

# Nevada: 2000

Issued March 2003

## Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics

PHC-2-30

### 2000 Census of Population and Housing



U S C E N S U S B U R E A U

*Helping You Make Informed Decisions*

U.S. Department of Commerce  
Economics and Statistics Administration  
U.S. CENSUS BUREAU

United States  
**Census**  
**2000**


# Nevada: 2000

Issued March 2003

## *Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics*

PHC-2-30

### **2000 Census of Population and Housing**



#### **U.S. Department of Commerce**

**Donald L. Evans,**

Secretary

**Samuel W. Bodman,**

Deputy Secretary

#### **Economics and Statistics Administration**

**Kathleen B. Cooper,**

Under Secretary for

Economic Affairs

#### **U.S. CENSUS BUREAU**

**Charles Louis Kincannon,**

Director

SUGGESTED CITATION

U.S. Census Bureau,  
2000 Census of  
Population and Housing,  
*Summary Social, Economic,  
and Housing Characteristics*,  
PHC-2-30, Nevada  
Washington, DC,  
2003



**Economics  
and Statistics  
Administration**

**Kathleen B. Cooper,**  
Under Secretary  
for Economic Affairs



**U.S. CENSUS BUREAU**  
**Charles Louis Kincannon,**  
Director

**Hermann Habermann,**  
Deputy Director and  
Chief Operating Officer

**Nancy A. Potok,**  
Principal Associate Director  
and Chief Financial Officer

**Vacant,**  
Principal Associate  
Director for Programs

**Preston Jay Waite,**  
Associate Director  
for Decennial Census

**Nancy M. Gordon,**  
Associate Director  
for Demographic Programs

**Cynthia Z.F. Clark,**  
Associate Director  
for Methodology and  
Standards

**Marvin D. Raines,**  
Associate Director  
for Field Operations

**Arnold A. Jackson,**  
Assistant Director  
for Decennial Census

CONTENTS

List of Statistical Tables . . . . .	v
How to Use This Census Report . . . . .	I-1
Table Finding Guide . . . . .	II-1
User Notes . . . . .	III-1
Statistical Tables (For a detailed list of statistical tables, see page v) . . . . .	1
Appendixes	
A Geographic Terms and Concepts . . . . .	*
B Definitions of Subject Characteristics . . . . .	*
C Data Collection and Processing Procedures . . . . .	*
D Questionnaire . . . . .	*
E Data Products and User Assistance . . . . .	*
F Maps . . . . .	F-1
G Accuracy of the Data . . . . .	G-1
H Acknowledgments . . . . .	*

\* Appendix may be found in the separate volume, PHC-2-A, *Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics, Selected Appendixes*, in print and on the Internet at <http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/phc-2-a.pdf>.



LIST OF  
STATISTICAL  
TABLES

Table no.	Title	Page
1.	<b>Place of Birth, Residence in 1995, and Language: 2000 . . . .</b> State, County, County Subdivision, Place	1
2.	<b>Place of Birth, Residence in 1995, and Language: 2000 . . . .</b> State, County, Place	4
3.	<b>Education and Veteran Status: 2000 . . . . .</b> State, County, County Subdivision, Place	6
4.	<b>Education and Veteran Status: 2000 . . . . .</b> State, County, Place	9
5.	<b>Disability Status: 2000 . . . . .</b> State, County, County Subdivision, Place	11
6.	<b>Disability Status: 2000 . . . . .</b> State, County, Place	14
7.	<b>Employment Status and Journey to Work: 2000 . . . . .</b> State, County, County Subdivision, Place	16
8.	<b>Employment Status and Journey to Work: 2000 . . . . .</b> State, County, Place	19
9.	<b>Work Status and Income in 1999: 2000 . . . . .</b> State, County, County Subdivision, Place	21
10.	<b>Work Status and Income in 1999: 2000 . . . . .</b> State, County, Place	24
11.	<b>Earnings in 1999 of Full-Time, Year-Round Workers, by Sex: 2000 . . . . .</b> State, County, County Subdivision, Place	26
12.	<b>Earnings in 1999 of Full-Time, Year-Round Workers, by Sex: 2000 . . . . .</b> State, County, Place	29
13.	<b>Household Income in 1999: 2000 . . . . .</b> State, County, County Subdivision, Place	31
14.	<b>Household Income in 1999: 2000 . . . . .</b> State, County, Place	34
15.	<b>Poverty Status in 1999: 2000 . . . . .</b> State, County, County Subdivision, Place	36
16.	<b>Poverty Status in 1999: 2000 . . . . .</b> State, County, Place	39
17.	<b>Units in Structure: 2000 . . . . .</b> State, County, County Subdivision, Place	41
18.	<b>Units in Structure: 2000 . . . . .</b> State, County, Place	44
19.	<b>Physical Housing Characteristics: 2000 . . . . .</b> State, County, County Subdivision, Place	46
20.	<b>Physical Housing Characteristics: 2000 . . . . .</b> State, County, Place	49

<b>21. Fuels and Equipment Characteristics: 2000</b> . . . . .	<b>51</b>
State, County, County Subdivision, Place	
<b>22. Fuels and Equipment Characteristics: 2000</b> . . . . .	<b>54</b>
State, County, Place	
<b>23. Owner and Renter Household Characteristics: 2000</b> . . . . .	<b>56</b>
State, County, County Subdivision, Place	
<b>24. Owner and Renter Household Characteristics: 2000</b> . . . . .	<b>59</b>
State, County, Place	
<b>25. Home Value: 2000.</b> . . . . .	<b>61</b>
State, County, County Subdivision, Place	
<b>26. Home Value: 2000.</b> . . . . .	<b>64</b>
State, County, Place	
<b>27. Selected Monthly Owner Costs: 2000</b> . . . . .	<b>66</b>
State, County, County Subdivision, Place	
<b>28. Selected Monthly Owner Costs: 2000</b> . . . . .	<b>69</b>
State, County, Place	
<b>29. Gross Rent: 2000</b> . . . . .	<b>71</b>
State, County, County Subdivision, Place	
<b>30. Gross Rent: 2000</b> . . . . .	<b>74</b>
State, County, Place	
<b>31. Mortgage and Rental Cost Characteristics: 2000</b> . . . . .	<b>76</b>
State, County, County Subdivision, Place	
<b>32. Mortgage and Rental Cost Characteristics: 2000</b> . . . . .	<b>79</b>
State, County, Place	
<b>33. Place of Birth, Residence in 1995, and Language for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000</b> . . . . .	<b>81</b>
American Indian Area, County	
<b>34. Education and Veteran Status for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000</b> . . . . .	<b>83</b>
American Indian Area, County	
<b>35. Disability Status for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000.</b> . . . . .	<b>85</b>
American Indian Area, County	
<b>36. Employment Status and Journey to Work for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000</b> . . . . .	<b>87</b>
American Indian Area, County	
<b>37. Work Status and Income in 1999 for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000</b> . . . . .	<b>89</b>
American Indian Area, County	
<b>38. Earnings in 1999 of Full-Time, Year-Round Workers, by Sex for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000.</b> . . . . .	<b>91</b>
American Indian Area, County	
<b>39. Income in 1999 of Households With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000.</b> . . . . .	<b>93</b>
American Indian Area, County	
<b>40. Poverty Status in 1999 for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000</b> . . . . .	<b>95</b>
American Indian Area, County	
<b>41. Units in Structure: 2000</b> . . . . .	<b>97</b>
American Indian Area, County	
<b>42. Physical Housing Characteristics: 2000.</b> . . . . .	<b>98</b>
American Indian Area, County	

43.	<b>Selected Equipment Characteristics of Housing Units With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000.</b> . . . . .	99
	American Indian Area, County	
44.	<b>Year Householder Moved Into Unit for Housing Units With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000.</b> . . . . .	100
	American Indian Area, County	
45.	<b>Home Value of Housing Units With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000.</b> . . . . .	101
	American Indian Area, County	
46.	<b>Selected Monthly Owner Costs of Housing Units With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000.</b> . . . . .	103
	American Indian Area, County	
47.	<b>Gross Rent of Housing Units With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000.</b> . . . . .	105
	American Indian Area, County	
48.	<b>Selected Mortgage and Rental Cost Characteristics of Housing Units With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000</b> . . . . .	107
	American Indian Area, County	
49.	<b>Place of Birth, Residence in 1995, and Language for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Population (One Race): 2000.</b> . . . . .	*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County	
50.	<b>Education and Veteran Status for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Population (One Race): 2000</b> . . . . .	*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County	
51.	<b>Disability Status for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Population (One Race): 2000</b> . . . . .	*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County	
52.	<b>Employment Status and Journey to Work for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Population (One Race): 2000</b> . . . . .	*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County	
53.	<b>Work Status and Income in 1999 for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Population (One Race): 2000</b> . . . . .	*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County	
54.	<b>Earnings in 1999 of Full-Time, Year-Round Workers, by Sex for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Population (One Race): 2000.</b> . . . . .	*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County	
55.	<b>Income in 1999 of Households With a Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Householder (One Race): 2000.</b> . . . . .	*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County	
56.	<b>Poverty Status in 1999 for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Population (One Race): 2000</b> . . . . .	*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County	
57.	<b>Units in Structure: 2000</b> . . . . .	*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County	
58.	<b>Physical Housing Characteristics: 2000.</b> . . . . .	*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County	

59.	<b>Selected Equipment Characteristics of Housing Units With a Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Householder (One Race): 2000.</b> . . . . .		*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County		
60.	<b>Year Householder Moved Into Unit for Housing Units With a Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Householder (One Race): 2000.</b> . . . . .		*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County		
61.	<b>Home Value of Housing Units With a Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Householder (One Race): 2000.</b> . . . . .		*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County		
62.	<b>Selected Monthly Owner Costs of Housing Units With a Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Householder (One Race): 2000.</b> . . . . .		*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County		
63.	<b>Gross Rent of Housing Units With a Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Householder (One Race): 2000.</b> . . . . .		*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County		
64.	<b>Selected Mortgage and Rental Cost Characteristics of Housing Units With a Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Householder (One Race): 2000.</b> . . . . .		*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County		
65.	<b>Percent of Population and Housing Units in Sample: 2000</b> . . . . .	111	
	State, County, County Subdivision, Place		
66.	<b>Percent of Population and Housing Units in Sample: 2000</b> . . . . .	113	
	State, County, Place		
67.	<b>Percent of Population and Housing Units in Sample: 2000</b> . . . . .	114	
	American Indian Area, County		
68.	<b>Percent of Population and Housing Units in Sample: 2000</b> . . . . .		*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County		

\* Table was omitted because there were no qualifying geographic areas.

# How to Use This Census Report

---

## CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction .....	I-1
How to Find Geographic Areas and Subject Matter Data.....	I-2
How to Use the Statistical Tables .....	I-2
Graphics .....	I-4
User Notes .....	I-5
Appendixes .....	I-5

## INTRODUCTION

Data from Census 2000 are presented in three printed report series:

1. PHC-1, *Summary Population and Housing Characteristics*
2. PHC-2, *Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics*
3. PHC-3, *Population and Housing Unit Counts*

The data from Census 2000 were derived from a limited number of basic questions asked of the entire population and about every housing unit (referred to as the 100-percent questions, found on the “short form”), and from additional questions asked of a sample of the population and housing units (referred to as the sample questions, found on the “long form”).

The PHC-1, *Summary Population and Housing Characteristics*, report series provides data based on the 100-percent questions. The subjects are age, Hispanic or Latino origin, household relationship, race, sex, tenure (owner- or renter-occupied), and vacancy characteristics. Land area measurements and population density also are provided. This series is similar to the 1990 census CPH-1 series.

The PHC-2, *Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics*, report series provides sample data based on both the 100-percent and the sample questions. Sample subjects include place of birth; residence in 1995; language; educational attainment and school enrollment; veteran status; disability status; employment status; journey to work; work status, earnings, income, and poverty status in 1999; physical housing characteristics; units in structure; fuel and equipment characteristics; owner and renter household characteristics, such as year owner moved into unit; home value; contract and gross rent; and mortgage and rental cost characteristics. This series is similar to the 1990 census CPH-5 series.

The PHC-3, *Population and Housing Unit Counts*, report series provides Census 2000 and historical comparisons of the 100-percent population and housing unit counts. It provides land and water area measurements, and population density. The user notes section documents geographic changes over the past decade. This series is similar to the 1990 census CPH-2 series.

In each series, there is one report for each state, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico, plus a United States summary report. Many tables in the United States summary reports include data for Puerto Rico.

## HOW TO FIND GEOGRAPHIC AREAS AND SUBJECT MATTER DATA

This report includes a table finding guide to assist the user in locating those statistical tables that contain the desired data. The table finding guide lists alphabetically, by geographic area, the subjects shown in this report. To determine which tables in this report show data for a particular topic, find the subject in the lefthand column of the table finding guide and then look across the columns using the headings at the top for the desired type of geographic area. Figure I-1 is an example of a table finding guide.

The table finding guide does not include cross-classifications of subject-matter items. Additional information to locate data within specific reports is provided in the headnote at the top of the table finding guide and in the footnotes at the bottom of the guide.

## HOW TO USE THE STATISTICAL TABLES

### Parts of a Statistical Table

The census data included in printed reports are arranged in tables. Each table includes four major parts: (1) heading, (2) boxhead, (3) stub, and (4) data field. A typical census report table is illustrated in Figure I-2.

The heading consists of the table number, title, and headnote. The table number indicates the position of the table within the report, while the title is a brief statement indicating the subjects and time reference of the data presented in the table. The headnote is enclosed in brackets and is located under the title. It contains statements that qualify, explain, or provide information pertaining to the entire table.

The boxhead is under the heading. This portion of the table, which contains the individual column heads or captions, describes the data in each vertical column. In the boxhead of many tables, a spanner appears across and above two or more column heads or across two or more lower spanners. The purpose of a spanner is to classify or qualify items below it or separate the table into identifiable blocks in terms of major aspects of the data.

Figure I-1.

## Table Finding Guide

### SUBJECTS BY TYPE OF GEOGRAPHIC AREA AND TABLE NUMBER

Subjects covered in this guide are shown on the left side, and types of geographic areas are shown at the top. Table numbers shown in **bold** indicate that either all or part of the table is presented for the American Indian and Alaska Native population in American Indian and Alaska Native areas, or for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander population in Hawaiian home lands. See PHC-2-A, *Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics, Selected Appendixes* for a description of area classifications (Appendix A) and for definitions and explanations of subject characteristics (Appendix B).

Subject	The state <sup>1</sup>	County <sup>2</sup>	Place		County subdivision		American Indian and Alaska Native area <sup>4</sup>	Hawaiian home land <sup>5</sup>
			By county and county subdivision	Alphabetically for the state	By county	Alphabetically for the state		
<b>POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS</b>								
Disability status .....	5,6	5,6	5	6	5	6	<b>35</b>	<b>51</b>
Earnings in 1999 .....	11,12	11,12	11	12	11	12	<b>38</b>	<b>54</b>
Educational attainment .....	3,4	3,4	3	4	3	4	<b>34</b>	<b>50</b>
Employment status .....	7,8	7,8	7	8	7	8	<b>36</b>	<b>52</b>
Full-time, year-round workers in 1999 .....	9,10,11,12	9,10,11,12	9,11	10,12	9,11	10,12	<b>37,38</b>	<b>53,54</b>
Household income in 1999 .....	13,14	13,14	13	14	13	14	<b>39</b>	<b>55</b>
Income in 1999 .....	9,10	9,10	9	10	9	10	<b>37</b>	<b>53</b>
Journey to work .....	7,8	7,8	7	8	7	8	<b>36</b>	<b>52</b>
Language spoken at home and ability to speak English .....	1,2	1,2	1	2	1	2	<b>33</b>	<b>49</b>
Nativity .....	1,2	1,2	1	2	1	2	<b>33</b>	<b>49</b>
Place of birth .....	1,2	1,2	1	2	1	2	<b>33</b>	<b>49</b>
Poverty status in 1999 .....	15,16	15,16	15	16	15	16	<b>40</b>	<b>56</b>
Residence in 1995 .....	1,2	1,2	1	2	1	2	<b>33</b>	<b>49</b>
School enrollment and type of school .....	3,4	3,4	3	4	3	4	<b>34</b>	<b>50</b>
Veteran status .....	3,4	3,4	3	4	3	4	<b>34</b>	<b>50</b>
Work status in 1999 .....	9,10	9,10	9	10	9	10	<b>37</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS</b>								
Bedrooms .....	19,20	19,20	19	20	19	20	42	58
Gross rent .....	29,30	29,30	29	30	29	30	<b>47</b>	<b>63</b>
House heating fuel .....	21,22	21,22	21	22	21	22	-	-
Kitchen facilities .....	19,20	19,20	19	20	19	20	42	58
Mortgage status .....	31,32	31,32	31	32	31	32	<b>48</b>	<b>64</b>
Occupancy characteristics .....	23,24	23,24	23	24	23	24	<b>44</b>	<b>60</b>
Owner cost characteristics .....	27,28,31,32	27,28,31,32	27,31	28,32	27,31	28,32	<b>46,48</b>	<b>62,64</b>
Plumbing facilities .....	19,20	19,20	19	20	19	20	42	58
Rental cost characteristics .....	31,32	31,32	31	32	31	32	<b>48</b>	<b>64</b>
Rooms .....	19,20	19,20	19	20	19	20	42	58
Telephone service available .....	21,22	21,22	21	22	21	22	<b>43</b>	<b>59</b>
Tenure .....	23,24	23,24	23	24	23	24	-	-
Units in structure .....	17,18,23,24	17,18,23,24	17,23	18,24	17,23	18,24	41	57
Value of home .....	25,26	25,26	25	26	25	26	<b>45</b>	<b>61</b>
Vehicles available .....	21,22	21,22	21	22	21	22	<b>43</b>	<b>59</b>
Year householder moved into unit .....	23,24	23,24	23	24	23	24	<b>44</b>	<b>60</b>
Year structure built .....	19,20	19,20	19	20	19	20	42	58





- 
- (NA) means not available.
  - The superscript prefix “r” indicates that the count has been revised since the publication of the 1990 census reports, or that the area was erroneously omitted or not shown in the correct geographic relationship in the 1990 census reports. This symbol appears only in the Census 2000 PHC-3, *Population and Housing Unit Counts*, report series.
  - A dagger “†” next to the name of a geographic area indicates that there has been a geographic change (for example, an annexation or detachment, a new incorporation, or a name change) since the information was published for the 1990 census for that area. This symbol appears only in the Census 2000 PHC-3, *Population and Housing Unit Counts*, report series. The geographic change information for the entities in a state is shown in the “User Notes” section of the Census 2000 PHC-3 report for that state.
  - A plus sign “+” is appended to the lower bound of the highest interval when the median falls in the upper interval of an open-ended distribution. A minus sign “-” is appended to the upper bound of the lowest interval when the median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution. For more information on medians, see the section on “Derived Measures” in Appendix B.
  - A minus sign “-” preceding a figure denotes decrease. The minus sign appears only in the Census 2000 PHC-3, *Population and Housing Unit Counts*, report series.

The following geographic abbreviations and terms may be used in the tables in this report:

- A “(part)” next to the name of a geographic area in a hierarchical presentation indicates that the geographic entity is located only partially in the superior geographic entity. For example, a “(part)” next to a place name in a county subdivision-place hierarchy indicates that the place is located in more than one county subdivision. (Places also may be “split” by county, congressional district, urban/rural, metropolitan area, voting district, and other geographic boundaries, depending on the presentation.) Other geographic entities also can be “split” by a higher level entity. The exception is a tabulation block, which is unique within all geographic entities in census products.
- ANVSA is Alaska Native village statistical area.
- ANRC is Alaska Native Regional Corporation.
- CCD is census county division.
- CDP is census designated place.
- CMSA is consolidated metropolitan statistical area.
- MA is metropolitan area.
- MSA is metropolitan statistical area.
- OTSA is Oklahoma tribal statistical area.
- PMSA is primary metropolitan statistical area.
- SDAISA is state designated American Indian statistical area.
- TDSA is tribal designated statistical area.
- UT is unorganized territory.

## **GRAPHICS**

Charts, statistical maps, and other graphic summaries are included in some Census 2000 reports.

---

## USER NOTES

User notes include corrections, errata, and related explanatory information. This section appears directly before the statistical tables in census reports. It presents information about unique characteristics of the report and changes or corrections made too late to be reflected in the text or tables themselves. However, sometimes this information becomes available too late to be reflected even in the user notes. Census 2000 user updates are available on the Census Bureau's Internet site at [www.census.gov/main/www/cen2000.html](http://www.census.gov/main/www/cen2000.html). To receive notification of user notes, subscribe to the Census Product Update (<http://www.census.gov/mp/www/cpu.html>), a biweekly e-mail newsletter available from the Customer Services Center of the Marketing Services Office at the U.S. Census Bureau, or contact the Customer Services Center directly on 301-763-INFO (4636) or at [webmaster@census.gov](mailto:webmaster@census.gov).

## APPENDIXES

Appendixes A through E and Appendix H, described below, are found in the separate printed volume, PHC-2-A, *Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics, Selected Appendixes*, or on the Internet at <http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/phc-2-a.pdf>. Appendixes F and G are included in this report.

**Appendix A, Geographic Terms and Concepts.** Provides definitions of the types of geographic areas and related information used in census products.

**Appendix B, Definitions of Subject Characteristics.** Contains definitions for the subject-matter terms used in census products, including explanations of derived measures, limitations of the data, and comparability with previous censuses. The subjects are listed alphabetically. Population characteristics are defined first, followed by the definitions of the housing subjects.

**Appendix C, Data Collection and Processing Procedures.** Explains the enumeration and residence rules used in counting the population and housing units in the United States and Puerto Rico. It also describes the major components of the operational plan for Census 2000, and includes a glossary of terms.

**Appendix D, Questionnaire.** Presents a facsimile of the Census 2000 questionnaire used to collect the data in this report.

**Appendix E, Data Products and User Assistance.** Summarizes the Census 2000 data products by describing the information available in printed reports and through electronic media such as CD-ROM, DVD, and the Internet. It also describes Census 2000 maps and other geographic products, reference materials, and sources of assistance.

**Appendix F, Maps.** Contains maps depicting the geographic areas shown in this report.

**Appendix G, Accuracy of the Data.** Provides information on confidentiality of the data, imputation of housing unit status and population counts, sources of errors in the data, and editing of unacceptable data.

**Appendix H, Acknowledgments.** Lists many of the U.S. Census Bureau staff who participated in the various activities of Census 2000.

# Table Finding Guide

## SUBJECTS BY TYPE OF GEOGRAPHIC AREA AND TABLE NUMBER

Subjects covered in this guide are shown on the left side, and types of geographic areas are shown at the top. Table numbers shown in **bold** indicate that either all or part of the table is presented for the American Indian and Alaska Native population in American Indian and Alaska Native areas, or for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander population in Hawaiian home lands. See PHC-2-A, *Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics, Selected Appendixes* for a description of area classifications (Appendix A) and for definitions and explanations of subject characteristics (Appendix B).

Subject	The state <sup>1</sup>	County <sup>2</sup>	Place		County subdivision		American Indian and Alaska Native area <sup>4</sup>	Hawaiian home land <sup>5</sup>
			By county and county subdivision	Alphabetically for the state	By county	Alphabetically for the state		
<b>POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS</b>								
Disability status . . . . .	5,6	5,6	5	6	5	6	<b>35</b>	<b>51</b>
Earnings in 1999 . . . . .	11,12	11,12	11	12	11	12	<b>38</b>	<b>54</b>
Educational attainment . . . . .	3,4	3,4	3	4	3	4	<b>34</b>	<b>50</b>
Employment status . . . . .	7,8	7,8	7	8	7	8	<b>36</b>	<b>52</b>
Full-time, year-round workers in 1999 . . . . .	9,10,11,12	9,10,11,12	9,11	10,12	9,11	10,12	<b>37,38</b>	<b>53,54</b>
Household income in 1999 . . . . .	13,14	13,14	13	14	13	14	<b>39</b>	<b>55</b>
Income in 1999 . . . . .	9,10	9,10	9	10	9	10	<b>37</b>	<b>53</b>
Journey to work . . . . .	7,8	7,8	7	8	7	8	<b>36</b>	<b>52</b>
Language spoken at home and ability to speak English . . . . .	1,2	1,2	1	2	1	2	<b>33</b>	<b>49</b>
Nativity . . . . .	1,2	1,2	1	2	1	2	<b>33</b>	<b>49</b>
Place of birth . . . . .	1,2	1,2	1	2	1	2	<b>33</b>	<b>49</b>
Poverty status in 1999 . . . . .	15,16	15,16	15	16	15	16	<b>40</b>	<b>56</b>
Residence in 1995 . . . . .	1,2	1,2	1	2	1	2	<b>33</b>	<b>49</b>
School enrollment and type of school . . . . .	3,4	3,4	3	4	3	4	<b>34</b>	<b>50</b>
Veteran status . . . . .	3,4	3,4	3	4	3	4	<b>34</b>	<b>50</b>
Work status in 1999 . . . . .	9,10	9,10	9	10	9	10	<b>37</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS</b>								
Bedrooms . . . . .	19,20	19,20	19	20	19	20	42	58
Gross rent . . . . .	29,30	29,30	29	30	29	30	<b>47</b>	<b>63</b>
House heating fuel . . . . .	21,22	21,22	21	22	21	22	—	—
Kitchen facilities . . . . .	19,20	19,20	19	20	19	20	42	58
Mortgage status . . . . .	31,32	31,32	31	32	31	32	<b>48</b>	<b>64</b>
Occupancy characteristics . . . . .	23,24	23,24	23	24	23	24	<b>44</b>	<b>60</b>
Owner cost characteristics . . . . .	27,28,31,32	27,28,31,32	27,31	28,32	27,31	28,32	<b>46,48</b>	<b>62,64</b>
Plumbing facilities . . . . .	19,20	19,20	19	20	19	20	42	58
Rental cost characteristics . . . . .	31,32	31,32	31	32	31	32	<b>48</b>	<b>64</b>
Rooms . . . . .	19,20	19,20	19	20	19	20	42	58
Telephone service available . . . . .	21,22	21,22	21	22	21	22	<b>43</b>	<b>59</b>
Tenure . . . . .	23,24	23,24	23	24	23	24	—	—
Units in structure . . . . .	17,18,23,24	17,18,23,24	17,23	18,24	17,23	18,24	41	57
Value of home . . . . .	25,26	25,26	25	26	25	26	<b>45</b>	<b>61</b>
Vehicles available . . . . .	21,22	21,22	21	22	21	22	<b>43</b>	<b>59</b>
Year householder moved into unit . . . . .	23,24	23,24	23	24	23	24	<b>44</b>	<b>60</b>
Year structure built . . . . .	19,20	19,20	19	20	19	20	42	58

See footnotes on next page.

---

<sup>1</sup>State, District of Columbia, or Puerto Rico.

<sup>2</sup>Parish in Louisiana; city and borough, municipality, borough, or census area in Alaska; and municipio in Puerto Rico; in Maryland, Missouri, Nevada, and Virginia, one or more cities are independent of counties and are treated as statistical equivalents of counties; the entire District of Columbia, which has no counties, is treated as a county equivalent.

<sup>3</sup>County subdivisions within the state are shown alphabetically with places for the following 12 states: Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, and Wisconsin.

<sup>4</sup>American Indian and Alaska Native areas include state and federal American Indian Reservations; Oklahoma tribal statistical areas (OTSAs); tribal designated statistical areas (TDSAs) (federal areas); state designated American Indian statistical areas (SDAISAs) (state areas); Alaska Native village statistical areas (ANVSAs); and Alaska Native Regional Corporations (ANRCs).

<sup>5</sup>Tables for these areas appear only in the report for Hawaii.

# User Notes

---

Additional information concerning this Census 2000 product and its source file, Summary File 3, may become available after this report is published. This information, called Notes and Errata, is available in portable document format (PDF) on the U.S. Census Bureau's Internet site at <http://www.census.gov/main/www/cen2000.html>. To receive notification of user notes, subscribe to the Census Product Update (<http://www.census.gov/mp/www/cpu.html>), a biweekly e-mail newsletter available from the Customer Services Center of the Marketing Services Office at the U.S. Census Bureau, or contact the Customer Services Center directly on 301-763-INFO (4636) or at [webmaster@census.gov](mailto:webmaster@census.gov).

## **ADDITIONAL DEFINITIONS AND EXPLANATIONS OF THE DATA**

### **Data Note 1**

The user should note that there are limitations to many of these data. Please refer to the text for further explanations on the limitations of the data. See Appendix G of this report and the text found in PHC-2-A, *Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics, Selected Appendixes*.

### **Data Note 2**

The Census Bureau is aware there may be a problem in the Census 2000 employment status data for people enumerated in group quarters. The problem may cause the labor force data for places, particularly those with high concentrations of people in group quarters (such as college towns with large dormitory populations) to overstate the number in the labor force, the number unemployed, and the percent unemployed, and to understate the number of employed. For more information, see the Census 2000 Notes and Errata document at the following Census Bureau Internet site: <http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/notes/errata.pdf>.

### **Data Note 3**

Estimated population and housing unit totals based on tabulations from only the sample questionnaires (sample tabulations) may differ from the official counts as tabulated from every census questionnaire (100-percent tabulations). Such differences result, in part, because the sample tabulations are based on information from a sample of households rather than from all households (sampling error). Differences also can occur because the interview situation (length of questionnaire, effect of the interviewer, etc.) and the processing rules differ between the 100-percent and sample tabulations. These types of differences are referred to as nonsampling error. (For more information, see Appendix G.)

The 100-percent data are the official counts and should be used as the source of information on population and housing items collected on the 100-percent questionnaire, such as age, race, Hispanic or Latino origin, and tenure. This is especially appropriate when the primary focus is on counts of the population or housing units for small areas. For estimates of the number of people and housing units by characteristics asked only on a sample basis (such as education, labor force status, income in 1999, or year structure built), the sample estimates should be used within the context of the error associated with them.

Additional information on comparing sample estimates with corresponding 100-percent values is available on the Census Bureau's Internet site at <http://www.census.gov/Press-Release/www/2002/sf3compnote.html>.

---

**Data Note 4**

Median incomes for nonfamily households by race were calculated from a 38-category income distribution rather than the standard 39-category income distribution. The 38-category distribution collapsed the two highest categories (\$175,000 - \$199,999 and \$200,000 and over) into a single category of \$175,000 and over.

**Data Note 5**

Users may find slight differences in the Occupants Per Room calculations between those found in this report and those found in the Census 2000 Demographic Profile. "Occupants per room" is obtained by dividing the number of people in each occupied housing unit by the number of rooms in the unit. This report, based on Summary File 3, correctly uses a topcode value of "10 rooms" for those occupied housing units with "9 or more rooms." In the Demographic Profile, an incorrect topcode value of "9 rooms" was used.





Table 1. **Place of Birth, Residence in 1995, and Language: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total population	Native population— Percent born in state of residence	Foreign-born population			Population 5 years and over		Speak a language other than English at home			
			Number	Percent of total population	Percent naturalized citizens	Number	Percent living in different house in 1995	Population 5 to 17 years		Population 18 years and over	
								Number	Percent who speak English less than "very well"	Number	Percent who speak English less than "very well"
Lander County	5 794	36.3	554	9.6	42.8	5 364	44.0	250	18.4	748	51.2
Austin CCD	467	34.1	16	3.4	43.8	450	19.8	-	(X)	46	54.3
Battle Mountain CCD	5 327	36.5	538	10.1	42.8	4 914	46.2	250	18.4	702	51.0
Battle Mountain CDP	2 967	36.2	357	12.0	46.2	2 748	48.8	172	11.0	404	61.4
Lincoln County	4 165	31.0	145	3.5	32.4	3 897	44.2	78	-	158	22.8
Alamo CCD	1 094	43.1	65	5.9	15.4	1 007	38.2	32	-	62	30.6
Caliente CCD	1 173	28.8	44	3.8	27.3	1 095	53.1	30	-	58	29.3
Caliente city (part)	1 132	28.3	42	3.7	23.8	1 054	53.5	30	-	51	33.3
Pioche CCD	1 898	25.6	36	1.9	69.4	1 795	42.2	16	-	38	-
Caliente city (part)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Lyon County	34 501	28.6	2 087	6.0	47.8	32 277	55.9	840	30.4	2 681	44.1
Dayton CCD	9 682	23.1	572	5.9	52.4	9 060	55.2	208	37.0	711	44.7
Dayton CDP	5 902	21.5	316	5.4	60.1	5 496	60.1	85	9.4	413	33.9
Fernley CCD	8 598	29.9	305	3.5	54.4	7 960	66.9	166	16.9	512	22.5
Fernley CDP	8 555	30.1	305	3.6	54.4	7 917	66.8	166	16.9	512	22.5
Silver Springs CCD	6 689	21.6	241	3.6	41.9	6 332	53.9	50	20.0	361	35.5
Silver Springs CDP	4 818	22.4	128	2.7	44.5	4 562	56.7	28	35.7	240	30.0
Smith Valley CCD	1 664	37.6	357	21.5	38.7	1 586	45.9	111	64.0	297	70.7
Smith Valley CDP	1 572	37.3	329	20.9	38.3	1 504	46.7	100	60.0	269	67.7
Yerington CCD	7 868	38.7	612	7.8	47.7	7 339	48.7	305	22.6	800	51.3
Yerington city	2 798	39.1	202	7.2	58.4	2 596	51.3	91	27.5	312	43.6
Mineral County	5 071	39.0	124	2.4	58.9	4 807	44.0	54	16.7	284	25.7
Hawthorne CCD	3 965	35.4	91	2.3	57.1	3 778	45.1	15	26.7	172	25.0
Hawthorne CDP	3 319	36.2	91	2.7	57.1	3 148	44.1	15	26.7	156	24.4
Schurz CDP (part)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Mina CCD	240	7.9	-	-	(X)	236	59.3	-	(X)	11	-
Walker River CCD	866	64.7	33	3.8	63.6	793	34.2	39	12.8	101	29.7
Schurz CDP (part)	711	68.5	28	3.9	75.0	646	31.0	21	-	83	28.9
Nye County	32 485	21.0	1 629	5.0	45.5	30 602	58.9	583	16.3	2 179	34.6
Amargosa Valley CCD	1 142	21.5	148	13.0	-	1 085	55.3	64	7.8	191	39.3
Beatty CCD	1 090	25.6	51	4.7	47.1	1 014	43.3	18	88.9	32	34.4
Beatty CDP	1 085	25.7	51	4.7	47.1	1 009	43.5	18	88.9	32	34.4
Crystal CCD	7 088	18.0	325	4.6	56.0	6 708	59.6	140	7.9	396	37.9
Pahrump CDP (part)	7 060	18.1	325	4.6	56.0	6 680	59.4	140	7.9	396	37.9
Duckwater CCD	155	69.7	-	-	(X)	151	53.6	16	18.8	46	45.7
Gabbs CCD	479	38.9	6	1.3	83.3	445	47.9	8	-	45	13.3
Gabbs city	326	29.1	6	1.8	83.3	305	48.9	5	-	13	46.2
Pahrump CCD	17 648	18.4	943	5.3	50.2	16 665	61.6	263	10.3	1 233	35.1
Pahrump CDP (part)	17 648	18.4	943	5.3	50.2	16 665	61.6	263	10.3	1 233	35.1
Ralston CCD	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Round Mountain CCD	1 944	24.7	55	2.8	49.1	1 803	56.9	60	55.0	112	24.1
Tonopah CCD	2 939	33.7	101	3.4	29.7	2 731	51.8	14	-	124	25.0
Tonopah CDP	2 721	33.8	87	3.2	34.5	2 513	53.9	14	-	124	25.0
Yucca Flat CCD	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Pershing County	6 693	35.5	470	7.0	39.6	6 267	51.6	289	23.2	724	48.8
Imlay CCD	1 827	24.3	95	5.2	65.3	1 678	50.1	98	11.2	129	55.8
Lovelock CCD	4 866	39.8	375	7.7	33.1	4 589	52.2	191	29.3	595	47.2
Lovelock city	1 973	46.7	200	10.1	33.5	1 807	47.9	84	46.4	276	50.0
Storey County	3 399	23.5	74	2.2	62.2	3 251	50.9	15	66.7	279	16.5
Clark CCD	866	20.2	24	2.8	29.2	846	54.7	-	(X)	84	20.2
Virginia City CCD	2 533	24.6	50	2.0	78.0	2 405	49.5	15	66.7	195	14.9
Washoe County	339 486	31.0	47 993	14.1	37.5	316 402	58.8	14 493	32.1	48 462	52.3
High Desert CCD	924	16.8	158	17.1	18.4	890	41.7	28	10.7	157	77.7
Gerlach-Empire CDP	528	22.2	42	8.0	40.5	494	30.8	10	30.0	43	76.7
Incline Village CCD	9 977	10.4	1 194	12.0	37.5	9 480	58.3	348	26.4	1 066	50.5
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP (part)	9 977	10.4	1 194	12.0	37.5	9 480	58.3	348	26.4	1 066	50.5
North Valleys CCD	33 985	30.8	2 608	7.7	41.1	31 461	54.8	1 019	19.7	3 133	45.2
Cold Springs CDP	3 831	34.4	106	2.8	58.5	3 519	48.7	18	44.4	211	31.8
Lemmon Valley-Golden Valley CDP	6 765	29.7	229	3.4	45.9	6 420	39.2	72	38.9	376	22.6
Reno city (part)	18 442	30.6	1 912	10.4	37.6	16 903	64.3	866	18.2	2 181	51.2
Pyramid Lake CCD	1 714	68.9	64	3.7	64.1	1 556	34.1	42	35.7	215	14.0
Nixon CDP	460	83.6	9	2.0	100.0	406	29.3	14	14.3	92	2.2
Sutcliffe CDP	269	55.5	13	4.8	84.6	253	21.3	7	-	12	-
Wadsworth CDP	845	61.5	42	5.0	50.0	768	44.7	17	52.9	77	36.4
Reno North CCD	71 163	29.8	12 294	17.3	35.6	66 537	64.8	3 346	30.9	12 546	49.8
Reno city (part)	67 832	29.6	11 535	17.0	35.2	63 604	64.2	3 150	31.1	11 750	50.0
Sparks city (part)	2 180	41.3	630	28.9	35.2	1 897	78.9	196	28.1	668	46.1
Reno Southeast CCD	54 689	30.0	12 833	23.5	30.4	50 375	65.1	3 779	41.9	11 780	64.0
Reno city (part)	49 563	29.8	12 833	25.3	29.6	45 452	67.7	3 742	42.2	11 444	65.4
Reno Southwest CCD	53 634	28.3	5 469	10.2	48.7	50 958	52.7	1 162	25.4	5 777	41.4
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP (part)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Reno city (part)	42 907	27.2	4 961	11.6	46.1	40 876	54.2	1 066	25.0	5 246	43.4
Sparks CCD	55 769	34.9	9 229	16.5	37.9	51 885	55.8	3 080	32.4	9 213	56.7
Sparks city (part)	55 265	34.8	9 217	16.7	37.9	51 409	55.9	3 080	32.4	9 177	56.8
Sun Valley CCD	21 016	35.3	2 692	12.8	37.9	19 224	62.1	1 237	26.2	2 644	55.7
Reno city (part)	1 616	27.6	228	14.1	18.9	1 479	80.3	28	-	287	45.3
Sparks city (part)	59	59.3	-	-	(X)	59	-	-	(X)	-	(X)
Sun Valley CDP (part)	19 341	35.8	2 464	12.7	39.7	17 686	60.7	1 209	26.8	2 357	56.9
Verdi CCD	3 193	34.7	50	1.6	64.0	3 064	42.6	34	29.4	167	26.9
Reno city (part)	2 988	44.4	30	10.1	70.0	278	95.0	8	-	36	16.7
Verdi-Mogul CDP	2 895	33.8	20	0.7	55.0	2 786	37.3	26	38.5	131	29.8
Warm Springs-Truckee Canyon CCD	21 909	37.8	990	4.5	65.4	20 090	62.2	273	24.5	1 194	18.9
Spanish Springs CDP	8 777	40.5	210	2.4	61.4	8 024	55.6	104	23.1	333	28.2
Sparks city (part)	9 028	33.5	507	5.6	72.0	8 365	71.9	90	34.4	494	9.1
Sun Valley CDP (part)	118	40.7	5	4.2	100.0	113	100.0	-	(X)	10	50.0
Washoe Valley CCD	11 513	27.4	412	3.6	68.4	10 882	45.4	145	22.8	570	18.9

Table 1. **Place of Birth, Residence in 1995, and Language: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total population	Native population— Percent born in state of residence	Foreign-born population			Population 5 years and over		Speak a language other than English at home			
			Number	Percent of total population	Percent naturalized citizens	Number	Percent living in different house in 1995	Population 5 to 17 years		Population 18 years and over	
								Number	Percent who speak English less than "very well"	Number	Percent who speak English less than "very well"
White Pine County .....	9 181	43.6	269	2.9	55.4	8 631	47.5	166	4.8	674	33.7
Baker CCD .....	296	23.0	74	25.0	20.3	285	33.3	23	34.8	56	64.3
Cherry Creek CCD .....	12	100.0	-	-	(X)	12	-	-	(X)	-	(X)
Ely CCD .....	5 543	47.8	150	2.7	66.0	5 150	48.7	64	-	359	31.5
Ely city .....	4 027	47.8	137	3.4	67.2	3 757	45.3	33	-	274	34.7
Lund CCD .....	430	52.8	-	-	(X)	421	20.9	-	(X)	-	(X)
McGill CCD .....	2 399	34.8	20	0.8	100.0	2 330	50.5	55	-	215	27.9
McGill CDP .....	1 072	41.5	8	0.7	100.0	1 003	43.2	-	(X)	47	10.6
Ruth CCD .....	501	39.5	25	5.0	60.0	433	52.9	24	-	44	40.9
Carson City .....	52 457	26.4	5 205	9.9	31.8	49 209	53.9	1 635	35.2	5 678	51.2



Table 2. **Place of Birth, Residence in 1995, and Language: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place	Total population	Native population— Percent born in state of residence	Foreign-born population			Population 5 years and over		Speak a language other than English at home			
			Number	Percent of total population	Percent naturalized citizens	Number	Percent living in different house in 1995	Population 5 to 17 years		Population 18 years and over	
								Number	Percent who speak English less than "very well"	Number	Percent who speak English less than "very well"
<b>PLACE—Con.</b>											
Verdi-Mogul CDP, Washoe County .....	2 895	33.8	20	0.7	55.0	2 786	37.3	26	38.5	131	29.8
Wadsworth CDP, Washoe County .....	845	61.5	42	5.0	50.0	768	44.7	17	52.9	77	36.4
Wells city, Elko County .....	1 385	42.0	159	11.5	35.2	1 269	48.9	61	44.3	198	56.6
West Wendover city, Elko County .....	4 724	12.8	1 469	31.1	28.3	4 127	62.0	777	27.3	1 563	57.8
Whitney CDP, Clark County .....	17 731	22.6	3 406	19.2	37.8	16 302	65.8	1 237	38.2	3 662	57.6
Winchester CDP, Clark County .....	26 802	18.7	6 832	25.5	39.6	25 331	60.9	1 686	37.8	7 204	55.1
Winnemucca city, Humboldt County .....	7 255	40.2	808	11.1	36.9	6 647	56.2	333	21.9	822	52.3
Yerington city, Lyon County .....	2 798	39.1	202	7.2	58.4	2 596	51.3	91	27.5	312	43.6
Zephyr Cove-Round Hill Village CDP, Douglas County .....	1 688	5.6	107	6.3	40.2	1 655	59.5	-	(X)	147	9.5





Table 3. **Education and Veteran Status: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Population 3 years and over enrolled in school				Population 16 to 19 years			Population 25 years and over			Civilian veterans 18 years and over	
	Pre- primary school	Elementary or high school		College or graduate school	Total	Not enrolled in school and not high school graduate		Number	Percent high school graduate or higher	Percent with Bachelor's degree or higher	Total	65 years and over
		Number	Percent in private school			Number	Percent					
White Pine County .....	210	1 721	1.7	359	471	33	7.0	6 184	82.0	11.8	1 349	420
Baker CCD .....	-	24	37.5	-	17	8	47.1	238	82.8	27.3	42	29
Cherry Creek CCD .....	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)	12	100.0	-	12	-
Ely CCD .....	150	1 198	1.8	213	344	12	3.5	3 534	84.5	14.5	704	187
Ely city .....	108	867	2.4	165	284	6	2.1	2 604	83.1	14.8	526	158
Lund CCD .....	-	127	-	-	31	-	-	286	82.5	17.5	74	58
McGill CCD .....	23	291	-	139	72	13	18.1	1 809	79.0	5.4	458	131
McGill CDP .....	23	133	-	67	72	13	18.1	754	75.5	2.1	185	87
Ruth CCD .....	37	81	-	7	7	-	-	305	69.5	2.0	59	15
Carson City .....	1 640	8 363	5.4	2 995	2 290	354	15.5	35 953	82.5	18.5	7 259	2 836





Table 4. **Education and Veteran Status: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place	Population 3 years and over enrolled in school				Population 16 to 19 years			Population 25 years and over			Civilian veterans 18 years and over	
	Pre- primary school	Elementary or high school		College or graduate school	Total	Not enrolled in school and not high school graduate		Number	Percent high school graduate or higher	Percent with Bachelor's degree or higher	Total	65 years and over
		Number	Percent in private school			Number	Percent					
<b>PLACE</b> —Con.												
Wadsworth CDP, Washoe County .....	39	173	-	15	56	8	14.3	492	71.3	7.1	105	50
Wells city, Elko County .....	37	268	2.2	38	83	-	-	869	78.7	16.0	154	50
West Wendover city, Elko County .....	140	1 068	0.4	61	418	163	39.0	2 286	48.3	7.9	252	43
Whitney CDP, Clark County .....	414	2 874	4.1	628	748	141	18.9	11 753	74.6	9.2	2 504	810
Winchester CDP, Clark County .....	559	3 302	5.0	1 190	1 055	253	24.0	19 463	74.6	15.9	3 527	1 592
Winnemucca city, Humboldt County .....	285	1 609	0.1	191	579	70	12.1	4 348	81.9	20.4	652	193
Yerington city, Lyon County .....	43	520	4.6	53	208	49	23.6	1 899	73.8	14.8	488	248
Zephyr Cove-Round Hill Village CDP, Douglas County .....	49	192	4.7	64	63	-	-	1 352	95.6	35.3	325	119



Table 5. Disability Status: 2000—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Civilian noninstitutionalized population with a disability			Percent of civilian noninstitutionalized population with specified disability							Percent employed of civilian noninstitutionalized population 21 to 64 years		
	5 years and over	16 to 64 years	65 years and over	5 years and over					16 years and over, going outside the home	16 to 64 years, employment disability	Disability status		
				Any disability	Sensory	Physical	Mental	Self-care			Total	With a disability	No disability
Lander County	1 116	920	169	20.9	5.0	6.5	2.7	1.7	6.8	15.9	69.1	64.9	70.6
Austin CCD	121	89	32	26.9	10.9	8.4	2.7	2.7	4.8	20.6	67.6	83.1	60.9
Battle Mountain CCD	995	831	137	20.3	4.5	6.3	2.7	1.7	7.1	15.4	69.2	62.9	71.5
Battle Mountain CDP	391	321	65	14.3	3.9	4.9	1.9	1.3	6.2	8.1	73.0	53.8	77.3
Lincoln County	873	477	337	24.6	6.6	13.9	6.9	3.2	7.8	14.3	65.8	56.0	68.6
Alamo CCD	246	142	72	24.4	7.0	12.9	8.5	2.5	7.3	14.1	72.0	53.2	77.2
Caliente CCD	204	112	90	21.9	6.3	14.5	2.9	2.9	8.7	11.1	61.9	45.0	66.4
Caliente city (part)	196	108	86	22.0	6.2	14.7	3.0	2.8	9.2	11.6	61.0	44.8	65.4
Pioche CCD	423	223	175	26.4	6.5	14.3	8.1	3.7	7.6	16.2	64.0	64.0	64.0
Caliente city (part)	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Lyon County	7 112	4 710	2 031	22.3	5.1	11.5	5.3	2.6	7.8	12.7	72.7	56.8	77.5
Dayton CCD	1 843	1 300	419	20.3	3.5	9.1	5.2	2.1	6.1	12.6	74.4	62.4	77.6
Dayton CDP	1 103	747	292	20.1	3.3	8.4	5.7	2.2	5.7	12.0	76.9	66.4	79.7
Fernley CCD	1 525	1 088	313	19.2	3.7	9.8	5.1	2.1	7.1	10.6	78.0	59.1	82.8
Fernley CDP	1 513	1 088	313	19.2	3.7	9.7	5.0	2.2	7.2	10.7	77.9	59.1	82.8
Silver Springs CCD	1 704	1 168	480	27.5	6.6	16.0	5.4	3.1	9.2	15.8	69.4	55.3	75.2
Silver Springs CDP	1 300	900	353	29.4	7.7	18.0	6.6	3.2	9.7	16.7	68.2	53.4	75.5
Smith Valley CCD	259	180	64	16.3	3.9	6.9	2.0	1.4	4.2	7.8	72.6	54.3	76.6
Smith Valley CDP	241	162	64	16.0	3.2	7.0	2.1	1.5	4.5	8.3	76.1	60.6	79.3
Yerington CCD	1 781	974	755	25.2	7.6	13.6	6.0	3.8	10.4	14.0	66.6	49.1	72.4
Yerington city	720	353	353	28.3	10.3	16.1	8.0	3.2	12.7	12.5	68.3	50.3	74.8
Mineral County	1 419	882	477	29.8	7.7	13.6	7.4	3.3	7.8	20.0	67.7	58.0	71.8
Hawthorne CCD	1 046	626	380	28.1	8.0	12.9	6.5	3.1	7.2	17.9	69.2	59.5	72.9
Hawthorne CDP	918	559	325	29.6	8.3	13.7	6.8	3.7	8.4	19.0	67.9	57.3	72.4
Schurz CDP (part)	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Mina CCD	115	94	21	48.7	8.9	25.8	14.0	8.1	17.3	45.4	63.2	38.3	89.0
Walker River CCD	258	162	76	32.5	5.8	13.4	9.8	2.6	7.3	20.4	61.9	65.9	60.0
Schurz CDP (part)	202	131	64	31.3	5.9	14.1	5.4	2.6	6.9	23.6	61.8	66.9	59.0
Nye County	8 598	5 989	2 409	28.3	7.4	12.5	5.6	2.6	7.1	19.1	61.7	57.6	63.7
Amargosa Valley CCD	221	153	56	20.4	5.7	13.6	3.2	0.8	7.3	9.0	64.0	23.5	76.0
Beatty CCD	311	231	60	30.7	8.0	13.5	5.5	3.2	7.2	21.2	67.8	55.5	74.9
Beatty CDP	311	231	60	30.8	8.0	13.6	5.6	3.2	7.2	21.2	67.8	55.5	74.9
Crystal CCD	2 105	1 520	527	31.4	5.7	12.4	7.0	3.2	9.5	24.0	59.8	56.6	61.5
Pahrump CDP (part)	2 105	1 520	527	31.5	5.7	12.5	7.0	3.2	9.6	24.2	59.8	56.6	61.6
Duckwater CCD	45	31	14	29.8	12.6	7.3	4.0	0.7	16.8	12.5	72.2	61.5	77.4
Gabbs CCD	113	74	39	25.4	10.3	14.6	2.9	1.6	3.7	13.2	61.7	47.9	66.8
Gabbs city	80	41	39	26.2	12.1	17.7	2.0	1.3	3.1	5.8	63.7	53.7	67.2
Pahrump CCD	4 860	3 201	1 570	29.2	8.4	13.8	5.7	2.9	7.1	19.0	59.2	56.0	60.7
Pahrump CDP (part)	4 860	3 201	1 570	29.2	8.4	13.8	5.7	2.9	7.1	19.0	59.2	56.0	60.7
Ralston CCD	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Round Mountain CCD	341	284	45	18.9	4.7	5.6	3.5	1.6	4.8	13.8	69.2	72.5	68.2
Tonopah CCD	602	495	98	23.5	7.2	8.1	3.8	0.5	2.4	16.4	72.3	76.0	70.9
Tonopah CDP	591	484	98	23.9	7.5	8.4	3.9	0.6	2.5	16.7	72.5	75.4	71.3
Yucca Flat CCD	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Pershing County	986	645	249	20.4	4.7	10.8	4.0	2.3	7.4	11.9	69.8	52.6	74.5
Imlay CCD	324	232	63	19.3	4.2	10.5	3.6	2.3	6.1	13.8	63.2	37.4	70.7
Lovelock CCD	662	413	186	20.9	4.9	10.9	4.2	2.3	8.1	11.0	73.2	61.1	76.4
Lovelock city	407	261	121	22.8	5.5	11.7	4.4	2.9	7.4	12.6	70.3	60.0	73.5
Storey County	840	581	224	25.9	4.4	12.1	6.8	4.5	8.0	16.0	72.8	61.1	76.8
Clark CCD	350	208	127	41.4	7.4	25.2	8.3	5.6	13.4	23.1	69.8	65.5	72.6
Virginia City CCD	490	373	97	20.4	3.3	7.5	6.2	4.2	6.0	13.9	73.7	58.6	77.8
Washoe County	58 972	43 307	13 342	18.8	3.4	7.4	3.7	1.8	6.8	12.9	75.5	64.3	78.2
High Desert CCD	107	84	23	12.6	4.7	4.2	2.1	1.8	2.9	5.7	68.2	42.9	72.5
Gerlach-Empire CDP	81	60	21	16.4	5.5	6.9	3.6	3.0	3.6	8.8	65.3	36.2	72.3
Incline Village CCD	1 234	948	210	13.1	2.0	4.1	2.8	1.0	3.6	9.4	71.1	69.8	71.3
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP (part)	1 234	948	210	13.1	2.0	4.1	2.8	1.0	3.6	9.4	71.1	69.8	71.3
North Valleys CCD	5 854	4 632	915	19.1	3.0	8.1	4.2	1.6	6.7	12.8	77.9	64.8	81.4
Cold Springs CDP	612	484	87	17.4	2.8	8.6	3.5	1.5	4.3	11.1	78.8	68.1	81.4
Lemmon Valley-Golden Valley CDP	1 231	928	276	19.2	2.8	8.2	4.5	1.6	7.2	13.4	74.9	67.5	76.7
Reno city (part)	3 065	2 522	377	19.0	3.2	7.8	4.1	1.3	6.3	13.0	79.1	65.7	82.9
Pyramid Lake CCD	329	273	54	21.1	2.4	7.3	3.5	1.9	4.4	19.7	67.2	72.3	65.1
Nixon CDP	64	58	6	15.8	1.0	5.7	2.2	1.0	4.5	16.0	58.8	60.0	58.4
Sutcliffe CDP	48	41	7	19.0	2.8	14.2	5.1	0.8	4.9	8.6	65.6	48.8	71.4
Wadsworth CDP	202	165	37	26.3	3.5	6.6	3.5	2.6	4.2	27.5	71.5	81.5	66.2
Reno North CCD	13 031	9 453	3 213	19.7	3.6	8.1	4.1	2.0	7.8	12.9	73.7	59.2	77.5
Reno city (part)	12 381	8 883	3 138	19.6	3.7	8.2	4.2	2.0	7.7	12.6	73.6	58.7	77.4
Sparks city (part)	542	483	54	28.6	2.6	6.2	3.4	1.6	11.2	22.9	73.1	68.0	75.5
Reno Southeast CCD	10 978	8 402	2 243	21.9	3.4	8.1	3.8	1.9	8.1	15.7	72.9	62.7	75.9
Reno city (part)	10 371	8 065	1 997	23.0	3.4	8.2	4.0	2.0	8.5	16.9	72.5	62.9	75.5
Reno Southwest CCD	7 570	4 857	2 442	15.0	3.2	6.2	2.9	1.3	5.3	9.6	75.0	64.6	76.8
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP (part)	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Reno city (part)	6 525	4 213	2 111	16.1	3.6	6.6	3.0	1.4	5.5	10.6	75.1	64.8	76.9
Sparks CCD	10 719	7 770	2 530	20.9	3.7	8.4	4.1	2.2	7.8	14.9	77.8	67.7	80.7
Sparks city (part)	10 631	7 705	2 507	20.9	3.7	8.4	4.1	2.2	7.9	14.9	77.8	67.9	80.7
Sun Valley CCD	4 151	3 166	769	21.6	3.6	9.3	4.7	2.3	8.4	15.4	73.7	62.5	77.2
Reno city (part)	337	257	56	22.8	3.5	7.9	6.1	-	3.9	14.8	80.3	71.4	82.8
Sparks city (part)	34	34	-	57.6	37.3	37.3	16.9	16.9	20.0	20.0	76.0	64.7	100.0
Sun Valley CDP (part)	3 780	2 875	713	21.4	3.5	9.3	4.6	2.5	8.8	15.4	73.1	61.7	76.7
Verdi CCD	358	239	98	11.7	2.3	4.4	2.4	1.0	3.2	7.0	85.1	75.9	86.3
Reno city (part)	8	8	-	2.9	-	2.9	-	2.9	3.7	3.8	86.8	100.0	86.3
Verdi-Mogul CDP	350	231	98	12.6	2.5	4.6	2.7	0.8	3.2	7.4	84.9	75.0	86.3
Warm Springs-Truckee Canyon CCD	2 903	2 277	425	14.5	2.8	3.5	2.1	0.9	3.0	11.4	82.1	76.7	83.1
Spanish Springs CDP	1 076	860	145	13.4	2.4	2.9	2.1	0.8	2.8	10.6	83.3	77.0	84.4
Sparks city (part)	1 326	1 073	179	16.0	2.4	3.9	2.3	1.4	2.8	14.3	82.3	79.9	82.8
Sun Valley CDP (part)	24	24	-	21.2	6.2	6.2	-	-	-	12.3	79.0	29.2	100.0
Washoe Valley CCD	1 738	1 206	420	16.0	4.1	5.5	3.7	1.2	4.9	9.2	76.4	65.5	78.5

