


## Determining U.S. Milk Quality Using Bulk-Tank Somatic Cell Counts, 2014

The USDA's Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service's Center for Epidemiology and Animal Health, in conjunction with USDA's Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS) and the National Mastitis Council's Milk Quality Monitoring Committee, monitor U.S. milk quality using data from bulk-tank somatic cell counts (BTSCCs) provided by 4 of the Nation's 10 Federal Milk Marketing Orders (FMOs\*): Upper Midwest, Central, Mideast, and Southwest (figure 1). The remaining six FMOs did not collect BTSCC data.

**Figure 1. Federal Milk Marketing Order areas**



BTSCCs are the number of white blood cells (primarily macrophages and leukocytes), secretory cells, and squamous cells per milliliter of raw milk.<sup>1</sup> BTSCCs are used as measures of milk quality and as indicators of overall udder health. There is an inverse relationship between BTSCCs and cheese yield and the quality/shelf-life of pasteurized fluid milk.<sup>2,3,4</sup> Numerous studies have also shown that operations with increased BTSCCs are more likely to have milk that violates antibiotic residue standards.<sup>5,6,7</sup> The most frequently cited reason for antibiotic residues in milk is placing

cows treated with antibiotics in the milking string before the recommended withdrawal period.<sup>6</sup>

To ensure high-quality dairy products, BTSCCs are monitored in milk shipments using standards outlined in the U.S. Pasteurized Milk Ordinance (PMO).<sup>8</sup> In the United States, the legal maximum BTSCC for Grade A milk shipments is 750,000 cells/mL. If a producer has two out of four shipments that test above the maximum (usually tested 30 to 45 days apart) a written notice is issued and an additional sample is tested within 21 days. If three of the last five counts exceed the maximum, regulatory action is required, which includes

- 1) suspending the producer's permit,
- 2) foregoing permit suspension, provided the milk in violation is not sold as Grade A, or
- 3) imposing monetary penalty in lieu of permit suspension provided the milk in violation is not sold or offered for sale as Grade A.

Maximum BTSCC levels for other countries include 400,000 cells/mL in the European Union (EU),<sup>9</sup> Australia, New Zealand,<sup>10</sup> and Canada.<sup>11</sup> The maximum BTSCC level in Brazil is 1,000,000 cells/mL.<sup>12</sup>

Although support for lowering maximum BTSCCs for Grade A milk in the United States to 400,000 cells/mL has increased in the last few years, changes to the PMO have yet to be made. In April 2015, the National Conference on Interstate Milk Shipments did not lower the U.S. limit, even though in January 2012 the EU implemented regulations that require milk products exported to the EU have a maximum BTSCC of 400,000 cells/mL.<sup>13,14</sup> A few States, however, have reduced or are in the process of reducing the BTSCC limit for producers in their States. These States are California, Idaho, Oregon, and Washington.<sup>15</sup>

U.S. producers that have four consecutive rolling 3-month BTSCC means greater than the 400,000-cells/mL limit cannot export milk to the EU unless a derogation\*\* is requested and approved. If the derogation is not approved, the milk supplier must suspend, segregate, or discontinue certification.<sup>14</sup>

\*FMOs are administrative units made up of groups of States and were established under the authority of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended. Their purpose is to stabilize markets by placing requirements on the handling of milk; data are collected to provide accurate information on milk supplies, utilization, and sales. Monitored orders were Central, Mideast, Southwest, and Upper Midwest.


\*\*A derogation is a provision in an EU legislative measure that allows for all or part of the legal measure to be applied differently, or not at all, to individuals, groups, or organizations

The EU also regulates bacterial standard plate counts. For these regulations, a 2-month geometric mean is used based on a minimum of two standard plate counts performed per month. The bacterial limit for the EU is 100,000 cells/mL, which is also the limit for Grade A milk in the United States; however, the United States and the EU calculate compliance differently (J Jonker. National Milk Producers Federation, pers. comm.)

