE	CONSTANTLY	0	X	X
FLORIDA: Supreme Court	COLR							-0
District Court of Appeals	IAC	4	3	ROTATE	BIMONTHLY	0	X	X
GEORGIA:	-	:	 .					
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	3	3	ROTATE	YEARLY	- - 0	- - x	- - _ -
HAWAII: Supreme Court Intermediate Court	COLR					. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
of Appeals	IAC			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·	
IDAHO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR							
ILLINOIS: Supreme Court Appellate Court	COLR IAC	VARIES	3 or 5*	ROTATE	BY CASE		<u></u>	- X
INDIANA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals		4	3	PERM.		- - x		<u> </u>
10WA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	2 2	3* or 5	ROTATE ROTATE	MONTHLY MONTHLY	0 0	X-5* X	X-3* 0
KANSAS: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	2 or 3*	3	ROTATE	3 DAYS	- - 0	- - x	0
KENTUCKY: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	4		ROTATE	MONTHLY		70	
LOUISIANA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	VARIES	3 or 5*	ROTATE	MONTHLY	- x		X

Table 2. Structure of panels in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

						Basic descr	Basic description of panel workload			
State: Court name	Court type	Number of panels	Size of panels	Permanent or rotating membership	Frequency of rotation	Generally, entire workload is managed by panels	Panels share cases "on merits" w/en banc	Panels screen discre- tionary cases (none en banc)		
MAINE: Supreme Judicial Court Sitting as Law Court	COLR	VARIES	2* or 3*				x	χ*		
MARYLAND: Court of Appeals Court of Special Appeals		 VARIES	3	 ROTATE	DAILY	0	x	x		
MASSACHUSETTS: Supreme Judicial Court	COLR	1 4	3 3	PERM. ROTATE	DAILY	O X	0	X X		
MICHIGAN: Supreme Court Court of Appeals		6	3	ROTATE	MONTHLY			- - - - - - - <u>x</u>		
MINNESOTA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	VARIES 4	3 3	ROTATE ROTATE	MONTHLY MONTHLY	0	X	0 X		
MISSISSIPPI: Supreme Court	COLR	3	3	ROTATE	MONTHLY	0	X			
MISSOURI: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	4	3	ROTATE	4X YEAR	- x	0			
MONTANA: Supreme Court	COLR	2*	5*	ROTATE	BY CASE	0	X	0 :		
NEBRASKA: Supreme Court	COLR	2	5	ROTATE	DAILY	0	X	0		
NEVADA: Supreme Court	COLR									
NEW HAMPSHIRE: Supreme Court	COLR									
NEW JERSEY: Supreme Court Appellate Division of Superior Court .	COLR	7	 2 or 3	 ROTATE	 YEARLY	 x	 0	 x		

Table 2. Structure of panels in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

			Professional Contraction			Basic description of panel workload				
State: Court name	Court type	Number of panels	Size of panels	Permanent or rotating membership	Frequency of rotation	Generally, entire workload is managed by panels	Panels share cases "on merits" w/en banc	Panels screen discre- tionary cases (none en banc)		
NEW MEXICO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	VARIES VARIES	3 3	ROTATE ROTATE	MONTHLY MONTHLY	0 X	X 0	0 X		
NEW YORK: Court of Appeals	COLR							, ,		
Appellate Division of Supreme Court Appellate Term of Supreme Court		VARIES 1	4 3	ROTATE ROTATE	DAILY MONTHLY	0 X	х О	X		
NORTH CAROLINA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals		4	- 3	ROTATE	EVERY 3RD SESSION	- X	0			
NORTH DAKOTA: Supreme Court	COLR	~		***						
OHIO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	VARIES	<u>-</u>	ROTATE	BY CASE	- - X	- - 0			
OKLAHOMA: Supreme Court Court of Criminal Appeals					-					
Court of Appeals	TAC	4	3	PERM.		X	0			
OREGON: Supreme Court Court of Appeals		<u></u> 3	- <u>-</u> 3	PERM.			- - x	1		
PENNSYLVANIA: Supreme Court Superior Court Commonwealth Court .	COLR IAC IAC	VARIES VARIES	3 3	ROTATE ROTATE	7X YEAR 10X YEAR	 0 0	-x x	0 0		
PUERTO RICO: Supreme Court	COLR		3							
RHODE ISLAND: Supreme Court	COLR			-						
SOUTH CAROLINA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals			3	ROTATE	4X YEAR		<u>x</u>			

Table 2. Structure of panels in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

						Basic description of panel workload			
State: Court name	Court type	Number of panels	Size of panels	Permanent or rotating membership	Frequency of rotation	Generally, entire workload is managed by panels	Panels share cases "on merits" w/en banc	Panels screen discre- tionary cases (none en banc)	
SOUTH DAKOTA: Supreme Court	COLR			·					
TENNESSEE: Supreme Court Court of Appeals Court of Criminal		- 4	 3 or 6*	ROTATE				- X	
Appeals	IAC	3	3	ROTATE		0	X	X	
TEXAS: Supreme Court Court of Criminal					· , · ·		, 		
Appeals Court of Appeals	IAC	VARIES	3	VARIES	VARIES	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	0	0	
UTAH: Supreme Court	COLR							**	
VERMONT: Supreme Court	COLR								
VIRGINIA: Supreme Court	COLR	3	3	PERM.		0	X	X	
WASHINGTON: Supreme Court Court of Appeals		2 VARIES	5 3	ROTATE ROTATE	2 YEARS AS NEEDED	0 X	0	X SINGLE	
WEST VIRGINIA: Supreme Court	COLR								
WISCONSIN: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	- 4	 3	PERM.			0	<u> </u>	
WYOMING: Supreme Court	COLR						-	,	

^{-- =} Data element is inapplicable.

Footnotes:

Arizona--Court of Appeals: Only Division I has panels. Division II has three judges only and is not represented in Table 2. A fifth panel (i.e., Dept. E) consists of one judge and two attorneys, and hears certain civil cases.

Colorado -- Court of Appeals: The Court sits en banc to decide whether or not to publish an opinion.

COLR = Court of last resort.

IAC = Intermediate appellate court.

- Table 2. Structure of panels in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)
- Georgia--Court of Appeals: Requests to appeal from interlocutory orders are granted/denied by a majority in the panel to which the matter is assigned. Requests to appeal from discretionary issues may be decided by the Court en banc if there is a dissenting vote in the panel.
- Illinois--Appellate Court: The Industrial Commission Division sits as a panel of five judges.
- Iowa--Supreme Court: The three-justice panel is a screening panel. In addition to the panel work, any two justices may request a decision en banc.
- Kansas--Court of Appeals: In some instances supplemental judges enable the formation of three panels.
- Kentucky--Court of Appeals: The Court generally sits en banc in a rule-making capacity only.
- Louisiana--Court of Appeals: If a three-member panel is not unanimous, a five member panel is formed to make another decision.
- Maine--Panels do not decide plenary appeals. The two-member panel reviews discretionary petitions and makes recommendations to the entire Court. The three-member panel reviews sentences of one year or more.
- Montana--In 1985, all cases will be heard en banc.
- Tennessee--Court if Appeals: Generally, twelve judges sit in panels of three, and in some instances two panels sit together.
- Texas--Court of Criminal Appeals: Prior to the Court of Appeals having jurisdiction over criminal cases the Court of Criminal Appeals sat in three panels of three justices each. Although the Court can still sit in panels, the Court now sits en banc.

Table 3. Oral argument in state appellate courts, 1984.

Table 3 depicts one dimension of the role oral argument plays in proceedings before state appellate courts—does the presumption favor oral argument, i.e., it is required and must be waived by any single party or by stipulation of both parties; or does the presumption lie against oral argument, i.e., the granting of oral argument is discretionary with the court and must be requested by either or both of the parties. A blank space indicates that no information was available for that data element. All codes used in this Table are defined at the end of this Table.

If oral argument is required, then in answer to the question, "Is oral argument required?" a "YES" appears in the columns indicating whether it may be waived by a single party (with the opposing party still making an oral presentation), or whether it must be weived by both parties. A "NO" in both columns which fall

under the question "Is oral argument required" indicates that the decision to award oral argument rests with the court.

The exercise of discretion to grant oral argument could come sua sponte (i.e., at the court's initiative), at the request of either party, or by stipulation of both parties. These situations will be indicated by a "YES" appearing in the appropriate columns under the column heading, "Is the granting of oral argument discretionary with the court?" A "YES" could appear in the last column and either of the other two columns in response to this question. Qualifications by case type are indicated when necessary. Occasionally, oral argument must be requested, but is automatically granted. This situation is represented by the term "AUTOMATIC" in the appropriate column.

		Is oral argum	ent required:	Is the discr	granting of oral a etionary with the o	rgument court: Court
State: Court name	Court type	unless waived by a party?	unless waived by both parties?	if requested by a party?	if requested by both parties?	decides sua sponte
ALABAMA: Supreme Court Court of Civil	COLR	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES
Appeals	IAC	NO	NO NO	YES	NO	YES
Court of Criminal Appeals	IAC	YES, IN DEAT	H CASES ONLY	YES	NO	NO
ALASKA:						
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	NO NO	NO NO	AUTOMATIC AUTOMATIC	NO NO	YES YES
ARIZONA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	NO NO	NO NO	AUTOMATIC (M) YES (D) CRIMYES	NO CIVIL-YES	
ARKANSAS: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR COLR	NO NO	NO NO	AUTOMATIC AUTOMATIC	NO NO	NO NO
CALIFORNIA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	NO NO	YES YES	NO NO	NO	NO NO
COLORADO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	NO NO	NO NO	YES NQ	NO NO	YES YES
CONNECTICUT: Supreme Court Appellate Court	COLR TAC	NO NO	YES YES	й0 90	NO NO	NO NO
DELAWARE: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES

Table 3. Oral argument in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

		Is oral argume	ent required:		granting of oral a etionary with the	
State: Court name	Court type	unless waived	unless waived y both parties?	if requested	if requested by both parties?	Court decides sua sponte
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: Court of Appeals	COLR	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES
FLORIDA: Supreme Court District Court of Appeals	COLR	YES, IN DEATH	CASES ONLY	NO NO	NO NO	YES
GEORGIA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	YES, IN DEATH		NO AUTOMATIC	NO NO	YES YES
HAWAII: Supreme Court Intermediate Court of Appeals	COLR	YES YES	NO NO	NO NO	NO NO	YES
IDAHO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	YES YES	NO NO	NO NO	NO NO	YES
ILLINOIS: Supreme Court Appellate Court	COLR	NO NO	NO NO	YES YES	NO NO	YES YES
INDIANA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	NO NO	NO NO	YES YES	NO NO	YES YES
IOWA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	NO NO	NO NO	YES YES	NO NO	YES YES
KANSAS: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	YES YES	NO NO	AUTO-SUMMARY AUTO-SUMMARY	NO NO	NO NO
KENTUCKY: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR TAC	NO NO	NO NO	YES YES	NO NO	YES YES
LOUISIANA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	YES NO	NO NO	NO YES	NO NO	NO NO
MAINE: Supreme Judicial Court Sitting as Law Court	COLR	WAIVER MUST BE AP	PROVED BY COURT.	NO	NO	

Table 3. Oral argument in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

		is oral argu	ment required:		granting of oral a etionary with the	
State: Court name	Court type	unless waived by a party?	unless waived by both parties?	if requested by a party?	if requested by both parties?	Court decides sua sponte
MARYLAND: Court of Appeals Court of Special Appeals	COLR	YES NO	NO NO	NO Yes	NO NO	NO YES
MASSACHUSETTS: Supreme Judicial Court	COLR	EXCEPT FIRST MURDER MANDATOR YES NO		NO YES	NO NO	NO YES
MICHIGAN: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	NO YES	NO NO	AUTOMATIC NO	NO NO	NO POSSIBLE
MINNESOTA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	NO NO	NO NO	YES YES	NO NO	YES YES
MISSISSIPPI: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES
MISSOURI: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	YES YES	NO NO	NO NO	NO NO	NO NO
MONTANA: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	NO NO	YES	NO	YES
NEBRASKA: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	YES	NO	NO	NO
NEVADA: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES
NEW HAMPSHIRE: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES
NEW JERSEY: Supreme Court Appellate Division	COLR	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO
of Superior Court .	IAC	NO	NO .	AUTOMATIC	NO	YES
NEW MEXICO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	NO NO	NO NO	YES YES	NO NO	YES YES
NEW YORK: Court of Appeals Appellate Division	COLR	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES
of Supreme Court Appellate Term of	IAC	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO
Supreme Court	IAC	NO	YES	NO	NO	NO

Table 3. Oral argument in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

		Is oral argu	ment required:		granting of oral a retionary with the	
State: Court name	Court type	unless waived by a party?	unless waived by both parties?	if requested by a party?	if requested by both parties?	Court decides sua sponte
NORTH CAROLINA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	YES YES	NO NO	NO NO	NO NO	YES YES
NORTH DAKOTA: Supreme Court	COLR	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO
OHIO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	YES YES	NO NO	YES YES	NO NO	YES NO
OKLAHOMA: Supreme Court Court of Criminal	COLR	NO	NO	YES	NO	
Appeals	COLR IAC	YES, IN DEA NO	TH CASES ONLY NO	YES YES	NO NO	YES
OREGON: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	YES YES	NO NO	NO NO	NO NO	NO YES
PENNSYLVANIA: Supreme Court Superior Court Commonwealth Court .	COLR IAC IAC	NO NO NO	YES NO NO	NO YES NO	NO NO NO	YES YES YES
PUERTO RICO: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES
RHODE ISLAND: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	NO	YES	NO NO	YES
SOUTH CAROLINA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR TAC	NO NO	YES YES	NO NO	NO NO	NO NO
SOUTH DAKOTA: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	NO	YES	NO NO	YES
TENNESSEE: Supreme Court Court of Appeals Court of Criminal	COLR IAC	NO NO	NO NO	NO NO	NO NO	YES YES
Appeals	IAC	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES
TEXAS: Supreme Court Court of Criminal	COLR	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO
Appeals	COLR	NO YES-CV NO-CR	NO NO NO	YES NO NO	NO NO NO	YES YES-CR NO-CV

Table 3. Oral argument in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

		Is oral argu	ment required:	Is the granting of oral argument discretionary with the court:				
State: Court name	Court type	unless waived by a party?	unless waived by both parties?	if requested by a party?	if requested by both parties?	Court decides sua sponte		
UTAH: Supreme Court	COLR	YES	NO	МО	NO	YES		
VERMONT: Supreme Court	COLR	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO		
VIRGINIA: Supreme Court	COLR	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO		
WASHINGTON: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	YES NO	NO NO	NO NO	NO NO	YES YES		
WEST VIRGINIA: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES		
WISCONSIN: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	NO NO	NO NO	YES YES	NO NO	YES YES		
WYOMING: Supreme Court	COLR	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO		

^{-- =} Data element is inapplicable.

COLR = Court of last resort.

IAC = Intermediate appellate court.

(D) = Discretionary jurisdiction.

(M) = Mandatory jurisdiction.

Table 4. Use of expediting procedures in state appellate courts, 1984.

Many state appellate courts have adopted a series of procedures that can be used in certain cases to bypass the normal appellate process. These expediting procedures can get quite technical in nature. Table 4 broadly classifies the major areas where such expediting procedures are used, and the case types to which they are applicable. A blank space indicates that on information was available for that data element. All codes used in this Table are defined at the end of this Table.

Six basic procedures are outlined in this Table. An "X" appears in the appropriate columns for states employing some basic procedure. These six procedures are: 1) the advancing of a case in queue by the court clerk, chief justice or entire court, i.e., reprioritized;

2) the use of prehearing/argument settlement conferences to circumvent the full appellate process; 3) the use of an expedited briefing process, for example, shortening time standards for completing briefs; 4) any process used to advance the completion of the triel court record by creating less stringent time standards or by allowing some abbreviated record to be entered in the appellate court; 5) the use of various processes to accelerate or waive the oral argument component of a full review; and 6) any set of rules used to encourage a more expeditious decision from the court once the case has been submitted and argued. In some situations, the court tailors the expediting procedure to the case. These special procedures will be noted in the "other" column.

State: Court name	Court type	Case type(s)	Advanced in queue	Use of pre- argument settlement conference	Expedi- ted brief- ing pro- cedures	Expedi- ted com- pletion of record	Expedited oral argument procedures	Expedi- ted de- cision dates	<u>Other</u>
ALABAMA: Supreme Court Court of Civil	COLR	EL/EM	X	0	X	X	X	0	2
Appeals Court of Criminal Appeals	DA1	 DP/SA	X	0	0	0	0	0	 0
ALASKA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	CUST MD/SR	X X	0	X	0	X	0	0
ARIZONA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	EL CV	0 X	0 0	X 0	0 0	0	0 X	0 0
ARKANSAS: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR COLR	CR	X	0	0	0	0	0	0
CALIFORNIA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	cv.	0		X*			0	 0
COLORADO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	PUC/JV/ WATER CR/WC JV	X	0 X	0	0 X	X O	0 0	5 5
CONNECTICUT: Supreme Court Appellate Court	COLR IAC	ALL CV ALL CV	0 0 0 0	0 X 0 X	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 0 2 0
DELAWARE: Supreme Court	COLR	ALL	0	0	0	0	0	0	2

Table 4. Use of expediting procedures in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

State: Court name	Court type	Case type(s)	Advanced in queue	Use of pre- argument settlement conference	Expedi- ted brief- ing pro- cedures	Expedi- ted com- pletion of record	Expedited oral argument procedures	Expedi- ted de- cision dates	<u>Other</u>
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: Court of Appeals	COLR	CV/ALL	0	X	0	0	X	0	1
FLORIDA: Supreme Court District Court of Appeals	COLR	DP/BD/ FD EW/I*	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 2,3
GEORGIA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	ALL RARELY	X 0	0	X 0	0	X O	X O	0 2
HAWAII: Supreme Court Intermediate Court of Appeals	COLR	ED/CUST/ DC/EW ED/CUST DC/EW	X X	X X	X X	x x	0	X X	0
IDAHO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	ALL ALL	X - X -	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0
ILLINOIS: Supreme Court Appellate Court	COLR IAC	ALL ALL	X	0 X	X	X	XX	X X	1,2
INDIANA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	PUC/ CR/EW CR/EW PUC	X X	cv.	0	0	0	0 0	0 0
IOWA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	CUST/ CR/DC CUST/ CR	X	0	0 0	0 0	0 X	0 0	0
KANSAS: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	SUMMARY CALENDAR	- x	0	0	0	-0	- - 0	1
KENTUCKY: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	ALL	7-	- - x	- <u>-</u> -	0	- ō	<u>-</u>	- <u>-</u> 1
LOUISIANA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	EM/PUC/ EL/DP ALL	X X	0 0	X X	0 0	X	0 X	1,4
MAINE: Supreme Judicial Court Sitting as Law Court	COLR	ALL	0	0	Ó	0	0	0	2

Table 4. Use of expediting procedures in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

State: Court name	Court type	Case type(s)	Advanced in queue	Use of pre- argument settlement conference	Expedi- ted brief- ing pro- cedures	Expedi- ted com- pletion of record	Expedited oral argument procedures	Expedi- ted de- cision dates	<u>Other</u>
MARYLAND: Court of Appeals	COLR	ALL	0	0	0	O.	X	0	0
Court of Special Appeals	IAC	ALL	0	0	X	0	X	X	0
MASSACHUSETTS: Supreme Judicial Court	COLR IAC	ALL ALL	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	1
MICHIGAN: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	CUST CUST/ CR/IL	X	0	0 X	0 X	0 X	0	0
MINNESOTA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	CUST/ JV/CM CV/JV/ CUST/CR/ CV COMM., UNEMPL.	X X	0 0	0 X	0 X	0 X	0 X	0 2
MISSISSIPPI: Supreme Court	COLR	HC/EL PUB	X	0	0	0	0	0	0
MISSOURI: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	ALL ALL	0 0	0 X	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	1 0
MONTANA: Supreme Court	COLR						1	•	
NEBRASKA: Supreme Court	COLR	CR/FD CUST/UN	X	X	0	0	0	0	0
NEVADA: Supreme Court	COLR	JV/DP CUST/IJ	0	0	X	0	x	0	0
NEW HAMPSHIRE: Supreme Court	COLR	ALL	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
NEW JERSEY: Supreme Court	COLR	DP	0	0	0	X	0	0	.5
Appellate Division of Superior Court .	IAC	EL/CV/ CUST/SR	0	x-cv	X	0	0	0	1,3,5
NEW MEXICO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	CR/JV/ WC/DR	- x		- <u>-</u> x	- x	0	 0	

Table 4. Use of expediting procedures in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