Table 5. **Disability Status: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Civilian noninstitutionalized population with a disability			Percent of civilian noninstitutionalized population with specified disability								Percent employed of civilian noninstitutionalized population 21 to 64 years		
	5 years and over	16 to 64 years	65 years and over	5 years and over					16 years and over, going outside the home	16 to 64 years, employment disability	Total	Disability status		
				Any disability	Sensory	Physical	Mental	Self-care				With a disability	No disability	
White Pine County .....	1 697	1 046	600	22.9	6.3	9.9	5.1	2.1	9.2	12.2	68.1	49.3	73.5	
Baker CCD .....	121	74	47	42.5	10.2	4.9	4.9	-	30.4	26.0	55.5	69.7	48.0	
Cherry Creek CCD .....	12	12	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	100.0	100.0	(X)	
Ely CCD .....	992	603	343	20.2	6.5	9.4	5.1	2.3	7.0	9.7	71.3	44.9	77.5	
Ely city .....	714	407	288	19.4	7.7	9.9	4.7	2.2	6.3	7.9	70.9	40.2	77.6	
Lund CCD .....	112	68	44	26.6	3.3	16.6	5.0	5.5	8.8	20.4	89.7	71.0	100.0	
McGill CCD .....	330	205	120	24.8	5.3	9.2	5.9	0.5	9.5	13.9	61.3	48.6	65.1	
McGill CDP .....	259	160	94	25.8	5.8	11.0	7.8	0.6	5.8	15.5	62.9	53.7	65.6	
Ruth CCD .....	130	84	46	30.0	6.7	15.5	2.8	3.5	16.8	14.8	46.2	40.5	49.1	
Carson City .....	9 564	6 029	3 132	20.8	4.9	9.5	5.0	2.6	7.5	11.8	75.4	61.4	79.0	



Table 6. **Disability Status: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place	Civilian noninstitutionalized population with a disability			Percent of civilian noninstitutionalized population with specified disability							Percent employed of civilian noninstitutionalized population 21 to 64 years		
	5 years and over	16 to 64 years	65 years and over	5 years and over					16 years and over, going outside the home	16 to 64 years, employment disability	Total	Disability status	
				Any disability	Sensory	Physical	Mental	Self-care				With a disability	No disability
<b>PLACE</b> —Con.													
Wells city, Elko County .....	204	132	59	16.1	3.5	6.5	3.2	1.6	8.1	8.6	76.6	53.7	80.9
West Wendover city, Elko County .....	629	529	74	15.2	3.1	5.4	2.4	1.6	4.5	11.8	84.4	91.9	82.5
Whitney CDP, Clark County .....	4 135	3 147	857	25.4	3.1	10.5	3.9	3.0	7.9	19.0	72.2	64.6	75.2
Winchester CDP, Clark County .....	7 121	4 683	2 303	28.2	5.2	11.3	4.7	2.8	10.8	19.7	70.5	62.0	73.8
Winnemucca city, Humboldt County .....	908	666	208	13.8	3.6	4.9	2.9	1.4	5.5	7.0	72.3	55.2	75.3
Yerington city, Lyon County .....	720	353	353	28.3	10.3	16.1	8.0	3.2	12.7	12.5	68.3	50.3	74.8
Zephyr Cove-Round Hill Village CDP, Douglas County .....	297	237	60	17.9	3.1	4.6	1.5	-	1.1	15.7	71.0	88.6	66.5





Table 7. **Employment Status and Journey to Work: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Population 16 years and over													Own children under 6 years, all parents in family in labor force	
	Total	Female	Labor force						Females with own children under 6 years						
			Percent in labor force		Civilian labor force		Workers		Number	Percent in labor force					
			Total	Female	Number	Percent un- employed	Number	Means of transportation to work							
								Percent using car, truck, or van			Percent in carpools	Percent using public trans- portation			
Total	Female	Total	Female	Number	Percent un- employed	Number	Percent using car, truck, or van	Percent in carpools	Percent using public trans- portation	Number	Percent in labor force				
Humboldt County—Con.															
McDermitt CCD	976	442	54.5	41.6	532	12.2	448	84.6	22.1	-	47	42.6	37		
McDermitt CDP	199	108	45.2	43.5	90	45.6	49	95.9	34.7	-	8	100.0	25		
Summit Lake CCD	68	36	64.7	61.1	44	-	44	77.3	45.5	-	-	(X)	-		
Winnemucca CCD	3 503	1 601	67.4	62.9	2 362	8.1	2 120	86.5	23.4	8.2	266	55.6	199		
Winnemucca city (part)	1 113	561	68.5	63.8	762	7.0	676	89.6	18.5	4.7	103	52.4	45		
Lander County	4 129	2 030	66.4	52.1	2 741	7.8	2 476	91.1	25.0	0.2	387	46.3	246		
Austin CCD	396	210	60.1	41.9	238	2.5	225	66.2	2.7	-	18	72.2	11		
Battle Mountain CCD	3 733	1 820	67.1	53.3	2 503	8.3	2 251	93.6	27.2	0.3	369	45.0	235		
Battle Mountain CDP	2 117	1 014	69.6	55.6	1 473	10.2	1 302	93.4	24.5	0.4	195	52.8	131		
Lincoln County	3 139	1 528	49.0	47.4	1 538	5.2	1 453	80.5	14.2	1.0	226	55.8	159		
Alamo CCD	790	405	58.9	62.7	465	2.8	452	77.4	22.1	1.5	62	50.0	40		
Caliente CCD	848	439	46.8	40.1	397	9.1	356	81.7	5.3	0.3	66	68.2	58		
Caliente city (part)	807	427	46.0	39.8	371	9.7	330	80.9	5.2	0.3	66	68.2	58		
Pioche CCD	1 501	684	45.0	43.1	676	4.6	645	82.0	13.6	0.9	98	51.0	61		
Caliente city (part)	-	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-		
Lyon County	26 423	13 174	62.7	57.5	16 536	6.9	15 141	93.0	17.3	0.1	1 870	70.3	1 686		
Dayton CCD	7 342	3 674	67.1	63.1	4 923	5.6	4 559	96.8	14.4	-	510	72.4	492		
Dayton CDP	4 545	2 244	66.5	61.5	3 022	4.1	2 859	97.6	13.3	-	350	72.9	349		
Fernley CCD	6 353	3 234	72.3	67.6	4 575	7.6	4 158	94.5	19.8	-	611	73.8	531		
Fernley CDP	6 322	3 227	72.1	67.6	4 544	7.4	4 139	94.5	19.9	-	611	73.8	531		
Silver Springs CCD	5 194	2 592	61.8	53.9	3 212	8.5	2 910	91.5	17.6	-	309	71.8	273		
Silver Springs CDP	3 697	1 812	58.5	51.0	2 163	7.0	1 992	92.6	16.3	-	205	79.0	218		
Smith Valley CCD	1 271	560	65.8	58.2	836	2.2	807	81.0	20.1	1.0	74	50.0	50		
Smith Valley CDP	1 200	535	68.3	60.9	820	2.2	791	80.7	19.8	1.0	64	57.8	50		
Yerington CCD	6 263	3 114	47.9	43.2	2 990	7.5	2 707	89.5	17.3	-	366	64.2	340		
Yerington city	2 208	1 195	46.8	42.6	1 024	9.1	905	80.9	14.0	-	123	60.2	100		
Mineral County	4 024	1 987	58.9	56.2	2 367	12.9	2 029	86.5	14.1	0.3	199	83.4	231		
Hawthorne CCD	3 216	1 592	59.0	56.4	1 892	11.6	1 652	86.3	13.7	0.4	161	84.5	177		
Hawthorne CDP	2 692	1 358	57.1	53.6	1 532	11.1	1 342	86.1	13.5	0.4	137	81.8	152		
Schurz CDP (part)	-	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-		
Mina CCD	220	97	57.3	53.6	126	7.1	117	80.3	18.8	-	-	(X)	8		
Walker River CCD	588	298	59.4	56.0	349	22.1	260	91.2	15.0	-	38	78.9	46		
Schurz CDP (part)	496	271	58.7	57.9	291	15.8	233	93.6	15.0	-	33	75.8	38		
Nye County	25 663	12 541	51.7	46.0	13 263	7.1	12 080	88.4	18.0	1.8	1 385	53.9	1 128		
Amargosa Valley CCD	862	401	53.8	54.9	464	3.2	449	77.7	17.1	-	35	37.1	38		
Beatty CCD	810	380	61.4	62.9	497	5.6	460	80.4	24.3	-	61	83.6	55		
Beatty CDP	805	375	61.1	62.4	492	5.7	455	80.2	24.6	-	61	83.6	55		
Crystal CCD	5 599	2 770	50.6	49.7	2 831	6.5	2 589	93.4	18.5	2.4	270	50.4	192		
Pahrump CDP (part)	5 571	2 756	50.6	49.9	2 817	6.6	2 575	93.4	18.6	2.4	270	50.4	192		
Duckwater CCD	113	57	63.7	56.1	72	9.7	63	88.9	12.7	-	4	-	-		
Gabbs CCD	377	202	55.2	50.5	208	13.5	172	86.0	29.1	1.2	16	50.0	21		
Gabbs city	254	132	52.0	43.9	132	14.4	112	80.4	27.7	-	12	41.7	9		
Pahrump CCD	14 232	7 048	48.3	41.9	6 878	7.5	6 231	89.1	17.8	1.1	725	57.5	642		
Pahrump CDP (part)	14 232	7 048	48.3	41.9	6 878	7.5	6 231	89.1	17.8	1.1	725	57.5	642		
Ralston CCD	-	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-		
Round Mountain CCD	1 386	632	64.8	44.3	898	5.6	819	93.9	17.6	-	118	44.9	86		
Tonopah CCD	2 284	1 051	62.0	54.6	1 415	7.9	1 297	78.4	15.0	6.8	156	43.6	94		
Tonopah CDP	2 066	1 000	65.4	55.4	1 351	7.5	1 243	79.6	15.0	7.1	156	43.6	94		
Yucca Flat CCD	-	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-		
Pershing County	5 178	1 876	47.9	55.0	2 455	7.6	2 242	88.3	18.4	0.6	321	55.8	291		
Imlay CCD	1 269	636	61.5	46.4	781	8.7	706	94.9	21.5	1.8	106	50.0	75		
Lovelock CCD	3 909	1 240	43.4	59.4	1 674	7.1	1 536	85.3	17.0	-	215	58.6	216		
Lovelock city	1 470	723	62.4	56.3	917	7.7	831	83.5	18.2	-	125	65.6	131		
Storey County	2 816	1 395	66.8	62.6	1 880	5.2	1 745	89.6	11.7	0.7	99	74.7	121		
Clark CCD	763	366	54.3	47.8	414	5.6	384	95.6	9.4	-	19	100.0	20		
Virginia City CCD	2 053	1 029	71.4	67.8	1 466	5.1	1 361	87.9	12.4	0.9	80	68.8	101		
Washoe County	263 862	130 908	68.6	63.1	180 679	5.0	168 922	89.1	13.8	3.2	18 753	64.2	16 105		
High Desert CCD	767	314	56.2	33.1	431	3.0	409	59.2	11.2	10.3	16	68.8	29		
Gerlach-Empire CDP	394	185	57.1	42.7	225	3.1	209	74.2	1.9	-	16	68.8	29		
Incline Village CCD	8 128	3 877	65.5	58.2	5 280	4.1	4 955	79.9	9.1	0.2	530	57.7	338		
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP (part)	8 128	3 877	65.5	58.2	5 280	4.1	4 955	79.9	9.1	0.2	530	57.7	338		
North Valleys CCD	25 514	12 209	71.8	69.1	18 284	4.5	17 155	93.7	16.5	1.9	2 224	68.2	1 880		
Cold Springs CDP	2 771	1 324	75.5	73.6	2 093	4.4	1 958	97.1	22.0	0.4	245	76.7	255		
Lemmon Valley-Golden Valley CDP	5 313	2 512	72.5	66.6	3 847	6.0	3 542	95.2	12.3	1.8	331	58.3	210		
Reno city (part)	13 578	6 425	70.8	69.0	9 581	4.2	9 086	91.9	17.9	2.6	1 407	69.3	1 185		
Pyramid Lake CCD	1 214	641	62.3	59.0	756	10.4	675	95.4	16.9	-	107	66.4	117		
Nixon CDP	308	176	56.5	60.8	174	12.6	152	95.4	11.8	-	22	90.9	45		
Sutcliffe CDP	205	109	66.3	67.9	136	12.5	119	88.2	14.3	-	14	71.4	8		
Wadsworth CDP	599	298	62.1	53.0	372	7.5	342	99.1	22.8	-	64	53.1	53		
Reno North CCD	56 631	27 472	67.1	62.5	37 950	6.1	34 902	85.5	14.2	3.9	3 525	63.4	2 939		
Reno city (part)	54 079	26 200	66.9	62.3	36 104	6.2	33 153	85.2	13.9	3.9	3 280	63.6	2 694		
Sparks city (part)	1 603	766	72.7	67.9	1 165	5.8	1 081	91.4	22.7	7.2	163	52.1	141		
Reno Southeast CCD	42 590	20 672	68.6	62.4	29 174	5.7	27 147	87.2	16.8	6.0	3 350	58.6	2 670		
Reno city (part)	38 381	18 599	68.6	62.6	26 270	5.9	24 410	86.3	17.9	6.6	3 212	57.3	2 512		
Reno Southwest CCD	43 617	22 436	64.4	57.3	28 068	4.7	26 318	88.8	8.6	2.5	2 161	59.5	1 844		
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP (part)	-	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-		
Reno city (part)	35 368	18 293	64.1	57.1	22 648	5.1	21 105	88.3	9.1	3.1	1 619	61.6	1 467		
Sparks CCD	42 700	21 744	69.2	64.2	29 527	4.3	27 844	89.9	15.0	3.4	3 307	63.7	2 666		
Sparks city (part)	42 258	21 546	69.2	64.2	29 226	4.3	27 543	89.9	15.0	3.5	3 280	63.9	2 650		

Table 7. **Employment Status and Journey to Work: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Population 16 years and over												Own children under 6 years, all parents in family in labor force
	Labor force										Females with own children under 6 years		
	Percent in labor force		Civilian labor force		Workers						Number	Percent in labor force	
	Total	Female	Total	Female	Number	Percent un- employed	Number	Means of transportation to work					
								Percent using car, truck, or van	Percent in carpools	Percent using public trans- portation			
Total	Female	Total	Female	Number	Percent un- employed	Number	Percent using car, truck, or van	Percent in carpools	Percent using public trans- portation	Number	Percent in labor force		
Washoe County—Con.													
Sun Valley CCD .....	15 232	7 718	70.2	64.6	10 668	6.3	9 893	93.3	18.9	3.8	1 344	70.5	1 398
Reno city (part) .....	1 274	682	76.1	65.0	970	3.6	924	86.5	20.0	10.6	85	75.3	109
Sparks city (part) .....	50	20	76.0	40.0	38	-	38	73.7	-	26.3	-	(X)	-
Sun Valley CDP (part) .....	13 908	7 016	69.6	64.6	9 660	6.6	8 931	94.1	18.9	3.0	1 259	70.1	1 289
Verdi CCD .....	2 494	1 254	75.2	71.0	1 876	1.7	1 821	95.6	10.5	-	88	100.0	124
Reno city (part) .....	218	122	81.7	77.9	178	-	173	96.0	11.6	-	8	100.0	20
Verdi-Mogul CDP .....	2 276	1 132	74.6	70.2	1 698	1.8	1 648	95.5	10.4	-	80	100.0	104
Warm Springs-Truckee Canyon CCD .....	15 881	7 942	76.6	70.8	12 149	2.6	11 685	94.5	9.7	0.2	1 543	73.5	1 597
Spanish Springs CDP .....	6 301	3 060	78.3	73.5	4 933	2.6	4 757	95.6	10.2	0.3	574	77.4	666
Sparks city (part) .....	6 650	3 443	76.5	69.5	5 079	2.6	4 871	94.1	7.6	0.2	645	68.5	608
Sun Valley CDP (part) .....	81	41	79.0	58.5	64	-	64	85.9	7.8	-	8	100.0	11
Washoe Valley CCD .....	9 094	4 629	71.7	64.8	6 516	3.9	6 118	92.7	11.0	0.1	558	66.8	503
White Pine County .....	7 238	3 072	49.6	53.5	3 593	7.6	3 286	87.1	15.7	1.6	346	66.8	400
Baker CCD .....	270	148	48.1	37.2	130	5.4	123	45.5	8.1	-	18	100.0	11
Cherry Creek CCD .....	12	-	100.0	(X)	12	-	12	100.0	-	-	-	(X)	-
Ely CCD .....	4 151	2 021	59.9	59.0	2 487	7.5	2 279	86.8	14.6	1.2	238	71.4	296
Ely city .....	3 039	1 544	60.3	57.1	1 831	7.2	1 678	86.2	14.5	1.6	147	79.6	234
Lund CCD .....	317	145	63.7	62.1	202	-	202	93.6	22.8	-	14	50.0	8
McGill CCD .....	2 155	587	28.3	44.6	609	10.0	535	94.2	19.3	4.9	64	56.3	36
McGill CDP .....	883	452	53.8	45.8	475	9.7	416	92.5	14.9	6.3	64	56.3	36
Ruth CCD .....	333	171	45.9	24.6	153	11.8	135	90.4	19.3	-	12	-	49
Carson City .....	41 517	19 994	59.8	57.4	24 795	4.6	23 282	91.6	13.9	0.6	2 897	66.2	2 548



Table 8. **Employment Status and Journey to Work: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place	Population 16 years and over												Own children under 6 years, all parents in family in labor force
	Total	Female	Labor force							Females with own children under 6 years			
			Percent in labor force		Civilian labor force		Workers			Number	Percent in labor force		
			Total	Female	Number	Percent un- employed	Number	Means of transportation to work					
								Percent using car, truck, or van	Percent in carpools			Percent using public trans- portation	
Total	Female	Total	Female	Number	Percent un- employed	Number	Percent using car, truck, or van	Percent in carpools	Percent using public trans- portation	Number	Percent in labor force		
<b>PLACE</b> —Con.													
Sunrise Manor CDP, Clark County .....	113 251	57 599	64.0	57.3	70 954	7.3	65 768	91.7	17.9	4.5	10 527	58.1	8 600
Sun Valley CDP, Washoe County .....	13 989	7 057	69.7	64.6	9 724	6.6	8 995	94.0	18.8	3.0	1 267	70.3	1 300
Sutcliffe CDP, Washoe County .....	205	109	66.3	67.9	136	12.5	119	88.2	14.3	-	14	71.4	8
Tonopah CDP, Nye County .....	2 066	1 000	65.4	55.4	1 351	7.5	1 243	79.6	15.0	7.1	156	43.6	94
Verdi-Mogul CDP, Washoe County .....	2 276	1 132	74.6	70.2	1 698	1.8	1 648	95.5	10.4	-	80	100.0	104
Wadsworth CDP, Washoe County .....	599	298	62.1	53.0	372	7.5	342	99.1	22.8	-	64	53.1	53
Wells city, Elko County .....	1 025	488	69.3	67.2	710	5.9	651	89.7	19.0	-	81	76.5	106
West Wendover city, Elko County .....	3 123	1 513	81.0	71.6	2 529	4.2	2 398	85.3	12.5	1.5	483	76.2	509
Whitney CDP, Clark County .....	13 741	6 870	67.4	62.2	9 259	7.2	8 309	89.3	12.7	6.6	987	78.5	1 012
Winchester CDP, Clark County .....	22 243	10 904	60.3	53.6	13 411	6.2	12 342	81.9	15.6	8.5	999	62.8	1 008
Winnemucca city, Humboldt County .....	5 318	2 593	67.0	63.1	3 562	8.0	3 237	85.5	23.2	9.1	483	58.2	376
Yerington city, Lyon County .....	2 208	1 195	46.8	42.6	1 024	9.1	905	80.9	14.0	-	123	60.2	100
Zephyr Cove-Round Hill Village CDP, Douglas County .....	1 492	685	64.9	54.0	969	7.4	848	87.6	16.7	-	42	81.0	46

**Table 9. Work Status and Income in 1999: 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Population 16 years and over, worked in 1999						Median income in 1999 (dollars)			Per capita income in 1999 (dollars)
	Total		Worked 40 or more weeks				Households	Families	Nonfamily households	
	Male	Female	Total		Full-time, year-round					
			Male	Female	Male	Female				
<b>The State</b> .....	<b>609 552</b>	<b>497 353</b>	<b>502 016</b>	<b>379 069</b>	<b>387 635</b>	<b>264 744</b>	<b>44 581</b>	<b>50 849</b>	<b>30 088</b>	<b>21 989</b>
Churchill County .....	6 893	5 656	5 835	3 895	4 788	2 670	40 808	46 624	24 684	19 264
Carson Sink CCD .....	10	6	10	6	10	6	24 583	24 583	-	13 167
Dixie Valley CCD .....	50	48	44	37	36	16	37 083	60 208	12 708	26 357
Fallon CCD .....	6 833	5 602	5 781	3 852	4 742	2 648	40 836	46 543	24 986	19 235
Fallon city .....	2 111	1 866	1 724	1 306	1 376	866	35 935	41 433	24 490	16 919
Fallon Station CDP .....	384	292	356	188	327	126	34 236	31 688	75 487	11 719
Clark County .....	418 032	340 470	344 966	261 536	264 489	185 516	44 616	50 485	30 541	21 785
Clark CCD .....	15 908	10 612	12 330	7 765	9 869	5 464	44 403	49 864	32 226	22 310
Blue Diamond CDP .....	113	113	98	85	77	62	54 091	54 432	49 712	30 479
Boulder City city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bunkerville CDP .....	275	201	192	162	169	132	45 076	46 098	16 375	16 820
Cal-Nev-Ari CDP .....	62	81	40	70	29	59	42 563	44 333	20 227	20 870
Enterprise CDP (part) .....	251	179	224	162	224	113	54 022	80 792	31 458	33 137
Goodsprings CDP .....	77	94	77	69	77	44	40 430	58 125	35 511	22 282
Henderson city (part) .....	935	691	788	533	682	440	91 986	93 435	58 750	49 094
Indian Springs CDP .....	336	260	293	163	243	100	40 966	40 608	47 857	14 687
Las Vegas city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Laughlin CDP .....	2 068	1 956	1 731	1 509	1 401	1 179	36 885	40 104	31 146	21 097
Mesquite city .....	2 643	1 971	2 084	1 375	1 678	872	40 392	42 941	29 313	20 191
Moapa Town CDP .....	257	217	200	141	170	69	48 365	49 327	27 778	17 587
Moapa Valley CDP .....	1 385	963	1 135	597	939	336	39 942	47 575	20 885	16 696
Mount Charleston CDP .....	76	88	66	75	66	53	63 125	67 625	62 969	38 821
North Las Vegas city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sandy Valley CDP .....	574	480	459	344	362	285	43 663	46 389	15 769	17 439
Searchlight CDP .....	258	160	231	122	135	75	24 407	29 323	20 313	19 606
Spring Valley CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Summerlin South CDP (part) .....	110	92	88	57	75	26	96 473	98 780	16 250	65 544
Sunrise Manor CDP (part) .....	2 438	1 996	2 069	1 525	1 766	1 111	48 595	52 391	37 471	23 559
Las Vegas CCD .....	402 124	329 858	332 636	253 771	254 620	180 052	44 623	50 509	30 479	21 766
Boulder City city (part) .....	3 872	3 473	3 188	2 509	2 654	1 779	50 523	60 641	28 171	29 770
Enterprise CDP (part) .....	4 571	3 644	3 855	3 046	3 209	2 258	50 380	54 250	32 879	24 653
Henderson city (part) .....	53 546	47 090	45 511	36 143	36 491	25 615	55 574	60 809	38 105	26 479
Las Vegas city (part) .....	141 295	114 346	114 720	87 689	86 531	62 397	44 069	50 465	29 650	22 060
Nellis AFB CDP .....	3 077	1 957	2 909	1 409	2 350	1 038	33 118	34 307	26 500	13 601
North Las Vegas city (part) .....	32 093	25 091	26 225	19 167	19 361	13 661	46 057	46 540	32 180	16 023
Paradise CDP .....	63 087	48 006	52 224	36 887	39 348	26 119	39 376	46 578	27 310	21 258
Spring Valley CDP (part) .....	38 138	33 964	32 639	27 178	25 868	19 798	48 563	55 021	35 713	26 321
Summerlin South CDP (part) .....	993	1 080	848	826	726	534	61 542	61 867	53 309	28 635
Sunrise Manor CDP (part) .....	40 624	34 950	33 570	26 530	25 449	18 363	40 739	43 795	26 758	16 287
Whitney CDP .....	5 493	4 495	4 567	3 520	3 448	2 532	36 536	41 504	27 909	16 969
Winchester CDP .....	8 275	6 504	6 709	4 942	4 873	3 401	32 251	39 451	24 474	20 615
Douglas County .....	12 141	10 356	9 749	7 785	7 833	5 017	51 849	57 092	32 310	27 288
Gardnerville-Minden CCD .....	6 980	6 310	5 574	4 701	4 596	3 024	51 471	55 699	31 672	23 577
Gardnerville CDP .....	837	832	702	679	563	427	41 204	46 154	30 192	20 670
Gardnerville Ranchos CDP .....	3 132	3 001	2 538	2 167	2 183	1 399	48 795	51 546	32 321	20 856
Indian Hills CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Johnson Lane CDP .....	1 379	1 079	1 060	798	883	496	59 130	60 918	35 188	24 247
Minden CDP .....	885	683	675	568	518	378	56 795	63 375	33 889	30 405
Genoa-Jacks Valley CCD .....	1 974	1 741	1 643	1 285	1 367	822	58 827	64 448	42 794	29 363
Indian Hills CDP (part) .....	1 202	1 189	1 033	904	910	563	56 109	58 162	45 046	23 027
Pine Nut CCD .....	212	204	189	181	158	119	66 250	67 150	35 250	30 973
Topaz Lake CCD .....	515	467	333	352	279	197	32 528	36 700	23 750	21 055
Zephyr Cove CCD .....	2 460	1 634	2 010	1 266	1 433	855	52 588	65 000	35 625	40 553
Kingsbury CDP .....	1 013	700	815	577	610	399	59 511	73 333	41 875	41 451
Stateline CDP .....	411	322	333	245	231	148	26 641	32 167	22 344	16 084
Zephyr Cove-Round Hill Village CDP .....	625	406	533	324	372	217	60 851	64 044	40 875	37 218
Elko County .....	14 323	10 802	11 736	7 611	9 868	5 195	48 383	52 206	31 132	18 482
Carlin CCD .....	815	454	689	297	559	175	49 500	51 674	30 208	19 558
Carlin city .....	719	450	593	293	494	175	49 571	51 716	30 208	19 377
Elko CCD .....	10 255	7 921	8 475	5 494	7 260	3 684	51 978	56 238	33 059	19 971
Elko city .....	5 305	4 018	4 426	2 822	3 716	1 859	48 608	52 754	32 278	20 101
Spring Creek CDP .....	3 473	2 687	2 853	1 780	2 515	1 169	60 109	61 650	42 109	20 606
Jackpot CCD .....	481	372	422	298	343	241	30 488	31 053	29 091	13 261
Jarbridge CCD .....	38	17	6	11	6	-	40 962	29 063	41 250	15 620
Montello CCD .....	159	111	129	88	85	56	47 550	52 237	35 795	19 506
Mountain City CCD .....	459	281	260	164	228	106	26 875	33 553	11 500	11 450
Owyhee CDP .....	300	192	107	105	75	74	23 214	28 846	10 000	9 869
Wells CCD .....	751	488	584	325	432	240	36 667	40 096	27 981	17 014
Wells city .....	440	349	317	245	256	187	35 870	41 827	22 404	16 835
West Wendover CCD .....	1 365	1 171	1 171	934	955	693	34 289	34 505	29 688	12 063
West Wendover city .....	1 336	1 129	1 142	905	926	678	34 116	34 297	29 688	12 013
Esmeralda County .....	318	192	249	121	205	85	33 203	40 917	22 273	18 971
Goldfield CCD .....	139	120	115	72	91	54	32 969	41 964	20 500	20 320
Silverpeak CCD .....	179	72	134	49	114	31	33 438	40 000	25 000	17 811
Eureka County .....	505	357	404	245	324	148	41 417	49 438	17 045	18 629
Beowawe CCD .....	156	76	125	50	105	29	37 386	46 875	19 167	19 907
Eureka CCD .....	349	281	279	195	219	119	43 594	50 536	16 167	18 029
Humboldt County .....	4 997	3 557	3 943	2 413	3 044	1 482	47 147	52 156	28 889	19 539
Golconda CCD .....	3 120	2 247	2 488	1 588	1 865	979	49 088	53 410	30 536	20 213
Winnemucca city (part) .....	1 813	1 444	1 423	983	1 081	619	47 188	53 723	31 964	21 958
McDermitt CCD .....	368	229	261	124	198	76	29 167	36 500	22 500	13 050
McDermitt CDP .....	38	55	13	32	13	17	16 563	17 396	10 000	6 399
Summit Lake CCD .....	32	22	20	-	20	-	26 000	13 971	38 333	18 498
Winnemucca CCD .....	1 477	1 059	1 174	701	961	427	46 794	54 531	28 000	19 934
Winnemucca city (part) .....	414	340	310	237	265	144	45 119	53 438	21 406	19 496

Table 9. Work Status and Income in 1999: 2000—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Population 16 years and over, worked in 1999						Median income in 1999 (dollars)			Per capita income in 1999 (dollars)
	Total		Worked 40 or more weeks				Households	Families	Nonfamily households	
	Male	Female	Total		Full-time, year-round					
			Male	Female	Male	Female				
Lander County	1 790	1 193	1 417	790	1 182	468	46 067	51 538	25 771	16 998
Austin CCD	143	121	126	72	113	36	33 897	35 625	27 500	14 519
Battle Mountain CCD	1 647	1 072	1 291	718	1 069	432	48 057	52 594	25 771	17 215
Battle Mountain CDP	935	606	724	403	563	244	42 981	50 995	26 354	16 975
Lincoln County	1 028	889	785	528	630	320	31 979	45 588	13 235	17 326
Alamo CCD	250	261	212	178	172	97	43 382	48 472	24 821	16 947
Caliente CCD	250	260	178	157	130	89	26 458	39 000	17 404	20 928
Caliente city (part)	226	254	160	151	114	85	25 833	38 667	16 154	20 555
Pioche CCD	528	368	395	193	328	134	31 150	48 021	9 669	15 318
Caliente city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lyon County	9 678	8 233	7 975	6 090	5 945	4 116	40 699	44 887	23 946	18 543
Dayton CCD	2 735	2 417	2 397	1 939	1 773	1 372	44 558	47 832	29 957	19 296
Dayton CDP	1 685	1 426	1 491	1 119	1 140	745	43 599	46 859	30 654	18 417
Fernley CCD	2 508	2 350	2 141	1 750	1 580	1 118	44 657	49 375	26 719	18 633
Fernley CDP	2 484	2 343	2 129	1 743	1 580	1 111	44 695	49 596	26 354	18 622
Silver Springs CCD	1 948	1 550	1 526	1 089	1 147	793	34 381	39 713	19 215	16 758
Silver Springs CDP	1 344	1 053	1 080	680	790	482	34 427	42 125	17 944	16 576
Smith Valley CCD	594	359	439	261	329	136	46 326	47 206	22 750	21 865
Smith Valley CDP	566	353	416	255	324	130	46 121	46 625	25 938	21 940
Yerington CCD	1 893	1 557	1 472	1 051	1 116	697	33 355	37 083	21 496	18 333
Yerington city	631	546	514	349	369	243	31 151	39 038	17 917	18 640
Mineral County	1 368	1 171	1 040	866	822	558	32 891	39 477	18 125	16 952
Hawthorne CCD	1 120	964	881	716	687	464	34 965	41 514	18 608	18 436
Hawthorne CDP	908	795	726	614	561	379	34 413	41 733	18 092	17 830
Schurz CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mina CCD	82	42	57	28	53	28	22 404	25 750	13 750	16 555
Walker River CCD	166	165	102	122	82	66	25 000	27 679	14 375	10 265
Schurz CDP (part)	128	155	87	116	69	60	24 265	26 964	13 750	10 886
Nye County	8 253	6 439	6 669	4 459	5 598	2 952	36 024	41 642	21 590	17 962
Amargosa Valley CCD	296	228	227	169	204	93	34 432	39 375	24 554	19 789
Beatty CCD	262	243	201	194	185	126	41 076	52 639	14 107	17 040
Beatty CDP	262	238	201	189	185	126	41 250	52 639	13 214	16 971
Crystal CCD	1 637	1 475	1 363	1 060	1 212	803	33 461	37 730	19 750	17 646
Pahrump CDP (part)	1 623	1 475	1 349	1 060	1 198	803	33 276	37 373	19 750	17 514
Duckwater CCD	41	35	32	24	26	14	28 750	28 438	21 875	13 963
Gabbs CCD	107	109	77	75	69	40	28 250	33 056	14 750	14 701
Gabbs city	81	68	59	39	53	13	28 500	32 917	12 750	15 322
Pahrump CCD	4 276	3 329	3 419	2 237	2 739	1 371	35 313	40 493	21 701	17 786
Pahrump CDP (part)	4 276	3 329	3 419	2 237	2 739	1 371	35 313	40 493	21 701	17 786
Ralston CCD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Round Mountain CCD	679	371	562	224	494	187	50 858	52 295	39 712	20 937
Tonopah CCD	955	649	788	476	669	318	38 029	47 400	16 008	18 182
Tonopah CDP	858	629	714	456	625	298	37 401	47 917	16 008	18 256
Yucca Flat CCD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pershing County	2 358	1 136	1 994	742	1 521	507	40 670	46 268	22 171	16 589
Imlay CCD	511	344	409	185	353	125	38 958	42 708	18 162	17 119
Lovelock CCD	1 847	792	1 585	557	1 168	382	41 168	47 398	25 170	16 390
Lovelock city	566	443	440	310	360	199	34 563	40 885	24 583	17 233
Storey County	1 135	954	936	725	747	488	45 490	57 095	29 643	23 642
Clark CCD	259	207	210	158	192	123	45 588	54 479	29 350	23 284
Virginia City CCD	876	747	726	567	555	365	45 441	58 295	30 268	23 765
Washoe County	107 423	91 512	88 702	70 359	68 425	47 675	45 815	54 283	30 235	24 277
High Desert CCD	341	153	262	131	183	66	27 000	37 411	19 896	14 652
Gerlach-Empire CDP	158	84	128	71	114	50	35 089	43 125	10 500	14 793
Incline Village CCD	3 355	2 515	2 715	1 710	2 106	1 064	69 447	79 079	48 125	52 521
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP (part)	3 355	2 515	2 715	1 710	2 106	1 064	69 447	79 079	48 125	52 521
North Valleys CCD	11 067	9 310	9 086	7 287	7 208	5 288	50 574	52 915	37 059	19 867
Cold Springs CDP	1 166	1 018	1 015	875	771	603	54 511	55 930	37 857	20 561
Lemmon Valley-Golden Valley CDP	2 268	1 800	1 860	1 323	1 504	964	52 861	56 118	39 526	21 820
Reno city (part)	6 054	5 014	4 888	3 804	3 847	2 786	45 512	47 415	36 173	18 000
Pyramid Lake CCD	400	412	304	287	243	212	30 541	31 731	22 500	13 026
Nixon CDP	89	104	57	76	54	65	25 417	28 906	18 393	9 926
Sutcliffe CDP	67	80	51	58	38	37	31 250	31 875	17 917	13 629
Wadsworth CDP	214	195	172	125	137	97	31 198	32 109	25 625	14 756
Reno North CCD	23 116	19 344	18 309	14 642	13 196	9 338	37 413	48 289	24 775	19 319
Reno city (part)	21 958	18 368	17 315	13 845	12 419	8 872	37 000	47 956	24 433	19 298
Sparks city (part)	769	613	655	476	520	300	40 506	41 326	33 200	15 175
Reno Southeast CCD	17 980	14 441	15 078	11 117	11 407	7 711	37 003	42 781	28 513	19 137
Reno city (part)	16 256	13 054	13 628	10 053	10 197	6 905	35 134	40 529	27 749	17 761
Reno Southwest CCD	16 540	14 512	13 689	10 763	10 809	6 931	57 056	72 467	35 593	38 049
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reno city (part)	13 306	11 873	10 945	8 715	8 601	5 678	52 333	67 907	35 153	35 290
Sparks CCD	16 940	15 084	14 285	11 756	10 952	8 006	42 193	48 807	29 194	20 266
Sparks city (part)	16 722	14 964	14 104	11 676	10 814	7 967	42 116	48 523	29 179	20 104
Sun Valley CCD	6 097	5 460	4 917	4 248	3 863	3 141	40 635	42 280	28 621	15 188
Reno city (part)	532	514	427	392	311	305	31 500	32 131	28 281	15 478
Sparks city (part)	30	8	20	8	20	8	90 271	90 271	-	27 929
Sun Valley CDP (part)	5 535	4 938	4 470	3 848	3 532	2 828	41 200	42 806	28 652	15 125
Verdi CCD	1 025	908	878	747	650	541	70 516	78 586	25 673	37 166
Reno city (part)	96	95	73	79	54	70	88 316	69 861	102 264	26 803
Verdi-Mogul CDP	929	813	805	668	596	471	67 708	79 342	23 988	38 233
Warm Springs-Truckee Canyon CCD	6 850	6 152	6 117	5 131	5 388	3 630	69 205	71 492	45 047	26 578
Spanish Springs CDP	2 821	2 418	2 527	2 005	2 249	1 429	69 451	72 026	39 931	26 908
Sparks city (part)	2 781	2 567	2 506	2 143	2 179	1 461	76 552	79 851	51 480	28 741
Sun Valley CDP (part)	40	41	40	34	40	34	63 750	58 750	102 264	22 720
Washoe Valley CCD	3 712	3 221	3 062	2 540	2 420	1 747	68 591	75 203	34 435	34 245
White Pine County	3 184	1 877	2 219	1 282	1 781	833	36 688	44 136	22 995	18 309
Baker CCD	95	98	87	30	87	20	37 167	36 667	48 250	32 143
Cherry Creek CCD	12	-	-	-	-	-	16 250	-	16 250	16 000

Table 9. **Work Status and Income in 1999: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Population 16 years and over, worked in 1999						Median income in 1999 (dollars)			Per capita income in 1999 (dollars)
	Total		Worked 40 or more weeks				Households	Families	Nonfamily households	
	Male	Female	Total		Full-time, year-round					
			Male	Female	Male	Female				
White Pine County—Con.										
Ely CCD .....	1 676	1 314	1 196	951	948	637	38 026	45 707	25 625	18 117
Ely city .....	1 101	958	795	700	630	497	36 408	42 168	25 302	17 013
Lund CCD .....	140	85	99	53	72	32	42 692	43 558	21 250	15 720
McGill CCD .....	1 129	323	746	210	601	138	33 500	44 107	17 796	18 720
McGill CDP .....	273	227	209	170	160	111	32 039	42 679	18 750	15 643
Ruth CCD .....	132	57	91	38	73	6	29 821	36 375	8 250	12 572
Carson City .....	16 126	12 559	13 397	9 622	10 433	6 714	41 809	49 570	27 162	20 943



Table 10. **Work Status and Income in 1999: 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place	Population 16 years and over, worked in 1999						Median income in 1999 (dollars)			Per capita income in 1999 (dollars)
	Total		Worked 40 or more weeks				Households	Families	Nonfamily households	
	Male	Female	Total		Full-time, year-round					
			Male	Female	Male	Female				
<b>The State</b>	<b>609 552</b>	<b>497 353</b>	<b>502 016</b>	<b>379 069</b>	<b>387 635</b>	<b>264 744</b>	<b>44 581</b>	<b>50 849</b>	<b>30 088</b>	<b>21 989</b>
<b>COUNTY</b>										
Churchill County	6 893	5 656	5 835	3 895	4 788	2 670	40 808	46 624	24 684	19 264
Clark County	418 032	340 470	344 966	261 536	264 489	185 516	44 616	50 485	30 541	21 785
Douglas County	12 141	10 356	9 749	7 785	7 833	5 017	51 849	57 092	32 310	27 288
Elko County	14 323	10 802	11 736	7 611	9 868	5 195	48 383	52 206	31 132	18 482
Esmeralda County	318	192	249	121	205	85	33 203	40 917	22 273	18 971
Eureka County	505	357	404	245	324	148	41 417	49 438	17 045	18 629
Humboldt County	4 997	3 557	3 943	2 413	3 044	1 482	47 147	52 156	28 889	19 539
Lander County	1 790	1 193	1 417	790	1 182	468	46 067	51 538	25 771	16 998
Lincoln County	1 028	889	785	528	630	320	31 979	45 588	13 235	17 326
Lyon County	9 678	8 233	7 975	6 090	5 945	4 116	40 699	44 887	23 946	18 543
Mineral County	1 368	1 171	1 040	866	822	558	32 891	39 477	18 125	16 952
Nye County	8 253	6 439	6 669	4 459	5 598	2 952	36 024	41 642	21 590	17 962
Pershing County	2 358	1 136	1 994	742	1 521	507	40 670	46 268	22 171	16 589
Storey County	1 135	954	936	725	747	488	45 490	57 095	29 643	23 642
Washoe County	107 423	91 512	88 702	70 359	68 425	47 675	45 815	54 283	30 235	24 277
White Pine County	3 184	1 877	2 219	1 282	1 781	833	36 688	44 136	22 995	18 309
Carson City	16 126	12 559	13 397	9 622	10 433	6 714	41 809	49 570	27 162	20 943
<b>PLACE</b>										
Battle Mountain CDP, Lander County	935	606	724	403	563	244	42 981	50 995	26 354	16 975
Beatty CDP, Nye County	262	238	201	189	185	126	41 250	52 639	13 214	16 971
Blue Diamond CDP, Clark County	113	113	98	85	77	62	54 091	54 432	49 712	30 479
Boulder City city, Clark County	3 872	3 473	3 188	2 509	2 654	1 779	50 523	60 641	28 171	29 770
Bunkerville CDP, Clark County	275	201	192	162	169	132	45 076	46 098	16 375	16 820
Caliente city, Lincoln County	226	254	160	151	114	85	25 833	38 667	16 154	20 555
Cal-Nev-Ari CDP, Clark County	62	81	40	70	29	59	42 563	44 333	20 227	20 870
Carlin city, Elko County	719	450	593	293	494	175	49 571	51 716	30 208	19 377
Carson City	16 126	12 559	13 397	9 622	10 433	6 714	41 809	49 570	27 162	20 943
Cold Springs CDP, Washoe County	1 166	1 018	1 015	875	771	511	54 511	55 930	37 857	20 561
Dayton CDP, Lyon County	1 685	1 426	1 491	1 119	1 140	745	43 599	46 859	30 654	18 417
Elko city, Elko County	5 305	4 018	4 426	2 822	3 716	1 859	48 608	52 754	32 278	20 101
Ely city, White Pine County	1 101	958	795	700	630	497	36 408	42 168	25 302	17 013
Enterprise CDP, Clark County	4 822	3 823	4 079	3 208	3 433	2 371	50 667	54 841	32 627	25 063
Fallon city, Churchill County	2 111	1 866	1 724	1 306	1 376	866	35 935	41 433	24 490	16 919
Fallon Station CDP, Churchill County	384	292	356	188	327	126	34 236	31 688	75 487	11 719
Fernley CDP, Lyon County	2 484	2 343	2 129	1 743	1 580	1 111	44 695	49 596	26 354	18 622
Gabbs city, Nye County	81	68	59	39	53	13	28 500	32 917	12 750	15 322
Gardnerville CDP, Douglas County	837	832	702	679	563	427	41 204	46 154	30 192	20 670
Gardnerville Ranchos CDP, Douglas County	3 132	3 001	2 538	2 167	2 183	1 399	48 795	51 546	32 321	20 856
Gerlach-Empire CDP, Washoe County	158	84	128	71	114	50	35 089	43 125	10 500	14 793
Goodsprings CDP, Clark County	77	94	77	69	77	44	40 430	58 125	35 511	22 282
Hawthorne CDP, Mineral County	908	795	726	614	561	379	34 413	41 733	18 092	17 830
Henderson city, Clark County	54 481	47 781	46 299	36 676	37 173	26 055	55 949	61 176	38 233	26 815
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP, Washoe County	3 355	2 515	2 715	1 710	1 064	69 447	69 447	79 079	48 125	52 521
Indian Hills CDP, Douglas County	1 202	1 189	1 033	904	910	563	56 109	58 162	45 046	23 027
Indian Springs CDP, Clark County	336	260	293	163	243	100	40 966	40 608	47 857	14 687
Johnson Lane CDP, Douglas County	1 379	1 079	1 060	798	883	496	59 130	60 918	35 188	24 247
Kingsbury CDP, Douglas County	1 013	700	815	577	610	399	59 511	73 333	41 875	41 451
Las Vegas city, Clark County	141 295	114 346	114 720	87 689	86 531	62 397	44 069	50 465	29 650	22 060
Laughlin CDP, Clark County	2 068	1 956	1 731	1 509	1 401	1 179	36 885	40 104	31 146	21 097
Lemmon Valley-Golden Valley CDP, Washoe County	2 268	1 800	1 860	1 323	1 504	964	52 861	56 118	39 526	21 820
Lovelock city, Pershing County	566	443	440	310	360	199	34 563	40 885	24 583	17 233
McDermitt CDP, Humboldt County	38	55	13	32	13	17	16 563	17 396	10 000	6 399
McGill CDP, White Pine County	273	227	209	170	160	111	32 039	42 679	18 750	15 643
Mesquite city, Clark County	2 643	1 971	2 084	1 375	1 678	872	40 392	42 941	29 313	20 191
Minden CDP, Douglas County	885	683	675	568	518	378	56 795	64 375	33 889	30 405
Moapa Town CDP, Clark County	257	217	200	141	170	69	48 365	49 327	27 778	17 587
Moapa Valley CDP, Clark County	1 385	963	1 135	597	939	336	39 942	47 575	20 885	16 696
Mount Charleston CDP, Clark County	76	88	66	75	66	53	63 125	67 625	62 969	38 821
Nellis AFB CDP, Clark County	3 077	1 957	2 909	1 409	2 350	1 038	33 118	34 307	26 500	13 601
Nixon CDP, Washoe County	89	104	57	76	54	65	25 417	28 906	18 393	9 926
North Las Vegas city, Clark County	32 093	25 091	26 225	19 167	19 361	13 661	46 057	46 540	32 180	16 023
Owyhee CDP, Elko County	300	192	107	105	75	74	23 214	28 846	10 000	9 869
Pahrump CDP, Nye County	5 899	4 804	4 768	3 297	3 937	2 174	34 860	39 812	21 437	17 708
Paradise CDP, Clark County	63 087	48 006	52 224	36 887	39 348	26 119	39 376	46 578	27 310	21 258
Reno city, Washoe County	58 202	48 918	47 276	36 888	35 429	24 616	40 530	49 582	28 733	22 520
Sandy Valley CDP, Clark County	574	480	459	344	362	285	43 663	46 389	15 769	17 439
Schurz CDP, Mineral County	128	155	87	116	69	60	24 265	26 964	13 750	10 886
Searchlight CDP, Clark County	258	160	231	122	135	75	24 407	29 323	20 313	19 606
Silver Springs CDP, Lyon County	1 344	1 053	1 080	680	790	482	34 427	42 125	17 944	16 576
Smith Valley CDP, Lyon County	566	353	416	255	324	130	46 625	46 625	25 938	21 940
Spanish Springs CDP, Washoe County	2 821	2 418	2 527	2 005	2 249	1 429	69 451	72 026	39 931	26 908
Sparks city, Washoe County	20 302	18 152	17 285	14 303	13 533	9 736	45 745	52 029	30 754	21 122
Spring Creek CDP, Elko County	3 473	2 687	2 853	1 780	2 515	1 169	60 109	61 650	42 109	20 606
Spring Valley CDP, Clark County	38 138	33 964	32 639	27 178	25 868	19 798	48 563	55 021	35 713	26 321
Stataline CDP, Douglas County	411	322	333	245	231	148	28 541	32 167	22 344	16 084
Summerlin South CDP, Clark County	1 103	1 172	936	883	801	560	64 784	69 250	52 721	33 017
Sunrise Manor CDP, Clark County	43 062	36 946	35 639	28 055	27 215	19 474	41 066	44 339	27 417	16 659
Sun Valley CDP, Washoe County	5 575	4 979	4 510	3 882	3 572	2 862	41 346	43 103	28 750	15 171
Sutcliffe CDP, Washoe County	67	80	51	58	38	37	31 250	31 875	17 917	13 629
Tonopah CDP, Nye County	858	629	714	456	625	298	37 401	47 917	16 008	18 256
Verdi-Mogul CDP, Washoe County	929	813	805	668	596	471	67 708	79 342	23 988	38 233
Wadsworth CDP, Washoe County	214	195	172	125	137	97	31 198	32 109	25 625	14 756
Wells city, Elko County	440	349	317	245	256	187	35 870	41 827	22 404	16 835
West Wendover city, Elko County	1 336	1 129	1 142	905	926	678	34 116	34 297	29 688	12 013

Table 10. **Work Status and Income in 1999: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place	Population 16 years and over, worked in 1999						Median income in 1999 (dollars)			Per capita income in 1999 (dollars)
	Total		Worked 40 or more weeks				Households	Families	Nonfamily households	
	Male	Female	Total		Full-time, year-round					
			Male	Female	Male	Female				
<b>PLACE—Con.</b>										
Whitney CDP, Clark County .....	5 493	4 495	4 567	3 520	3 448	2 532	36 536	41 504	27 909	16 969
Winchester CDP, Clark County .....	8 275	6 504	6 709	4 942	4 873	3 401	32 251	39 451	24 474	20 615
Winnemucca city, Humboldt County .....	2 227	1 784	1 733	1 220	1 346	763	46 699	53 681	31 548	21 441
Yerington city, Lyon County .....	631	546	514	349	369	243	31 151	39 038	17 917	18 640
Zephyr Cove-Round Hill Village CDP, Douglas County .....	625	406	533	324	372	217	60 851	64 044	40 875	37 218