### Monitored FMOs


In 2014, milk from the Upper Midwest, Central, Mideast, and Southwest FMOs was monitored. In total, these FMOs monitored milk from 26,424 producers located in 32 States and accounted for 99.1 billion pounds (48.1 percent) of the 206.0 billion pounds of milk produced in the United States in 2014.<sup>15</sup> The pounds of milk monitored by the four FMOs have increased every year since 2009 (figure 2).

**Figure 2. Total pounds of milk shipped through the four monitored FMOs, by year**



Producers in 32 States marketed at least 1 milk shipment through the 4 monitored FMOs during 2014 (figure 3).

**Figure 3. Percentage of total milk production shipped through the four monitored FMOs during 2014, by State**



In 2014, 284,528 milk shipments were monitored (table 1). The Upper Midwest FMO accounted for 45.0 percent of milk monitored by the four FMOs and 22.3 percent of all milk produced in the United States. The Upper Midwest and Mideast FMOs had a higher percentage of shipments relative to the amount of monitored milk. Conversely, in the Central and Southwest FMOs, 13.2 and 2.5 percent of shipments accounted for 17.1 and 18.3 percent of the monitored milk, respectively, reflecting the larger herd sizes in these two FMOs.


**Table 1. Percentage of milk and shipments marketed through the four monitored FMOs during 2014**

FMO	Milk			Shipments	
	Billion pounds	Pct. monitored	Pct. of U.S. production	Number (x1,000)	Pct.
Upper Midwest	44.6	45.0	21.6	168.5	59.2
Central	17.0	17.1	8.3	37.5	13.2
Mideast	19.4	19.6	9.4	71.5	25.1
Southwest	18.1	18.3	8.8	7.0	2.5
Total	99.1	100.0	48.1	284.5	100.0

## 2014 BTSCC trends

The milk-weighted geometric BTSCC mean in 2014 was 193,000 cells/mL, which was essentially unchanged from 2013 (figure 4). Milk-weighted BTSCCs take into account the amount of milk shipped by a producer, resulting in an overall BTSCC mean of monitored milk. The producer shipment BTSCC—which is a geometric, nonmilk-weighted mean of all shipments—was 229,000 cells/mL, similar to the 231,000 cells/mL in 2013.

**Figure 4. Milk-weighted and producer BTSCCs for the four monitored FMOs, 2009–14**



## Evaluating BTSCC levels

Over 99 percent of milk and shipments monitored met the current PMO limit of 750,000 cells/mL (table 2). Of the 26,424 producers, 95.6 percent (all but 1,163) shipped milk with BTSCCs below 750,000 cells/mL during all months monitored.

In 2014, during all months monitored, BTSCCs in 95.5 percent of milk produced were below 400,000 cells/mL; 64.9 percent of producers shipped milk below this limit for the entire year.


**Table 2. Percentage of milk, shipments, and producers for the four monitored FMOs, by BTSCC level during 2014**

BTSCC (x1,000 cells/mL)	Percent		
	Milk (99.1 billion lb)	Shipments (284,528)	Producers* (26,424)
Less than 100	5.6	5.7	1.1
Less than 200	53.9	38.3	16.1
Less than 400	95.5	86.7	64.9
Less than 650	99.6	98.2	92.1
Less than 750	99.9	99.2	95.6

\*All shipments for the entire year met criteria.


In 2014, about 50 percent of shipments in all monitored FMOs had BTSCCs between 200,000 and 399,000 cells/mL. The four FMOs had a similar percentage of shipments in each of the four BTSCC categories, although a higher percentage of shipments in the Mideast region were below 400,000 cells/mL (figure 5).

**Figure 5. Percentage of shipments, by FMO and by BTSCC, 2014**




Since 2009, the percentage of total milk shipped with BTSCCs less than 200,000 cells/mL has increased from 40.1 to 53.9 percent (figure 6). The percentage of total milk with counts less than 400,000 cells/mL also increased from 88.9 to 95.5 percent over the 6-year period.