Court type	Case type(s)	Advanced in queue	Use of pre- argument settlement conference	Expedi- ted brief- ing pro- cedures	Expedi- ted com- pletion of record	Expedited oral argument procedures	Expedi- ted de- cision dates	<u>Other</u>
2017								
COLR		0	U			X		0
IAC	EM/EL	0	X	X	X	X	X	0
IAC	CV/CR	X	,0	0.	0	0	0	2
COLR IAC	CV/CR	0	cv	0	0	ō	0	 1
COLR	- <u>-</u> -							
COLR IAC	EL CR	0 X	0 0	X X	X X	X X	0	0
COLR	WC/JV	0	0	X	X	0	. 0	0
COLR IAC	WC/JV	0	- - -	0	0	0	 0	7
COLR IAC								
COLR IAC IAC	ALL CUST EL	X* X X	0 X 0	X* X 0	0 X 0	X* X 0	0 X 0	0 0 0
COLR	CV/CR	0	0	X	X	0	x	0
COLR	CV/CR JV	0 X	X	X 0	0	X 0	0	0
COLR IAC	ALL ALL	X	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0 0
COLR	EM	X	0	x	X	x	X	0
COLR IAC								
	COLR IAC IAC COLR IAC COLR COLR COLR COLR COLR COLR COLR COL	COLR ALL IAC EM/EL IAC CV/CR COLR CV/CR COLR CR IAC CR COLR WC/JV COLR CUST IAC CV/CR COLR CV/CR COLR CV/CR COLR ALL IAC CUST IAC CV/CR COLR CV/CR	COLR ALL O IAC EM/EL O IAC CV/CR X COLR IAC CR X COLR WC/JV O COLR WC/JV O COLR WC/JV O COLR TAC CUST X IAC CUST X IAC CUST X IAC EL X COLR CV/CR O	Court type Case type(s) Advanced in queue preagument settlement settlement conference COLR ALL 0 0 IAC EM/EL 0 X IAC CV/CR X 0 COLR CV/CR 0 CV COLR EL 0 0 IAC CR X 0 COLR CR X 0 COLR CR X 0 COLR CR X 0 COLR CV/JV 0 X COLR ALL X* 0 IAC CUST X X IAC EL X 0 COLR CV/CR 0 X COLR ALL X 0 COLR ALL X 0 COLR ALL X 0 COLR EM X 0	Court type Case type(s) Advanced in queue pre-ast lement settlement settlement conference ted briefing procedures COLR ALL 0 0 X IAC EM/EL 0 X X IAC CV/CR X 0 0 COLR IAC CV/CR 0 CV 0 COLR IAC CC/CR 0 0 X COLR WC/JV 0 0 X COLR IAC IAC WC/JV 0 X X COLR ALL X* 0 X* COLR CUST X X X IAC CV/CR 0 X X COLR ALL X 0 0 <t< td=""><td>Court type Case type(s) Advanced in queue preatment settlement settlement settlement ing projection of record Expedit ted complete ing projection of record COLR ALL 0 0 X X IAC EM/EL 0 X X X IAC CV/CR X 0 0 0 COLR IAC CV/CR 0 CV 0 0 COLR COLR EL 0 0 X X COLR HC/JV 0 0 X X COLR HC/JV 0 X X X COLR HC/JV 0 X X X COLR HC/JV 0 X X X COLR ALL X* X X X COLR CUST X X X</td><td>Court type Case type(s) Advanced in queue settlement settlement in gpro- conference ted pletion of record Expedited coral argument procedures COLR ALL 0 0 X X X IAC EM/EL 0 X X X X IAC CV/CR X 0 0 0 0 COLR </td><td>Court type Case type (s) Advanced set lenent set lene</td></t<>	Court type Case type(s) Advanced in queue preatment settlement settlement settlement ing projection of record Expedit ted complete ing projection of record COLR ALL 0 0 X X IAC EM/EL 0 X X X IAC CV/CR X 0 0 0 COLR IAC CV/CR 0 CV 0 0 COLR COLR EL 0 0 X X COLR HC/JV 0 0 X X COLR HC/JV 0 X X X COLR HC/JV 0 X X X COLR HC/JV 0 X X X COLR ALL X* X X X COLR CUST X X X	Court type Case type(s) Advanced in queue settlement settlement in gpro- conference ted pletion of record Expedited coral argument procedures COLR ALL 0 0 X X X IAC EM/EL 0 X X X X IAC CV/CR X 0 0 0 0 COLR	Court type Case type (s) Advanced set lenent set lene

Table 4. Use of expediting procedures in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

State: Court name	Court type	Case type(s)	Advanced in queue	Use of pre- argument settlement conference	Expedi- ted brief- ing pro- cedures	Expedi- ted com- pletion of record	Expedited oral argument procedures	Expedi- ted de- cision dates	Other
TEXAS: Supreme Court Court of Criminal	COLR	OP	0	0	X	Ó	. 0	0	0
Appeals	COLR IAC	DP OP I/HC	0 0 X	0	0 X X	0 X X	X 0 0	0 0 X-1	6 0 0
		CV	0	0	0	X	Ö	X	0
UTAH: Supreme Court	COLR	CR/UN	, X	0	0	0	X	X	0
VERMONT: Supreme Court	COLR			-					
VIRGINIA: Supreme Court	COLR	CR/WC	X	0	0	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	EL/EM JV/SR	0	0 0	X	0 0	X	X X	2 0
WEST VIRGINIA: Supreme Court	COLR	EL	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
WISCONSIN: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	CR CV/JV DJ	0 X	0	0	0	X	0 0	0
WYOMING: Supreme Court	COLR	DP/WC	0	0	X	X	0	0	0

^{-- =} Data element is inapplicable. COLR = Court of last resort. IAC = Intermediate appellate court.

CODES USED TO DESCRIBE OTHER EXPEDITING PROCEDURES:

^{1 =} Summary decisions.
2 = Procedure is tailored to the situation.
3 = Special expediting panel.
4 = No continuances.

^{5 =} Special case tracking.
6 = IAC is bypassed.

Table 4. Use of expediting procedures in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

CASE TYPE CODES:

BD = Bond validation CERT = Discretionary caseCM = Commitment = Criminal CUST = Child custody Ĉ۷ = Civil = Disciplinary
= Double jeopardy DC DJ DP = Death penalty = Domestic relations = Eminent domain DR ED = Elections = Emergency EM = Federal court asking for certified state FD question HC = Extraordinary writs IJ = Injunctions = Interlocutory appeals

= Juvenile J۷

1

MAND = Appeals as of right

MD = Misdemeanor

PUB = General public interest PUC = Public Utility Commission

= State appeals SR = Sentence review = Unemployment UN

= Workers' compensation

Footnotes:

California -- Expediting procedures are experimental, and are not used in most locations.

Florida--Court of Appeals: The First District's expediting procedures are by motion, and the Second District's are for interlocutory appeals and writs assigned to merit panels.

Pennsylvania--Supreme Court: Parties must petition for expediting procedures.

Table 5. Method of counting cases in state appellate courts, 1984.

Caseload data are not comparable in state appellate courts unless cases are counted in the same way among the courts. The method of counting cases must be employed as one tool in organizing appellate courts so that their caseloads are comparable. Table 5 illustrates some of the more important components of the various methods used in counting cases in state appellate courts. A blank space indicates that no information was available for that data element. All codes used in this Table are defined at the end of the Table.

The first component in understanding how appellate cases are counted is the point in the appellate process where the court counts a case as part of its caseload. Courts that begin counting cases earlier in the process (e.g., at notice of "intent to appeal"), rather than at a later point (e.g., completion or filing of the record), are likely to have a larger caseload because they are counting as cases litigation that is dismissed/withdrawn/settled before completion of the record. The column entitled, "Case counted at:" indicates the starting point for counting each case in state appellate courts. In some states, all appeals are filed with the court of last resort, which then essigns, (i.e., transfers) cases to the intermediate appellate court. This situation is indicated by the word

"transfer." The column labelled, "Case filed with:" indicates the court with which the "intent to appeal" document is filed.

The last component of counting cases involves an identification of whether a reinstated/reopened case is either counted as a new filing, or retains the same docket number. Although the practice of counting reopened/reinstated cases is not as widespread as it is in the trial courts, and is less of a problem in courts of last resort than in intermediate appellate courts, the accuracy in count is still noteworthy. This information is provided in the columns entitled, "Does the court count reinstated/reopened cases in its count of new filings?" A "NO" indicates the case retains the same docket number. "RARELY" means that in a few situations, cases are treated as new filings (e.g., a case remanded, that resulted in a new trial and a new appeal based on a different point of law). "YES OR FREQUENTLY" indicates that the court routinely counts reopened and reinstated cases as new filings. Occasionally, special situations are indicated in these columns. Courts, for example, that issue new docket numbers to such cases, but segregate these cases from other new filings for statistical purposes, are indicated by the words "IDENTIFIED SEPARATELY."

			Case count	ed at:	Case f	iled with:	Does the court count reinstated/reopened cases in its count of new filings?
State: court name	Court type	Notice of appeal	Filing of the record	Other point	Trial court	Appellate court	Yes, or No Rarely frequently
ALABAMA: Supreme Court Court of Civil	COLR	X	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	X	0	X 0 0
Appeals Court of Criminal Appeals	IAC	X X	0	0	X X	0 0	X 0 0 YES, FROM CIRCUIT COURT; NO, ON REMAND
ALASKA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	X X	0 0	0 0	X	0 0	IDENTIFIED SEPARATELY IDENTIFIED SEPARATELY
ARIZONA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	X-CRIM X-CRIM	0	CIV FILING: FEE PAID CIV FILING: FEE PAID	X	X	YES, AFTER CLOSED BY ORDER OR MANDATE YES, FOR REOPENED; NO, FOR REINSTATED
ARKANSAS: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR COLR	0 0	X	0	0 0	X	X 0 0 0 X
CALIFORNIA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	0	X	0 0	0	X	X 0 0
COLORADO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	X	0	0 0	0 0	X	IDENTIFIED SEPARATELY O O X

Table 5: Method of counting cases in state appellate courts, 1984. (Continued)

reinstated/reopened cases in its count of Case counted at: Case filed with: new filings? Notice Filing State: Court of the Trial Appellate Yes, or οf appea1 court name type record Other point court court No Rarely frequently CONNECTICUT: Supreme Court COLR FEE PAID 0 X 0 0 0 Χ 0 X Appellate Court IAC χ 0 FEE PAID X 0 0 DELAWARE: COLR Ö 0 0 0 X 0 X 0 Supreme Court DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: Court of Appeals ... 0 0 X COLR X 0 X 0 0 FLORIDA: Supreme Court COLR Х 0 Ò Х IAC Х 0 0 District Court of X 0 0 CV/CR 0 Appeals IAC ADM.AGY. X 0 GEORGIA: Supreme Court COLR X X X 0 0 0 χ 0 0 X Court of Appeals ... IAC 0 n 0 0 0 HAWAII: X Supreme Court COLR 0 X 0 0 Χ 0 0 Intermediate Court of Appeals IAC 0 X 0 0 χ Χ 0 0 IDAHO: X Supreme Court COLR X 0 0 Χ 0 0 0 Court of Appeals ... 0 TRANSFER X 0 TAC 0 0 0 ILLINOIS: Supreme Court COLR X 0 0 X 0 X IDENTIFIED SEPARATELY Appellate Court IAC 0 0 0 INDIANA: Supreme Court COLR BRIEF 0 0 χ 0 IDENTIFIED SEPARATELY Court of Appeals ... X IAC 0 0 BRIEF 0 IDENTIFIED SEPARATELY IOWA: Supreme Court $X \\ X$ COLR 0 0 X 0 Court of Appeals ... 0 Ó **TRANSFER** X 0 0 IAC Ô KANSAS: Supreme Court Court of Appeals ... COLR 0 0 DOCKETING* Х IDENTIFIED SEPARATELY 0 IAC 0 0 DOCKETING* Х 0 IDENTIFIED SEPARATELY KENTUCKY: Supreme Court X COLR X X 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Court of Appeals ... IAC 0 0

Does the court count

Table 5: Method of counting cases in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

Does the court count reinstated/reopened cases in its count of Case counted at: Case filed with: new filings? Filing Notice Court of the Trial Appellate Yes, or State: of court name type appeal record Other point court court No Rarely frequently LOUISIANA: 0 Supreme Court COLR X X X 0 0 0 0 Court of Appeals ... 0 0 0 Х IAC MAINE: Supreme Judicial Court Sitting as COLR X 0 0 Χ 0 X 0 0 Law Court MARYLAND: Court of Appeals ... **PETITION** 0 Χ 0 COLR 0 0 0 X Court of Special Appeals IAC 0 Χ 0 X 0 Χ 0 0 MASSACHUSETTS: Supreme Judicial Court X X COLR 0 Χ 0 0 0 X 0 Appeals Court X Õ ō X. ö 0 0 IAC MICHIGAN: Supreme Court 0 0 COLR 0 0 **BRIEF** 0 χ Χ Court of Appeals ... X X 0 0 IAC χ 0 0 0 MINNESOTA: Supreme Court X COLR X 0 0 0 X 0 0 X ō Ō Court of Appeals ... 0 IAC 0 0 Χ MISSISSIPPI: Supreme Court COLR 0 χ 0 Х 0 IDENTIFIED SEPARATELY MISSOURI: Supreme Court COLR 0 0 0 X 0 0 X Court of Appeals ... X 0 X 0 X 0 0 0 IAC MONTANA: Supreme Court COLR X 0 0 X 0 0 X 0 **NEBRASKA:** Supreme Court COLR X 0 0 0 0 0 X X **NEVADA:** Supreme Court COLR 0 X 0 X 0 X 0 0 NEW HAMPSHIRE: Supreme Court

Ó

0

Х

χ

0

0

COLR

Χ

0

Table 5: Method of counting cases in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

Does the court count reinstated/reopened cases in its count of

		Case counted at:		Case f	iled with:	cases in its count of new filings?			
State: court name	Court type	Notice of appeal	Filing of the record	Other point	Trial court	Appellate court	No	Rarely	Yes, or frequently
NEW JERSEY:	00LD					V 00			
Supreme Court Appellate Division	COLR	Х	0	. 0	, X	X-DP	0	X	0
of Superior Court .	IAC	X	, 0· ···	0	0	X	101	ENTIFIED	SEPARATELY
NEW MEXICO: Supreme Court	COLR	0	0	TRANSCRIPT	0	. X	X	0	0
Court of Appeals	TAC	0	0	TRANSCRIPT	0	X	х —	0	0
NEW YORK: Court of Appeals	COLR	X	0	0	X	0 · ·	X	0	. 0
Appellate Division of Supreme Court	TAC	0	Х	0	X	0	X	0	0
Appellate Term of Supreme Court	DAI	0	X X	. 0	X-CV	X-CR	0	0	X
NORTH CAROLINA:	-				:				
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	0 0	X	0	X X	0	0	X X	0
NORTH DAKOTA: Supreme Court	COLR	X	0	0	X	0	0	x	0
OHIO:									-
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	X	0	0	0 X*	X X*	X 0	0	0 X
OKLAHOMA:									
Supreme Court Court of Criminal	COLR	X*	0	0	0	X	Х*,	٠٠٠ .	Х*
Appeals Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	X* 0	0	0 TRANSFER	0 	X	X*	0	X* X*
OREGON:									
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	X	0	0 0	0	X X	0	0	X
PENNSYLVANIA:			, 						
Supreme Court Superior Court Commonwealth Court .	COLR IAC IAC	X X X	0 0 0	0 0	X-M* X X	X-D* 0 0	Х Х О	0	0 0 X
PUERTO RICO: Supreme Court	COLR	X	0	0	X-CR	X-CV		DENTIFIED	SEPARATELY
RHODE ISLAND: Supreme Court	COLR	0	X	0	0	X	X	0	0
			 .						

Table 5: Method of counting cases in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

Does the court count reinstated/reopened cases in its count of Case counted at: Case filed with: new filings? Notice Filing Trial Court Appellate Yes, or State: of of the court name type appea1 record Other point court court No Rarely frequently SOUTH CAROLINA: TRANSCRIPT 0 Х X 0 0 COLR Ö 0 Supreme Court C TRANSFER X 0 0 Court of Appeals ... IAC 0 SOUTH DAKOTA: 0 0 0 X Supreme Court COLR X 0 Χ 0 TENNESSEE: Supreme Court COLR X 0 Ó X 0 0 χ 0 Χ χ 0 Court of Appeals ... IAC 0 0 0 0 Court of Criminal 0 0 0 Appeals TAC X 0 0 Χ Χ TEXAS: χ 0 0 Х 0 IDENTIFIED SEPARATELY Supreme Court COLR Court of Criminal IDENTIFIED SEPARATELY COLR 0 FIRST χ 0 Appeals 0 CORRESPON. Х 0 IDENTIFIED SEPARATELY Court of Appeals ... TAC χ 0 0 UTAH: Supreme Court COLR χ 0 0 Х X-AG χ 0 0 **VERMONT:** Х 0 0 0 0 X Supreme Court COLR 0 X PETITION FOR VIRGINIA: Supreme Court COLR 0 0 APPEAL 0 χ 1DENTIFIED SEPARATELY WASHINGTON: COLR X 0 0 0 X 0 0 X Supreme Court Court of Appeals ... IAC χ 0 0 0 χ 0 0 WEST VIRGINIA: Supreme Court COLP χ 0 0 χ 0 X 0 0 WISCONSIN: **ACCEPTS** COLR 0 0 0 n Supreme Court JURISDIC. X Court of Appeals ... IAC Χ 0 0 0 X IDENTIFIED SEPARATELY WYOMING: X Ò 0 χ 0 0 X - NOT Supreme Court COLR 0 MANY OF SUCH CASES

Table 5: Method of counting cases in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

-- = Data element is inapplicable.

ADM. AGY. = Administrative agency cases only.

CR = Criminal cases only.

CV = Civil cases only.

CV = Civil cases only.

DP = Death penalty cases only.

COLR = Court of last resort.

IAC = Intermediate appellate court.

Footnotes:

Kansas: Docketing occurs 21 days after a notice of appeal is filed in the trial court. Some cases are never docketed in the appellate court.

Ohio--Court of Appeals: The clerk of the trial court is also the clerk of the Court of Appeals.

Oklahoma -- The courts do not count reinstated cases as new filings, but do count any subsequent appeal of an earlier decided case as a new filing. The notice of appeal refers to the petition in error.

Pennsylvania--Supreme Court: Mandatory cases are filed with the trial court, and discretionary cases are filed with the appellate court.

Table 6. Mandatory and discretionary subject—matter jurisdiction in state appellate courts, 1984.

One of the major tasks of this research effort is to identify the extent to which an appellate court has the authority to set its own agenda, in terms of both its subject matter and caseload size. Table 6 begins to satisfy this research void. There are two facing pages to this table. A blank space indicates that no information was available for that data element. All codes used in this Table are defined at the end of this Table.

The facing pages facilitate the comparison of a court's discretionary and mandatory jurisdiction, as well as the extent to which the various appellate courts within a state share these jurisdictional characteristics. The left page (i.e., PART I) delineates the mandatory jurisdiction of each state appellate court by case type. Mandatory jurisdiction is defined as those cases for which a court must reach a decision on the merits-these cases are often referred to as appeals of right. The right-hand page (i.e., Part II) specifies the discretionary subject-matter jurisdiction of state appellate courts. Discretionary jurisdiction is defined as those cases to which a court can decline review on the merits. In discretionary cases, the courts first decide whether to grant review using some summary procedure. Discretionary cases that are granted review usually follow the same appellate procedures as do mandatory cases.

The specific case types are listed across the top of each page. Civil, death penalty, other criminal, administrative agency and juvenile appeals refer to cases involving appeals from final judgments. Disciplinary cases involve either appeals of cases in which either a final judgment or recommendation was made by some judicial disciplinary commission, or original disciplinary proceedings in the appellate courts. There is also a column indicating whether the courts provide advisory opinions to state legislatures and governors. An "X-LEG" or "X-EXEC" indicates

that an appellate court can issue advisory opinions to only the state legislature (i.e., LEG), or the state executive (i.e., EXEC) respectively. In most states these opinions are provided by the attorney general. Additionally, there is a growing interest in whether state appellate courts are addressing certified questions on state law from federal courts. The column entitled, "certify ques. from federal courts" describes the current status of this question in the state appellate courts. The last column, labelled "original proceedings" includes original jurisdiction proceedings not described elsewhere, extraordinary writs and interlocutory appeals.

An "X" indicates the court has subject-matter jurisdiction over some portion or all of that broad case type description. The "X" may be qualified with other terms, like X-LIFE, which indicates the criminal jurisdiction is limited to cases in which the defendant was sentenced to life in prison. An "I" under original proceedings indicates whether the column includes interlocutory appeals alone, or along with the other original proceedings.

To avoid confusion, it should be pointed out that situations can develop where a court has both mandatory and discretionary jurisdiction over the same case type. One explanation for this situation rests with the fact that some courts hear appeals from a variety of courts which can all hear similar cases. Appeals from some lower courts are mandatory and appeals from others are discretionary even when they both handle the same case type. This is especially salient for courts of last resort which can hear cases from intermediate appellate courts and trial courts. A second explanation for this phenomenon is the use of broad case types in Table 6. The category criminal, for example, includes felonies and misdemeanors. The appellate court may have to review felonies, but may have discretion to hear misdemeanors.

Table 6. Mandatory and discretionary subject-matter jurisdiction in state appellate courts, 1984.

PART I: Mandatory subject-matter jurisdiction.

				·		Mandatory	jurisd	iction			
			Appeals	from fin	al judgme	nts			Advisory opinion from		
State: Court name	Court type		Death	Other	Adminis- trative		Discip Lawyer	linary Judge	state (exec./ legis.)	from federal courts	Original proceed- ings
ALABAMA: Supreme Court	COLR	, x	X	0	X	0	* X	x	0	0	X
Court of Civil Appeals Court of Criminal Appeals	IAC IAC	X 0	0 X	0 X	х 0	X X	0	0	0	0	X+I
ALASKA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	X 0		0 X	X	X	X 0	X 0	0 0	X 0	X X+1
ARIZONA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	X	X	X-LIFE	0 X	0 X	X 0	X	0 0	X	X X
ARKANSAS: Supreme Court Court of Appeals		X X	X	X	X	0 X	Х 0	0	0	X	X+I I
CALIFORNIA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals		0 X	X 0	X* X	0 X	0 X	X 0	X 0	0 0	0 0	0
COLORADO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals		X	X	X X	X	X	X 0	X 0	X 0	X	1+X 0
CONNECTICUT: Supreme Court Appellate Court	COLR	X	X	X-CLASS A FELONY X	X	0 X	0 X	0	0	0 0	0 X
DELAWARE: Supreme Court	COLR	X	X	X	X		X	0	X	0	X .
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: Court of Appeals	COLR	X		X	X	X	x	0	0	0	X+I
FLORIDA: Supreme Court District Court of Appeals	COLR	X X	X 0	x x	X X	X X	x 0	х 0	0	0	0 X+I
GEORGIA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	X	X	X	X	X	X	Х О	0	X	X X+1

Table 6. Mandatory and discretionary subject-matter jurisdiction in state appellate courts, 1984.

