

**RIVER MILEAGE CLASSIFICATIONS FOR COMPONENTS OF THE
NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS SYSTEM** ^{End Note}

DECEMBER 2016
(Page 1 of 25)

River Present Units in the National System	Administering Agency	Miles by Classification			Total Miles
		Wild	Scenic	Rec'l	
1. Middle Fork Clearwater, Idaho (P.L. 90-542—October 2, 1968)	Forest Service	54.0	—	131.0	185.0
2. Eleven Point, Missouri (P.L. 90-542—October 2, 1968)	Forest Service	—	44.4	—	44.4
3. Feather, California (P.L. 90-542—October 2, 1968)	Forest Service	32.9	9.7	35.0	77.6
4. Rio Grande, New Mexico (P.L. 90-542—October 2, 1968)	Forest Service	3.9	—	0.4	55.7
	Bureau of Land Management	51.0		0.4	
Rio Grande, New Mexico (P.L. 103-242—May 4, 1994)	Bureau of Land Management	—	12.5	—	12.5
Rio Grande, Texas (P.L. 95-625—November 10, 1978)	National Park Service	95.2	96.0	—	191.2
<i>Rio Grande Total</i>		<i>150.1</i>	<i>108.5</i>	<i>0.8</i>	<i>259.4</i>
5. Rogue, Oregon (P.L. 90-542—October 2, 1968)	Forest Service	13.0	7.5	17.0	37.5
	Bureau of Land Management	20.6	—	26.4	47.0
<i>Rogue River Total</i>		<i>33.6</i>	<i>7.5</i>	<i>43.4</i>	<i>84.5</i>

**RIVER MILEAGE CLASSIFICATIONS FOR COMPONENTS OF THE
NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS SYSTEM** ^{End Note}

DECEMBER 2016
(Page 2 of 25)

River Present Units in the National System	Administering Agency	Miles by Classification			Total Miles
		Wild	Scenic	Rec'l	
6. St. Croix, Minnesota & Wisconsin (P.L. 90-542—October 2, 1968)	National Park Service	–	181.0	19.0	200.0
St. Croix (Lower) Minnesota & Wisconsin (P.L. 92-560—October 25, 1972)	National Park Service	–	12.0	15.0	27.0
St. Croix (Lower), Minnesota & Wisconsin (Secretarial Designation—June 17, 1976)	States of Minnesota and Wisconsin	–	–	25.0	25.0
<i>St. Croix River Total</i>		–	<i>193.0</i>	<i>59.0</i>	<i>252.0</i>
7. Middle Fork Salmon, Idaho (P.L. 90-542—October 2, 1968)	Forest Service	103.0	1.0		104.0
8. Salmon, Idaho (P.L. 96-312—July 23, 1980)	Forest Service	79.0	–	46.0	125.0
9. Wolf, Wisconsin (P.L. 90-542—October 2, 1968)	National Park Service	–	24.0	–	24.0
10. Allagash Wilderness Waterway, Maine (Secretarial Designation—July 19, 1970)	State of Maine	92.5	–	–	92.5
11. Little Miami, Ohio (Secretarial Designation—August 20, 1973)	State of Ohio	–	18.0	48.0	66.0
Little Miami, Ohio (Secretarial Designation—January 28, 1980)	State of Ohio	–	–	28.0	28.0
<i>Little Miami River Total</i>		–	<i>18.0</i>	<i>76.0</i>	<i>94.0</i>

**RIVER MILEAGE CLASSIFICATIONS FOR COMPONENTS OF THE
NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS SYSTEM** End Note

DECEMBER 2016
(Page 3 of 25)

River Present Units in the National System	Administering Agency	Miles by Classification			Total Miles
		<i>Wild</i>	<i>Scenic</i>	<i>Rec'l</i>	
12. Chattooga, Georgia, North and South Carolina (P.L. 93-279—May 10, 1974)	Forest Service	41.6	2.5	14.6	58.7
13. Little Beaver, Ohio (Secretarial Designation—October 23, 1975)	State of Ohio	—	33.0	—	33.0
14. Snake, Idaho & Oregon (P.L. 94-199—December 31, 1975)	Forest Service	31.5	36.0	—	67.5
15. Rapid, Idaho (P.L. 94-199—December 31, 1975)	Forest Service	26.8	—	—	26.8
16. New, North Carolina (Secretarial Designation—April 13, 1976)	State of North Carolina	—	26.5	—	26.5
17. Missouri, Montana (P.L. 94-486—October 12, 1976)	Bureau of Land Management	64.0	26.0	59.0	149.0
Missouri, Nebraska & South Dakota (P.L. 95-625—November 10, 1978)	National Park Service	—	—	59.0	59.0
Missouri, Nebraska & South Dakota (P.L. 102-50—May 24, 1991)	National Park Service	—	—	39.0	39.0
<i>Missouri River Total</i>		<i>64.0</i>	<i>26.0</i>	<i>157.0</i>	<i>247.0</i>

**RIVER MILEAGE CLASSIFICATIONS FOR COMPONENTS OF THE
NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS SYSTEM** ^{End Note}

DECEMBER 2016
(Page 4 of 25)

