



Suomi NPP CrIS SDR Status

Yong Han, CrIS SDR Team Lead

Suomi NPP EDR Product Provisional Readiness Review NOAA Center for Weather and Climate Prediction (NCWCP) 5830 University Research Park, College Park, Maryland January 17-18, 2013









- CrIS operational concept and SDR specification
- CrIS SDR Cal/Val milestones and events
- SDR Provisional Product highlights
- Product issues
- Summary



CrIS Operational Concept

ND ATMOSPA





DORNER COMPERING



Band	Spectral range (cm ⁻¹)	N. of chan.	Resolution (cm ⁻¹)	FORs per Scan	FOVs per FOR	NEdN @287K BB mW/m²/sr/ cm ⁻¹	Radiometric Uncertainty @287K BB (%)	Spectral (chan center) uncertainty ppm	Geolocation uncertainty km
LW	650-1095	713	0.625	30	9	0.14	0.45	10	1.5
MW	1210-1750	433	1.25	30	9	0.06	0.58	10	1.5
SW	2155-2550	159	2.5	30	9	0.007	0.77	10	1.5



Radiometric uncertainty specification converted to that expressed in brightness temperature





- January 18th 2012: CrIS was powered up; team started instrument checkout and optimization.
- February 8th: Engineering packet v32 was uploaded (PGA setting and bit trim mask updates).
- February 22nd : Full spectral resolution RDRs (0.8 cm maxOPD for all bands) were collected.
- April 11th : Engineering packet v33 was upload (spectral calibration parameters, nonlinearity coefficients and ICT emissivity table updates).
- April 18th: A new FIR digital filter was uploaded to replace the corrupted one.
- May 15th : CrIS SDR product reached Beta maturity level.
- June 27th: Engineering packet v34 was uploaded (temperature drift limit updates)
- Product provisional review: October 23, 2012
- Validated product: 2013



Jan. 25: First light image, 900 cm⁻¹ BT 20-Jan-2012 12:54 to 23:57 UTC from CCAST SDR processing system, UW/UMBC





- Instrument noise (NEdN)
- Spectral calibration and uncertainty
- Radiometric calibration and uncertainty
- Accuracy assessment with RTM and inter-sensor comparisons
- Geolocation calibration and uncertainty
- SDR quality flag improvement







NEdN





Spectral Uncertainty





Spectral shifts assessed with RTM

Inter-FOV spectral shifts relative to FOV5 derived from correlation analysis





Ľ		1	1	-	÷	
	4	24	1	4		
	10		1.51	10		

LW & MW band frequency uncertainty < 3 ppm (specification 10 ppm) SW band frequency uncertainty relative to FOV5 < 3 ppm



Radiometric Uncertainty Assessment Inter-FOV difference



FOV-2-FOV differences are less than 0.04 K for LW and MW and less than 0.1 K for SW



Radiometric Uncertainty Assessment Daily Mean CrIS-AIRS Differences







Radiometric Uncertainty Assessment CrIS-IASI SNO (North Pole)





The CrIS IASI difference is ~ 0.2 K



Radiometric Uncertainty Assessment CrIS/VIIRS (M13 4um) comparisons





Difference is less than 0.2 K

AND ATMOSP

ARTMENT OF

NOAA







Overall bias < 0.2 K FOV-2-FOV differences < 0.1 K



VIIRS geolocation uncertainty



Image differences (CrIS – VIIRS) before and after a coding error fix



Overall Quality Flag Improvement





Quality flag: Blue color – GOOD Green color - DEGRADED

A false alarm (data labeled as Degraded) was fixed with code changes and parameter updates











- Software issues and IDPS SDR anomaly are still occurring
- Significant SW cold scene FOV differences
- Spectral ringing







- CrIS SDR has reached the Provisional maturity level
- All critical DRs and document issues have been addressed
- A number of CalVal and software issues have been identified and will be addressed for the Validated product
- The team has a clear path moving forward for both NPP and J1 missions

Calibration type	Meet Specification					
Calibration type	LW band	MW band	SW band			
NEdN	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			
Radiometric calibration	✓	✓	\checkmark			
Spectral calibration	\checkmark	\checkmark	√*			
Geolocation	√ **	√ **	√ **			

- * Relative to FOV5
- ** Within 30° scan angles