PART II: Discretionary subject-matter jurisdiction.

	Discretionary jurisdiction Advisory opinion Certify Appeals from final judgments from ques. Adminis- state from											
State: Court name	Court type		Criminal	trative	Juvenile	Discipl Lawyer		state (exec./ legis.)	from federal courts	Original proceedings		
ALABAMA: Supreme Court	COLR	Х		X	X .	0	0	X .	x	X+I		
Court of Civil Appeals Court of Criminal Appeals		0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
ALASKA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	0 0	X X-MISD	0 0	X X	0	0 0	0	0 0	X+I I		
ARIZONA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	X 0	X	X	X	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	X+I 0		
ARKANSAS: Supreme Court Court of Appeals		Х 0	X 0	X O	0	0	0	0	0 0	0		
CALIFORNIA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	X 0	X 0	X	X	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	X+I		
COLORADO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals		Х О	X 0	X	X 0	0	0	X	X	X		
CONNECTICUT: Supreme Court Appellate Court	COLR	Х 0	Х О	X X-ZONING	0	0	X 0	0 0	0 0	0 0		
DELAWARE: Supreme Court	COLR	X	X	0		0	0	0	X	I		
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: Court of Appeals	COLR	X	X	0	0	0	0	0	0	X+1		
FLORIDA: Supreme Court District Court of Appeals	COLR	X	X	X 0	X	0	0	X-GOV O	X 0	X+I		
GEORGIA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals		X	XX	X	X X	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	X X+1		

Table 6. Mandatory and discretionary subject-matter jurisdiction in state appellate courts, 1984. PART I. Mandatory subject-matter jurisdiction. (continued)

						Mandatory	jurisdic	tion	- A-1	1	
			Appeals	from fin					from	Certify ques.	Outstanl
State: Court name	Court type	<u>Civil</u>	Death penalty	Other criminal	Adminis- trative agency	<u>Juvenile</u>	Discipli Lawyer J	nary udge	state (exec./ legis.)	from federal courts	Original proceed- ings
HAWAII: Supreme Court Intermediate Court	COLR	X		x	X	X	X .	X	0	X	X
of Appeals	1AC	X		X '	X	X :	0	0	0	0	X+1
IDAHO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals		X	X	X	X O	X X	X 0	X O	0	0	X
ILLINOIS: Supreme Court Appellate Court	COLR IAC	X	X 0	X X	X X	X	X 0	0	0	0 0	X+1 X+1
INDIANA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	. X :	X 0	X-LIFE X	0 X	0 X	X 0	X 0	0	0 0	X X+I
IOWA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals		X X		X X	X X	X	X 0	X 0	0	X 0	X 0
KANSAS: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	X X		X	X	0 X	X 0	X O	0 0	X 0	X 1+X
KENTUCKY: Supreme Court Court of Appeals		0 X	X	0 X	0 X	0 X	X 0	0	0 0	0	X
LOUISIANA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals		X	X O	X	X	0 X	х 0	х 0	0	0	O X
MAINE: Supreme Judicial Court Sitting as Law Court	COLR	X		X	X	X	X	x	x	X	1+X
MARYLAND: Court of Appeals Court of Special Appeals	COLR	X	7-	X	X X	X	x 0	0	0	X 0	X+I I
MASSACHUSETTS: Supreme Judicial Court Appeals Court	COLR	X		X-1ST-DEG MURDER X	X X	0 X	0	X 0	X	X	X O

Table 6. Mandatory and discretionary subject-matter jurisdiction in state appellate courts, 1984. PART II. Discretionary subject-matter jurisdiction. (continued)

Discretionary jurisdiction Advisory opinion Certify Appeals from final judgments from ques. Adminisstate from State: Court trative Disciplinary (exec./ federal Original agency Juvenile Lawyer Judge Court name type Civil Criminal legis.) courts proceedings : I I AWAH χ Supreme Court COLR χ χ 0 0 0 X+1 Intermediate Court 0 0 0 0 0 of Appeals IAC 0 0 0 Ö IDAHO: X 0 X 0 χ 0 0 0 Supreme Court COLR 0 X 1+X Ô Court of Appeals ... IAC 0 0 O Ó 0 ILLINOIS: Supreme Court COLR Appellate Court IAC X 0 Х О 0 0 0 χ X+1 0 ŏ ŏ 0 0 INDIANA: Supreme Court COLR X X 0 Χ 0 0 0 X 0 0 0 0 0 I Court of Appeals ... IAC 0 IOWA: χ Х О X 0 X 0 0 0 Supreme Court COLR 0 0 X+ICourt of Appeals ... IAC 0 0 0 0 0 0 KANSAS: X 0 0 0 I + X Supreme Court COLR 0 0 ŏ Court of Appeals ... IAC 0 0 0 Ø-0 0 KENTUCKY: Supreme Court COLR $X \\ X$ 0 0 0 X X+ [X χ Court of Appeals ... IAC 0 0 0 0 Χ LOUISIANA: Supreme Court COLR X 0 χ 0 X Court of Appeals ... IAC 0 0 0 0 X MAINE: Supreme Judicial Court Sitting as Law Court COLR 0 X 0 0 0 Ó X X 0 MARYLAND: Court of Appeals ... COLR X X X X 0 0 0 0 Ī Court of Special 0 Appeals IAC X X 0 0 0 0 0 X MASSACHUSETTS: Supreme Judicial Court COLR χ X χ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 I Appeals Court IAC

Table 6. Mandatory and discretionary subject-matter jurisdiction in state appellate courts, 1984. PART I. Mandatory subject-matter jurisdiction. (continued)

		·	- <u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Mandatory	jurisd	iction	Advisory		
		·	Appeals	from fina	al judgme	nts			opinion from	ques.	المستعددة
State: Court name	Court type		Death penalty	Other <u>criminal</u>	Adminis- trative agency		Discip Lawyer	linary Judge	state (exec./ legis.)	from federal courts	Original proceed- ings
MICHIGAN: Supreme Court Court of Appeals		0 X		0 X	0 X	0 X	0 0	X 0	0 0	0	0 0
MINNESOTA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals		0 X		X-1ST-DEG MURDER X	X X	0 X	Х О	X 0	0	X O	0 0
MISSISSIPPI: Supreme Court	COLR	x	X	X	x	X	X	X	0	0	X+I
MISSOURI: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	X	X O	X	X	X	0	0	0 0	0 0	X+I X
MONTANA: Supreme Court	COLR	X	X	Х	0	X	x	, X	0	0	0
NEBRASKA: Supreme Court	COLR	X	X	X ,	X	X	X	x	0	0	X
NEVADA: Supreme Court	COLR	X	x	X	X	X	X	0	0	0	X
NEW HAMPSHIRE: Supreme Court	COLR	0	0	Ö	0	0	0	0	• 0	0	0
NEW JERSEY: Supreme Court	COLR	X	x	x	X	X	X	X	0	0	X
Appellate Division of Superior Court .	IAC	X	0	X	X	X	. 0	0	0	0	0
NEW MEXICO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	X	X :	X	X	0 X	X	Х 0	0	0 0	X+1 0
NEW YORK: Court of Appeals	COLR	X	x	X	X	X	0	0	0	0	X
Appellate Division of Supreme Court Appellate Term of Supreme Court	IAC	X X	0	X * X * .	X 0	X X	X 0	0	0	0 0	X+I
NORTH CAROLINA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	X X	X	X	X	X	0 X	X 0	0 0	0	I X

Table 6. Mandatory and discretionary subject-matter jurisdiction in state appellate courts, 1984. PART II. Discretionary subject-matter jurisdiction. (continued)

Discretionary jurisdiction Advisory opinion Certify Appeals from final judgments from ques. Adminisstate from State: Court trative Disciplinary (exec./ federal Origina1 Court name type Civil Criminal agency Juvenile Lawyer Judge legis.) courts proceedings MICHIGAN: X X X Supreme Court COLR X 0 X+1 0 Ô 0 0 X+I Court of Appeals ... IAC MINNESOTA: X X X X 0 Supreme Court COLR 0 0 0 Χ Court of Appeals ... IAC 0 0 0 0 Χ MISSISSIPPI: 0 Ó 0 0 0 Supreme Court COLR 0 0 X 0 MISSOURI: Supreme Court COLR X 0 X 0 Χ 0 0 X Х 0 0 0 Court of Appeals ... IAC 0 0 0 0 MONTANA: 0 0 Х 0 0 0 Supreme Court COLR 0 X X+1 Supreme Court COLR X 0 χ 0 0 0 0 X X+I**NEVADA:** 0 0 Supreme Court COLR 0 . 0 0 0 0 0 0 **NEW HAMPSHIRE:** Supreme Court COLR Χ X. χ X X X 0 X+I X **NEW JERSEY:** Supreme Court COLR Х χ X X Χ χ 0 Χ I Appellate Division of Superior Court . 0 0 0 0 0 IAC 0 0 Ι NEW MEXICO: Supreme Court COLR X X 0 0 0 X 0 0 0 0 0 Court of Appeals ... IAC 0 0 0 0 I **NEW YORK:** Court of Appeals ... COLR Χ X X Х 0 Χ 0 0 Χ Appellate Division 0 X Х 0 0 0 of Supreme Court .. IAC Х 0 I+X Appellate Term of Supreme Court IAC 0 Χ 0 X 0 0 0 Û I-CR NORTH CAROLINA: X X X 0 0 X Supreme Court X 0 X+1 Court of Appeals ... IAC 0 0 I+X

Table 6. Mandatory and discretionary subject-matter jurisdiction in state appellate courts, 1984. PART I. Mandatory subject-matter jurisdiction. (continued)

	1					Mandatory	jurisd	iction			<u> </u>
			Appeals	from fin	al judgme				from	Certify ques.	0-1-1-1
State: Court name	Court type	<u>Civil</u>	Death penalty	Other criminal	Adminis- trative agency	Juvenile	Discip Lawyer		state (exec./ legis.)	from federal courts	Original proceed- ings
NORTH DAKOTA: Supreme Court	COLR	X	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	X	X	X	X	x [0	0	X+1
OHIO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals		X	X	X X	X	X X	X O	X 0	0	0	X X+I
OKLAHOMA: Supreme Court Court of Criminal	COLR	X	<u></u>		X	X	X	0	0	X	1+X
Appeals	COLR IAC	X	X - X	X 	ī	X X	0	0	0	0 0	X+1 X
OREGON: Supreme Court Court of Appeals		0 X	X	0 X	X-TAX X	0 X	X 0	Х О	0	0	X X
PENNSYLVANIA: Supreme Court Superior Court Commonwealth Court .	IAC	X X X	X 0 0	X X X	X 0 X	X X O	X 0 0	X 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	X+1 X+1 X+1
PUERTO RICO: Supreme Court	COLR	X		X	0	X	0	0	0	0	0
RHODE ISLAND: Supreme Court	COLR	x		X	0	Х	X	x	X	X	X
SOUTH CAROLINA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals		X	X	X	0 X	X	X O	X 0	0	X	X+I
SOUTH DAKOTA: Supreme Court	COLR	x	x	X	X	X	X	X	0	0	Х*
TENNESSEE: Supreme Court Court of Appeals Court of Criminal Appeals ,	COLR IAC	X	X	x x	0 X	0 X X	X 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	X 0 X
TEXAS: Supreme Court Court of Criminal Appeals	COLR COLR	X	 x	 x	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Court of Appeals		X	0	X	X	X	0	0	0	0	X+I

Table 6. Mandatory and discretionary subject-matter jurisdiction in state appellate courts, 1984. PART II. Discretionary subject-matter jurisdiction. (continued)

Discretionary jurisdiction Advisory opinion Certify Appeals from final judgments from ques. Adminisstate from State: Court trative Disciplinary (exec./ federal Original Juvenile Lawyer Judge type Civil Criminal Court name agency legis.) courts proceedings NORTH DAKOTA: Ó 0 0 Ö Ó 0 Supreme Court COLR 0 0 0 OHIO: X 0 X 0 X 0 Supreme Court COLR 0 0 0 0 0 1+1 Court of Appeals ... IAC 0 0 0 0 Ó 0 OKLAHOMA: Supreme Court COLR 0 Χ X χ 0 0 0 0 I Court of Criminal Appeals COLR 0 0 0 0 I 0 0 0 0 Court of Appeals ... IAC 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 OREGON: X X Χ Supreme Court COLR Х X 0 X Court of Appeals ... IAC 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 PENNSYLVANIA: Supreme Court COLR X X Χ X 0 0 0 0 X+1 Superior Court X X X 0 Х 0 0 0 O IAC 1+X Ô. X 0 Commonwealth Court . IAC 0 0 0 0 I PUERTO RICO: Supreme Court COLR X X X X X χ X Χ X+1 RHODE ISLAND: X 0 0 Supreme Court COLR 0 0 0 0 0 X+I SOUTH CAROLINA: Supreme Court COLR X X X 0 0 0 0 0 I+X Court of Appeals ... IAC 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 SOUTH DAKOTA: 0 0 0 0 0 X-EXEC Χ Supreme Court COLR 0 X+ITENNESSEE: Supreme Court COLR X 1+X X 0 X-WC X 0 0 0 0 Court of Appeals ... 0 0 0 0 IAC 0 0 I Court of Criminal IAC 0 0 0 0 0 Ö 0 Ţ Appeals 0 X Χ 0 0 Ó X X Supreme Court COLR X Court of Criminal Appeals COLR Χ Х 0 0 0 Court of Appeals ... IAC 0 0 0 0 0 0

Table 6. Mandatory and discretionary subject-matter jurisdiction in state appellate courts, 1984. PART I. Mandatory subject-matter jurisdiction. (continued)

		·				Mandatory	jurisd	iction		Certify	
State: Court name	Court type		Death	Other	al judgme Adminis- trative agency		Disciplinary Lawyer Judge		from state (exec./ legis.)	from federal courts	Original proceed- ings
UTAH: Supreme Court	COLR	X	X	x x	X	X	X	. 0	. 0	0	X
VERMONT: Supreme Court	COLR	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	0	X+I
VIRGINIA: Supreme Court	COLR	0	x	0	X	0	X	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	X	X 0	X	X	X	0	0	0	X	0 X
WEST VIRGINIA: Supreme Court	COLR	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WISCONSIN: Supreme Court Court of Appeals		X X		X	0 X	0 X	х 0	X 0	0	X 0	X
WYOMING: Supreme Court	COLR	χ.	x	Х	X	X	X	0	0	X	X

CASE TYPE CODES:

CR = Criminal cases. WC = Workers' compensation cases.

Footnotes:

California--Supreme Court: Other criminal appeals in mandatory jurisdiction refers to executive clemency applications only.

South Dakota--Original proceedings include habeas corpus cases only.

^{-- =} Data element is inapplicable. COLR = Court of last resort. IAC = Intermediate appellate court.

Table 6. Mandatory and discretionary subject-matter jurisdiction in state appellate courts, 1984. PART II. Discretionary subject-matter jurisdiction. (continued)

			grade the second	0	iscretiona	ry juris	diction			
			Appea	als from fi	nal judgme	ents		Advisory opinion from	Certify ques.	1
State: Court name	Court type	<u>Civil</u>		Adminis- trative agency		Discipl	inary Judge	state (exec./ legis.)	from federal courts	Original proceedings
UTAH: Supreme Court	COLR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
VERMONT: Supreme Court	COLR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
VIRGINIA: Supreme Court	COLR	x	X	X	X	0	X	0	0	X+I
WASHINGTON: Supreme Court Court of Appeals		X 0	X O	X X	X O	X O	X	0	0	X+I 1
WEST VIRGINIA: Supreme Court	COLR	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	X	X+I
WISCONSIN: Supreme Court Court of Appeals		X 0	X	X O	X	0	0	0	0	X
WYOMING: Supreme Court	COLR	0,0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 7. Some procedures for granting/denying discretionary review in state appellate courts, 1984.

The "Rule of Four" is the well documented operating procedure in the United States Supreme Court which governs the granting of discretionary review. The Rule has attracted attention because it is a minority rule, i.e., only four out of the nine justices can grant a petition for review. Table 7 describes the extent to which this situation also exists in state appellate courts. A blank space indicates that no information was available for that data element. All codes used in this Table are defined at the end of this Table.

The column labelled "En benc, panel, or single justice decision" delineates who makes the decision regarding discretionary review. The second column indicates the vote necessary to grant review, divided by the size of the court when it sits en banc or in a penel. For example, "3/5" indicates that three of the five

justices must vote to accept review of a discretionary petition before it can be decided on its merits.

Some states have a number of provisions that restrict the discretionary jurisdiction of their appellate courts. The last column addresses one of those restrictions by answering the question, "Does a dissenting vote in the intermediate appellate court guarantee review in the court of last resort?" There are other important considerations that remain regarding restrictions of discretionary review. For example, does a reversal in the intermediate appellate court guarantee review in the court of last resort, and does a split vote in a panel reviewing discretionary cases mandate a screening decision by the court sitting en banc? These will be addressed in subsequent editions.

State: Court name	Court type	En banc, panel, or single justice decision	Number necessary to grant review	Does a dissenting vote in the IAC guarantee review by the COLR?
ALABAMA: Supreme Court	COLR	PANEL	5/5	NO
Court of Civil Appeals	IAC			 -
Court of Criminal Appeals	IAC		in the second se	
ALASKA:	and b	TH DANG		10
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	EN BANC EN BANC	3/5 2/3	NO
ARIZONA:				
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	EN BANC PANEL	3/5 2/3	NO
ARKANSAS: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR COLR	EN BANC		NO
CALIFORNIA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	EN BANC PANEL	4/7 2/3	NO
COLORADO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	EN BANC	3-4/7*	NO NO
CONNECTICUT: Supreme Court Appellate Court	COLR IAC	EN BANC EN BANC	2/6 2/5	
DELAWARE: Supreme Court	COLR	PANEL	3/3	

Table 7. Some procedures for granting/denying discretionary review in state appellate courts, 1984. (Continued)

State: Court name	Court type	En banc, panel, or single justice decision	Number necessary to grant review	Does a dissenting vote in the IAC guarantee review by the COLR?
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: Court of Appeals	COLR	PANEL	1/3	
FLORIDA: Supreme Court District Court of	COLR	PANEL	4/5	NO
Appeals	IAC	PANEL	2/3	
GEORGIA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	EN BANC PANEL	4/7 3/3	NO
HAWAII: Supreme Court Intermediate Court of Appeals	COLR	EN BANC	3/5	NO
IDAHO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	EN BANC	3/5	
ILLINOIS: Supreme Court Appellate Court	COLR	EN BANC PANEL	4/7 2/3	NO
INDIANA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	EN BANC PANEL	3/5	NO
IOWA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	PANEL	2/3	NO
KANSAS: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	EN BANC EN BANC	3/7 4/7	NO
KENTUCKY: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	EN BANC PANEL	4/7 2/3	NO
LOUISIANA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	EN BANC PANEL	4/7 2/3	NO
MAINE: Supreme Judicial Court Sitting as Law Court	COLR	EN BANC		

Table 7. Some procedures for granting/denying discretionary review in state appellate courts, 1984. (Continued)

State: Court name	Court type	En banc, panel, or single justice decision	Numher necessary to grant review	Does a dissenting vote in the IAC guarantee review by the COLR?
MARYLAND:				
Court of Appeals Court of Special	COLR	EN BANC	3/7	NO
Appeals	IAC	PANEL		
MASSACHUSETTS:				
Supreme Judicial		PANEL	3/3*	
Court Appeals Court	COLR	EN BANC PANEL	4/7* 1/3	NO
MICHIGAN: Supreme Court	COLR	EN BANC	4/7	NO
Court of Appeals	IAC	PANEL	2/3	
MINNESOTA:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Supreme Court	COLR	EN BANC	3/9	NO
Court of Appeals	IAC	PANEL	2/3	
MISSISSIPPI:	A STATE OF THE STA			
Supreme Court	COLR			
MISSOURI:				YES, IF DISSENTING JUDGE
Supreme Court	COLR	EN BANC	4/7	CERTIFIES CASE TO COLR.
Court of Appeals	IAC			
MONTANA:				
Supreme Court	COLR	EN BANC	4/7	
NEBRASKA:				
Supreme Court	COLR	EN BANC		
NEVADA:				
Supreme Court	COLR		,,	
NEW HAMPSHIRE:		* ************************************		
Supreme Court	COLR	EN BANC	1/5	
NEW JERSEY:			,	
Supreme Court Appellate Division	COLR	EN BANC	3/7	YES
of Superior Court .	IAC	PANEL	2/2 or 3/3	
NEW MEXICO:				
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	EN BANC PANEL	2/5 2/2 or 2/3	NO
NEW YORK: Court of Appeals	COLR	CY-EN BANC CR-SINGLE	2/7	YES
Appellate Division of Supreme Court	IAC	PANEL	3/4	
Appellate Term of				
Supreme Court	IAC	PANEL	1/3	

Table 7. Some procedures for granting/denying discretionary review in state appellate courts, 1984. (Continued)

State: Court name	Court type	En banc, panel, or single justice decision	Number necessary to grant review	Does a dissenting vote in the IAC guarantee review by the COLR?
NORTH CAROLINA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	EN BANC PANEL	4/7 2/3	YES
NORTH DAKOTA: Supreme Court	COLR			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
OHIO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	EN BANC	4/7	<u>NO</u>
OKLAHOMA: Supreme Court Court of Criminal	COLR	EN BANC	5/9	NO
Appeals Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	EN BANC	2/3	
OREGON: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	EN BANC	3/7	
PENNSYLVANIA: Supreme Court Superior Court Commonwealth Court .	COLR IAC IAC	EN BANC EN BANC EN BANC	2/7 11/22* 5/9	NO
PUERTO RICO: Supreme Court	COLR	EN BANC*	4/7	
RHODE ISLAND: Supreme Court	COLR	EN BANC	1/5	
SOUTH CAROLINA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	EN BANC	2/5	Мо
SOUTH DAKOTA: Supreme Court	COLR	EN BANC	3/5 or 1/5*	
TENNESSEE: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	EN BANC PANEL	3/5 2/3	NO -
Court of Criminal Appeals	IAC	PANEL	2/3	
TEXAS: Supreme Court	COLR	EN BANC	3/9	NO
Court of Criminal Appeals Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	EN BANC	4/9 	<u>NO</u>

Table 7. Some procedures for granting/denying discretionary review in state appellate courts, 1984. (Continued)

State: Court name	Court type	En banc, panel, or single justice decision	Number necessary to grant review	Does a dissenting vote in the IAC guarantee review by the COLR
UTAH: Supreme Court	COLR	EN BANC	3/5	
VERMONT: Supreme Court	COLR	SINGLE	1/5	
VIRGINIA: Supreme Court	COLR	PANEL	1/3	
WASHINGTON: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	COMM-I PANEL COMM	1/1	NO
WEST VIRGINIA: Supreme Court	COLR	EN BANC	3/5	
WISCONSIN: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	EN BANC PANEL	3-4/7* 2/3	
WYOMING: Supreme Court	COLR			

^{-- =} Data element is inapplicable.