River Present Units in the National System	Administering Agency	Miles by Classification			Total Miles
		<i>Wild</i>	<i>Scenic</i>	<i>Rec'l</i>	
18. Flathead, Montana (P.L. 94-486—October 12, 1976)	Forest Service	97.9	–	17.8	115.7
	Forest Service and National Park Service	–	40.7	62.6	103.3
<i>Flathead River Total</i>		<i>97.9</i>	<i>40.7</i>	<i>80.4</i>	<i>219.0</i>
19. Obed, Tennessee (P.L. 94-486—October 12, 1976)	National Park Service	43.3	2.0	–	45.3
20. Pere Marquette, Michigan (P.L. 95-625—November 10, 1978)	Forest Service	–	66.4	–	66.4
21. Skagit, Washington (P.L. 95-625—November 10, 1978)	Forest Service	–	100.0	58.5	158.5
22. Delaware (Upper), New York & Pennsylvania (P.L. 95-625—November 10, 1978)	National Park Service	–	23.1	50.3	73.4
Delaware (Middle), New Jersey & Pennsylvania (P.L. 95-625—November 10, 1978)	National Park Service	–	35.0	5.0	40.0
Delaware (Lower), New Jersey & Pennsylvania (P.L. 106-418—November 1, 2000)	National Park Service and Local Government	–	25.4	41.9	67.3
<i>Delaware River Total</i>		<i>–</i>	<i>83.5</i>	<i>97.2</i>	<i>180.7</i>
23. North Fork American, California (P.L. 95-625—November 10, 1978)	Forest Service	26.3	–	–	26.3
	Bureau of Land Management	12.0	–	–	12.0
<i>American River (North Fork) Total</i>		<i>38.3</i>	<i>–</i>	<i>–</i>	<i>38.3</i>

**RIVER MILEAGE CLASSIFICATIONS FOR COMPONENTS OF THE
NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS SYSTEM** ^{End Note}

DECEMBER 2016
(Page 5 of 25)

River Present Units in the National System	Administering Agency	Miles by Classification			Total Miles
		<i>Wild</i>	<i>Scenic</i>	<i>Rec'l</i>	
24. American (Lower), California (Secretarial Designation—January 19, 1981)	State of California	–	–	23.0	23.0
25. Saint Joe, Idaho (P.L. 95-625—November 10, 1978)	Forest Service	26.6	–	39.7	66.3
26. Alagnak, Alaska (P.L. 96-487—December 2, 1980)	National Park Service	67.0	–	–	67.0
27. Alatna, Alaska (P.L. 96-487—December 2, 1980)	National Park Service	83.0	–	–	83.0
28. Aniakchak, Alaska (P.L. 96-487—December 2, 1980)	National Park Service	63.0	–	–	63.0
29. Charley, Alaska (P.L. 96-487—December 2, 1980)	National Park Service	208.0	–	–	208.0
30. Chilikadrotna, Alaska (P.L. 96-487—December 2, 1980)	National Park Service	11.0	–	–	11.0
31. John, Alaska (P.L. 96-487—December 2, 1980)	National Park Service	52.0	–	–	52.0
32. Kobuk, Alaska (P.L. 96-487—December 2, 1980)	National Park Service	110.0	–	–	110.0
33. Mulchatna, Alaska (P.L. 96-487—December 2, 1980)	National Park Service	24.0	–	–	24.0
34. North Fork Koyukuk, Alaska (P.L. 96-487—December 2, 1980)	National Park Service	102.0	–	–	102.0

**RIVER MILEAGE CLASSIFICATIONS FOR COMPONENTS OF THE
NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS SYSTEM** End Note

DECEMBER 2016
(Page 6 of 25)

River Present Units in the National System	Administering Agency	Miles by Classification			Total Miles
		<i>Wild</i>	<i>Scenic</i>	<i>Rec'l</i>	
35. Noatak, Alaska (P.L. 96-487—December 2, 1980)	National Park Service	330.0	–	–	330.0
36. Salmon, Alaska (P.L. 96-487—December 2, 1980)	National Park Service	70.0	–	–	70.0
37. Tinayguk, Alaska (P.L. 96-487—December 2, 1980)	National Park Service	44.0	–	–	44.0
38. Tlikakila, Alaska (P.L. 96-487—December 2, 1980)	National Park Service	51.0	–	–	51.0
39. Andreafsky, Alaska (P.L. 96-487—December 2, 1980)	Fish and Wildlife Service	262.0	–	–	262.0
40. Ivishak, Alaska (P.L. 96-487—December 2, 1980)	Fish and Wildlife Service	80.0	–	–	80.0
41. Nowitna, Alaska (P.L. 96-487—December 2, 1980)	Fish and Wildlife Service	225.0	–	–	225.0
42. Selawik, Alaska (P.L. 96-487—December 2, 1980)	Fish and Wildlife Service	160.0	–	–	160.0
43. Sheenjok, Alaska (P.L. 96-487—December 2, 1980)	Fish and Wildlife Service	160.0	–	–	160.0
44. Wind, Alaska (P.L. 96-487—December 2, 1980)	Fish and Wildlife Service	140.0	–	–	140.0