**Table 11. Earnings in 1999 of Full-Time, Year-Round Workers, by Sex: 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Male						Female					
	Workers with earnings	Median earnings in 1999 (dollars)	Earnings in 1999				Workers with earnings	Median earnings in 1999 (dollars)	Earnings in 1999			
			\$1 to \$24,999 or less	\$25,000 to \$49,999	\$50,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 or more			\$1 to \$24,999 or less	\$25,000 to \$49,999	\$50,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 or more
<b>The State</b> .....	<b>387 524</b>	<b>35 794</b>	<b>106 242</b>	<b>170 234</b>	<b>89 690</b>	<b>21 358</b>	<b>264 568</b>	<b>27 089</b>	<b>112 531</b>	<b>119 836</b>	<b>28 391</b>	<b>3 810</b>
Churchill County .....	4 781	36 478	1 105	2 530	972	174	2 670	25 000	1 335	1 184	132	19
Carson Sink CCD .....	10	24 583	6	4	-	-	6	26 250	-	6	-	-
Dixie Valley CCD .....	36	35 417	17	19	-	-	16	18 750	16	-	-	-
Fallon CCD .....	4 735	36 505	1 082	2 507	972	174	2 648	25 057	1 319	1 178	132	19
Fallon city .....	1 369	35 356	266	899	189	15	866	22 818	481	320	65	-
Fallon Station CDP .....	327	26 230	133	123	71	-	126	21 290	92	34	-	-
Clark County .....	264 425	35 243	75 642	113 603	60 595	14 585	185 418	27 077	78 810	83 652	20 147	2 809
Clark CCD .....	9 869	31 990	3 578	3 630	1 959	702	5 464	25 277	2 696	2 189	491	88
Blue Diamond CDP .....	77	47 604	16	34	20	7	62	47 692	-	43	19	-
Boulder City city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bunkerville CDP .....	169	27 153	69	71	13	16	132	20 878	90	32	10	-
Cal-Nev-Ari CDP .....	29	24 632	17	-	12	-	59	19 531	34	25	-	-
Enterprise CDP (part) .....	224	60 357	43	58	63	60	113	27 625	47	53	13	-
Goodsprings CDP .....	77	35 924	7	70	-	-	44	28 594	15	29	-	-
Henderson city (part) .....	682	64 063	102	143	283	154	440	35 600	98	204	107	31
Indian Springs CDP .....	243	35 609	69	133	41	-	100	24 286	54	42	4	-
Las Vegas city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Laughlin CDP .....	1 401	27 854	556	607	182	56	1 179	20 973	756	373	50	-
Mesquite city .....	1 678	27 083	799	571	238	70	872	24 402	447	317	75	33
Moapa Town CDP .....	170	38 929	51	60	50	9	69	20 990	49	20	-	-
Moapa Valley CDP .....	939	42 348	143	422	333	41	336	26 442	153	148	35	-
Mount Charleston CDP .....	66	75 471	7	-	43	16	53	35 938	22	31	-	-
North Las Vegas city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sandy Valley CDP .....	362	37 000	124	162	66	10	285	26 074	115	162	8	-
Searchlight CDP .....	135	26 563	60	51	12	12	75	27 868	35	40	-	-
Spring Valley CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Summerlin South CDP (part) .....	75	100 000+	6	8	20	41	26	71 111	-	9	17	-
Sunrise Manor CDP .....	1 766	35 671	465	792	378	131	1 111	25 302	552	460	82	17
Las Vegas CCD .....	254 556	35 314	72 064	109 973	58 636	13 883	179 954	27 113	76 114	81 463	19 656	2 721
Boulder City city (part) .....	2 654	42 041	616	881	949	208	1 779	30 385	626	839	257	57
Enterprise CDP (part) .....	3 209	36 587	802	1 406	841	160	2 258	28 524	803	1 092	332	31
Henderson city (part) .....	36 486	42 135	6 451	15 691	11 311	3 033	25 606	30 414	8 486	12 577	4 072	471
Las Vegas city (part) .....	86 505	35 511	24 984	35 590	20 196	5 735	62 358	27 554	25 383	28 549	7 223	1 203
Nellis AFB CDP .....	2 350	25 551	1 114	1 065	133	38	1 038	19 210	733	279	26	-
North Las Vegas city (part) .....	19 340	32 205	6 331	8 552	4 158	299	13 649	25 836	6 398	6 069	1 113	69
Paradise CDP .....	39 342	31 412	13 268	16 980	7 390	1 704	26 102	25 898	12 298	11 093	2 478	233
Spring Valley CDP (part) .....	25 868	37 068	5 776	12 341	6 307	1 444	19 783	28 288	7 453	9 650	2 236	444
Summerlin South CDP (part) .....	726	50 481	90	263	302	71	534	31 415	173	242	108	11
Sunrise Manor CDP (part) .....	25 449	30 939	8 242	12 242	4 578	387	18 357	24 588	9 452	7 684	1 137	84
Whitney CDP .....	3 448	30 833	1 193	1 672	538	45	2 532	23 988	1 364	1 018	139	11
Winchester CDP .....	4 873	27 886	2 054	2 061	565	193	3 401	22 453	1 954	1 243	168	36
Douglas County .....	7 833	40 436	1 564	3 530	2 058	681	5 007	28 762	1 963	2 329	600	115
Gardnerville-Minden CCD .....	4 596	40 185	879	2 211	1 229	277	3 014	29 547	1 138	1 464	361	51
Gardnerville CDP .....	563	34 769	182	263	118	-	427	29 550	174	205	48	-
Gardnerville Ranchos CDP .....	2 183	37 292	434	1 114	495	140	1 389	26 875	591	614	163	21
Indian Hills CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Johnson Lane CDP .....	883	46 329	109	374	355	45	496	29 907	195	226	75	-
Minden CDP .....	518	40 833	92	246	122	58	378	34 700	84	251	43	-
Genoa-Jacks Valley CCD .....	1 367	45 106	216	556	478	117	822	29 510	301	390	91	40
Indian Hills CDP (part) .....	910	42 159	148	414	325	23	563	28 885	215	285	44	19
Pine Nut CCD .....	158	43 889	30	53	45	30	119	29 297	36	66	17	-
Topaz Lake CCD .....	279	33 229	73	119	65	22	197	23 490	113	67	17	-
Zephyr Cove CCD .....	1 433	37 398	366	591	241	235	855	27 303	375	342	114	24
Kingsbury CDP .....	610	40 208	167	213	130	100	399	31 652	147	188	55	9
Stateline CDP .....	231	28 309	95	112	20	4	148	20 625	92	47	5	4
Zephyr Cove-Round Hill Village CDP .....	372	38 056	63	195	61	53	217	25 284	106	80	20	11
Elko County .....	9 862	41 322	2 217	4 001	3 375	269	5 191	24 653	2 642	2 116	402	31
Carlin CCD .....	559	47 670	58	242	245	14	175	21 813	102	51	22	-
Carlin city .....	494	47 396	56	214	210	14	175	21 813	102	51	22	-
Elko CCD .....	7 254	45 494	1 173	2 974	2 869	238	3 680	26 832	1 629	1 661	359	31
Elko city .....	3 710	43 397	719	1 471	1 409	111	1 859	27 366	780	855	216	8
Spring Creek CDP .....	2 515	50 053	236	1 018	1 177	84	1 165	27 260	493	559	113	-
Jackpot CCD .....	343	20 458	223	102	18	-	241	14 338	211	30	-	-
Jarbridge CCD .....	6	13 750	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Montello CCD .....	85	38 125	26	38	21	-	56	21 912	32	24	-	-
Mountain City CCD .....	228	33 056	90	111	22	5	106	26 389	48	56	2	-
Owyhee CDP .....	75	31 250	19	37	14	5	74	27 917	31	41	2	-
Wells CCD .....	432	30 093	116	258	46	12	240	25 089	119	112	9	-
Wells city .....	256	31 250	88	124	32	12	187	20 852	111	76	-	-
West Wendover CCD .....	955	22 526	525	276	154	-	693	18 407	501	182	10	-
West Wendover city .....	926	23 281	496	276	154	-	678	18 105	501	167	10	-
Esmeralda County .....	205	39 327	51	88	54	12	83	25 469	40	38	5	-
Goldfield CCD .....	91	39 375	22	41	25	3	52	26 250	24	26	2	-
Silverpeak CCD .....	114	39 286	29	47	29	9	31	22 083	16	12	3	-
Eureka County .....	324	45 167	54	136	124	10	148	25 000	74	62	12	-
Beowawe CCD .....	105	50 380	3	46	53	3	29	27 750	14	11	4	-
Eureka CCD .....	219	41 146	51	90	71	7	119	19 886	60	51	8	-
Humboldt County .....	3 044	44 694	550	1 316	1 112	66	1 482	25 917	697	639	137	9
Golconda CCD .....	1 865	45 938	245	815	747	58	979	26 414	455	397	118	9
Winnemucca city (part) .....	1 081	46 280	172	389	470	50	619	27 357	252	255	103	9
McDermitt CCD .....	198	24 500	100	69	29	-	76	22 500	40	34	2	-
McDermitt CDP .....	13	25 625	6	5	2	-	17	24 375	9	6	2	-
Summit Lake CCD .....	20	51 250	10	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Winnemucca CCD .....	961	43 958	195	432	326	8	427	25 513	202	208	17	-
Winnemucca city (part) .....	265	50 772	38	84	135	8	144	24 688	73	71	-	-

Table 11. Earnings in 1999 of Full-Time, Year-Round Workers, by Sex: 2000—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Male						Female					
	Workers with earnings	Median earnings in 1999 (dollars)	Earnings in 1999				Workers with earnings	Median earnings in 1999 (dollars)	Earnings in 1999			
			\$1 to \$24,999 or less	\$25,000 to \$49,999	\$50,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 or more			\$1 to \$24,999 or less	\$25,000 to \$49,999	\$50,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 or more
Lander County .....	1 182	45 375	151	555	462	14	468	22 197	254	189	25	-
Austin CCD .....	113	32 917	50	50	13	-	36	16 389	22	14	-	-
Battle Mountain CCD .....	1 069	46 649	101	505	449	14	432	22 500	232	175	25	-
Battle Mountain CDP .....	563	45 313	27	307	221	8	244	25 417	121	104	19	-
Lincoln County .....	630	40 048	152	317	149	12	320	23 571	180	115	25	-
Alamo CCD .....	172	39 531	38	105	29	-	97	20 069	73	17	7	-
Caliente CCD .....	130	40 288	42	53	29	6	89	24 732	46	35	8	-
Caliente city (part) .....	114	39 500	40	49	19	6	85	24 688	44	35	6	-
Pioche CCD .....	328	40 250	72	159	91	6	134	26 000	61	63	10	-
Caliente city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lyon County .....	5 945	34 034	1 566	3 263	988	128	4 108	25 914	1 900	1 844	309	55
Dayton CCD .....	1 773	33 051	412	1 052	269	40	1 372	26 373	597	656	95	24
Dayton CDP .....	1 140	33 038	226	717	184	13	745	26 140	331	352	56	6
Fernley CCD .....	1 580	37 480	298	895	348	39	1 118	26 997	440	582	96	-
Fernley CDP .....	1 580	37 480	298	895	348	39	1 111	26 938	440	582	89	-
Silver Springs CCD .....	1 147	33 339	283	721	136	7	793	23 920	444	283	57	9
Silver Springs CDP .....	790	34 712	196	475	112	7	482	23 750	289	173	11	9
Smith Valley CCD .....	329	31 806	140	116	65	8	136	29 615	50	60	21	5
Smith Valley CDP .....	324	31 574	140	111	65	8	130	29 038	50	54	21	5
Yerington CCD .....	1 116	30 698	433	479	170	34	689	23 469	369	263	40	17
Yerington city .....	369	25 724	179	160	22	8	235	24 550	122	102	11	-
Mineral County .....	822	31 929	225	489	99	9	558	25 262	270	268	20	-
Hawthorne CCD .....	687	32 054	186	409	83	9	464	25 469	217	229	18	-
Hawthorne CDP .....	561	31 344	180	311	70	-	379	25 058	188	179	12	-
Schurz CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mina CCD .....	53	31 442	12	33	8	-	28	21 429	17	11	-	-
Walker River CCD .....	82	31 667	27	47	8	-	66	24 375	36	28	2	-
Schurz CDP (part) .....	69	29 375	27	34	8	-	60	23 958	35	25	-	-
Nye County .....	5 584	37 276	1 302	2 687	1 458	137	2 930	22 394	1 587	1 120	199	24
Amargosa Valley CCD .....	204	34 464	29	119	56	-	93	28 456	40	45	8	-
Beatty CCD .....	185	44 438	14	92	79	-	126	25 962	58	63	5	-
Beatty CDP .....	185	44 438	14	92	79	-	126	25 962	58	63	5	-
Crystal CCD .....	1 212	35 733	340	609	237	26	789	21 137	489	264	36	-
Pahrump CDP (part) .....	1 198	35 582	340	609	237	12	789	21 137	489	264	36	-
Duckwater CCD .....	26	26 250	9	14	3	-	14	33 750	2	12	-	-
Gabbs CCD .....	69	33 558	12	45	12	-	32	41 667	12	10	10	-
Gabbs city .....	53	33 365	10	38	5	-	13	40 938	5	8	-	-
Pahrump CCD .....	2 725	36 030	699	1 220	702	104	1 371	22 168	752	514	81	24
Pahrump CDP (part) .....	2 725	36 030	699	1 220	702	104	1 371	22 168	752	514	81	24
Ralston CCD .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Round Mountain CCD .....	494	45 417	75	216	196	7	187	34 792	68	82	37	-
Tonopah CCD .....	669	39 399	124	372	173	-	318	23 056	166	130	22	-
Tonopah CDP .....	625	40 018	104	348	173	-	298	22 056	157	119	22	-
Yucca Flat CCD .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pershing County .....	1 521	34 417	379	875	251	16	505	24 301	262	223	20	-
Imlay CCD .....	353	42 083	59	181	107	6	125	17 208	83	42	-	-
Lovelock CCD .....	1 168	31 284	320	694	144	10	380	25 655	179	181	20	-
Lovelock city .....	360	35 658	75	212	63	10	197	27 371	71	107	19	-
Storey County .....	747	40 123	157	341	219	30	483	26 417	233	177	67	6
Clark CCD .....	192	40 600	40	86	66	-	123	21 902	67	45	5	6
Virginia City CCD .....	555	39 740	117	255	153	30	360	29 038	166	132	62	-
Washoe County .....	68 413	36 226	18 144	30 314	15 227	4 728	47 650	27 953	19 133	22 342	5 493	682
High Desert CCD .....	183	27 019	81	84	18	-	66	23 611	38	28	-	-
Gerlach-Empire CDP .....	114	36 000	26	70	18	-	50	23 056	32	18	-	-
Incline Village CCD .....	2 106	44 417	427	687	571	421	1 064	30 175	336	487	217	24
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP (part) .....	2 106	44 417	427	687	571	421	1 064	30 175	336	487	217	24
North Valleys CCD .....	7 208	35 975	1 625	3 975	1 429	179	5 288	27 135	2 176	2 703	371	38
Cold Springs CDP .....	771	41 425	126	417	208	20	603	27 402	216	311	53	23
Lemmon Valley-Golden Valley CDP .....	1 504	39 069	199	908	374	23	964	29 888	336	560	60	8
Reno city (part) .....	3 847	32 234	1 114	2 119	530	84	2 786	25 591	1 320	1 312	154	-
Pyramid Lake CCD .....	243	25 125	121	82	35	5	212	23 611	121	86	5	-
Nixon CDP .....	54	26 250	24	24	6	-	65	22 250	40	22	3	-
Sutcliffe CDP .....	38	28 750	17	13	8	-	37	22 292	23	14	-	-
Wadsworth CDP .....	137	24 479	71	40	21	5	97	24 554	51	44	2	-
Reno North CCD .....	13 191	32 110	4 118	6 082	2 579	412	9 338	26 982	4 042	4 242	963	91
Reno city (part) .....	12 414	32 144	3 943	5 630	2 473	368	8 872	26 990	3 835	4 004	942	91
Sparks city (part) .....	520	28 464	138	369	13	-	300	24 545	160	140	-	-
Reno Southeast CCD .....	11 400	31 034	4 244	4 694	2 116	346	7 702	24 493	3 944	3 023	686	49
Reno city (part) .....	10 190	29 851	4 067	4 233	1 729	161	6 896	23 091	3 771	2 626	474	25
Reno Southwest CCD .....	10 809	48 864	2 168	3 336	2 990	2 315	6 931	34 371	2 062	3 216	1 349	304
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reno city (part) .....	8 601	44 278	1 966	2 789	2 327	1 519	5 678	32 367	1 792	2 673	999	214
Sparks CCD .....	10 952	32 500	3 260	5 454	1 944	294	7 990	27 248	3 157	4 083	677	73
Sparks city (part) .....	10 814	32 406	3 247	5 378	1 908	281	7 951	27 233	3 153	4 057	668	73
Sun Valley CCD .....	3 863	30 697	1 177	2 342	339	5	3 141	23 572	1 723	1 264	132	22
Reno city (part) .....	311	27 429	104	189	18	-	305	18 342	202	103	-	-
Sparks city (part) .....	20	90 271	-	8	12	-	8	23 750	8	-	-	-
Sun Valley CDP (part) .....	3 532	30 822	1 073	2 145	309	5	2 828	24 026	1 513	1 161	132	22
Verdi CCD .....	650	54 405	77	200	261	112	541	37 120	134	249	139	19
Reno city (part) .....	54	58 438	-	24	30	-	70	38 750	21	34	15	-
Verdi-Mogul CDP .....	596	54 048	77	176	231	112	471	36 793	113	215	124	19
Warm Springs-Truckee Canyon CCD .....	5 388	47 037	580	2 362	2 059	387	3 630	32 112	958	2 006	644	22
Spanish Springs CDP .....	2 249	46 711	162	1 131	847	109	1 429	32 853	328	837	255	9
Sparks city (part) .....	2 179	51 545	237	753	933	256	1 461	34 905	340	804	304	13
Sun Valley CDP (part) .....	40	29 167	-	28	12	-	34	31 250	17	17	-	-
Washoe Valley CCD .....	2 420	46 774	266	1 016	886	252	1 747	33 516	442	955	310	40
White Pine County .....	1 781	36 083	358	963	426	34	833	26 425	384	359	90	-
Baker CCD .....	87	34 750	30	15	28	14	20	41 250	-	20	-	-
Cherry Creek CCD .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 11. **Earnings in 1999 of Full-Time, Year-Round Workers, by Sex: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Male						Female						
	Workers with earnings	Median earnings in 1999 (dollars)	Earnings in 1999				Workers with earnings	Median earnings in 1999 (dollars)	Earnings in 1999				
			\$1 to \$24,999 or less	\$25,000 to \$49,999	\$50,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 or more			\$1 to \$24,999 or less	\$25,000 to \$49,999	\$50,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 or more	
White Pine County—Con.													
Ely CCD .....	948	36 659	206	497	239	6	637	26 689	280	278	79	-	-
Ely city .....	630	36 016	152	341	137	-	497	26 597	214	218	65	-	-
Lund CCD .....	72	32 188	22	42	8	-	32	14 167	19	13	-	-	-
McGill CCD .....	601	35 906	82	382	123	14	138	19 625	79	48	11	-	-
McGill CDP .....	160	36 149	20	102	38	-	111	19 813	64	36	11	-	-
Ruth CCD .....	73	29 464	18	27	28	-	6	11 250	6	-	-	-	-
Carson City .....	10 425	35 296	2 625	5 226	2 121	453	6 714	27 418	2 767	3 179	708	60	60



Table 12. **Earnings in 1999 of Full-Time, Year-Round Workers, by Sex: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place	Male						Female					
	Workers with earnings	Median earnings in 1999 (dollars)	Earnings in 1999				Workers with earnings	Median earnings in 1999 (dollars)	Earnings in 1999			
			\$1 to \$24,999 or loss	\$25,000 to \$49,999	\$50,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 or more			\$1 to \$24,999 or loss	\$25,000 to \$49,999	\$50,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 or more
<b>PLACE—Con.</b>												
Whitney CDP, Clark County .....	3 448	30 833	1 193	1 672	538	45	2 532	23 988	1 364	1 018	139	11
Winchester CDP, Clark County .....	4 873	27 886	2 054	2 061	565	193	3 401	22 453	1 954	1 243	168	36
Winnemucca city, Humboldt County .....	1 346	47 917	210	473	605	58	763	26 682	325	326	103	9
Yerington city, Lyon County .....	369	25 724	179	160	22	8	235	24 550	122	102	11	-
Zephyr Cove-Round Hill Village CDP, Douglas County .....	372	38 056	63	195	61	53	217	25 284	106	80	20	11

**Table 13. Household Income in 1999: 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total households	Median income in 1999 (dollars)	Household income in 1999								Percent of households with income in 1999 of \$100,000 or more
			Less than \$10,000	\$10,000 to \$24,999	\$25,000 to \$49,999	\$50,000 to \$74,999	\$75,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 or more	
<b>The State</b>	<b>751 977</b>	<b>44 581</b>	<b>53 981</b>	<b>131 955</b>	<b>234 466</b>	<b>163 415</b>	<b>83 304</b>	<b>55 431</b>	<b>13 545</b>	<b>15 880</b>	<b>11.3</b>
Churchill County	8 934	40 808	698	1 557	3 179	2 040	850	389	88	133	6.8
Carson Sink CCD	10	24 583	-	6	-	4	-	-	-	-	-
Dixie Valley CCD	80	37 083	8	27	16	12	10	7	-	-	8.8
Fallon CCD	8 844	40 836	690	1 524	3 163	2 024	840	382	88	133	6.8
Fallon city	2 936	35 935	320	569	1 088	662	163	90	32	12	4.6
Fallon Station CDP	371	34 236	9	64	179	77	27	15	-	-	4.0
Clark County	512 714	44 616	36 317	89 725	160 201	110 363	57 155	38 553	9 584	10 816	11.5
Clark CCD	17 641	44 403	1 005	2 974	5 960	3 854	1 644	1 326	410	468	12.5
Blue Diamond CDP	152	54 091	11	16	34	31	19	34	7	-	27.0
Boulder City city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Bunkerville CDP	298	45 076	10	51	120	69	13	19	-	16	11.7
Cal-Nev-Ari CDP	170	42 563	-	84	62	24	-	-	-	-	-
Enterprise CDP (part)	290	54 022	20	53	40	69	14	34	52	8	32.4
Goodsprings CDP	145	40 430	22	23	62	38	-	-	-	-	-
Henderson city (part)	1 079	91 986	24	30	165	180	234	238	75	133	41.3
Indian Springs CDP	452	40 966	28	76	168	141	39	-	-	-	-
Las Vegas city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Laughlin CDP	3 096	36 885	151	676	1 213	646	249	81	51	29	5.2
Mesquite city	3 547	40 392	177	673	1 323	752	258	184	78	102	10.3
Moapa Town CDP	275	48 365	15	38	93	67	27	26	-	9	12.7
Moapa Valley CDP	2 042	39 942	160	361	690	456	196	161	13	5	8.8
Mount Charleston CDP	124	63 125	-	11	33	42	22	8	-	8	12.9
North Las Vegas city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Sandy Valley CDP	750	43 663	98	101	257	204	72	18	-	-	2.4
Searchlight CDP	428	24 407	55	173	117	71	-	12	-	-	2.8
Spring Valley CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Summerlin South CDP (part)	162	96 473	-	8	-	29	50	13	22	40	46.3
Sunrise Manor CDP (part)	2 917	48 595	118	413	982	691	247	301	82	83	16.0
Las Vegas CCD	495 073	44 623	35 312	86 751	154 241	106 509	55 511	37 227	9 174	10 348	11.5
Boulder City city (part)	6 413	50 523	395	1 035	1 734	1 365	908	602	141	233	15.2
Enterprise CDP (part)	5 566	50 380	367	885	1 495	1 321	628	585	152	133	15.6
Henderson city (part)	65 476	55 574	2 674	7 688	17 834	16 554	9 583	7 084	1 951	2 108	17.0
Las Vegas city (part)	177 223	44 069	13 766	31 806	53 954	36 385	19 940	13 665	3 415	4 292	12.1
Nellis AFB CDP	2 965	33 118	89	781	1 437	507	68	60	9	14	2.8
North Las Vegas city (part)	34 093	46 057	2 485	5 249	10 862	8 677	3 986	2 146	309	379	8.3
Paradise CDP	77 225	39 376	6 498	16 402	25 961	14 293	7 122	4 771	1 175	1 003	9.0
Spring Valley CDP (part)	48 016	48 563	2 599	6 833	15 296	10 766	5 989	4 051	1 202	1 280	13.6
Summerlin South CDP (part)	1 351	61 542	43	120	251	448	241	218	18	12	18.4
Sunrise Manor CDP (part)	50 573	40 739	4 246	9 956	16 972	11 553	4 795	2 350	355	346	6.0
Whitney CDP	6 911	36 536	522	1 528	2 492	1 535	546	213	47	28	4.2
Winchester CDP	12 026	32 251	1 259	3 392	4 074	1 721	737	531	134	178	7.0
Douglas County	16 428	51 849	958	2 279	4 480	4 128	2 180	1 473	345	585	14.6
Gardnerville-Minden CCD	9 527	51 471	570	1 281	2 656	2 597	1 325	790	128	180	11.5
Gardnerville CDP	1 492	41 204	165	324	464	341	118	66	7	7	5.4
Gardnerville Ranchos CDP	4 100	48 795	180	562	1 361	1 068	457	349	62	61	11.5
Indian Hills CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Johnson Lane CDP	1 763	59 130	88	133	422	573	300	193	18	36	14.0
Minden CDP	1 196	56 795	69	152	236	353	245	94	21	26	11.8
Genoa-Jacks Valley CCD	2 575	58 827	134	218	662	742	377	269	63	110	17.2
Indian Hills CDP (part)	1 645	56 109	110	128	452	543	215	158	26	13	12.0
Pine Nut CCD	289	66 250	11	23	46	87	59	28	26	9	21.8
Topaz Lake CCD	969	32 528	66	308	310	128	78	53	-	26	8.2
Zephyr Cove CCD	3 068	52 588	177	449	806	574	341	333	128	260	23.5
Kingsbury CDP	1 177	59 611	54	133	324	216	147	141	69	93	25.7
Stataline CDP	515	28 641	35	156	192	92	26	10	4	-	2.7
Zephyr Cove-Round Hill Village CDP	756	60 851	33	86	175	183	101	105	33	40	23.5
Elko County	15 689	48 383	1 047	2 395	4 709	4 353	1 830	1 097	177	81	8.6
Carlin CCD	814	49 500	34	119	261	249	83	60	8	-	8.4
Carlin city	812	49 571	34	119	259	249	83	60	8	-	8.4
Elko CCD	11 477	51 978	731	1 459	3 160	3 413	1 559	922	152	81	10.1
Elko city	6 181	48 608	475	962	1 786	1 616	762	464	74	42	9.4
Spring Creek CDP	3 567	60 109	135	209	873	1 339	592	365	27	27	11.7
Jackpot CCD	480	30 488	18	126	234	76	18	8	-	-	1.7
Jarbridge CCD	36	40 962	-	9	17	10	-	-	-	-	-
Montello CCD	195	47 550	13	40	69	41	32	-	-	-	-
Mountain City CCD	502	26 875	113	120	182	48	24	15	-	-	3.0
Owyhee CDP	334	23 214	95	77	105	27	15	15	-	-	4.5
Wells CCD	790	36 667	46	169	306	171	63	25	10	-	4.4
Wells city	552	35 870	38	142	194	111	36	21	10	-	5.6
West Wendover CCD	1 395	34 289	92	353	480	345	51	67	7	-	5.3
West Wendover city	1 367	34 116	92	343	480	327	51	67	7	-	5.4
Esmeralda County	453	33 203	73	86	144	92	33	23	-	2	5.5
Goldfield CCD	223	32 969	35	42	71	42	19	14	-	-	6.3
Silverpeak CCD	230	33 438	38	44	73	50	14	9	-	2	4.8
Eureka County	663	41 417	86	138	174	160	59	36	2	8	6.9
Beowawe CCD	239	37 386	30	58	64	47	21	16	-	3	7.9
Eureka CCD	424	43 594	56	80	110	113	38	20	2	5	6.4
Humboldt County	5 744	47 147	356	938	1 773	1 416	804	336	41	80	8.0
Golconda CCD	3 569	49 088	220	494	1 107	911	495	245	34	63	9.6
Winnemucca city (part)	2 165	47 188	158	349	626	460	315	182	27	48	11.9
McDermitt CCD	434	29 167	56	133	89	96	54	6	-	-	1.4
McDermitt CDP	79	16 563	21	32	16	10	-	-	-	-	-
Summit Lake CCD	56	26 000	-	26	20	-	10	-	-	-	-
Winnemucca CCD	1 685	46 794	80	285	557	409	245	85	7	17	6.5
Winnemucca city (part)	568	45 119	25	140	158	132	68	28	7	10	7.9
Lander County	2 116	46 067	200	328	666	579	258	85	-	-	4.0
Austin CCD	215	33 897	56	36	87	25	11	-	-	-	-
Battle Mountain CCD	1 901	48 057	144	292	579	554	247	85	-	-	4.5
Battle Mountain CDP	1 068	42 981	102	160	373	226	142	65	-	-	6.1



Table 13. Household Income in 1999: 2000—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total households	Median income in 1999 (dollars)	Household income in 1999								Percent of households with income in 1999 of \$100,000 or more
			Less than \$10,000	\$10,000 to \$24,999	\$25,000 to \$49,999	\$50,000 to \$74,999	\$75,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 or more	
Lincoln County	1 556	31 979	274	370	392	349	113	45	10	3	3.7
Alamo CCD	408	43 382	34	96	94	123	54	7	-	-	1.7
Caliente CCD	437	26 458	83	125	115	69	33	7	2	3	2.7
Caliente city (part)	412	25 833	83	117	111	60	29	7	2	3	2.9
Pioche CCD	711	31 150	157	149	183	157	26	31	8	-	5.5
Caliente city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Lyon County	13 023	40 699	1 038	2 402	4 765	3 021	1 038	457	135	167	5.8
Dayton CCD	3 587	44 558	221	404	1 458	1 062	258	112	31	41	5.1
Dayton CDP	2 233	43 599	149	205	936	677	155	85	20	6	5.0
Fernley CCD	3 181	44 657	155	576	1 051	913	313	138	16	19	5.4
Fernley CDP	3 162	44 695	155	576	1 039	906	313	138	16	19	5.5
Silver Springs CCD	2 545	34 381	256	598	892	460	195	102	25	17	5.7
Silver Springs CDP	1 769	34 427	189	419	592	331	125	87	9	17	6.4
Smith Valley CCD	577	46 326	47	107	157	130	71	26	19	20	11.3
Smith Valley CDP	530	46 121	41	94	153	106	71	26	19	20	12.3
Yerington CCD	3 133	33 355	359	717	1 207	456	201	79	44	70	6.2
Yerington city	1 186	31 151	186	284	416	178	72	19	-	31	4.2
Mineral County	2 201	32 891	302	522	742	420	136	61	12	6	3.6
Hawthorne CCD	1 780	34 965	202	411	597	386	116	50	12	6	3.8
Hawthorne CDP	1 503	34 413	153	397	487	330	88	42	6	-	3.2
Schurz CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Mina CCD	125	22 404	37	26	50	-	4	8	-	-	6.4
Walker River CCD	296	25 000	63	85	95	34	16	3	-	-	1.0
Schurz CDP (part)	262	24 265	59	77	77	34	12	3	-	-	1.1
Nye County	13 312	36 024	1 410	3 032	4 647	2 268	1 213	592	64	86	5.6
Amargosa Valley CCD	476	34 432	82	88	191	34	47	10	-	24	7.1
Beatty CCD	439	41 076	80	86	92	114	37	30	-	-	6.8
Beatty CDP	434	41 250	80	86	87	114	37	30	-	-	6.9
Crystal CCD	3 003	33 461	245	830	1 159	388	209	160	-	12	5.7
Pahrump CDP (part)	2 989	33 276	245	830	1 159	388	209	146	-	12	5.3
Duckwater CCD	72	28 750	15	11	34	9	3	-	-	-	-
Gabbs CCD	199	28 250	39	53	69	25	7	4	2	-	3.0
Gabbs city	140	28 500	24	39	58	9	4	2	-	-	4.3
Pahrump CCD	7 210	35 313	709	1 720	2 479	1 286	573	346	62	35	6.1
Pahrump CDP (part)	7 210	35 313	709	1 720	2 479	1 286	573	346	62	35	6.1
Ralston CCD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Round Mountain CCD	712	50 858	44	59	230	187	165	18	-	9	3.8
Tonopah CCD	1 201	38 029	196	185	393	225	172	24	-	6	2.5
Tonopah CDP	1 167	37 401	196	185	367	217	172	24	-	6	2.6
Yucca Flat CCD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Pershing County	1 962	40 670	206	403	625	473	158	74	7	16	4.9
Imlay CCD	655	38 958	78	150	173	188	31	29	-	6	5.3
Lovelock CCD	1 307	41 168	128	253	452	285	127	45	7	10	4.7
Lovelock city	775	34 563	100	171	254	149	62	25	7	7	5.0
Storey County	1 468	45 490	109	173	495	353	234	70	26	8	7.1
Clark CCD	404	45 588	20	39	158	146	21	14	6	-	5.0
Virginia City CCD	1 064	45 441	89	134	337	207	213	56	20	8	7.9
Washoe County	132 192	45 815	8 965	22 951	39 927	28 445	14 870	10 732	2 733	3 569	12.9
High Desert CCD	346	27 000	40	81	164	35	10	16	-	-	4.6
Gerlach-Empire CDP	207	35 089	29	34	96	29	10	9	-	-	4.3
Incline Village CCD	4 173	69 447	191	404	840	815	541	481	250	651	33.1
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP (part)	4 173	69 447	191	404	840	815	541	481	250	651	33.1
North Valleys CCD	11 604	50 574	310	1 501	3 856	3 394	1 649	710	66	118	7.7
Cold Springs CDP	1 318	54 511	36	209	299	386	247	119	6	16	10.7
Lemmon Valley-Golden Valley CDP	2 458	52 861	79	184	821	713	393	221	19	28	10.9
Reno city (part)	5 988	45 512	140	864	2 355	1 713	647	204	21	44	4.5
Pyramid Lake CCD	616	30 541	90	161	213	98	31	16	7	-	3.7
Nixon CDP	150	25 417	35	39	52	11	13	-	-	-	-
Sutcliffe CDP	99	31 250	17	26	27	21	2	6	-	-	6.1
Wadsworth CDP	315	31 198	24	88	120	52	14	10	7	-	5.4
Reno North CCD	28 574	37 413	3 284	6 072	8 944	5 348	2 588	1 800	307	231	8.2
Reno city (part)	27 342	37 000	3 181	5 945	8 558	5 000	2 433	1 699	295	231	8.1
Sparks city (part)	777	40 506	70	110	329	195	67	6	-	-	0.8
Reno Southeast CCD	22 395	37 003	1 851	5 046	7 700	4 372	1 812	1 151	259	204	7.2
Reno city (part)	20 383	35 134	1 803	4 902	7 271	3 897	1 463	772	162	113	5.1
Reno Southwest CCD	23 319	57 056	1 336	3 387	5 457	4 343	2 943	3 042	1 133	1 678	25.1
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Reno city (part)	19 391	52 333	1 180	3 131	4 902	3 723	2 395	2 165	797	1 098	20.9
Sparks CCD	21 028	42 193	1 064	4 062	7 294	4 936	2 103	1 167	183	219	7.5
Sparks city (part)	20 838	42 116	1 057	4 048	7 246	4 918	2 071	1 127	170	201	7.2
Sun Valley CCD	7 073	40 635	422	1 239	2 896	1 611	674	173	23	35	3.3
Reno city (part)	660	31 500	70	189	209	133	52	7	-	-	1.1
Sparks city (part)	20	90 271	-	-	-	8	12	-	-	-	-
Sun Valley CDP (part)	6 393	41 200	352	1 050	2 687	1 470	610	166	23	35	3.5
Verdi CCD	1 245	70 516	63	152	144	320	205	215	53	93	29.0
Reno city (part)	95	88 316	-	-	7	26	25	37	-	-	38.9
Verdi-Mogul CDP	1 150	67 708	63	152	137	294	180	178	53	93	28.2
Warm Springs-Truckee Canyon CCD	7 444	69 205	132	396	1 477	2 286	1 518	1 223	266	146	22.0
Spanish Springs CDP	2 964	69 451	54	125	610	946	649	417	105	58	19.6
Sparks city (part)	3 063	76 552	44	144	469	829	679	675	151	72	29.3
Sun Valley CDP (part)	41	63 750	-	-	-	24	10	7	-	-	17.1
Washoe Valley CCD	4 375	68 591	182	450	942	887	796	738	186	194	25.6
White Pine County	3 285	36 688	402	676	1 045	752	252	106	17	35	4.8
Baker CCD	154	37 167	16	30	53	27	14	-	-	14	9.1
Cherry Creek CCD	12	16 250	-	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ely CCD	2 164	38 026	261	427	682	498	174	98	17	7	5.6
Ely city	1 670	36 408	242	330	531	385	119	52	11	-	3.8
Lund CCD	148	42 692	-	26	60	38	16	8	-	-	5.4

Table 13. **Household Income in 1999: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total households	Median income in 1999 (dollars)	Household income in 1999								Percent of households with income in 1999 of \$100,000 or more	
			Less than \$10,000	\$10,000 to \$24,999	\$25,000 to \$49,999	\$50,000 to \$74,999	\$75,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 or more		
White Pine County—Con.												
McGill CCD .....	626	33 500	84	151	197	147	33	-	-	14	2.2	
McGill CDP .....	461	32 039	48	131	145	104	33	-	-	-	-	
Ruth CCD .....	181	29 821	41	30	53	42	15	-	-	-	-	
Carson City .....	20 237	41 809	1 540	3 980	6 502	4 203	2 121	1 302	304	285	9.3	

**Table 14. Household Income in 1999: 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place	Total households	Median income in 1999 (dollars)	Household income in 1999								Percent of households with income in 1999 of \$100,000 or more
			Less than \$10,000	\$10,000 to \$24,999	\$25,000 to \$49,999	\$50,000 to \$74,999	\$75,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 or more	
			<b>The State</b>	<b>751 977</b>	<b>44 581</b>	<b>53 981</b>	<b>131 955</b>	<b>234 466</b>	<b>163 415</b>	<b>83 304</b>	
<b>COUNTY</b>											
Churchill County	8 934	40 808	698	1 557	3 179	2 040	850	389	88	133	6.8
Clark County	512 714	44 616	36 317	89 725	160 201	110 363	57 155	38 553	9 584	10 816	11.5
Douglas County	16 428	51 849	958	2 279	4 480	4 128	2 180	1 473	345	585	14.6
Elko County	15 689	48 383	1 047	2 395	4 709	4 353	1 830	1 097	177	81	8.6
Esmeralda County	453	33 203	73	86	144	92	33	23	-	2	5.5
Eureka County	663	41 417	86	138	174	160	59	36	2	8	6.9
Humboldt County	5 744	47 147	356	938	1 773	1 416	804	336	41	80	8.0
Lander County	2 116	46 067	200	328	666	579	258	85	-	-	4.0
Lincoln County	1 566	31 979	274	370	392	349	113	45	10	3	3.7
Lyon County	13 023	40 699	1 038	2 402	4 765	3 021	1 038	457	135	167	5.8
Mineral County	2 201	32 891	302	522	742	420	136	61	12	6	3.6
Nye County	13 312	36 024	1 410	3 032	4 647	2 268	1 213	592	64	86	5.6
Pershing County	1 962	40 670	206	403	625	473	158	74	7	16	4.9
Storey County	1 468	45 490	109	173	495	353	234	70	26	8	7.1
Washoe County	132 192	45 815	8 965	22 951	39 927	28 445	14 870	10 732	2 733	3 569	12.9
White Pine County	3 285	36 688	402	676	1 045	752	252	106	17	35	4.8
Carson City	20 237	41 809	1 540	3 980	6 502	4 203	2 121	1 302	304	285	9.3
<b>PLACE</b>											
Battle Mountain CDP, Lander County	1 068	42 981	102	160	373	226	142	65	-	-	6.1
Beatty CDP, Nye County	434	41 250	80	86	87	114	37	30	-	-	6.9
Blue Diamond CDP, Clark County	152	54 091	11	16	34	31	19	34	7	-	27.0
Boulder City city, Clark County	6 413	50 523	395	1 035	1 734	1 365	908	602	141	233	15.2
Bunkerville CDP, Clark County	298	45 076	10	51	120	69	13	19	-	16	11.7
Caliente city, Lincoln County	412	25 833	83	117	111	60	29	7	2	3	2.9
Cal-Nev-Ari CDP, Clark County	170	42 563	-	84	62	24	-	-	-	-	-
Carlin city, Elko County	812	49 571	34	119	259	249	83	60	8	-	8.4
Carson City	20 237	41 809	1 540	3 980	6 502	4 203	2 121	1 302	304	285	9.3
Cold Springs CDP, Washoe County	1 318	54 511	36	209	299	386	247	119	6	16	10.7
Dayton CDP, Lyon County	2 233	43 599	149	205	936	677	155	85	20	6	5.0
Elko city, Elko County	6 181	48 608	475	962	1 786	1 616	762	464	74	42	9.4
Ely city, White Pine County	1 670	36 408	242	330	531	385	119	52	11	-	3.8
Enterprise CDP, Clark County	5 856	50 667	387	938	1 535	1 390	642	619	204	141	16.5
Fallon city, Churchill County	2 936	35 935	320	569	1 088	662	163	90	32	12	4.6
Fallon Station CDP, Churchill County	371	34 236	9	64	179	77	27	15	-	-	4.0
Fernley CDP, Lyon County	3 162	44 695	155	576	1 039	906	313	138	16	19	5.5
Gabbs city, Nye County	140	28 500	24	39	58	9	4	2	-	-	4.3
Gardnerville CDP, Douglas County	1 492	41 204	165	324	464	341	118	66	7	7	5.4
Gardnerville Ranchos CDP, Douglas County	4 100	48 795	180	562	1 361	1 068	457	349	62	61	11.5
Gerlach-Empire CDP, Washoe County	207	35 089	29	34	96	29	10	9	-	-	4.3
Goodsprings CDP, Clark County	145	40 430	22	23	62	38	-	-	-	-	-
Hawthorne CDP, Mineral County	1 503	34 413	153	397	487	330	88	42	6	-	3.2
Henderson city, Clark County	66 555	55 949	2 698	7 718	17 999	16 734	9 817	7 322	2 026	2 241	17.4
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP, Washoe County	4 173	69 447	191	404	840	815	541	481	250	651	33.1
Indian Hills CDP, Douglas County	1 645	56 109	110	128	452	543	215	158	26	13	12.0
Indian Springs CDP, Clark County	452	40 966	28	76	168	141	39	-	-	-	-
Johnson Lane CDP, Douglas County	1 763	59 130	88	133	422	573	300	193	18	36	14.0
Kingsbury CDP, Douglas County	1 177	59 511	54	133	324	216	147	141	69	93	25.7
Las Vegas city, Clark County	177 223	44 069	13 766	31 806	53 954	36 385	19 940	13 665	3 415	4 292	12.1
Laughlin CDP, Clark County	3 096	36 885	151	676	1 213	646	249	81	51	29	5.2
Lemmon Valley-Golden Valley CDP, Washoe County	2 458	52 861	79	184	821	713	393	221	19	28	10.9
Lovelock city, Pershing County	775	34 563	100	171	254	149	62	25	7	7	5.0
McDermitt CDP, Humboldt County	79	16 563	21	32	16	10	-	-	-	-	-
McGill CDP, White Pine County	461	32 039	48	131	145	104	33	-	-	-	-
Mesquite city, Clark County	3 547	40 392	177	673	1 323	752	258	184	78	102	10.3
Minden CDP, Douglas County	1 196	56 795	69	152	236	353	245	94	21	26	11.8
Moapa Town CDP, Clark County	275	48 365	15	38	93	67	27	26	-	9	12.7
Moapa Valley CDP, Clark County	2 042	39 942	160	361	690	456	196	161	13	5	8.8
Mount Charleston CDP, Clark County	124	63 125	-	11	33	42	22	8	-	8	12.9
Nellis AFB CDP, Clark County	2 965	33 118	89	781	1 437	507	68	60	9	14	2.8
Nixon CDP, Washoe County	150	25 417	35	39	52	11	13	-	-	-	-
North Las Vegas city, Clark County	34 093	46 057	2 485	5 249	10 862	8 677	3 986	2 146	309	379	8.3
Owyhee CDP, Elko County	334	23 214	95	77	105	27	15	15	-	-	4.5
Pahrump CDP, Nye County	10 199	34 860	954	2 550	3 638	1 674	782	492	62	47	5.9
Paradise CDP, Clark County	77 225	39 376	6 498	16 402	25 961	14 293	7 122	4 771	1 175	1 003	9.0
Reno city, Washoe County	73 859	40 530	6 374	15 031	23 302	14 492	7 015	4 884	1 275	1 486	10.4
Sandy Valley CDP, Clark County	750	43 663	98	101	257	204	72	18	-	-	2.4
Schurz CDP, Mineral County	262	24 265	59	77	77	34	12	3	-	-	1.1
Searchlight CDP, Clark County	428	24 407	55	173	117	71	-	12	-	-	2.8
Silver Springs CDP, Lyon County	1 769	34 427	189	419	592	331	125	87	9	17	6.4
Smith Valley CDP, Lyon County	530	46 121	41	94	153	106	71	26	19	20	12.3
Spanish Springs CDP, Washoe County	2 964	69 451	54	125	610	946	649	417	105	58	19.6
Sparks city, Washoe County	24 698	45 745	1 171	4 302	8 044	5 950	2 829	1 808	321	273	9.7
Spring Creek CDP, Elko County	3 567	60 109	135	209	873	1 339	592	365	27	27	11.7
Spring Valley CDP, Clark County	48 016	48 563	2 599	6 833	15 296	10 766	5 989	4 051	1 202	1 280	13.6
Staterline CDP, Douglas County	515	28 641	35	156	192	92	26	10	4	-	2.7
Summerlin South CDP, Clark County	1 513	64 784	43	128	251	477	291	231	40	52	21.3
Sunrise Manor CDP, Clark County	53 490	41 066	4 364	10 369	17 954	12 244	5 042	2 651	437	429	6.6
Sun Valley CDP, Washoe County	6 434	41 346	352	1 050	2 687	1 494	620	173	23	35	3.6
Sutcliffe CDP, Washoe County	99	31 250	17	26	27	21	2	6	-	-	6.1
Tonopah CDP, Nye County	1 167	37 401	196	185	367	217	172	24	-	6	2.6
Verdi-Mogul CDP, Washoe County	1 150	67 708	63	152	137	294	180	178	53	93	28.2
Wadsworth CDP, Washoe County	315	31 198	24	88	120	52	14	10	7	-	5.4
Wells city, Elko County	552	35 870	38	142	194	111	36	21	10	-	5.6
West Wendover city, Elko County	1 367	34 116	92	343	480	327	51	67	7	-	5.4
Whitney CDP, Clark County	6 911	36 536	522	1 528	2 492	1 535	546	213	47	28	4.2

Table 14. **Household Income in 1999: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place	Total households	Median income in 1999 (dollars)	Household income in 1999								Percent of households with income in 1999 of \$100,000 or more
			Less than \$10,000	\$10,000 to \$24,999	\$25,000 to \$49,999	\$50,000 to \$74,999	\$75,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 or more	
<b>PLACE</b> —Con.											
Winchester CDP, Clark County .....	12 026	32 251	1 259	3 392	4 074	1 721	737	531	134	178	7.0
Winnemucca city, Humboldt County .....	2 733	46 699	183	489	784	592	383	210	34	58	11.1
Yerington city, Lyon County .....	1 186	31 151	186	284	416	178	72	19	-	31	4.2
Zephyr Cove-Round Hill Village CDP, Douglas County .....	756	60 851	33	86	175	183	101	105	33	40	23.5

**Table 15. Poverty Status in 1999: 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Population for whom poverty status is determined									Families with income in 1999 below poverty level			
	Income in 1999 below poverty level									All families		Families with female householder, no husband present, with related children under 18 years	
	Total	All ages		Related children				Population 65 years and over		Number	Percent	Number	Percent
		Number	Percent	Under 18 years		5 to 17 years		Number	Percent				
				Number	Percent	Number	Percent						
<b>The State</b>	<b>1 962 948</b>	<b>205 685</b>	<b>10.5</b>	<b>66 679</b>	<b>13.5</b>	<b>43 634</b>	<b>12.3</b>	<b>15 284</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>37 877</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>15 085</b>	<b>26.3</b>
Churchill County	23 535	2 041	8.7	739	10.8	486	9.5	196	7.0	407	6.2	245	42.5
Carson Sink CCD	-	36	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)
Dixie Valley CCD	128	6	4.7	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Fallon CCD	23 371	2 035	8.7	739	10.8	486	9.5	196	7.1	407	6.2	245	42.5
Fallon city	7 274	914	12.6	318	15.6	196	13.4	92	10.3	182	9.5	137	48.2
Fallon Station CDP	1 391	66	4.7	18	2.9	-	-	-	(X)	9	2.5	-	-
Clark County	1 355 075	145 855	10.8	48 035	14.1	31 454	13.1	10 552	7.3	26 886	7.9	10 811	26.2
Clark CCD	45 320	3 956	8.7	1 419	13.2	920	11.8	330	5.2	741	6.0	286	29.1
Blue Diamond CDP	307	22	7.2	11	21.2	11	21.2	-	-	11	15.9	11	50.0
Boulder City city (part)	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Bunkerville CDP	1 086	86	7.9	57	13.4	25	7.6	10	8.9	9	3.6	-	(X)
Cal-Nev-Ari CDP	286	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Enterprise CDP (part)	682	36	5.3	-	-	-	-	19	23.8	9	4.5	-	(X)
Goodsprings CDP	239	22	9.2	-	-	-	-	10	19.6	-	-	-	(X)
Henderson city (part)	2 606	36	1.4	-	-	-	-	8	2.4	-	-	-	-
Indian Springs CDP	1 308	140	10.7	55	13.2	37	12.1	19	13.5	24	7.2	16	34.8
Las Vegas city (part)	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Laughlin CDP	6 785	652	9.6	171	14.7	114	13.2	24	1.9	153	7.5	88	33.6
Mesquite city	9 365	959	10.2	429	18.3	296	18.3	92	6.0	163	6.2	32	23.2
Moapa Town CDP	1 019	32	3.1	13	3.2	-	-	-	-	4	1.7	4	30.8
Moapa Valley CDP	5 963	410	6.9	146	7.3	146	9.3	79	8.3	91	5.7	26	24.5
Mount Charleston CDP	252	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
North Las Vegas city (part)	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Sandy Valley CDP	1 948	291	14.9	102	22.7	40	12.6	26	8.7	45	9.0	15	44.1
Searchlight CDP	768	112	14.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Spring Valley CDP (part)	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Summerlin South CDP (part)	436	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sunrise Manor CDP (part)	7 932	771	9.7	364	17.2	218	14.5	7	1.2	175	8.5	84	33.9
Las Vegas CCD	1 309 755	141 899	10.8	46 616	14.1	30 534	13.2	10 222	7.4	26 145	7.9	10 525	26.1
Boulder City city (part)	14 825	991	6.7	277	9.4	213	8.8	185	5.3	202	4.7	76	26.6
Enterprise CDP (part)	13 692	1 202	8.8	353	12.5	175	9.2	85	6.3	245	6.7	45	14.2
Henderson city (part)	171 909	9 738	5.7	2 747	6.4	1 921	6.2	794	4.7	1 847	4.0	814	17.7
Las Vegas city (part)	471 030	56 053	11.9	18 465	15.4	12 420	14.8	4 469	8.3	10 166	8.6	4 071	26.9
Nellis AFB CDP	8 408	932	11.1	454	15.4	230	12.6	14	16.1	219	10.0	74	41.6
North Las Vegas city (part)	113 589	16 763	14.8	7 471	19.6	4 975	18.8	545	8.8	3 202	11.8	1 197	30.5
Paradise CDP	183 839	21 749	11.8	5 767	15.3	3 462	13.3	1 557	7.6	3 569	8.1	1 330	25.6
Spring Valley CDP (part)	117 087	7 840	6.7	1 672	6.9	1 116	6.4	978	7.7	1 451	4.8	565	17.6
Summerlin South CDP (part)	3 236	118	3.6	29	5.1	16	4.7	-	-	15	1.5	-	-
Sunrise Manor CDP (part)	146 178	18 887	12.9	7 313	17.0	4 758	15.8	992	7.3	3 864	10.5	1 825	32.2
Whitney CDP	17 636	1 716	9.7	560	13.1	286	9.9	113	5.6	365	8.2	192	29.1
Winchester CDP	26 551	3 716	14.0	947	19.2	610	17.2	406	8.5	682	11.4	242	36.0
Douglas County	40 912	2 976	7.3	941	9.7	667	8.8	326	5.3	698	5.8	287	29.1
Gardnerville-Minden CCD	24 698	1 891	7.7	650	10.3	480	9.6	180	4.9	449	6.2	202	28.6
Gardnerville CDP	3 275	493	15.1	184	23.1	139	21.2	81	13.6	111	12.1	53	34.2
Gardnerville Ranchos CDP	11 096	797	7.2	276	8.8	229	9.4	41	3.0	203	6.4	102	28.2
Indian Hills CDP (part)	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Johnson Lane CDP	4 747	289	6.1	78	6.9	55	6.0	33	5.1	64	4.3	15	19.2
Minden CDP	2 848	153	5.4	63	10.2	20	4.2	10	1.5	32	3.7	16	22.2
Genoa-Jacks Valley CCD	6 610	278	4.2	77	4.8	54	4.5	58	6.0	84	4.3	39	31.2
Indian Hills CDP (part)	4 214	217	5.1	57	5.4	34	4.6	58	10.7	74	5.9	39	37.5
Pine Nut CCD	774	11	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Topaz Lake CCD	2 115	322	15.2	100	30.5	59	23.3	32	6.2	69	9.7	17	51.5
Zephyr Cove CCD	6 715	474	7.1	114	9.9	74	7.9	56	5.7	96	5.3	29	24.2
Kingsbury CDP	2 614	127	4.9	-	-	-	-	41	12.4	21	3.0	-	-
Stateline CDP	1 146	146	12.7	39	16.0	13	7.8	7	8.5	35	13.4	14	31.8
Zephyr Cove-Round Hill Village CDP	1 677	55	3.3	-	-	-	-	8	3.1	14	2.9	-	-
Elko County	44 446	3 947	8.9	1 356	9.5	849	8.1	204	7.6	813	7.0	269	28.4
Carlin CCD	2 178	168	7.7	65	10.2	39	8.1	5	3.0	25	4.1	14	35.0
Carlin city	2 167	168	7.8	65	10.2	39	8.2	5	3.0	25	4.1	14	35.0
Elko CCD	32 357	2 240	6.9	656	6.4	391	5.1	162	8.4	465	5.4	155	25.6
Elko city	16 365	1 338	8.2	435	8.9	224	6.4	108	8.4	252	6.1	102	32.2
Spring Creek CDP	10 912	458	4.2	101	2.7	77	2.6	30	8.2	89	2.9	24	15.8
Jackpot CCD	1 303	120	9.2	62	15.0	41	14.1	-	-	29	10.8	29	39.2
Jarbridge CCD	87	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)
Montello CCD	433	15	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mountain City CCD	1 334	419	31.4	145	39.1	91	33.7	29	20.3	66	21.4	15	27.8
Owyhee CDP	882	286	32.4	110	41.0	84	40.0	29	34.5	57	27.7	15	27.8
Wells CCD	1 966	190	9.7	80	14.7	39	9.4	3	1.3	41	7.4	15	34.9
Wells city	1 378	164	11.9	71	18.9	32	12.3	3	2.1	33	8.6	15	42.9
West Wendover CCD	4 788	795	16.6	348	19.3	248	20.4	5	3.6	187	17.0	41	33.1
West Wendover city	4 709	795	16.9	348	19.5	248	20.8	5	3.9	187	17.4	41	33.1
Esmeralda County	955	146	15.3	18	9.7	18	11.6	19	11.4	19	7.5	4	36.4
Goldfield CCD	438	53	12.1	-	-	-	-	12	11.5	3	2.4	-	-
Silverpeak CCD	517	93	18.0	18	13.7	18	16.4	7	11.1	16	12.7	4	50.0
Eureka County	1 638	206	12.6	53	11.7	43	12.0	34	16.4	39	8.9	6	33.3
Beowawe CCD	525	63	12.0	9	8.3	9	9.8	18	20.0	11	7.7	1	33.3
Eureka CCD	1 113	143	12.8	44	12.8	34	12.8	16	13.7	28	9.5	5	33.3
Humboldt County	15 846	1 539	9.7	516	10.4	336	9.2	126	10.8	321	7.7	86	26.3
Golconda CCD	9 857	784	8.0	319	10.0	190	8.2	37	5.5	173	6.6	54	24.7
Winnemucca city (part)	5 653	526	9.3	212	12.0	139	10.8	37	8.8	113	7.9	30	18.0
McDermitt CCD	1 305	371	28.4	110	29.6	72	25.2	62	40.0	84	26.6	14	41.2