Footnotes:

Colorado--Supreme Court: Three of seven justices are needed to grant a petition in certiorari; and four out of seven are needed to grant review in original proceedings and certification petitions.

Massachusetts--Supreme Judicial Court: A three justice panel must unanimously agree to review "direct review" cases. A non-unanimous decision, and all other petitions for review must be decided by the full Court.

Puerto Rico--Discretionary review decisions are handled by panels during recess.

Pennsylvania--Superior Court: Eleven of twenty-two necessary to grant discretionary review is for interlocutory appeals.

South Dakota--A single justice can grant review to a miscellaneous filing.

Wisconsin--Supreme Court: A commissioner makes a recommendation on review. If there is no objection during conference, the recommendation is accepted. If there is an objection to a petition for review, the Court votes and three of the seven members must agree to grant review. If a justice who initially voted to grant review, petitions to dismiss as improvidently granted, it is dismissed when at least four members agree to do so. Petitions to bypass and certification are granted by a vote of four.

COLR = Court of last resort.

COMM = Commissioner.

IAC = Intermediate appellate court.

Table 8. Sentence review in non-capital state offense cases, 1984.

Several states have implemented formal sentence review procedures in their trial courts. Such procedures involve special proceedings whose sole function is to review the length of the sentence. Table 8 provides some clues to help identify any relationship between the existence of formal sentence review procedures at the trial court level, and whether appellate courts in those states allow an appeal in which the only issue is the length of the sentence. For purposes of this Table, sentence review does not include those cases where the sole issue involves the constitutionality of a statute. The two columns, after the court type, ask those questions specifically. A

blank space indicates that no information was available for that data element. All codes used in this Table are defined at the end of this Table.

The final part of this Table identifies some restrictions on sentence review in the state appellate courts. Although most appellate courts that hear non-death penalty sentence review cases have no restrictions on such appeals, some courts do limit access to the appellate process for those cases. The most common restriction is that the sentence must exceed the statutory maximum length. Additional restrictions are noted in the "other" column.

		Is there a formal sentence	Is review pos- sible in appel- late court where	Rest	Sentence	tence review:
State: Court name	Court type	review procedure at trial court?	sentence length is only issue?	None	must exceed statutory maximum	Other:
ALABAMA: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	YES	X	0	. 0
Court of Civil Appeals	IAC	-		· ·	·	
Court of Criminal Appeals	IAC	No	YES	X	0	0
ALASKA:						
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	YES YES	YES YES	X	0	0
ARIZONA:						
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	NO NO	YES YES	X	0 ,	0
ARKANSAS:						
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR COLR	NO NO	YES YES	0	X	0
CALIFORNIA:		And the second s	**************************************			
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	YES* YES*	YES YES	X	0	0,
COLORADO:					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	NO NO	YES YES	0 0	X ,	0
CONNECTICUT:						
Supreme Court Appellate Court	COLR IAC	YES YES	NO NO			
DELAWARE:						
Supreme Court	COLR	NO .	NO			
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: Court of Appeals	COLR	YES	NO			

Table 8. Sentence review in non-capital state offense cases, 1984. (continued)

		The second secon	Is review pos-	Restrictions on sentence review:			
State: Court name	Court type	Is there a formal sentence review procedure at trial court?	sible in appel- late court where sentence length is only issue?	None	Sentence must exceed statutory maximum		
EL ODION.						DEVIATES EDOM	
FLORIDA: Supreme Court	COLR	NO.	YES	0	0	DEVIATES FROM GUIDELINES	
District Court of Appeals	IAC	ŅO	YES	0	0	DEVIATES FROM GUIDELINES	
GEORGIA:							
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	YES YES	NO NO				
HAWAII: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	NO				
Intermediate Court of Appeals	IAC	NO ·	NO				
				· · · · · ·			
IDAHO: Supreme Court	COLR	YES	YES	X	0	0	
Court of Appeals	IAC	YES	YES	X	Ō	0	
ILLINOIS:	COLD	110	VEC				
Supreme Court Appellate Court	COLR IAC	NO NO	YES YES	X	0	0	
INDIANA:	COLD	10	VEC				
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	NO NO	YES YES	X 0	0	LESS THAN 10 YRS	
IOWA:	 						
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	NO NO	YES YES	X	0	0	
KANSAS:						• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	NO NO	YES YES	X X	0	0 0	
	-					•	
KENTUCKY: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	YES	X X	0	0	
Court of Appeals	IAC	NO	YES	Х	0	0	
LOUISIANA: Supreme Court	COLR	NO NO	YES	0	0	MUST BE EXCESSIVE	
Court of Appeals	IAC	NO	YES	Ö		MUST BE EXCESSIVE	
MAINE: Supreme Judicial Court Sitting as							
Law Court	COLR	NO NO	YES	0	0	MORE THAN 1 YR	
MARYLAND:	COL D	VEC	NO.				
Court of Appeals Court of Special	COLR	YES	NO		**		
Appeals	IAC	YES	NO TO THE REPORT OF THE PERSON			androma bol Baran ar boltan	

Table 8. Sentence review in non-capital state offense cases, 1984. (continued)

State: Court name	Court type	Is there a formal sentence review procedure at trial court?	Is review pos- sible in appel- late court where sentence length is only issue?	Rest None	rictions on s Sentence must exceed statutory maximum	entence review: Other:
MASSACHUSETTS: Supreme Judicial Court	COLR IAC	YES YES	NO YES	- - 0	- - -	<u>-</u>
MICHIGAN: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	NO NO	YES YES	X	0	0
MINNESOTA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	NO NO	YES YES	х х	0 0	0
MISSISSIPPI: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	YES	x	0	0
MISSOURI: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	NO NO	NO NO			
MONTANA: Supreme Court	COLR	YES	NO			-
NEBRASKA: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	YES	0	0	SUBMITTED W/OUT ARGUMENT
NEVADA: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	YES	X	0	0
NEW HAMPSHIRE: Supreme Court	COLR	YES	YES			
NEW JERSEY: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	YES	X	0	0
Appellate Division of Superior Court .	1AC	YES	YES	χ	DE O ARGU	CIDED ON ORAL MENT W/OUT BRIEF
NEW MEXICO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	YES YES	YES YES	0 X	X 0	0 0
NEW YORK: Court of Appeals Appellate Division	COLR	NO	YES	X	0	0
of Supreme Court Appellate Term of Supreme Court	IAC IAC	NO NO	YES YES	0 X	0	WAIVES ROUTINE PROCEDURES O
NORTH CAROLINA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR 1AC	YES YES	YES YES	0	0	G G
Source of Whheals ***	170		163	U	•	

Table 8. Sentence review in non-capital state offense cases, 1984. (continued)

State: Court name	Is there a formal sentence Court review procedure type at trial court?		Is review pos- sible in appel- late court where sentence length is only issue?	Rest None	rictions on se Sentence must exceed statutory maximum	ntence review: Other:
NORTH DAKOTA: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	Ϋ́ES	X	0	0
OHIO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR 1AC	NO NO	NO YES	<u>x</u>	 0	
OKLAHOMA: Supreme Court	COLR				**************************************	
Court of Criminal Appeals Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	YES 	YES	X 	<u>0</u>	0
OREGON: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	NO NO	YES YES	X	0 0	0 0
PENNSYLVANIA: Supreme Court Superior Court Commonwealth Court .	COLR IAC IAC	YES YES	NO YES	<u>x</u>	0	0
PUERTO RICO: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	ОМ		, 	
RHODE ISLAND: Supreme Court	COLR	YES	YES	X	0	0
SOUTH CAROLINA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	NO NO	YES YES	0 0	X	0 0
SOUTH DAKOTA: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	YES	X	0	0
TENNESSEE: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	NO 	YES	0	<u>X</u>	0
Court of Criminal Appeals	IAC	NO	YES	0	X	0
TEXAS: Supreme Court	COLR					
Court of Criminal Appeals Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	NO NO	NO NO			•••
UTAH: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	YES	X	0	0
VERMONT: Supreme Court	COLR	YES	NO			

Table 8. Sentence review in non-capital state offense cases, 1984. (continued)

State: Court name	Court type	Is there a formal sentence review procedure at trial court?	Is review pos- sible in appel- late court where sentence length is only issue?	Rest None	Sentence must exceed statutory maximum	entence review: Other:
VIRGINIA: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	YES	0.	X	0
WASHINGTON: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	NO NO	YES YES	0	X X	0
WEST VIRGINIA: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	YES	0	X	0
WISCONSIN: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	NO NO	NO NO		••	
WYOMING: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	YES	x	0	0

^{-- =} Data element is inapplicable. COLR = Court of last resort. IAC = Intermediate appellate court.

Footnotes:

California--All convicted defendants who are sentenced to prison have a mandatory review for disparity that is conducted in an administrative hearing, not in the trial courts.

Table 9. Route of appeals of administrative agency cases in state appellate courts, 1984.

An important, but often overlooked component of state court systems is the link between "conventional" court cases that routinely make up the workloads of state courts (e.g., criminal, tort, and juvenile cases), and cases involving administrative agencies. Table 9 demonstrates the link between these two important components of the legal system by identifying the route of appeals of administrative agency decisions to the state appellate courts. A blank space indicates that no information was available for that data element. All codes used in this Table are defined at the end of this Table.

There are three basic sources of these cases. They may come to the appellate court directly from either the agency, the trial courts, or the intermediate appellate courts. The most frequently cited admin-

istrative agencies from which appeals are taken directly to the appellate courts are specifically identified in this table using the generic terms: "Public Service Commission," "Workers' Compensation Commission," and "Unemployment Insurance Commission." Other agencies are identified when appropriate.

To further enhance an understanding of the link between administrative law and conventional state courts, an "M" and "D" are used in lieu of the "X" employed in the previous tables. An "M" indicates a mandatory appeal coming from the specified source; a "D" means a discretionary appeal, and an "M/D" represents either a mandatory or discretionary appeal, depending on the situation. An "O" is used when an appeal cannot come directly from the specific source.

State: Court name	Court type	Public Service Comm.	Compen-	Unemploy- ment Insurance Comm.	directly from the: Other Agencies:	Trial court(s)	Inter- mediate appellate court
	3 "						
ALABAMA: Supreme Court Court of Civil	COLR	M	0	0		0	D
Appeals	IAC	0	0	0	0	M	
Appeals	IAC	, ,					
ALASKA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	0	0	0	<u>0</u>	M	0
ARIZONA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	0	0 M	0 D	0 0	0 M	D
ARKANSAS: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR COLR	0	M/D 0	0 M	ALCOHOL BEVERAGE CONTROL (M/D) O	0 0	0
CALIFORNIA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	D 0	0 D	0 0	Ö M/D	0 M/D	<u>D</u>
COLORADO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	M 0	0 M	O M	O SEVERAL (M)	O M	<u>D</u>
CONNECTICUT: Supreme Court Appellate Court	COLR IAC	0	0 M	0	0 0	0 M	M/D
DELAWARE: Supreme Court	COLR	0	0	0	0	М	

Table 9: Route of appeals of administrative agency cases in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

An appeal of an administrative agency case comes to this court directly from the:

	directly from the:										
State: Court name	Court type	Service	Compen-	Unemploy- ment Insurance Comm.		Trial court(s)	Inter- mediate appellate court				
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: Court of Appeals	COLR	M	M	M	ALL (M)	0					
FLORIDA: Supreme Court District Court of Appeals	COLR	M 0	0 ·	O M	O ALL (M)	0	M/D				
GEORGIA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	0 0	0	0	0 0	M/D M/D	M/D				
HAWAII: Supreme Court Intermediate Court	COLR	0	0	0	0	0	M/D				
IDAHO: Supreme Court	COLR	м ———	M	M M	ALL (M)						
ILLINOIS: Supreme Court Appellate Court	COLR	0 0 0	0 0 M	0 0	O LABOR RELATIONS (M); BD. ELEC (M) POLLUTION CONTROL (M)	0 M/D M	M/D				
INDIANA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	0 M	0 M	0 M	O ALL (M)	0 M	D				
IOWA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	M M	D				
KANSAS: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	0 M	0 0	0 0	O TAX BOARD (M)	M/D M	0				
KENTUCKY: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0 M/D	<u>D</u>				
LOUISIANA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	0 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	0 0	CIVIL SERVICE COMM (M); ENVIR. PROT. (M); ETHICS COMM. (M)	M/D M	M/D				
MAINE: Supreme Judicial Court Sitting as Law Court	COLR	M	D	0	0	M					

Table 9: Route of appeals of administrative agency cases in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

An appeal of an administrative agency case comes to this court directly from the:

			directly from the:						
State: Court name	Court type	Public Service Comm.	Compen-	Unemploy- ment Insurance Comm.	Other Agencies:	Trial court(s)	Inter- mediate appellate court		
MARYLAND: Court of Appeals	COLR	0	0	0	0	M/D	M/D		
Court of Special Appeals	IAC	0	0	0	0	М			
MASSACHUSETTS: Supreme Judicial Court	COLR	0	0	D	TAX BOARD (M)	M/D	D		
Appeals Court	IAC	0	0	. 0.	LABOR RELATIONS(M)	, M			
MICHIGAN: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	0 M/D	0 M/D	0 M/D	O ALL (M/D)	0 D	D 		
MINNESOTA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	0 M	M 0	O M	TAX COURT (M) ALL (M)	0 M	<u>D</u>		
MISSISSIPPI: Supreme Court	COLR	М	0	0	0	М			
MISSOURI: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	0	0	0	REVENUE CASES (M) ALL (M)	0 M	0		
MONTANA: Supreme Court	COLR	D	D	D	ALL (D)	D	-		
NEBRASKA: Supreme Court	COLR	M/D	M/D	M/D	M/D	M/D			
NEVADA: Supreme Court	COLR	0	0	0	0	М			
NEW HAMPSHIRE: Supreme Court	COLR	D	D	D	ALL (D)	. 0			
NEW JERSEY: Supreme Court	COLR	0	0	0	0	0	M/D		
Appellate Division of Superior Court .	IAC	М	М	W	ALL (M)	0			
NEW MEXICO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	M 0	0	M O	ALL (M/D) ALL (M)	M M	0		

Table 9: Route of appeals of administrative agency cases in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

An appeal of an administrative agency case comes to this court

State: Court name	Court type	Public Service Comm.	Compen-	Unemploy- ment Insurance Comm.	directly from the: Other Agencies:	Trial court(s)	Inter- mediate appellate court
		100					
NEW YORK: Court of Appeals	COLR	0	0	0	na na kaominina dia mpikambana and ana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana	0	M/D
Appellate Division of Supreme Court	IAC	,W*	M	M	ENVIRONMENTAL BD; (M);	М	
Appellate Term of Supreme Court	IAC				HUMAN RIGHTS APPEAL (M)		
NORTH CAROLINA:							
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	M 0	0 M	0	PROPERTY TAX (M); COMM.	0 M/D	M/D
					INSURANCE (M); BD. STATE CONTRACT APPEALS (M)	M/D	
NORTH DAKOTA:							
Supreme Court	COLR	0	· 10	0	0	M 	
OH10:	001.0				DOADD TAY ADDEALS (W). FLCE (W)	•	
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	M 0	0	0 0	BOARD TAX APPEALS (M); ELSE (M) BOARD TAX APPEALS (M)	0 M	M
OKLAHOMA:			·				
Supreme Court	COLR	D	М	0	TAX COURT (M); BANKING BD (D); TAX COMM (D); BD PROPERTY/ CASUALTY RATES (D)	D	
Court of Criminal Appeals	COLR				CHOUNTI MAILS (U)		
Court of Appeals	IAC	D	М	0	CASES TRANSFERRED FROM SUPREME COURT	D	- -
OREGON:							
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	0 M	0 M	0 M	TAX COURT APPEALS (M) ALL (M)	0	D
PENNSYLVANIA:	-			***************************************			
Supreme Court	COLR	0	0	0	LEGISLATIVE REAPPOR. COMM (M)	M 	D
Commonwealth Court .	IAC	M/D	M/D	M/D	ALL (M/D)	M/D	
PUERTO RICO: Supreme Court	COLR	0	М	0	LABOR RELATIONS; COMM.	M/D	
Supreme Court	COLK	. • 0 . • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	19		MUNICIPAL COMPLAINTS; MIN. WAGE BD.; SUGAR BD (M)	riyo	
RHODE ISLAND: Supreme Court	COLR	М	D	0	0	D	
SOUTH CAROLINA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	0	0	0	O CASES TRANSFERRED FROM SUPREME COURT	D M	D

Table 9: Route of appeals of administrative agency cases in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

An appeal of an administrative agency case comes to this court directly from the:

		directly from the:									
State: Court name	Court type	Public Service Comm.	Compen-	Unemploy- ment Insurance Comm.	Other Agencies:	Trial court(s)	Inter- mediate appellate court				
		1									
SOUTH DAKOTA:											
Supreme Court	COLR	. 0 ,	0	0	0	M					
TENNESSEE:						1					
Supreme Court	COLR	0	- D	0	0	0	D				
Court of Appeals	IAC	0	0	0	0	, M					
Court of Criminal											
Appeals	IAC				and the state of the second	M 44					
TEXAS:											
Supreme Court	COLR	. 0:	0	0	. 0	0	0				
Court of Criminal											
Appeals	COLR	· -		0	 0						
Court of Appeals	IAC	0	0	. 0.	0	M	- to to				
UTAH:											
Supreme Court	COLR	M	М	М	ELSE, EXCEPT TAX COMMISSION (M)	M .					
	-		<u> </u>	-							
VERMONT:	COLD				744 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Supreme Court	COLR	M .	M	M	(M)	M					
VIRGINIA:											
Supreme Court	COLR	М	D	0		D					
WASHINGTON:			1								
Supreme Court	COLR	0	0	0	0	0	M/D				
Court of Appeals	IAC	ŏ	Ŏ	Ö	Ŏ	Ď	-				
WEST VIRGINIA:											
Supreme Court	COLR	0	D:	D	0	D					
Supreme dour c											
WISCONSIN:											
Supreme Court	COLR	0.,	0	0	0	0	D				
Court of Appeals	IAC	0	0	0	0	М					
WYOMING:				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Supreme Court	COLR	0	0	0	0	M					
	- 1	<u> </u>			<u> Paragonal Paragonal Albandaria de Companya da Paragonal Paragonal Paragonal Paragonal Paragonal Paragonal Pa</u>						

^{-- =} Data element is inapplicable.

Footnotes:

New York--Appellate Division of Supreme Court: Public Service Commission cases are applicable to the Third Department only.

ALL = All state agency cases.

COLR = Court of last resort. ELSE = All other state agency cases. IAC = Intermediate appellate court.

Table 10. Contents of opinion counts in state appellate courts, 1984.

One of the most misunderstood terms used in reporting an appellate court's workload is "opinion." Does the data represented by this term equal the number of written opinions, or the number of cases resolved by opinions? These are two different data elements, and Table 10 answers this question for statistical reporting in state appellate courts. One can assume that a "case count" will yield a larger number than a "written document count." A blank space indicates that no information was available for that data element. All codes used in this Table are defined at the end of this Table.

A second component of the opinion counting problem lies with identifying the various types of "opinions" reported in a state's opinion figure. In addition to signed majority opinions, does an opinion count include per curiam opinions (i.e., unsigned opinions), or memoranda/orders? Table 10 attempts to address this question. Although several states contend that they do not have memorandum decisions per se, when presented with an example of a memorandum decision, the contact person clearly indicated that these types of decisions were included in their opinion count. This was one of the more difficult tables to construct using generic terminology.

		Number of reported	opinions equals:	<u>Contents o</u>	Contents of reported opinion count:			
State: Court name	Court type	Number of cases resolved by opinion	Number of written documents	Signed majority opinion	Per curiam opinion	"Memorandum" or "final order"		
ALABAMA: Supreme Court Court of Civil	COLR	X	0	X	X	X		
Appeals Court of Criminal Appeals	IAC	X X	0	X X	X	X X		
ALASKA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	X	0 0	X	0	0 0		
ARIZONA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	X X	0 0	X	X	0 X		
ARKANSAS: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR COLR	X X	0	X	X O	X		
CALIFORNIA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	X X	0 X	X	X	X X		
COLORADO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	X	0	X	X	0 X		
CONNECTICUT: Supreme Court Appellate Court	COLR IAC	X	0	X	X X	X		
DELAWARE: Supreme Court	COLR	X	0	X	0	0		
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: Court of Appeals	COLR	X	0	X	X	0		

Table 10. Contents of opinion counts in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued).