**RIVER MILEAGE CLASSIFICATIONS FOR COMPONENTS OF THE
NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS SYSTEM** ^{End Note}

DECEMBER 2016
(Page 7 of 25)

River Present Units in the National System	Administering Agency	Miles by Classification			Total Miles
		Wild	Scenic	Rec'l	
45. Beaver Creek, Alaska (P.L. 96-487—December 2, 1980)	Fish and Wildlife Service	16.0	—	—	16.0
	Bureau of Land Management	111.0	—	—	111.0
<i>Beaver Creek Total</i>		<i>127.0</i>	<i>—</i>	<i>—</i>	<i>127.0</i>
46. Birch Creek, Alaska (P.L. 96-487—December 2, 1980)	Bureau of Land Management	126.0	—	—	126.0
47. Delta, Alaska (P.L. 96-487—December 2, 1980)	Bureau of Land Management	20.0	24.0	18.0	62.0
48. Fortymile, Alaska (P.L. 96-487—December 2, 1980)	Bureau of Land Management	179.0	203.0	10.0	392.0
49. Gulkana, Alaska (P.L. 96-487—December 2, 1980)	Bureau of Land Management	181.0	—	—	181.0
50. Unalakleet, Alaska (P.L. 96-487—December 2, 1980)	Bureau of Land Management	80.0	—	—	80.0
51. Klamath, California (Secretarial Designation—January 19, 1981)	State of California	—	3.0	12.2	15.2
	Forest Service	11.7	20.5	190.1	222.3
	Bureau of Land Management	—	—	1.5	1.5
	Hoopa Valley Indian Reservation	—	—	46.0	46.0
	National Park Service	—	—	1.0	1.0
	Klamath, Oregon (Secretarial Designation—September 22, 1994)	State of Oregon and Bureau of Land Management	—	11.0	—
<i>Klamath River Total</i>		<i>11.7</i>	<i>34.5</i>	<i>250.8</i>	<i>297.0</i>

**RIVER MILEAGE CLASSIFICATIONS FOR COMPONENTS OF THE
NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS SYSTEM** ^{End Note}

DECEMBER 2016
(Page 8 of 25)

River Present Units in the National System	Administering Agency	Miles by Classification			Total Miles
		<i>Wild</i>	<i>Scenic</i>	<i>Rec'l</i>	
52. Trinity, California (Secretarial Designation—January 19, 1981)	State of California	2.0	11.0	24.0	37.0
	Forest Service	42.0	22.0	71.0	135.0
	Bureau of Land Management	–	–	17.0	17.0
	Hoopa Valley Indian Reservation	–	6.0	8.0	14.0
<i>Trinity River Total</i>		<i>44.0</i>	<i>39.0</i>	<i>120.0</i>	<i>203.0</i>
53. Eel, California (Secretarial Designation—January 19, 1981)	State of California	36.0	22.5	250.5	309.0
	Forest Service	35.0	–	–	35.0
	Bureau of Land Management	21.0	4.5	6.5	32.0
	Round Valley Indian Reservation	5.0	1.0	16.0	22.0
<i>Eel River Total</i>		<i>97.0</i>	<i>28.0</i>	<i>273.0</i>	<i>398.0</i>
54. Smith, California (Secretarial Designation—January 19, 1981) (P.L. 101-612—November 16, 1990)	State of California	–	0.5	28.5	29.0
	Forest Service	78.0	30.5	187.9	296.4
<i>Smith River Total</i>		<i>78.0</i>	<i>31.0</i>	<i>216.4</i>	<i>325.4</i>
55. Verde, Arizona (P.L. 98-406—August 28, 1984)	Forest Service	22.2	18.3	–	40.5
56. Tuolumne, California (P.L. 98-425—September 28, 1984)	Forest Service	7.0	6.0	13.0	26.0
	National Park Service	37.0	17.0	–	54.0
	Bureau of Land Management	3.0	–	–	3.0
<i>Tuolumne River Total</i>		<i>47.0</i>	<i>23.0</i>	<i>13.0</i>	<i>83.0</i>

**RIVER MILEAGE CLASSIFICATIONS FOR COMPONENTS OF THE
NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS SYSTEM** End Note

DECEMBER 2016
(Page 9 of 25)

River Present Units in the National System	Administering Agency	Miles by Classification			Total Miles
		<i>Wild</i>	<i>Scenic</i>	<i>Rec'l</i>	
57. Au Sable, Michigan (P.L. 98-444—October 4, 1984)	Forest Service	–	23.0	–	23.0
58. Owyhee, Oregon (P.L. 98-494—October 19, 1984)	Bureau of Land Management	120.0	–	–	120.0
59. Illinois, Oregon (P.L. 98-494—October 19, 1984)	Forest Service	28.7	17.9	3.8	50.4
60. Loxahatchee, Florida (Secretarial Designation—May 17, 1985)	State of Florida	1.3	5.8	0.5	7.6
61. Horsepasture, North Carolina (P.L. 99-530—October 26, 1986)	Forest Service	–	3.6	0.6	4.2
62. Cache la Poudre, Colorado (P.L. 99-590—October 30, 1986)	Forest Service	18.0	–	46.0	64.0
	National Park Service	12.0	–	–	12.0
<i>Cache la Poudre River Total</i>		<i>30.0</i>	<i>–</i>	<i>46.0</i>	<i>76.0</i>
63. Black Creek, Mississippi (P.L. 99-590—October 30, 1986)	Forest Service	–	21.0	–	21.0
64. Saline Bayou, Louisiana (P.L. 99-590—October 30, 1986)	Forest Service	–	19.0	–	19.0
65. Klickitat, Washington (P.L. 99-663—November 17, 1986)	Forest Service	–	–	10.8	10.8

