EDR validated maturity readiness review

EDR review panel members participating – Mitch Goldberg, (JPSS Program Scientist and Chair), Jim Gleason (JPSS Project Scientist), Tom Schott (NESDIS/OSD), James Yoe (NWS), Michael Johnson (NWS). Ex-Officio members (JPSS algorithm program) – Lihang Zhou, Fuzhong Weng, Ivan Csiszar, Eric Gottshall

The following are recommendations from the Panel for the EDR review held on September 3 and 4, 2014. Overall the teams provided detailed analysis of the performance of the EDRs. More quantitative analysis is needed for the Ozone EDR.

Data Product Maturity Assessment: the products below are available from the JPSS ground system (i.e., IDPS or NDE).

Sounding EDR Products

Panel agreed on sounding data products (Atmospheric Vertical Temperature Profiles and Atmospheric Moisture Profiles) validated stage 1 maturity.

In addition we also recommend:

- O Team to provide more information on planned microwave improvements; communicate with MIRS team on possible microwave improvements.
- O Team to provide more detailed plan on surface emissivity improvements
- Provide validation results of CrlS ozone retrievals.

SST EDR Product

Panel agreed on its validated stage 3 maturity

- Recommend during Cal Val LTM stage validate product performance regionally.
- O JPL site: SST team (led by Alex Ignatov) to make sure the ACSPO high resolution data is available on JPS site to the users continually.

Follow up with team on planning and timeline for fully reprocessing the SST data time series from launch to support Coastwatch and Coral Reef watch anomaly applications.

Ozone EDR Products

- O Panel agreed good work is being done, and recommended the team to generate statistics comparing the ozone EDRs with ozone sounds or SBUV/other satellites or in-situ, and show comparison of the statistics against the spec in L1RD in an acceptable format before a declaration on maturity can take place.
- O User feedback on reference for comparison (example: NOAA-19 SBUV/2). If so, then generate detail statistics comparing OMPS with NOAA-19.
- O Fix SDR issues ASAP: Team to provide an updated schedule by which the implementation of identified SDR fixes will be completed in IDPS.
- O Panel requested a date that EDR performance vs. spec table to be provided by the team to the EDR Review Panel.
- O Recommend the OMPS SDR team working with GSICS UV Group to come up with a common bias correction algorithm for getting the OMPS cross calibrated as other similar instruments (SBUV2, OMI, GOME, etc.), to produce consistent Ozone EDR Products.

Aerosol EDR Products

- Recommend the Validate stage 2 for AOT and ASPS for validate stage 1.
- o Inter-compare with MODIS ASPS for more extensive statistical analysis.

Active Fire EDR Product

Panel recommended that based on the analysis of input VIIRS SDR changes and statistical comparisons with Aqua MODIS, the Active Fire to be validated stage 1 since this August.

Vegetation Index EDR Product

Validation stage 1. Excellent presentation and detail analysis of VI products. Used numerous "ground truths"

Surface Reflectance Intermediate Product

Validation stage 1 pending DRs implemented and the performance verified. Team to provide schedule for DR submission within a week.

Extend to the ocean if it's feasible; consider impacts on processing and archiving.

Algorithm Maturity Assessment: the products below are in development in the JPSS Risk Reduction (RR) project entitled "Uniform Multi-sensor Algorithms for Consistent Products" and will become available within NDE in 2016.

Cloud EDR Algorithms (The Clouds from AVHRR Extended [CLAVR-x])

- O Panel agreed on cloud top properties and daytime cloud optical properties algorithms reached the science validated maturity and recommend implementing these algorithms and making the products available to the users
- O
 Night time cloud property algorithm is not ready for science validated maturity
- O Cloud base height algorithm performance seems promising and at validating 1 maturity, need to identify users for such product.
- O As for Cloud cover layer algorithm; panel recommend to produce both GOESR and NDE defined products for the user

• Sea Ice Characterization EDR Algorithm

Panel agreed with team's recommendation that SIC does not meet stage 1.

- o Team to provide feedback on the OTIM (GOES-R/NDE) algorithm that being implemented in NDE, present the performance evaluation for OTIM using similar validation datasets on the coming TRR. (Invite EDR Review Board to the TRR).
- o Team to provide feedback on using microwave to enhance the ice products and see if any blended products can be generated.

• Snow Cover (Snow Fraction) EDR Algorithm

Scientific maturity seems sound for NDSI algorithm. Recommend to proceed with NDSI regression approach.

- o Study the inclusion of NDSI into the cryosphere products of the JPSS risk reduction project.
- o Team to prepare a DAP as soon as possible.
- Inter-comparisons with MODSCAG should be explored by a coordinated GOES-R JPSS effort.