		Number of reported	opinions equals:	Contents o	of reported	opinion count:
State: Court name	Court type	Number of cases resolved by opinion	Number of written documents	Signed majority opinion	Per curiam opinion	"Memorandum" or "final order"
FLORIDA: Supreme Court District Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	n/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
GEORGIA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	0 X	X O	X	X	0 0
HAWAII: Supreme Court Intermediate Court of Appeals	COLR	X X	0	x x	X X	X X
IDAHO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR TAC	0	X	X	X	X O
ILLINOIS: Supreme Court Appellate Court	COLR IAC	X X X	0 X	X	X	
INDIANA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	X	0	X	X X	0 X
IOWA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	X	0 0	X	0	0
KANSAS: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	X X	0	X	X	X
KENTUCKY: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	X	X X	X X X	<u>x</u>	X X
LOUISIANA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	X X	0	X	0	X* X
MAINE: Supreme Judicial Court Sitting as Law Court	COLR	0	X	X	0	0

Table 10. Contents of opinion counts in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

		Number of reported	opinions equals:	<u>Contents o</u>	of reported	opinion count:
State: Court name	Court type	Number of cases resolved by opinion	Number of written documents	Signed majority opinion	Per curiam <u>opinion</u>	"Memorandum" or "final order"
MARYLAND: Court of Appeals	COLR	X	0	X	X	
Court of Special Appeals	IAC	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
MASSACHUSETTS: Supreme Judicial Court Appeals Court	COLR IAC	X	X	X	0 0	0
MICHIGAN: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	X	0 0	X	X	o X
MINNESOTA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	X	0 0	X	X	0
MISSISSIPPI: Supreme Court	COLR	X	0	X	0	X
MISSOURI: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	X	0 X	X	X	X
MONTANA: Supreme Court	COLR	X	0	X	0	0
NEBRASKA: Supreme Court	COLR	X	0	X	X ***	X
NEVADA: Supreme Court	COLR	x	X	X	X	0
NEW HAMPSHIRE: Supreme Court	COLR	X	X	X	X	x
NEW JERSEY: Supreme Court Appellate Division	COLR	X	X	X	X	0
of Superior Court .	IAC	X	0	X	X	X*
NEW MEXICO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	X	0	X	0	X

Table 10. Contents of opinion counts in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

		Number of reported		Conten <u>t</u> s o	of reported	opinion count:
State: Court name	Court type	Number of cases resolved by opinion	Number of written documents	Signed majority opinion	Per curiam opinion	"Memorandum" or "final order"
NEW YORK: Court of Appeals	COLR	0	X	x	0	0
Appellate Division of Supreme Court	IAC	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Appellate Term of Supreme Court	IAC	X	0	X	0	0
NORTH CAROLINA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	X X	0	X	0	X X
NORTH DAKOTA: Supreme Court	COLP	X	0	X	X	X
OHIO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	N/A X	N/A O	N/A X	N/A O	N/A X
OKLAHOMA: Supreme Court	COLR	X	0	X	X	0
Court of Criminal Appeals Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	X	0	X	X X	0
OREGON: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	N/A X	N/A X	N/A X	N/A O	N/A O
PENNSYLVANIA: Supreme Court Superior Court Commonwealth Court .	COLR IAC IAC	N/A X O	N/A 0 X	N/A X X	N/A X X	N/A X X
PUERTO RICO: Supreme Court	COLR	X	0	X	0	0
RHODE ISLAND: Supreme Court	COLR	X	x	X	X	X
SOUTH CAROLINA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	X X	0	X	X	X
SOUTH DAKOTA: Supreme Court	COLR	x	x	X	X	С

Table 10. Contents of opinion counts in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

		Number of reported		Contents of reported opinion cou					
State: Court name	Court type	Number of cases resolved by opinion	Number of written documents	Signed majority opinion	Per curiam <u>opinion</u>	"Memorandum" or "final order"			
TENNESSEE: Supreme Court Court of Appeals Court of Criminal Appeals	COLR TAC	X X X	0 0 0	X X X	X X X	X 0 0			
TEXAS: Supreme Court Court of Criminal Appeals Court of Appeals	COLR COLR IAC	0 X X	X 0 0	X X X	0 0 0	0 0 0			
UTAH: Supreme Court	COLR	X	0	X	0	0			
VERMONT: Supreme Court	COLR	X	0	X	0	0			
VIRGINIA: Supreme Court	COLR	X	0	X	X	0			
WASHINGTON: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	X X	0 0	X X	X	X			
WEST VIRGINIA: Supreme Court	COLR	X	0	X	X	X			
WISCONSIN: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	X	0 0	X X	X 0	0 0			
WYOMING: Supreme Court	COLR	X	X	X	X				

^{-- =} Data element is inapplicable. COLR = Court of last resort. IAC = Intermediate appellate court. N/A = The data are unavailable.

Footnotes:

Louisiana--Supreme Court: There are only a few memos on appeals.

New Jersey--Appellate Division of Superior Court: Data include "Rule Affirmances."

Table 11. Data availability on manner of disposition in state appellate courts, 1984.

Table 11 answers two questions: "Which data are available regarding the various manners of disposition in state appellate courts; and in what form are these data presented?" A blank space indicates that no information was available for that data element. All codes used in this Table are defined at the end of this Table.

The responses to these questions may or may not be affected by an appellate court having its basic caseload and or case processing information in a machine readable form (i.e., on a computer). It might be interesting to know whether automated courts report more data than non-automated courts. The lack of any relationship, however, might be attributed to automated courts not collecting disposition information, or collecting it and simply not reporting the data; or the fact that courts with small caseloads might be able to easily record, compute and report such manner of disposition data.

The second column asks the question, "Are all opinions published?" The answer to this question will

have an impact on the case selection, analysis, and extent of generalizations that are possible for those researchers who do case work. Researchers who focus on the outcomes of decisions must know whether they are dealing with the entire universe of cases before generalizing about a court's behavior.

Finally, the various manners of disposition are listed in Table 11. An "I" indicates the data element is presented independently from the other elements. An "O" means that data are unavailable for that data element. The use of other abbreviations illustrates the extent to which the other data elements are reported under a single term. For example, a "MAJ" under the columns for majority opinion and memorandum indicates that all three types of opinion are included in the data reported for majority opinions (see the category codes below). Multiple codes in any column indicate that the data for the element represented by that column are dispersed among the various codes in the column.

		Are court	Which of the following data elements are reported independently from the other elements?						
State:	Court	case- load data auto-	Are all	Majority	Per curiam	Memor- andum	Trans-	Dissenting or concurring	
Court name	type	mated?	published	opinion	opinion	or order	ferred	opinion	<u>Other</u>
ALABAMA:									
Supreme Court Court of Civil	COLR	NO	YES	LAM	LAM	MAJ	д-	N/A	DWS
Appeals	IAC	NO	YES	LAM	LAM	MAJ	, i	N/A	DWS
Appeals	IAC	NO	NO	MAJ		LAM		N/A	N/A
ALASKA:					-				
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	YES YES	NO NO	I	I PC	I PC		N/A N/A	DWS DWS
oour correppears						. ————			
ARIZONA: Supreme Court	COLR	YES	YES	LAM	MAJ	I/DWS	.	N/A	DWS
Court of Appeals	IAC	DIV.1	YES	MAJ	MAJ	MAJ/OTH	Ĭ	N/A	HTO
ARKANSAS:						· ·			
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	NO NO	NO NO	CAM I	LAM I	MAJ	Ï	I	DWS/OTH DWS
									-
CALIFORNIA: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	YES	MAJ	MAJ	MAJ/OTH		N/A	отн
Court of Appeals	IAC	SOME	NO	LAM	MAJ	MAJ/OTH		N/A	ОТН
COLORADO:							· 		
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	NO NO	YES NO	LAM LAM	MAJ	HTO/LAM	Ī	N/A N/A	OTH OTH

Table 11: Data availability on manner of disposition in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

		Are court		Which of the following data elements independently from the other ele					reported s?		
State: Court name	Court type	case- load data auto- mated?	Are all opinions published	Majority opinion	Per curiam opinion	Memor- andum or order	Trans- ferred	Dissenting or concurring opinion	Other		
CONNECTICUT: Supreme Court Appellate Court	COLR IAC	NO NO	YES YES	MAJ KAM	MAJ KAM	MAJ/OTH HTO/LAM	I .	N/A N/A	DWS/OTH DWS/OTH		
DELAWARE: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	YES	I	I	I		N/A	DWS		
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: Court of Appeals	COLR	YES	YES*	MAJ	MAJ	I/DWS		N/A	DWS		
FLORIDA: Supreme Court District Court of Appeals	COLR	YES NO	YES YES	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A		
GEORGIA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	NO NO	YES NO	MAJ MAJ	MAJ	I I	I	N/A N/A	DWS DWS		
HAWAII: Supreme Court Intermediate Court of Appeals	COLR	YES YES	YES YES	MAJ	MAJ MAJ	MAJ/OTH	I	N/A N/A	DWS/OTH		
IDAHO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	YES YES	YES NO	LAM LAM	MAJ MAJ	MAJ N/A	I N/A	N/A N/A	OTH N/A		
ILLINOIS: Supreme Court Appellate Court	COLR IAC	YES YES	YES YES	LAM LAM	MAJ KAM	N/A MAJ	N/A I	N/A I	N/A DWS/OTH		
INDIANA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	NO NO	YES NO	LAM LAM	LAM LAM	I MAJ/ORD	N/A I	I I	N/A N/A		
IOWA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	NO NO	NO NO	I I	I I	I .	I -	I	DWS DWS		
KANSAS: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	NO NO	NO NO	MAJ MAJ	LAM LAM	HTO\CAM HTO\CAM	ī	N/A N/A	OTH OTH		
KENTUCKY: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	NO NO	NO NO	MAJ MAJ	MAJ	MAJ/ORD MAJ/ORD	Ī	N/A N/A	ORD ORD		
LOUISIANA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	YES SOME	YES MOST	MAJ MAJ	<u> </u>	MAJ MAJ	<u>I</u> _	N/A N/A	N/A DWS		

Table 11: Data availability on manner of disposition in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

		Are court		Which i	of the f ndependen	ollowing d tly from t	ata elem he other	ents are rep elements?	orted
State: Court name	Court type	case- load data auto- mated?	Are all opinions published	Majority opinion	Per curiam opinion	Memor- andum or order	Trans- ferred	Dissenting or concurring opinion	Other
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
MAINE: Supreme Judicial Court Sitting as Law Court	COLR	NO	YES	I	i	ORD/OTH	•••	N/A	отн
MARYLAND:									
Court of Appeals	COLR	NO	YES	I	I	N/A	I	I	N/A
Court of Special Appeals	IAC	SOME	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	I	N/A	N/A
ASSACHUSETTS:				· 					
Supreme Judicial	COLR	NO	YES	I	PC	PC	I	N/A	DWS
Court Appeals Court	IAC	NO	YES	İ	I	I	Ĭ	N/A	DWS
MICHIGAN:		:							
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	YES YES	YES No	LAM	LAM GAM	I MAJ/ORD	ORD	N/A N/A	DWS DWS
IINNESOTA:						-		ه کند که در ه	
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	YES YES	YES YES	MAJ I	MAJ	I I	Ĭ	N/A N/A	DWS DWS
			· 					·	1
MISSISSIPPI: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	NO	MAJ	ī	MAJ		I	
MISSOURI:		,			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	NO YES	YES YES	LAM LAM	LAM	MAJ/ORD MAJ	Ĭ	N/A N/A	DWS/OTH DWS/OTH
MONTANA:	-								
Supreme Court	COLR	NO	YES	I	ОТН	ОТН		N/A	отн
IEBRASKA:									
Supreme Court	COLR	NO	YES	MAJ	MAJ	MAJ		N/A	N/A
NEVADA: Supreme Court	CGLR	NO	YES	MAJ	MAJ	DWS		N/A	DWS/OTH
adhi énie pontre							· — ——————————————————————————————————	H/N	DR5/0111
EW HAMPSHIRE: Supreme Court	COLR	YES	YES	CAM	MAJ	MAJ		N/A	DWS/OTH
IEW JERSEY:									
Supreme Court Appellate Division	COLR	NO	NO	MAJ	MAJ	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
of Superior Court	IAC	YES	NO	MAJ	MAJ	MAJ	I	N/A	DWS

Table 11: Data availability on manner of disposition in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

		Are court		Which	of the f	following d	lata elem he other	ments are rep elements?	oorted
State: Court name	Court type	case- load data auto- mated?	Are all opinions published	Majority opinion	Per curiam opinion	Memor- andum or order	Trans- ferred	Dissenting or concurring opinion	Other
NEW MEXICO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	YES NO	YES NO	LAM I	<u>I</u>	MAJ ORD/DWS	N/A I	N/A N/A	N/A DWS
NEW YORK: Court of Appeals Appellate Division	COLR	YES	YES	I ·	1 I	I		I	N/A
of Supreme Court Appellate Term of Supreme Court	IAC	NO YES	NO	N/A I	N/A PC	N/A PC/ORD	N/A 	N/A N/A	N/A DWS/OTH
NORTH CAROLINA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	YES NO	YES NO	MAJ MAJ	PC	MAJ/PC MAJ		N/A N/A	DWS DWS
NORTH DAKOTA: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	YES	MAJ	MAJ	ORD		N/A	MAJ/ORD
OHIO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	NO NO	YES NO	A\/A LAM	N/A PC/ORD	N/A MAJ/PC/ ORD	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
OKLAHOMA: Supreme Court Court of Criminal	COLR	YES	NO	MAJ	MAJ	1	N/A	DISSENTS	I
AppealsCourt of Appeals	COLR IAC	YES YES	NO NO	LAM LAM	LAM LAM	ORD MAJ	ORD N/A	N/A N/A	ORD DWS
OREGON: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	YES YES	YES YES	N/A I	N/A I	N/A I	N/A DWS	N/A N/A	N/A DWS
PENNSYLVANIA: Supreme Court Superior Court Commonwealth Court .	COLR IAC IAC	NO YES NO	YES NO NO	N/A MAJ MAJ	N/A LAM LAM	A\/A MAJ MAJ	N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/A
PUERTO RICO: Supreme Court	COLR	No	YES	Ī	. <u> </u>	I		N/A	DWS/OTH
RHODE ISLAND: Supreme Court	COLR	YES	YES	MAJ	MAJ	MAJ/ORD		N/A	DWS
SOUTH CAROLINA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	NO NO	NO NO	MAJ/ORD MAJ/ORD	MAJ/ORD MAJ/ORD	MAJ/ORD MAJ/ORD	I I	N/A N/A	DWS N/A

Table 11: Data availability on manner of disposition in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

		Are court		Which	of the f ndepender	following d itly from t	lata elem he other	ents are rep elements?	orted
State: Court name	Court type	case- load data auto- mated?	Are all opinions published	Majority opinion	Per curiam opinion	Memor- andum or order	Trans- ferred	Dissenting or concurring opinion	Other
SOUTH DAKOTA: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	YES	CAM	MAJ	I		N/A	DWS/OTH
TENNESSEE: Supreme Court Court of Appeals Court of Criminal	COLR IAC	NO NO	NO NO	LAM LAM	MAJ KAM	MAJ/ORD	ORD I	N/A I	N/A DWS
Appeals	IAC	NO	NO	LAM	MAJ	: I	I	I	DWS
TEXAS: Supreme Court Court of Criminal	COLR	NO	YES	I	I			I	N/A
Appeals	COLR IAC	SOME MOST COURTS	YES NO	I I	I I	I I	Ī	Ţ	N/A I
JTAH: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	NO	1	I	I	-	N/A	DWS
/ERMONT: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	NO	I	ī	I		N/A	DWS
/IRGINIA: Supreme Court	COLR	НО	NO	MAJ	LAM	I		N/A	N/A
NASHINGTON: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	YES YES	YES NO	LAM LAM	LAM LAM	LAM LAM	I I	N/A N/A	DWS/OTH DWS/OTH
WEST VIRGINIA: Supreme Court	COLR	YES	YES	MAJ	MAJ	MAJ/ORD	•	N/A	DWS
VISCONSIN: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	NO NO	YES NO	LAM I	MAJ I	ORD I	ORD	N/A N/A	ORD/DWS DWS
YOMING: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	YES	MAJ	LAM	MAJ/ORO		I	DWS

^{-- =} Data element is inapplicable.

COLR = Court of last resort.

IAC = Intermediate appellate court.

N/A = The data are unavailable.

Table 11: Data availability on manner of disposition in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

OTHER CODES USED IN TABLE 11:

DWS = The data are reported under dismissed/withdrawn/settled

I = The data are reported independently from

the other elements.

MAJ = The data are reported under majority opinions.

ORD = The data are reported under memorandum/order.

OTH = The data are reported under other

dispositions.

PC = The data are reported with per curiam opinions.

Footnotes:

District of Columbia--All opinions are published, except for "memo opinions and judgments."

Table 12. Data availability on type of disposition in state appellate courts, 1984.

Researchers have begun to study the outcomes of appeals in state appellate courts. Table 12 illustrates the availability of the type of disposition data elements in state appellate courts, and the form in which these data are presented. A variety of disposition types are listed across the top of Table 12.

An "O" indicates that data are unavailable. An "I" indicates that data for that element are reported

independently from the other elements. Other codes indicate the extent to which data for different variables are reported under the same term. For example, an "R" under both reversed and reversed/remanded represents a situation where both reversed and reversed/remanded cases are included under the term "reversed." A blank space indicates that no information was available for that data element. All codes used in this Table are defined at the end of this Table.

		Are court		Which of	the fo	pendent	data e ly from	lements the ot	are repo er eleme	orted in ents?	n some	form
		case- load data	Affirm-	Affirm- ed in part/re-		Rever- sed and					Relief grant-	
State:		auto-	modi-	versed	Rever-	re-	Re-	Dis-		ed/	ed/	
Court name	type	mated?	fied	in part	sed	manded	manded	<u>missed</u>	Vacated	denied	denied	<u>Other</u>
ALABAMA:												
Supreme Court Court of Civil	COLR	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	G/D	N/A	N/A
Appeals Court of Criminal	IAC	NO	I	I	R	R	R	N/A	N/A		G/D	N/A
Appeals	IAC	NO ·	I	I	I	1	Ι.	İ	N/A	:	G/D	N/A
ALASKA:		1 1										
Supreme Court	COLR	YES YES	I	I	R R	R R	R R	I	R R	D	N/A	I
Court of Appeals	IAC	153			- K					N/A	N/A	
ARIZONA:		=-										
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	YES DIV.1	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
ARKANSAS:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-		-						
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR COLR	ИО ИО	I	I	I	I	I	I I	N/A N/A	N/A 	G/D D	I
0.1.1.7.CO.U.T.			<u></u>									
CALIFORNIA: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	I		I	I		I	N/A	G/D	N/A	N/A
Court of Appeals	IAC	SOME	I		1	I		I	N/A	G/D	N/A	N/A
COLORADO:					1							-
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	NO NO	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
	· 						<u> </u>					-
CONNECTICUT: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Appellate Court	IAC	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
DELAWARE:		1 - 1					-					
Supreme Court	COLR	NO	I	N/A	1	I	I	I	I	N/A	D	I
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:												
Court of Appeals	COLR	YES	1	I	I	I	I	I	I	G/D	N/A	N/A

Table 12: Data availability on type of disposition in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

		Are court		Which of		pendent	ly from		are rep her elem		n some	Form
State: Court name	Court type	case- load data auto- mated?	Affirm- ed or modi- fied	Affirm- ed in part/re- versed in part	Rever-	Rever- sed and re- manded	Re-	Dis- missed	Vacated	grant- ed/	Relief grant- ed/ denied	Other
FLORIDA: Supreme Court	COLR	YES	1	N/A	I		I	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
District Court of Appeals	IAC	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
GEORGIA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	NO NO	I	I .	I I	I	I I	1	N/A I	G/D G/D	N/A G/D	I
HAWAII: Supreme Court Intermediate Court	COLR	YES	A	A	R	R	R		N/A	N/A	N/A	I
of Appeals	IAC	YES	A	A	R	R	R .	<u> </u>	N/A		N/A	1
IDAHO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR 1AC	YES YES	I I	I I	I I	I I	, 1 ;	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
ILLINOIS: Supreme Court Appellate Court	COLR	YES YES	N/A I	N/A I	N/A I	N/A I	N/A I	N/A I	N/A N/A	G N/A	N/A D	N/A N/A
INDIANA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	NO NO	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	G/D N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
IOWA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	NO NO	I I	A/R A/R	R R	R R	A/R A/R	I · · ·	N/A N/A	G/D		I N/A
KANSAS: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR TAC	NO NO	I I	I I	i i	I	1 1	I I	I	G/D	G/D	I I
KENTUCKY: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	NO NO	I N/A	I N/A	1 N/A	I N/A	N/A N/A	I N/A	I N/A	G/D N/A	G/D N/A	N/A N/A
LOUISIANA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	YES SOME	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A I	N/A N/A	G/D G/D	N/A G/D	N/A N/A
MAINE: Supreme Judicial Court Sitting as Law Court	COLR	NO		R	R	R	R	M / A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
			<u> </u>	N.			N.	N/A			N/A	
MARYLAND: Court of Appeals Court of Special Appeals	COLR	NO YES	I .	I .	R R	R R	I	1	I N/A	G/D G/D	N/A	N/A I

Table 12: Data availability on type of disposition in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

		Are court case-	A.C.C.	Which of Affirm-	inde	pendent Rever-	ly from	the ot	are rep	ents?		Orm
State: Court name		load data auto- mated?	Affirm- ed or modi- fied	ed in part/re- versed in part	Rever-	sed and re- manded	Re- manded	Dis- missed	<u>Vacated</u>	grant- ed/	Relief grant- ed/ denied	<u>Othe</u>
MASSACHUSETTS:												
Supreme Judicial Court Appeals Court	COLR	NO NO	N/A I	N/A R	N/A R	N/A R	N/A OTH	I I	N/A N/A	G N/A	N/A	N/A OTH
MICHIGAN: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	YES YES	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	G/D N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
MINNESOTA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	YES YES	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	I I	i I	I N/A	G/D N/A	G/D N/A	N/A N/A
MISSISSIPPI: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	Α	ı	R	R	R	Α	N/A			N/A
MISSOURI: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	NO YES	N/A I	N/A R	N/A R	N/A R	N/A R	1 1	N/A N/A	G/D	 D	N/A I
MONTANA: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	G	N/A	N/A
NEBRASKA: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
NEVADA: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	I	I	ı	I	N/A	ı	N/A		G/D	1
NEW HAMPSHIRE: Supreme Court	COLR	YES	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
NEW JERSEY: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	İ	I	1	1		ı	N/A	G	N/A	N/A
Appellate Division of Superior Court	IAC	YES	I	1	R	R	I	I	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
NEW MEXICO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	YES NO	1	A/R A/R	R-A/R R-A/R	R-A/R R-A/R	I N/A	I	I N/A	N/A	N/A G/D	1
NEW YORK: Court of Appeals Appellate Division	COLR	YES	Α	4-	R	R	A-R	I	N/A	G/D		N/A
of Supreme Court Appellate Term of Supreme Court	IAC IAC	NO YES	N/A A	N/A R	N/A R	N/A R	N/A A	N/A I	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A