**RIVER MILEAGE CLASSIFICATIONS FOR COMPONENTS OF THE
NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS SYSTEM** ^{End Note}

DECEMBER 2016
(Page 10 of 25)

River Present Units in the National System	Administering Agency	Miles by Classification			Total Miles
		<i>Wild</i>	<i>Scenic</i>	<i>Rec'l</i>	
66. White Salmon, Washington (P.L. 99-663—November 17, 1986)	Forest Service	–	7.7	–	7.7
White Salmon, Washington (P.L. 109-44—August 2, 2005)	Forest Service	6.7	13.3	–	20.0
<i>White Salmon River Total</i>		<i>6.7</i>	<i>21.0</i>	<i>–</i>	<i>27.7</i>
67. Merced, California (P.L. 100-149—November 2, 1987)	Forest Service	15.0	2.0	12.5	29.5
	National Park Service	53.0	14.0	14.0	81.0
	Bureau of Land Management	3.0	–	1.0	4.0
Merced, California (P.L. 102-432—October 23, 1992)	Bureau of Land Management	–	–	8.0	8.0
<i>Merced River Total</i>		<i>71.0</i>	<i>16.0</i>	<i>35.5</i>	<i>122.5</i>
68. Kings, California (P.L. 100-150—November 3, 1987)	Forest Service	16.5	–	9.0	25.5
	National Park Service	49.0	–	6.5	55.5
<i>Kings River Total</i>		<i>65.5</i>	<i>–</i>	<i>15.5</i>	<i>81.0</i>
69. Kern, California (P.L. 100-174—November 24, 1987)	Forest Service	96.1	7.0	20.9	124.0
	National Park Service	27.0	–	–	27.0
<i>Kern River Total</i>		<i>123.1</i>	<i>7.0</i>	<i>20.9</i>	<i>151.0</i>
70. Bluestone, West Virginia (P.L. 100-534—October 26, 1988)	National Park Service	–	10.0	–	10.0
71. Wildcat, New Hampshire (P.L. 100-554—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	–	13.7	0.8	14.5

**RIVER MILEAGE CLASSIFICATIONS FOR COMPONENTS OF THE
NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS SYSTEM** ^{End Note}

DECEMBER 2016
(Page 11 of 25)

River Present Units in the National System	Administering Agency	Miles by Classification			Total Miles
		Wild	Scenic	Rec'l	
72. Sipsey Fork West Fork, Alabama (P.L. 100-547—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	36.4	25.0	–	61.4
73. Big Marsh Creek, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	–	–	15.0	15.0
74. Chetco, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	25.5	8.0	11.0	44.5
75. Clackamas, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	–	20.0	27.0	47.0
76. Crescent Creek, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	–	–	10.0	10.0
77. Crooked, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Bureau of Land Management	–	–	17.8	17.8
78. Deschutes, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	–	11.0	43.4	54.4
	Bureau of Land Management	–	20.0	100.0	120.0
<i>Deschutes River Total</i>		–	31.0	143.4	174.4
79. Donner und Blitzen, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Bureau of Land Management	72.7	–	–	72.7
Donner und Blitzen, Oregon (P.L. 106-399—October 30, 2000)	Bureau of Land Management	14.8	–	–	14.8
<i>Donner und Blitzen River Total</i>		87.5	–	–	87.5

**RIVER MILEAGE CLASSIFICATIONS FOR COMPONENTS OF THE
NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS SYSTEM** End Note

DECEMBER 2016
(Page 12 of 25)

River Present Units in the National System	Administering Agency	Miles by Classification			Total Miles
		<i>Wild</i>	<i>Scenic</i>	<i>Rec'l</i>	
80. Eagle Creek, Oregon (Wallowa-Whitman National Forest) (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	4.5	6.0	18.4	28.9
81. Elk, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	2.0	—	17.0	19.0
Elk, Oregon (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Forest Service	7.7	1.5	—	9.2
<i>Elk River Total</i>		<i>9.7</i>	<i>1.5</i>	<i>17.0</i>	<i>28.2</i>
82. Grande Ronde, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service Bureau of Land Management	17.4 9.0	— —	1.5 15.9	18.9 24.9
<i>Grande Ronde River Total</i>		<i>26.4</i>	<i>—</i>	<i>17.4</i>	<i>43.8</i>
83. Imnaha, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	15.0	4.0	58.0	77.0
84. John Day, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Bureau of Land Management	—	—	147.5	147.5
85. Joseph Creek, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	8.6	—	—	8.6
86. Little Deschutes, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	—	—	12.0	12.0
87. Lostine, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	5.0	—	11.0	16.0

**RIVER MILEAGE CLASSIFICATIONS FOR COMPONENTS OF THE
NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS SYSTEM** End Note