Table 15. Poverty Status in 1999: 2000—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Population for whom poverty status is determined									Families with income in 1999 below poverty level			
	Income in 1999 below poverty level									All families		Families with female householder, no husband present, with related children under 18 years	
	Total	All ages		Related children				Population 65 years and over		Number	Percent	Number	Percent
		Number	Percent	Under 18 years		5 to 17 years		Number	Percent				
				Number	Percent	Number	Percent						
Humboldt County—Con.													
McDermitt CCD—Con.													
McDermitt CDP	294	97	33.0	28	23.9	20	22.0	14	35.0	20	37.7	5	50.0
Summit Lake CCD	105	64	61.0	37	100.0	37	100.0	-	-	17	85.0	-	(X)
Winnemucca CCD	4 579	320	7.0	50	3.6	37	3.6	27	8.1	47	3.8	18	24.3
Winnemucca city (part)	1 517	156	10.3	28	6.0	15	4.5	8	5.9	23	6.1	12	27.9
Lander County	5 762	720	12.5	249	13.5	210	14.8	49	12.9	135	8.6	44	31.9
Austin CCD	467	155	33.2	50	56.8	45	63.4	-	-	41	30.4	-	(X)
Battle Mountain CCD	5 295	565	10.7	199	11.4	165	12.3	49	16.1	94	6.6	44	31.9
Battle Mountain CDP	2 940	348	11.8	138	14.1	122	16.1	35	20.0	59	7.8	30	33.7
Lincoln County	3 794	626	16.5	210	19.6	145	17.9	114	17.4	118	11.5	38	36.5
Alamo CCD	1 086	156	14.4	50	15.9	27	11.8	28	17.0	34	11.4	-	-
Caliente CCD	1 000	217	21.7	90	31.4	53	24.8	31	18.0	46	16.8	22	51.2
Caliente city (part)	959	214	22.3	90	31.4	53	24.8	28	17.9	46	17.3	22	51.2
Pioche CCD	1 708	253	14.8	70	14.9	65	17.7	55	17.2	38	8.3	16	57.1
Caliente city (part)	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Lyon County	33 747	3 513	10.4	1 234	14.1	959	14.5	338	7.1	683	7.2	237	33.2
Dayton CCD	9 575	800	8.4	325	12.7	288	14.7	51	4.6	164	6.0	41	26.1
Dayton CDP	5 854	393	6.7	125	8.2	101	8.9	43	5.5	91	5.3	16	24.6
Fernley CCD	8 448	531	6.3	168	7.2	118	6.8	46	5.5	118	4.9	72	31.6
Fernley CDP	8 405	531	6.3	168	7.3	118	6.9	46	5.5	118	4.9	72	31.6
Silver Springs CCD	6 511	862	13.2	289	17.9	209	16.4	102	11.0	174	9.5	33	24.3
Silver Springs CDP	4 640	690	14.9	261	21.9	194	20.3	73	10.3	134	10.8	15	20.0
Smith Valley CCD	1 651	198	12.0	57	12.6	57	15.2	6	3.2	36	8.0	-	-
Smith Valley CDP	1 559	192	12.3	57	13.2	57	15.7	-	-	36	8.4	-	-
Yerington CCD	7 562	1 122	14.8	395	22.0	287	22.5	133	7.9	191	9.0	91	51.1
Yerington city	2 746	492	17.9	183	26.2	130	25.9	89	12.3	90	12.6	66	74.2
Mineral County	5 003	761	15.2	202	17.7	148	16.7	111	10.7	153	11.0	46	25.4
Hawthorne CCD	3 907	436	11.2	126	14.8	86	12.7	67	7.5	103	8.9	31	22.8
Hawthorne CDP	3 261	353	10.8	105	14.7	65	11.8	62	8.0	84	8.6	31	24.0
Schurz CDP (part)	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Mina CCD	240	52	21.7	6	30.0	6	37.5	8	22.9	10	20.4	-	(X)
Walker River CCD	856	273	31.9	70	26.3	56	29.0	36	31.9	40	21.2	15	33.3
Schurz CDP (part)	709	188	26.5	55	23.7	46	27.5	30	30.6	32	19.8	11	26.8
Nye County	32 135	3 454	10.7	967	13.1	721	12.9	491	8.3	663	7.3	220	35.4
Amargosa Valley CCD	1 125	167	14.8	64	22.6	45	19.9	18	16.5	34	13.8	7	35.0
Beatty CCD	1 083	144	13.3	23	7.1	17	6.9	22	18.8	28	10.4	9	36.0
Beatty CDP	1 078	144	13.4	23	7.1	17	6.9	22	19.6	28	10.4	9	36.0
Crystal CCD	7 029	997	14.2	376	24.6	296	25.2	71	5.4	204	9.6	56	32.7
Pahrump CDP (part)	7 001	997	14.2	376	24.6	296	25.2	71	5.4	204	9.7	56	32.7
Duckwater CCD	149	26	17.4	6	12.0	4	8.7	14	82.4	3	8.8	3	20.0
Gabbs CCD	475	75	15.8	12	9.3	6	6.3	11	16.4	15	12.7	8	34.8
Gabbs city	322	36	11.2	5	5.6	3	4.4	9	14.3	5	6.3	5	35.7
Pahrump CCD	17 572	1 644	9.4	407	10.8	296	10.6	294	7.5	320	6.4	110	40.3
Pahrump CDP (part)	17 572	1 644	9.4	407	10.8	296	10.6	294	7.5	320	6.4	110	40.3
Ralston CCD	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Round Mountain CCD	1 931	102	5.3	29	4.6	21	4.2	-	-	20	3.8	3	9.1
Tonopah CCD	2 771	299	10.8	50	7.1	36	7.3	61	19.1	39	5.4	24	38.7
Tonopah CDP	2 676	299	11.2	50	7.3	36	7.5	61	19.1	39	5.7	24	38.7
Yucca Flat CCD	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Pershing County	5 265	599	11.4	233	14.2	186	15.2	28	5.6	142	10.2	54	38.8
Imlay CCD	1 820	263	14.5	104	17.3	89	19.6	4	2.5	79	15.3	25	53.2
Lovelock CCD	3 445	336	9.8	129	12.3	97	12.6	24	7.2	63	7.1	29	31.5
Lovelock city	1 940	276	14.2	105	18.9	75	19.2	24	10.3	46	9.6	24	40.0
Storey County	3 374	195	5.8	26	4.2	26	5.4	22	4.8	25	2.5	6	14.0
Clark CCD	863	30	3.5	-	-	-	-	16	7.0	-	-	-	-
Virginia City CCD	2 511	165	6.6	26	5.0	26	6.5	6	2.7	25	3.5	6	18.8
Washoe County	334 412	33 318	10.0	10 018	12.2	6 220	10.5	2 150	6.2	5 629	6.7	2 244	24.4
High Desert CCD	884	156	17.6	24	12.1	24	14.5	7	7.6	17	6.9	10	27.0
Gerlach-Empire CDP	528	77	14.6	24	15.8	24	20.3	7	12.7	17	10.3	10	32.3
Incline Village CCD	9 835	653	6.6	153	7.5	91	5.9	21	1.8	93	3.4	10	7.2
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP (part)	9 835	653	6.6	153	7.5	91	5.9	21	1.8	93	3.4	10	7.2
North Valleys CCD	33 057	2 452	7.4	616	6.7	432	6.5	122	5.8	379	4.3	182	19.9
Cold Springs CDP	3 773	167	4.4	28	2.5	18	2.2	20	9.0	47	4.6	7	10.3
Lemmon Valley-Golden Valley CDP	6 765	337	5.0	79	4.7	68	5.1	24	4.4	68	3.6	33	23.4
Reno city (part)	17 601	1 708	9.7	458	8.9	305	8.4	44	4.6	209	4.7	114	19.0
Pyramid Lake CCD	1 711	311	18.2	103	18.7	74	18.8	22	12.6	63	14.9	20	22.0
Nixon CDP	460	121	26.3	48	29.8	25	23.4	10	25.6	23	22.3	9	25.7
Sutcliffe CDP	266	81	30.5	30	41.7	26	46.4	2	11.1	17	27.4	8	57.1
Wadsworth CDP	845	72	8.5	15	5.5	13	6.6	6	5.9	16	7.2	3	8.1
Reno North CCD	69 336	10 104	14.6	2 755	17.6	1 593	14.3	795	10.6	1 466	9.5	651	31.3
Reno city (part)	66 005	9 830	14.9	2 672	18.0	1 565	14.7	781	10.7	1 396	9.6	627	31.7
Sparks city (part)	2 180	228	10.5	83	13.3	28	8.2	6	10.0	56	10.8	24	27.9
Reno Southeast CCD	54 239	7 247	13.4	2 466	19.2	1 292	15.0	300	6.0	1 314	10.2	485	28.3
Reno city (part)	49 133	7 019	14.3	2 411	20.4	1 252	16.1	276	6.3	1 276	11.2	478	29.8
Reno Southwest CCD	52 979	3 778	7.1	1 041	9.5	711	8.5	339	4.0	633	4.4	277	21.5
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP (part)	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Reno city (part)	42 284	3 423	8.1	939	11.5	650	10.5	290	4.1	557	5.0	266	23.5
Sparks CCD	55 054	4 868	8.8	1 582	11.2	1 004	9.7	339	5.6	997	7.1	418	23.0
Sparks city (part)	54 559	4 855	8.9	1 582	11.2	1 004	9.7	339	5.7	997	7.2	418	23.0
Sun Valley CCD	20 889	2 270	10.9	872	14.1	650	14.7	96	5.9	376	7.2	122	20.3

Table 15. **Poverty Status in 1999: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Population for whom poverty status is determined									Families with income in 1999 below poverty level			
	Income in 1999 below poverty level									All families		Families with female householder, no husband present, with related children under 18 years	
	Total	All ages		Related children				Population 65 years and over		Number	Percent	Number	Percent
		Number	Percent	Under 18 years		5 to 17 years		Number	Percent				
				Number	Percent	Number	Percent						
Washoe County—Con.													
Sun Valley CCD—Con.													
Reno city (part)	1 610	252	15.7	104	29.7	78	35.6	19	12.6	47	13.2	32	59.3
Sparks city (part)	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)
Sun Valley CDP (part)	19 220	2 018	10.5	768	13.2	572	13.6	77	5.2	329	6.8	90	16.5
Verdi CCD	3 193	103	3.2	22	2.7	22	3.2	16	4.9	26	2.9	-	-
Reno city (part)	298	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Verdi-Mogul CDP	2 895	103	3.6	22	3.0	22	3.5	16	5.0	26	3.1	-	-
Warm Springs-Truckee Canyon CCD	21 753	726	3.3	189	2.9	157	3.3	16	1.3	131	2.1	49	16.2
Spanish Springs CDP	8 736	307	3.5	77	2.8	56	2.9	-	-	55	2.2	20	22.5
Sparks city (part)	8 937	207	2.3	23	0.9	23	1.2	14	2.7	43	1.7	15	13.4
Sun Valley CDP (part)	118	7	5.9	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)
Washoe Valley CCD	11 482	650	5.7	195	7.3	170	8.3	77	7.1	134	4.0	20	12.8
White Pine County	7 893	866	11.0	253	11.8	164	10.2	91	7.6	221	10.3	99	39.6
Baker CCD	296	31	10.5	9	20.9	9	28.1	-	-	16	14.3	14	77.8
Cherry Creek CCD	12	-	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Ely CCD	5 281	550	10.4	142	9.2	64	5.6	52	7.7	128	9.5	34	23.3
Ely city	3 907	489	12.5	131	12.0	62	7.5	52	9.2	113	11.3	32	23.7
Lund CCD	430	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
McGill CCD	1 390	210	15.1	66	24.4	61	29.2	30	10.9	65	15.7	51	64.6
McGill CDP	1 064	112	10.5	28	13.0	23	14.9	-	-	35	11.0	21	42.9
Ruth CCD	484	75	15.5	36	22.8	30	30.0	9	11.7	12	8.5	-	(X)
Carson City	49 156	4 923	10.0	1 629	13.7	1 002	11.6	433	5.8	925	6.9	389	22.2

**Table 16. Poverty Status in 1999: 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place	Population for whom poverty status is determined									Families with income in 1999 below poverty level			
	Income in 1999 below poverty level									All families		Families with female householder, no husband present, with related children under 18 years	
	Total	All ages		Related children				Population 65 years and over		Number	Percent	Number	Percent
		Number	Percent	Under 18 years		5 to 17 years		Number	Percent				
Number				Percent	Number	Percent							
<b>The State</b>	<b>1 962 948</b>	<b>205 685</b>	<b>10.5</b>	<b>66 679</b>	<b>13.5</b>	<b>43 634</b>	<b>12.3</b>	<b>15 284</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>37 877</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>15 085</b>	<b>26.3</b>
<b>COUNTY</b>													
Churchill County	23 535	2 041	8.7	739	10.8	486	9.5	196	7.0	407	6.2	245	42.5
Clark County	1 355 075	145 855	10.8	48 035	14.1	31 454	13.1	10 552	7.3	26 886	7.9	10 811	26.2
Douglas County	40 912	2 976	7.3	941	9.7	667	8.8	326	5.3	698	5.8	287	29.1
Elko County	44 446	3 947	8.9	1 356	9.5	849	8.1	204	7.6	813	7.0	269	28.4
Esmeralda County	955	146	15.3	18	9.7	18	11.6	19	11.4	19	7.5	4	36.4
Eureka County	1 638	206	12.6	53	11.7	43	12.0	34	16.4	39	8.9	6	33.3
Humboldt County	15 846	1 539	9.7	516	10.4	336	9.2	126	10.8	321	7.7	86	26.3
Lander County	5 762	720	12.5	249	13.5	210	14.8	49	12.9	135	8.6	44	31.9
Lincoln County	3 794	626	16.5	210	19.6	145	17.9	114	17.4	118	11.5	38	36.5
Lyon County	33 747	3 513	10.4	1 234	14.1	959	14.5	338	7.1	683	7.2	237	33.2
Mineral County	5 003	761	15.2	202	17.7	148	16.7	111	10.7	153	11.0	46	25.4
Nye County	32 135	3 454	10.7	967	13.1	721	12.9	491	8.3	663	7.3	220	35.4
Pershing County	5 265	599	11.4	233	14.2	186	15.2	28	5.6	142	10.2	54	38.8
Storey County	3 374	195	5.8	26	4.2	26	5.4	22	4.8	25	2.5	6	14.0
Washoe County	334 412	33 318	10.0	10 018	12.2	6 220	10.5	2 150	6.2	5 629	6.7	2 244	24.4
White Pine County	7 893	866	11.0	253	11.8	164	10.2	91	7.6	221	10.3	99	39.6
Carson City	49 156	4 923	10.0	1 629	13.7	1 002	11.6	433	5.8	925	6.9	389	22.2
<b>PLACE</b>													
Battle Mountain CDP, Lander County	2 940	348	11.8	138	14.1	122	16.1	35	20.0	59	7.8	30	33.7
Beatty CDP, Nye County	1 078	144	13.4	23	7.1	17	6.9	22	19.6	28	10.4	9	36.0
Blue Diamond CDP, Clark County	307	22	7.2	11	21.2	11	21.2	-	-	11	15.9	11	50.0
Boulder City city, Clark County	14 825	991	6.7	277	9.4	213	8.8	185	5.3	202	4.7	76	26.6
Bunkerville CDP, Clark County	1 086	86	7.9	57	13.4	25	7.6	10	8.9	9	3.6	-	-
Caliente city, Lincoln County	959	214	22.3	90	31.4	53	24.8	28	17.9	46	17.3	22	51.2
Cal-Nev-Ari CDP, Clark County	286	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Carlin city, Elko County	2 167	168	7.8	65	10.2	39	8.2	5	3.0	25	4.1	14	35.0
Carson City	49 156	4 923	10.0	1 629	13.7	1 002	11.6	433	5.8	925	6.9	389	22.2
Cold Springs CDP, Washoe County	3 773	167	4.4	28	2.5	18	2.2	20	9.0	47	4.6	7	10.3
Dayton CDP, Lyon County	5 854	393	6.7	125	8.2	101	8.9	43	5.5	91	5.3	16	24.6
Elko city, Elko County	16 365	1 338	8.2	435	8.9	224	6.4	108	8.4	252	6.1	102	32.2
Ely city, White Pine County	3 907	489	12.5	131	12.0	62	7.5	52	9.2	113	11.3	32	23.7
Enterprise CDP, Clark County	14 374	1 238	8.6	353	12.1	175	8.7	104	7.2	254	6.6	45	14.2
Fallon city, Churchill County	7 274	914	12.6	318	15.6	196	13.4	92	10.3	182	9.5	137	48.2
Fallon Station CDP, Churchill County	1 391	66	4.7	18	2.9	-	-	-	(X)	9	2.5	-	-
Fernley CDP, Lyon County	8 405	531	6.3	168	7.3	118	6.9	46	5.5	118	4.9	72	31.6
Gabbs city, Nye County	322	36	11.2	5	5.6	3	4.4	9	14.3	5	6.3	5	35.7
Gardnerville CDP, Douglas County	3 275	493	15.1	184	23.1	139	21.2	81	13.6	111	12.1	53	34.2
Gardnerville Ranchos CDP, Douglas County	11 096	797	7.2	276	8.8	229	9.4	41	3.0	203	6.4	102	28.2
Gerlach-Empire CDP, Washoe County	528	77	14.6	24	15.8	24	20.3	7	12.7	17	10.3	10	32.3
Goodsprings CDP, Clark County	239	22	9.2	-	-	-	-	10	19.6	-	-	-	(X)
Hawthorne CDP, Mineral County	3 261	353	10.8	105	14.7	65	11.8	62	8.0	84	8.6	31	24.0
Henderson city, Clark County	174 515	9 774	5.6	2 747	6.4	1 921	6.1	802	4.7	1 847	3.9	814	17.7
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP, Washoe County	9 835	653	6.6	153	7.5	91	5.9	21	1.8	93	3.4	10	7.2
Indian Hills CDP, Douglas County	4 214	217	5.1	57	5.4	34	4.6	58	10.7	74	5.9	39	37.5
Indian Springs CDP, Clark County	1 308	140	10.7	55	13.2	37	12.1	19	13.5	24	7.2	16	34.8
Johnson Lane CDP, Douglas County	4 747	289	6.1	78	6.9	55	6.0	33	5.1	64	4.3	15	19.2
Kingsbury CDP, Douglas County	2 614	127	4.9	-	-	-	-	41	12.4	21	3.0	-	-
Las Vegas city, Clark County	471 030	56 053	11.9	18 465	15.4	12 420	14.8	4 469	8.3	10 166	8.6	4 071	26.9
Laughlin CDP, Clark County	6 785	652	9.6	171	14.7	114	13.2	24	1.9	153	7.5	88	33.6
Lemmon Valley-Golden Valley CDP, Washoe County	6 765	337	5.0	79	4.7	68	5.1	24	4.4	68	3.6	33	23.4
Lovelock city, Pershing County	1 940	276	14.2	105	18.9	75	19.2	24	10.3	46	9.6	24	40.0
McDermitt CDP, Humboldt County	294	97	33.0	28	23.9	20	22.0	14	35.0	20	37.7	5	50.0
McGill CDP, White Pine County	1 064	112	10.5	28	13.0	23	14.9	-	-	35	11.0	21	42.9
Mesquite city, Clark County	9 365	959	10.2	429	18.3	296	18.3	92	6.0	163	6.2	32	23.2
Minden CDP, Douglas County	2 848	153	5.4	63	10.2	20	4.2	10	1.5	32	3.7	16	22.2
Moapa Town CDP, Clark County	1 019	32	3.1	13	3.2	-	-	-	-	4	1.7	4	30.8
Moapa Valley CDP, Clark County	5 963	410	6.9	146	7.3	146	9.3	79	8.3	91	5.7	26	24.5
Mount Charleston CDP, Clark County	252	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nellis AFB CDP, Clark County	8 408	932	11.1	454	15.4	230	12.6	14	16.1	219	10.0	74	41.6
Nixon CDP, Washoe County	460	121	26.3	48	29.8	25	23.4	10	25.6	23	22.3	9	25.7
North Las Vegas city, Clark County	113 589	16 763	14.8	7 471	19.6	4 975	18.8	545	8.8	3 202	11.8	1 197	30.5
Owyhee CDP, Elko County	882	286	32.4	110	41.0	84	40.0	29	34.5	57	27.7	15	27.8
Pahrump CDP, Nye County	24 573	2 641	10.7	783	14.8	592	14.9	365	7.0	524	7.3	166	37.4
Paradise CDP, Clark County	183 839	21 749	11.8	5 767	15.3	3 462	13.3	1 557	7.6	3 569	8.1	1 330	25.6
Reno city, Washoe County	176 931	22 232	12.6	6 584	16.3	3 850	13.5	1 410	7.1	3 485	8.3	1 517	28.3
Sandy Valley CDP, Clark County	1 948	291	14.9	102	22.7	40	12.6	26	8.7	45	9.0	15	44.1
Schurz CDP, Mineral County	709	188	26.5	55	23.7	46	27.5	30	30.6	32	19.8	11	26.8
Searchlight CDP, Clark County	768	112	14.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Silver Springs CDP, Lyon County	4 640	690	14.9	261	21.9	194	20.3	73	10.3	134	10.8	15	20.0
Smith Valley CDP, Lyon County	1 559	192	12.3	57	13.2	57	15.7	-	-	36	8.4	-	-
Spanish Springs CDP, Washoe County	8 736	307	3.5	77	2.8	56	2.9	-	-	55	2.2	20	22.5
Sparks city, Washoe County	65 735	5 290	8.0	1 688	9.8	1 055	8.4	359	5.5	1 096	6.5	457	22.7
Spring Creek CDP, Elko County	10 912	458	4.2	101	2.7	77	2.6	30	8.2	89	2.9	24	15.8
Spring Valley CDP, Clark County	117 087	7 840	6.7	1 672	6.9	1 116	6.4	978	7.7	1 451	4.8	565	17.6
Stataline CDP, Douglas County	1 146	146	12.7	39	16.0	13	7.8	7	8.5	35	13.4	14	31.8
Summerlin South CDP, Clark County	3 672	118	3.2	29	4.2	16	3.5	-	-	15	1.3	-	-
Sunrise Manor CDP, Clark County	154 110	19 658	12.8	7 677	17.0	4 976	15.8	999	7.0	4 039	10.4	1 909	32.2



Table 16. **Poverty Status in 1999: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place	Population for whom poverty status is determined									Families with income in 1999 below poverty level			
	Income in 1999 below poverty level									All families		Families with female householder, no husband present, with related children under 18 years	
	Total	All ages		Related children				Population 65 years and over		Number	Percent	Number	Percent
		Number	Percent	Under 18 years		5 to 17 years		Number	Percent				
				Number	Percent	Number	Percent						
<b>PLACE—Con.</b>													
Sun Valley CDP, Washoe County .....	19 338	2 025	10.5	768	13.1	572	13.5	77	5.2	329	6.8	90	16.5
Sutcliffe CDP, Washoe County .....	266	81	30.5	30	41.7	26	46.4	2	11.1	17	27.4	8	57.1
Tonopah CDP, Nye County .....	2 676	299	11.2	50	7.3	36	7.5	61	19.1	39	5.7	24	38.7
Verdi-Mogul CDP, Washoe County .....	2 895	103	3.6	22	3.0	22	3.5	16	5.0	26	3.1	-	-
Wadsworth CDP, Washoe County .....	845	72	8.5	15	5.5	13	6.6	6	5.9	16	7.2	3	8.1
Wells city, Elko County .....	1 378	164	11.9	71	18.9	32	12.3	3	2.1	33	8.6	15	42.9
West Wendover city, Elko County .....	4 709	795	16.9	348	19.5	248	20.8	5	3.9	187	17.4	41	33.1
Whitney CDP, Clark County .....	17 636	1 716	9.7	560	13.1	286	9.9	113	5.6	365	8.2	192	29.1
Winchester CDP, Clark County .....	26 551	3 716	14.0	947	19.2	610	17.2	406	8.5	682	11.4	242	36.0
Winnemucca city, Humboldt County .....	7 170	682	9.5	240	10.8	154	9.5	45	8.1	136	7.5	42	20.0
Yerington city, Lyon County .....	2 746	492	17.9	183	26.2	130	25.9	89	12.3	90	12.6	66	74.2
Zephyr Cove-Round Hill Village CDP, Douglas County .....	1 677	55	3.3	-	-	-	-	8	3.1	14	2.9	-	-

**Table 17. Units in Structure: 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total housing units	Units in structure								
		1 unit, detached	1 unit, attached	2 to 4 units	5 to 9 units	10 to 19 units	20 to 49 units	50 or more units	Mobile home	Boat, RV, van, etc.
<b>The State</b>	<b>827 457</b>	<b>432 437</b>	<b>44 977</b>	<b>73 185</b>	<b>65 837</b>	<b>44 593</b>	<b>23 674</b>	<b>59 031</b>	<b>79 861</b>	<b>3 862</b>
Churchill County	9 732	5 797	305	585	165	116	200	70	2 422	72
Carson Sink CCD	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	-
Dixie Valley CCD	120	77	-	5	-	-	-	-	12	26
Fallon CCD	9 599	5 720	305	580	165	116	200	70	2 397	46
Fallon city	3 283	1 955	94	519	165	116	184	62	181	7
Fallon Station CDP	375	156	197	16	-	-	-	-	6	-
Clark County	559 799	288 011	33 790	53 266	51 944	36 018	16 148	46 035	32 962	1 625
Clark CCD	22 207	9 387	1 468	1 950	1 693	1 048	386	674	5 083	518
Blue Diamond CDP	124	84	-	-	11	-	-	-	29	-
Boulder City city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bunkerville CDP	306	166	-	-	-	-	-	-	140	-
Cal-Nev-Ari CDP	201	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	155	28
Enterprise CDP (part)	303	196	-	-	-	-	11	-	96	-
Goodsprings CDP	201	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	124	-
Henderson city (part)	1 289	1 230	41	10	-	-	-	-	-	8
Indian Springs CDP	638	49	-	50	-	-	-	-	517	22
Las Vegas city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Laughlin CDP	3 984	841	363	350	1 041	684	196	296	189	24
Mesquite city	4 509	1 881	854	889	189	85	146	107	287	71
Moapa Town CDP	312	85	22	9	12	-	-	6	154	24
Moapa Valley CDP	2 343	1 153	19	48	24	21	9	-	1 002	67
Mount Charleston CDP	363	346	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
North Las Vegas city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sandy Valley CDP	820	107	-	-	-	-	11	-	654	48
Searchlight CDP	595	33	-	-	28	20	-	-	514	-
Spring Valley CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Summerlin South CDP (part)	286	277	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sunrise Manor CDP (part)	3 158	1 550	133	578	375	238	13	265	-	6
Las Vegas CCD	537 592	278 624	32 322	51 316	50 251	34 970	15 762	45 361	27 879	1 107
Boulder City city (part)	6 979	4 160	381	577	255	108	75	78	1 274	71
Enterprise CDP (part)	6 140	2 967	299	574	754	507	101	534	357	47
Henderson city (part)	70 139	46 096	4 228	3 874	7 362	3 450	605	2 332	2 019	173
Las Vegas city (part)	190 862	107 287	12 281	19 624	17 618	11 058	5 744	13 654	3 513	83
Nellis AFB CDP	3 051	643	748	324	399	153	136	245	403	-
North Las Vegas city (part)	36 585	26 417	816	4 027	1 765	1 051	431	997	960	121
Paradise CDP	85 538	30 569	5 959	9 077	7 662	7 252	5 230	17 105	2 500	184
Spring Valley CDP (part)	52 897	23 938	4 306	4 802	7 594	5 119	1 053	4 202	1 847	36
Summerlin South CDP (part)	1 442	1 203	9	82	105	-	-	43	-	-
Sunrise Manor CDP (part)	55 073	24 155	1 507	5 765	4 235	3 560	571	2 584	12 426	270
Whitney CDP	7 635	3 316	501	511	868	346	374	495	1 206	18
Winchester CDP	13 520	3 240	1 021	1 573	1 202	1 662	1 264	2 389	1 079	90
Douglas County	19 006	13 786	1 139	1 330	471	195	340	40	1 662	43
Gardnerville-Minden CCD	9 884	7 957	534	707	188	-	45	8	417	28
Gardnerville CDP	1 586	781	70	304	126	-	25	-	259	21
Gardnerville Ranchos CDP	4 150	3 373	347	328	52	-	9	8	33	-
Indian Hills CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Johnson Lane CDP	1 814	1 750	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	5
Minden CDP	1 248	1 109	33	75	10	-	11	-	10	-
Genoa-Jacks Valley CCD	2 736	2 374	36	-	63	-	12	-	251	-
Indian Hills CDP (part)	1 741	1 451	-	-	63	-	12	-	215	-
Pine Nut CCD	316	294	-	9	-	-	-	-	13	-
Topaz Lake CCD	1 079	347	20	13	-	-	-	-	684	15
Zephyr Cove CCD	4 991	2 814	549	601	220	195	283	32	297	-
Kingsbury CDP	1 941	1 008	70	412	114	106	98	6	127	-
Stateline CDP	573	49	33	56	20	82	167	26	140	-
Zephyr Cove-Round Hill Village CDP	1 394	793	380	101	86	7	18	-	9	-
Elko County	18 456	9 330	287	1 740	745	185	204	102	5 636	227
Carlin CCD	1 040	495	11	55	-	12	-	-	440	27
Carlin city	1 026	485	11	55	-	12	-	-	436	27
Elko CCD	12 817	6 900	194	1 027	602	102	137	82	3 650	123
Elko city	6 960	3 578	159	875	567	102	137	82	1 396	64
Spring Creek CDP	3 741	2 240	24	136	8	-	-	-	1 333	-
Jackpot CCD	560	76	-	177	14	-	53	-	231	9
Jarbridge CCD	198	166	-	-	-	-	-	-	32	-
Montello CCD	388	155	-	-	-	-	-	-	199	34
Mountain City CCD	774	595	35	16	29	2	-	-	97	-
Owyhee CDP	386	308	26	16	3	2	-	-	31	-
Wells CCD	1 071	656	16	11	24	10	3	3	334	14
Wells city	644	376	10	11	24	10	3	3	199	8
West Wendover CCD	1 608	287	31	454	76	59	11	17	653	20
West Wendover city	1 580	287	31	454	76	59	11	17	639	6
Esmeralda County	833	266	3	67	3	-	51	-	408	35
Goldfield CCD	429	159	3	10	-	-	51	-	195	11
Silverpeak CCD	404	107	-	57	3	-	-	-	213	24
Eureka County	1 025	334	20	34	3	-	-	-	599	35
Beowawe CCD	367	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	261	16
Eureka CCD	658	244	20	34	3	-	-	-	338	19
Humboldt County	6 954	3 175	56	332	120	70	109	7	2 943	142
Golconda CCD	4 269	1 940	29	274	76	54	109	-	1 692	95
Winnemucca city (part)	2 587	1 386	16	274	54	54	109	-	619	75
McDermitt CCD	659	325	-	7	-	2	-	-	301	24
McDermitt CDP	102	76	-	-	-	2	-	-	24	-
Summit Lake CCD	144	47	-	-	-	-	-	-	97	-
Winnemucca CCD	1 882	863	27	51	44	14	7	7	853	23
Winnemucca city (part)	732	536	27	51	39	14	-	7	42	16
Lander County	2 780	947	36	90	11	6	-	-	1 543	147
Austin CCD	357	119	9	8	-	-	-	-	160	61
Battle Mountain CCD	2 423	828	27	82	11	6	-	-	1 383	86
Battle Mountain CDP	1 411	508	27	82	11	6	-	-	728	49

Table 17. **Units in Structure: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total housing units	Units in structure								
		1 unit, detached	1 unit, attached	2 to 4 units	5 to 9 units	10 to 19 units	20 to 49 units	50 or more units	Mobile home	Boat, RV, van, etc.
Lincoln County	2 178	1 314	51	155	-	41	-	-	581	36
Alamo CCD	539	306	8	-	-	-	-	-	193	32
Caliente CCD	536	319	18	36	-	41	-	-	118	4
Caliente city (part)	466	265	18	36	-	41	-	-	104	2
Pioche CCD	1 103	689	25	119	-	-	-	-	270	-
Caliente city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lyon County	14 279	7 849	197	764	275	72	29	21	4 878	194
Dayton CCD	3 794	2 260	67	225	60	-	-	11	1 153	18
Dayton CDP	2 373	1 625	55	213	60	-	-	11	401	8
Fernley CCD	3 453	2 009	27	252	135	72	20	-	845	93
Fernley CDP	3 428	1 992	27	252	135	72	20	-	837	93
Silver Springs CCD	2 775	699	23	18	-	-	-	-	1 993	42
Silver Springs CDP	1 977	422	16	18	-	-	-	-	1 491	30
Smith Valley CCD	723	615	9	15	-	-	-	-	84	-
Smith Valley CDP	633	532	9	15	-	-	-	-	77	-
Yerington CCD	3 534	2 266	71	254	80	-	9	10	803	41
Yerington city	1 328	837	24	187	80	-	9	10	147	34
Mineral County	2 866	1 789	14	192	37	72	6	-	721	35
Hawthorne CCD	2 227	1 349	12	182	33	67	6	-	543	35
Hawthorne CDP	1 813	1 165	12	160	18	35	6	-	404	13
Schurz CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mina CCD	283	126	-	8	-	5	-	-	144	-
Walker River CCD	356	314	2	2	4	-	-	-	34	-
Schurz CDP (part)	320	278	2	2	4	-	-	-	34	-
Nye County	15 934	6 187	196	609	217	55	124	9	7 878	659
Amargosa Valley CCD	536	73	-	-	7	-	-	-	456	-
Beatty CCD	746	173	8	14	17	-	66	-	438	30
Beatty CDP	738	173	8	14	17	-	66	-	430	30
Crystal CCD	3 515	1 295	6	-	-	-	-	-	2 047	167
Pahrump CDP (part)	3 463	1 295	6	-	-	-	-	-	1 995	167
Duckwater CCD	92	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	19	23
Gabbs CCD	278	159	2	28	2	-	-	-	87	-
Gabbs city	188	119	2	9	-	-	-	-	58	-
Pahrump CCD	8 206	3 499	161	318	150	11	-	-	3 705	362
Pahrump CDP (part)	8 206	3 499	161	318	150	11	-	-	3 705	362
Ralston CCD	16	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Round Mountain CCD	937	163	12	16	-	-	-	-	676	70
Tonopah CCD	1 608	759	7	233	41	44	58	9	450	7
Tonopah CDP	1 572	749	7	233	41	44	58	9	424	7
Yucca Flat CCD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pershing County	2 389	1 023	44	102	49	10	59	3	1 044	55
Imlay CCD	865	220	7	12	-	-	7	-	589	30
Lovelock CCD	1 524	803	37	90	49	10	52	3	455	25
Lovelock city	957	549	24	79	49	10	52	-	188	6
Storey County	1 596	1 013	38	106	27	-	-	-	405	7
Clark CCD	455	247	-	17	-	-	-	-	184	7
Virginia City CCD	1 141	766	38	89	27	-	-	-	221	-
Washoe County	143 908	76 503	7 824	12 058	10 883	6 719	5 327	11 748	12 386	460
High Desert CCD	526	139	15	123	6	17	-	-	218	8
Gerlach-Empire CDP	305	53	15	99	6	17	-	-	115	-
Incline Village CCD	7 660	3 887	1 124	1 119	507	422	288	237	66	10
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP (part)	7 660	3 887	1 124	1 119	507	422	288	237	66	10
North Valleys CCD	12 127	7 108	1 344	401	254	52	43	114	2 768	43
Cold Springs CDP	1 370	925	11	-	-	-	-	-	434	-
Lemmon Valley-Golden Valley CDP	2 482	1 912	21	6	-	10	-	-	533	-
Reno city (part)	6 424	2 990	1 312	352	254	42	43	114	1 285	32
Pyramid Lake CCD	668	435	5	46	-	3	1	-	175	3
Nixon CDP	160	122	-	21	-	3	-	-	14	-
Sutcliffe CDP	104	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	45	-
Wadsworth CDP	353	208	5	25	-	-	1	-	111	3
Reno North CCD	30 938	13 613	1 492	2 881	3 139	2 391	1 803	4 216	1 283	120
Reno city (part)	29 640	13 138	1 359	2 640	2 996	2 324	1 794	4 037	1 232	120
Sparks city (part)	798	37	128	241	137	67	9	-	179	-
Reno Southeast CCD	24 249	8 432	1 428	3 266	3 253	1 655	1 632	2 884	1 625	74
Reno city (part)	22 239	6 783	1 410	3 182	3 119	1 644	1 632	2 833	1 575	61
Reno Southwest CCD	24 483	15 555	1 050	1 475	1 579	1 028	1 019	2 410	319	48
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reno city (part)	20 394	11 751	1 034	1 436	1 567	1 028	1 019	2 390	121	48
Sparks CCD	21 905	12 641	1 245	2 136	1 607	987	531	1 737	895	126
Sparks city (part)	21 698	12 438	1 241	2 136	1 607	987	531	1 737	895	126
Sun Valley CCD	7 471	2 480	31	510	254	91	10	150	3 945	-
Reno city (part)	759	-	17	303	102	47	-	77	213	-
Sparks city (part)	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sun Valley CDP (part)	6 691	2 459	14	207	152	44	10	73	3 732	-
Verdi CCD	1 306	1 136	-	16	8	-	-	-	127	19
Reno city (part)	136	136	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Verdi-Mogul CDP	1 170	1 000	-	16	8	-	-	-	127	19
Warm Springs-Truckee Canyon CCD	8 054	7 434	66	41	253	61	-	-	199	-
Spanish Springs CDP	3 036	2 949	13	19	21	-	-	-	34	-
Sparks city (part)	3 566	3 231	28	14	232	61	-	-	-	-
Sun Valley CDP (part)	44	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Washoe Valley CCD	4 521	3 643	24	44	23	12	-	-	766	9
White Pine County	4 439	3 131	87	233	57	27	10	58	808	28
Baker CCD	192	123	13	-	-	-	-	-	56	-
Cherry Creek CCD	122	73	-	-	-	-	-	-	36	13
Ely CCD	2 875	1 994	33	217	37	27	10	58	484	15
Ely city	2 265	1 638	28	217	37	27	10	58	250	-
Lund CCD	182	117	-	-	-	-	-	-	65	-

Table 17. **Units in Structure: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G.  
For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total housing units	Units in structure								
		1 unit, detached	1 unit, attached	2 to 4 units	5 to 9 units	10 to 19 units	20 to 49 units	50 or more units	Mobile home	Boat, RV, van, etc.
White Pine County—Con.										
McGill CCD .....	799	646	41	-	20	-	-	-	92	-
McGill CDP .....	586	473	29	-	20	-	-	-	64	-
Ruth CCD .....	269	178	-	16	-	-	-	-	75	-
Carson City .....	21 283	11 982	890	1 522	830	1 007	1 067	938	2 985	62

**Table 18. Units in Structure: 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place	Total housing units	Units in structure								
		1 unit, detached	1 unit, attached	2 to 4 units	5 to 9 units	10 to 19 units	20 to 49 units	50 or more units	Mobile home	Boat, RV, van, etc.
<b>The State</b> .....	<b>827 457</b>	<b>432 437</b>	<b>44 977</b>	<b>73 185</b>	<b>65 837</b>	<b>44 593</b>	<b>23 674</b>	<b>59 031</b>	<b>79 861</b>	<b>3 862</b>
<b>COUNTY</b>										
Churchill County .....	9 732	5 797	305	585	165	116	200	70	2 422	72
Clark County .....	559 799	288 011	33 790	53 266	51 944	36 018	16 148	46 035	32 962	1 625
Douglas County .....	19 006	13 786	1 139	1 330	471	195	340	40	1 662	43
Elko County .....	18 456	9 330	287	1 740	745	185	204	102	5 636	227
Esmeralda County .....	833	266	3	67	3	-	51	-	408	35
Eureka County .....	1 025	334	20	34	3	-	-	-	599	35
Humboldt County .....	6 954	3 175	56	332	120	70	109	7	2 943	142
Lander County .....	2 780	947	36	90	11	6	-	-	1 543	147
Lincoln County .....	2 178	1 314	51	155	-	41	-	-	581	36
Lyon County .....	14 279	7 849	197	764	275	72	29	21	4 878	194
Mineral County .....	2 866	1 789	14	192	37	72	6	-	721	35
Nye County .....	15 934	6 187	196	609	217	55	124	9	7 878	659
Pershing County .....	2 389	1 023	44	102	49	10	59	3	1 044	55
Storey County .....	1 596	1 013	38	106	27	-	-	-	405	7
Washoe County .....	143 908	76 503	7 824	12 058	10 883	6 719	5 327	11 748	12 386	460
White Pine County .....	4 439	3 131	87	233	57	27	10	58	808	28
Carson City .....	21 283	11 982	890	1 522	830	1 007	1 067	938	2 985	62
<b>PLACE</b>										
Battle Mountain CDP, Lander County .....	1 411	508	27	82	11	6	-	-	728	49
Beatty CDP, Nye County .....	738	173	8	14	17	-	66	-	430	30
Blue Diamond CDP, Clark County .....	124	84	-	-	11	-	-	-	29	-
Boulder City city, Clark County .....	6 979	4 160	381	577	255	108	75	78	1 274	71
Bunkerville CDP, Clark County .....	306	166	-	-	-	-	-	-	140	-
Caliente city, Lincoln County .....	466	265	18	36	-	41	-	-	104	2
Cal-Nev-Ari CDP, Clark County .....	201	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	155	28
Carlin city, Elko County .....	1 026	485	11	55	-	12	-	-	436	27
Carson City .....	21 283	11 982	890	1 522	830	1 007	1 067	938	2 985	62
Cold Springs CDP, Washoe County .....	1 370	925	11	-	-	-	-	-	434	-
Dayton CDP, Lyon County .....	2 373	1 625	55	213	60	-	-	11	401	8
Elko city, Elko County .....	6 960	3 578	159	875	567	102	137	82	1 396	64
Ely city, White Pine County .....	2 265	1 638	28	217	37	27	10	58	250	-
Enterprise CDP, Clark County .....	6 443	3 163	299	574	754	507	112	534	453	47
Fallon city, Churchill County .....	3 283	1 955	94	519	165	116	184	62	181	7
Fallon Station CDP, Churchill County .....	375	156	197	16	-	-	-	-	6	-
Fernley CDP, Lyon County .....	3 428	1 992	27	252	135	72	20	-	837	93
Gabbs city, Nye County .....	188	119	2	9	-	-	-	-	58	-
Gardnerville CDP, Douglas County .....	1 586	781	70	304	126	-	25	-	259	21
Gardnerville Ranchos CDP, Douglas County .....	4 150	3 373	347	328	52	-	9	8	33	-
Gerlach-Empire CDP, Washoe County .....	305	53	15	99	6	17	-	-	115	-
Goodsprings CDP, Clark County .....	201	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	124	-
Hawthorne CDP, Mineral County .....	1 813	1 165	12	160	18	35	6	-	404	13
Henderson city, Clark County .....	71 428	47 326	4 269	3 884	7 362	3 450	605	2 332	2 019	181
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP, Washoe County .....	7 660	3 887	1 124	1 119	507	422	288	237	66	10
Indian Hills CDP, Douglas County .....	1 741	1 451	-	-	63	-	12	-	215	-
Indian Springs CDP, Clark County .....	638	49	-	50	-	-	-	-	517	22
Johnson Lane CDP, Douglas County .....	1 814	1 750	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	5
Kingsbury CDP, Douglas County .....	1 941	1 008	70	412	114	106	98	6	127	-
Las Vegas city, Clark County .....	190 862	107 287	12 281	19 624	17 618	11 058	5 744	13 654	3 513	83
Laughlin CDP, Clark County .....	3 984	841	363	350	1 041	684	196	296	189	24
Lemmon Valley-Golden Valley CDP, Washoe County .....	2 482	1 912	21	6	-	10	-	-	533	-
Lovelock city, Pershing County .....	957	549	24	79	49	10	52	-	188	6
McDermitt CDP, Humboldt County .....	102	76	-	-	-	2	-	-	24	-
McGill CDP, White Pine County .....	586	473	29	-	20	-	-	-	64	-
Mesquite city, Clark County .....	4 509	1 881	854	889	189	85	146	107	287	71
Minden CDP, Douglas County .....	1 248	1 109	33	75	10	-	11	-	10	-
Moapa Town CDP, Clark County .....	312	85	22	9	12	-	-	6	154	24
Moapa Valley CDP, Clark County .....	2 343	1 153	19	48	24	21	9	-	1 002	67
Mount Charleston CDP, Clark County .....	363	346	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nellis AFB CDP, Clark County .....	3 051	643	748	324	399	153	136	245	403	-
Nixon CDP, Washoe County .....	160	122	-	21	-	3	-	-	14	-
North Las Vegas city, Clark County .....	36 585	26 417	816	4 027	1 765	1 051	431	997	960	121
Owyhee CDP, Elko County .....	386	308	26	16	3	2	-	-	31	-
Pahrump CDP, Nye County .....	11 669	4 794	167	318	150	11	-	-	5 700	529
Paradise CDP, Clark County .....	85 538	30 569	5 959	9 077	7 662	7 252	5 230	17 105	2 500	184
Reno city, Washoe County .....	79 592	34 798	5 132	7 913	8 038	5 085	4 488	9 451	4 426	261
Sandy Valley CDP, Clark County .....	820	107	-	-	-	-	11	-	654	48
Schurz CDP, Mineral County .....	320	278	2	2	4	-	-	-	34	-
Searchlight CDP, Clark County .....	595	33	-	-	28	20	-	-	514	-
Silver Springs CDP, Lyon County .....	1 977	422	16	18	-	-	-	-	1 491	30
Smith Valley CDP, Lyon County .....	633	532	9	15	-	-	-	-	77	-
Spanish Springs CDP, Washoe County .....	3 036	2 949	13	19	21	-	-	-	34	-
Sparks city, Washoe County .....	26 083	15 727	1 397	2 391	1 976	1 115	540	1 916	895	126
Spring Creek CDP, Elko County .....	3 741	2 240	24	136	8	-	-	-	1 333	-
Spring Valley CDP, Clark County .....	52 897	23 938	4 306	4 802	7 594	5 119	1 053	4 202	1 847	36
Staterline CDP, Douglas County .....	573	49	33	56	20	82	167	26	140	-
Summerlin South CDP, Clark County .....	1 728	1 480	18	82	105	-	-	43	-	-
Sunrise Manor CDP, Clark County .....	58 231	25 705	1 640	6 343	4 610	3 798	584	2 849	12 426	276
Sun Valley CDP, Washoe County .....	6 735	2 503	14	207	152	44	10	73	3 732	-
Sutcliffe CDP, Washoe County .....	104	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	45	-
Tonopah CDP, Nye County .....	1 572	749	7	233	41	44	58	9	424	7
Verdi-Mogul CDP, Washoe County .....	1 170	1 000	-	16	8	-	-	-	127	19
Wadsworth CDP, Washoe County .....	353	208	5	25	-	-	1	-	111	3
Wells city, Elko County .....	644	376	10	11	24	10	3	3	199	8
West Wendover city, Elko County .....	1 580	287	31	454	76	59	11	17	639	6
Whitney CDP, Clark County .....	7 635	3 316	501	511	868	346	374	495	1 206	18
Winchester CDP, Clark County .....	13 520	3 240	1 021	1 573	1 202	1 662	1 264	2 389	1 079	90

Table 18. **Units in Structure: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G.  
For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place	Total housing units	Units in structure								
		1 unit, detached	1 unit, attached	2 to 4 units	5 to 9 units	10 to 19 units	20 to 49 units	50 or more units	Mobile home	Boat, RV, van, etc.
<b>PLACE—Con.</b>										
Winnemucca city, Humboldt County .....	3 319	1 922	43	325	93	68	109	7	661	91
Yerington city, Lyon County .....	1 328	837	24	187	80	-	9	10	147	34
Zephyr Cove-Round Hill Village CDP, Douglas County .....	1 394	793	380	101	86	7	18	-	9	-

**Table 19. Physical Housing Characteristics: 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total housing units	Year structure built			Rooms			No bedrooms or 1 bedroom	Lacking complete plumbing facilities	Lacking complete kitchen facilities
		1995 to March 2000	1939 or earlier	Median	1 or 2	6 or more	Median			
<b>The State</b>	<b>827 457</b>	<b>216 802</b>	<b>13 921</b>	<b>1 986</b>	<b>85 536</b>	<b>325 627</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>165 420</b>	<b>5 598</b>	<b>8 577</b>
Churchill County	9 732	1 858	636	1 980	558	4 111	5.2	1 300	140	123
Carson Sink CCD	13	5	-	1 968	-	5	4.3	-	-	-
Dixie Valley CCD	120	13	33	1 964	33	26	4.0	49	52	52
Fallon CCD	9 599	1 840	603	1 981	525	4 080	5.2	1 251	88	71
Fallon city	3 283	472	277	1 978	277	996	4.6	736	-	-
Fallon Station CDP	375	57	-	1 979	-	115	4.8	8	-	-
Clark County	559 799	168 547	2 736	1 989	59 392	214 043	4.9	115 591	2 760	4 236
Clark CCD	22 207	7 871	234	1 990	1 686	7 648	4.8	3 377	366	328
Blue Diamond CDP	124	23	-	1 972	-	26	4.6	24	-	-
Boulder City city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bunkerville CDP	306	77	15	1 985	9	165	5.6	23	-	-
Cal-Nev-Ari CDP	201	30	-	1 981	36	29	3.9	62	-	-
Enterprise CDP (part)	303	75	14	1 986	14	133	5.3	21	-	-
Goodsprings CDP	201	24	76	1 966	24	28	4.0	76	26	41
Henderson city (part)	1 289	1 274	-	1 999	8	907	6.4	8	-	-
Indian Springs CDP	638	108	-	1 981	34	83	4.6	60	8	8
Las Vegas city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Laughlin CDP	3 984	349	-	1 987	203	789	4.4	616	12	12
Mesquite city	4 509	3 085	26	1 996	236	1 514	4.8	426	25	30
Moapa Town CDP	312	74	-	1 987	62	115	4.7	86	13	26
Moapa Valley CDP	2 343	576	45	1 986	116	1 140	5.4	347	18	22
Mount Charleston CDP	363	54	-	1 982	14	141	4.9	47	-	-
North Las Vegas city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sandy Valley CDP	820	111	20	1 982	79	223	4.4	189	33	24
Searchlight CDP	595	9	29	1 974	68	60	4.0	168	27	27
Spring Valley CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Summerlin South CDP (part)	286	275	-	1 999	-	286	7.7	-	-	-
Sunrise Manor CDP (part)	3 158	969	9	1 989	348	1 245	5.0	430	6	15
Las Vegas CCD	537 592	160 676	2 502	1 989	57 706	206 395	4.9	112 214	2 394	3 908
Boulder City city (part)	6 979	922	562	1 979	386	3 185	5.3	718	6	16
Enterprise CDP (part)	6 140	3 415	-	1 996	436	2 522	5.1	1 239	-	17
Henderson city (part)	70 139	30 325	133	1 994	3 296	36 166	5.6	8 106	242	249
Las Vegas city (part)	190 862	53 472	1 067	1 989	20 568	75 070	5.0	39 891	937	1 993
Nellis AFB CDP	3 051	834	25	1 988	499	745	4.4	744	10	-
North Las Vegas city (part)	36 585	14 538	207	1 992	2 536	15 659	5.2	5 377	294	265
Paradise CDP	85 538	15 805	246	1 982	16 866	25 599	4.3	28 513	255	612
Spring Valley CDP (part)	52 897	21 865	11	1 993	3 717	19 646	4.9	9 477	80	125
Summerlin South CDP (part)	1 442	1 427	-	1 999	19	838	5.8	125	-	-
Sunrise Manor CDP (part)	55 073	14 174	161	1 987	5 148	18 113	4.8	9 717	345	431
Whitney CDP	7 635	1 986	-	1 985	805	2 268	4.7	1 616	48	46
Winchester CDP	13 520	431	83	1 974	2 371	2 592	4.0	4 979	118	123
Douglas County	19 006	3 006	433	1 983	769	10 038	5.6	1 324	111	109
Gardnerville-Minden CCD	9 884	1 780	234	1 987	342	5 336	5.6	575	46	28
Gardnerville CDP	1 586	366	98	1 981	138	475	4.7	207	34	16
Gardnerville Ranchos CDP	4 150	465	29	1 986	91	2 133	5.5	144	-	-
Indian Hills CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Johnson Lane CDP	1 814	528	17	1 990	18	1 167	5.9	29	12	12
Minden CDP	1 248	320	68	1 991	42	823	6.0	88	-	-
Genoa-Jacks Valley CCD	2 736	857	79	1 988	48	1 712	5.9	111	28	24
Indian Hills CDP (part)	1 741	618	15	1 989	9	989	5.7	22	24	24
Pine Nut CCD	316	137	-	1 994	12	181	5.8	12	-	-
Topaz Lake CCD	1 079	119	7	1 981	48	446	5.2	91	-	-
Zephyr Cove CCD	4 991	113	113	1 975	319	2 363	5.4	535	37	57
Kingsbury CDP	1 941	38	21	1 976	131	860	5.2	142	5	5
Stateline CDP	573	-	-	1 970	122	90	4.0	237	7	33
Zephyr Cove-Round Hill Village CDP	1 394	20	47	1 973	31	673	5.4	97	25	19
Elko County	18 456	3 883	1 133	1 984	1 456	7 607	5.1	2 994	515	482
Carlin CCD	1 040	122	118	1 976	104	357	4.9	194	13	12
Carlin city	1 026	122	115	1 976	101	350	4.9	191	13	9
Elko CCD	12 817	2 745	676	1 985	844	5 841	5.3	1 768	265	277
Elko city	6 960	764	584	1 980	625	2 647	4.9	1 377	89	131
Spring Creek CDP	3 741	1 352	10	1 992	61	2 157	5.8	120	28	16
Jackpot CCD	560	64	21	1 984	108	79	4.0	203	-	-
Jarbridge CCD	198	73	45	1 968	33	87	5.2	65	65	32
Montello CCD	388	91	35	1 979	57	103	4.4	106	67	67
Mountain City CCD	774	83	85	1 976	53	365	5.4	88	44	46
Owyhee CDP	386	43	20	1 982	21	123	4.9	45	7	9
Wells CCD	1 071	135	142	1 974	66	382	4.9	210	42	28
Wells city	644	54	108	1 971	36	225	4.8	112	11	-
West Wendover CCD	1 608	570	11	1 990	191	393	4.6	360	19	20
West Wendover city	1 580	570	11	1 990	191	379	4.6	360	5	6
Esmeralda County	833	61	97	1 974	138	143	4.1	252	56	57
Goldfield CCD	429	15	88	1 971	68	80	4.1	142	28	28
Silverpeak CCD	404	46	9	1 976	70	63	4.1	110	28	29
Eureka County	1 025	161	98	1 978	92	261	4.6	157	44	57
Beowawe CCD	367	65	14	1 980	53	39	4.2	70	13	26
Eureka CCD	658	96	84	1 978	39	222	4.9	87	31	31
Humboldt County	6 954	1 465	409	1 982	502	2 832	5.1	1 121	107	135
Golconda CCD	4 269	980	186	1 984	330	1 735	5.1	754	62	62
Winnemucca city (part)	2 587	345	150	1 980	259	938	4.8	578	55	55
McDermitt CCD	659	54	62	1 977	53	231	4.9	127	31	32
McDermitt CDP	102	5	3	1 974	5	23	4.3	20	13	14
Summit Lake CCD	144	-	-	1 966	4	58	5.2	4	8	24
Winnemucca CCD	1 882	431	161	1 981	115	808	5.3	236	6	17
Winnemucca city (part)	732	73	125	1 969	81	277	5.0	170	-	11
Lander County	2 780	338	95	1 980	306	993	4.9	476	76	82
Austin CCD	357	15	19	1 981	68	115	4.7	83	61	61
Battle Mountain CCD	2 423	323	76	1 980	238	878	4.9	393	15	21
Battle Mountain CDP	1 411	64	76	1 975	191	356	4.4	327	15	21