Table 12: Data availability on type of disposition in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

		Are court		Which of		pendent			are rep		n some	form
State: Court name	Court type	case- load data auto- mated?	Affirm- ed or modi- fied	Affirm- ed in part/re- versed in part	Rever-	Rever- sed and re- manded	Re- manded	Dis- missed	<u>Vacated</u>	grant- ed/	Relief grant- ed/ denied	<u>Other</u>
NORTH CAROLINA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	YES NO	I I	R I	R R	I R	I A\n	I N/A	N/A N/A	G/D G/D	G/D G/D	N/A I
NORTH DAKOTA: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	· I ·	I	I	İ	1	ı	N/A		G/D	1.
OHIO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	NO NO	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
OKLAHOMA: Supreme Court, Court of Criminal	COLR	YES	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	G/D	N/A	N/A
Appeals	COLR IAC	YES YES	I N/A	R N/A	R N/A	R N/A	N/A	l A\N	N/A N/A	G/D N/A	G/D N/A	I N/A
OREGON: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	YES YES	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
PENNSYLVANIA: Supreme Court Superior Court Commonwealth Court .	COLR IAC IAC	NO YES NO	N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/A	G N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/A
PUERTO RICO: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	I	R	R	R	N/A	I	N/A	G/D	G/D	N/A
RHODE ISLAND: Supreme Court	COLR	YES	1	R	R	R	R	ı	N/A	G/D	N/A	I
SOUTH CAROLINA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	NO NO	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
SOUTH DAKOTA: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	I	ı	R	R	1	1	N/A	G/D	G/D	N/A
TENNESSEE: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	NO NO	N/A I	N/A I	N/A I	N/A I	N/A I	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
Court of Criminal Appeals	IAC	NO	I	· . I · · ·	I	1	I	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	I

Table 12: Data availability on type of disposition in state appellate courts, 1984. (continued)

		Are court		Which of	the fo	llowing pendent	data e Iv from	lements the ot	are rep ner elem	orted in ents?	n some	form
State: Court name		case- load data auto- mated?	Affirm- ed or modi- fied	Affirm- ed in part/re- versed in part	Rever-	Rever- sed and re-	Re-	Dis-	Vacated	Review grant- ed/	Relief grant- ed/ denied	Other
TEXAS: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	I	1	1	ı	N/A	I.	N/A	G/D	G/D	N/A
Court of Criminal Appeals Court of Appeals	COLR	SOME MOST COURTS	1	1 .	1 1	I I	I N/A	I I	I N/A	G/D 	G/D N/A	İ
UTAH: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
VERMONT: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	I	I	I	I	ı	I	I	N/A	·	1
VIRGINIA: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	I	R	R	R	R	I	N/A	G/D ·		, I
WASHINGTON: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	YES YES	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	D D	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
WEST VIRGINIA: Supreme Court	COLR	YES	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	G/D	G/D	N/A
WISCONSIN: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	NO NO	N/A	N/A	N/A I	N/A	N/A	N/A I	N/A N/A	G/D G/D	G/D	N/A
WYOMING: Supreme Court	COLR	NO	1	I	I	· I	I	ı	·		G	1

^{-- =} Data element is inapplicable.

OTHER CODES USED IN TABLE 12:

A = The data are reported under affirmed.

COLR = Court of last resort. IAC = Intermediate appellate court.

N/A = The data are unavailable.

A = The data are reported under affirmed.

A/R = The data are reported under affirmed in part/reversed in part.

D = Data are reported for denied review or relief only.

G = Data are reported for granted review or relief only.

G/D = Data are reported for both denied and granted review or relief.

I = Data are reported independently from other elements.

OTH = Data are reported under other dispositions.

R = The data are reported under reversed.

- Table. 13 Length of time, in days, within which intent to appeal (e.g., notice of appeal) must be filed from trial court judgment, 1984.
- Table 14. Length of time, in days, within which the trial court record must be certified to first level appellate court. Frequency, grantor and length of extensions, 1984.
- Table 15. Length of time, in days, within which the trial court record must be certified to the court of last resort, if the case comes from an intermediate appellate court, 1984.
- Table 16. Length of time, in days, within which legal briefs must be filed in state appellate courts. Frequency, grantor and length of extensions, 1984.
- Table 17. Time periods, in days, within which state appellate justices/judges must write opinions, 1984.

There is a growing belief among some members of the courts' community that time standards play an important role in controlling delay in the courts. Tables 13 through 17 display some of the time standards that have already been developed in state appellate courts. These tables control for as many of the important caveats as possible; however, they do not purport to control for every exception to the standards. Although future efforts will order such exceptions in a systematic way, these tables are the first attempt to provide an outline for such standards in state appellate courts.

Some of the variables that are controlled for in the tables are: the case type; the general starting point of any time standard (i.e., the point where the clock starts running); a crude measure of the frequency of extensions; the source or grantor of the extensions; the length of a single extension; and the types of penalties that might be available for failure to comply with the standards.

Time standards are a relatively new area of study, and a variety of ambiguities need refinement in future editions of this volume. For example, the components of a trial court record need to be identified more explicitly. Does the record include a full transcript, a partial transcript relevant to the appeal, or the briefs of all parties involved in the appeal? It might be worth an effort to specifically identify the grantor of the extensions—is it the trial court clerk, the appellate court sitting en banc, the appellate court clerk, or a single justice/judge of the court? Some attempt should be made to identify automatic extensions, the number of extensions possible per case, and a more precise measure describing the frequency of requests and requests that are granted.

A blank space indicates that no information was available for that data element. All codes used in these tables are defined at the end of each table.

Table 13. Length of time, in days, within which intent to appeal (e.g., notice of appeal) must be filed from trial court judgment, 1984.

State: Court name	Court type		<u>Criminal</u>	Juvenile	State: Court name	Court type	Civil	Criminal	Juvenile
AL ADAMA		i i			Thatio				
ALABAMA: Supreme Court	COLR	42			IDAHO: Supreme Court	COLR	42	42	42
Court of Civil Appeals	IAC	42		14	Court of Appeals	IAC			
Court of Criminal Appeals	IAC		42*	14	ILLINOIS: Supreme Court	COLR	30	30	30
ALASKA:	001.0	20			Appellate Court	IAC	30	30	30
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	30	30*	30*	INDIANA: Supreme Court	COLR	30	30	30
ARIZONA:					Court of Appeals	IAC	30	30	30
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	30 30	20	15	IOWA: Supreme Court	COLR	30	60	30
ARKANSAS:					Court of Appeals	IAC			
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	30 30	30 30	30	KANSAS: Supreme Court	COLR	30	130	10
CALIFORNIA:		-:			Court of Appeals	IAC	30	130	10
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	60	60	60	KENTUCKY: Supreme Court	COLR	30	10	30
COLORADO:					Court of Appeals	IAC	30	10	30
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	45 45	45 45	45 45	LOUISIANA: Supreme Court	COLR	30	30	30
CONNECTICUT:		-	. :		Court of Appeals	IAC	30	5	15
Supreme Court Appellate Court	COLR IAC	20 20	20 20	20 20	MAINE: Supreme Judicial				
DELAWARE: Supreme Court	COLR	30	30		Court Sitting as Law Court	COLR	30	20	20
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:					MARYLAND: Court of Appeals	COLR	30	30	30
Court of Appeals	COLR	30	30	30	Court of Special Appeals	IAC	30	30	30
FLORIDA: Supreme Court	COLR	30	30	30	MASSACHUSETTS:				
District Court of Appeals	IAC	30	30	30	Supreme Judicial Court Appeals Court	COLR IAC	30 30	30 30	30 30
GEORGIA:					Appears out to the terms				
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	30 30	30 30	30 30	MICHIGAN: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	21 20	21 60	21 20
HAWAII: Supreme Court	COLR	30	30	30	MINNESOTA:	-			
Intermediate Court of Appeals	IAC	30	30	30	Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	30* 90	90 90	90
	-								

Table 13: Length of time, in days, within which intent to appeal (e.g., notice of appeal) must be filed from trial court judgment, 1984. (continued)

State: Court name	Court type		Criminal	Juvenile	State: Court name	Court type		Criminal	Juvenile
MISSISSIPPI: Supreme Court	COLR	30	10	10	OREGON: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	30 30	30 30	30 30
MISSOURI: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	10 10	10 10	10 10	PENNSYLVANIA: Supreme Court Superior Court		30 30	30 30	30 30
MONTANA: Supreme Court	COLR	40	40	40	Commonwealth Court . PUERTO RICO:	IAC	30	30	30
NEBRASKA: Supreme Court	COLR	30	30	30	Supreme Court	COLR	30	20	20
NEVADA: Supreme Court	COLR	30	30	30	RHODE ISLAND: Supreme Court	COLR	20	20	20
NEW HAMPSHIRE: Supreme Court	COLR	30	30	30	SOUTH CAROLINA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	10	10	10
NEW JERSEY: Supreme Court	COLR	1	DP=45		SOUTH DAKOTA: Supreme Court	COLR	60*	30	60*
Appellate Division of Superior Court .	IAC	45	45	45	TENNESSEE: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	30 30	30	30 30
NEW MEXICO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	I=10 30 I=10	10		Court of Criminal Appeals	IAC		30	30
NEW YORK:	-	30	10	10	TEXAS: Supreme Court Court of Criminal	COLR	30	w <u>-</u>	
Court of Appeals Appellate Division of Supreme Court	COLR IAC	60 30	60 30	60 30	Appeals	COLR	30	15* 15*	30
Appellate Term of Supreme Court	IAC	30	30	30	UTAH: Supreme Court	COLR	30	30	30
NORTH CAROLINA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	10 10	10 10	10 10	VERMONT: Supreme Court	COLR	30	30	30
NORTH DAKOTA: Supreme Court	COLR	60	10	60	VIRGINIA: Supreme Court	COLR	30	30	30
OHIO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	30 30	30 30	30 30	WASHINGTON: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	30 30	30 30	30 30
OKLAHOMA: Supreme Court Court of Criminal Appeals	COLR		NOT REQUI	RED	WEST VIRGINIA: Supreme Court	COLR	240	240	240

Table 13: Length of time, in days, within which intent to appeal (e.g., notice of appeal) must be filed from trial court judgment, 1984. (continued)

State:	Court		Court
Court name	type Civil Criminal Juvenile		type Civil Criminal Juvenile
WISCONSIN: Supreme Court Court of Appeals		WYOMING: Supreme Court (COLR 15 15 15

Footnotes:

Alabama -- Interlocutories in the Court of Criminal Appeals must be filed within seven days.

Alaska--Court of Appeals: Intent to appeal in misdemeanors must be filed within 15 days.

Minnesota--Supreme Court: The civil time standard is for Worker's Compensation and Tax Court cases only.

South Dakota--The number of days are from the entry of judgment.

Texas--Criminal time standards start from date of sentencing.

DP = Death penalty
I = Interlocutory appeals
-- = Data element is inapplicable.
COLR = Court of last resort.
IAC = Intermediate appellate court.

Table 14. Length of time, in days, within which the trial court record must be certified to first level appellate court. Frequency, grantor and length of extensions, 1984.

State: Court name	Court type	Start- ing point	<u>Civil</u>	Crim- inal	Juv- enile	Frequency of exten- sions:	Grantor of extensions:	Length of extensions:	Comments:
ALABAMA:							į.		
Supreme Court Court of Civil	COLR	A	63*			DEPENDS*	TL/APP		
Appeals	IAC	A	63*	·	63*	RARE	TL/APP		
Court of Criminal Appeals	IAC	D		56	56	FREQ.	APP	VARIES	
ALASKA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	. A	40	 40	40	INFREQ. INFREQ.	APP APP	30 30	
ARIZONA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	A A	40 40	45 45	25	FREQ. FREQ.	TL/APP TL/APP	30	
ARKANSAS: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR COLR	E E	21 0 21 0	210 210	210	FREQ. FREQ.	TL/APP TL/APP		"90 FROM NOTICE OF APPEAL"
CALIFORNIA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	E G	40	20 30		FREQ. FREQ.	APP TL/APP		
COLORADO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	A A	90 90	90* 90	90 90	FREQ. FREQ.	APP APP		
CONNECTICUT: Supreme Court Appellate Court	COLR	F	10 10	10 10	10 10	NONE NONE			
DELAWARE; Supreme Court	COLR	A	50*	50*		FREQ.	APP		
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA; Court of Appeals	COLR	A	60	60	60	FREQ.	АРР		
FLORIDA:	COLR	A	110	50		FREQ.	TL/APP	VARIES	
Supreme Court District Court of Appeals	IAC	A	110	50	110	FREQ.	APP	VARIES	60 IN WORKERS' COMPENSA. CASES
GEORGIA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	A A	35* 35*	35* 35*	35* 35*	INFREQ.	APP TL		
HAWAII: Supreme Court Intermediate Court	COLR	Å	40	40	40	FREQ.	APP		
of Appeals	IAC	A	40	40	40	FREQ.	APP		

Table 14: Length of time, in days, within which the trial court record must be certified to first level appellate court. Frequency, grantor and length of extensions, 1984. (continued)

State: Court name	Court type	Start- ing point	<u>Civil</u>	Crim- inal	Juv- enile	Frequency of extensions:	Grantor of extensions:	Length of extensions:	Comments:
IDAHO: Supreme Court	COLR	A.	42	42	42	FREQ.	APP	VARIES	70 DAYS IF RE- PORTER'S TRANS-
Court of Appeals	IAC								CRIPT IS REQUESTED.
ILLINOIS: Supreme Court Appellate Court	COLR IAC	A A	63 63	63 63	63 63	FREQ. FREQ.	APP APP	VARIES VARIES	
INDIANA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	A C	120 120	120 120	120 120	FREQ. FREQ.			
IOWA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	J 	7	7	7	FREQ.	APP		
KANSAS: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	L L	10	10	10	INFREQ. INFREQ.	APP APP	VARIES VARIES	PREPARED WITHIN 10 DAYS OF NOTICE CASE HAS BEEN
									DOCKETED, AND CALLED FOR WHEN CASE IS SET FOR HEARING.
KENTUCKY: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	A	60 60	60 60	60 60	FREQ. FREQ.	APP APP	60 60	
LOUISIANA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	TIME H	LIMITS 30/45	SET IN	IDIVIDUA 30/45	LLY BY TRIA FREQ.	L COURT. TL/APP	30	DEPENDS ON TRANSCRIPT AVAILABILITY.
MAINE:				***************************************				-	
Supreme Judicial Court Sitting as Law Court	COLR	Α	21	21	21	INFREQ.	APP		
MARYLAND: Court of Appeals Court of Special	COLR	М	60	60	60	INFREQ.	АРР	60	
Appeals	IAC	A	60	60	60	FREQ.	APP	VARIES	
MASSACHUSETTS: Supreme Judicial Court Appeals Court	COLR IAC	A A	40 50	40 50	40 50	FREQ. FREQ.	APP TL/APP	14/30 VARIES	

Table 14: Length of time, in days, within which the trial court record must be certified to first level appellate court. Frequency, grantor and length of extensions, 1984. (continued)

State: Court name	Court type	Start- ing point	<u>Civil</u>	Crim- inal	Juv- enile	Frequency of exten- sions:	Grantor of extensions:	Length of exten- sions:	Comments:
MICHIGAN: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	RECO A	ORD AUTO 90	OMATICAL 90	LY SENT 90	FROM COURT FREQ.	OF APPEALS APP	VARIES	TRANSCRIPT FILED
MINNESOTA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	J	10* 10	10* 10	10*	INFREQ.	APP APP	10	
MISSISSIPPI: Supreme Court	COLR	Α.	60	60	60	FREQ.	TL	30	
MISSOURI: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	A A	90 90	90 90	90 90	FREQ. FREQ.	APP APP	VARIES 1-90	
MONTANA: Supreme Court	COLR	A	40	60	40	FREQ.	APP	VARIES	
NEBRASKA: Supreme Court	COLR	A	3 t	:o 6 wee	eks	INFREQ.	APP	30	
NEVADA: Supreme Court	COLR	A	40	40	40	FREQ.	TL/APP	TL=90	
NEW HAMPSHIRE: Supreme Court	COLR	N	60	60	60	FREQ.	АРР	1-15	
NEW JERSEY: Supreme Court Appellate Division	COLR	TRIA	L COURT	RECOR	NOT CE	RTIFIED TO	APPELLATE C	OURTS	
of Superior Court .	IAC	Α	30	30	30	FREQ.	APP	30	
NEW MEXICO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	E MIXED	90 A-90	90 L-10	90 A-90	INFREQ. INFREQ.	TL/APP TL/APP	VARIES 30	
NEW YORK: Court of Appeals	COLR	м	60	60	60	INFREQ.	APP	VARIES	
Appellate Division of Supreme Court Appellate Term of Supreme Court	IAC IAC	A A	30 30	30 30	30 30	FREQ. FREQ.	APP APP	30	
NORTH CAROLINA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	A	150 150	150 150	150 150	FREQ. FREQ.	TL/APP TL/APP	VARIES VARIES	
NORTH DAKOTA: Supreme Court	COLR	Α	50	50	50	FREQ.	TL	30	
									

Table 14: Length of time, in days, within which the trial court record must be certified to first level appellate court. Frequency, grantor and length of extensions, 1984. (continued)

State: Court name	Court type	Start- ing point	<u>Civil</u>	Crim- inal	Juv- enile	Frequency of extensions:		Length of extensions:	Comments:
OHIO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	A	40	40	40	FREQ.	TL/APP	VARIES	
OKLAHOMA: Supreme Court Court of Criminal	COLR	D	180		180	FREQ.	APP		
Appeals	COLR	E		180-FEL 120-MD		INFREQ.	APP 		
OREGON:		·							
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	A	30 30	30 30	30 30	NONE NONE		 	
PENNSYLVANIA: Supreme Court	COLR	A	40	40	40	INFREQ.		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Superior Court Commonwealth Court .	IAC	A A	40 40	40 40	40 40	NONE INFREQ.			••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
PUERTO RICO: Supreme Court	COLR	A	30	30	30	INFREQ.			
RHODE ISLAND: Supreme Court	COLR	A	30	30	30	FREQ.	TL	60	LIMIT OF ONE EXTENSION
SOUTH CAROLINA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	<u>A</u>	188	188	188	FREQ.	APP	30	
SOUTH DAKOTA: Supreme Court	COLR	A	105*	105*	105*	FREQ.	S	15	FOR BRIEFS
TENNESSEE: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	A A	45 45	45 45	45 45	FREQ. FREQ.	APP APP	60 60	
Court of Criminal Appeals	IAC	A	45	45	45 	FREQ.	APP	60	
TEXAS: Supreme Court Court of Criminal	COLR								
Appeals Court of Appeals	COLR	UPON E D	APPROV 60 15	AL OF TI	RIAL COU 60 15	RT FREQ. FREQ.	APP APP	VARIES VARIES	UPON APPROVAL BY TRIAL COURT
UTAH: Supreme Court	COLR	A	30	30	30	FREQ.	TL	60	
VERMONT: Supreme Court	COLR	A	40	40	40	INFREQ.	APP	30	

Table 14: Length of time, in days, within which the trial court record must be certified to first level appellate court. Frequency, grantor and length of extensions, 1984. (continued)

State: Court name	Court type	Start- ing point	<u>Civil</u>	Crim- inal	Juv- enile	Frequency of extensions:	Grantor of exten- sions:	Length of extensions:	Comments:
VIRGINIA: Supreme Court	COLR	E	90	90	90	FREQCR	TL	30	
WASHINGTON: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	A	90 90	90 90	90 90	FREQ. FREQ.	APP APP	30 1ST=30 2ND-15	ADDITIONAL FOR GOOD CAUSE ADDIT. FOR EXTRAOR. CIRCUMST.
WEST VIRGINIA: Supreme Court	COLR	Е	240	240	240	FREQ.	TL/APP	120	
WISCONSIN: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	Ā	90	40	90	INFREQ.	APP	30	
WYOMING: Supreme Court	COLR	A	40	40	40	INFREQ.	АРР	VARIES	

^{-- =} Data element is inapplicable.

COLR = Court of last resort.

IAC = Intermediate appellate court.

STARTING POINT:

A = Notice of appeal.

B = Completion of appellee's (i.e., respondent's) brief.

C = Filing/completion of court reporter's transcript/record.

D = Denial of motion for a new trial/or sentencing.

E = Date of judgment.
G = Payment of reporter's fees.
H = Payment of all fees.

J = Filing of respondent's brief.

K = Decision of the intermediate appellate court.

L = Docketing of the case.

M = Granting of discretionary review.

N = Scheduling order.

GRANTOR:

APP = Appellate Court personnel. S = Stipulation of parties.

TL = Trial Court personnel.

Footnotes:

Alabama--The Supreme Court and Court of Civil Appeals have a deferred filing system for the record. The clerk has 28 days from the notice of appeal, the reporter has 56 days from notice of appeal, then the clerk has seven days to bind the portions together and certify completion of record. The record is then sent 14 days after appellee's brief is filed. Numerous extensions are granted to reporters. Rarely are other extensions requested.