**Figure 6. Percentage of total milk shipped through the four monitored FMOs, by BTSCC and by year**



Based on criteria for the EU Health Certification Program from USDA-AMS, which requires a 3-month geometric mean BTSCC below 400,000 cells/mL, only 5 to 8 percent of U.S. shipments would have been noncompliant during 2014 (figure 7). These shipments represented less than 3 percent of milk shipped during the monitored months.


**Figure 7. Percentage of milk and shipments through the four monitored FMOs during 2013 and 2014 that would not have met the EU Health Certification Program's BTSCC criteria, by month**



## FMO and State BTSCC trends

Overall, BTSCCs have decreased or remained the same every year since 2009 (figure 8). The Central and Upper Midwest FMOs had the highest BTSCCs during 2014 at 201,000 and 199,000 cells/mL, respectively, while the Mideast FMO had the lowest at 179,000 cells/mL. BTSCCs in the Southwest FMO have shown the most variation from 2009 to 2014.

**Figure 8. Milk-weighted BTSCCs, by FMO and by year**



Fifteen States marketed 60 percent or more of the milk produced in their States through the monitored FMOs and accounted for 96.1 percent of the monitored milk in the four FMOs (table 3). Wisconsin, Texas, Michigan, Minnesota, and New Mexico accounted for 69.7 percent of all FMO-monitored milk. Compared with 2013, 7 of the 15 States had decreased BTSCCs in 2014; 7 States had increased counts, and 1 State was unchanged.


**Table 3. Milk-weighted BTSCCs for States shipping 60 percent or more of their total milk production through the four monitored FMOs**

State	Percent total monitored milk—2014	BTSCC (x1,000) by Year						
		2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	
CO	3.5	200	196	186	168	184	193↑	
IL	1.7	260	258	241	214	215	209↓	
IN	3.0	237	225	204	197	198	201↑	
IA	7.1	252	241	228	206	211	214↑	
KS	1.9	256	256	205	204	199	199↔	
MI	10.6	183	174	167	156	158	160↑	
MN	9.5	249	236	227	205	210	207↓	
NE	1.4	194	184	182	182	177	171↓	
NM	9.3	196	207	167	175	166	170↑	
ND	0.2	269	271	276	243	237	222↓	
OH	4.9	225	226	220	202	198	195↓	
SD	2.6	262	248	247	220	226	232↑	
TX	11.8	239	253	208	207	199	196↓	
WI	28.5	233	230	218	199	202	196↓	
WY	0.1	196	139	127	124	143	147↑	
15 States	96.1	227	223	206	193	193	192↓	

**Seasonal BTSCC trends**


Monthly monitoring continues to show that BTSCCs peak during summer (June through September) when higher temperatures and humidity increase stress on cows and provide conditions more favorable for bacterial growth (figure 13). In 2014, monthly milk-weighted BTSCCs were highest during August (218,000 cells/mL) and lowest in November (178,000 cells/mL).

**Figure 9. Milk-weighted BTSCCs for the four monitored FMOs, by year and by month**




Figures 10 to 13 represent seasonal fluctuations in BTSCCs for each FMO by month and year. Although all FMOs showed a summer increase in BTSCCs, in 2010 and 2011 counts in the Central and Southwest FMOs also increased in February.

**Figure 10. Upper Midwest Order milk-weighted BTSCCs, by year and by month**




**Figure 11. Central Order milk-weighted BTSCCs, by year and by month**






**Figure 12. Mideast Order milk-weighted BTSCCs, by year and by month**



**Figure 13. Southwest Order milk-weighted BTSCCs, by year and by month**



## Summary

BTSCCs from monitored FMOs are indicative of the quality of the Nation's milk supply. The milk-weighted mean BTSCC from the four monitored FMOs was 193,000 cells/mL in 2014. BTSCCs have decreased or remained the same every year since 2009. The BTSCCs for two of the four FMOs decreased between 2013 and 2014. Eight of the 15 States shipping 60 percent or more of their milk through the four FMOs had the same or lower BTSCCs in 2013 and 2014. In addition to improvements in management practices, the current EU import regulations may be partially responsible for the decrease in BTSCCs and the corresponding improvement in milk quality since 2009.