DECEMBER 2016
(Page 13 of 25)

River Present Units in the National System	Administering Agency	Miles by Classification			Total Miles
		Wild	Scenic	Rec'l	
88. Malheur, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	6.7	7.0	–	13.7
89. McKenzie, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	–	–	12.7	12.7
90. Metolius, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	–	17.1	11.5	28.6
91. Minam, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	41.9	–	–	41.9
92. North Fork Crooked, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	–	7.6	8.9	16.5
	Bureau of Land Management	12.2	0.6	4.4	17.2
<i>Crooked River (North Fork) Total</i>		<i>12.2</i>	<i>8.2</i>	<i>13.3</i>	<i>33.7</i>
93. North Fork John Day, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	27.8	10.5	15.8	54.1
94. North Fork Malheur, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	–	25.5	–	25.5
95. North Fork Middle Fork Willamette, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	8.8	6.5	27.0	42.3
96. North Fork Owyhee, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Bureau of Land Management	9.6	–	–	9.6
97. North Fork Smith, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	8.5	4.5	–	13.0

**RIVER MILEAGE CLASSIFICATIONS FOR COMPONENTS OF THE
NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS SYSTEM** End Note

DECEMBER 2016
(Page 14 of 25)

River Present Units in the National System	Administering Agency	Miles by Classification			Total Miles
		<i>Wild</i>	<i>Scenic</i>	<i>Rec'l</i>	
98. North Fork Sprague, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	–	15.0	–	15.0
99. North Powder, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	–	6.4	–	6.4
100. North Umpqua, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	–	–	25.4	25.4
	Bureau of Land Management	–	–	8.4	8.4
<i>North Umpqua River Total</i>		–	–	33.8	33.8
101. Powder, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Bureau of Land Management	–	11.7	–	11.7
102. Quartzville Creek, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Bureau of Land Management	–	–	12.0	12.0
103. Roaring, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	13.5	–	0.2	13.7
104. Salmon, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	15.0	–	10.5	25.5
	Bureau of Land Management	–	4.8	3.2	8.0
<i>Salmon River Total</i>		15.0	4.8	13.7	33.5
105. Sandy, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	4.5	–	7.9	12.4
	Bureau of Land Management	–	3.8	8.7	12.5
<i>Sandy River Total</i>		4.5	3.8	16.6	24.9
106. South Fork John Day, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Bureau of Land Management	–	–	47.0	47.0

**RIVER MILEAGE CLASSIFICATIONS FOR COMPONENTS OF THE
NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS SYSTEM** ^{End Note}

DECEMBER 2016
(Page 15 of 25)

River Present Units in the National System	Administering Agency	Miles by Classification			Total Miles
		<i>Wild</i>	<i>Scenic</i>	<i>Rec'l</i>	
107. Squaw Creek, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	6.6	8.8	–	15.4
108. Sycan, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	–	50.4	8.6	59.0
109. Upper Rogue, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	6.1	34.2	–	40.3
110. Wenaha, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	18.7	2.7	0.2	21.6
111. West Little Owyhee, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Bureau of Land Management	57.6	–	–	57.6
112. White, Oregon (P.L. 100-557—October 28, 1988)	Forest Service	–	6.5	15.6	22.1
	Bureau of Land Management	–	17.8	6.9	24.7
<i>White River Total</i>		–	24.3	22.5	46.8
113. Rio Chama, New Mexico (P.L. 100-633—November 7, 1988)	Forest Service	10.4	3.0	–	13.4
	Bureau of Land Management	11.2	–	–	11.2
<i>Rio Chama Total</i>		21.6	3.0	–	24.6
114. Middle Fork Vermilion, Illinois (Secretarial Designation—May 11, 1989)	State of Illinois	–	17.1	–	17.1
115. East Fork Jemez, New Mexico (P.L. 101-306—June 6, 1990)	Forest Service	4.0	5.0	2.0	11.0
116. Pecos, New Mexico (P.L. 101-306—June 6, 1990)	Forest Service	13.5	–	7.0	20.5

**RIVER MILEAGE CLASSIFICATIONS FOR COMPONENTS OF THE
NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS SYSTEM** ^{End Note}

DECEMBER 2016
(Page 16 of 25)

River Present Units in the National System	Administering Agency	Miles by Classification			Total Miles
		<i>Wild</i>	<i>Scenic</i>	<i>Rec'l</i>	
117. Clarks Fork Yellowstone, Wyoming (P.L. 101-628—November 28, 1990)	Forest Service	20.5	–	–	20.5
118. Niobrara, Nebraska (P.L. 102-50—May 24, 1991)	National Park Service	–	68.0	28.0	96.0
	Fish and Wildlife Service		8.0	–	8.0
<i>Niobrara River Total</i>		–	76.0	28.0	104.0
119. Bear Creek, Michigan (P.L. 102-249—March 3, 1992)	Forest Service	–	6.5	–	6.5
120. Black, Michigan (P.L. 102-249—March 3, 1992)	Forest Service	–	14.0	–	14.0
121. Carp, Michigan (P.L. 102-249—March 3, 1992)	Forest Service	12.4	9.3	6.1	27.8
122. Indian, Michigan (P.L. 102-249—March 3, 1992)	Forest Service	–	12.0	39.0	51.0
123. Manistee, Michigan (P.L. 102-249—March 3, 1992)	Forest Service	–	–	26.0	26.0
124. Ontonagon, Michigan (P.L. 102-249—March 3, 1992)	Forest Service	43.0	35.0	92.0	170.0
125. Paint, Michigan (P.L. 102-249—March 3, 1992)	Forest Service	–	–	52.0	52.0
126. Pine, Michigan (P.L. 102-249—March 3, 1992)	Forest Service	–	25.0	–	25.0