Table 19. Physical Housing Characteristics: 2000—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total housing units	Year structure built			Rooms			No bedrooms or 1 bedroom	Lacking complete plumbing facilities	Lacking complete kitchen facilities
		1995 to March 2000	1939 or earlier	Median	1 or 2	6 or more	Median			
Lincoln County	2 178	206	480	1 974	205	733	4.8	487	72	105
Alamo CCD	539	37	25	1 980	28	241	5.2	75	21	41
Caliente CCD	536	38	149	1 962	37	124	4.7	128	20	25
Caliente city (part)	466	22	127	1 962	32	115	4.7	109	6	11
Pioche CCD	1 103	131	306	1 972	140	368	4.7	284	31	39
Caliente city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lyon County	14 279	3 894	521	1 985	583	6 239	5.3	1 539	120	131
Dayton CCD	3 794	1 253	99	1 991	84	1 778	5.4	307	19	15
Dayton CDP	2 373	991	37	1 993	54	1 179	5.5	196	-	-
Fernley CCD	3 453	1 192	44	1 988	126	1 649	5.4	331	20	13
Fernley CDP	3 428	1 175	44	1 988	126	1 634	5.4	331	20	13
Silver Springs CCD	2 775	902	-	1 986	122	1 083	5.1	355	66	55
Silver Springs CDP	1 977	671	-	1 986	76	759	5.1	274	54	27
Smith Valley CCD	723	119	75	1 979	21	402	5.7	70	-	-
Smith Valley CDP	633	115	75	1 981	14	347	5.7	63	-	-
Yerington CCD	3 534	428	303	1 973	230	1 327	5.1	476	15	48
Yerington city	1 328	137	169	1 972	110	426	4.9	289	-	-
Mineral County	2 866	142	155	1 971	172	874	4.8	465	147	156
Hawthorne CCD	2 227	104	136	1 970	103	659	4.8	350	22	22
Hawthorne CDP	1 813	27	128	1 966	60	563	4.9	241	-	-
Schurz CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mina CCD	283	22	16	1 966	65	61	4.1	105	106	111
Walker River CCD	356	16	3	1 983	4	154	5.3	10	19	23
Schurz CDP (part)	320	16	3	1 982	4	135	5.3	10	17	21
Nye County	15 934	4 831	377	1 989	1 154	6 606	5.2	2 407	234	217
Amargosa Valley CCD	536	57	-	1 977	13	186	5.0	36	-	-
Beatty CCD	746	91	63	1 978	81	194	4.3	223	18	10
Beatty CDP	738	91	63	1 979	81	194	4.3	223	18	10
Crystal CCD	3 515	1 213	-	1 992	337	1 616	5.3	538	73	79
Pahrump CDP (part)	3 463	1 213	-	1 992	319	1 616	5.4	501	73	79
Duckwater CCD	92	-	13	1 978	23	3	3.7	26	-	-
Gabbs CCD	278	15	5	1 956	25	79	4.7	39	9	18
Gabbs city	188	1	-	1 950	14	60	4.8	23	4	13
Pahrump CCD	8 206	3 230	40	1 993	510	3 687	5.3	1 008	112	69
Pahrump CDP (part)	8 206	3 230	40	1 993	510	3 687	5.3	1 008	112	69
Ralston CCD	16	16	-	1 997	-	-	4.0	-	-	-
Round Mountain CCD	937	175	58	1 984	50	335	5.0	184	-	-
Tonopah CCD	1 608	34	198	1 977	115	506	4.8	353	22	41
Tonopah CDP	1 572	24	198	1 977	115	497	4.8	343	22	41
Yucca Flat CCD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pershing County	2 389	549	254	1 978	218	797	4.8	416	67	63
Imlay CCD	865	279	64	1 987	103	249	4.7	138	32	28
Lovelock CCD	1 524	270	190	1 973	115	548	4.9	278	35	35
Lovelock city	957	109	138	1 965	72	250	4.4	228	18	20
Storey County	1 596	248	311	1 983	96	742	5.4	237	7	18
Clark CCD	455	75	7	1 988	27	180	5.2	53	7	-
Virginia City CCD	1 141	173	304	1 979	69	562	5.5	184	-	18
Washoe County	143 908	24 206	4 714	1 979	17 871	59 235	5.0	32 471	979	2 271
High Desert CCD	526	70	31	1 977	98	99	4.6	147	53	20
Gerlach-Empire CDP	305	28	6	1 970	27	52	4.5	63	14	6
Incline Village CCD	7 660	566	30	1 980	246	3 882	5.5	697	-	27
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP (part)	7 660	566	30	1 980	246	3 882	5.5	697	-	27
North Valleys CCD	12 127	2 790	42	1 983	427	6 161	5.5	1 117	45	50
Cold Springs CDP	1 370	290	-	1 986	11	830	5.8	39	-	-
Lemmon Valley-Golden Valley CDP	2 482	166	11	1 977	32	1 465	5.8	182	-	-
Reno city (part)	6 424	1 941	31	1 986	350	2 827	5.2	744	34	50
Pyramid Lake CCD	668	48	13	1 979	16	288	5.3	41	-	-
Nixon CDP	160	7	3	1 980	-	63	5.2	3	-	-
Sutcliffe CDP	104	-	-	1 976	5	44	5.2	11	-	-
Wadsworth CDP	353	39	10	1 980	11	146	5.2	27	-	-
Reno North CCD	30 938	5 982	1 680	1 978	6 641	9 404	4.4	10 598	362	1 487
Reno city (part)	29 640	5 610	1 670	1 978	6 419	9 015	4.4	10 223	348	1 480
Sparks city (part)	798	29	-	1 984	216	44	3.6	337	14	7
Reno Southeast CCD	24 249	3 325	866	1 977	4 847	5 524	4.0	8 970	195	212
Reno city (part)	22 239	3 008	848	1 977	4 768	4 114	3.8	8 761	195	212
Reno Southwest CCD	24 483	2 589	1 202	1 976	2 227	13 182	5.8	4 502	103	102
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reno city (part)	20 394	1 604	1 143	1 975	2 098	9 741	5.3	4 249	74	74
Sparks CCD	21 905	1 005	715	1 975	2 850	8 136	4.9	5 058	143	267
Sparks city (part)	21 698	983	707	1 975	2 850	7 979	4.8	5 036	143	267
Sun Valley CCD	7 471	2 208	35	1 986	365	3 030	5.2	771	40	25
Reno city (part)	759	47	-	1 980	122	42	3.7	247	33	18
Sparks city (part)	21	-	-	1 975	-	8	5.3	-	-	-
Sun Valley CDP (part)	6 691	2 161	35	1 987	243	2 980	5.3	524	7	7
Verdi CCD	1 306	221	35	1 985	50	843	6.5	125	-	-
Reno city (part)	136	113	-	1 999	-	117	7.4	-	-	-
Verdi-Mogul CDP	1 170	108	35	1 984	50	726	6.4	125	-	-
Warm Springs-Truckee Canyon CCD	8 054	4 484	13	1 996	21	5 753	6.2	226	38	72
Spanish Springs CDP	3 036	1 410	-	1 994	-	1 955	6.0	68	-	-
Sparks city (part)	3 566	2 609	9	1 997	11	2 957	6.6	59	38	45
Sun Valley CDP (part)	44	44	-	1 997	-	35	5.9	-	-	-
Washoe Valley CCD	4 521	918	52	1 982	83	2 933	6.2	219	-	9
White Pine County	4 439	435	1 063	1 962	313	1 543	5.0	772	124	135
Baker CCD	192	-	69	1 962	-	3	4.5	36	10	10
Cherry Creek CCD	122	-	12	1 970	62	12	2.5	74	74	74
Ely CCD	2 875	335	523	1 965	172	1 129	5.1	474	8	19
Ely city	2 265	91	514	1 957	152	791	4.9	444	4	19
Lund CCD	182	-	35	1 973	16	86	5.4	16	-	-



Table 19. **Physical Housing Characteristics: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G.  
For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total housing units	Year structure built			Rooms			No bedrooms or 1 bedroom	Lacking complete plumbing facilities	Lacking complete kitchen facilities
		1995 to March 2000	1939 or earlier	Median	1 or 2	6 or more	Median			
White Pine County—Con.										
McGill CCD .....	799	74	382	1 942	44	185	4.9	129	32	32
McGill CDP .....	586	22	322	1940-	26	120	4.9	75	8	8
Ruth CCD .....	269	26	42	1 968	19	128	5.4	43	-	-
Carson City .....	21 283	2 972	409	1 979	1 711	8 830	5.1	3 411	39	200

**Table 20. Physical Housing Characteristics: 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place	Total housing units	Year structure built			Rooms			No bedrooms or 1 bedroom	Lacking complete plumbing facilities	Lacking complete kitchen facilities
		1995 to March 2000	1939 or earlier	Median	1 or 2	6 or more	Median			
<b>The State</b> .....	<b>827 457</b>	<b>216 802</b>	<b>13 921</b>	<b>1 986</b>	<b>85 536</b>	<b>325 627</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>165 420</b>	<b>5 598</b>	<b>8 577</b>
<b>COUNTY</b>										
Churchill County .....	9 732	1 858	636	1 980	558	4 111	5.2	1 300	140	123
Clark County .....	559 799	168 547	2 736	1 989	59 392	214 043	4.9	115 591	2 760	4 236
Douglas County .....	19 006	3 006	433	1 983	769	10 038	5.6	1 324	111	109
Elko County .....	18 456	3 883	1 133	1 984	1 456	7 607	5.1	2 994	515	482
Esmeralda County .....	833	61	97	1 974	138	143	4.1	252	56	57
Eureka County .....	1 025	161	98	1 978	92	261	4.6	157	44	57
Humboldt County .....	6 954	1 465	409	1 982	502	2 832	5.1	1 121	107	135
Lander County .....	2 780	338	95	1 980	306	993	4.9	476	76	82
Lincoln County .....	2 178	206	480	1 974	205	733	4.8	487	72	105
Lyon County .....	14 279	3 894	521	1 985	583	6 239	5.3	1 539	120	131
Mineral County .....	2 866	142	155	1 971	172	874	4.8	465	147	156
Nye County .....	15 934	4 831	377	1 989	1 154	6 606	5.2	2 407	234	217
Pershing County .....	2 389	549	254	1 978	218	797	4.8	416	67	63
Storey County .....	1 596	248	311	1 983	96	742	5.4	237	7	18
Washoe County .....	143 908	24 206	4 714	1 979	17 871	59 235	5.0	32 471	979	2 271
White Pine County .....	4 439	435	1 063	1 962	313	1 543	5.0	772	124	135
Carson City .....	21 283	2 972	409	1 979	1 711	8 830	5.1	3 411	39	200
<b>PLACE</b>										
Battle Mountain CDP, Lander County .....	1 411	64	76	1 975	191	356	4.4	327	15	21
Beatty CDP, Nye County .....	738	91	63	1 979	81	194	4.3	223	18	10
Blue Diamond CDP, Clark County .....	124	23	-	1 972	-	26	4.6	24	-	-
Boulder City city, Clark County .....	6 979	922	562	1 979	386	3 185	5.3	718	6	16
Bunkerville CDP, Clark County .....	306	77	15	1 985	9	165	5.6	23	-	-
Caliente city, Lincoln County .....	466	22	127	1 962	32	115	4.7	109	6	11
Cal-Nev-Ari CDP, Clark County .....	201	30	-	1 981	36	29	3.9	62	-	-
Carlin city, Elko County .....	1 026	122	115	1 976	101	350	4.9	191	13	9
Carson City .....	21 283	2 972	409	1 979	1 711	8 830	5.1	3 411	39	200
Cold Springs CDP, Washoe County .....	1 370	290	-	1 986	11	830	5.8	39	-	-
Dayton CDP, Lyon County .....	2 373	991	37	1 993	54	1 179	5.5	196	-	-
Elko city, Elko County .....	6 960	764	584	1 980	625	2 647	4.9	1 377	89	131
Ely city, White Pine County .....	2 265	91	514	1 957	152	791	4.9	444	4	19
Enterprise CDP, Clark County .....	6 443	3 490	14	1 995	450	2 655	5.1	1 260	-	17
Fallon city, Churchill County .....	3 283	472	277	1 978	277	996	4.6	736	-	-
Fallon Station CDP, Churchill County .....	375	57	-	1 979	-	115	4.8	8	-	-
Fernley CDP, Lyon County .....	3 428	1 175	44	1 988	126	1 634	5.4	331	20	13
Gabbs city, Nye County .....	188	1	-	1 950	14	60	4.8	23	4	13
Gardnerville CDP, Douglas County .....	1 586	366	98	1 981	138	475	4.7	207	34	16
Gardnerville Ranchos CDP, Douglas County .....	4 150	465	29	1 986	91	2 133	5.5	144	-	-
Gerlach-Empire CDP, Washoe County .....	305	28	6	1 970	27	52	4.5	63	14	6
Goodsprings CDP, Clark County .....	201	24	76	1 966	24	28	4.0	76	26	41
Hawthorne CDP, Mineral County .....	1 813	27	128	1 966	60	563	4.9	241	-	-
Henderson city, Clark County .....	71 428	31 599	133	1 994	3 304	37 073	5.6	8 114	242	249
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP, Washoe County .....	7 660	566	30	1 980	246	3 882	5.5	697	-	27
Indian Hills CDP, Douglas County .....	1 741	618	15	1 989	9	989	5.7	22	24	24
Indian Springs CDP, Clark County .....	638	108	-	1 981	34	83	4.6	60	8	8
Johnson Lane CDP, Douglas County .....	1 814	528	17	1 990	18	1 167	5.9	29	12	12
Kingsbury CDP, Douglas County .....	1 941	38	21	1 976	131	860	5.2	142	5	5
Las Vegas city, Clark County .....	190 862	53 472	1 067	1 989	20 568	75 070	5.0	39 891	937	1 993
Laughlin CDP, Clark County .....	3 984	349	-	1 987	203	789	4.4	616	12	12
Lemmon Valley-Golden Valley CDP, Washoe County .....	2 482	166	11	1 977	32	1 465	5.8	182	-	-
Lovelock city, Pershing County .....	957	109	138	1 965	72	250	4.4	228	18	20
McDermitt CDP, Humboldt County .....	102	5	3	1 974	5	23	4.3	20	13	14
McGill CDP, White Pine County .....	586	22	322	1940-	26	120	4.9	75	8	8
Mesquite city, Clark County .....	4 509	3 085	26	1 996	236	1 514	4.8	426	25	30
Minden CDP, Douglas County .....	1 248	320	68	1 991	42	823	6.0	88	-	-
Moapa Town CDP, Clark County .....	312	74	-	1 987	62	115	4.7	86	13	26
Moapa Valley CDP, Clark County .....	2 343	576	45	1 986	116	1 140	5.4	347	18	22
Mount Charleston CDP, Clark County .....	363	54	-	1 982	14	141	4.9	47	-	-
Nellis AFB CDP, Clark County .....	3 051	834	25	1 988	499	745	4.4	744	10	-
Nixon CDP, Washoe County .....	160	7	3	1 980	-	63	5.2	3	-	-
North Las Vegas city, Clark County .....	36 585	14 538	207	1 992	2 536	15 659	5.2	5 377	294	265
Owyhee CDP, Elko County .....	386	43	20	1 982	21	123	4.9	45	7	9
Pahrump CDP, Nye County .....	11 669	4 443	40	1 992	829	5 303	5.3	1 509	185	148
Paradise CDP, Clark County .....	85 538	15 805	246	1 982	16 866	25 599	4.3	28 513	255	612
Reno city, Washoe County .....	79 592	12 323	3 692	1 977	13 757	25 856	4.5	24 224	684	1 834
Sandy Valley CDP, Clark County .....	820	111	20	1 982	79	223	4.4	189	33	24
Schurz CDP, Mineral County .....	320	16	3	1 982	4	135	5.3	10	17	21
Searchlight CDP, Clark County .....	595	9	29	1 974	68	60	4.0	168	27	27
Silver Springs CDP, Lyon County .....	1 977	671	-	1 986	76	759	5.1	274	54	27
Smith Valley CDP, Lyon County .....	633	115	75	1 981	14	347	5.7	63	-	-
Spanish Springs CDP, Washoe County .....	3 036	1 410	-	1 994	-	1 955	6.0	68	-	-
Sparks city, Washoe County .....	26 083	3 621	716	1 978	3 077	10 988	5.1	5 432	195	319
Spring Creek CDP, Elko County .....	3 741	1 352	10	1 992	61	2 157	5.8	120	28	16
Spring Valley CDP, Clark County .....	52 897	21 865	11	1 993	3 717	19 646	4.9	9 477	80	125
Staterline CDP, Douglas County .....	573	-	-	1 970	122	90	4.0	237	7	33
Summerlin South CDP, Clark County .....	1 728	1 702	-	1 999	19	1 124	6.1	125	-	-
Sunrise Manor CDP, Clark County .....	58 231	15 143	170	1 987	5 496	19 358	4.8	10 147	351	446
Sun Valley CDP, Washoe County .....	6 735	2 205	35	1 987	243	3 015	5.3	524	7	7
Sutcliffe CDP, Washoe County .....	104	-	-	1 976	5	44	5.2	11	-	-
Tonopah CDP, Nye County .....	1 572	24	198	1 977	115	497	4.8	343	22	41
Verdi-Mogul CDP, Washoe County .....	1 170	108	35	1 984	50	726	6.4	125	-	-
Wadsworth CDP, Washoe County .....	353	39	10	1 980	11	146	5.2	27	-	-
Wells city, Elko County .....	644	54	108	1 971	36	225	4.8	112	11	-
West Wendover city, Elko County .....	1 580	570	11	1 990	191	379	4.6	360	5	6
Whitney CDP, Clark County .....	7 635	1 986	-	1 985	805	2 268	4.7	1 616	48	46
Winchester CDP, Clark County .....	13 520	431	83	1 974	2 371	2 592	4.0	4 979	118	123

Table 20. **Physical Housing Characteristics: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G.  
For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place	Total housing units	Year structure built			Rooms			No bedrooms or 1 bedroom	Lacking complete plumbing facilities	Lacking complete kitchen facilities
		1995 to March 2000	1939 or earlier	Median	1 or 2	6 or more	Median			
<b>PLACE</b> —Con.										
Winnemucca city, Humboldt County .....	3 319	418	275	1 978	340	1 215	4.9	748	55	66
Yerington city, Lyon County .....	1 328	137	169	1 972	110	426	4.9	289	-	-
Zephyr Cove-Round Hill Village CDP, Douglas County .....	1 394	20	47	1 973	31	673	5.4	97	25	19

**Table 21. Fuels and Equipment Characteristics: 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Occupied housing units	House heating fuel					Vehicles available				Percent no telephone service available
		Utility gas	Electricity	Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	Coal or coke, wood, solar energy, or other fuel	None	None	1	2 or more		
<b>The State</b>	<b>751 165</b>	<b>423 073</b>	<b>268 691</b>	<b>11 385</b>	<b>10 630</b>	<b>1 643</b>	<b>65 690</b>	<b>284 881</b>	<b>400 594</b>	<b>2.3</b>	
Churchill County	8 912	5 519	715	421	459	16	507	2 578	5 827	1.6	
Carson Sink CCD	13	-	5	-	-	-	8	-	5	61.5	
Dixie Valley CCD	81	-	-	39	16	9	11	36	34	38.3	
Fallon CCD	8 818	5 519	710	382	443	7	488	2 542	5 788	1.2	
Fallon city	2 954	2 550	218	61	26	7	320	1 178	1 456	0.8	
Fallon Station CDP	373	273	100	-	-	-	-	107	266	-	
Clark County	512 253	280 198	223 277	316	1 025	1 176	48 636	206 117	257 500	2.2	
Clark CCD	17 603	4 988	10 740	63	287	64	1 152	6 847	9 604	4.3	
Blue Diamond CDP	116	58	38	-	-	-	-	61	55	-	
Boulder City city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	
Bunkerville CDP	282	8	265	-	-	-	-	66	216	4.3	
Cal-Nev-Ari CDP	171	125	32	-	-	-	34	65	72	-	
Enterprise CDP (part)	290	-	182	9	-	37	-	72	218	4.8	
Goodsprings CDP	148	-	88	-	-	-	11	53	84	17.6	
Henderson city (part)	1 095	984	103	-	-	-	8	273	814	-	
Indian Springs CDP	498	37	229	15	33	-	-	212	286	5.4	
Las Vegas city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	
Laughlin CDP	3 008	1 335	1 634	-	-	13	317	1 444	1 247	1.8	
Mesquite city	3 564	165	3 356	9	7	7	287	1 493	1 784	3.0	
Moapa Town CDP	274	21	224	-	-	-	6	101	167	7.3	
Moapa Valley CDP	2 025	62	1 805	-	51	-	56	702	1 267	1.3	
Mount Charleston CDP	125	-	47	10	23	-	-	82	43	-	
North Las Vegas city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	
Sandy Valley CDP	763	29	326	-	78	-	37	188	538	8.3	
Searchlight CDP	427	-	225	-	24	-	61	188	178	16.6	
Spring Valley CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	
Summerlin South CDP (part)	164	139	25	-	-	-	-	31	133	-	
Sunrise Manor CDP (part)	2 939	1 548	1 374	-	-	-	150	1 212	1 577	1.2	
Las Vegas CCD	494 650	275 210	212 537	253	738	1 112	47 484	199 270	247 896	2.2	
Boulder City city (part)	6 385	3 570	2 661	6	35	23	331	2 352	3 702	0.9	
Enterprise CDP (part)	5 478	2 426	2 884	-	10	-	223	1 989	3 266	1.6	
Henderson city (part)	65 446	45 114	19 525	8	96	74	2 588	23 280	39 578	0.8	
Las Vegas city (part)	176 848	96 843	77 900	103	203	421	18 901	71 196	86 751	2.3	
Nellis AFB CDP	2 911	2 006	887	-	-	-	203	1 285	1 423	3.1	
North Las Vegas city (part)	34 011	20 847	12 645	16	57	97	2 974	11 251	19 786	3.3	
Paradise CDP	77 374	33 258	43 025	51	82	287	11 492	34 107	31 775	2.9	
Spring Valley CDP (part)	48 012	31 008	16 469	26	112	18	2 794	20 096	25 122	0.7	
Summerlin South CDP (part)	1 366	1 191	175	-	-	-	55	419	892	-	
Sunrise Manor CDP (part)	50 589	29 685	20 066	16	49	146	4 615	21 271	24 703	2.7	
Whitney CDP	6 922	3 878	2 953	-	-	10	736	3 399	2 787	3.1	
Winchester CDP	11 963	3 887	7 798	10	-	10	2 115	6 149	3 699	4.4	
Douglas County	16 401	12 622	834	536	781	19	439	4 330	11 632	0.9	
Gardnerville-Minden CCD	9 517	7 961	542	263	403	19	235	2 584	6 698	1.0	
Gardnerville CDP	1 521	1 299	162	6	-	19	65	756	700	2.4	
Gardnerville Ranchos CDP	4 034	3 388	283	89	191	-	104	1 085	2 845	0.5	
Indian Hills CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	
Johnson Lane CDP	1 776	1 623	17	42	87	-	21	255	1 500	0.8	
Minden CDP	1 153	1 069	17	57	-	-	25	339	789	1.0	
Genoa-Jacks Valley CCD	2 574	1 891	126	132	70	-	68	455	2 051	0.3	
Indian Hills CDP (part)	1 666	1 560	67	10	8	-	60	353	1 253	-	
Pine Nut CCD	301	130	15	-	43	-	-	13	288	-	
Topaz Lake CCD	941	49	31	56	190	-	51	239	651	1.4	
Zephyr Cove CCD	3 068	2 591	120	85	75	-	85	1 039	1 944	0.7	
Kingsbury CDP	1 185	1 053	26	22	49	-	30	367	788	0.9	
Stataline CDP	516	484	25	-	7	-	33	271	212	1.0	
Zephyr Cove-Round Hill Village CDP	780	693	41	6	19	-	12	221	547	-	
Elko County	15 638	6 151	3 393	486	1 339	11	767	4 780	10 091	3.9	
Carlin CCD	806	531	161	3	44	-	29	235	542	3.8	
Carlin city	802	527	161	3	44	-	29	235	538	3.9	
Elko CCD	11 463	5 491	1 321	341	981	7	500	3 053	7 910	2.1	
Elko city	6 221	4 973	740	260	109	-	398	2 161	3 662	2.5	
Spring Creek CDP	3 522	113	364	34	577	-	48	528	2 946	0.5	
Jackpot CCD	473	12	364	20	25	-	33	232	208	9.3	
Jarbridge CCD	34	-	14	-	8	-	-	17	17	-	
Montello CCD	192	-	87	-	18	-	-	40	152	6.3	
Mountain City CCD	504	2	211	49	128	-	57	191	256	9.9	
Owyhee CDP	339	2	201	18	100	-	34	150	155	14.7	
Wells CCD	773	22	277	73	103	4	46	254	473	5.4	
Wells city	533	16	203	47	71	4	35	192	306	6.8	
West Wendover CCD	1 393	93	958	-	32	-	102	758	533	13.4	
West Wendover city	1 365	93	958	-	32	-	102	744	519	12.6	
Esmeralda County	455	10	62	15	97	4	21	177	257	9.0	
Goldfield CCD	224	6	33	3	41	-	-	86	138	4.9	
Silverpeak CCD	231	4	29	12	56	4	21	91	119	13.0	
Eureka County	666	21	153	3	125	-	53	187	426	9.0	
Beowawe CCD	229	17	25	-	25	-	28	62	139	13.5	
Eureka CCD	437	4	128	3	100	-	25	125	287	6.6	
Humboldt County	5 733	3 308	953	95	277	-	309	1 539	3 885	3.2	
Golconda CCD	3 553	2 072	541	58	165	-	212	933	2 408	1.7	
Winnemucca city (part)	2 186	1 625	375	28	68	-	147	663	1 376	1.6	
McDermitt CCD	451	2	247	3	32	-	42	103	306	11.3	
McDermitt CDP	95	2	45	3	25	-	22	29	44	22.1	
Summit Lake CCD	59	-	24	-	8	-	-	22	37	64.4	
Winnemucca CCD	1 670	1 234	141	34	72	-	55	481	1 134	2.2	
Winnemucca city (part)	624	542	47	19	-	-	49	208	367	3.5	
Lander County	2 093	1 197	220	44	129	-	116	580	1 397	3.7	
Austin CCD	220	8	21	26	50	-	10	24	186	-	
Battle Mountain CCD	1 873	1 189	199	18	79	-	106	556	1 211	4.1	
Battle Mountain CDP	1 052	823	157	7	7	-	78	381	593	4.0	

Table 21. Fuels and Equipment Characteristics: 2000—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Occupied housing units	House heating fuel					Vehicles available				Percent no telephone service available
		Utility gas	Electricity	Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	Coal or coke, wood, solar energy, or other fuel	None	None	1	2 or more		
Lincoln County	1 540	6	827	38	485	4	165	494	881	4.3	
Alamo CCD	386	-	251	7	89	-	22	132	232	5.2	
Caliente CCD	437	6	238	12	129	2	62	172	203	7.8	
Caliente city (part)	411	6	228	10	126	2	62	159	190	7.3	
Pioche CCD	717	-	338	19	267	2	81	190	446	1.7	
Caliente city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	
Lyon County	13 007	8 460	472	518	774	-	587	3 364	9 056	2.4	
Dayton CCD	3 584	3 007	75	37	155	-	98	830	2 656	2.4	
Dayton CDP	2 254	1 949	58	20	64	-	54	579	1 621	2.0	
Fernley CCD	3 170	2 724	126	36	60	-	143	823	2 204	1.4	
Fernley CDP	3 152	2 706	126	36	60	-	143	815	2 194	1.4	
Silver Springs CCD	2 537	1 136	118	74	246	-	125	623	1 789	4.2	
Silver Springs CDP	1 790	716	102	74	127	-	85	472	1 233	4.5	
Smith Valley CCD	621	13	23	102	99	-	29	98	494	2.9	
Smith Valley CDP	575	13	23	95	78	-	15	84	476	3.1	
Yerington CCD	3 095	1 580	130	269	214	-	192	990	1 913	1.8	
Yerington city	1 182	1 011	53	61	-	-	155	469	558	1.7	
Mineral County	2 197	233	121	62	452	-	115	838	1 244	6.7	
Hawthorne CCD	1 746	225	109	20	324	-	72	661	1 013	4.9	
Hawthorne CDP	1 470	204	95	12	270	-	72	571	827	5.1	
Schurz CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	
Mina CCD	139	-	-	20	35	-	16	39	84	18.0	
Walker River CCD	312	8	12	22	93	-	27	138	147	11.5	
Schurz CDP (part)	276	8	10	22	78	-	27	127	122	13.0	
Nye County	13 309	86	8 018	81	653	29	579	4 198	8 532	4.8	
Amargosa Valley CCD	422	-	185	-	51	-	6	126	290	7.8	
Beatty CCD	548	-	284	-	32	-	55	190	303	9.5	
Beatty CDP	540	-	284	-	32	-	55	190	295	9.6	
Crystal CCD	2 973	22	1 982	16	92	-	71	1 108	1 794	4.6	
Pahrump CDP (part)	2 958	22	1 967	16	92	-	71	1 108	1 779	4.1	
Duckwater CCD	69	-	19	1	19	-	6	23	40	18.8	
Gabbs CCD	204	-	12	12	106	-	16	62	126	23.0	
Gabbs city	142	-	7	3	77	-	12	48	82	12.0	
Pahrump CCD	7 234	19	5 222	15	134	24	301	2 162	4 771	3.4	
Pahrump CDP (part)	7 234	19	5 222	15	134	24	301	2 162	4 771	3.4	
Ralston CCD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	
Round Mountain CCD	707	-	30	12	106	-	30	172	535	2.0	
Tonopah CCD	1 152	45	284	25	113	5	124	355	673	8.2	
Tonopah CDP	1 116	28	284	15	113	5	124	355	637	8.5	
Yucca Flat CCD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	
Pershing County	1 962	732	135	66	203	-	105	685	1 172	7.6	
Imlay CCD	673	24	34	12	83	-	15	221	437	9.8	
Lovelock CCD	1 289	708	101	54	120	-	90	464	735	6.5	
Lovelock city	780	610	33	30	60	-	74	299	407	6.8	
Storey County	1 462	256	126	107	204	-	86	357	1 019	2.1	
Clark CCD	399	229	6	6	28	-	8	142	249	-	
Virginia City CCD	1 063	27	120	101	176	-	78	215	770	2.9	
Washoe County	132 084	87 259	25 899	7 983	2 750	359	11 700	46 325	74 059	1.9	
High Desert CCD	365	22	35	22	51	-	18	116	231	14.8	
Gerlach-Empire CDP	242	-	6	16	45	-	18	66	158	10.7	
Incline Village CCD	4 170	3 528	510	32	55	6	95	1 288	2 787	0.7	
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP (part)	4 170	3 528	510	32	55	6	95	1 288	2 787	0.7	
North Valleys CCD	11 494	6 016	1 140	679	664	-	253	3 449	7 792	0.9	
Cold Springs CDP	1 316	16	55	18	276	-	-	241	1 075	0.7	
Lemmon Valley-Golden Valley CDP	2 394	731	205	271	187	-	35	521	1 838	0.8	
Reno city (part)	6 034	4 832	784	85	31	-	177	2 238	3 619	0.9	
Pyramid Lake CCD	614	313	10	9	19	-	23	257	334	3.3	
Nixon CDP	144	13	-	5	11	-	13	80	51	7.6	
Sutcliffe CDP	99	15	-	4	8	-	4	25	70	-	
Wadsworth CDP	320	285	10	-	-	-	6	132	182	1.9	
Reno North CCD	28 598	18 619	7 267	1 469	527	132	4 297	11 048	13 253	3.8	
Reno city (part)	27 383	17 817	6 927	1 447	527	132	4 243	10 579	12 561	4.0	
Sparks city (part)	751	393	327	-	-	-	54	382	315	1.1	
Reno Southeast CCD	22 397	13 412	6 824	1 175	232	88	3 139	9 320	9 938	2.3	
Reno city (part)	20 458	12 175	6 621	886	223	88	3 088	8 994	8 376	2.4	
Reno Southwest CCD	23 281	15 277	3 723	3 238	414	80	1 516	8 408	13 357	0.6	
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	
Reno city (part)	19 352	12 632	3 528	2 548	315	80	1 460	7 652	10 240	0.7	
Sparks CCD	21 033	15 309	4 527	473	186	31	1 746	8 082	11 205	1.6	
Sparks city (part)	20 826	15 262	4 522	361	170	31	1 737	8 055	11 034	1.7	
Sun Valley CCD	7 082	5 625	971	26	173	22	420	2 269	4 393	1.8	
Reno city (part)	700	342	340	-	8	-	122	291	287	4.3	
Sparks city (part)	21	13	-	8	-	-	-	-	21	-	
Sun Valley CDP (part)	6 361	5 270	631	18	165	22	298	1 978	4 085	1.6	
Verdi CCD	1 245	759	55	134	38	-	30	273	942	2.3	
Reno city (part)	96	80	-	-	-	-	-	-	96	-	
Verdi-Mogul CDP	1 149	679	55	134	38	-	30	273	846	2.5	
Warm Springs-Truckee Canyon CCD	7 429	6 132	558	68	121	-	47	1 083	6 299	0.6	
Spanish Springs CDP	2 973	2 448	243	21	43	-	21	316	2 636	0.6	
Sparks city (part)	3 052	2 841	156	6	27	-	21	447	2 584	0.3	
Sun Valley CDP (part)	44	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	44	-	
Washoe Valley CCD	4 376	2 247	279	658	270	-	116	732	3 528	0.4	
White Pine County	3 282	61	1 108	357	618	10	199	997	2 086	4.5	
Baker CCD	133	-	24	-	16	-	10	15	108	4.5	
Cherry Creek CCD	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	-	100.0	
Ely CCD	2 209	29	881	281	323	10	176	763	1 270	4.2	
Ely city	1 697	4	679	274	243	10	157	641	899	4.5	
Lund CCD	143	-	30	22	43	-	-	8	135	-	

Table 21. **Fuels and Equipment Characteristics: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Occupied housing units	House heating fuel					Vehicles available				Percent no telephone service available
		Utility gas	Electricity	Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	Coal or coke, wood, solar energy, or other fuel	None	None	1	2 or more		
White Pine County—Con.											
McGill CCD .....	588	32	128	47	182	-	6	150	432	5.1	
McGill CDP .....	452	7	88	47	152	-	6	121	325	4.0	
Ruth CCD .....	197	-	45	7	54	-	7	49	141	3.6	
Carson City .....	20 171	16 954	2 378	257	259	15	1 306	7 335	11 530	1.9	

**Table 22. Fuels and Equipment Characteristics: 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place	Occupied housing units	House heating fuel					Vehicles available				Percent no telephone service available
		Utility gas	Electricity	Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	Coal or coke, wood, solar energy, or other fuel	None	None	1	2 or more		
<b>The State</b>	<b>751 165</b>	<b>423 073</b>	<b>268 691</b>	<b>11 385</b>	<b>10 630</b>	<b>1 643</b>	<b>65 690</b>	<b>284 881</b>	<b>400 594</b>	<b>2.3</b>	
<b>COUNTY</b>											
Churchill County	8 912	5 519	715	421	459	16	507	2 578	5 827	1.6	
Clark County	512 253	280 198	223 277	316	1 025	1 176	48 636	206 117	257 500	2.2	
Douglas County	16 401	12 622	834	536	781	19	439	4 330	11 632	0.9	
Elko County	15 638	6 151	3 393	486	1 339	11	767	4 780	10 091	3.9	
Esmeralda County	455	10	62	15	97	4	21	177	257	9.0	
Eureka County	666	21	153	3	125	-	53	187	426	9.0	
Humboldt County	5 733	3 308	953	95	277	-	309	1 539	3 885	3.2	
Lander County	2 093	1 197	220	44	129	-	116	580	1 397	3.7	
Lincoln County	1 540	6	827	38	485	4	165	494	881	4.3	
Lyon County	13 007	8 460	472	518	774	-	587	3 364	9 056	2.4	
Mineral County	2 197	233	121	62	452	-	115	838	1 244	6.7	
Nye County	13 309	86	8 018	81	653	29	579	4 198	8 532	4.8	
Pershing County	1 962	732	135	66	203	-	105	685	1 172	7.6	
Storey County	1 462	256	126	107	204	-	86	357	1 019	2.1	
Washoe County	132 084	87 259	25 899	7 983	2 750	359	11 700	46 325	74 059	1.9	
White Pine County	3 282	61	1 108	357	618	10	199	997	2 086	4.5	
Carson City	20 171	16 954	2 378	257	259	15	1 306	7 335	11 530	1.9	
<b>PLACE</b>											
Battle Mountain CDP, Lander County	1 052	823	157	7	7	-	78	381	593	4.0	
Beatty CDP, Nye County	540	-	284	-	32	-	55	190	295	9.6	
Blue Diamond CDP, Clark County	116	58	38	-	-	-	-	61	55	-	
Boulder City city, Clark County	6 385	3 570	2 661	6	35	23	331	2 352	3 702	0.9	
Bunkerville CDP, Clark County	282	8	265	-	-	-	-	66	216	4.3	
Caliente city, Lincoln County	411	6	228	10	126	2	62	159	190	7.3	
Cal-Nev-Ari CDP, Clark County	171	125	32	-	-	-	34	65	72	-	
Carlin city, Elko County	802	527	161	3	44	-	29	235	538	3.9	
Carson City	20 171	16 954	2 378	257	259	15	1 306	7 335	11 530	1.9	
Cold Springs CDP, Washoe County	1 316	16	55	18	276	-	-	241	1 075	0.7	
Dayton CDP, Lyon County	2 254	1 949	58	20	64	-	54	579	1 621	2.0	
Elko city, Elko County	6 221	4 973	740	260	109	-	398	2 161	3 662	2.5	
Ely city, White Pine County	1 697	4	679	274	243	10	157	641	899	4.5	
Enterprise CDP, Clark County	5 768	2 426	3 066	9	10	37	223	2 061	3 484	1.8	
Fallon city, Churchill County	2 954	2 550	218	61	26	7	320	1 178	1 456	0.8	
Fallon Station CDP, Churchill County	373	273	100	-	-	-	-	107	266	-	
Fernley CDP, Lyon County	3 152	2 706	126	36	60	-	143	815	2 194	1.4	
Gabbs city, Nye County	142	-	7	3	77	-	12	48	82	12.0	
Gardnerville CDP, Douglas County	1 521	1 299	162	6	-	19	65	756	700	2.4	
Gardnerville Ranchos CDP, Douglas County	4 034	3 388	283	89	191	-	104	1 085	2 845	0.5	
Gerlach-Empire CDP, Washoe County	242	-	6	16	45	-	18	66	158	10.7	
Goodsprings CDP, Clark County	148	-	88	-	-	-	11	53	84	17.6	
Hawthorne CDP, Mineral County	1 470	204	95	12	270	-	72	571	827	5.1	
Henderson city, Clark County	66 541	46 098	19 628	8	96	74	2 596	23 553	40 392	0.8	
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP, Washoe County	4 170	3 528	510	32	55	6	95	1 288	2 787	0.7	
Indian Hills CDP, Douglas County	1 666	1 560	67	10	8	-	60	353	1 253	-	
Indian Springs CDP, Clark County	498	37	229	15	33	-	-	212	286	5.4	
Johnson Lane CDP, Douglas County	1 776	1 623	17	42	87	-	21	255	1 500	0.8	
Kingsbury CDP, Douglas County	1 185	1 053	26	22	49	-	30	367	788	0.9	
Las Vegas city, Clark County	176 848	96 843	77 900	103	203	421	18 901	71 196	86 751	2.3	
Laughlin CDP, Clark County	3 008	1 335	1 634	-	-	13	317	1 444	1 247	1.8	
Lemmon Valley-Golden Valley CDP, Washoe County	2 394	731	205	271	187	-	35	521	1 838	0.8	
Lovelock city, Pershing County	780	610	33	30	60	-	74	299	407	6.8	
McDermitt CDP, Humboldt County	95	2	45	3	-	-	22	29	44	22.1	
McGill CDP, White Pine County	452	7	88	47	152	-	6	121	325	4.0	
Mesquite city, Clark County	3 564	165	3 356	9	7	7	287	1 493	1 784	3.0	
Minden CDP, Douglas County	1 153	1 069	17	57	-	-	25	339	789	1.0	
Moapa Town CDP, Clark County	274	21	224	-	-	-	6	101	167	7.3	
Moapa Valley CDP, Clark County	2 025	62	1 805	-	51	-	56	702	1 267	1.3	
Mount Charleston CDP, Clark County	125	-	47	10	23	-	-	82	43	-	
Nellis AFB CDP, Clark County	2 911	2 006	887	-	-	-	203	1 285	1 423	3.1	
Nixon CDP, Washoe County	144	13	-	5	11	-	13	80	51	7.6	
North Las Vegas city, Clark County	34 011	20 847	12 645	16	57	97	2 974	11 251	19 786	3.3	
Owyhee CDP, Elko County	339	2	201	18	100	-	34	150	155	14.7	
Pahrump CDP, Nye County	10 192	41	7 189	31	226	24	372	3 270	6 550	3.6	
Paradise CDP, Clark County	77 374	33 258	43 025	51	82	287	11 492	34 107	31 775	2.9	
Reno city, Washoe County	74 023	47 878	18 200	4 966	1 104	300	9 090	29 754	35 179	2.4	
Sandy Valley CDP, Clark County	763	29	326	-	78	-	37	188	538	8.3	
Schurz CDP, Mineral County	276	8	10	22	78	-	27	127	122	13.0	
Searchlight CDP, Clark County	427	-	225	-	24	-	61	188	178	16.6	
Silver Springs CDP, Lyon County	1 790	716	102	74	127	-	85	472	1 233	4.5	
Smith Valley CDP, Lyon County	575	13	23	95	78	-	15	84	476	3.1	
Spanish Springs CDP, Washoe County	2 973	2 448	243	21	43	-	21	316	2 636	0.6	
Sparks city, Washoe County	24 650	18 509	5 005	375	197	31	1 812	8 884	13 954	1.5	
Spring Creek CDP, Elko County	3 522	113	364	34	577	-	48	528	2 946	0.5	
Spring Valley CDP, Clark County	48 012	31 008	16 469	26	112	18	2 794	20 096	25 122	0.7	
Staterline CDP, Douglas County	516	484	25	-	7	-	33	271	212	1.0	
Summerlin South CDP, Clark County	1 530	1 330	200	-	-	-	55	450	1 025	-	
Sunrise Manor CDP, Clark County	53 528	31 233	21 440	16	49	146	4 765	22 483	26 280	2.6	
Sun Valley CDP, Washoe County	6 405	5 314	631	18	165	22	298	1 978	4 129	1.5	
Sutcliffe CDP, Washoe County	99	15	-	4	8	-	4	25	70	-	
Tonopah CDP, Nye County	1 116	28	284	15	113	5	124	355	637	8.5	
Verdi-Mogul CDP, Washoe County	1 149	679	55	134	38	-	30	273	846	2.5	
Wadsworth CDP, Washoe County	320	285	10	-	-	-	6	132	182	1.9	
Wells city, Elko County	533	16	203	47	71	4	35	192	306	6.8	
West Wendover city, Elko County	1 365	93	958	-	32	-	102	744	519	12.6	
Whitney CDP, Clark County	6 922	3 878	2 953	-	-	10	736	3 399	2 787	3.1	

Table 22. **Fuels and Equipment Characteristics: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place	Occupied housing units	House heating fuel					Vehicles available			Percent no telephone service available
		Utility gas	Electricity	Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	Coal or coke, wood, solar energy, or other fuel	None	None	1	2 or more	
<b>PLACE</b> —Con.										
Winchester CDP, Clark County .....	11 963	3 887	7 798	10	-	10	2 115	6 149	3 699	4.4
Winnemucca city, Humboldt County .....	2 810	2 167	422	47	68	-	196	871	1 743	2.1
Yerington city, Lyon County .....	1 182	1 011	53	61	-	-	155	469	558	1.7
Zephyr Cove-Round Hill Village CDP, Douglas County .....	780	693	41	6	19	-	12	221	547	-



Table 23. Owner and Renter Household Characteristics: 2000

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Owner-occupied housing units					Renter-occupied housing units					
	Occupied housing units	Average household size	Occupants per room	Year householder moved into unit		Percent 1 unit, detached or attached	Average household size	Occupants per room	Year householder moved into unit		Percent 5 or more units in structure
				1999 to March 2000	1969 or earlier				1999 to March 2000	1969 or earlier	
<b>The State</b>	<b>751 165</b>	<b>2.74</b>	<b>0.46</b>	<b>77 515</b>	<b>15 717</b>	<b>82.7</b>	<b>2.43</b>	<b>0.64</b>	<b>150 804</b>	<b>998</b>	<b>52.4</b>
Churchill County	8 912	2.59	0.45	691	336	69.5	2.75	0.62	1 589	14	15.6
Carson Sink CCD	13	2.77	0.58	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	(X)
Dixie Valley CCD	81	1.52	0.37	-	9	69.6	1.60	0.36	36	-	-
Fallon CCD	8 818	2.60	0.45	691	327	69.6	2.77	0.63	1 553	14	15.9
Fallon city	2 954	2.42	0.44	125	121	89.1	2.50	0.62	844	5	27.3
Fallon Station CDP	373	4.10	0.68	-	-	100.0	3.72	0.77	264	-	-
Clark County	512 253	2.78	0.47	56 158	7 934	86.4	2.46	0.65	110 441	591	57.3
Clark CCD	17 603	2.56	0.45	3 017	186	67.6	2.60	0.83	3 363	-	40.9
Blue Diamond CDP	116	2.25	0.49	7	7	86.4	3.89	0.64	11	-	39.3
Boulder City city (part)	-	-	(X)	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	(X)
Bunkerville CDP	282	3.51	0.60	15	-	58.3	4.95	1.00	-	-	-
Cal-Nev-Ari CDP	171	1.69	0.41	12	-	8.8	1.62	0.41	34	-	-
Enterprise CDP (part)	290	2.37	0.41	20	11	63.8	2.80	0.61	-	-	44.0
Goodsprings CDP	148	1.13	0.25	15	25	67.1	2.17	0.53	32	-	-
Henderson city (part)	1 095	2.40	0.35	983	-	98.3	2.14	0.39	63	-	-
Indian Springs CDP	498	2.83	0.58	16	9	16.3	2.46	0.55	129	-	-
Las Vegas city (part)	-	-	(X)	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	(X)
Laughlin CDP	3 008	2.14	0.41	300	-	64.5	2.34	0.58	949	-	68.9
Mesquite city	3 564	2.48	0.46	547	-	80.4	2.97	0.73	732	-	37.3
Moapa Town CDP	274	3.83	0.78	32	-	45.2	3.43	0.76	35	-	7.8
Moapa Valley CDP	2 025	2.94	0.50	208	51	55.0	2.99	0.68	201	-	14.2
Mount Charleston CDP	125	2.07	0.38	38	9	100.0	1.57	0.79	14	-	-
North Las Vegas city (part)	-	-	(X)	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	(X)
Sandy Valley CDP	763	2.46	0.51	76	15	13.4	2.98	0.70	47	-	-
Searchlight CDP	427	1.52	0.33	-	14	5.2	1.43	0.39	69	-	27.1
Spring Valley CDP (part)	-	-	(X)	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	(X)
Summerlin South CDP (part)	164	2.66	0.31	146	11	100.0	-	(X)	-	-	(X)
Sunrise Manor CDP (part)	2 939	2.90	0.45	228	-	90.5	2.50	0.63	737	-	49.8
Las Vegas CCD	494 650	2.78	0.47	53 141	7 748	87.1	2.46	0.65	107 078	591	57.9
Boulder City city (part)	6 385	2.28	0.39	599	171	74.0	2.38	0.55	614	26	28.2
Enterprise CDP (part)	5 478	2.70	0.43	570	19	85.0	2.19	0.55	1 537	-	63.6
Henderson city (part)	65 446	2.74	0.40	9 323	659	92.8	2.39	0.56	11 422	29	56.2
Las Vegas city (part)	176 848	2.78	0.47	18 589	4 005	92.2	2.49	0.66	35 928	250	55.2
Nellis AFB CDP	2 911	3.74	0.76	35	-	65.3	2.84	0.66	1 655	-	33.3
North Las Vegas city (part)	34 011	3.28	0.58	4 668	1 034	94.1	3.53	0.86	5 189	90	29.5
Paradise CDP	77 374	2.60	0.43	6 301	621	86.5	2.20	0.67	21 753	117	71.1
Spring Valley CDP (part)	48 012	2.66	0.44	5 017	47	84.2	2.17	0.55	11 842	-	66.5
Summerlin South CDP (part)	1 366	2.51	0.42	756	-	100.0	1.87	0.41	287	-	49.7
Sunrise Manor CDP (part)	50 589	2.94	0.54	5 191	580	66.7	2.83	0.72	10 851	24	44.9
Whitney CDP	6 922	2.67	0.52	990	99	68.4	2.37	0.65	1 230	-	62.3
Winchester CDP	11 963	2.41	0.47	474	387	68.0	2.10	0.61	3 319	41	69.8
Douglas County	16 401	2.56	0.42	1 669	210	88.9	2.32	0.52	1 850	21	14.4
Gardnerville-Minden CCD	9 517	2.63	0.44	859	99	94.8	2.52	0.56	983	15	8.6
Gardnerville CDP	1 521	2.22	0.41	201	35	73.9	2.09	0.51	284	-	18.9
Gardnerville Ranchos CDP	4 034	2.70	0.46	235	-	97.7	2.87	0.60	470	15	4.4
Indian Hills CDP (part)	-	-	(X)	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	(X)
Johnson Lane CDP	1 776	2.65	0.42	212	27	100.0	3.03	0.54	83	-	-
Minden CDP	1 153	2.53	0.41	124	23	98.0	2.24	0.53	131	-	8.4
Genoa-Jacks Valley CCD	2 574	2.68	0.43	354	62	91.4	2.11	0.41	209	-	15.7
Indian Hills CDP (part)	1 666	2.62	0.44	220	26	87.3	2.28	0.42	172	-	20.3
Pine Nut CCD	301	2.52	0.41	8	-	91.6	2.93	0.71	28	-	-
Topaz Lake CCD	941	2.38	0.44	116	7	37.9	1.65	0.39	40	-	-
Zephyr Cove CCD	3 068	2.28	0.36	332	42	85.1	2.05	0.50	590	6	29.2
Kingsbury CDP	1 185	2.39	0.39	134	28	83.6	1.82	0.45	225	-	11.1
Stateline CDP	516	2.30	0.46	23	-	15.3	2.20	0.62	189	-	65.3
Zephyr Cove-Round Hill Village CDP	780	2.17	0.36	84	14	95.5	2.15	0.47	151	-	10.2
Elko County	15 638	3.07	0.51	1 442	541	64.6	2.34	0.54	2 442	19	17.5
Carlin CCD	806	2.87	0.53	78	38	54.3	2.39	0.49	110	3	3.0
Carlin city	802	2.87	0.53	78	38	54.3	2.38	0.50	110	3	3.1
Elko CCD	11 463	3.07	0.50	1 027	363	68.9	2.19	0.51	1 752	2	21.3
Elko city	6 221	2.98	0.48	509	323	75.1	2.06	0.51	1 337	2	26.4
Spring Creek CDP	3 522	3.15	0.51	371	12	65.9	2.89	0.54	253	-	1.9
Jackpot CCD	473	3.67	0.71	30	15	19.0	2.32	0.62	144	-	9.1
Jarbridge CCD	34	3.36	0.48	8	-	100.0	1.08	0.15	-	-	-
Montello CCD	192	2.41	0.44	34	10	33.3	1.64	0.40	9	-	-
Mountain City CCD	504	2.40	0.46	13	50	74.9	2.51	0.46	55	14	1.1
Owyhee CDP	339	2.57	0.52	4	30	87.7	2.65	0.51	55	2	1.4
Wells CCD	773	2.69	0.47	47	65	67.9	2.27	0.45	113	-	8.2
Wells city	533	2.80	0.51	34	34	65.8	2.20	0.47	85	-	11.9
West Wendover CCD	1 393	3.69	0.68	205	-	34.4	3.14	0.81	259	-	19.0
West Wendover city	1 365	3.72	0.69	205	-	35.7	3.14	0.81	259	-	19.0
Esmeralda County	455	2.25	0.47	38	10	31.5	1.87	0.44	70	3	12.4
Goldfield CCD	224	2.09	0.42	14	3	33.3	1.69	0.39	32	-	30.6
Silverpeak CCD	231	2.44	0.54	24	7	29.3	1.99	0.48	38	3	-
Eureka County	666	2.53	0.48	42	22	35.1	2.29	0.54	38	5	-
Beowawe CCD	229	2.43	0.52	24	11	25.3	1.69	0.42	9	-	-
Eureka CCD	437	2.59	0.46	18	11	41.3	2.46	0.57	29	5	-
Humboldt County	5 733	2.98	0.52	419	190	53.5	2.21	0.51	819	14	12.5
Golconda CCD	3 553	2.99	0.53	247	112	56.7	2.19	0.52	499	-	15.5
Winnemucca city (part)	2 186	2.88	0.49	161	81	72.9	2.01	0.49	412	-	18.5
McDermitt CCD	451	2.93	0.52	51	17	53.7	2.57	0.59	42	7	1.6
McDermitt CDP	95	3.22	0.72	6	10	81.2	2.00	0.50	6	-	20.0
Summit Lake CCD	59	1.11	0.25	-	10	55.6	2.07	0.43	-	-	-
Winnemucca CCD	1 670	2.99	0.51	121	51	46.4	2.16	0.48	278	7	10.6
Winnemucca city (part)	624	2.90	0.48	19	34	86.9	1.81	0.45	157	7	18.6