## References

1. Current Concepts in Bovine Mastitis. 1998. National Mastitis Council Publication, Verona, WI.
2. Barbano DM, Rasmussen RR, Lynch JM. 1991. Influence of milk somatic cell count and milk age on cheese yield. *J Dairy Sci* 74:369–388.
3. Klei L, Yun J, Sapru A, et al. 1998. Effects of milk somatic cell count on cottage cheese yield and quality. *J Dairy Sci* 81:1205–1213.
4. Ma Y, Ryan C, Barbano DM, et al. 2000. Effects of somatic cell count on quality and shelf-life of pasteurized fluid milk. *J Dairy Sci* 83:264–274.
5. Ruegg PL, Tabone TJ. 2000. The relationship between antibiotic residues violations and somatic cell counts in Wisconsin dairy herds. *J Dairy Sci* 83:2805–2809.
6. Ruegg PL. 2005. Relationship between bulk tank milk somatic cell count and antibiotic residues. *Proceedings 44<sup>th</sup> NMC Annual Meeting* p 28–35, Madison, WI.
7. van Schaik G, Lotem M, Schukken YH. 2002. Trends in somatic cell counts, bacterial counts and antibiotic residue violations in New York State during 1999–2000. *J Dairy Sci* 85:782–789.
8. Food and Drug Administration. 2011. Grade A Pasteurized Milk Ordinance, 2011  
<http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Food/GuidanceRegulation/UCM291757.pdf>. Accessed 5/29/13.
9. Hillerton JE, Berry EA. 2004. Quality of the milk supply: European regulations versus practice. *Proceedings 43<sup>rd</sup> NMC Annual Meeting* p 207–214.
10. Smith KL, Hogan JS. 1998. Milk Quality - A Worldwide Perspective. *Proceedings 37<sup>th</sup> National Mastitis Council Annual Meeting* p 3–9.
11. Dairy Farmers of Ontario – Milk Act Regulation 761  
[http://www.e-laws.gov.on.ca/html/reg/english/elaws\\_regs\\_900761\\_e.htm](http://www.e-laws.gov.on.ca/html/reg/english/elaws_regs_900761_e.htm). Accessed 5/29/13.
12. Rodrigues CO, Cassoli LD, Machado PF. 2005. Milk quality and new regulations in Brazil. *J Dairy Sci* 88:272.
13. NCIMS keeps national SCC limit at 750,000 with failed vote.  
<http://www.dairyherd.com/ncims-keeps-national-scc-limit-750000-failed-vote>. Accessed 5/08/15.
14. Agricultural Marketing Service – Certification of dairy products intended for export to the European Union  
<http://www.ams.usda.gov/AMSV1.0/getfile?dDocName=STELPRD3636640>. Accessed 5/29/13.
15. Milk Production, Disposition, and Income 2013 Summary (April 2014). USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service.  
<http://www.usda.gov/nass/PUBS/TODAYRPT/milk0414.pdf>. Accessed 5/29/14.

---

For more information, contact:

USDA–APHIS–VS–CEAH  
NRRRC Building B, M.S. 2E7  
2150 Centre Avenue  
Fort Collins, CO 80526-8117  
970.494.7000  
<http://nahms.aphis.usda.gov>  
#715.0615

---

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720–2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250–9410, or call (800) 795–3272 (voice) or (202) 720–6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Mention of companies or commercial products does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the U.S. Department of Agriculture over others not mentioned. USDA neither guarantees nor warrants the standard of any product mentioned. Product names are mentioned solely to report factually on available data and to provide specific information.