**RIVER MILEAGE CLASSIFICATIONS FOR COMPONENTS OF THE
NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS SYSTEM** ^{End Note}

DECEMBER 2016
(Page 17 of 25)

River Present Units in the National System	Administering Agency	Miles by Classification			Total Miles
		<i>Wild</i>	<i>Scenic</i>	<i>Rec'l</i>	
127. Presque Isle, Michigan (P.L. 102-249—March 3, 1992)	Forest Service	–	24.0	48.0	72.0
128. Sturgeon, Michigan (Hiawatha National Forest) (P.L. 102-249—March 3, 1992)	Forest Service	–	21.7	22.2	43.9
129. Sturgeon, Michigan (Ottawa National Forest) (P.L. 102-249—March 3, 1992)	Forest Service	20.0	8.0	–	28.0
130. East Branch Tahquamenon, Michigan (P.L. 102-249—March 3, 1992)	Forest Service	3.2	–	10.0	13.2
131. Whitefish, Michigan (P.L. 102-249—March 3, 1992)	Forest Service	–	31.5	2.1	33.6
132. Yellow Dog, Michigan (P.L. 102-249—March 3, 1992)	Forest Service	4.0	–	–	4.0
133. Allegheny, Pennsylvania (P.L. 102-271—April 20, 1992)	Forest Service	–	–	86.6	86.6
134. Big Piney Creek, Arkansas (P.L. 102-275—April 22, 1992)	Forest Service	–	45.2	–	45.2
135. Buffalo, Arkansas (P.L. 102-275—April 22, 1992)	Forest Service	9.4	6.4	–	15.8

**RIVER MILEAGE CLASSIFICATIONS FOR COMPONENTS OF THE
NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS SYSTEM** End Note

DECEMBER 2016
(Page 18 of 25)

River Present Units in the National System	Administering Agency	Miles by Classification			Total Miles
		Wild	Scenic	Rec'l	
136. Cossatot, Arkansas (P.L. 102-275—April 22, 1992)	Forest Service	–	11.3	4.2	15.5
	Army Corps of Engineers	–	4.6	–	4.6
Cossatot, Arkansas (Secretarial Designation—February 2, 1994)	State of Arkansas	–	10.7	–	10.7
<i>Cossatot River Total</i>		–	26.6	4.2	30.8
137. Hurricane Creek, Arkansas (P.L. 102-275—April 22, 1992)	Forest Service	2.4	13.1	–	15.5
138. Little Missouri, Arkansas (P.L. 102-275—April 22, 1992)	Forest Service	4.4	11.3	–	15.7
139. Mulberry, Arkansas (P.L. 102-275—April 22, 1992)	Forest Service	–	19.4	36.6	56.0
140. North Sylamore Creek, Arkansas (P.L. 102-275—April 22, 1992)	Forest Service	–	14.5	–	14.5
141. Richland Creek, Arkansas (P.L. 102-275—April 22, 1992)	Forest Service	5.3	11.2	–	16.5
142. Sespe Creek, California (P.L. 102-301—June 19, 1992)	Forest Service	27.5	4.0	–	31.5
143. Sisquoc, California (P.L. 102-301—June 19, 1992)	Forest Service	33.0	–	–	33.0
144. Big Sur, California (P.L. 102-301—June 19, 1992)	Forest Service	19.5	–	–	19.5

**RIVER MILEAGE CLASSIFICATIONS FOR COMPONENTS OF THE
NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS SYSTEM** ^{End Note}

DECEMBER 2016
(Page 19 of 25)

River Present Units in the National System	Administering Agency	Miles by Classification			Total Miles
		<i>Wild</i>	<i>Scenic</i>	<i>Rec'l</i>	
145. Great Egg Harbor, New Jersey (P.L. 102-536—October 27, 1992)	National Park Service	–	30.6	98.4	129.0
146. Westfield, Massachusetts (Secretarial Designation—November 2, 1993)	State of Massachusetts	–	18.9	24.4	43.3
Westfield, Massachusetts (Secretarial Designation—October 29, 2004)	State of Massachusetts	2.6	24.0	8.2	34.8
<i>Westfield River Total</i>		2.6	42.9	32.6	78.1
147. Maurice, New Jersey (P.L. 103-162—December 1, 1993)	National Park Service	–	28.9	6.5	35.4
148. Red, Kentucky (P.L. 103-170—December 2, 1993)	Forest Service	9.1	–	10.3	19.4
149. Big and Little Darby Creeks, Ohio (Secretarial Designation—March 10, 1994)	State of Ohio	–	85.9	–	85.9
150. West Branch Farmington, Connecticut (P.L. 103-313—August 26, 1994)	National Park Service and State of Connecticut and Local Government	–	–	14.0	14.0
151. Wallowa, Oregon (Secretarial Designation—July 23, 1996)	State of Oregon and Bureau of Land Management	–	–	10.0	10.0
152. Elkhorn Creek, Oregon (P.L. 104-208—September 30, 1996)	Forest Service	5.8	–	–	5.8
	Bureau of Land Management	–	0.6	–	0.6
<i>Elkhorn Creek Total</i>		5.8	0.6	–	6.4