Table 23. Owner and Renter Household Characteristics: 2000—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Owner-occupied housing units						Renter-occupied housing units				
	Occupied housing units	Average household size	Occupants per room	Year householder moved into unit		Percent 1 unit, detached or attached	Average household size	Occupants per room	Year householder moved into unit		Percent 5 or more units in structure
				1999 to March 2000	1969 or earlier				1999 to March 2000	1969 or earlier	
Lander County	2 093	2.77	0.51	144	33	37.2	2.57	0.55	289	-	1.3
Austin CCD	220	2.05	0.42	8	19	40.7	2.19	0.44	14	-	-
Battle Mountain CCD	1 873	2.86	0.52	136	14	36.8	2.61	0.56	275	-	1.4
Battle Mountain CDP	1 052	2.94	0.59	73	9	47.0	2.49	0.53	226	-	1.8
Lincoln County	1 540	2.76	0.47	151	123	71.9	1.65	0.40	230	-	9.2
Alamo CCD	386	2.95	0.47	55	26	70.4	1.83	0.41	30	-	-
Caliente CCD	437	2.73	0.51	37	25	68.3	1.64	0.40	90	-	21.3
Caliente city (part)	411	2.80	0.51	30	21	69.5	1.67	0.41	83	-	22.2
Pioche CCD	717	2.64	0.46	59	72	74.7	1.61	0.40	110	-	-
Caliente city (part)	-	-	(X)	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	(X)
Lyon County	13 007	2.64	0.47	1 530	299	59.7	2.53	0.57	1 373	39	10.4
Dayton CCD	3 584	2.75	0.48	391	7	67.9	2.50	0.54	402	-	7.4
Dayton CDP	2 254	2.77	0.47	246	7	82.6	2.16	0.49	344	-	9.7
Fernley CCD	3 170	2.76	0.49	438	43	67.8	2.58	0.59	416	-	22.8
Fernley CDP	3 152	2.76	0.49	428	43	67.8	2.58	0.59	416	-	22.8
Silver Springs CCD	2 537	2.53	0.49	280	10	24.1	2.84	0.63	167	-	-
Silver Springs CDP	1 790	2.54	0.49	208	10	20.4	3.00	0.67	74	-	-
Smith Valley CCD	621	2.70	0.44	59	13	90.8	2.54	0.52	48	14	-
Smith Valley CDP	575	2.74	0.44	59	13	90.4	2.59	0.51	34	7	-
Yerington CCD	3 095	2.46	0.44	362	226	68.7	2.37	0.55	340	25	8.9
Yerington city	1 182	2.37	0.43	113	138	78.5	2.08	0.54	167	-	20.9
Mineral County	2 197	2.24	0.42	124	230	76.9	2.32	0.52	263	1	8.8
Hawthorne CCD	1 746	2.25	0.43	117	188	74.3	2.24	0.49	241	-	9.2
Hawthorne CDP	1 470	2.17	0.41	92	188	74.6	2.39	0.50	226	-	7.6
Schurz CDP (part)	-	-	(X)	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	(X)
Mina CCD	139	1.63	0.32	-	17	74.7	1.88	0.66	15	-	8.9
Walker River CCD	312	2.39	0.45	7	25	91.0	3.24	0.61	7	1	5.9
Schurz CDP (part)	276	2.32	0.40	7	25	90.0	3.59	0.70	7	1	7.1
Nye County	13 309	2.45	0.45	1 842	188	45.8	2.33	0.51	1 566	-	6.5
Amargosa Valley CCD	422	2.83	0.50	25	14	11.8	2.46	0.54	42	-	4.9
Beatty CCD	548	2.12	0.40	68	23	35.3	1.69	0.46	51	-	20.5
Beatty CDP	540	2.16	0.40	68	23	36.0	1.69	0.46	51	-	20.5
Crystal CCD	2 973	2.33	0.42	471	-	43.8	2.63	0.55	270	-	-
Pahrump CDP (part)	2 958	2.33	0.42	471	-	44.0	2.63	0.55	270	-	-
Duckwater CCD	69	1.88	0.41	3	11	48.5	2.58	0.67	16	-	-
Gabbs CCD	204	2.10	0.43	5	18	62.2	2.83	0.60	29	-	2.9
Gabbs city	142	2.09	0.41	3	18	68.7	2.77	0.56	27	-	-
Pahrump CCD	7 234	2.47	0.45	1 067	58	50.6	2.30	0.49	790	-	4.1
Pahrump CDP (part)	7 234	2.47	0.45	1 067	58	50.6	2.30	0.49	790	-	4.1
Ralston CCD	-	-	(X)	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	(X)
Round Mountain CCD	707	2.80	0.53	108	14	9.2	2.53	0.49	71	-	-
Tonopah CCD	1 152	2.59	0.45	95	50	63.7	2.21	0.52	297	-	17.0
Tonopah CDP	1 116	2.59	0.45	95	50	64.0	2.20	0.52	297	-	17.5
Yucca Flat CCD	-	-	(X)	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	(X)
Pershing County	1 962	2.74	0.51	130	108	45.2	2.56	0.57	261	9	12.5
Imlay CCD	673	2.85	0.56	52	-	24.6	2.25	0.52	69	-	4.6
Lovelock CCD	1 289	2.67	0.49	78	108	58.0	2.66	0.59	192	9	15.2
Lovelock city	780	2.53	0.49	42	85	69.1	2.46	0.57	149	9	20.7
Storey County	1 462	2.42	0.42	135	38	70.7	1.92	0.48	136	-	6.4
Clark CCD	399	2.24	0.42	49	11	62.8	1.36	0.30	9	-	-
Virginia City CCD	1 063	2.51	0.42	86	27	74.3	1.99	0.51	127	-	7.2
Washoe County	132 084	2.67	0.44	11 284	4 528	83.3	2.32	0.64	25 683	239	51.9
High Desert CCD	365	2.54	0.52	9	6	44.9	2.00	0.44	88	-	5.0
Gerlach-Empire CDP	242	2.29	0.43	9	6	26.3	2.11	0.43	52	-	8.2
Incline Village CCD	4 170	2.32	0.36	379	71	81.6	2.47	0.55	598	9	27.8
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP (part)	4 170	2.32	0.36	379	71	81.6	2.47	0.55	598	9	27.8
North Valleys CCD	11 494	2.86	0.51	1 585	125	75.4	2.75	0.61	974	-	19.6
Cold Springs CDP	1 316	2.90	0.50	165	-	72.6	3.07	0.56	60	-	-
Lemmon Valley-Golden Valley CDP	2 394	2.80	0.48	172	27	78.9	3.08	0.58	53	-	4.0
Reno city (part)	6 034	2.89	0.53	1 018	66	75.3	2.70	0.64	788	-	25.4
Pyramid Lake CCD	614	2.59	0.47	14	18	73.6	3.21	0.62	61	-	2.0
Nixon CDP	144	3.21	0.61	5	5	91.4	3.17	0.59	13	-	4.8
Sutcliffe CDP	99	2.55	0.50	3	2	58.2	3.06	0.54	7	-	-
Wadsworth CDP	320	2.35	0.42	6	11	68.6	3.28	0.66	39	-	1.0
Reno North CCD	28 598	2.69	0.46	1 741	1 133	86.6	2.19	0.65	8 175	103	60.0
Reno city (part)	27 383	2.70	0.46	1 652	1 130	86.5	2.15	0.64	7 791	92	60.3
Sparks city (part)	751	2.43	0.52	-	-	87.7	2.96	0.87	371	11	54.9
Reno Southeast CCD	22 397	2.58	0.47	1 455	666	78.1	2.32	0.70	6 515	61	57.5
Reno city (part)	20 458	2.56	0.49	1 281	610	73.9	2.32	0.71	6 375	61	57.9
Reno Southwest CCD	23 281	2.41	0.34	1 805	1 193	94.7	2.06	0.54	3 861	18	60.3
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP (part)	-	-	(X)	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	(X)
Reno city (part)	19 352	2.30	0.34	1 369	1 012	94.4	2.03	0.54	3 735	18	62.4
Sparks CCD	21 033	2.69	0.47	1 417	1 052	87.4	2.53	0.66	4 172	39	44.6
Sparks city (part)	20 826	2.70	0.47	1 373	1 030	87.2	2.54	0.67	4 167	39	44.7
Sun Valley CCD	7 082	2.90	0.54	827	100	41.7	3.18	0.74	826	9	27.3
Reno city (part)	700	1.67	0.41	50	-	8.3	2.57	0.75	273	-	42.1
Sparks city (part)	21	2.81	0.46	-	-	100.0	-	(X)	-	-	(X)
Sun Valley CDP (part)	6 361	2.95	0.54	777	100	42.8	3.43	0.74	553	9	21.2
Verdi CCD	1 245	2.68	0.39	129	52	88.4	1.78	0.47	85	-	4.8
Reno city (part)	96	2.85	0.41	43	-	100.0	5.56	0.69	-	-	-
Verdi-Mogul CDP	1 149	2.66	0.39	86	52	87.4	1.56	0.44	85	-	5.1
Warm Springs-Truckee Canyon CCD	7 429	2.96	0.46	1 469	18	97.3	2.62	0.45	205	-	13.4
Spanish Springs CDP	2 973	2.96	0.49	391	-	98.1	2.80	0.41	9	-	15.0
Sparks city (part)	3 052	2.98	0.43	870	9	100.0	2.31	0.39	125	-	22.8
Sun Valley CDP (part)	44	2.89	0.48	9	-	100.0	1.89	0.38	-	-	-
Washoe Valley CCD	4 376	2.67	0.41	454	94	83.4	2.24	0.43	123	-	2.8
White Pine County	3 282	2.51	0.44	205	377	80.8	2.13	0.49	400	23	8.6
Baker CCD	133	2.15	0.50	3	12	86.8	2.38	0.53	10	-	-
Cherry Creek CCD	12	1.00	0.50	-	-	100.0	-	(X)	-	-	(X)

Table 23. **Owner and Renter Household Characteristics: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Occupied housing units	Owner-occupied housing units					Renter-occupied housing units				
		Average household size	Occupants per room	Year householder moved into unit		Percent 1 unit, detached or attached	Average household size	Occupants per room	Year householder moved into unit		Percent 5 or more units in structure
				1999 to March 2000	1969 or earlier				1999 to March 2000	1969 or earlier	
White Pine County—Con.											
Ely CCD .....	2 209	2.52	0.42	143	234	82.7	2.12	0.49	314	23	9.6
Ely city .....	1 697	2.43	0.42	94	227	90.1	2.07	0.49	256	23	11.4
Lund CCD .....	143	3.14	0.51	-	29	67.7	1.94	0.39	16	-	-
McGill CCD .....	588	2.48	0.48	51	67	82.1	1.68	0.38	42	-	9.6
McGill CDP .....	452	2.45	0.48	39	55	83.7	1.83	0.41	29	-	11.7
Ruth CCD .....	197	2.36	0.43	8	35	65.1	3.43	0.67	18	-	-
Carson City .....	20 171	2.52	0.42	1 511	550	79.4	2.30	0.58	3 354	20	44.1

**Table 24. Owner and Renter Household Characteristics: 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place	Owner-occupied housing units						Renter-occupied housing units				
	Occupied housing units	Average household size	Occupants per room	Year householder moved into unit		Percent 1 unit, detached or attached	Average household size	Occupants per room	Year householder moved into unit		Percent 5 or more units in structure
				1999 to March 2000	1969 or earlier				1999 to March 2000	1969 or earlier	
<b>The State</b>	<b>751 165</b>	<b>2.74</b>	<b>0.46</b>	<b>77 515</b>	<b>15 717</b>	<b>82.7</b>	<b>2.43</b>	<b>0.64</b>	<b>150 804</b>	<b>998</b>	<b>52.4</b>
<b>COUNTY</b>											
Churchill County	8 912	2.59	0.45	691	336	69.5	2.75	0.62	1 589	14	15.6
Clark County	512 253	2.78	0.47	56 158	7 934	86.4	2.46	0.65	110 441	591	57.3
Douglas County	16 401	2.56	0.42	1 669	210	88.9	2.32	0.52	1 850	21	14.4
Elko County	15 638	3.07	0.51	1 442	541	64.6	2.34	0.54	2 442	19	17.5
Esmeralda County	455	2.25	0.47	38	10	31.5	1.87	0.44	70	3	12.4
Eureka County	666	2.53	0.48	42	22	35.1	2.29	0.54	38	5	-
Humboldt County	5 733	2.98	0.52	419	190	53.5	2.21	0.51	819	14	12.5
Lander County	2 093	2.77	0.51	144	33	37.2	2.57	0.55	289	-	1.3
Lincoln County	1 540	2.76	0.47	151	123	71.9	1.65	0.40	230	-	9.2
Lyon County	13 007	2.64	0.47	1 530	299	59.7	2.53	0.57	1 373	39	10.4
Mineral County	2 197	2.24	0.42	124	230	76.9	2.32	0.52	263	1	8.8
Nye County	13 309	2.45	0.45	1 842	188	45.8	2.33	0.51	1 566	-	6.5
Pershing County	1 962	2.74	0.51	130	108	45.2	2.56	0.57	261	9	12.5
Storey County	1 462	2.42	0.42	135	38	70.7	1.92	0.48	136	-	6.4
Washoe County	132 084	2.67	0.44	11 284	4 528	83.3	2.32	0.64	25 683	239	51.9
White Pine County	3 282	2.51	0.44	205	377	80.8	2.13	0.49	400	23	8.6
Carson City	20 171	2.52	0.42	1 511	550	79.4	2.30	0.58	3 354	20	44.1
<b>PLACE</b>											
Battle Mountain CDP, Lander County	1 052	2.94	0.59	73	9	47.0	2.49	0.53	226	-	1.8
Beatty CDP, Nye County	540	2.16	0.40	68	23	36.0	1.69	0.46	51	-	20.5
Blue Diamond CDP, Clark County	116	2.25	0.49	7	7	86.4	3.89	0.84	11	-	39.3
Boulder City city, Clark County	6 385	2.28	0.39	599	171	74.0	2.38	0.55	614	26	28.2
Bunkerville CDP, Clark County	282	3.51	0.60	15	-	58.3	4.95	1.00	-	-	-
Caliente city, Lincoln County	411	2.80	0.51	30	21	69.5	1.67	0.41	83	-	22.2
Cal-Nev-Ari CDP, Clark County	171	1.69	0.41	12	-	8.8	1.62	0.41	34	-	-
Carlin city, Elko County	802	2.87	0.53	78	38	54.3	2.38	0.50	110	3	3.1
Carson City	20 171	2.52	0.42	1 511	550	79.4	2.30	0.58	3 354	20	44.1
Cold Springs CDP, Washoe County	1 316	2.90	0.50	165	-	72.6	3.07	0.56	60	-	-
Dayton CDP, Lyon County	2 254	2.77	0.47	246	7	82.6	2.16	0.49	344	-	9.7
Elko city, Elko County	6 221	2.93	0.48	509	323	75.1	2.06	0.51	1 337	2	26.4
Ely city, White Pine County	1 697	2.43	0.42	94	227	90.1	2.07	0.49	256	23	11.4
Enterprise CDP, Clark County	5 768	2.68	0.43	590	30	83.4	2.20	0.55	1 537	-	63.4
Fallon city, Churchill County	2 954	2.42	0.44	125	121	89.1	2.50	0.62	844	5	27.3
Fallon Station CDP, Churchill County	373	4.10	0.68	-	-	100.0	3.72	0.77	264	-	-
Fernley CDP, Lyon County	3 152	2.76	0.49	428	43	67.8	2.58	0.59	416	-	22.8
Gabbs city, Nye County	142	2.09	0.41	3	18	68.7	2.77	0.56	27	-	-
Gardnerville CDP, Douglas County	1 521	2.22	0.41	201	35	73.9	2.09	0.51	284	-	18.9
Gardnerville Ranchos CDP, Douglas County	4 034	2.70	0.46	235	-	97.7	2.87	0.60	470	15	4.4
Gerlach-Empire CDP, Washoe County	242	2.29	0.43	9	6	26.3	2.11	0.43	52	-	8.2
Goodsprings CDP, Clark County	148	1.13	0.25	15	25	67.1	2.17	0.53	32	-	-
Hawthorne CDP, Mineral County	1 470	2.17	0.41	92	188	74.6	2.39	0.50	226	-	7.6
Henderson city, Clark County	66 541	2.73	0.43	10 306	659	92.9	2.39	0.56	11 485	29	56.0
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP, Washoe County	4 170	2.32	0.36	379	71	81.6	2.47	0.55	598	9	27.8
Indian Hills CDP, Douglas County	1 666	2.62	0.44	220	26	87.3	2.28	0.42	172	-	20.3
Indian Springs CDP, Clark County	498	2.83	0.58	16	9	16.3	2.46	0.55	129	-	-
Johnson Lane CDP, Douglas County	1 776	2.65	0.42	212	27	100.0	3.03	0.54	83	-	-
Kingsbury CDP, Douglas County	1 185	2.39	0.39	134	28	83.6	1.82	0.45	225	-	11.1
Las Vegas city, Clark County	176 848	2.78	0.47	18 589	4 005	92.2	2.49	0.66	35 928	250	55.2
Laughlin CDP, Clark County	3 008	2.14	0.41	300	-	64.5	2.34	0.58	949	-	68.9
Lemmon Valley-Golden Valley CDP, Washoe County	2 394	2.80	0.48	172	27	78.9	3.08	0.58	53	-	4.0
Lovelock city, Pershing County	780	2.53	0.49	42	85	69.1	2.46	0.57	149	9	20.7
McDermitt CDP, Humboldt County	95	3.22	0.72	6	10	81.2	2.00	0.50	6	-	20.0
McGill CDP, White Pine County	452	2.45	0.48	39	55	83.7	1.83	0.41	29	-	11.7
Mesquite city, Clark County	3 564	2.48	0.46	547	-	80.4	2.97	0.73	732	-	37.3
Minden CDP, Douglas County	1 153	2.53	0.41	124	23	98.0	2.24	0.53	131	-	8.4
Moapa Town CDP, Clark County	274	3.83	0.78	32	-	45.2	3.43	0.76	35	-	7.8
Moapa Valley CDP, Clark County	2 025	2.94	0.50	208	51	55.0	2.99	0.68	201	-	14.2
Mount Charleston CDP, Clark County	125	2.07	0.38	38	9	100.0	1.57	0.79	14	-	-
Nellis AFB CDP, Clark County	2 911	3.74	0.76	35	-	65.3	2.84	0.66	1 655	-	33.3
Nixon CDP, Washoe County	144	3.21	0.61	5	5	91.4	3.17	0.59	13	-	4.8
North Las Vegas city, Clark County	34 011	3.28	0.58	4 668	1 034	94.1	3.53	0.86	5 189	90	29.5
Owyhee CDP, Elko County	339	2.57	0.52	4	30	87.7	2.65	0.51	55	2	1.4
Pahrump CDP, Nye County	10 192	2.43	0.44	1 538	58	48.6	2.38	0.51	1 060	-	3.2
Paradise CDP, Clark County	77 374	2.60	0.43	6 301	621	86.5	2.20	0.67	21 753	117	71.1
Reno city, Washoe County	74 023	2.56	0.43	5 413	2 818	84.5	2.21	0.64	18 962	171	58.2
Sandy Valley CDP, Clark County	763	2.46	0.51	76	15	13.4	2.98	0.70	47	-	-
Schurz CDP, Mineral County	276	2.32	0.44	7	25	90.0	3.59	0.70	7	1	7.1
Searchlight CDP, Clark County	427	1.52	0.33	-	14	5.2	1.43	0.39	69	-	27.1
Silver Springs CDP, Lyon County	1 790	2.54	0.49	208	10	20.4	3.00	0.67	74	-	-
Smith Valley CDP, Lyon County	575	2.74	0.44	59	13	90.4	2.59	0.51	34	7	-
Spanish Springs CDP, Washoe County	2 973	2.96	0.49	391	-	98.1	2.80	0.41	9	-	15.0
Sparks city, Washoe County	24 650	2.75	0.46	2 243	1 039	89.7	2.56	0.67	4 663	50	45.0
Spring Creek CDP, Elko County	3 522	3.15	0.51	371	12	65.9	2.89	0.54	253	-	1.9
Spring Valley CDP, Clark County	48 012	2.66	0.44	5 017	47	84.2	2.17	0.55	11 842	-	66.5
Stataline CDP, Douglas County	516	2.30	0.46	23	-	15.3	2.20	0.62	189	-	65.3
Summerlin South CDP, Clark County	1 530	2.53	0.40	902	11	100.0	1.87	0.41	287	-	49.7
Sunrise Manor CDP, Clark County	53 528	2.94	0.54	5 419	580	67.8	2.81	0.71	11 588	24	45.3
Sun Valley CDP, Washoe County	6 405	2.95	0.54	786	100	43.1	3.42	0.74	553	9	21.0
Sutcliffe CDP, Washoe County	99	2.55	0.50	3	2	58.2	3.06	0.54	7	-	-
Tonopah CDP, Nye County	1 116	2.59	0.45	95	50	64.0	2.20	0.52	297	-	17.5
Verdi-Mogul CDP, Washoe County	1 149	2.66	0.39	86	52	87.4	1.56	0.44	85	-	5.1
Wadsworth CDP, Washoe County	320	2.35	0.42	6	11	68.6	3.28	0.66	39	-	1.0
Wells city, Elko County	533	2.80	0.51	34	34	65.8	2.20	0.47	85	-	11.9
West Wendover city, Elko County	1 365	3.72	0.69	205	-	35.7	3.14	0.81	259	-	19.0

Table 24. **Owner and Renter Household Characteristics: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place	Occupied housing units	Owner-occupied housing units					Renter-occupied housing units				
		Average household size	Occupants per room	Year householder moved into unit		Percent 1 unit, detached or attached	Average household size	Occupants per room	Year householder moved into unit		Percent 5 or more units in structure
				1999 to March 2000	1969 or earlier				1999 to March 2000	1969 or earlier	
<b>PLACE—Con.</b>											
Whitney CDP, Clark County .....	6 922	2.67	0.52	990	99	68.4	2.37	0.65	1 230	-	62.3
Winchester CDP, Clark County .....	11 963	2.41	0.47	474	387	68.0	2.10	0.61	3 319	41	69.8
Winnemucca city, Humboldt County .....	2 810	2.89	0.49	180	115	75.6	1.95	0.48	569	7	18.5
Yerington city, Lyon County .....	1 182	2.37	0.43	113	138	78.5	2.08	0.54	167	-	20.9
Zephyr Cove-Round Hill Village CDP, Douglas County .....	780	2.17	0.36	84	14	95.5	2.15	0.47	151	-	10.2

**Table 25. Home Value: 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Specified owner- occupied housing units	Value of home							
		Less than \$50,000	\$50,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 to \$299,999	\$300,000 to \$499,999	\$500,000 or more	Median (dollars)
<b>The State</b> .....	<b>363 321</b>	<b>4 735</b>	<b>52 215</b>	<b>150 379</b>	<b>81 180</b>	<b>48 061</b>	<b>18 616</b>	<b>8 135</b>	<b>142 000</b>
Churchill County .....	3 367	84	995	1 408	617	208	40	15	117 100
Carson Sink CCD .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dixie Valley CCD .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fallon CCD .....	3 367	84	995	1 408	617	208	40	15	117 100
Fallon city .....	1 102	41	583	378	42	26	32	-	96 000
Fallon Station CDP .....	10	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	112 500
Clark County .....	254 708	1 899	38 699	111 370	56 100	30 633	11 650	4 357	139 500
Clark CCD .....	7 251	119	792	2 435	1 233	1 602	837	233	159 900
Blue Diamond CDP .....	76	-	-	9	34	33	-	-	194 300
Boulder City city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bunkerville CDP .....	117	-	36	43	18	20	-	-	131 700
Cal-Nev-Ari CDP .....	12	-	12	-	-	-	-	-	85 000
Enterprise CDP (part) .....	151	-	-	8	29	86	18	10	233 800
Goodsprings CDP .....	53	14	39	-	-	-	-	-	65 000
Henderson city (part) .....	997	9	-	34	184	488	219	63	247 100
Indian Springs CDP .....	49	-	8	9	32	-	-	-	157 800
Las Vegas city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Laughlin CDP .....	687	19	63	340	153	93	19	-	140 200
Mesquite city .....	1 810	7	187	1 063	241	166	137	9	133 500
Moapa Town CDP .....	82	7	14	47	14	-	-	-	123 800
Moapa Valley CDP .....	845	11	159	266	218	138	40	13	147 300
Mount Charleston CDP .....	92	-	9	10	28	26	9	10	197 200
North Las Vegas city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sandy Valley CDP .....	61	-	31	19	-	11	-	-	99 800
Searchlight CDP .....	13	-	-	13	-	-	-	-	137 500
Spring Valley CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Summerlin South CDP (part) .....	164	-	-	-	-	11	110	43	444 300
Sunrise Manor CDP (part) .....	1 340	11	192	403	117	355	209	53	176 300
Las Vegas CCD .....	247 457	1 780	37 907	108 935	54 867	29 031	10 813	4 124	139 100
Boulder City city (part) .....	3 549	6	201	887	1 264	597	432	162	172 500
Enterprise CDP (part) .....	2 692	9	117	615	507	1 010	323	111	209 000
Henderson city (part) .....	41 599	153	3 528	15 649	12 555	6 981	2 012	721	154 600
Las Vegas city (part) .....	93 876	699	16 297	39 577	19 930	11 168	4 350	1 855	137 300
Nellis AFB CDP .....	97	-	43	54	-	-	-	-	103 000
North Las Vegas city (part) .....	21 886	391	5 233	12 711	3 037	455	34	25	123 000
Paradise CDP .....	29 372	170	3 904	13 579	7 364	3 259	771	325	140 600
Spring Valley CDP (part) .....	22 436	166	1 527	9 689	5 557	3 304	1 586	607	149 300
Summerlin South CDP (part) .....	1 059	-	13	313	483	191	47	12	165 700
Sunrise Manor CDP (part) .....	20 556	118	4 758	12 055	2 652	771	165	37	119 200
Whitney CDP .....	2 907	30	1 117	1 518	212	30	-	-	107 500
Winchester CDP .....	3 289	10	965	1 680	368	97	104	65	119 500
Douglas County .....	10 122	80	245	2 862	2 726	2 229	1 261	719	181 800
Gardnerville-Minden CCD .....	6 320	37	190	2 141	1 976	1 460	463	53	168 200
Gardnerville CDP .....	588	8	30	332	187	18	13	-	140 400
Gardnerville Ranchos CDP .....	2 627	2	103	1 388	580	397	149	8	144 500
Indian Hills CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Johnson Lane CDP .....	1 523	13	31	211	625	481	162	-	190 700
Minden CDP .....	860	-	8	127	375	287	49	14	189 100
Genoa-Jacks Valley CCD .....	1 803	10	24	518	492	257	337	165	181 400
Indian Hills CDP (part) .....	1 118	-	16	512	442	117	22	9	152 600
Pine Nut CCD .....	216	-	-	8	35	78	83	12	250 000
Topaz Lake CCD .....	262	-	13	80	90	56	23	-	163 200
Zephyr Cove CCD .....	1 521	33	18	115	133	378	355	489	340 900
Kingsbury CDP .....	648	23	11	65	89	221	145	94	259 900
Stateline CDP .....	19	-	7	7	5	-	-	-	133 900
Zephyr Cove-Round Hill Village CDP .....	437	-	-	36	39	136	134	92	309 400
Elko County .....	6 387	329	1 344	3 239	920	406	107	42	123 100
Carlin CCD .....	298	25	149	93	20	3	3	5	92 200
Carlin city .....	298	25	149	93	20	3	3	5	92 200
Elko CCD .....	5 335	163	929	2 909	844	363	90	37	126 900
Elko city .....	2 796	93	551	1 490	388	245	24	5	126 600
Spring Creek CDP .....	1 931	5	211	1 224	387	77	16	11	129 800
Jackpot CCD .....	22	-	-	22	-	-	-	-	137 500
Jarbridge CCD .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Montello CCD .....	43	21	11	11	-	-	-	-	80 500
Mountain City CCD .....	172	68	79	13	6	4	2	-	57 200
Owyhee CDP .....	141	68	57	4	6	4	2	-	51 000
Wells CCD .....	263	41	131	62	22	7	-	-	87 200
Wells city .....	227	36	123	47	19	2	-	-	85 900
West Wendover CCD .....	254	11	45	129	28	29	12	-	131 600
West Wendover city .....	254	11	45	129	28	29	12	-	131 600
Esmeralda County .....	65	15	36	14	-	-	-	-	75 600
Goldfield CCD .....	46	9	31	6	-	-	-	-	71 300
Silverpeak CCD .....	19	6	5	8	-	-	-	-	87 000
Eureka County .....	111	19	43	25	21	-	3	-	89 200
Beowawe CCD .....	29	10	8	-	11	-	-	-	74 200
Eureka CCD .....	82	9	35	25	10	-	3	-	95 000
Humboldt County .....	1 993	127	594	738	380	136	18	-	117 400
Golconda CCD .....	1 376	73	346	536	306	97	18	-	123 000
Winnemucca city (part) .....	1 032	39	286	339	258	92	18	-	127 700
McDermitt CCD .....	116	48	23	16	19	10	-	-	72 500
McDermitt CDP .....	55	41	8	3	-	3	-	-	17 500
Summit Lake CCD .....	10	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	95 000
Winnemucca CCD .....	491	6	215	186	55	29	-	-	106 300
Winnemucca city (part) .....	301	6	132	98	36	29	-	-	108 000
Lander County .....	533	79	289	136	15	12	-	2	82 400
Austin CCD .....	56	9	47	-	-	-	-	-	77 300
Battle Mountain CCD .....	477	70	242	136	15	12	-	2	84 700
Battle Mountain CDP .....	323	37	193	79	7	7	-	-	79 600

Table 25. Home Value: 2000—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Specified owner- occupied housing units	Value of home							
		Less than \$50,000	\$50,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 to \$299,999	\$300,000 to \$499,999	\$500,000 or more	Median (dollars)
Lincoln County	742	102	396	145	58	28	6	7	80 300
Alamo CCD	220	22	98	59	34	7	-	-	93 300
Caliente CCD	172	46	95	25	4	2	-	-	65 200
Caliente city (part)	164	44	89	25	4	2	-	-	64 500
Pioche CCD	350	34	203	61	20	19	6	7	80 300
Caliente city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lyon County	5 226	157	1 209	2 356	867	508	123	6	119 200
Dayton CCD	1 837	28	190	1 052	292	197	78	-	122 500
Dayton CDP	1 328	17	97	798	226	144	46	-	123 500
Fernley CCD	1 479	-	320	704	228	217	10	-	123 200
Fernley CDP	1 479	-	320	704	228	217	10	-	123 200
Silver Springs CCD	417	28	155	143	84	7	-	-	107 400
Silver Springs CDP	268	19	106	92	51	-	-	-	103 400
Smith Valley CCD	212	15	-	38	88	46	19	6	185 700
Smith Valley CDP	201	15	-	38	81	42	19	6	186 300
Yerington CCD	1 281	86	544	419	175	41	16	-	100 900
Yerington city	609	36	276	215	51	31	-	-	99 200
Mineral County	1 089	398	636	41	3	2	3	6	59 500
Hawthorne CCD	859	274	544	35	-	-	-	6	61 100
Hawthorne CDP	742	253	454	29	-	-	-	6	58 700
Schurz CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mina CCD	54	44	10	-	-	-	-	-	20 000
Walker River CCD	176	80	82	6	3	2	3	-	57 300
Schurz CDP (part)	159	72	73	6	3	2	3	-	56 800
Nye County	4 297	165	1 255	1 685	843	241	97	11	122 100
Amargosa Valley CCD	33	7	26	-	-	-	-	-	80 800
Beatty CCD	133	-	96	18	-	-	19	-	93 700
Beatty CDP	133	-	96	18	-	-	19	-	93 700
Crystal CCD	1 016	19	313	417	204	51	12	-	116 100
Pahrump CDP (part)	1 016	19	313	417	204	51	12	-	116 100
Duckwater CCD	16	10	3	3	-	-	-	-	43 300
Gabbs CCD	77	64	12	1	-	-	-	-	24 900
Gabbs city	68	64	3	1	-	-	-	-	23 800
Pahrump CCD	2 633	16	528	1 191	631	190	66	11	135 100
Pahrump CDP (part)	2 633	16	528	1 191	631	190	66	11	135 100
Ralston CCD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Round Mountain CCD	38	-	38	-	-	-	-	-	66 300
Tonopah CCD	351	49	239	55	8	-	-	-	78 200
Tonopah CDP	351	49	239	55	8	-	-	-	78 200
Yucca Flat CCD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pershing County	491	87	257	117	11	2	17	-	82 200
Imlay CCD	81	16	45	6	-	-	14	-	64 700
Lovelock CCD	410	71	212	111	11	2	3	-	84 700
Lovelock city	315	66	159	74	11	2	3	-	81 700
Storey County	689	21	111	304	130	94	20	9	134 800
Clark CCD	225	5	83	137	-	-	-	-	107 200
Virginia City CCD	464	16	28	167	130	94	20	9	155 300
Washoe County	61 938	594	4 495	21 369	16 285	11 569	4 788	2 838	161 600
High Desert CCD	39	14	20	5	-	-	-	-	75 500
Gerlach-Empire CDP	25	-	20	5	-	-	-	-	82 500
Incline Village CCD	2 234	9	-	124	110	253	601	1 137	512 400
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP (part)	2 234	9	-	124	110	253	601	1 137	512 400
North Valleys CCD	6 534	62	888	3 375	1 640	500	58	11	136 200
Cold Springs CDP	868	-	42	701	106	19	-	-	123 600
Lemmon Valley-Golden Valley CDP	1 617	-	125	786	503	173	22	8	144 500
Reno city (part)	3 252	62	721	1 589	778	94	8	-	130 600
Pyramid Lake CCD	257	40	104	39	43	16	15	-	87 000
Nixon CDP	58	15	18	6	7	5	7	-	76 700
Sutcliffe CDP	33	10	12	9	-	-	2	-	68 800
Wadsworth CDP	147	12	66	18	34	11	6	-	92 500
Reno North CCD	10 544	110	876	4 589	3 410	1 410	115	34	147 400
Reno city (part)	10 097	83	839	4 546	3 218	1 276	115	20	146 400
Sparks city (part)	63	-	37	26	-	-	-	-	88 500
Reno Southeast CCD	6 634	79	753	2 784	1 712	1 060	217	29	146 000
Reno city (part)	5 158	56	670	2 662	1 285	442	23	20	138 700
Reno Southwest CCD	13 386	77	251	1 219	3 076	4 629	2 869	1 265	238 600
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reno city (part)	10 119	60	242	1 178	2 654	3 536	1 795	654	221 800
Sparks CCD	10 147	90	1 187	5 798	2 427	459	134	52	135 300
Sparks city (part)	9 980	90	1 187	5 798	2 377	414	86	28	134 700
Sun Valley CCD	2 169	69	283	1 597	212	8	-	-	128 900
Reno city (part)	17	9	-	-	-	8	-	-	49 400
Sparks city (part)	21	-	8	-	13	-	-	-	154 800
Sun Valley CDP (part)	2 131	60	275	1 597	199	-	-	-	128 800
Verdi CCD	917	7	19	33	211	402	198	47	231 300
Reno city (part)	87	-	-	-	14	73	-	-	222 300
Verdi-Mogul CDP	830	7	19	33	197	329	198	47	233 800
Warm Springs-Truckee Canyon CCD	6 095	18	74	1 315	2 681	1 835	165	7	175 100
Spanish Springs CDP	2 532	11	13	695	1 180	612	21	-	167 100
Sparks city (part)	2 745	-	-	154	1 288	1 176	120	7	197 200
Sun Valley CDP (part)	35	-	-	26	9	-	-	-	141 800
Washoe Valley CCD	2 982	19	40	491	763	997	416	256	215 900
White Pine County	1 871	511	945	230	86	91	-	8	70 000
Baker CCD	52	3	24	-	12	13	-	-	89 600
Cherry Creek CCD	12	-	-	12	-	-	-	-	137 500
Ely CCD	1 276	256	680	211	62	59	-	8	77 100
Ely city	1 041	252	600	167	14	-	-	8	71 300
Lund CCD	72	7	51	7	-	7	-	-	75 300

Table 25. **Home Value: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Specified owner- occupied housing units	Value of home								Median (dollars)
		Less than \$50,000	\$50,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 to \$299,999	\$300,000 to \$499,999	\$500,000 or more		
White Pine County—Con.										
McGill CCD .....	357	174	167	-	4	12	-	-	-	50 500
McGill CDP .....	323	163	156	-	4	-	-	-	-	49 700
Ruth CCD .....	102	71	23	-	8	-	-	-	-	35 500
Carson City .....	9 692	68	666	4 340	2 118	1 902	483	115	147 500	



**Table 26. Home Value: 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place	Specified owner- occupied housing units	Value of home							
		Less than \$50,000	\$50,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 to \$299,999	\$300,000 to \$499,999	\$500,000 or more	Median (dollars)
<b>The State</b>	<b>363 321</b>	<b>4 735</b>	<b>52 215</b>	<b>150 379</b>	<b>81 180</b>	<b>48 061</b>	<b>18 616</b>	<b>8 135</b>	<b>142 000</b>
<b>COUNTY</b>									
Churchill County	3 367	84	995	1 408	617	208	40	15	117 100
Clark County	254 708	1 899	38 699	111 370	56 100	30 633	11 650	4 357	139 500
Douglas County	10 122	80	245	2 862	2 726	2 229	1 261	719	181 800
Elko County	6 387	329	1 344	3 239	920	406	107	42	123 100
Esmeralda County	65	15	36	14	-	-	-	-	75 600
Eureka County	111	19	43	25	21	-	3	-	89 200
Humboldt County	1 993	127	594	738	380	136	18	-	117 400
Lander County	533	79	289	136	15	12	-	2	82 400
Lincoln County	742	102	396	145	58	28	6	7	80 300
Lyon County	5 226	157	1 209	2 356	867	508	123	6	119 200
Mineral County	1 089	398	636	41	3	2	3	6	59 500
Nye County	4 297	165	1 255	1 685	843	241	97	11	122 100
Pershing County	491	87	257	117	11	2	17	-	82 200
Storey County	689	21	111	304	130	94	20	9	134 800
Washoe County	61 938	594	4 495	21 369	16 285	11 569	4 788	2 838	161 600
White Pine County	1 871	511	945	230	86	91	-	8	70 000
Carson City	9 692	68	666	4 340	2 118	1 902	483	115	147 500
<b>PLACE</b>									
Battle Mountain CDP, Lander County	323	37	193	79	7	7	-	-	79 600
Beatty CDP, Nye County	133	-	96	18	-	-	19	-	93 700
Blue Diamond CDP, Clark County	76	-	-	9	34	33	-	-	194 300
Boulder City city, Clark County	3 549	6	201	887	1 264	597	432	162	172 500
Bunkerville CDP, Clark County	117	-	36	43	18	20	-	-	131 700
Caliente city, Lincoln County	164	44	89	25	4	2	-	-	64 500
Cal-Nev-Ari CDP, Clark County	12	-	12	-	-	-	-	-	85 000
Carlin city, Elko County	298	25	149	93	20	3	3	5	92 200
Carson City	9 692	68	666	4 340	2 118	1 902	483	115	147 500
Cold Springs CDP, Washoe County	868	-	42	701	106	19	-	-	123 600
Dayton CDP, Lyon County	1 328	17	97	798	226	144	46	-	123 500
Elko city, Elko County	2 796	93	551	1 490	388	245	24	5	126 600
Ely city, White Pine County	1 041	252	600	167	14	-	-	8	71 300
Enterprise CDP, Clark County	2 843	9	117	623	536	1 096	341	121	211 400
Fallon city, Churchill County	1 102	41	583	378	42	26	32	-	96 000
Fallon Station CDP, Churchill County	10	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	112 500
Fernley CDP, Lyon County	1 479	-	320	704	228	217	10	-	123 200
Gabbs city, Nye County	68	64	3	1	-	-	-	-	23 800
Gardnerville CDP, Douglas County	588	8	30	332	187	18	13	-	140 400
Gardnerville Ranchos CDP, Douglas County	2 627	2	103	1 388	580	397	149	8	144 500
Gerlach-Empire CDP, Washoe County	25	-	20	5	-	-	-	-	82 500
Goodsprings CDP, Clark County	53	14	39	-	-	-	-	-	65 000
Hawthorne CDP, Mineral County	742	253	454	29	-	-	-	6	58 700
Henderson city, Clark County	42 596	162	3 528	15 683	12 739	7 469	2 231	784	156 000
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP, Washoe County	2 234	9	-	124	110	253	601	1 137	512 400
Indian Hills CDP, Douglas County	1 118	-	16	512	442	117	22	9	152 600
Indian Springs CDP, Clark County	49	-	8	9	32	-	-	-	157 800
Johnson Lane CDP, Douglas County	1 523	13	31	211	625	481	162	-	190 700
Kingsbury CDP, Douglas County	648	23	11	65	89	221	145	94	259 900
Las Vegas city, Clark County	93 876	699	16 297	39 577	19 930	11 168	4 350	1 855	137 300
Laughlin CDP, Clark County	687	19	63	340	153	93	19	-	140 200
Lemmon Valley-Golden Valley CDP, Washoe County	1 617	-	125	786	503	173	22	8	144 500
Lovelock city, Pershing County	315	66	159	74	11	2	3	-	81 700
McDermitt CDP, Humboldt County	55	41	8	3	-	3	-	-	17 500
McGill CDP, White Pine County	323	163	156	-	4	-	-	-	49 700
Mesquite city, Clark County	1 810	7	187	1 063	241	166	137	9	133 500
Minden CDP, Douglas County	860	-	8	127	375	287	49	14	189 100
Moapa Town CDP, Clark County	82	7	14	47	14	-	-	-	123 800
Moapa Valley CDP, Clark County	845	11	159	266	218	138	40	13	147 300
Mount Charleston CDP, Clark County	92	-	9	10	28	26	9	10	197 200
Nellis AFB CDP, Clark County	97	-	43	54	-	-	-	-	103 000
Nixon CDP, Washoe County	58	15	18	6	7	5	7	-	76 700
North Las Vegas city, Clark County	21 886	391	5 233	12 711	3 037	455	34	25	123 000
Owyhee CDP, Elko County	141	68	57	4	6	4	2	-	51 000
Pahrump CDP, Nye County	3 649	35	841	1 608	835	241	78	11	130 500
Paradise CDP, Clark County	29 372	170	3 904	13 579	7 364	3 259	771	325	140 600
Reno city, Washoe County	28 730	270	2 472	9 975	7 949	5 429	1 941	694	158 700
Sandy Valley CDP, Clark County	61	-	31	19	-	11	-	-	99 800
Schurz CDP, Mineral County	159	72	73	6	3	2	3	-	56 800
Searchlight CDP, Clark County	13	-	-	13	-	-	-	-	137 500
Silver Springs CDP, Lyon County	268	19	106	92	51	-	-	-	103 400
Smith Valley CDP, Lyon County	201	15	-	38	81	42	19	6	186 300
Spanish Springs CDP, Washoe County	2 532	11	13	695	1 180	612	21	-	167 100
Sparks city, Washoe County	12 809	90	1 232	5 978	3 678	1 590	206	35	143 700
Spring Creek CDP, Elko County	1 931	5	211	1 224	387	77	16	11	129 800
Spring Valley CDP, Clark County	22 436	166	1 527	9 689	5 557	3 304	1 586	607	149 300
Stataline CDP, Douglas County	19	-	7	7	5	-	-	-	133 900
Summerlin South CDP, Clark County	1 223	-	13	313	483	202	157	55	172 000
Sunrise Manor CDP, Clark County	21 896	129	4 950	12 458	2 769	1 126	374	90	120 200
Sun Valley CDP, Washoe County	2 166	60	275	1 623	208	-	-	-	129 100
Sutcliffe CDP, Washoe County	33	10	12	9	-	-	2	-	68 800
Tonopah CDP, Nye County	351	49	239	55	8	-	-	-	78 200
Verdi-Mogul CDP, Washoe County	830	7	19	33	197	329	198	47	233 800
Wadsworth CDP, Washoe County	147	12	66	18	34	11	6	-	92 500
Wells city, Elko County	227	36	123	47	19	2	-	-	85 900
West Wendover city, Elko County	254	11	45	129	28	29	12	-	131 600
Whitney CDP, Clark County	2 907	30	1 117	1 518	212	30	-	-	107 500
Winchester CDP, Clark County	3 289	10	965	1 680	368	97	104	65	119 500

Table 26. **Home Value: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place	Specified owner- occupied housing units	Value of home								Median (dollars)
		Less than \$50,000	\$50,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 to \$299,999	\$300,000 to \$499,999	\$500,000 or more		
<b>PLACE</b> —Con.										
Winnemucca city, Humboldt County .....	1 333	45	418	437	294	121	18	-	-	124 000
Yerington city, Lyon County .....	609	36	276	215	51	31	-	-	-	99 200
Zephyr Cove-Round Hill Village CDP, Douglas County .....	437	-	-	36	39	136	134	92	-	309 400

**Table 27. Selected Monthly Owner Costs: 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Specified owner- occupied housing units	Selected monthly owner costs							
		Less than \$200	\$200 to \$299	\$300 to \$399	\$400 to \$499	\$500 to \$599	\$600 to \$799	\$800 to \$999	\$1,000 or more
<b>The State</b> .....	<b>363 321</b>	<b>9 361</b>	<b>25 669</b>	<b>17 634</b>	<b>10 327</b>	<b>9 791</b>	<b>30 729</b>	<b>53 321</b>	<b>206 489</b>
Churchill County .....	3 367	248	376	197	93	117	487	611	1 238
Carson Sink CCD .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dixie Valley CCD .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fallon CCD .....	3 367	248	376	197	93	117	487	611	1 238
Fallon city .....	1 102	75	131	50	32	48	252	233	281
Fallon Station CDP .....	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	-
Clark County .....	254 708	5 363	17 048	10 860	6 301	6 323	21 328	39 175	148 310
Clark CCD .....	7 251	543	641	385	240	267	588	962	3 625
Blue Diamond CDP .....	76	-	16	-	-	8	-	9	43
Boulder City city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bunkerville CDP .....	117	15	37	-	-	-	-	29	36
Cal-Nev-Ari CDP .....	12	-	12	-	-	-	-	-	-
Enterprise CDP (part) .....	151	15	-	11	-	19	22	-	84
Goodsprings CDP .....	53	53	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Henderson city (part) .....	997	-	69	34	35	9	36	18	796
Indian Springs CDP .....	49	9	8	-	-	-	-	8	24
Las Vegas city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Laughlin CDP .....	687	12	51	65	19	38	62	108	332
Mesquite city .....	1 810	235	243	105	21	78	230	313	585
Moapa Town CDP .....	82	14	-	7	6	-	6	21	28
Moapa Valley CDP .....	845	65	82	58	37	42	62	197	302
Mount Charleston CDP .....	92	-	20	-	9	-	18	-	45
North Las Vegas city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sandy Valley CDP .....	61	11	-	-	-	-	-	31	19
Searchlight CDP .....	13	-	-	-	-	13	-	-	-
Spring Valley CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Summerlin South CDP (part) .....	164	-	11	-	15	-	10	-	128
Sunrise Manor CDP (part) .....	1 340	12	18	87	77	52	97	103	894
Las Vegas CCD .....	247 457	4 820	16 407	10 475	6 061	6 056	20 740	38 213	144 685
Boulder City city (part) .....	3 549	320	537	222	177	130	245	220	1 698
Enterprise CDP (part) .....	2 692	57	89	101	91	71	129	357	1 797
Henderson city (part) .....	41 599	602	2 559	1 392	802	756	2 335	5 177	27 976
Las Vegas city (part) .....	93 876	2 057	7 415	4 695	2 577	2 382	8 470	15 230	51 050
Nellis AFB CDP .....	97	7	-	-	-	5	7	26	52
North Las Vegas city (part) .....	21 886	424	1 153	601	396	559	2 106	3 768	12 879
Paradise CDP .....	29 372	523	1 759	1 451	756	731	2 590	4 123	17 439
Spring Valley CDP (part) .....	22 436	294	1 091	724	591	554	1 553	3 327	14 302
Summerlin South CDP (part) .....	1 059	-	66	-	20	14	-	62	828
Sunrise Manor CDP (part) .....	20 556	270	954	634	296	499	2 241	4 238	11 424
Whitney CDP .....	2 907	94	149	68	57	100	449	842	1 148
Winchester CDP .....	3 289	116	396	295	96	177	349	500	1 360
Douglas County .....	10 122	178	800	812	362	277	676	1 266	5 751
Gardnerville-Minden CCD .....	6 320	124	598	551	183	203	422	854	3 385
Gardnerville CDP .....	588	41	87	38	13	43	51	51	264
Gardnerville Ranchos CDP .....	2 627	26	260	181	63	85	262	444	1 306
Indian Hills CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Johnson Lane CDP .....	1 523	32	125	163	20	26	39	192	926
Minden CDP .....	860	-	78	103	57	7	35	64	516
Genoa-Jacks Valley CCD .....	1 803	25	138	107	58	13	113	256	1 093
Indian Hills CDP (part) .....	1 118	15	93	43	11	-	67	216	673
Pine Nut CCD .....	216	-	13	25	12	-	-	23	143
Topaz Lake CCD .....	262	12	30	18	19	-	47	34	102
Zephyr Cove CCD .....	1 521	17	21	111	90	61	94	99	1 028
Kingsbury CDP .....	648	-	6	41	57	26	36	30	452
Stateline CDP .....	19	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	12
Zephyr Cove-Round Hill Village CDP .....	437	7	10	31	33	22	6	43	285
Elko County .....	6 387	378	576	421	220	162	608	1 000	3 022
Carlin CCD .....	298	36	35	12	16	22	52	68	57
Carlin city .....	298	36	35	12	16	22	52	68	57
Elko CCD .....	5 335	209	451	353	162	87	442	842	2 789
Elko city .....	2 796	115	258	203	105	76	281	425	1 333
Spring Creek CDP .....	1 931	14	94	76	32	8	85	339	1 283
Jackpot CCD .....	22	-	10	-	8	-	-	4	-
Jarbridge CCD .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Montello CCD .....	43	-	10	11	-	-	11	11	-
Mountain City CCD .....	172	98	22	21	8	12	11	-	-
Owyhee CDP .....	141	86	22	21	8	2	2	-	-
Wells CCD .....	263	30	48	24	2	23	53	32	51
Wells city .....	227	17	48	18	2	15	49	29	49
West Wendover CCD .....	254	5	-	-	24	18	39	43	125
West Wendover city .....	254	5	-	-	24	18	39	43	125
Esmeralda County .....	65	25	22	3	-	3	4	2	6
Goldfield CCD .....	46	16	18	-	-	-	4	2	6
Silverpeak CCD .....	19	9	4	3	-	3	-	-	-
Eureka County .....	111	21	17	5	6	14	18	6	24
Beowawe CCD .....	29	10	4	3	-	2	2	-	8
Eureka CCD .....	82	11	13	2	6	12	16	6	16
Humboldt County .....	1 993	184	157	92	19	63	276	343	859
Golconda CCD .....	1 376	89	71	67	10	47	215	209	668
Winnemucca city (part) .....	1 032	75	62	32	10	32	131	147	543
McDermitt CCD .....	116	59	18	3	3	7	-	7	19
McDermitt CDP .....	55	38	11	3	3	-	-	-	-
Summit Lake CCD .....	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10
Winnemucca CCD .....	491	36	68	22	6	9	61	127	162
Winnemucca city (part) .....	301	19	45	22	6	9	19	89	92
Lander County .....	533	81	100	28	-	35	123	95	71
Austin CCD .....	56	-	40	-	-	7	9	-	-
Battle Mountain CCD .....	477	81	60	28	-	28	114	95	71
Battle Mountain CDP .....	323	54	40	19	-	18	93	61	38

Table 27. Selected Monthly Owner Costs: 2000—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Specified owner- occupied housing units	Selected monthly owner costs							
		Less than \$200	\$200 to \$299	\$300 to \$399	\$400 to \$499	\$500 to \$599	\$600 to \$799	\$800 to \$999	\$1,000 or more
Lincoln County	742	156	142	42	51	94	126	55	76
Alamo CCD	220	22	42	14	27	15	48	14	38
Caliente CCD	172	31	41	16	12	17	24	19	12
Caliente city (part)	164	29	41	15	12	14	24	17	12
Pioche CCD	350	103	59	12	12	62	54	22	26
Caliente city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lyon County	5 226	356	542	303	99	180	698	1 009	2 039
Dayton CCD	1 837	103	137	49	36	76	204	438	794
Dayton CDP	1 328	67	111	49	8	52	146	275	620
Fernley CCD	1 479	17	105	61	9	28	162	370	727
Fernley CDP	1 479	17	105	61	9	28	162	370	727
Silver Springs CCD	417	40	26	34	5	28	86	57	141
Silver Springs CDP	268	40	17	34	5	10	62	36	64
Smith Valley CCD	212	7	14	12	8	-	4	22	145
Smith Valley CDP	201	7	14	12	8	-	4	22	134
Yerington CCD	1 281	189	260	147	41	48	242	122	232
Yerington city	609	109	135	56	16	6	111	62	114
Mineral County	1 089	177	297	141	72	81	189	63	69
Hawthorne CCD	859	86	201	117	64	79	182	63	67
Hawthorne CDP	742	86	181	95	64	57	141	57	61
Schurz CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mina CCD	54	15	24	8	-	-	7	-	-
Walker River CCD	176	76	72	16	8	2	-	-	2
Schurz CDP (part)	159	72	63	14	6	2	-	-	2
Nye County	4 297	644	701	169	217	145	690	768	963
Amargosa Valley CCD	33	25	-	8	-	-	-	-	-
Beatty CCD	133	-	69	7	8	-	18	8	23
Beatty CDP	133	-	69	7	8	-	18	8	23
Crystal CCD	1 016	147	80	20	42	78	234	201	214
Pahrump CDP (part)	1 016	147	80	20	42	78	234	201	214
Duckwater CCD	16	5	3	6	-	-	2	-	-
Gabbs CCD	77	16	46	6	3	2	-	4	-
Gabbs city	68	16	37	6	3	2	-	4	-
Pahrump CCD	2 633	367	449	115	139	43	378	470	672
Pahrump CDP (part)	2 633	367	449	115	139	43	378	470	672
Ralston CCD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Round Mountain CCD	38	7	14	-	8	-	-	9	-
Tonopah CCD	351	77	40	7	17	22	58	76	54
Tonopah CDP	351	77	40	7	17	22	58	76	54
Yucca Flat CCD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pershing County	491	30	104	95	17	42	54	78	71
Imlay CCD	81	4	15	12	-	12	18	12	8
Lovelock CCD	410	26	89	83	17	30	36	66	63
Lovelock city	315	26	75	64	17	23	24	39	47
Storey County	689	21	49	39	14	34	105	131	296
Clark CCD	225	-	11	7	-	14	56	76	61
Virginia City CCD	464	21	38	32	14	20	49	55	235
Washoe County	61 938	902	3 112	3 557	2 533	1 867	4 233	7 031	38 703
High Desert CCD	39	29	-	-	-	-	-	5	5
Gerlach-Empire CDP	25	15	-	-	-	-	-	5	5
Incline Village CCD	2 234	7	-	35	75	114	116	250	1 637
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP (part)	2 234	7	-	35	75	114	116	250	1 637
North Valleys CCD	6 534	64	264	167	153	91	518	1 064	4 213
Cold Springs CDP	868	-	9	-	-	33	61	164	601
Lemmon Valley-Golden Valley CDP	1 617	9	87	102	75	-	59	326	959
Reno city (part)	3 252	46	118	31	48	58	352	478	2 121
Pyramid Lake CCD	257	67	82	50	12	8	6	11	21
Nixon CDP	58	20	16	12	4	-	-	3	3
Sutcliffe CDP	33	9	16	3	-	2	-	-	3
Wadsworth CDP	147	32	42	30	8	6	6	8	15
Reno North CCD	10 544	170	680	723	441	250	879	1 320	6 081
Reno city (part)	10 097	170	680	711	425	215	841	1 287	5 768
Sparks city (part)	63	-	-	-	-	-	9	33	21
Reno Southeast CCD	6 634	134	433	501	215	150	439	816	3 946
Reno city (part)	5 158	96	341	347	145	126	386	715	3 002
Reno Southwest CCD	13 386	72	486	882	1 018	665	959	731	8 573
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reno city (part)	10 119	63	417	764	792	505	781	591	6 206
Sparks CCD	10 147	246	784	685	339	347	782	1 537	5 427
Sparks city (part)	9 980	246	777	678	321	347	775	1 522	5 314
Sun Valley CCD	2 169	35	109	39	28	52	159	524	1 223
Reno city (part)	17	-	-	-	-	9	8	-	-
Sparks city (part)	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	13
Sun Valley CDP (part)	2 131	35	109	39	28	43	151	516	1 210
Verdi CCD	917	14	31	82	13	39	26	37	675
Reno city (part)	87	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	80
Verdi-Mogul CDP	830	14	31	75	13	39	26	37	595
Warm Springs-Truckee Canyon CCD	6 095	24	154	232	161	73	149	405	4 897
Spanish Springs CDP	2 532	10	81	94	68	28	46	175	2 030
Sparks city (part)	2 745	-	38	90	73	38	57	136	2 313
Sun Valley CDP (part)	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	18	17
Washoe Valley CCD	2 982	40	89	161	78	78	200	331	2 005
White Pine County	1 871	205	493	168	90	133	329	198	255
Baker CCD	52	24	3	12	-	13	-	-	-
Cherry Creek CCD	12	-	12	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ely CCD	1 276	89	300	109	31	87	258	159	243
Ely city	1 041	85	269	93	27	77	225	110	155
Lund CCD	72	21	22	-	-	7	9	13	-

Table 27. **Selected Monthly Owner Costs: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G.  
For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Specified owner- occupied housing units	Selected monthly owner costs								
		Less than \$200	\$200 to \$299	\$300 to \$399	\$400 to \$499	\$500 to \$599	\$600 to \$799	\$800 to \$999	\$1,000 or more	
White Pine County—Con.										
McGill CCD .....	357	58	134	18	44	11	62	26	4	
McGill CDP .....	323	52	118	6	44	11	62	26	4	
Ruth CCD .....	102	13	22	29	15	15	-	-	8	
Carson City .....	9 692	392	1 133	702	233	221	785	1 490	4 736	