Delaware--The reporter has 40 days to complete the transcript, and the transcript must be certified to the Supreme Court within 10 days after the transcript is completed. The record must be certified to the Supreme Court within 20 days from the notice of appeal for cases without transcripts.

Table 14: Length of time, in days, within which the trial court record must be certified to first level appellate court. Frequency, grantor and length of extensions, 1984. (continued)

Colorado--Supreme Court: Criminal interlocutory appeals are due ten days after the notice of appeal is filed.

Georgia--Supreme Court: Thirty-five days is for situations where a transcript is required. If a transcript is not required, the record must be certified within twenty days from the filing of the notice of appeal.

Minnesota--Supreme Court: These figures are for mandatory jurisdiction cases only. For other cases the record is automatically sent from the Court of Appeals.

South Dakota--The time frame is 150 days if transcript is required.

Table 15. Length of time, in days, within which the trial court record must be certified to the court of last resort, if the case comes from an intermediate appellate court, 1984.

State: Court name	Court type	Starting point	<u>Civil</u>	<u>Criminal</u>	<u>Juvenile</u>	Comments:
ALABAMA: Supreme Court	COLR	C	0	0	0	
ALASKA: Supreme Court	COLR	В	40	15	15	
ARIZONA: Supreme Court	COLR	C				FORTHWITH
ARKANSAS: Supreme Court	COLR	A	17	17		
CALIFORNIA: Supreme Court	COLR	Α.	40	40	40	FORTHWITH
COLORADO: Supreme Court	COLR	В	30	30	30	
CONNECTICUT: Supreme Court	COLR	A	10	10	10	
FLORIDA: Supreme Court	COLR	C	60	60	60	
GEORGIA: Supreme Court	COLR	В	20*	20*	20*	
HAWAII: Supreme Court	COLR					
IDAHO: Supreme Court	COLR					
ILLINOIS: Supreme Court	COLR	A or B	35	35	35	STARTING POINT MAY VARY DEPENDING ON WHETHER PETITION FOR REHEARING IS FILED
INDIANA: Supreme Court	COLR	В	30	30	30	
IOWA: Supreme Court	COLR		-			
KANSAS: Supreme Court	COLR		<u> </u>			DEPENDS ON TRANSFER
KENTUCKY: Supreme Court	COLR	C	20	20	20	NECESSARY TO PERFECT APPEAL
						·

Table 15: Length of time, in days, within which the trial court record must be certified to the court of last resort, if the case comes from an intermediate appellate court, 1984. (continued)

State: Court name	Court type	Starting point	<u>Civil</u>	Criminal	Juvenile	Comments:
LOUISIANA: Supreme Court	COLR	C .	14	14	14	
MARYLAND: Court of Appeals	COLR	A	15	15	15	
MASSACHUSETTS: Supreme Judicial Court	COLR	A	20	20	20	
MICHIGAN: Supreme Court	COLR	A	21	21	21	
MINNESOTA: Supreme Court	COLR	C	30	30	30	RECORD IS ALREADY CERTIFIED FROM TRIAL COURT TO IACNO NEED FOR VERIFICATION.
MISSOURI: Supreme Court	COLR	В	15	15	15	
NEW JERSEY: Supreme Court	COLR					
NEW MEXICO: Supreme Court	COLR	. A	20	20	20	
NEW YORK: Court of Appeals	COLR	C	60	60	60	
NORTH CAROLINA: Supreme Court	COLR	A	15	15	15	
OHIO: Supreme Court	COLR		30	30	30	
OKLAHOMA: Supreme Court Court of Criminal Appeals	COLR	В	20	20	20	THESE TIMES REFER TO TIME FOR FILING FOR REVIEW IN COLR.
OREGON: Supreme Court	COLR	A	35	35	35	
PENNSYLVANIA: Supreme Court	COLR	В	30	30	30	
SOUTH CAROLINA: Supreme Court	COLR	В	10	10	10	

Table 15: Length of time, in days, within which the trial court record must be certified to the court of last resort, if the case comes from an intermediate appellate court, 1984. (continued)

State: Court name	Court type	Starting point	<u>Civil</u>	<u>Criminal</u>	<u>Juvenile</u>	Comments:
TENNESSEE: Supreme Court	COLR	A	30	30	30	
TEXAS: Supreme Court Court of Criminal Appeals	COLR COLR	B A	30	30 15	30	
WASHINGTON: Supreme Court	COLR	В	30	30	30	
WISCONSIN: Supreme Court	COLR	c	0	0	0	

^{-- =} Data element is inapplicable.

COLR = Court of last resort.

STARTING POINT:

- A = Delivery of the intermediate appellate court opinion/decision.
- B = Denial of reconsideration at the
- intermediate appellate court.
- C = Granting of discretionary review.

Footnotes:

Georgia--Notice of appeal is a prerequisite, and must be filed in the Court of Appeals within ten days of the denial of rehearing, with petition for review filed in the Supreme Court within twenty days of the denial of a rehearing.

IAC = Intermediate appellate court.

Table 16. Length of time, in days, within which legal briefs must be filed in state appellate courts. Frequency, grantor and length of extensions, 1984.

State: Court name	Court type	Case type	Begin- ning event		Appel- lant's opening brief	to	Respon- dent's brief		Appel- lant's reply brief	Fre- quency of ex- tensions	Grant- or of exten- sions	Length of exten- sions	Avail- able penal- ties
ALABAMA: Supreme Court Court of Civil	COLR	ALL	C	28		21		14		FREQ.	APP	7+	DS/ OR/BF
Appeals	IAC	ALL	C	28		21		14		FREQ.	APP	, 7 -,	DS
Court of Criminal Appeals	IAC	ALL	C	28		21				FREQ.	APP	VARIES	OR
ALASKA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	ALL MD SR FL	CCCC	30 20 15 30		30 20 15 30		20 10 20		FREQ. FREQ. FREQ. FREQ.	APP APP APP APP	30 30	DS/FN/ BF/RD
ARIZONA:	. ,	CV	С	30		30		15		RARE	APP		/DS/FN/
Supreme Court	COLR	CR	С	25		20		10		FREQ.	APP		/RD /DS/FN/
Court of Appeals	IAC	CV	C .	30	i i	30		15		FREQ.	APP	BF.	/RD /DS/FN/
		CR	C	25		20		10		FREQ.	APP	BF	/RD /DS/FN/
		J۷	A	0		0		0			APP	BF	/RD /DS/FN/ /RD
ARKANSAS: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR COLR	CR/CV CV/CR		40 40		30 30		15 15		FREQ. FREQ.	APP APP	VARIES VARIES	DS/BF DS/BF
CALIFORNIA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	ALL ALL	C C	30 30		30 30		30 20		NEW BRI OTHERWISE FREQ.		DEATH CAS RIEFS AS CV=60 NO LIMIT	IN IAC.
COLORADO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	I ELSE ALL	C C C	10 40 40		10 30 30		5 14 14		INFREQ. FREQ.	APP APP		DS/OR
CONNECTICUT: Supreme Court Appellate Court	COLR	ALL ALL	A A	45 45	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	30 30		20 20		FREQ. FREQ.	APP APP	NO LIMIT	FN FN
DELAWARE: Supreme Court	COLR	ALL	C	30	• 4 4 4	30		15*		FREQ.	АРР	NO LIMIT	FN
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: Court of Appeals	COLR	ALL	C	40		30		14		FREQ.	APP	30	DS/RD
FLORIDA: Supreme Court District Court of	COLR	DP ELSE	C A	60 70		45 20		30 20		FREQ. FREQ.	APP APP	VARIES VARIES	RD
Appeals	IAC	CR ELSE	A A	80 70		20 20		20 20		FREQ. FREQ.	APP APP	F	N/D\$/RD

Table 16: Length of time, in days, within which legal briefs must be filed in state appellate courts. Frequency, grantor and length of extensions, 1984. (continued)

State: Court name	Court type		Begin- ning event		Appel- lant's opening brief t	-	Respon- dent's brief		Appel- lant's reply brief	Fre- quency of ex- tensions	Grant- or of exten- sions	Length of exten- sions	Avail- able penal- ties
GEORGIA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	ALL ALL	L L	20 20		0				INFREQ. FREQ.	APP APP	VARIES	DS/RD DS
HAWAII: Supreme Court Intermediate Court of Appeals	COLR	ALL	L L	40 40		0		10			APP APP		S/BF/FN
IDAHO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	ALL ALL	C C	35 35				21 21		FREQ. INFREQ.	APP APP	VARIES VARIES	DS/OR DS/OR
ILLINOIS: Supreme Court Appellate Court	COLR	ALL	C	35 35	3			14	- '	FREQ. FREQ.	APP APP	VARIES VARIES	BF/DS BF/DS
INDIANA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	ALL I ELSE	A C C	30 10 30	3 1 3	0		15 5 15		FREQ. FREQ. FREQ.	APP APP APP	VARIES VARIES VARIES	DS/OR DS
IOWA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	PRIORITY ELSE		25 50	31	0		7		FREQ.	APP APP	,	
KANSAS: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	ALL ALL	A A	40 40		0		20 20		INFREQ. FREQ.	APP S/APP	30/PER 30/PER	DS DS
KENTUCKY: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	ALL ALL	C C	30 30		0 0		15 15		FREQ. FREQ.	APP APP	60-90 30	FN FN
LOUISIANA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	ALL ALL	C	30 25	6 4		A	TO RAL RG.		INFREQ. FREQ.	APP APP	VARIES	OR/RD DS/OR
MAINE: Supreme Judicial Court Sitting as Law Court	COLR	CV CR	C C	40 30	31			14		FREQ.	APP		DS/OR BF
MARYLAND: Court of Appeals Court of Special Appeals	COLR	ALL ALL	c	40 40	3	0	· .	20 20		FREQ.	S/APP S/APP	VARIES VARIES	DS/OR

Table 16: Length of time, in days, within which legal briefs must be filed in state appellate courts. Frequency, grantor and length of extensions, 1984. (continued)

State: Court name	Court type	Case type	Begin- ning event		Appel- lant's opening brief to	Respon- dent's brief		Appel- lant's reply brief	Fre- quency of ex- tensions	Grant- or of exten- sions	Length of exten- sions	Avail- able penal- ties
MASSACHUSETTS: Supreme Judicial Court Appeals Court	COLR IAC	ALL ALL	L L	40 40	30 30		14 14		FREQ. FREQ.	S/APP APP	14/30 VARIES	DS
MICHIGAN: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	ALL ALL	M C	91 60	56 45		NONE		INFREQ. FREQ.	APP APP	VARIES VARIES	OR OR/FN
MIMNESOTA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	ALL CV	A C	30 30	30 30		10 10		INFREQ. INFREQ.	APP APP	10-15 B	F/DS/OR DS/BF/ FN/OR
		CR	C	60	45		15		INFREQ.	APP	- - - '	
MISSISSIPPI: Supreme Court	COLR	ALL	C	40	20		10		FREQ.	АРР	15	DS/RV
MISSOURI: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	ALL ALL	C .	60 60	30 30		15 15		FREQ. FREQ.	APP APP	VARIES 1-60	DS DS/FB
MONTANA: Supreme Court	COLR	ALL	c	30	30		15		FREQ.	APP	VARIES	OR
NEBRASKA: Supreme Court	COLR	ALL	Α	60	30		14		FREQ.	АРР	60	NONE
NEVADA: Supreme Court	COLR	ALL	C	40	30		30		FREQ.	\$	30	DS
NEW HAMPSHIRE: Supreme Court	COLR	ALL	N	30	30		3*	•	FREQ.	АРР	10	NONE
NEW JERSEY: Supreme Court Appellate Division	COLR	MAND CERT	C	45 10	30 15		10 10		FREQ.	s	30	DS/FN
of Superior Court .	IAC	ALL	, C	45	30	1	10	e de la companya de l	FREQ.	S/APP	30	DS/FN
NEW MEXICO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	ALL CV CR	C C C	30 30 20	30 30 20		10 10 7		INFREQ. FREQ. FREQ.	АРР	7-28 VARIES	DS/ FN/RD
NEW YORK: Court of Appeals Appellate Division	COLR	ALL	A	60	45		10		FREQ.	APP	20	DS/OR
of Supreme Court Appellate Term of Supreme Court	IAC	ALL	A 	20 25	30 4 14 ³	•	7 7*		FREQ.	APP APP	30	NONE

Table 16: Length of time, in days, within which legal briefs must be filed in state appellate courts. Frequency, grantor and length of extensions, 1984. (continued)

State: Court name	Court type	Case type	Begin- ning event		Appel- lant's opening brief		Respon- dent's brief	:] r	ant's eply	Fre- quency of ex- tensions	Grant- or of exten- sions	Length of exten- sions	Avail- able penal- ties
NORTH CAROLINA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	ALL ALL	C C	20 20		20 20		IONE		INFREQ. FREQ.	APP	20	DS
NORTH DAKOTA: Supreme Court	COLR	ALL	C .	40	-	30		14		FREQ.	APP		
OHIO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	ALL	C A	20 20		20 20		10 10	•	VARIES VARIES			
OKLAHOMA: Supreme Court Court of Criminal Appeals	COLR	I ELSE CR JV	B B B	20 60 60 60		10 40 60 30		5 20 IONE IONE		FREQ.	APP APP	20	DS/OR OR
Court of Appeals	IAC	I ELSE	B B	20 60		10 40		5 20		INFREQ.	APP	20	
OREGON: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	ALL ALL	C C	49 49		49 49		21 21		FREQ. FREQ.	APP APP	28 28	DS/OR DS/OR
PENNSYLVANIA: Supreme Court Superior Court Commonwealth Court .	COLR IAC IAC	ALL ALL ALL	C C C	40 40 40		30 30 30		14 14 14		FREQ. FREQ. FREQ.	APP APP	30 30	DS/OR DS/OR DS/RD
PUERTO RICO: Supreme Court	COLR	ALL	C	30	_ `	30	, N	IONE	•	FREQ.	APP	20	DS
RHODE ISLAND: Supreme Court	COLR	ALL	L	40	 	20		5		FREQ.	APP		DS/OR
SOUTH CAROLINA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	ALL	<u>c</u>	30		30		10		FREQ.	TL 	30	
SOUTH DAKOTA: Supreme Court	COLR	ALL	A/C	45	_	45		15	-	FREQ.	S/APP	VARIES	DS/BA
TENNESSEE: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	ALL ALL	C C	30 30		30 30		14 14		FREQ. FREQ.	APP APP		BF/DS/ OR/RD BF/DS/
Court of Criminal Appeals	IAC	ALL	С	30		30		14		FREQ.	APP		OR/RD BF/DS/ OR/RD

Table 16: Length of time, in days, within which legal briefs must be filed in state appellate courts. Frequency, grantor and length of extensions, 1984. (continued)

State: Court name	Court type	Case type	Begin- ning event		Appel- lant's opening brief		Respondent's		Appel- lant's reply brief	Fre- quency of ex- tensions	Grant- or of exten- sions	Length of exten- sions	Avail- able penal- ties
TEXAS:	COL D	CV-		20				Úour		THEREO		VARIEC	BF/
Supreme Court Court of Criminal	COLR	CERT CR-	0	30		15		NONE		INFREQ.	APP	VARIES	OR/DS
Appeals	COLR	CERT	М	30		30		NONE	•	INFREQ.	APP	VARIES	BF/OR/
Court of Appeals	IAC	CY CR	C C	30 30		25 30		NONE		FREQ.	APP APP	VARIES VARIES	DS BF/OR
UTAH:													
Supreme Court	COLR	ALL	С	30		30		30		FREQ.	S	30	DS/OR
VERMONT: Supreme Court	COLR	ALL	С	30		21	· ·	10		FREQ.	S/APP	30	DS/OR
VIRGINIA: Supreme Court	COLR	ALL	М	40		25		14		FREQ.	TL	VARIES	DS/OR
WASHINGTON: Supreme Court	COLR	ALL	C	45		30		30		FREQ.	APP	30	DS/FN
Court of Appeals	IAC	ALL	С	45		30		30,	.	FREQ.	APP	1ST=30 2ND=15	DS
	,——			-	- -				- :-				
WEST VIRGINIA: Supreme Court	COLR	ALL	C	30		30		15		FREQ.	APP		OR
WISCONSIN:												:	
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	ALL ALL	C	30 40		20 30		10 15		INFREQ. INFREQ.	APP APP	10 15	DS/BF
WYOMING:					-				-				
Supreme Court	COLR	ALL	Ŀ	30		30		10		FREQ.	APP	30	DS

CASE TYPE CODES:

BD = Bond validation CERT = Discretionary case

CM = Commitment

CR = Criminal

CUST = Child custody

CV = Civil

DC = Disciplinary

DJ = Double jeopardy

DP = Death penalty
DR = Domestic relations
ED = Eminent domain

EL = Elections

EM = Emergency

FD = Federal court asking for certified state

question FL = Felony

HC = Extraordinary writs

^{-- =} Data element is inapplicable. COLR = Court of last resort. IAC = Intermediate appellate court.

Table 16: Length of time, in days, within which legal briefs must be filed in state appellate courts. Frequency, grantor and length of extensions, 1984. (continued)

IJ = Injunctions

I = Interlocutory appeals

JV = Juvenile

MAND = Appeals as of right

MD = Misdemeanor

PUC = Public Utility Commission

SA = State appeals SR = Sentence review UN = Unemployment

WC = Workers' compensation

STARTING POINT:

A = Notice of appeal.

B = Completion of appellee's (i.e., respondent's) brief.

C = Filing/completion of court reporter's transcript/record.

D = Denial of motion for a new trial/or

sentencing.

E = Date of judgment.
G = Payment of reporter's fees.

H = Payment of all fees.

J = Filing of respondent's brief.
K = Decision of the intermediate appellate court.

L = Docketing of the case.

M = Granting of discretionary review.

N = Scheduling order.

0 = Overruling of motion for rehearing in IAC.

GRANTOR:

APP = Appellate Court personnel. S = Stipulation of parties. TL = Trial Court personnel.

AVAILABLE PENALTIES:

BA = Brief of appellant only.

BF = Decision without briefs.

DS = Dismissal of appellant's case.

FN = Fine

OR = Decision based without oral argument.

RD = Reprimand/discipline.

RV = Reversal on appellant's prima facie case.

Footnotes:

Delaware--These time limits are for cases with transcripts only.

New York--Appellate Terms of the Supreme Court: There is no starting point, but briefs must be filed by the specified number of days before the first day of the term.

Table 17. Time periods, in days, within which state appellate justices/judges must write opinions, 1984.

State: Court name	Court type	Established by rule, standard or statute	Beginning event	Number of days	Type of penalty available for failure to comply
ALABAMA:					TWICE A YEAR JUDGES MUST REPORT ALL
Supreme Court	COLR				CASES UNDER SUBMISSION FOR SIX MONTHS.
Court of Civil Appeals	IAC				**************************************
Court of Criminal Appeals	IAC				
ALASKA:				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Supreme Court	COLR	STATUTE	DRAFT CIRCU		DAY 1177111171 D
Court of Appeals	IAC	STATUTE	MONTHS AFTER DRAFT CIRCU MONTHS AFTER	LATED 6	PAY WITHHELD PAY WITHHELD
					- AT NITHHLLD
ARIZONA:					
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR				
ARKANSAS:					
Supreme Court	COLR		 -		
Court of Appeals	COLR				
CALIFORNIA:	1	CONSTITUTION			
Supreme Court	COLR	AND STATUTE CONSTITUTION	SUBM	90	PAY WITHHELD
Court of Appeals	IAC	AND STATUTE	SUBM	90	PAY WITHHELD
COLORADO:					
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC				
	 .				
CONNECTICUT:	COLR	and the second of the second o			
Supreme Court Appellate Court	IAC		·. ' <u></u> '	-	
DELAWARE:					
Supreme Court	COLR	des mal			
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:	-				
Court of Appeals	COLR				
FLORIDA:					
Supreme Court District Court of	COLR				
Appeals	IAC				
GEORGIA:	-			DISPOS	ITION MUST OCCUR BY THE END OF
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	CONSTITUTION CONSTITUTION	D 0	SECOND	TERM FROM DOCKETING, ER THAN TERM FOLLOWING ORAL ARGUMENT.
HAWAII:					
Supreme Court Intermediate Court	COLR	RULE	. 0	365	NONE
of Appeals	IAC	RULE	0	365	NONE NONE

Table 17: Time periods, in days, within which state appellate justices/judges must write opinions, 1984. (continued)

State: Court name	Court type	Established by rule, standard or statute	Beginning event	Number of days	Type of penalty available for failure to comply
IDAHO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	<u> </u>			
ILLINOIS: Supreme Court Appellate Court	COLR IAC			yak dila dak ta a	
INDIANA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC				
IOWA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR				
KANSAS: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC				- -
KENTUCKY: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC				
LOUISIANA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC			==	
MAINE: Supreme Judicial Court Sitting as Law Court	COLR				
MARYLAND: Court of Appeals Court of Special Appeals	COLR	CONSTITUTION	0	90 60	NONE NONE
MASSACHUSETTS: Supreme Judicial Court	COLR IAC	STANDARD STANDARD	0 0	130 130	NONE NONE
MICHIGAN: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC				
MINNESOTA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	STATUTE	SUBM	90	

Table 17: Time periods, in days, within which state appellate justices/judges must write opinions, 1984. (continued)

State: Court name	Court type	Established by rule, standard or statute	Beginning event	Number of days	Type of penalty available for failure to comply
MISSISSIPPI:					
Supreme Court	COLR				**
MISSOURI:					
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR				
MONTANA:					
Supreme Court	COLR	RULE	DECISION	120	NONE
NEBRASKA: Supreme Court	COLR		: · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 .	
NEVADA:	. ———		, 		
Supreme Court	COLR	STANDARD	SUBM	90	NONE
NEW HAMPSHIRE:	COLD				
Supreme Court	COLR			-	
NEW JERSEY: Supreme Court	COLR				
Appellate Division of Superior Court	IAC		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-	
NEW MEXICO:	· · · · · · · · ·				and the second s
Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	STANDARD	SUBM	 30	NONE
NEW YORK:		, ,			and the second
Court of Appeals Appellate Division	COLR			1985 400	
of Supreme Court Appellate Term of	IAC			*	tana di Kabupatèn Bandaran Kabupatèn Bandaran Kabupatèn Bandaran Kabupatèn Bandaran Kabupatèn Bandaran Kabupat Kabupatèn Bandaran Bandaran Kabupatèn Bandaran Kabupatèn Bandaran Kabupatèn Bandaran Kabupatèn Bandaran Kabupa
Supreme Court	IAC			, as as 1	
NORTH CAROLINA: Supreme Court	COLR	tij til Grand <u>L</u> itaria			
Court of Appeals	IAC	STANDARD	0	90	NONE
NORTH DAKOTA:	COLR				
Supreme Court	COLK			. -	
OHIO: Supreme Court	COLR				
Court of Appeals	IAC				
OKLAHOMA:	COLD				
Supreme Court Court of Criminal	COLR		in en in de Grand gelende	g e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
Appeals	COLR IAC	-			

Table 17: Time periods, in days, within which state appellate justices/judges must write opinions, 1984. (continued)

State: Court name	Court type	Established by rule, standard or statute	Beginning event	Number of days	Type of penalty available for failure to comply
OREGON: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC		·		
PENNSYLVANIA: Supreme Court Superior Court Commonwealth Court .	COLR IAC IAC				
PUERTO RICO: Supreme Court	COLR				
RHODE ISLAND: Supreme Court	COLR			·	
SOUTH CAROLINA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR	STATUTE	0	90	NONE —
SOUTH DAKOTA: Supreme Court	COLR				
TENNESSEE: Supreme Court Court of Appeals Court of Criminal Appeals	COLR IAC				
TEXAS: Supreme Court Court of Criminal Appeals Court of Appeals	COLR COLR IAC				
UTAH: Supreme Court	COLR				
VERMONT: Supreme Court	COLR				
VIRGINIA: Supreme Court	COLR	•			
WASHINGTON: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	STATUTE STATUTE	0 0	180 90	PAY WITHHELD PAY WITHHELD
WEST VIRGINIA: Supreme Court	COLR				

Table 17: Time periods, in days, within which state appellate justices/judges must write opinions, 1984. (continued)

State: Court name	Court type_	Established by rule, standard or statute	Beginning event	Number of days	Type of penalty available for failure to comply
WISCONSIN: Supreme Court Court of Appeals	COLR IAC	======================================			
WYOMING: Supreme Court	COLR				

^{-- =} Data element is inapplicable. COLR = Court of last resort. IAC = Intermediate appellate court.

