

# REPORT TO THE PRESIDENT ON ACCELERATING THE PACE OF CHANGE IN ENERGY TECHNOLOGIES THROUGH AN INTEGRATED FEDERAL ENERGY POLICY

## Executive Summary

Executive Office of the President President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology

NOVEMBER 2010



# **About the President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology**

The President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology (PCAST) is an advisory group of the nation's leading scientists and engineers, appointed by the President to augment the science and technology advice available to him from inside the White House and from cabinet departments and other Federal agencies. PCAST is consulted about and often makes policy recommendations concerning the full range of issues where understandings from the domains of science, technology, and innovation bear potentially on the policy choices before the President. PCAST is administered by the White House Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP).

For more information about PCAST, see www.whitehouse.gov/ostp/pcast.



# The President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology

## **Co-Chairs**

## John P. Holdren

Assistant to the President for Science and Technology Director, Office of Science and Technology Policy

## **Eric Lander**

President
Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT

## **Members**

## **Rosina Bierbaum**

Dean, School of Natural Resources and Environment University of Michigan

## **Christine Cassel**

President and CEO
American Board of Internal Medicine

## **Christopher Chyba**

Professor, Astrophysical Sciences and International Affairs Director, Program on Science and Global Security Princeton University

## S. James Gates, Jr.

John S. Toll Professor of Physics Director, Center for String and Particle Theory University of Maryland, College Park

## **Shirley Ann Jackson**

President Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute

## **Richard C. Levin**

President Yale University

## **Chad Mirkin**

Rathmann Professor, Chemistry, Materials Science and Engineering, Chemical and Biological Engineering and Medicine Director, International Institute for Nanotechnology Northwestern University

## **Mario Molina**

Professor, Chemistry and Biochemistry
University of California, San Diego
Professor, Center for Atmospheric Sciences at the
Scripps Institution of Oceanography
Director, Mario Molina Center for Energy and
Environment, Mexico City

## **Ernest J. Moniz**

Cecil and Ida Green Professor of Physics and Engineering Systems Director, MIT's Energy Initiative Massachusetts Institute of Technology

## **Craig Mundie**

Chief Research and Strategy Officer Microsoft Corporation

## **Ed Penhoet**

Director, Alta Partners

Professor Emeritus, Biochemistry and Public

Health

University of California, Berkeley

## **William Press**

Raymer Professor in Computer Science and

**Integrative Biology** 

University of Texas at Austin

## **Maxine Savitz**

Vice President

National Academy of Engineering

## **Barbara Schaal**

Chilton Professor of Biology

Washington University, St. Louis

Vice President, National Academy of Sciences

## **Eric Schmidt**

Chairman and CEO

Google, Inc.

## **Daniel Schrag**

Sturgis Hooper Professor of Geology

Professor, Environmental Science and

Engineering

Director, Harvard University-wide Center for

Environment

**Harvard University** 

## **David E. Shaw**

Chief Scientist, D.E. Shaw Research

Senior Research Fellow, Center for

Computational Biology and Bioinformatics

Columbia University

## **Ahmed Zewail**

Linus Pauling Professor of Chemistry and Physics

Director, Physical Biology Center

California Institute of Technology

## Staff

Deborah Stine Mary Maxon Gera Jochum

Deputy Evecutive Director Policy Applyst

Executive Director Deputy Executive Director Policy Analyst



## **EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT**

## PRESIDENT'S COUNCIL OF ADVISORS ON SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20502

President Barack Obama The White House Washington, DC 20502

Dear Mr. President,

We are pleased to send you this report, *Accelerating the Pace of Change in Energy Technologies Throwan Integrated Federal Energy Policy*. This report addresses one of the greatest challenges facing country: how to transform the energy system within one to two decades, through leadership in energe technology innovation, for reasons of economic competitiveness, environment, and security.

In this report, the President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology (PCAST) calls for the development of a coordinated government-wide Federal energy policy. This will be a major undertaking given the large number of Federal policies that affect the development, implementation, and use energy technologies. For that reason, we recommend that the Administration initiate a process and gous to the Quadrennial Defense Review undertaken every four years by the Department of Defense A Quadrennial Energy Review (QER) could establish government-wide goals, coordinate actions acragencies, and identify the resources needed for the invention, translation, adoption, and diffusion energy technologies. The development of such a policy would enhance our energy security and crejobs as well as mitigate the risk of climate change.