**RIVER MILEAGE CLASSIFICATIONS FOR COMPONENTS OF THE
NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS SYSTEM** ^{End Note}

DECEMBER 2016
(Page 20 of 25)

River Present Units in the National System	Administering Agency	Miles by Classification			Total Miles
		<i>Wild</i>	<i>Scenic</i>	<i>Rec'l</i>	
153. Clarion, Pennsylvania (P.L. 104-314—October 19, 1996)	Forest Service	–	17.1	34.6	51.7
154. Lamprey, New Hampshire (P.L. 104-333—November 12, 1996)	National Park Service and Local Government	–	–	11.5	11.5
Lamprey, New Hampshire (P.L. 106-192—May 2, 2000)	National Park Service and Local Government	–	–	12.0	12.0
<i>Lamprey River Total</i>		–	–	23.5	23.5
155. Lumber, North Carolina (Secretarial Designation—September 28, 1998)	State of North Carolina	–	60.0	21.0	81.0
156. Sudbury, Assabet, Concord, Massachusetts (P.L. 106-20—April 9, 1999)	National Park Service and State of Massachusetts and Local Government	–	14.9	14.1	29.0
157. Wilson Creek, North Carolina (P.L. 106-261—August 18, 2000)	Forest Service	4.6	2.9	15.8	23.3
158. Wekiva, Florida (P.L. 106-299—October 13, 2000)	National Park Service and State of Florida	31.4	2.1	8.1	41.6
159. White Clay Creek, Delaware & Pennsylvania (P.L. 106-357—October 24, 2000)	National Park Service and Local Government	–	24.0	166.0	190.0
White Clay Creek, Delaware & Pennsylvania (P.L. 113-291—December 19, 2014)	National Park Service and Local Government	–	7.4	1.6	9.0
<i>White Clay Creek Total</i>		–	31.4	167.6	199.0

**RIVER MILEAGE CLASSIFICATIONS FOR COMPONENTS OF THE
NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS SYSTEM** ^{End Note}

DECEMBER 2016
(Page 21 of 25)

River Present Units in the National System	Administering Agency	Miles by Classification			Total Miles
		<i>Wild</i>	<i>Scenic</i>	<i>Rec'l</i>	
160. Wildhorse and Kiger Creeks, Oregon (P.L. 106-399—October 30, 2000)	Bureau of Land Management	13.9	—	—	13.9
161. Rio Mameyes, Puerto Rico (P.L. 107-365—December 19, 2002)	Forest Service	2.1	1.4	1.0	4.5
162. Rio de la Mina, Puerto Rico (P.L. 107-365—December 19, 2002)	Forest Service	—	1.2	0.9	2.1
163. Rio Icacos, Puerto Rico (P.L. 107-365—December 19, 2002)	Forest Service	—	2.3	—	2.3
164. Black Butte, California (P.L. 109-362—October 17, 2006)	Forest Service	17.5	3.5	—	21.0
165. Musconetcong, New Jersey (P.L. 109-452—December 22, 2006)	National Park Service	—	3.5	20.7	24.2
166. Eightmile, Connecticut (P.L. 110-229—May 8, 2008)	National Park Service and Local Government		25.3		
167. South Fork Clackamas, Oregon (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Forest Service	4.2	—	—	4.2
168. Eagle Creek, Oregon (Mt. Hood National Forest) (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Forest Service	8.3	—	—	8.3
169. Middle Fork Hood, Oregon (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Forest Service	—	3.7	—	3.7
170. South Fork Roaring, Oregon (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Forest Service	4.6	—	—	4.6

**RIVER MILEAGE CLASSIFICATIONS FOR COMPONENTS OF THE
NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS SYSTEM** End Note

DECEMBER 2016
(Page 22 of 25)

River Present Units in the National System	Administering Agency	Miles by Classification			Total Miles
		<i>Wild</i>	<i>Scenic</i>	<i>Rec'l</i>	
171. Zigzag, Oregon (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Forest Service	4.3	–	–	4.3
172. Fifteenmile Creek, Oregon (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Forest Service	10.5	0.6	–	11.1
173. East Fork Hood, Oregon (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Forest Service	–	–	13.5	13.5
174. Collawash, Oregon (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Forest Service	–	11.0	6.8	17.8
175. Fish Creek, Oregon (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Forest Service	–	–	13.5	13.5
176. Battle Creek, Idaho (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Bureau of Land Management	23.4	–	–	23.4
177. Big Jacks Creek, Idaho (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Bureau of Land Management	35.0	–	–	35.0
178. Bruneau, Idaho (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Bureau of Land Management	38.7	–	0.6	39.3
179. West Fork Bruneau, Idaho (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Bureau of Land Management	0.4	–	–	0.4
180. Cottonwood Creek, Idaho (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Bureau of Land Management	2.6	–	–	2.6
181. Deep Creek, Idaho (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Bureau of Land Management	13.1	–	–	13.1