BEGINNING EVENT:

D = Docketing. O = Oral argument. SUBM = At submission.

Appendices

Appendix A

Prototype court profile of the State Appellate Court Jurisdiction

Guide for Statistical Reporting

The Clerk of the Court: Appellate Court Jurisdiction Guide, 1984 () participates in legal briefing and Date(s) collected/revised: Doc. #10580 screening () does no legal work for the Court. Number justices/judges Number of law trained support personnel: Chief justice Associate justices(per.) Central staff Total STATE: NAME OF COURT Court of last resort/Intermediate Appellate Court Court organization [panels (rotating)/en banc; IAC variation (in number and procedures/jurisdiction)]: Procedure for granting discretionary review: Jurisdiction: When is an appeal counted as filed (at notice of appeal, completion of record, filing of briefs)? Is the appeal filed in the trial court or this court?
What is the length of time in which an appeal must be filed after the trial court judgment? Civil? _ Juvenile? Criminal? Within what period of time must the court record be certified to the appellate court? Are extensions permitted? If the case comes from the IAC, within what period of time must the record be certified to the COLR? Notice of appeal to Appellant's brief
Appellant's brief to Respondent's brief
Respondent's brief to Appellant's reply brief days. days. days. days. Appellant's reply to respondent's reply _ Are extensions permitted? Are there any penalties for failure to comply with these standards? Within what period of time must the appellate judges write the opinion? Penalty for non-compliance? What other time limits exist for case events in this court? CASELOAD INVENTORY Please indicate on the following matrix how the case terminology reported by this court corresponds to the CSIM case terminology listed across the top of matrix: CSIM CASE TERMINOLOGY Discretionary Jurisdiction Disciplinary Administra-This court's Civil Criminal tive agency Juvenile (lawyer Advisory Original case terminology appeal appea1 and/or judge) opinion proceedings* appeal appeal Are discretionary cases decided by the full court? If not, by whom? Does the number of discretionary petitions solely represent the decision to grant or deny review? () yes () no, it may include some decisions on the merits. Are "requests to appeal" granted refiled as appeals, or do they keep same docket number? Are granted requests to appeal (discretionary) reported separately from mandatory appeals? If so, what is terminology? If the court has discretionary jurisdiction, but reports only appeals, are requests to appeal denied counted in with these appeals? Mandatory Jurisdiction Disciplinary Death Other Administra-Civil penalty Juvenile Advisory Original This court's criminal tive agency (lawyer and/or judge) opinion case terminology appeal appeal appeal appeal appeal proceedings*

^{*}Original proceedings include original jurisdiction, postconviction remedy, and interlocutory appeals.

~=11ate Court Jurisdiction Guide, 198							
	STATE: NAME OF COURT Court of last resort/Intermediate appellate court						
Administrative agency appeals come to t	his court directly from: ()trial court, ()agency, board, etc., ()IAC						
Is there a separate sentence review procedure at the trial court level? Can a defendant appeal the length of the sentence only to this court? Where are sentence review only cases reported?							
Does this court count reopened/reinstat Does this court give review on the meri If no, explain:	ed/rehearing cases as new filings? ts to all appeals of right?Is oral argument mandatory in all cases?						
Does this court have a separate case ma and what procedure?	nagement procedure to expedite certain cases?[f so, what kinds of cases						
What percentage of cases are processed Are interlocutory appeals included in t What matters other than the above does Please specify.	in this manner? his court's appeals filings? In original jurisdiction? Elsewhere? this court report (e.g., motions, rehearing requests, administrative matters)?						
	MANNER_OF_DISPOSITION						
CSIM classification	What terminology does this court use for these manners of disposition? Source/comments						
Opinion (majority)							
Per curiam opinions Decision without opinion:							
(Memorandum, or order). Dismissed/withdrawn/settled							
Transferred Other							
() The number of concurring or disser Does this court's opinion count include Do the "number of opinions" reported re	unnublished opinions?						
What terminology does this court report							
Modified							
Reversed Reversed and remanded							
Remanded Dismissed							
Relief Granted Relief Denied							
Discretionary Review Granted							
Discretionary Review Denied Other							
If there is an IAC, does the COLR transf	fer down a significant proportion of COLR filings?						
Make organization chart match up with a Does the information above differ from	Make organization chart match up with appellate routes (attach '82 chart). Does the information above differ from the jurisdiction in 1983?						
Contact person who completed the above:	Phone number						

Additional comments:

Is the court automated? Are there pre-argument settlement conferences? Is there a rule requiring review in the COLR when there is a dissenting vote in the IAC?

COLR = Court of last resort
IAC = Intermediate appellate court
N/A = Not applicable
ND = No data available
X = Data for CSIM case type is reported under this court's term listed in the court terminology column.

Appendix B

Survey sources

Jurisdiction Guide Final Verification List

The following entries are in alphabetical order, by state.

Ms. Mollie Jordan Clerk, Court of Criminal Appeals Box 351 Montgomery, AL 36101

Mr. John H. Wilkerson, Clerk Court of Civil Appeals 2600 East South Boulevard Montgomery, AL 36116

Ms. Dorothy Norwood Deputy Clerk, Supreme Court Judicial Bldg, 445 Dexter Ave. Montgomery, AL 36130

Mr. Bruce McKee, Staff Attorney Supreme Court Judicial Bldg, 445 Dexter Ave. Montgomery, AL 36130

Mr. David A. Lampen Clerk of the Appellate Courts Supreme Court of Alaska 303 K Street Anchorage, AK 99501-2084

Mr. Jack Jarrett
Deputy Executive Secretary
Arkansas Court of Appeals
and Supreme Court
Justice Bldg, West 7th St
Little Rock, AR 72201

Mr. Glen D. Clark, Clerk Division 1, Arizona Court of Appeals 1760 W. Washington SW Wing, State Capitol Building Phoenix, AZ 85007

Mr. S. Alan Cook, Clerk Arizona Supreme Court 201 West Wing, State Cap. Bldg. Phoenix, AZ 85007

Ms. Diana K. Bentley, Acting Clerk Supreme Court 201 W. Wing, State Cap. Bldg Phoenix, AZ 85007 Ms. Kathleen E. Kempley, Senior Deputy Supreme Court 201 W. Wing, State Cap. Bldg Phoenix, AZ 85007

Mr. David J. Halperin, Attorney Administrative Office of the Courts State Building, Room 3154 350 McAllister Street San Francisco, CA 94102

Mr. Mac V. Danford, Clerk Colorado Supreme Court 2 East 14th Avenue Denver, CO 80203-0000

Mr. Frances J. Drumm, Jr. Chief Clerk, Supreme Court 231 Capitol Avenue Drawer Z, Station A Hartford, CT 06106-0000

Mr. Alan I. Herman Clerk, Court of Appeals 500 Indiana Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20001

Mr. Stephen D. Taylor Court Administrator Supreme Court P.O. Box 476 Dover, DE 19901

Mr. Sid J. White, Clerk Supreme Court Of Florida Supreme Court Building Tallahassee, FL 32304

Mr. Raymond E. Rhodes Clerk, 1st District Court of Appeals Tallahassee, FL 32301

Ms. Margaret Edwards
Administrative Office of
the Courts
Supreme Court Building
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Ms. Victoria McLaughlin Deputy Clerk, Georgia Court of Appeals 433 State Judiciary Bldg. Atlanta, GA 30334

Ms. Joline B. Williams, Clerk Supreme Court 506 Judicial Building Atlanta, GA 30334

Mr. Mitch Yamasaki Research Statistician Planning and Statistics P.O. Box 2560 Honolulu, HI 96804

Mr. Frederick C. Lyon, Clerk Idaho Supreme Court and Court of Appeals 451 W State St Boise, ID 83720

Mr. Dennis Dohm Assistant Director, Administrative Office of the Courts 30 North Michigan Avenue Chicago, IL 60602

Ms. Marjorie H. O'Laughlin Clerk, Supreme Court and Court of Appeals 217 State House Indianapolis, IN 46204

Mr. Karl Mulvaney, Administrator Supreme Court of Indiana Room 312 Statehouse Indianapolis, IN 46204

Mr. R. Keith Richardson, Clerk Supreme Court State House Des Moines, IA 50319

Ms. Carol G. Green Director of Central Research Kansas Supreme Court Kansas Judicial Ctr-301 W 10th Topeka, KS 66612 Mr. John C. Scott, Clerk Supreme Court/Court Of Appeals Bush Bldg, 403 Wapping St Frankfort, KY 40601

Mr. Frans J. Labranche Jr., Clerk Supreme Court of Louisiana 301 Loyola Ave New Orleans, LA 70112

Mr. Eugene Murret
Judicial Administrator
Supreme Court of Louisiana
Supreme Court Building, Room 109
301 Loyola Avenue
New Orleans, LA 70112

Mr. James C. Chute Clerk of the Law Court Supreme Judicial Court sitting as Law Court, Box 368 Portland, ME 04112

Mr. Peter Lally Assistant Administrator Administrative Office of the Courts Courts of Appeal Building Annapolis, MD 21401

Ms. Faye Gaskin, Research Analyst Administrative Office of the Courts Annapolis, MD 21401

Mr. Robert C. Franke Chief Deputy Clerk, Court of Appeals Courts of Appeal Building Annapolis, MD 21401

Ms. Jean M. Kennett, Clerk Supreme Judicial Court Boston, MA 02108

Mr. Daniel J. Johnedis Chief Staff Council, Supreme Court New Courthouse Boston, MA 02108 Mr. Alex McNeil Administrative Assistant Appeals Court New Courthouse Boston, MA 02108

Ms. Jacqueline MacKinnon
Deputy Clerk, Supreme Court of Michigan
Law Building
Post Office Box 30052
Lansing, MI 48909

Mr. Ronald Dzierbicki, Chief Clerk Court of Appeals 600 Washington Square Bldg. P.O. Box 30022 Lansing, MI 48909

Mr. Dale Good Supreme Court of Minnesota 230 State Capitol St. Paul, MN 55155

Cynthia M. Johnson Commissioner Supreme Court of Minnesota 230 State Capitol St. Paul, MN 55155

Chief Judge Popovich Court of Appeals 1300 Amhurst Tower St. Paul, MN 55102

Debra Dailey (Court of Appeals) Director of Statistical Analysis 40 N. Milton, Suite 201 St. Paul, MN 55104

Mr. Martin R. McLendon Executive Assistant Supreme Court of Mississippi Post Office Box 117 Jackson, MS 39205

Mr. Bill L. Thompson, Staff Counsel Supreme Court 1105 R. Southwest Boulevard Jefferson City, MO 65101 Ms. Peggy Stevens Clerk of Court of Appeals Western District 1300 Oak Street Kansas City, MO 64106-2970

Mr. Michael Abley State Court Administrator Supreme Court of Montana Justice Building, Room 314 215 North Sanders Helena, MT 59620

Ms. Ethel M. Harrison Clerk of Supreme Court 215 North Sanders Just. Bldg. Helena, MT 59620

Ms. Ruth Ann Popp Secretary to Chief Justice Supreme Court 215 North Sanders Just. Bldg. Helena, MT 59620

Mr. Kenneth A. Wade Acting Clerk of the Supreme Court Supreme Court Of Nebraska 2413 State Capitol Bldg Lincoln, NE 68509

Ms. Sharon Grumberg Supervising Staff Attorney Supreme Court Supreme Court Building Carson City, NV 89710

Ms. Judith Fountain, Clerk Supreme Court Supreme Court Bldg Carson City, NV 89710

Ms. Carol A. Belmain Deputy Clerk, Supreme Court Supreme Court Bldg. Concord, NH 03301

Mr. Wesley LaBar, Deputy Clerk Appellate Division of Superior Court RJH Just. Complex CN-006 5th Fl. Trenton, NJ 08625 Mr. Stephen Townsend, Clerk Supreme Court P.O. Box 1480 432 State House Annex Trenton, NJ 08625

Ms. Rose Marie Alderete, Clerk Supreme Court P.O. Box 848 Santa Fe, NM 87501

Mr. Anthony Marquez Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice Post Office Box 838 Santa Fe, NM 87503

Mr. Mike Condon, Clerk Court of Appeals P.O. Box 2008 Sante Fe, NM 87501

Mr. John F. Werner, Chief Clerk Appellate Term Supreme Court First Judicial Department 60 Centre Street Room 401 New York, NY 10007

Mr. Irving Selkin, Executive Officer and Clerk Appellate Division of Supreme Court (2nd) 45 Monroe Place Brooklyn, NY 11201

Mrs. Laurene Tacy, Assistant
Deputy Clerk of Court of Appeals
Office of Court Administration
80 Centre Street
New York, NY 10013

Mr. Frank E. Dail Clerk, Court of Appeals P.O. Box 2779 Raleigh, NC 27602

Mr. J. Gregory Wallace Clerk Of The Supreme Court 2 E. Morgan St., P.O. Box 2170 Raleigh, NC 27602 Ms. Luella Dunn Clerk of the Supreme Court State Capitol Bismarck, ND 58501

Ms. Susan Mako, Assistant Director Supreme Court of Ohio 30 E. Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

Mr. Tom Rottinghaus, Administrator First Appellate District Court Room 300, Hamilton County Cincinnati, OH 45202

Mr. James W. Patterson, Clerk Supreme Court and Ct. of Criminal App State Capitol Bldg. Oklahoma City, OK 73105

Mr. Doug Bray, Admin. Analyst Supreme Court of Oregon Supreme Court Buidling Salem, OR 97310

Ms. Carol Justice Records Administrator Supreme Court of Oregon Supreme Court Buidling Salem, OR 97310

Mr. John Kennedy, Statistician Administrative Office of the Courts 1414 Three Penn Center Plaza Philadelphia, PA 19102

Mr. Chip Hostutler, Commonwealth Court Deputy Prothonotary-Chief Clerk South Office Building, 6th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17120

Ms. Marlene Lachman, Prothonotary Supreme Court Room 468 City Hall Philadelphia, PA 19107

Mr. Joe Henry, Prothonotary Superior Court 2044 Old Federal Courthouse 9th and Market Philadelphia, PA 19107 Ms. Lady Alfonso-de-Cumpiano, Chief Clerk Office of Court Administration Vela Street Stop 35 1/2 Call Box 22A Hato Rey, PR 00919

Mr. Brian Burns, Chief Deputy Clerk Supreme Court of Rhode Island 250 Benefit Street Providence, RI 02903

Mr. Jeff Boyd Staff Attorney Supreme Court P.O. Box 50447 Columbia, SC 29250

Mr. Clyde M. Davis, Jr., Clerk Supreme Court of S.C. P.O. Box 11330 Columbia, SC 29211

Reba D. Mims, Clerk South Carolina Court of Appeals P.O. Box 11629 Columbia, SC 29211

Ms. Gloria J. Engel, Clerk South Dakota Supreme Court Capitol Building Pierre, SD 57501

Ms. Suzanne Keith
Staff Attorney and Educational
Planner
Supreem Court of Tennessee
Nashville, TN 37219

Mr. Richard Finegan, Attorney Office of Court Administration P.O. Box 12248 Austin, TX 78711

Mr. Geoffrey J. Butler Clerk, Supreme Court 332 State Capitol Building Salt Lake City, UT 84114 Mr. Larry Abbott
Deputy Clerk, Supreme Court
of Vermont
111 State Street
Montpelier, VT 05602

Mr. David Beach, Clerk Supreme Court Bldg-4th Floor 100 North 9th Street Richmond, VA 23219

Mr. Reginald N. Shriver, Clerk Supreme Court Temple of Justice Olympia, WA 98504-0511

Mr. Frank V. Slak, Jr. Court Commissioner Court of Appeals Spokane, WA 99210

Ms. Marilyn Graves, Clerk Supreme Court and Court Of Appeals State Capitol Madison, WI 53702

Mr. George W. Singleton, Clerk Supreme Court Of Appeals Charleston, WV 25305

Ms. Eve Paulson, Court Statistician Wyoming Supreme Court Supreme Court Bldg Cheyenne, WY 82002

Appendix C

Final verification was received for these courts by publication date.

State/court	Verification received	State/court	Verification received
ALABAMA:		KANSAS:	
Supreme Court	X	Supreme Court	X
Court of Civil		Court of Appeals .	X
Appeals		KENTUCKY:	
Court of Criminal		Supreme Court	X
Appeals	X	Court of Appeals .	
ALASKA:		LOUISIANA:	
Supreme Court	X	Supreme Court	X
Court of Appeals		Court of Appeals .	
ARIZONA:		MAINE:	
Supreme Court	X	Supreme Judicial	
Court of Appeals		Court Sitting as	
ARKANSAS:		Law Court	X
Supreme Court	X	MARYLAND:	
Court of Appeals		Court of Appeals .	X
CALIFORNIA:		Court of Special	
Supreme Court	X	Appeals	X
Court of Appeals	X	MASSACHUSETTS:	
COLORADO:		Supreme Judicial	
Supreme Court	X	Court	X
Court of Appeals		Appeals Court	X
CONNECTICUT:		 MICHIGAN:	
Supreme Court	X	Supreme Court	X
Appellate Court		Court of Appeals .	(phone) X
DELAWARE:		MINNESOTA:	
Supreme Court	X	Supreme Court	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:		Court of Appeals .	(phone) X
Court of Appeals	(phone) X	MISSISSIPPI:	
FLORIDA:	•	Supreme Court	(phone) X
Supreme Court	X	MISSOURI:	
District Court of		Supreme Court	
Appeals	X	Court of Appeals .	X
GEORGIA:		MONTANA:	
Supreme Court	X	Supreme Court	X
Court of Appeals	X	NEBRASKA:	
HAWAII:		Supreme Court	X
Supreme Court		NEVADA:	
Intermediate Court		Supreme Court	X
of Appeals	X	NEW HAMPSHIRE:	
IDAHO:		Supreme Court	X
Supreme Court	X	NEW JERSEY:	
Court of Appeals	X	Supreme Court	
ILLINOIS:		 Appellate Division	
Supreme Court	X	of Superior Court	: X
Appellate Court	X	NEW MEXICO:	
INDIANA:		Supreme Court	X
Supreme Court	X	Court of Appeals .	X
Court of Appeals	X	NEW YORK:	
IOWA:		Court of Appeals .	
Supreme Court		Appellate Division	
Court of Appeals	X	of Supreme Court	X
		Appellate Term of	
		Supreme Court	X

Ctata /aquat	Verification	Chata /aayud	Verification
State/court	received	State/court	received
NORTH CAROLINA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals NORTH DAKOTA: Supreme Court OHIO: Supreme Court Court of Appeals OKLAHOMA: Supreme Court Court of Criminal Appeals	(phone) X X X	TENNESSEE: Supreme Court Court of Appeals Court of Crimina Appeals TEXAS: Supreme Court Court of Crimina Appeals Court of Appeals UTAH: Supreme Court	1 X X X X
Court of Appeals OREGON: Supreme Court Court of Appeals PENNSYLVANIA:	X	VERMONT: Supreme Court VIRGINIA: Supreme Court WASHINGTON:	x
Supreme Court Superior Court Commonwealth Court PUERTO RICO: Supreme Court	X	Supreme Court Court of Appeals WEST VIRGINIA: Supreme Court WISCONSIN:	X
RHODE ISLAND: Supreme Court SOUTH CAROLINA: Supreme Court Court of Appeals SOUTH DAKOTA:	X	Supreme Court Court of Appeals WYOMING: Supreme Court	X
Supreme Court	X		

X = Final verification was received by October 10, 1985.