**Table 28. Selected Monthly Owner Costs: 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place	Specified owner- occupied housing units	Selected monthly owner costs								
		Less than \$200	\$200 to \$299	\$300 to \$399	\$400 to \$499	\$500 to \$599	\$600 to \$799	\$800 to \$999	\$1,000 or more	
		<b>The State</b> .....	<b>363 321</b>	<b>9 361</b>	<b>25 669</b>	<b>17 634</b>	<b>10 327</b>	<b>9 791</b>	<b>30 729</b>	<b>53 321</b>
<b>COUNTY</b>										
Churchill County .....	3 367	248	376	197	93	117	487	611	1 238	
Clark County .....	254 708	5 363	17 048	10 860	6 301	6 323	21 328	39 175	148 310	
Douglas County .....	10 122	178	800	812	362	277	676	1 266	5 751	
Elko County .....	6 387	378	576	421	220	162	608	1 000	3 022	
Esmeralda County .....	65	25	22	3	-	3	4	2	6	
Eureka County .....	111	21	17	5	6	14	18	6	24	
Humboldt County .....	1 993	184	157	92	19	63	276	343	859	
Lander County .....	533	81	100	28	-	35	123	95	71	
Lincoln County .....	742	156	142	42	51	94	126	55	76	
Lyon County .....	5 226	356	542	303	99	180	698	1 009	2 039	
Mineral County .....	1 089	177	297	141	72	81	189	63	69	
Nye County .....	4 297	644	701	169	217	145	690	768	963	
Pershing County .....	491	30	104	95	17	42	54	78	71	
Storey County .....	689	21	49	39	14	34	105	131	296	
Washoe County .....	61 938	902	3 112	3 557	2 533	1 867	4 233	7 031	38 703	
White Pine County .....	1 871	205	493	168	90	133	329	198	255	
Carson City .....	9 692	392	1 133	702	233	221	785	1 490	4 736	
<b>PLACE</b>										
Battle Mountain CDP, Lander County .....	323	54	40	19	-	18	93	61	38	
Beatty CDP, Nye County .....	133	-	69	7	8	-	18	8	23	
Blue Diamond CDP, Clark County .....	76	-	16	-	-	8	-	9	43	
Boulder City city, Clark County .....	3 549	320	537	222	177	130	245	220	1 698	
Bunkerville CDP, Clark County .....	117	15	37	-	-	-	-	29	36	
Caliente city, Lincoln County .....	164	29	41	15	12	14	24	17	12	
Cal-Nev-Ari CDP, Clark County .....	12	-	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Carlin city, Elko County .....	298	36	35	12	16	22	52	68	57	
Carson City .....	9 692	392	1 133	702	233	221	785	1 490	4 736	
Cold Springs CDP, Washoe County .....	868	-	9	-	-	33	61	164	601	
Dayton CDP, Lyon County .....	1 328	67	111	49	8	52	146	275	620	
Elko city, Elko County .....	2 796	115	258	203	105	76	281	425	1 333	
Ely city, White Pine County .....	1 041	85	269	93	27	77	225	110	155	
Enterprise CDP, Clark County .....	2 843	72	89	112	91	90	151	357	1 881	
Fallon city, Churchill County .....	1 102	75	131	50	32	48	252	233	281	
Fallon Station CDP, Churchill County .....	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	
Fernley CDP, Lyon County .....	1 479	17	105	61	9	28	162	370	727	
Gabbs city, Nye County .....	68	16	37	6	3	2	-	4	-	
Gardnerville CDP, Douglas County .....	588	41	87	38	13	43	51	51	264	
Gardnerville Ranchos CDP, Douglas County .....	2 627	26	260	181	63	85	262	444	1 306	
Gerlach-Empire CDP, Washoe County .....	25	15	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	
Goodsprings CDP, Clark County .....	53	53	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hawthorne CDP, Mineral County .....	742	86	181	95	64	57	141	57	61	
Henderson city, Clark County .....	42 596	602	2 628	1 426	837	765	2 371	5 195	28 772	
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP, Washoe County .....	2 234	7	-	35	75	114	116	250	1 637	
Indian Hills CDP, Douglas County .....	1 118	15	93	43	11	-	67	216	673	
Indian Springs CDP, Clark County .....	49	9	8	-	-	-	-	8	24	
Johnson Lane CDP, Douglas County .....	1 523	32	125	163	20	26	39	192	926	
Kingsbury CDP, Douglas County .....	648	-	6	41	57	26	36	30	452	
Las Vegas city, Clark County .....	93 876	2 057	7 415	4 695	2 577	2 382	8 470	15 230	51 050	
Laughlin CDP, Clark County .....	687	12	51	65	19	38	62	108	332	
Lemmon Valley-Golden Valley CDP, Washoe County .....	1 617	9	87	102	75	-	59	326	959	
Lovelock city, Pershing County .....	315	26	75	64	17	23	24	39	47	
McDermitt CDP, Humboldt County .....	55	38	11	3	3	-	-	-	-	
McGill CDP, White Pine County .....	323	52	118	6	44	11	62	26	4	
Mesquite city, Clark County .....	1 810	235	243	105	21	78	230	313	585	
Minden CDP, Douglas County .....	860	-	78	103	57	7	35	64	516	
Moapa Town CDP, Clark County .....	82	14	-	7	6	-	6	21	28	
Moapa Valley CDP, Clark County .....	845	65	82	58	37	42	62	197	302	
Mount Charleston CDP, Clark County .....	92	-	20	-	9	-	18	-	45	
Nellis AFB CDP, Clark County .....	97	7	-	-	-	5	7	26	52	
Nixon CDP, Washoe County .....	58	20	16	12	4	-	-	3	3	
North Las Vegas city, Clark County .....	21 886	424	1 153	601	396	559	2 106	3 768	12 879	
Owyhee CDP, Elko County .....	141	86	22	21	8	2	2	-	-	
Pahrump CDP, Nye County .....	3 649	514	529	135	181	121	612	671	886	
Paradise CDP, Clark County .....	29 372	523	1 759	1 451	756	731	2 590	4 123	17 439	
Reno city, Washoe County .....	28 730	375	1 556	1 860	1 410	913	2 368	3 071	17 177	
Sandy Valley CDP, Clark County .....	61	11	-	-	-	-	-	31	19	
Schurz CDP, Mineral County .....	159	72	63	14	6	2	-	-	2	
Searchlight CDP, Clark County .....	13	-	-	-	-	13	-	-	-	
Silver Springs CDP, Lyon County .....	268	40	17	34	5	10	62	36	64	
Smith Valley CDP, Lyon County .....	201	7	14	12	8	-	4	22	134	
Spanish Springs CDP, Washoe County .....	2 532	10	81	94	68	28	46	175	2 030	
Sparks city, Washoe County .....	12 809	246	815	768	394	385	841	1 699	7 661	
Spring Creek CDP, Elko County .....	1 931	14	94	76	32	8	85	339	1 283	
Spring Valley CDP, Clark County .....	22 436	294	1 091	724	591	554	1 553	3 327	14 302	
Stataline CDP, Douglas County .....	19	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	12	
Summerlin South CDP, Clark County .....	1 223	-	77	20	29	-	72	69	956	
Sunrise Manor CDP, Clark County .....	21 896	282	972	721	373	551	2 338	4 341	12 318	
Sun Valley CDP, Washoe County .....	2 166	35	109	39	28	43	151	534	1 227	
Sutcliffe CDP, Washoe County .....	33	9	16	3	-	2	-	-	3	
Tonopah CDP, Nye County .....	351	77	40	7	17	22	58	76	54	
Verdi-Mogul CDP, Washoe County .....	830	14	31	75	13	39	26	37	595	
Wadsworth CDP, Washoe County .....	147	32	42	30	8	6	6	8	15	
Wells city, Elko County .....	227	17	48	18	2	15	49	29	49	
West Wendover city, Elko County .....	254	5	-	-	24	18	39	43	125	
Whitney CDP, Clark County .....	2 907	94	149	68	57	100	449	842	1 148	
Winchester CDP, Clark County .....	3 289	116	396	295	96	177	349	500	1 360	

Table 28. **Selected Monthly Owner Costs: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place	Specified owner- occupied housing units	Selected monthly owner costs							
		Less than \$200	\$200 to \$299	\$300 to \$399	\$400 to \$499	\$500 to \$599	\$600 to \$799	\$800 to \$999	\$1,000 or more
<b>PLACE</b> —Con.									
Winnemucca city, Humboldt County .....	1 333	94	107	54	16	41	150	236	635
Yerington city, Lyon County .....	609	109	135	56	16	6	111	62	114
Zephyr Cove-Round Hill Village CDP, Douglas County .....	437	7	10	31	33	22	6	43	285

**Table 29. Gross Rent: 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Specified renter-occupied housing units paying cash rent	Gross rent							
		Less than \$250	\$250 to \$499	\$500 to \$749	\$750 to \$999	\$1,000 to \$1,499	\$1,500 to \$1,999	\$2,000 or more	Median (dollars)
<b>The State</b>	<b>283 497</b>	<b>9 061</b>	<b>38 778</b>	<b>119 339</b>	<b>78 283</b>	<b>33 282</b>	<b>3 629</b>	<b>1 125</b>	<b>699</b>
Churchill County	2 571	236	681	1 084	384	169	17	-	595
Carson Sink CCD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dixie Valley CCD	20	6	9	5	-	-	-	-	375
Fallon CCD	2 551	230	672	1 079	384	169	17	-	596
Fallon city	1 592	167	427	634	255	101	8	-	588
Fallon Station CDP	192	14	8	155	15	-	-	-	647
Clark County	203 779	5 070	23 052	86 301	61 398	24 868	2 463	627	716
Clark CCD	6 054	512	760	2 969	1 382	366	40	25	639
Blue Diamond CDP	28	-	-	19	9	-	-	-	627
Boulder City city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bunkerville CDP	57	-	34	9	14	-	-	-	479
Cal-Nev-Ari CDP	34	-	20	14	-	-	-	-	485
Enterprise CDP (part)	25	11	7	-	-	7	-	-	361
Goodsprings CDP	69	-	30	26	13	-	-	-	619
Henderson city (part)	63	-	-	7	-	23	17	16	1 522
Indian Springs CDP	198	-	68	61	69	-	-	-	636
Las Vegas city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Laughlin CDP	1 906	95	173	1 271	313	45	-	9	623
Mesquite city	1 161	10	194	659	244	45	9	-	626
Moapa Town CDP	52	-	6	26	20	-	-	-	650
Moapa Valley CDP	315	40	62	144	53	16	-	-	620
Mount Charleston CDP	14	-	-	-	-	14	-	-	1 125
North Las Vegas city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sandy Valley CDP	117	12	57	27	21	-	-	-	417
Searchlight CDP	158	40	86	22	-	-	10	-	400
Spring Valley CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Summerlin South CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sunrise Manor CDP (part)	1 401	11	9	629	606	142	4	-	758
Las Vegas CCD	197 725	4 558	22 292	83 332	60 016	24 502	2 423	602	718
Boulder City city (part)	1 416	75	190	612	280	247	12	-	693
Enterprise CDP (part)	2 161	-	38	631	1 108	348	27	9	835
Henderson city (part)	19 119	339	815	4 407	8 537	4 391	555	75	857
Las Vegas city (part)	70 777	2 448	10 957	27 636	20 137	8 497	854	248	699
Nellis AFB CDP	1 568	-	69	964	516	19	-	-	662
North Las Vegas city (part)	9 806	414	1 815	3 985	2 071	1 410	92	19	644
Paradise CDP	41 847	442	4 430	22 721	10 284	3 431	406	133	675
Spring Valley CDP (part)	20 285	112	263	6 139	10 104	3 329	260	78	827
Summerlin South CDP (part)	287	-	12	-	59	216	-	-	1 147
Sunrise Manor CDP (part)	18 597	403	2 475	9 255	4 593	1 787	52	32	683
Whitney CDP	2 423	118	297	1 418	451	115	24	-	646
Winchester CDP	6 859	195	792	3 856	1 397	499	112	8	662
Douglas County	3 923	58	370	1 307	1 367	754	67	-	780
Gardnerville-Minden CCD	2 262	26	176	755	878	407	20	-	782
Gardnerville CDP	671	-	108	336	157	62	8	-	680
Gardnerville Ranchos CDP	1 136	26	18	278	626	185	3	-	810
Indian Hills CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Johnson Lane CDP	141	-	-	37	16	88	-	-	1 055
Minden CDP	231	-	27	74	69	52	9	-	808
Genoa-Jacks Valley CCD	421	26	53	45	169	120	8	-	890
Indian Hills CDP (part)	339	26	36	17	150	102	8	-	921
Pine Nut CCD	40	-	-	12	-	18	10	-	1 154
Topaz Lake CCD	154	-	30	101	23	-	-	-	652
Zephyr Cove CCD	1 046	6	111	394	297	209	29	-	758
Kingsbury CDP	363	-	-	117	151	85	10	-	840
Stateline CDP	382	-	63	241	55	23	-	-	630
Zephyr Cove-Round Hill Village CDP	246	-	42	24	77	91	12	-	867
Elko County	4 275	312	1 239	1 921	581	198	24	-	583
Carlin CCD	205	3	38	127	27	10	-	-	610
Carlin city	205	3	38	127	27	10	-	-	610
Elko CCD	2 859	181	626	1 339	506	183	24	-	628
Elko city	2 224	145	566	1 057	299	133	24	-	614
Spring Creek CDP	399	9	6	173	161	50	-	-	762
Jackpot CCD	320	18	194	98	10	-	-	-	445
Jarbridge CCD	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100-
Montello CCD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mountain City CCD	91	39	39	9	2	2	-	-	280
Owyhee CDP	91	39	39	9	2	2	-	-	280
Wells CCD	210	37	91	66	13	3	-	-	438
Wells city	162	19	71	56	13	3	-	-	470
West Wendover CCD	578	22	251	282	23	-	-	-	510
West Wendover city	578	22	251	282	23	-	-	-	510
Esmeralda County	106	15	82	9	-	-	-	-	381
Goldfield CCD	56	-	52	4	-	-	-	-	389
Silverpeak CCD	50	15	30	5	-	-	-	-	336
Eureka County	110	18	45	38	9	-	-	-	469
Beowawe CCD	24	7	12	2	3	-	-	-	417
Eureka CCD	86	11	33	36	6	-	-	-	494
Humboldt County	1 273	120	451	525	139	29	-	9	531
Golconda CCD	801	95	305	281	104	7	-	9	501
Winnemucca city (part)	639	95	259	222	54	-	-	9	478
McDermitt CCD	52	11	35	6	-	-	-	-	325
McDermitt CDP	6	4	2	-	-	-	-	-	225
Summit Lake CCD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Winnemucca CCD	420	14	111	238	35	22	-	-	574
Winnemucca city (part)	248	-	89	123	14	22	-	-	565
Lander County	400	41	163	158	32	6	-	-	496
Austin CCD	14	-	8	6	-	-	-	-	444
Battle Mountain CCD	386	41	155	152	32	6	-	-	497
Battle Mountain CDP	325	41	140	124	14	6	-	-	475



Table 29. **Gross Rent: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Specified renter-occupied housing units paying cash rent	Gross rent							
		Less than \$250	\$250 to \$499	\$500 to \$749	\$750 to \$999	\$1,000 to \$1,499	\$1,500 to \$1,999	\$2,000 or more	Median (dollars)
Lincoln County	334	114	163	57	-	-	-	-	328
Alamo CCD	41	10	11	20	-	-	-	-	498
Caliente CCD	153	54	71	28	-	-	-	-	359
Caliente city (part)	153	54	71	28	-	-	-	-	359
Pioche CCD	140	50	81	9	-	-	-	-	286
Caliente city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lyon County	2 672	212	632	1 064	563	201	-	-	591
Dayton CCD	716	10	63	294	271	78	-	-	743
Dayton CDP	563	10	43	252	197	61	-	-	722
Fernley CCD	795	61	167	284	197	86	-	-	617
Fernley CDP	795	61	167	284	197	86	-	-	617
Silver Springs CCD	358	18	96	169	44	31	-	-	572
Silver Springs CDP	251	18	88	99	15	31	-	-	538
Smith Valley CCD	50	12	16	6	16	-	-	-	429
Smith Valley CDP	43	5	16	6	16	-	-	-	604
Yerington CCD	753	111	290	311	35	6	-	-	480
Yerington city	383	71	165	128	19	-	-	-	436
Mineral County	502	80	274	126	22	-	-	-	398
Hawthorne CCD	430	43	251	115	21	-	-	-	417
Hawthorne CDP	380	30	229	100	21	-	-	-	426
Schurz CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mina CCD	24	7	12	5	-	-	-	-	281
Walker River CCD	48	30	11	6	1	-	-	-	200
Schurz CDP (part)	44	28	11	4	1	-	-	-	200
Nye County	2 824	302	885	1 164	425	25	23	-	541
Amargosa Valley CCD	108	20	58	30	-	-	-	-	380
Beatty CCD	134	37	64	33	-	-	-	-	368
Beatty CDP	134	37	64	33	-	-	-	-	368
Crystal CCD	434	29	96	187	122	-	-	-	600
Pahrump CDP (part)	434	29	96	187	122	-	-	-	600
Duckwater CCD	25	16	6	3	-	-	-	-	206
Gabbs CCD	67	14	39	14	-	-	-	-	304
Gabbs city	43	6	30	7	-	-	-	-	315
Pahrump CCD	1 399	125	324	689	219	19	23	-	614
Pahrump CDP (part)	1 399	125	324	689	219	19	23	-	614
Ralston CCD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Round Mountain CCD	131	-	85	33	13	-	-	-	476
Tonopah CCD	526	61	213	175	71	6	-	-	478
Tonopah CDP	509	61	196	175	71	6	-	-	495
Yucca Flat CCD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pershing County	437	50	170	180	35	2	-	-	498
Imlay CCD	99	-	50	42	7	-	-	-	498
Lovelock CCD	338	50	120	138	28	2	-	-	498
Lovelock city	283	50	103	112	16	2	-	-	459
Storey County	267	17	114	81	31	24	-	-	513
Clark CCD	33	17	-	7	-	9	-	-	247
Virginia City CCD	234	-	114	74	31	15	-	-	515
Washoe County	52 166	2 134	8 808	21 935	11 544	6 360	928	457	675
High Desert CCD	161	71	74	10	6	-	-	-	272
Gerlach-Empire CDP	147	71	60	10	6	-	-	-	256
Incline Village CCD	1 230	8	50	165	324	494	130	59	1 065
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP (part)	1 230	8	50	165	324	494	130	59	1 065
North Valleys CCD	2 080	96	225	824	633	287	15	-	730
Cold Springs CDP	117	-	-	39	60	11	7	-	780
Lemmon Valley-Golden Valley CDP	252	-	7	42	115	80	8	-	942
Reno city (part)	1 569	88	189	678	447	167	-	-	717
Pyramid Lake CCD	184	31	108	39	4	2	-	-	384
Nixon CDP	58	9	39	10	-	-	-	-	392
Sutcliffe CDP	20	6	12	2	-	-	-	-	329
Wadsworth CDP	100	16	53	25	4	2	-	-	390
Reno North CCD	15 525	1 206	3 508	5 635	3 168	1 798	143	67	633
Reno city (part)	14 815	1 196	3 469	5 297	2 874	1 782	130	67	624
Sparks city (part)	670	10	39	328	277	16	-	-	729
Reno Southeast CCD	13 232	315	2 300	6 891	2 690	886	129	21	642
Reno city (part)	12 874	303	2 270	6 825	2 528	811	116	21	638
Reno Southwest CCD	8 272	191	1 119	3 651	1 769	959	384	199	692
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reno city (part)	7 999	171	1 061	3 574	1 769	893	354	177	692
Sparks CCD	8 918	157	1 196	3 694	2 156	1 548	72	95	708
Sparks city (part)	8 905	157	1 196	3 686	2 151	1 548	72	95	708
Sun Valley CCD	1 641	28	97	862	524	130	-	-	724
Reno city (part)	494	9	21	402	44	18	-	-	668
Sparks city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sun Valley CDP (part)	1 147	19	76	460	480	112	-	-	756
Verdi CCD	158	23	36	49	40	10	-	-	542
Reno city (part)	9	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	225
Verdi-Mogul CDP	149	14	36	49	40	10	-	-	603
Warm Springs-Truckee Canyon CCD	437	8	64	20	95	179	55	16	1 074
Spanish Springs CDP	130	-	21	-	56	44	9	-	960
Sparks city (part)	171	-	-	6	18	95	36	16	1 358
Sun Valley CDP (part)	9	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	950
Washoe Valley CCD	328	-	31	95	135	67	-	-	837
White Pine County	624	100	272	199	37	16	-	-	452
Baker CCD	12	-	12	-	-	-	-	-	275
Cherry Creek CCD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ely CCD	530	94	225	158	37	16	-	-	457
Ely city	442	89	187	129	37	-	-	-	444
Lund CCD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 29. **Gross Rent: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G.  
For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Specified renter-occupied housing units paying cash rent	Gross rent								Median (dollars)
		Less than \$250	\$250 to \$499	\$500 to \$749	\$750 to \$999	\$1,000 to \$1,499	\$1,500 to \$1,999	\$2,000 or more		
White Pine County—Con.										
McGill CCD .....	54	6	25	23	-	-	-	-	-	421
McGill CDP .....	54	6	25	23	-	-	-	-	-	421
Ruth CCD .....	28	-	10	18	-	-	-	-	-	620
Carson City .....	7 234	182	1 377	3 190	1 716	630	107	32	650	

**Table 30. Gross Rent: 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place	Specified renter-occupied housing units paying cash rent	Gross rent							
		Less than \$250	\$250 to \$499	\$500 to \$749	\$750 to \$999	\$1,000 to \$1,499	\$1,500 to \$1,999	\$2,000 or more	Median (dollars)
<b>The State</b>	<b>283 497</b>	<b>9 061</b>	<b>38 778</b>	<b>119 339</b>	<b>78 283</b>	<b>33 282</b>	<b>3 629</b>	<b>1 125</b>	<b>699</b>
<b>COUNTY</b>									
Churchill County	2 571	236	681	1 084	384	169	17	-	595
Clark County	203 779	5 070	23 052	86 301	61 398	24 868	2 463	627	716
Douglas County	3 923	58	370	1 307	1 367	754	67	-	780
Elko County	4 275	312	1 239	1 921	581	198	24	-	583
Esmeralda County	106	15	82	9	-	-	-	-	381
Eureka County	110	18	45	38	-	-	-	-	469
Humboldt County	1 273	120	451	525	139	29	-	9	531
Lander County	400	41	163	158	32	6	-	-	496
Lincoln County	334	114	163	57	-	-	-	-	328
Lyon County	2 672	212	632	1 064	563	201	-	-	591
Mineral County	502	80	274	126	22	-	-	-	398
Nye County	2 824	302	885	1 164	425	25	23	-	541
Pershing County	437	50	170	180	35	2	-	-	498
Storey County	267	17	114	81	31	24	-	-	513
Washoe County	52 166	2 134	8 808	21 935	11 544	6 360	928	457	675
White Pine County	624	100	272	199	37	16	-	-	452
Carson City	7 234	182	1 377	3 190	1 716	630	107	32	650
<b>PLACE</b>									
Battle Mountain CDP, Lander County	325	41	140	124	14	6	-	-	475
Beatty CDP, Nye County	134	37	64	33	-	-	-	-	368
Blue Diamond CDP, Clark County	28	-	-	19	9	-	-	-	627
Boulder City city, Clark County	1 416	75	190	612	280	247	12	-	693
Bunkerville CDP, Clark County	57	-	34	9	14	-	-	-	479
Caliente city, Lincoln County	153	54	71	28	-	-	-	-	359
Cal-Nev-Ari CDP, Clark County	34	-	20	14	-	-	-	-	485
Carlin city, Elko County	205	3	38	127	27	10	-	-	610
Carson City	7 234	182	1 377	3 190	1 716	630	107	32	650
Cold Springs CDP, Washoe County	117	-	-	39	60	11	7	-	780
Dayton CDP, Lyon County	563	10	43	252	197	61	-	-	722
Elko city, Elko County	2 224	145	566	1 057	299	133	24	-	614
Ely city, White Pine County	442	89	187	129	37	-	-	-	444
Enterprise CDP, Clark County	2 186	11	45	631	1 108	355	27	9	834
Fallon city, Churchill County	1 592	167	427	634	255	101	8	-	588
Fallon Station CDP, Churchill County	192	14	8	155	15	-	-	-	647
Fernley CDP, Lyon County	795	61	167	284	197	86	-	-	617
Gabbs city, Nye County	43	6	30	7	-	-	-	-	315
Gardnerville CDP, Douglas County	671	-	108	336	157	82	8	-	680
Gardnerville Ranchos CDP, Douglas County	1 136	26	18	278	626	165	3	-	810
Gerlach-Empire CDP, Washoe County	147	71	60	10	6	-	-	-	256
Goodsprings CDP, Clark County	69	-	30	26	13	-	-	-	619
Hawthorne CDP, Mineral County	380	30	229	100	21	-	-	-	426
Henderson city, Clark County	19 182	339	815	4 414	8 537	4 414	572	91	857
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP, Washoe County	1 230	8	50	165	324	494	130	59	1 065
Indian Hills CDP, Douglas County	339	26	36	17	150	102	8	-	921
Indian Springs CDP, Clark County	198	-	68	61	69	-	-	-	636
Johnson Lane CDP, Douglas County	141	-	-	37	16	88	-	-	1 055
Kingsbury CDP, Douglas County	363	-	-	117	151	85	10	-	840
Las Vegas city, Clark County	70 777	2 448	10 957	27 636	20 137	8 497	854	248	699
Laughlin CDP, Clark County	1 906	95	173	1 271	313	45	-	9	623
Lemmon Valley-Golden Valley CDP, Washoe County	252	-	7	42	115	80	8	-	942
Lovelock city, Pershing County	283	50	103	112	16	2	-	-	459
McDermitt CDP, Humboldt County	6	4	2	-	-	-	-	-	225
McGill CDP, White Pine County	54	6	25	23	-	-	-	-	421
Mesquite city, Clark County	1 161	10	194	659	244	45	9	-	626
Minden CDP, Douglas County	231	-	27	74	69	52	9	-	808
Moapa Town CDP, Clark County	52	-	6	26	20	-	-	-	650
Moapa Valley CDP, Clark County	315	40	62	144	53	16	-	-	620
Mount Charleston CDP, Clark County	14	-	-	-	-	14	-	-	1 125
Nellis AFB CDP, Clark County	1 568	-	69	964	516	19	-	-	662
Nixon CDP, Washoe County	58	9	39	10	-	-	-	-	392
North Las Vegas city, Clark County	9 806	414	1 815	3 985	2 071	1 410	92	19	644
Owyhee CDP, Elko County	91	39	39	9	2	2	-	-	280
Pahrump CDP, Nye County	1 833	154	420	876	341	19	23	-	612
Paradise CDP, Clark County	41 847	442	4 430	22 721	10 284	3 431	406	133	675
Reno city, Washoe County	37 760	1 776	7 010	16 776	7 662	3 671	600	265	650
Sandy Valley CDP, Clark County	117	12	57	27	21	-	-	-	417
Schurz CDP, Mineral County	44	28	11	4	1	-	-	-	200
Searchlight CDP, Clark County	158	40	86	22	-	-	10	-	400
Silver Springs CDP, Lyon County	251	18	88	99	15	31	-	-	538
Smith Valley CDP, Lyon County	43	5	16	6	16	-	-	-	604
Spanish Springs CDP, Washoe County	130	-	21	-	56	44	9	-	960
Sparks city, Washoe County	9 746	167	1 235	4 020	2 446	1 659	108	111	716
Spring Creek CDP, Elko County	399	9	6	173	161	50	-	-	762
Spring Valley CDP, Clark County	20 285	112	263	6 139	10 104	3 329	260	78	827
Stalene CDP, Douglas County	382	-	63	241	55	23	-	-	630
Summerlin South CDP, Clark County	287	-	12	-	59	216	-	-	1 147
Sunrise Manor CDP, Clark County	19 998	414	2 484	9 884	5 199	1 929	56	32	688
Sun Valley CDP, Washoe County	1 156	19	76	460	489	112	-	-	758
Sutcliffe CDP, Washoe County	20	6	12	2	-	-	-	-	329
Tonopah CDP, Nye County	509	61	196	175	71	6	-	-	495
Verdi-Mogul CDP, Washoe County	149	14	36	49	40	10	-	-	603
Wadsworth CDP, Washoe County	100	16	53	25	4	2	-	-	390
Wells city, Elko County	162	19	71	56	13	3	-	-	470
West Wendover city, Elko County	578	22	251	282	23	-	-	-	510
Whitney CDP, Clark County	2 423	118	297	1 418	451	115	24	-	646
Winchester CDP, Clark County	6 859	195	792	3 856	1 397	499	112	8	662

Table 30. **Gross Rent: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place	Specified renter-occupied housing units paying cash rent	Gross rent								Median (dollars)
		Less than \$250	\$250 to \$499	\$500 to \$749	\$750 to \$999	\$1,000 to \$1,499	\$1,500 to \$1,999	\$2,000 or more		
<b>PLACE</b> —Con.										
Winnemucca city, Humboldt County .....	887	95	348	345	68	22	-	9	500	
Yerington city, Lyon County .....	383	71	165	128	19	-	-	-	436	
Zephyr Cove-Round Hill Village CDP, Douglas County .....	246	-	42	24	77	91	12	-	867	

**Table 31. Mortgage and Rental Cost Characteristics: 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Specified owner-occupied housing units								Specified renter-occupied housing units paying cash rent		
	Total	With a mortgage				Not mortgaged			Total	With meals included in rent	Median gross rent as a percentage of household income in 1999
		Total	Median selected monthly owner costs (dollars)	Median selected monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in 1999	With a second mortgage or home equity loan or both	Total	Median selected monthly owner costs (dollars)	Median selected monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in 1999			
<b>The State</b> .....	<b>363 321</b>	<b>297 994</b>	<b>1 190</b>	<b>23.8</b>	<b>69 368</b>	<b>65 327</b>	<b>294</b>	<b>10.0-</b>	<b>283 497</b>	<b>2 996</b>	<b>26.5</b>
Churchill County .....	3 367	2 533	992	20.6	571	834	253	10.0-	2 571	-	23.0
Carson Sink CCD .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dixie Valley CCD .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	-	15.0
Fallon CCD .....	3 367	2 533	992	20.6	571	834	253	10.0-	2 551	-	23.0
Fallon city .....	1 102	816	905	22.8	152	286	239	10.0-	1 592	-	22.6
Fallon Station CDP .....	10	10	850	17.5	-	-	-	-	192	-	20.5
Clark County .....	254 708	214 019	1 185	23.9	48 904	40 689	290	10.0-	203 779	1 804	27.0
Clark CCD .....	7 251	5 277	1 232	22.6	1 090	1 974	267	10.0-	6 054	68	23.0
Blue Diamond CDP .....	76	60	1 217	16.9	27	16	225	10.0-	28	-	17.3
Boulder City city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bunkerville CDP .....	117	65	1 031	30.5	22	52	250	10.0-	57	-	19.7
Cal-Nev-Ari CDP .....	12	-	-	-	-	12	275	12.5	34	-	26.6
Enterprise CDP (part) .....	151	106	1 346	19.5	9	45	334	10.5	25	-	16.1
Goodsprings CDP .....	53	-	-	-	-	53	145	20.5	69	-	18.1
Henderson city (part) .....	997	829	1 592	21.6	109	168	329	10.0-	63	-	32.2
Indian Springs CDP .....	49	24	1 389	18.8	8	25	272	12.2	198	-	19.4
Las Vegas city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Laughlin CDP .....	687	520	1 155	23.2	59	167	323	10.0-	1 906	26	22.9
Mesquite city .....	1 810	1 169	1 000	20.6	192	641	223	10.0-	1 161	32	25.4
Moapa Town CDP .....	82	61	958	23.2	12	21	188	10.0-	52	-	20.9
Moapa Valley CDP .....	845	595	1 013	24.3	110	250	262	10.3	315	-	26.1
Mount Charleston CDP .....	92	72	1 225	24.7	7	20	225	10.0-	14	-	17.5
North Las Vegas city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sandy Valley CDP .....	61	50	881	23.1	8	11	175	10.0-	117	-	20.4
Searchlight CDP .....	13	13	550	10.0-	-	-	-	-	158	-	18.7
Spring Valley CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Summerlin South CDP (part) .....	164	128	2 365	26.1	50	36	447	10.0-	-	-	-
Sunrise Manor CDP (part) .....	1 340	1 111	1 390	23.3	434	229	398	10.0-	1 401	-	24.7
Las Vegas CDP .....	247 457	208 742	1 185	23.9	47 814	38 715	291	10.0-	197 725	1 736	27.1
Boulder City city (part) .....	3 549	2 259	1 285	22.0	347	1 290	253	10.0-	1 416	-	24.0
Enterprise CDP (part) .....	2 692	2 269	1 421	25.8	475	423	361	10.0-	2 161	7	26.5
Henderson city (part) .....	41 599	35 939	1 292	23.4	8 579	5 660	289	10.0-	19 119	107	26.3
Las Vegas city (part) .....	93 876	76 802	1 164	23.8	16 899	17 074	290	10.0-	70 777	671	27.5
Nellis AFB CDP .....	97	85	1 066	20.5	29	12	193	10.0-	1 568	10	27.8
North Las Vegas city (part) .....	21 886	19 674	1 131	24.9	4 798	2 212	261	10.0-	9 806	52	26.1
Paradise CDP .....	29 372	24 778	1 180	24.2	5 510	4 594	303	10.0-	41 847	530	27.8
Spring Valley CDP (part) .....	22 436	19 326	1 243	24.0	4 241	3 110	324	10.0-	20 285	228	25.0
Summerlin South CDP (part) .....	1 059	959	1 335	24.8	145	100	247	10.0-	287	-	23.8
Sunrise Manor CDP (part) .....	20 556	18 508	1 094	23.7	4 692	2 048	277	10.0-	18 597	64	28.0
Whitney CDP .....	2 907	2 587	970	24.5	527	320	234	10.0-	2 423	-	27.1
Winchester CDP .....	3 289	2 322	1 080	23.7	520	967	293	10.0-	6 859	39	29.4
Douglas County .....	10 122	7 800	1 276	24.2	1 921	2 322	320	10.0-	3 923	18	26.1
Gardnerville-Minden CCD .....	6 320	4 882	1 193	23.8	1 194	1 438	301	10.0-	2 262	18	27.9
Gardnerville CDP .....	588	409	1 122	25.4	102	179	263	10.0-	671	16	27.1
Gardnerville Ranchos CDP .....	2 627	2 143	1 106	23.2	624	484	288	11.3	1 136	2	27.2
Indian Hills CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Johnson Lane CDP .....	1 523	1 167	1 291	24.6	205	356	308	10.0-	141	-	28.6
Minden CDP .....	860	616	1 409	25.6	203	244	331	10.0-	231	-	38.1
Genoa-Jacks Valley CCD .....	1 803	1 423	1 335	25.5	354	380	328	10.4	421	-	23.8
Indian Hills CDP (part) .....	1 118	956	1 178	25.3	264	162	269	10.0-	339	-	23.8
Pine Nut CCD .....	216	166	1 632	24.6	61	50	324	12.5	40	-	14.0
Topaz Lake CCD .....	262	195	1 161	20.8	65	67	286	12.5	154	-	32.6
Zephyr Cove CCD .....	1 521	1 134	1 665	24.6	247	387	455	10.0-	1 046	-	23.5
Kingsbury CDP .....	648	521	1 511	22.6	100	127	429	10.0-	363	-	24.1
Stateline CDP .....	19	19	1 052	32.5	5	-	-	-	382	-	24.6
Zephyr Cove-Round Hill Village CDP .....	437	320	1 575	24.3	54	117	432	10.0-	246	-	20.6
Elko County .....	6 387	4 958	1 086	20.2	1 012	1 429	257	10.0-	4 275	31	21.2
Carlin CCD .....	298	209	860	18.2	26	89	219	10.4	205	-	19.4
Carlin city .....	298	209	860	18.2	26	89	219	10.4	205	-	19.4
Elko CCD .....	5 335	4 273	1 112	20.2	924	1 062	275	10.0-	2 859	18	21.9
Elko city .....	2 796	2 142	1 090	20.4	481	654	280	10.0-	2 224	18	22.4
Spring Creek CDP .....	1 931	1 712	1 167	20.5	413	219	309	10.0-	399	-	20.6
Jackpot CCD .....	22	4	850	22.5	-	18	245	10.0-	320	-	20.3
Jarbridge CCD .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	-	10.0-
Montello CCD .....	43	22	800	12.5	-	21	352	14.8	-	-	-
Mountain City CCD .....	172	52	440	17.0	-	120	153	10.0-	91	-	10.0-
Owyhee CDP .....	141	33	332	16.1	-	108	145	10.0-	91	-	10.0-
Wells CCD .....	263	155	834	20.7	35	108	256	10.0-	210	8	18.4
Wells city .....	227	146	831	20.6	35	81	255	10.0-	162	8	21.3
West Wendover CCD .....	254	243	1 020	23.8	27	11	408	14.6	578	5	22.0
West Wendover city .....	254	243	1 020	23.8	27	11	408	14.6	578	5	22.0
Esmeralda County .....	65	15	825	18.8	-	50	200	10.0-	106	-	13.8
Goldfield CCD .....	46	12	950	19.3	-	34	203	10.0	56	-	16.1
Silverpeak CCD .....	19	3	550	17.5	-	16	193	10.0-	50	-	10.9
Eureka County .....	111	57	875	20.2	4	54	250	10.0-	110	-	15.5
Beowawe CCD .....	29	12	1 125	17.5	-	17	181	10.0-	24	-	14.4
Eureka CCD .....	82	45	796	20.3	4	37	269	10.0-	86	-	15.8
Humboldt County .....	1 993	1 541	1 060	19.1	295	452	230	10.0-	1 273	-	19.0
Golconda CCD .....	1 376	1 140	1 084	19.0	212	236	253	10.0-	801	-	19.8
Winnemucca city (part) .....	1 032	854	1 131	19.6	151	178	227	10.0-	639	-	18.9
McDermitt CCD .....	116	26	1 786	18.4	12	90	174	10.0-	52	-	12.9
McDermitt CDP .....	55	-	-	-	-	55	165	11.8	6	-	17.5

**Table 31. Mortgage and Rental Cost Characteristics: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Specified owner-occupied housing units									Specified renter-occupied housing units paying cash rent		
	Total	With a mortgage				Not mortgaged				Total	With meals included in rent	Median gross rent as a percentage of household income in 1999
		Total	Median selected monthly owner costs (dollars)	Median selected monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in 1999	With a second mortgage or home equity loan or both	Total	Median selected monthly owner costs (dollars)	Median selected monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in 1999				
Humboldt County—Con.												
Summit Lake CCD	10	10	1 375	17.5	-	-	-	10.0	-	-	-	-
Winnemucca CCD	491	365	973	19.6	71	126	251	10.0	420	-	18.4	
Winnemucca city (part)	301	215	970	18.9	34	86	271	10.0	248	-	19.8	
Lander County	533	318	811	16.5	25	215	224	10.0	400	-	18.4	
Austin CCD	56	16	611	15.6	-	40	230	10.0	14	-	14.4	
Battle Mountain CCD	477	302	824	16.6	25	175	215	10.0	386	-	18.5	
Battle Mountain CDP	323	206	793	16.5	25	117	219	10.0	325	-	17.2	
Lincoln County	742	388	690	18.9	34	354	214	10.7	334	4	26.6	
Alamo CCD	220	135	694	23.5	16	85	260	10.0	41	-	19.3	
Caliente CCD	172	84	700	19.1	2	88	231	10.0	153	4	26.6	
Caliente city (part)	164	79	705	19.1	2	85	232	10.0	153	4	26.6	
Pioche CCD	350	169	680	16.5	16	181	191	12.1	140	-	26.8	
Caliente city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Lyon County	5 226	4 002	1 007	23.7	860	1 224	246	10.0	2 672	-	24.1	
Dayton CCD	1 837	1 515	1 017	23.4	358	322	248	10.0	716	-	22.2	
Dayton CDP	1 328	1 105	1 044	23.2	267	223	253	10.0	563	-	23.4	
Fernley CCD	1 479	1 313	1 048	23.0	310	166	275	10.0	795	-	26.3	
Fernley CDP	1 479	1 313	1 048	23.0	310	166	275	10.0	795	-	26.3	
Silver Springs CCD	417	296	974	27.4	15	121	239	13.0	358	-	31.4	
Silver Springs CDP	268	156	930	21.0	15	112	247	10.0	251	-	34.3	
Smith Valley CCD	212	179	1 289	31.5	66	33	268	16.3	50	-	20.0	
Smith Valley CDP	201	168	1 264	31.7	59	33	268	16.3	43	-	22.5	
Yerington CCD	1 281	699	797	24.4	111	582	234	10.0	753	-	22.6	
Yerington city	609	321	867	24.8	55	288	219	10.0	383	-	23.0	
Mineral County	1 089	545	633	18.4	57	544	241	10.0	502	-	17.9	
Hawthorne CCD	859	460	669	19.1	57	399	259	10.0	430	-	18.5	
Hawthorne CDP	742	377	679	19.8	51	365	255	10.0	380	-	18.5	
Schurz CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mina CCD	54	7	650	-	-	47	218	15.3	24	-	13.1	
Walker River CCD	176	78	245	10.4	-	98	183	10.0	48	-	14.2	
Schurz CDP (part)	159	69	242	11.3	-	90	183	10.0	44	-	14.2	
Nye County	4 297	2 783	866	23.2	251	1 514	215	10.0	2 824	27	22.0	
Amargosa Valley CCD	33	-	-	-	-	33	183	10.0	108	-	18.8	
Beatty CCD	133	64	794	18.1	9	69	235	10.0	134	-	26.1	
Beatty CDP	133	64	794	18.1	9	69	235	10.0	134	-	26.1	
Crystal CCD	1 016	769	820	24.3	42	247	184	10.0	434	-	25.1	
Pahrump CDP (part)	1 016	769	820	24.3	42	247	184	10.0	434	-	25.1	
Duckwater CCD	16	5	383	10.0	-	11	208	10.0	25	-	13.6	
Gabbs CCD	77	11	525	22.5	3	66	224	10.0	67	-	15.4	
Gabbs city	68	11	525	22.5	3	57	224	10.0	43	-	14.0	
Pahrump CCD	2 633	1 697	893	23.8	182	936	224	10.0	1 399	11	22.7	
Pahrump CDP (part)	2 633	1 697	893	23.8	182	936	224	10.0	1 399	11	22.7	
Ralston CCD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Round Mountain CCD	38	17	806	20.3	-	21	213	10.0	131	16	15.4	
Tonopah CCD	351	220	869	18.5	15	131	189	10.0	526	-	19.6	
Tonopah CDP	351	220	869	18.5	15	131	189	10.0	509	-	20.2	
Yucca Flat CCD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Pershing County	491	262	834	18.7	41	229	288	11.5	437	-	20.9	
Imlay CCD	81	50	758	30.5	8	31	288	10.0	99	-	35.3	
Lovelock CCD	410	212	849	17.8	33	198	288	12.2	338	-	19.4	
Lovelock city	315	145	848	18.1	22	170	280	12.6	283	-	19.5	
Storey County	689	537	1 058	23.2	140	152	309	10.0	267	-	18.2	
Clark CCD	225	200	905	22.6	13	25	311	10.4	33	-	24.7	
Virginia City CCD	464	337	1 205	23.5	127	127	309	10.0	234	-	17.4	
Washoe County	61 938	49 955	1 301	24.4	13 281	11 983	359	10.0	52 166	968	26.2	
High Desert CCD	39	10	1 000	32.5	5	29	152	10.0	161	-	11.9	
Gerlach-Empire CDP	25	10	1 000	32.5	5	15	175	10.0	147	-	10.6	
Incline Village CCD	2 234	1 654	2 110	28.8	351	580	705	10.0	1 230	-	27.7	
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP (part)	2 234	1 654	2 110	28.8	351	580	705	10.0	1 230	-	27.7	
North Valleys CCD	6 534	6 012	1 171	24.7	1 642	522	281	10.0	2 080	31	24.3	
Cold Springs CDP	868	859	1 128	23.0	347	9	275	17.5	117	-	34.4	
Lemmon Valley-Golden Valley CDP	1 617	1 414	1 163	24.0	356	203	321	10.0	252	-	21.6	
Reno city (part)	3 252	3 065	1 166	25.3	702	187	235	10.0	1 569	10	23.8	
Pyramid Lake CCD	257	69	758	18.5	8	188	231	10.0	184	-	16.8	
Nixon CDP	58	9	850	13.8	3	49	245	10.0	58	-	17.5	
Sutcliffe CDP	33	3	1 375	37.5	3	30	223	10.0	20	-	22.5	
Wadsworth CDP	147	57	708	18.5	2	90	233	10.0	100	-	15.5	
Reno North CCD	10 544	8 474	1 226	24.9	2 317	2 070	328	10.0	15 525	287	27.7	
Reno city (part)	10 097	8 077	1 214	24.8	2 171	2 020	324	10.0	14 815	287	27.9	
Sparks city (part)	63	63	934	29.7	19	-	-	-	670	-	23.0	
Reno Southeast CCD	6 634	5 334	1 225	24.6	1 211	1 300	324	10.8	13 232	105	25.4	
Reno city (part)	5 158	4 247	1 183	25.3	890	911	312	11.7	12 874	105	25.6	
Reno Southwest CCD	13 386	9 401	1 671	23.4	2 537	3 985	458	10.0	8 272	272	24.9	
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Reno city (part)	10 119	6 986	1 584	23.5	1 903	3 133	443	10.0	7 999	260	25.1	
Sparks CCD	10 147	8 187	1 130	24.0	2 021	1 960	296	10.0	8 918	263	26.8	
Sparks city (part)	9 980	8 074	1 127	24.0	2 003	1 906	294	10.0	8 905	263	26.7	
Sun Valley CCD	2 169	1 989	1 080	25.5	552	180	243	10.0	1 641	10	27.6	
Reno city (part)	17	9	550	17.5	-	8	650	50.0+	494	10	25.4	
Sparks city (part)	21	21	2 096	31.0	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Sun Valley CDP (part)	2 131	1 959	1 081	25.6	539	172	240	10.0	1 147	-	28.6	

Table 31. **Mortgage and Rental Cost Characteristics: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Specified owner-occupied housing units								Specified renter-occupied housing units paying cash rent		
	Total	With a mortgage				Not mortgaged			Total	With meals included in rent	Median gross rent as a percentage of household income in 1999
		Total	Median selected monthly owner costs (dollars)	Median selected monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in 1999	With a second mortgage or home equity loan or both	Total	Median selected monthly owner costs (dollars)	Median selected monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in 1999			
Washoe County—Con.											
Verdi CCD .....	917	732	1 706	23.5	182	185	356	10.0-	158	-	21.3
Reno city (part) .....	87	80	1 726	22.2	-	7	325	10.0-	9	-	10.0-
Verdi-Mogul CDP .....	830	652	1 702	23.7	182	178	360	10.0-	149	-	22.0
Warm Springs-Truckee Canyon CCD .....	6 095	5 540	1 409	24.5	1 529	555	339	10.0-	437	-	21.7
Spanish Springs CDP .....	2 532	2 301	1 362	24.2	794	231	321	10.0-	130	-	20.0
Sparks city (part) .....	2 745	2 495	1 506	24.6	562	250	395	10.6	171	-	23.3
Sun Valley CDP (part) .....	35	35	997	24.7	-	-	-	-	9	-	10.0-
Washoe Valley CCD .....	2 982	2 553	1 465	23.9	926	429	354	10.0-	328	-	19.6
White Pine County .....	1 871	1 019	772	19.6	135	852	241	13.1	624	-	19.6
Baker CCD .....	52	16	538	10.0-	-	36	188	10.0-	12	-	10.0-
Cherry Creek CCD .....	12	-	-	-	-	12	275	22.5	-	-	-
Ely CCD .....	1 276	783	813	20.3	106	493	254	12.7	530	-	20.4
Ely city .....	1 041	599	773	20.0	88	442	254	13.8	442	-	20.9
Lund CCD .....	72	29	783	27.1	-	43	203	10.0-	-	-	-
McGill CCD .....	357	153	653	17.1	21	204	224	14.8	54	-	35.0
McGill CDP .....	323	153	653	17.1	21	170	222	13.8	54	-	35.0
Ruth CCD .....	102	38	527	32.1	8	64	243	19.7	28	-	12.5
Carson City .....	9 692	7 262	1 141	22.6	1 837	2 430	269	10.0-	7 234	144	26.6

**Table 32. Mortgage and Rental Cost Characteristics: 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place	Specified owner-occupied housing units								Specified renter-occupied housing units paying cash rent		
	Total	With a mortgage				Not mortgaged			Total	With meals included in rent	Median gross rent as a percentage of household income in 1999
		Total	Median selected monthly owner costs (dollars)	Median selected monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in 1999	With a second mortgage or home equity loan or both	Total	Median selected monthly owner costs (dollars)	Median selected monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in 1999			
<b>The State</b> .....	<b>363 321</b>	<b>297 994</b>	<b>1 190</b>	<b>23.8</b>	<b>69 368</b>	<b>65 327</b>	<b>294</b>	<b>10.0-</b>	<b>283 497</b>	<b>2 996</b>	<b>26.5</b>
<b>COUNTY</b>											
Churchill County .....	3 367	2 533	992	20.6	571	834	253	10.0-	2 571	-	23.0
Clark County .....	254 708	214 019	1 185	23.9	48 904	40 689	290	10.0-	203 779	1 804	27.0
Douglas County .....	10 122	7 800	2 276	24.2	1 921	2 322	320	10.0-	3 923	18	26.1
Elko County .....	6 387	4 958	1 086	20.2	1 012	1 429	257	10.0-	4 275	31	21.2
Esmeralda County .....	65	15	825	18.8	-	50	200	10.0-	106	-	13.8
Eureka County .....	111	57	875	20.2	4	54	250	10.0-	110	-	15.5
Humboldt County .....	1 993	1 541	1 060	19.1	295	452	230	10.0-	1 273	-	19.0
Lander County .....	533	318	811	16.5	25	215	224	10.0-	400	-	18.4
Lincoln County .....	742	388	690	18.9	34	354	214	10.7	334	4	26.6
Lyon County .....	5 226	4 002	1 007	23.7	860	1 224	246	10.0-	2 672	-	24.1
Mineral County .....	1 089	545	633	18.4	57	544	241	10.0-	502	-	17.9
Nye County .....	4 297	2 783	866	23.2	251	1 514	215	10.0-	2 824	27	22.0
Pershing County .....	491	262	834	18.7	41	229	288	11.5	437	-	20.9
Storey County .....	689	537	1 058	23.2	140	152	309	10.0-	267	-	18.2
Washoe County .....	61 938	49 955	1 301	24.4	13 281	11 983	359	10.0-	52 166	968	26.2
White Pine County .....	1 871	1 019	772	19.6	135	852	241	13.1	624	-	19.6
Carson City .....	9 692	7 262	1 141	22.6	1 837	2 430	269	10.0-	7 234	144	26.6
<b>PLACE</b>											
Battle Mountain CDP, Lander County .....	323	206	793	16.5	25	117	219	10.0-	325	-	17.2
Beatty CDP, Nye County .....	133	64	794	18.1	9	69	235	10.0-	134	-	26.1
Blue Diamond CDP, Clark County .....	76	60	1 217	16.9	27	16	225	10.0-	28	-	17.3
Boulder City city, Clark County .....	3 549	2 259	1 285	22.0	347	1 290	253	10.0-	1 416	-	24.0
Bunkerville CDP, Clark County .....	117	65	1 031	30.5	22	52	250	10.0-	57	-	19.7
Caliente city, Lincoln County .....	164	79	705	19.1	2	85	232	10.0-	153	4	26.6
Cal-Nev-Ari CDP, Clark County .....	12	-	-	-	-	12	275	12.5	34	-	26.6
Carlin city, Elko County .....	298	209	860	18.2	26	89	219	10.4	205	-	19.4
Carson City .....	9 692	7 262	1 141	22.6	1 837	2 430	269	10.0-	7 234	144	26.6
Cold Springs CDP, Washoe County .....	868	859	1 128	23.0	347	9	275	17.5	117	-	34.4
Dayton CDP, Lyon County .....	1 328	1 105	1 044	23.2	267	223	253	10.0-	563	-	23.4
Elko city, Elko County .....	2 796	2 142	1 090	20.4	481	654	280	10.0-	2 224	18	22.4
Ely city, White Pine County .....	1 041	599	773	20.0	88	442	254	13.8	442	-	20.9
Enterprise CDP, Clark County .....	2 843	2 375	1 415	25.8	484	468	356	10.0-	2 186	7	26.3
Fallon city, Churchill County .....	1 102	816	905	22.8	152	286	239	10.0-	1 592	-	22.6
Fallon Station CDP, Churchill County .....	10	10	850	17.5	-	-	-	-	192	-	20.5
Fernley CDP, Lyon County .....	1 479	1 313	1 048	23.0	310	166	275	10.0-	795	-	26.3
Gabbs city, Nye County .....	68	11	525	22.5	3	57	224	10.0	43	-	14.0
Gardnerville CDP, Douglas County .....	588	409	1 122	25.4	102	179	263	10.0-	671	16	27.1
Gardnerville Ranchos CDP, Douglas County .....	2 627	2 143	1 106	23.2	624	484	288	11.3	1 136	2	27.2
Gerlach-Empire CDP, Washoe County .....	25	10	1 000	32.5	5	15	175	10.0-	147	-	10.6
Goodsprings CDP, Clark County .....	53	-	-	-	-	53	145	20.5	69	-	18.1
Hawthorne CDP, Mineral County .....	742	377	679	19.8	51	365	255	10.0-	380	-	18.5
Henderson city, Clark County .....	42 596	36 768	1 299	23.3	8 688	5 828	290	10.0-	19 182	107	26.3
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP, Washoe County .....	2 234	1 654	2 110	28.8	351	580	705	10.0-	1 230	-	27.7
Indian Hills CDP, Douglas County .....	1 118	956	1 178	25.3	264	162	269	10.0-	339	-	23.8
Indian Springs CDP, Clark County .....	49	24	1 389	18.8	8	25	272	12.2	198	-	19.4
Johnson Lane CDP, Douglas County .....	1 523	1 167	1 291	24.6	205	356	308	10.0-	141	-	28.6
Kingsbury CDP, Douglas County .....	648	521	1 511	22.6	100	127	429	10.0-	363	-	24.1
Las Vegas city, Clark County .....	93 876	76 802	1 164	23.8	16 899	17 074	290	10.0-	70 777	671	27.5
Laughlin CDP, Clark County .....	687	520	1 155	23.2	59	167	323	10.0-	1 906	26	22.9
Lemmon Valley-Golden Valley CDP, Washoe County .....	1 617	1 414	1 163	24.0	356	203	321	10.0-	252	-	21.6
Lovelock city, Pershing County .....	315	145	848	18.1	22	170	280	12.6	283	-	19.5
McDermitt CDP, Humboldt County .....	55	-	-	-	-	55	165	11.8	6	-	17.5
McGill CDP, White Pine County .....	323	153	653	17.1	21	170	222	13.8	54	-	35.0
Mesquite city, Clark County .....	1 810	1 169	1 000	20.6	192	641	223	10.0-	1 161	32	25.4
Minden CDP, Douglas County .....	860	616	1 409	25.6	203	244	331	10.0-	231	-	38.1
Moapa Town CDP, Clark County .....	82	61	958	23.2	12	21	188	10.0-	52	-	20.9
Moapa Valley CDP, Clark County .....	845	595	1 013	24.3	110	250	262	10.3	315	-	26.1
Mount Charleston CDP, Clark County .....	92	72	1 225	24.7	7	20	225	10.0-	14	-	17.5
Nellis AFB CDP, Clark County .....	97	85	1 066	20.5	29	12	193	10.0-	1 568	10	27.8
Nixon CDP, Washoe County .....	58	9	850	13.8	3	49	245	10.0-	58	-	17.5
North Las Vegas city, Clark County .....	21 886	19 674	1 131	24.9	4 798	2 212	261	10.0-	9 806	52	26.1
Owyhee CDP, Elko County .....	141	33	332	16.1	-	108	145	10.0-	91	-	10.0-
Pahrump CDP, Nye County .....	3 649	2 466	870	24.0	224	1 183	216	10.0-	1 833	11	23.1
Paradise CDP, Clark County .....	29 372	24 778	1 180	24.2	5 510	4 594	303	10.0-	41 847	530	27.8
Reno city, Washoe County .....	28 730	22 464	1 290	24.6	5 666	6 266	372	10.0-	37 760	672	26.3
Sandy Valley CDP, Clark County .....	61	50	881	23.1	8	11	175	10.0-	117	-	20.4
Schurz CDP, Mineral County .....	159	69	242	11.3	-	90	183	10.0-	44	-	14.2
Searchlight CDP, Clark County .....	13	13	550	10.0-	-	-	-	-	158	-	18.7
Silver Springs CDP, Lyon County .....	268	156	930	21.0	15	112	247	10.0-	251	-	34.3
Smith Valley CDP, Lyon County .....	201	168	1 264	31.7	59	33	268	16.3	43	-	22.5
Spanish Springs CDP, Washoe County .....	2 532	2 301	1 362	24.2	794	231	321	10.0-	130	-	20.0
Sparks city, Washoe County .....	12 809	10 653	1 201	24.2	2 597	2 156	303	10.0-	9 746	263	26.4
Spring Creek CDP, Elko County .....	1 931	1 712	1 167	20.5	413	219	309	10.0-	399	-	20.6
Spring Valley CDP, Clark County .....	22 436	19 326	1 243	24.0	4 241	3 110	324	10.0-	20 285	228	25.0
Staterline CDP, Douglas County .....	19	19	1 052	32.5	5	-	-	-	382	-	24.6
Summerlin South CDP, Clark County .....	1 223	1 087	1 417	25.0	195	136	281	10.0-	287	-	23.8
Sunrise Manor CDP, Clark County .....	21 896	19 619	1 103	23.7	5 126	2 277	289	10.0-	19 998	64	27.8