**RIVER MILEAGE CLASSIFICATIONS FOR COMPONENTS OF THE
NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS SYSTEM** ^{End Note}

DECEMBER 2016
(Page 23 of 25)

River Present Units in the National System	Administering Agency	Miles by Classification			Total Miles
		<i>Wild</i>	<i>Scenic</i>	<i>Rec'l</i>	
182. Dickshooter Creek, Idaho (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Bureau of Land Management	9.3	–	–	9.3
183. Duncan Creek, Idaho (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Bureau of Land Management	0.9	–	–	0.9
184. Jarbidge, Idaho (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Bureau of Land Management	28.8	–	–	28.8
185. Little Jacks Creek, Idaho (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Bureau of Land Management	12.4	–	–	12.4
186. North Fork Owyhee, Idaho (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Bureau of Land Management	15.1	–	5.7	20.8
187. Owyhee, Idaho (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Bureau of Land Management	67.3	–	–	67.3
188. Red Canyon, Idaho (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Bureau of Land Management	4.6	–	–	4.6
189. Sheep Creek, Idaho (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Bureau of Land Management	25.6	–	–	25.6
190. South Fork Owyhee, Idaho (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Bureau of Land Management	30.2	–	1.2	31.4
191. Wickahoney Creek, Idaho (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Bureau of Land Management	1.5	–	–	1.5
192. Amargosa, California (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Bureau of Land Management	7.9	12.1	6.3	26.3

**RIVER MILEAGE CLASSIFICATIONS FOR COMPONENTS OF THE
NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS SYSTEM** End Note

DECEMBER 2016
(Page 24 of 25)

River Present Units in the National System	Administering Agency	Miles by Classification			Total Miles
		Wild	Scenic	Rec'l	
193. Owens River Headwaters, California (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Forest Service	6.3	6.6	6.2	19.1
194. Cottonwood Creek, California (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Forest Service	17.4	–	–	17.4
	Bureau of Land Management	–	–	4.1	4.1
<i>Cottonwood Creek Total</i>		<i>17.4</i>	<i>–</i>	<i>4.1</i>	<i>21.5</i>
195. Piru Creek, California (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Forest Service	4.3	–	3.0	7.3
196. North Fork San Jacinto, California (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Forest Service	7.2	2.3	0.7	10.2
197. Fuller Mill Creek, California (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Forest Service	–	2.6	0.9	3.5
198. Palm Canyon Creek, California (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Forest Service	8.1	–	–	8.1
199. Bautista Creek, California (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Forest Service	–	–	9.8	9.8
200. Virgin, Utah (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	National Park Service	123.6	11.3	12.6	147.5
	Bureau of Land Management	21.8	–	–	21.8
<i>Virgin River Total</i>		<i>145.4</i>	<i>11.3</i>	<i>12.6</i>	<i>169.3</i>
201. Fossil Creek, Arizona (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Forest Service	9.3	–	7.5	16.8

**RIVER MILEAGE CLASSIFICATIONS FOR COMPONENTS OF THE
NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS SYSTEM** ^{End Note}

DECEMBER 2016
(Page 25 of 25)

River Present Units in the National System	Administering Agency	Miles by Classification			Total Miles
		<i>Wild</i>	<i>Scenic</i>	<i>Rec'l</i>	
202. Snake River Headwaters, Wyoming (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	Forest Service	184.2	97.4	33.8	315.4
	National Park Service	52.7	44.1	–	96.8
<i>Snake River Headwaters Total</i>		<i>236.9</i>	<i>141.5</i>	<i>33.8</i>	<i>412.2</i>
203. Taunton, Massachusetts (P.L. 111-11—March 30, 2009)	National Park Service	–	26.0	14.0	40.0
204. River Styx (Cave Creek), Oregon (P.L. 113-291—December 19, 2014)	National Park Service	–	0.4	–	0.4
205. Middle Fork Snoqualmie, Washington (P.L. 113-291—December 19, 2014)	Forest Service	6.4	21.0	–	27.4
206. Pratt, Washington (P.L. 113-291—December 19, 2014)	Forest Service	9.5	–	–	9.5
207. Illabot Creek, Washington (P.L. 113-291—December 19, 2014)	Forest Service	4.3	–	10.0	14.3
208. Missisquoi & Trout, Vermont (P.L. 113-291—December 19, 2014)	National Park Service and Local Government	–	–	46.1	46.1
TOTALS		6,206.9	2,751.8	3,774.8	12,733.5

End Note – Mileages in this table are derived from legislative language and/or the most recent figures reported in river plans (or “Comprehensive River Management Plans”). They may differ from mileages obtained by digitizing maps or aerial photographs depicting the river’s location on a fixed date. Rivers are dynamic, with frequent flow-related changes in wetted area and longer-term changes in course. Both these physical changes and variations in the technique used to digitize the river will result in variability between a river’s reported and actual length at any give time.