Our report, which was informed by the deliberations of a working group consisting of PCAST memb and prominent energy experts from the public and private sectors, makes several other importance recommendations. It urges a substantial increase in Federal support of energy-related research, devopment, demonstration, and deployment and suggests exploration of several new revenue optic to provide this support. This increase will provide the U.S. with the potential to leapfrog over otl countries also investing in the development of energy technologies. We recommend that the Secret of Energy should prepare and implement the DOE component of the full interagency QER focused energy technology innovation, promptly. In addition, it recommends organization and process change that would accelerate progress toward energy innovations. Our report also contains recommendatic in the areas of workforce development, social science research, use of the government's procurement capacity, and international cooperation.

Responding to the energy-related challenges of competitiveness, climate change, and security require leadership across the energy innovation chain – from invention to diffusion – but with a drama acceleration relative to the half century that has been the norm to move new energy systems from initiative development to thorough integration in the economy. Unleashing this innovation could be one of most important and enduring accomplishments of your Administration.

Sincerely,

John P. Holdren

Im P. Holder

Co-Chair

Eric Lander Co-Chair



## The President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology

## **Executive Summary**

## Accelerating the Pace of Change in Energy Technologies Through an Integrated Federal Energy Policy

A clean, secure, safe and affordable energy future is among the preeminent challenges facing the United States, and a major acceleration is needed in the pace of energy technology innovation – invention, translation, adoption, and diffusion. The U.S. must be at the forefront of energy technology innovation over the next decade for reasons of:

- **economic competitiveness:** renewal of our own energy infrastructure and access to rapidly growing global markets for clean energy technology;
- **environment:** rapid progress towards lower-carbon energy in this decade as a prudent response to global warming risks; and
- **security:** scaling-up of technologies that reduce oil dependence and thereby improve both our balance of payments and our security posture.

Meeting this challenge will require extraordinary actions at the Federal level, in concert with the private sector that owns and operates the vast majority of the energy supply, distribution, and use enterprise.

In the fall of 2009, the Secretary of Energy asked the President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology (PCAST) to review the energy technology innovation system to identify and recommend ways to accelerate the large-scale transformation of energy production, delivery, and use to a low-carbon energy system. In response, PCAST formed a working group of PCAST members and energy experts from the public and private sectors that met twice in the first half of 2010 to address the charge and formulate recommendations. Informed by the working group's deliberations, PCAST has developed this report to provide advice to the Administration about Federal actions that can promote energy technology innovation.

Our most important recommendation is that the Administration establish a new process that can forge a more coordinated and robust Federal energy policy, a major piece of which is advancing energy innovation. Many Executive Branch agencies and departments must be engaged, with leadership from the Executive Office of the President. This is needed because "energy policy" is an amalgam, and often derivative, of policies for environment, competitiveness, security, finance, land use, and more. **The President should establish a Quadrennial Energy Review (QER) process that will provide a multiyear roadmap that lays out an integrated view of short-, intermediate-, and long-term energy** 

objectives; outlines legislative proposals to Congress; puts forward anticipated Executive actions coordinated across multiple agencies; and identifies resource requirements for the development and implementation of energy technologies. The Secretary of Energy should provide the Executive Secretariat for the QER. While the QER will be a product of the Administration, substantial input from the Congress, the energy industry, academia, NGOs, and the public at large will be essential to the process. A staged process should be implemented now so as to provide some elements of a QER during each of the next four years.

We recommend that the Secretary of Energy prepare and implement a DOE-Quadrennial Energy Review, focused on energy technology innovation, as a component of the full interagency QER on a shorter timescale. The DOE-QER should include roadmaps for key energy technologies, an integrated plan for the involvement of the national laboratories in energy programs, portfolio assessments that lay out the optimal deployment of resources, identification, and projections of demonstration projects, and identification of funding needs for each technology. This QER will also be prepared with strong input from many sources inside and outside of the Administration including industry, business, state and local governments, non-governmental organizations, and consumers.

A complete and integrated QER will take longer to mature. While a good start should be made in 2011, the full government-wide QER should be targeted for delivery in early 2015. PCAST encourages Congress to use the QER as a basis for a 4-year authorization process that guides annual appropriations. The Federal investment in energy research, development, demonstration, and deployment (RDD&D) is incommensurate with the objective of leadership in energy technology innovation. We recommend a substantial increase – to \$16 billion per year – in Federal support for energy RDD&D. Given the difficulty of increasing appropriated funds to this level and the importance of "front-loading" the required investment to jump start innovation, we recommend an alternative approach. The President should engage the private sector and Congress so as to generate about \$10 billion per year of additional RDD&D funding through new revenue streams. This increase will provide the U.S. with the potential to leapfrog to development and deployment of the advanced energy technologies that will define a robust 21st century energy system.

In addition, the Federal Government should catalog the existing energy subsidies and incentives as a first step to realignment with QER priorities, enhance its opportunity to advance energy innovation through its purchasing power, and leverage international collaboration to advance energy technology innovation.

DOE needs to implement existing authorities over its organization, administration, and processes by extending to all DOE energy programs the review, contracting, funding, and organizational reforms implemented successfully¹ by Advanced Research Projects Agency – Energy (ARPA-E); managing demonstration projects so as to adhere to private sector practices to the maximum degree possible; working with the Office of Management and Budget and the Treasury Department to eliminate barriers to DOE's expeditious implementation of its responsibilities in such areas as loan guarantees and cost sharing; and creating separate Offices of International Affairs and of Energy Policy.

<sup>1.</sup> Although the ultimate success of the research funded by ARPA-E is unknown, it is clear, as evidenced by the three solicitations managed by ARPA-E, that they have been successful in their peer review of proposals, quick negotiation of contracts, and rapid hiring of high caliber personnel.

For workforce development, DOE should establish a new traineeship program to address critical skill areas for its energy science and technology mission. Finally, DOE should initiate, along with NSF, a multidisciplinary social science research program that will provide critical information and support for policy development that advances diffusion of innovative energy technologies.

An overview of PCAST's recommendations is provided in the box below.

## OVERVIEW OF PCAST RECOMMENDATIONS TO ACCELERATE THE PACE OF CHANGE IN ENERGY TECHNOLOGIES THROUGH AN INTEGRATED FEDERAL ENERGY POLICY

## **Recommendations to Administration and Department of Energy:**

- 2-1 Establish a full interagency Quadrennial Energy Review (QER) led by the Executive Office of the President.
- 2-2 Develop and implement the DOE component of the full interagency Quadrennial Energy Review promptly.

## **Recommendations to Administration:**

- 3-1 Increase annual energy RDD&D funding to about \$16B.
- 3-2 Generate \$10 of the \$16 billion through new revenue streams.
- 3-3 Realign energy subsidies and incentives.
- 3-4 Enhance the Federal Government's ability to advance energy technology innovation through its purchasing power.
- 3-5 Reestablish the Committee on International Science, Engineering, and Technology within the National Science and Technology Council.

## **Recommendations to Department of Energy:**

- 4-1 Direct \$12 billion of the \$16 billion to Research, Development, and Demonstration (RD&D) funding, with an emphasis on DOE competitive programs.
- 4-2 Exercise authorities to align internal processes and organization with energy objectives.
- 4-3 Establish a DOE training grant program.
- 4-4 Initiate a multidisciplinary social science research program.



## PCAST Energy Technology Innovation System Working Group

## **Co-Chairs**

## Ernest J. Moniz\*

Cecil and Ida Green Professor of Physics and Engineering Systems Director, MIT's Energy Initiative Massachusetts Institute of Technology

## Maxine Savitz\*

Vice President National Academy of Engineering

## **Members**

## **Dennis Assanis**

Jon R. and Beverly S. Holt Professor of Engineering Director of the Michigan Memorial Phoenix Energy Institute University of Michigan

### Rosina Bierbaum\*

Dean, School of Natural Resources and Environment University of Michigan

## **Nick Donofrio**

Executive Vice President of Innovation and Technology (retired)
IBM

### **Robert Fri**

Visiting Scholar Resources for the Future

## **Kelly Sims Gallagher**

Associate Professor of Energy & Environmental Policy
The Fletcher School
Tufts University

## **Charles Goodman**

Senior Vice President for Generation Policy (retired)
Southern Company

## John P. Holdren\*

Assistant to the President for Science and Technology Director Office of Science and Technology Policy

## **Shirley Ann Jackson\***

President Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute

## Raymond L. Orbach

Director, Energy Institute Ernest Cockrell Jr. Chair The University of Texas at Austin

## **Lynn Orr**

Keleen and Carlton Beal Professor of Petroleum Engineering, Department of Energy Resources Engineering Director of Precourt Institute for Energy Stanford University

## **William Powers**

Vice President – Research (retired) Ford Motor Company

## **Arati Prabhakar**

General Partner
U.S. Venture Partners

## **Barbara Schaal\***

Chilton Professor of Biology Washington University, St. Louis Vice President, National Academy of Sciences

## **Daniel Schrag\***

Sturgis Hooper Professor of Geology Professor, Environmental Science and Engineering Director, Harvard University-wide Center for Environment Harvard University

## Staff

## **Deborah Stine**

Executive Director, PCAST

**TJ Augustine** 

Student Volunteer, PCAST

<sup>\*</sup> PCAST member

"I don't think there's anybody in America who thinks that we've got an energy policy that works the way it needs to .... And that gives opportunities for Democrats and Republicans to come together and think about ... 'How do we move forward on that agenda?' "

President Barack Obama November 3, 2010



President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology http://www.whitehouse.gov/ostp/pcast