Table 32. **Mortgage and Rental Cost Characteristics: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place	Specified owner-occupied housing units								Specified renter-occupied housing units paying cash rent		
	Total	With a mortgage				Not mortgaged			Total	With meals included in rent	Median gross rent as a percentage of household income in 1999
		Total	Median selected monthly owner costs (dollars)	Median selected monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in 1999	With a second mortgage or home equity loan or both	Total	Median selected monthly owner costs (dollars)	Median selected monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in 1999			
<b>PLACE—Con.</b>											
Sun Valley CDP, Washoe County .....	2 166	1 994	1 080	25.5	539	172	240	10.0-	1 156	-	28.5
Sutcliffe CDP, Washoe County .....	33	3	1 375	37.5	3	30	223	10.0-	20	-	22.5
Tonopah CDP, Nye County .....	351	220	869	18.5	15	131	189	10.0-	509	-	20.2
Verdi-Mogul CDP, Washoe County .....	830	652	1 702	23.7	182	178	360	10.0-	149	-	22.0
Wadsworth CDP, Washoe County .....	147	57	708	18.5	2	90	233	10.0-	100	-	15.5
Wells city, Elko County .....	227	146	831	20.6	35	81	255	10.0-	162	8	21.3
West Wendover city, Elko County .....	254	243	1 020	23.8	27	11	408	14.6	578	5	22.0
Whitney CDP, Clark County .....	2 907	2 587	970	24.5	527	320	234	10.0-	2 423	-	27.1
Winchester CDP, Clark County .....	3 289	2 322	1 080	23.7	520	967	293	10.0-	6 859	39	29.4
Winnemucca city, Humboldt County .....	1 333	1 069	1 101	19.4	185	264	252	10.0-	887	-	19.2
Yerington city, Lyon County .....	609	321	867	24.8	55	288	219	10.0-	383	-	23.0
Zephyr Cove-Round Hill Village CDP, Douglas County .....	437	320	1 575	24.3	54	117	432	10.0-	246	-	20.6

**Table 33. Place of Birth, Residence in 1995, and Language for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	American Indian and Alaska Native population (one race)	Native population— Percent born in state of residence	Foreign-born population			Population 5 years and over		Speak a language other than English at home			
			Number	Percent of population	Percent naturalized citizens	Number	Percent living in different house in 1995	Population 5 to 17 years		Population 18 years and over	
								Number	Percent who speak English less than "very well"	Number	Percent who speak English less than "very well"
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL</b>											
All areas .....	7 013	81.0	21	0.3	42.9	6 394	25.4	221	20.4	1 339	25.8
Battle Mountain Reservation, NV .....	110	82.7	-	-	(X)	108	21.3	1	-	43	18.6
Lander County (part) .....	110	82.7	-	-	(X)	108	21.3	1	-	43	18.6
Campbell Ranch, NV .....	197	83.5	3	1.5	33.3	170	15.9	5	20.0	16	18.8
Lyon County (part) .....	197	83.5	3	1.5	33.3	170	15.9	5	20.0	16	18.8
Carson Colony, NV .....	259	82.2	-	-	(X)	238	26.9	-	(X)	22	-
Carson City (part) .....	259	82.2	-	-	(X)	238	26.9	-	(X)	22	-
Dresslerville Colony, NV .....	288	83.7	-	-	(X)	266	13.5	-	(X)	53	5.7
Douglas County (part) .....	288	83.7	-	-	(X)	266	13.5	-	(X)	53	5.7
Duck Valley Reservation, NV--ID (part) .....	741	61.9	4	0.5	100.0	692	35.5	16	50.0	252	44.4
Elko County (part) .....	741	61.9	4	0.5	100.0	692	35.5	16	50.0	252	44.4
Duckwater Reservation, NV .....	111	86.5	-	-	(X)	109	44.0	16	18.8	45	44.4
Nye County (part) .....	111	86.5	-	-	(X)	109	44.0	16	18.8	45	44.4
Elko Colony, NV .....	638	83.0	1	0.2	-	580	29.0	26	50.0	139	40.3
Elko County (part) .....	638	83.0	1	0.2	-	580	29.0	26	50.0	139	40.3
Ely Reservation, NV .....	92	89.1	-	-	(X)	88	10.2	-	(X)	13	46.2
White Pine County (part) .....	92	89.1	-	-	(X)	88	10.2	-	(X)	13	46.2
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Colony, NV .....	101	81.2	-	-	(X)	84	47.6	-	(X)	5	-
Churchill County (part) .....	101	81.2	-	-	(X)	84	47.6	-	(X)	5	-
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	539	85.2	-	-	(X)	478	15.7	18	-	75	6.7
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation .....	539	85.2	-	-	(X)	478	15.7	18	-	75	6.7
Churchill County (part) .....	539	85.2	-	-	(X)	478	15.7	18	-	75	6.7
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Churchill County (part) .....	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Fort McDermitt Reservation, NV--OR (part) .....	314	84.7	-	-	(X)	288	10.4	36	22.2	140	48.6
Humboldt County (part) .....	314	84.7	-	-	(X)	288	10.4	36	22.2	140	48.6
Fort Mojave Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--CA--NV (part) .....	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Fort Mojave Reservation (part) .....	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Clark County (part) .....	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Goshute Reservation, NV--UT (part) .....	12	75.0	-	-	(X)	9	-	-	(X)	5	-
White Pine County (part) .....	12	75.0	-	-	(X)	9	-	-	(X)	5	-
Las Vegas Colony, NV .....	90	84.4	-	-	(X)	83	-	1	-	12	-
Clark County (part) .....	90	84.4	-	-	(X)	83	-	1	-	12	-
Lovelock Colony, NV .....	96	93.8	-	-	(X)	87	2.3	2	50.0	12	25.0
Pershing County (part) .....	96	93.8	-	-	(X)	87	2.3	2	50.0	12	25.0
Moapa River Reservation, NV .....	157	77.1	-	-	(X)	156	23.7	4	-	24	-
Clark County (part) .....	157	77.1	-	-	(X)	156	23.7	4	-	24	-
Pyramid Lake Reservation, NV .....	1 198	83.3	2	0.2	100.0	1 080	28.4	15	26.7	158	-
Lyon County (part) .....	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Storey County (part) .....	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Washoe County (part) .....	1 198	83.3	2	0.2	100.0	1 080	28.4	15	26.7	158	-
Reno-Sparks Colony, NV .....	817	83.8	3	0.4	-	746	32.0	12	-	97	12.4
Washoe County (part) .....	817	83.8	3	0.4	-	746	32.0	12	-	97	12.4
South Fork Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	120	92.5	-	-	(X)	120	18.3	10	70.0	55	41.8
South Fork Reservation .....	75	88.0	-	-	(X)	75	20.0	5	100.0	25	36.0
Elko County (part) .....	75	88.0	-	-	(X)	75	20.0	5	100.0	25	36.0
South Fork Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	45	100.0	-	-	(X)	45	15.6	5	40.0	30	46.7
Elko County (part) .....	45	100.0	-	-	(X)	45	15.6	5	40.0	30	46.7
Stewart Community, NV .....	198	69.4	2	1.0	-	183	11.5	29	-	51	-
Douglas County (part) .....	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Carson City (part) .....	198	69.4	2	1.0	-	183	11.5	29	-	51	-
Summit Lake Reservation, NV .....	8	-	-	-	(X)	8	62.5	-	(X)	-	(X)
Humboldt County (part) .....	8	-	-	-	(X)	8	62.5	-	(X)	-	(X)
Walker River Reservation, NV .....	627	79.0	2	0.3	100.0	557	24.8	19	-	67	25.4
Churchill County (part) .....	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Lyon County (part) .....	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Mineral County (part) .....	627	79.0	2	0.3	100.0	557	24.8	19	-	67	25.4
Wells Colony, NV .....	40	85.0	-	-	(X)	33	66.7	-	(X)	10	-
Elko County (part) .....	40	85.0	-	-	(X)	33	66.7	-	(X)	10	-

Table 33. **Place of Birth, Residence in 1995, and Language for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	American Indian and Alaska Native population (one race)	Native population— Percent born in state of residence	Foreign-born population			Population 5 years and over		Speak a language other than English at home			
			Number	Percent of population	Percent naturalized citizens	Number	Percent living in different house in 1995	Population 5 to 17 years		Population 18 years and over	
								Number	Percent who speak English less than "very well"	Number	Percent who speak English less than "very well"
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL—Con.</b>											
Winnemucca Colony, NV .....	48	97.7	4	8.3	-	48	4.2	6	-	15	20.0
Humboldt County (part) .....	48	97.7	4	8.3	-	48	4.2	6	-	15	20.0
Yerington Colony, NV .....	129	86.0	-	-	(X)	113	34.5	2	-	12	58.3
Lyon County (part) .....	129	86.0	-	-	(X)	113	34.5	2	-	12	58.3
Yomba Reservation, NV .....	83	97.6	-	-	(X)	70	30.0	3	-	18	-
Nye County (part) .....	83	97.6	-	-	(X)	70	30.0	3	-	18	-

**Table 34. Education and Veteran Status for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Population 3 years and over enrolled in school				Population 16 to 19 years			Population 25 years and over			Civilian veterans 18 years and over	
	Pre- primary school	Elementary or high school		College or graduate school	Total	Not enrolled in school and not high school graduate		Number	Percent high school graduate or higher	Percent with Bachelor's degree or higher	Total	65 years and over
		Number	Percent in private school			Number	Percent					
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL</b>												
All areas .....	326	1 801	3.4	195	497	66	13.3	3 888	71.7	4.1	549	163
Battle Mountain Reservation, NV .....	3	24	4.2	2	5	-	-	71	36.6	-	9	3
Lander County (part) .....	3	24	4.2	2	5	-	-	71	36.6	-	9	3
Campbell Ranch, NV .....	13	51	-	4	10	1	10.0	100	79.0	7.0	15	4
Lyon County (part) .....	13	51	-	4	10	1	10.0	100	79.0	7.0	15	4
Carson Colony, NV .....	18	52	-	9	7	-	-	135	71.9	5.9	11	2
Carson City (part) .....	18	52	-	9	7	-	-	135	71.9	5.9	11	2
Dresslerville Colony, NV .....	9	80	3.8	12	4	-	-	168	60.1	1.2	24	16
Douglas County (part) .....	9	80	3.8	12	4	-	-	168	60.1	1.2	24	16
Duck Valley Reservation, NV-ID (part) .....	34	181	-	10	65	10	15.4	425	74.6	6.1	96	34
Elko County (part) .....	34	181	-	10	65	10	15.4	425	74.6	6.1	96	34
Duckwater Reservation, NV .....	3	48	52.1	6	9	2	22.2	54	68.5	-	9	5
Nye County (part) .....	3	48	52.1	6	9	2	22.2	54	68.5	-	9	5
Elko Colony, NV .....	26	171	5.3	20	49	5	10.2	354	80.5	1.4	53	3
Elko County (part) .....	26	171	5.3	20	49	5	10.2	354	80.5	1.4	53	3
Ely Reservation, NV .....	2	17	-	3	10	-	-	64	79.7	-	4	-
White Pine County (part) .....	2	17	-	3	10	-	-	64	79.7	-	4	-
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Colony, NV .....	10	21	-	1	8	-	-	34	64.7	5.9	7	-
Churchill County (part) .....	10	21	-	1	8	-	-	34	64.7	5.9	7	-
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation and Off- Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	25	128	2.3	23	42	3	7.1	290	75.2	7.6	50	19
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation .....	25	128	2.3	23	42	3	7.1	290	75.2	7.6	50	19
Churchill County (part) .....	25	128	2.3	23	42	3	7.1	290	75.2	7.6	50	19
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	-
Churchill County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	-
Fort McDermitt Reservation, NV-OR (part) .....	6	103	-	3	43	2	4.7	165	50.9	1.2	11	4
Humboldt County (part) .....	6	103	-	3	43	2	4.7	165	50.9	1.2	11	4
Fort Mojave Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ-CA-NV (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	-
Fort Mojave Reservation (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	-
Clark County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	-
Goshute Reservation, NV-UT (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)	9	77.8	-	-	-
White Pine County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)	9	77.8	-	-	-
Las Vegas Colony, NV .....	5	22	-	-	1	-	-	59	74.6	-	3	-
Clark County (part) .....	5	22	-	-	1	-	-	59	74.6	-	3	-
Lovelock Colony, NV .....	1	32	9.4	3	5	2	40.0	60	55.0	5.0	16	11
Pershing County (part) .....	1	32	9.4	3	5	2	40.0	60	55.0	5.0	16	11
Moapa River Reservation, NV .....	4	37	-	3	11	2	18.2	113	62.8	0.9	6	-
Clark County (part) .....	4	37	-	3	11	2	18.2	113	62.8	0.9	6	-
Pyramid Lake Reservation, NV .....	78	309	2.9	24	93	15	16.1	621	75.5	7.7	70	20
Lyon County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	-
Storey County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	-
Washoe County (part) .....	78	309	2.9	24	93	15	16.1	621	75.5	7.7	70	20
Reno-Sparks Colony, NV .....	33	188	1.6	38	56	12	21.4	476	72.9	3.4	62	4
Washoe County (part) .....	33	188	1.6	38	56	12	21.4	476	72.9	3.4	62	4
South Fork Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	3	28	-	10	5	-	-	88	60.2	-	17	10
South Fork Reservation .....	3	20	-	6	2	-	-	52	65.4	-	7	3
Elko County (part) .....	3	20	-	6	2	-	-	52	65.4	-	7	3
South Fork Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	-	8	-	4	3	-	-	36	52.8	-	10	7
Elko County (part) .....	-	8	-	4	3	-	-	36	52.8	-	10	7
Stewart Community, NV .....	12	62	6.5	8	16	3	18.8	106	84.9	3.8	14	2
Douglas County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	-
Carson City (part) .....	12	62	6.5	8	16	3	18.8	106	84.9	3.8	14	2
Summit Lake Reservation, NV .....	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)	8	62.5	-	3	-
Humboldt County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)	8	62.5	-	3	-
Walker River Reservation, NV .....	25	160	-	14	28	7	25.0	351	70.7	4.3	52	22
Churchill County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	-
Lyon County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	-
Mineral County (part) .....	25	160	-	14	28	7	25.0	351	70.7	4.3	52	22
Wells Colony, NV .....	3	3	-	-	3	-	-	15	80.0	-	2	-
Elko County (part) .....	3	3	-	-	3	-	-	15	80.0	-	2	-

Table 34. **Education and Veteran Status for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Population 3 years and over enrolled in school				Population 16 to 19 years			Population 25 years and over			Civilian veterans 18 years and over	
	Pre- primary school	Elementary or high school		College or graduate school	Total	Not enrolled in school and not high school graduate		Number	Percent high school graduate or higher	Percent with Bachelor's degree or higher	Total	65 years and over
		Number	Percent in private school			Number	Percent					
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL—Con.</b>												
Winnemucca Colony, NV .....	3	13	7.7	-	3	-	-	28	67.9	-	4	1
Humboldt County (part) .....	3	13	7.7	-	3	-	-	28	67.9	-	4	1
Yerington Colony, NV .....	8	46	-	2	14	2	14.3	58	70.7	-	5	1
Lyon County (part) .....	8	46	-	2	14	2	14.3	58	70.7	-	5	1
Yomba Reservation, NV .....	2	25	-	-	10	-	-	36	83.3	-	6	2
Nye County (part) .....	2	25	-	-	10	-	-	36	83.3	-	6	2

**Table 35. Disability Status for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Civilian noninstitutionalized population with a disability			Percent of civilian noninstitutionalized population with specified disability							Percent employed of civilian noninstitutionalized population 21 to 64 years		
	5 years and over	16 to 64 years	65 years and over	5 years and over					16 years and over, going outside the home	16 to 64 years, employment disability	Total	Disability status	
				Any disability	Sensory	Physical	Mental	Self- care				With a disability	No disability
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL</b>													
All areas .....	1 418	994	364	22.2	6.2	11.1	5.0	2.2	6.4	13.6	60.9	52.3	63.9
Battle Mountain Reservation, NV .....	63	44	19	58.3	9.3	24.1	13.9	6.5	16.0	46.8	43.4	62.2	-
Lander County (part) .....	63	44	19	58.3	9.3	24.1	13.9	6.5	16.0	46.8	43.4	62.2	-
Campbell Ranch, NV .....	34	27	5	20.0	1.2	17.6	2.4	2.4	7.3	12.6	70.8	64.0	73.2
Lyon County (part) .....	34	27	5	20.0	1.2	17.6	2.4	2.4	7.3	12.6	70.8	64.0	73.2
Carson Colony, NV .....	50	38	8	21.0	3.8	12.2	9.7	2.1	9.5	11.6	63.2	33.3	74.0
Carson City (part) .....	50	38	8	21.0	3.8	12.2	9.7	2.1	9.5	11.6	63.2	33.3	74.0
Dresslerville Colony, NV .....	48	32	16	18.0	5.6	9.4	1.9	1.9	1.6	13.0	39.4	40.6	39.0
Douglas County (part) .....	48	32	16	18.0	5.6	9.4	1.9	1.9	1.6	13.0	39.4	40.6	39.0
Duck Valley Reservation, NV--ID (part) .....	154	107	45	22.3	7.5	10.5	4.8	3.0	9.0	9.6	54.8	37.5	60.4
Elko County (part) .....	154	107	45	22.3	7.5	10.5	4.8	3.0	9.0	9.6	54.8	37.5	60.4
Duckwater Reservation, NV .....	27	13	14	24.8	6.4	3.7	5.5	0.9	17.8	21.4	80.9	100.0	74.3
Nye County (part) .....	27	13	14	24.8	6.4	3.7	5.5	0.9	17.8	21.4	80.9	100.0	74.3
Elko Colony, NV .....	156	129	21	26.9	7.2	9.3	3.8	1.2	3.0	22.4	65.7	67.2	64.8
Elko County (part) .....	156	129	21	26.9	7.2	9.3	3.8	1.2	3.0	22.4	65.7	67.2	64.8
Ely Reservation, NV .....	6	2	4	6.8	4.5	6.8	4.5	4.5	5.1	-	64.6	-	66.7
White Pine County (part) .....	6	2	4	6.8	4.5	6.8	4.5	4.5	5.1	-	64.6	-	66.7
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Colony, NV .....	8	8	-	9.5	3.6	6.0	-	-	-	3.4	63.9	-	82.1
Churchill County (part) .....	8	8	-	9.5	3.6	6.0	-	-	-	3.4	63.9	-	82.1
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation and Off- Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	108	68	34	22.7	5.5	15.5	5.9	2.7	7.5	15.5	62.2	45.3	68.1
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation .....	108	68	34	22.7	5.5	15.5	5.9	2.7	7.5	15.5	62.2	45.3	68.1
Churchill County (part) .....	108	68	34	22.7	5.5	15.5	5.9	2.7	7.5	15.5	62.2	45.3	68.1
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Churchill County (part) .....	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Fort McDermitt Reservation, NV--OR (part) .....	97	54	33	33.7	13.5	17.0	13.5	5.9	13.0	10.9	31.8	21.3	37.8
Humboldt County (part) .....	97	54	33	33.7	13.5	17.0	13.5	5.9	13.0	10.9	31.8	21.3	37.8
Fort Mojave Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--CA--NV (part) .....	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Fort Mojave Reservation (part) .....	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Clark County (part) .....	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Goshute Reservation, NV--UT (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
White Pine County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
Las Vegas Colony, NV .....	14	11	3	16.9	6.0	9.6	-	-	3.3	17.0	46.2	9.1	56.1
Clark County (part) .....	14	11	3	16.9	6.0	9.6	-	-	3.3	17.0	46.2	9.1	56.1
Lovelock Colony, NV .....	33	14	19	37.9	17.2	20.7	8.0	-	23.1	16.7	40.5	-	65.2
Pershing County (part) .....	33	14	19	37.9	17.2	20.7	8.0	-	23.1	16.7	40.5	-	65.2
Moapa River Reservation, NV .....	52	40	12	33.3	17.3	18.6	7.7	1.9	8.9	4.6	63.3	47.5	74.1
Clark County (part) .....	52	40	12	33.3	17.3	18.6	7.7	1.9	8.9	4.6	63.3	47.5	74.1
Pyramid Lake Reservation, NV .....	190	158	30	17.6	2.3	6.8	2.6	1.9	3.2	16.1	65.4	63.3	66.1
Lyon County (part) .....	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Storey County (part) .....	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Washoe County (part) .....	190	158	30	17.6	2.3	6.8	2.6	1.9	3.2	16.1	65.4	63.3	66.1
Reno-Sparks Colony, NV .....	120	90	26	16.1	7.4	9.0	4.3	1.1	3.4	6.2	62.0	48.2	65.2
Washoe County (part) .....	120	90	26	16.1	7.4	9.0	4.3	1.1	3.4	6.2	62.0	48.2	65.2
South Fork Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	15	8	7	12.5	3.3	7.5	-	-	4.3	5.2	55.6	50.0	56.3
South Fork Reservation .....	6	2	4	8.0	-	5.3	-	-	7.4	4.3	55.6	-	58.1
Elko County (part) .....	6	2	4	8.0	-	5.3	-	-	7.4	4.3	55.6	-	58.1
South Fork Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	9	6	3	20.0	8.9	11.1	-	-	-	6.7	55.6	66.7	52.4
Elko County (part) .....	9	6	3	20.0	8.9	11.1	-	-	-	6.7	55.6	66.7	52.4
Stewart Community, NV .....	32	22	5	17.5	7.7	15.8	3.8	1.6	5.4	6.4	74.5	58.8	77.5
Douglas County (part) .....	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Carson City (part) .....	32	22	5	17.5	7.7	15.8	3.8	1.6	5.4	6.4	74.5	58.8	77.5
Summit Lake Reservation, NV .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	(X)	100.0
Humboldt County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	(X)	100.0
Walker River Reservation, NV .....	159	100	54	28.5	6.1	13.6	5.7	2.7	8.5	23.1	67.3	71.0	65.4
Churchill County (part) .....	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Lyon County (part) .....	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Mineral County (part) .....	159	100	54	28.5	6.1	13.6	5.7	2.7	8.5	23.1	67.3	71.0	65.4
Wells Colony, NV .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	81.8	(X)	81.8
Elko County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	81.8	(X)	81.8
Winnemucca Colony, NV .....	11	9	2	22.9	2.1	6.3	-	2.1	2.9	25.0	72.4	100.0	60.0
Humboldt County (part) .....	11	9	2	22.9	2.1	6.3	-	2.1	2.9	25.0	72.4	100.0	60.0

Table 35. **Disability Status for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Civilian noninstitutionalized population with a disability			Percent of civilian noninstitutionalized population with specified disability							Percent employed of civilian noninstitutionalized population 21 to 64 years		
	5 years and over	16 to 64 years	65 years and over	5 years and over					16 years and over, going outside the home	16 to 64 years, employment disability	Total	Disability status	
				Any disability	Sensory	Physical	Mental	Self- care				With a disability	No disability
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL—Con.</b>													
Yerington Colony, NV .....	32	11	7	28.3	8.8	16.8	15.9	1.8	9.0	7.4	47.1	28.6	50.0
Lyon County (part) .....	32	11	7	28.3	8.8	16.8	15.9	1.8	9.0	7.4	47.1	28.6	50.0
Yomba Reservation, NV .....	9	9	-	12.9	-	7.1	5.7	4.3	11.3	12.2	83.8	33.3	93.5
Nye County (part) .....	9	9	-	12.9	-	7.1	5.7	4.3	11.3	12.2	83.8	33.3	93.5

**Table 36. Employment Status and Journey to Work for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Population 16 years and over												Own children under 6 years, all parents in family in labor force	
	Total	Female	Labor force								Females with own children under 6 years			
			Percent in labor force		Civilian labor force		Workers				Number	Percent in labor force		
			Total	Female	Number	Percent un- employed	Number	Means of transportation to work						
Percent using car, truck, or van	Percent in carpools	Percent using public trans- portation												
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL</b>														
All areas .....	4 762	2 561	57.7	55.1	2 746	14.1	2 322	90.1	19.1	2.2	359	71.0	460	
Battle Mountain Reservation, NV .....	81	45	38.3	33.3	31	3.2	29	82.8	44.8	3.4	-	(X)	4	
Lander County (part) .....	81	45	38.3	33.3	31	3.2	29	82.8	44.8	3.4	-	(X)	4	
Campbell Ranch, NV .....	123	62	65.0	58.1	80	7.5	67	95.5	16.4	-	12	91.7	29	
Lyon County (part) .....	123	62	65.0	58.1	80	7.5	67	95.5	16.4	-	12	91.7	29	
Carson Colony, NV .....	168	105	64.9	62.9	109	8.3	100	93.0	27.0	-	16	100.0	32	
Carson City (part) .....	168	105	64.9	62.9	109	8.3	100	93.0	27.0	-	16	100.0	32	
Dresslerville Colony, NV .....	182	86	39.6	36.0	72	6.9	60	80.0	3.3	-	14	35.7	18	
Douglas County (part) .....	182	86	39.6	36.0	72	6.9	60	80.0	3.3	-	14	35.7	18	
Duck Valley Reservation, NV—ID (part) .....	534	261	51.7	53.6	276	21.0	218	97.2	25.2	-	26	50.0	12	
Elko County (part) .....	534	261	51.7	53.6	276	21.0	218	97.2	25.2	-	26	50.0	12	
Duckwater Reservation, NV .....	73	35	67.1	54.3	49	6.1	44	88.6	18.2	-	1	-	-	
Nye County (part) .....	73	35	67.1	54.3	49	6.1	44	88.6	18.2	-	1	-	-	
Elko Colony, NV .....	431	249	62.4	62.2	269	15.6	226	88.1	15.9	8.8	35	80.0	44	
Elko County (part) .....	431	249	62.4	62.2	269	15.6	226	88.1	15.9	8.8	35	80.0	44	
Ely Reservation, NV .....	79	45	67.1	55.6	53	9.4	48	75.0	4.2	-	8	25.0	2	
White Pine County (part) .....	79	45	67.1	55.6	53	9.4	48	75.0	4.2	-	8	25.0	2	
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Colony, NV .....	63	46	55.6	54.3	35	34.3	23	91.3	26.1	-	9	100.0	16	
Churchill County (part) .....	63	46	55.6	54.3	35	34.3	23	91.3	26.1	-	9	100.0	16	
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation and Off- Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	361	203	54.8	42.4	196	10.2	175	97.7	19.4	-	34	38.2	26	
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation .....	361	203	54.8	42.4	196	10.2	175	97.7	19.4	-	34	38.2	26	
Churchill County (part) .....	361	203	54.8	42.4	196	10.2	175	97.7	19.4	-	34	38.2	26	
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	-	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	
Churchill County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	
Fort McDermitt Reservation, NV—OR (part) .....	215	119	43.3	39.5	93	47.3	49	95.9	34.7	-	8	100.0	25	
Humboldt County (part) .....	215	119	43.3	39.5	93	47.3	49	95.9	34.7	-	8	100.0	25	
Fort Mojave Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ—CA—NV (part) .....	-	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	
Fort Mojave Reservation (part) .....	-	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	
Clark County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	
Goshute Reservation, NV—UT (part) .....	9	4	44.4	100.0	4	100.0	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	4	100.0	3	
White Pine County (part) .....	9	4	44.4	100.0	4	100.0	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	4	100.0	3	
Las Vegas Colony, NV .....	60	24	41.7	62.5	25	4.0	24	70.8	12.5	-	1	100.0	1	
Clark County (part) .....	60	24	41.7	62.5	25	4.0	24	70.8	12.5	-	1	100.0	1	
Lovelock Colony, NV .....	65	42	43.1	23.8	28	17.9	23	43.5	-	-	-	(X)	2	
Pershing County (part) .....	65	42	43.1	23.8	28	17.9	23	43.5	-	-	-	(X)	2	
Moapa River Reservation, NV .....	124	58	59.7	72.4	74	9.5	67	73.1	16.4	-	1	100.0	1	
Clark County (part) .....	124	58	59.7	72.4	74	9.5	67	73.1	16.4	-	1	100.0	1	
Pyramid Lake Reservation, NV .....	784	434	63.1	61.1	495	12.1	433	96.8	16.2	-	80	72.5	105	
Lyon County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	
Storey County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	
Washoe County (part) .....	784	434	63.1	61.1	495	12.1	433	96.8	16.2	-	80	72.5	105	
Reno-Sparks Colony, NV .....	583	305	61.7	56.7	358	14.0	309	79.9	22.3	6.5	43	69.8	47	
Washoe County (part) .....	583	305	61.7	56.7	358	14.0	309	79.9	22.3	6.5	43	69.8	47	
South Fork Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	94	48	45.7	45.8	43	7.0	38	84.2	5.3	7.9	-	(X)	-	
South Fork Reservation .....	54	29	46.3	44.8	25	-	23	87.0	8.7	13.0	-	(X)	-	
Elko County (part) .....	54	29	46.3	44.8	25	-	23	87.0	8.7	13.0	-	(X)	-	
South Fork Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	40	19	45.0	47.4	18	16.7	15	80.0	-	-	-	(X)	-	
Elko County (part) .....	40	19	45.0	47.4	18	16.7	15	80.0	-	-	-	(X)	-	
Stewart Community, NV .....	130	60	73.1	71.7	95	11.6	77	97.4	13.0	-	11	63.6	9	
Douglas County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	
Carson City (part) .....	130	60	73.1	71.7	95	11.6	77	97.4	13.0	-	11	63.6	9	
Summit Lake Reservation, NV .....	8	5	100.0	100.0	8	-	8	100.0	37.5	-	-	(X)	-	
Humboldt County (part) .....	8	5	100.0	100.0	8	-	8	100.0	37.5	-	-	(X)	-	



Table 36. **Employment Status and Journey to Work for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Population 16 years and over												Own children under 6 years, all parents in family in labor force
	Total	Female	Labor force						Females with own children under 6 years				
			Percent in labor force		Civilian labor force		Workers		Number	Percent in labor force			
			Total	Female	Number	Percent un- employed	Number	Means of transportation to work					
							Percent using car, truck, or van	Percent in carpools	Percent using public trans- portation				
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL—Con.</b>													
Walker River Reservation, NV .....	402	223	58.7	56.5	236	11.0	204	94.6	14.2	-	34	85.3	46
Churchill County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-
Lyon County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-
Mineral County (part) .....	402	223	58.7	56.5	236	11.0	204	94.6	14.2	-	34	85.3	46
Wells Colony, NV .....	27	17	81.5	88.2	22	9.1	17	88.2	29.4	-	8	100.0	10
Elko County (part) .....	27	17	81.5	88.2	22	9.1	17	88.2	29.4	-	8	100.0	10
Winnemucca Colony, NV .....	35	12	77.1	75.0	27	22.2	21	57.1	23.8	33.3	3	100.0	3
Humboldt County (part) .....	35	12	77.1	75.0	27	22.2	21	57.1	23.8	33.3	3	100.0	3
Yerington Colony, NV .....	78	43	38.5	34.9	30	10.0	27	100.0	22.2	-	8	75.0	13
Lyon County (part) .....	78	43	38.5	34.9	30	10.0	27	100.0	22.2	-	8	75.0	13
Yomba Reservation, NV .....	53	30	71.7	73.3	38	7.9	35	97.1	54.3	2.9	3	100.0	12
Nye County (part) .....	53	30	71.7	73.3	38	7.9	35	97.1	54.3	2.9	3	100.0	12

**Table 37. Work Status and Income in 1999 for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Population 16 years and over, worked in 1999						Median income in 1999 (dollars)			Per capita income in 1999 (dollars)
	Total		Worked 40 or more weeks				Households	Families	Nonfamily households	
	Male	Female	Total		Full-time, year-round					
			Male	Female	Male	Female				
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL</b>										
All areas .....	1 491	1 582	896	1 087	737	820	26 930	28 861	13 409	10 485
Battle Mountain Reservation, NV .....	20	15	16	12	8	10	21 750	25 000	10 938	12 017
Lander County (part) .....	20	15	16	12	8	10	21 750	25 000	10 938	12 017
Campbell Ranch, NV .....	52	42	23	25	14	19	35 500	36 375	14 583	9 149
Lyon County (part) .....	52	42	23	25	14	19	35 500	36 375	14 583	9 149
Carson Colony, NV .....	39	70	30	49	20	28	27 679	25 417	18 125	9 230
Carson City (part) .....	39	70	30	49	20	28	27 679	25 417	18 125	9 230
Dresslerville Colony, NV .....	57	47	34	29	23	24	21 083	20 750	13 750	8 232
Douglas County (part) .....	57	47	34	29	23	24	21 083	20 750	13 750	8 232
Duck Valley Reservation, NV-ID (part) .....	164	157	75	91	52	67	19 219	26 923	9 191	10 284
Elko County (part) .....	164	157	75	91	52	67	19 219	26 923	9 191	10 284
Duckwater Reservation, NV .....	30	21	22	13	20	8	25 000	27 292	7 344	11 692
Nye County (part) .....	30	21	22	13	20	8	25 000	27 292	7 344	11 692
Elko Colony, NV .....	139	176	83	137	75	118	27 500	28 875	19 063	11 435
Elko County (part) .....	139	176	83	137	75	118	27 500	28 875	19 063	11 435
Ely Reservation, NV .....	32	31	20	27	17	18	38 750	43 333	21 563	16 113
White Pine County (part) .....	32	31	20	27	17	18	38 750	43 333	21 563	16 113
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Colony, NV .....	13	28	4	21	3	16	21 667	17 813	23 750	9 322
Churchill County (part) .....	13	28	4	21	3	16	21 667	17 813	23 750	9 322
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	110	107	79	72	68	59	32 656	32 679	13 750	10 822
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation .....	110	107	79	72	68	59	32 656	32 679	13 750	10 822
Churchill County (part) .....	110	107	79	72	68	59	32 656	32 679	13 750	10 822
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Churchill County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fort McDermitt Reservation, NV-OR (part) .....	41	61	13	33	13	17	17 188	20 833	10 000	6 255
Humboldt County (part) .....	41	61	13	33	13	17	17 188	20 833	10 000	6 255
Fort Mojave Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ-CA-NV (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fort Mojave Reservation (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Clark County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Goshute Reservation, NV-UT (part) .....	5	4	-	-	-	-	23 125	15 625	-	7 008
White Pine County (part) .....	5	4	-	-	-	-	23 125	15 625	-	7 008
Las Vegas Colony, NV .....	14	14	10	14	10	14	30 125	28 571	3 125	8 439
Clark County (part) .....	14	14	10	14	10	14	30 125	28 571	3 125	8 439
Lovelock Colony, NV .....	23	10	8	10	5	8	20 313	21 875	10 417	8 979
Pershing County (part) .....	23	10	8	10	5	8	20 313	21 875	10 417	8 979
Moapa River Reservation, NV .....	39	43	22	37	20	27	20 500	46 071	11 750	13 252
Clark County (part) .....	39	43	22	37	20	27	20 500	46 071	11 750	13 252
Pyramid Lake Reservation, NV .....	243	288	169	202	141	153	31 379	31 406	20 500	10 878
Lyon County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Storey County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Washoe County (part) .....	243	288	169	202	141	153	31 379	31 406	20 500	10 878
Reno-Sparks Colony, NV .....	202	188	133	133	117	121	31 797	32 308	15 625	11 465
Washoe County (part) .....	202	188	133	133	117	121	31 797	32 308	15 625	11 465
South Fork Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	37	24	15	17	13	11	19 107	32 917	9 500	11 062
South Fork Reservation .....	18	16	10	11	8	8	18 750	28 750	8 500	10 909
Elko County (part) .....	18	16	10	11	8	8	18 750	28 750	8 500	10 909
South Fork Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	19	8	5	6	5	3	19 583	33 750	11 250	11 316
Elko County (part) .....	19	8	5	6	5	3	19 583	33 750	11 250	11 316
Stewart Community, NV .....	57	52	37	21	33	18	34 375	31 250	21 250	12 335
Douglas County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Carson City (part) .....	57	52	37	21	33	18	34 375	31 250	21 250	12 335
Summit Lake Reservation, NV .....	3	5	-	-	-	-	27 000	43 750	26 250	27 813
Humboldt County (part) .....	3	5	-	-	-	-	27 000	43 750	26 250	27 813
Walker River Reservation, NV .....	108	136	67	105	53	56	25 083	27 045	17 083	10 167
Churchill County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lyon County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mineral County (part) .....	108	136	67	105	53	56	25 083	27 045	17 083	10 167
Wells Colony, NV .....	7	12	2	7	2	7	32 083	31 250	43 750	10 268
Elko County (part) .....	7	12	2	7	2	7	32 083	31 250	43 750	10 268
Winnemucca Colony, NV .....	18	9	10	7	10	3	51 250	23 750	-	11 196
Humboldt County (part) .....	18	9	10	7	10	3	51 250	23 750	-	11 196

Table 37. **Work Status and Income in 1999 for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Population 16 years and over, worked in 1999						Median income in 1999 (dollars)			Per capita income in 1999 (dollars)
	Total		Worked 40 or more weeks				Households	Families	Nonfamily households	
	Male	Female	Total		Full-time, year-round					
			Male	Female	Male	Female				
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL—Con.</b>										
Yerington Colony, NV .....	22	23	13	11	11	9	18 750	11 875	28 000	6 860
Lyon County (part) .....	22	23	13	11	11	9	18 750	11 875	28 000	6 860
Yomba Reservation, NV .....	16	19	11	14	9	9	26 250	28 500	8 333	9 936
Nye County (part) .....	16	19	11	14	9	9	26 250	28 500	8 333	9 936

**Table 38. Earnings in 1999 of Full-Time, Year-Round Workers, by Sex for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Male						Female						
	Workers with earnings	Median earnings in 1999 (dollars)	Earnings in 1999				Workers with earnings	Median earnings in 1999 (dollars)	Earnings in 1999				
			\$1 to \$24,999 or less	\$25,000 to \$49,999	\$50,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 or more			\$1 to \$24,999 or less	\$25,000 to \$49,999	\$50,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 or more	
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL</b>													
All areas .....	737	28 926	264	410	55	8	820	23 412	464	323	33	-	-
Battle Mountain Reservation, NV .....	8	26 250	4	4	-	-	10	21 667	6	4	-	-	-
Lander County (part) .....	8	26 250	4	4	-	-	10	21 667	6	4	-	-	-
Campbell Ranch, NV .....	14	26 875	4	8	-	2	19	15 417	16	3	-	-	-
Lyon County (part) .....	14	26 875	4	8	-	2	19	15 417	16	3	-	-	-
Carson Colony, NV .....	20	22 500	14	6	-	-	28	30 357	9	15	4	-	-
Carson City (part) .....	20	22 500	14	6	-	-	28	30 357	9	15	4	-	-
Dresslerville Colony, NV .....	23	19 750	13	10	-	-	24	21 667	13	11	-	-	-
Douglas County (part) .....	23	19 750	13	10	-	-	24	21 667	13	11	-	-	-
Duck Valley Reservation, NV-ID (part) .....	52	31 071	17	26	4	5	67	27 813	28	39	-	-	-
Elko County (part) .....	52	31 071	17	26	4	5	67	27 813	28	39	-	-	-
Duckwater Reservation, NV .....	20	25 938	7	10	3	-	8	26 250	2	6	-	-	-
Nye County (part) .....	20	25 938	7	10	3	-	8	26 250	2	6	-	-	-
Elko Colony, NV .....	75	31 146	25	46	4	-	118	22 396	78	30	10	-	-
Elko County (part) .....	75	31 146	25	46	4	-	118	22 396	78	30	10	-	-
Ely Reservation, NV .....	17	40 250	4	9	4	-	18	27 500	6	12	-	-	-
White Pine County (part) .....	17	40 250	4	9	4	-	18	27 500	6	12	-	-	-
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Colony, NV .....	3	13 125	3	-	-	-	16	15 833	12	2	2	-	-
Churchill County (part) .....	3	13 125	3	-	-	-	16	15 833	12	2	2	-	-
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	68	33 214	17	47	4	-	59	21 250	32	24	3	-	-
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation .....	68	33 214	17	47	4	-	59	21 250	32	24	3	-	-
Churchill County (part) .....	68	33 214	17	47	4	-	59	21 250	32	24	3	-	-
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Churchill County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fort McDermitt Reservation, NV-OR (part) .....	13	25 625	6	5	2	-	17	24 375	9	6	2	-	-
Humboldt County (part) .....	13	25 625	6	5	2	-	17	24 375	9	6	2	-	-
Fort Mojave Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ-CA-NV (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fort Mojave Reservation (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Clark County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Goshute Reservation, NV-UT (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White Pine County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Las Vegas Colony, NV .....	10	23 750	5	5	-	-	14	26 250	7	7	-	-	-
Clark County (part) .....	10	23 750	5	5	-	-	14	26 250	7	7	-	-	-
Lovelock Colony, NV .....	5	40 625	-	4	-	1	8	25 833	2	6	-	-	-
Pershing County (part) .....	5	40 625	-	4	-	1	8	25 833	2	6	-	-	-
Moapa River Reservation, NV .....	20	26 500	7	10	3	-	27	21 964	18	6	3	-	-
Clark County (part) .....	20	26 500	7	10	3	-	27	21 964	18	6	3	-	-
Pyramid Lake Reservation, NV .....	141	26 250	66	57	18	-	153	24 250	84	64	5	-	-
Lyon County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Storey County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Washoe County (part) .....	141	26 250	66	57	18	-	153	24 250	84	64	5	-	-
Reno-Sparks Colony, NV .....	117	30 375	38	75	4	-	121	21 477	77	42	2	-	-
Washoe County (part) .....	117	30 375	38	75	4	-	121	21 477	77	42	2	-	-
South Fork Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	13	30 417	2	9	2	-	11	26 250	3	8	-	-	-
South Fork Reservation .....	8	32 500	-	6	2	-	8	26 250	3	5	-	-	-
Elko County (part) .....	8	32 500	-	6	2	-	8	26 250	3	5	-	-	-
South Fork Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	5	30 417	2	3	-	-	3	26 250	-	3	-	-	-
Elko County (part) .....	5	30 417	2	3	-	-	3	26 250	-	3	-	-	-
Stewart Community, NV .....	33	30 694	10	23	-	-	18	26 250	6	12	-	-	-
Douglas County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Carson City (part) .....	33	30 694	10	23	-	-	18	26 250	6	12	-	-	-
Summit Lake Reservation, NV .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Walker River Reservation, NV .....	53	30 694	16	35	2	-	56	24 167	32	22	2	-	-
Churchill County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lyon County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mineral County (part) .....	53	30 694	16	35	2	-	56	24 167	32	22	2	-	-
Wells Colony, NV .....	2	36 250	-	2	-	-	7	20 625	5	2	-	-	-
Elko County (part) .....	2	36 250	-	2	-	-	7	20 625	5	2	-	-	-
Winnemucca Colony, NV .....	10	43 750	-	5	5	-	3	6 875	3	-	-	-	-
Humboldt County (part) .....	10	43 750	-	5	5	-	3	6 875	3	-	-	-	-

Table 38. **Earnings in 1999 of Full-Time, Year-Round Workers, by Sex for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Male						Female						
	Workers with earnings	Median earnings in 1999 (dollars)	Earnings in 1999				Workers with earnings	Median earnings in 1999 (dollars)	Earnings in 1999				
			\$1 to \$24,999 or less	\$25,000 to \$49,999	\$50,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 or more			\$1 to \$24,999 or less	\$25,000 to \$49,999	\$50,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 or more	
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL—Con.</b>													
Yerington Colony, NV .....	11	26 875	4	7	-	-	9	12 250	9	-	-	-	-
Lyon County (part) .....	11	26 875	4	7	-	-	9	12 250	9	-	-	-	-
Yomba Reservation, NV .....	9	26 250	2	7	-	-	9	23 438	7	2	-	-	-
Nye County (part) .....	9	26 250	2	7	-	-	9	23 438	7	2	-	-	-

**Table 39. Income in 1999 of Households With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Households with American Indian and Alaska Native householder (one race)	Median income in 1999 (dollars)	Household income in 1999								Percent of households with income in 1999 of \$100,000 or more
			Less than \$10,000	\$10,000 to \$24,999	\$25,000 to \$49,999	\$50,000 to \$74,999	\$75,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 to or more	
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL</b>											
All areas .....	2 554	26 930	495	694	838	351	136	33	7	-	1.6
Battle Mountain Reservation, NV .....	53	21 750	16	13	12	7	5	-	-	-	-
Lander County (part) .....	53	21 750	16	13	12	7	5	-	-	-	-
Campbell Ranch, NV .....	62	35 500	4	15	29	12	2	-	-	-	-
Lyon County (part) .....	62	35 500	4	15	29	12	2	-	-	-	-
Carson Colony, NV .....	83	27 679	6	28	31	18	-	-	-	-	-
Carson City (part) .....	83	27 679	6	28	31	18	-	-	-	-	-
Dresslerville Colony, NV .....	109	21 083	30	35	27	12	5	-	-	-	-
Douglas County (part) .....	109	21 083	30	35	27	12	5	-	-	-	-
Duck Valley Reservation, NV-ID (part) .....	287	19 219	89	71	90	20	6	11	-	-	3.8
Elko County (part) .....	287	19 219	89	71	90	20	6	11	-	-	3.8
Duckwater Reservation, NV .....	48	25 000	15	9	16	5	3	-	-	-	-
Nye County (part) .....	48	25 000	15	9	16	5	3	-	-	-	-
Elko Colony, NV .....	258	27 500	37	84	78	53	6	-	-	-	-
Elko County (part) .....	258	27 500	37	84	78	53	6	-	-	-	-
Ely Reservation, NV .....	51	38 750	4	13	17	7	10	-	-	-	-
White Pine County (part) .....	51	38 750	4	13	17	7	10	-	-	-	-
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Colony, NV .....	34	21 667	6	13	8	3	2	2	-	-	5.9
Churchill County (part) .....	34	21 667	6	13	8	3	2	2	-	-	5.9
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	195	32 656	34	48	64	33	13	3	-	-	1.5
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation .....	195	32 656	34	48	64	33	13	3	-	-	1.5
Churchill County (part) .....	195	32 656	34	48	64	33	13	3	-	-	1.5
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Churchill County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Fort McDermitt Reservation, NV-OR (part) .....	80	17 188	21	31	18	10	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt County (part) .....	80	17 188	21	31	18	10	-	-	-	-	-
Fort Mojave Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ-CA-NV (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Fort Mojave Reservation (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Clark County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Goshute Reservation, NV-UT (part) .....	6	23 125	2	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White Pine County (part) .....	6	23 125	2	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Las Vegas Colony, NV .....	33	30 125	7	2	22	2	-	-	-	-	-
Clark County (part) .....	33	30 125	7	2	22	2	-	-	-	-	-
Lovelock Colony, NV .....	37	20 313	9	13	12	2	-	1	-	-	2.7
Pershing County (part) .....	37	20 313	9	13	12	2	-	1	-	-	2.7
Moapa River Reservation, NV .....	74	20 500	16	29	15	9	5	-	-	-	-
Clark County (part) .....	74	20 500	16	29	15	9	5	-	-	-	-
Pyramid Lake Reservation, NV .....	426	31 379	68	91	160	70	29	8	-	-	1.9
Lyon County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Storey County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Washoe County (part) .....	426	31 379	68	91	160	70	29	8	-	-	1.9
Reno-Sparks Colony, NV .....	247	31 797	33	69	81	29	22	6	7	-	5.3
Washoe County (part) .....	247	31 797	33	69	81	29	22	6	7	-	5.3
South Fork Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	49	19 107	11	19	8	9	2	-	-	-	-
South Fork Reservation .....	32	18 750	11	10	3	6	2	-	-	-	-
Elko County (part) .....	32	18 750	11	10	3	6	2	-	-	-	-
South Fork Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	17	19 583	-	9	5	3	-	-	-	-	-
Elko County (part) .....	17	19 583	-	9	5	3	-	-	-	-	-
Stewart Community, NV .....	67	34 375	3	14	30	8	12	-	-	-	-
Douglas County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Carson City (part) .....	67	34 375	3	14	30	8	12	-	-	-	-
Summit Lake Reservation, NV .....	8	27 000	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt County (part) .....	8	27 000	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-
Walker River Reservation, NV .....	245	25 083	56	66	84	28	9	2	-	-	0.8
Churchill County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Lyon County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Mineral County (part) .....	245	25 083	56	66	84	28	9	2	-	-	0.8
Wells Colony, NV .....	19	32 083	7	-	8	2	2	-	-	-	-
Elko County (part) .....	19	32 083	7	-	8	2	2	-	-	-	-
Winnemucca Colony, NV .....	12	51 250	2	3	-	7	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt County (part) .....	12	51 250	2	3	-	7	-	-	-	-	-

Table 39. **Income in 1999 of Households With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Households with American Indian and Alaska Native householder (one race)	Median income in 1999 (dollars)	Household income in 1999								Percent of households with income in 1999 of \$100,000 or more
			Less than \$10,000	\$10,000 to \$24,999	\$25,000 to \$49,999	\$50,000 to \$74,999	\$75,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 or more	
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL—Con.</b>											
Yerington Colony, NV .....	45	18 750	11	19	12	3	-	-	-	-	-
Lyon County (part) .....	45	18 750	11	19	12	3	-	-	-	-	-
Yomba Reservation, NV .....	26	26 250	8	5	8	2	3	-	-	-	-
Nye County (part) .....	26	26 250	8	5	8	2	3	-	-	-	-

Table 40. **Poverty Status in 1999 for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Population for whom poverty status is determined									Families with income in 1999 below poverty level			
	Income in 1999 below poverty level									All families		Families with female householder, no husband present, with related children under 18 years	
	Total	All ages		Related children				Population 65 years and over		Number	Percent	Number	Percent
		Number	Percent	Under 18 years		5 to 17 years		Number	Percent				
				Number	Percent	Number	Percent						
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL</b>													
All areas .....	7 000	1 828	26.1	704	28.2	517	27.6	186	29.9	417	23.0	192	35.7
Battle Mountain Reservation, NV .....	110	33	30.0	6	20.0	6	21.4	7	36.8	10	26.3	3	30.0
Lander County (part) .....	110	33	30.0	6	20.0	6	21.4	7	36.8	10	26.3	3	30.0
Campbell Ranch, NV .....	195	30	15.4	16	21.3	8	16.7	-	-	7	13.2	5	62.5
Lyon County (part) .....	195	30	15.4	16	21.3	8	16.7	-	-	7	13.2	5	62.5
Carson Colony, NV .....	259	66	25.5	27	28.7	26	35.6	4	30.8	12	17.9	3	10.0
Carson City (part) .....	259	66	25.5	27	28.7	26	35.6	4	30.8	12	17.9	3	10.0
Dresslerville Colony, NV .....	288	121	42.0	46	42.2	38	43.7	22	61.1	42	53.2	14	66.7
Douglas County (part) .....	288	121	42.0	46	42.2	38	43.7	22	61.1	42	53.2	14	66.7
Duck Valley Reservation, NV-ID (part) .....	739	251	34.0	91	38.6	68	36.4	29	39.2	53	30.5	13	27.1
Elko County (part) .....	739	251	34.0	91	38.6	68	36.4	29	39.2	53	30.5	13	27.1
Duckwater Reservation, NV .....	105	25	23.8	6	15.4	4	10.8	14	82.4	3	13.0	3	30.0
Nye County (part) .....	105	25	23.8	6	15.4	4	10.8	14	82.4	3	13.0	3	30.0
Elko Colony, NV .....	638	159	24.9	71	30.3	53	30.1	15	38.5	39	22.5	26	34.2
Elko County (part) .....	638	159	24.9	71	30.3	53	30.1	15	38.5	39	22.5	26	34.2
Ely Reservation, NV .....	92	6	6.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	5.9	2	18.2
White Pine County (part) .....	92	6	6.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	5.9	2	18.2
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Colony, NV .....	101	34	33.7	17	41.5	11	45.8	-	-	10	32.3	10	55.6
Churchill County (part) .....	101	34	33.7	17	41.5	11	45.8	-	-	10	32.3	10	55.6
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation and Off- Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	539	116	21.5	55	27.6	44	31.9	5	8.1	22	16.1	13	43.3
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation .....	539	116	21.5	55	27.6	44	31.9	5	8.1	22	16.1	13	43.3
Churchill County (part) .....	539	116	21.5	55	27.6	44	31.9	5	8.1	22	16.1	13	43.3
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Churchill County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Fort McDermitt Reservation, NV--OR (part) .....	314	93	29.6	28	22.6	20	20.4	12	30.0	16	29.6	5	45.5
Humboldt County (part) .....	314	93	29.6	28	22.6	20	20.4	12	30.0	16	29.6	5	45.5
Fort Mojave Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--CA--NV (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Fort Mojave Reservation (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Clark County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Goshute Reservation, NV--UT (part) .....	12	5	41.7	-	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	2	33.3	-	-
White Pine County (part) .....	12	5	41.7	-	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	2	33.3	-	-
Las Vegas Colony, NV .....	90	30	33.3	13	41.9	6	25.0	1	14.3	8	30.8	1	100.0
Clark County (part) .....	90	30	33.3	13	41.9	6	25.0	1	14.3	8	30.8	1	100.0
Lovelock Colony, NV .....	96	35	36.5	16	51.6	7	31.8	6	26.1	4	18.2	2	50.0
Pershing County (part) .....	96	35	36.5	16	51.6	7	31.8	6	26.1	4	18.2	2	50.0
Moapa River Reservation, NV .....	157	53	33.8	16	47.1	15	45.5	7	46.7	10	26.3	9	64.3
Clark County (part) .....	157	53	33.8	16	47.1	15	45.5	7	46.7	10	26.3	9	64.3
Pyramid Lake Reservation, NV .....	1 198	247	20.6	90	19.8	66	19.6	16	19.5	50	16.0	20	25.0
Lyon County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Storey County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Washoe County (part) .....	1 198	247	20.6	90	19.8	66	19.6	16	19.5	50	16.0	20	25.0
Reno-Sparks Colony, NV .....	814	177	21.7	65	25.3	42	22.6	6	11.5	38	18.6	16	25.0
Washoe County (part) .....	814	177	21.7	65	25.3	42	22.6	6	11.5	38	18.6	16	25.0
South Fork Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	120	34	28.3	9	32.1	9	32.1	7	41.2	7	21.2	-	-
South Fork Reservation .....	75	24	32.0	6	26.1	6	26.1	7	100.0	5	25.0	-	-
Elko County (part) .....	75	24	32.0	6	26.1	6	26.1	7	100.0	5	25.0	-	-
South Fork Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	45	10	22.2	3	60.0	3	60.0	-	-	2	15.4	-	(X)
Elko County (part) .....	45	10	22.2	3	60.0	3	60.0	-	-	2	15.4	-	(X)
Stewart Community, NV .....	198	28	14.1	15	19.7	12	19.7	-	-	8	12.3	5	31.3
Douglas County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Carson City (part) .....	198	28	14.1	15	19.7	12	19.7	-	-	8	12.3	5	31.3
Summit Lake Reservation, NV .....	8	-	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)
Humboldt County (part) .....	8	-	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)
Walker River Reservation, NV .....	627	162	25.8	61	24.9	47	26.9	28	34.6	37	23.7	15	34.9
Churchill County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Lyon County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Mineral County (part) .....	627	162	25.8	61	24.9	47	26.9	28	34.6	37	23.7	15	34.9



Table 40. **Poverty Status in 1999 for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Population for whom poverty status is determined									Families with income in 1999 below poverty level			
	Income in 1999 below poverty level									All families		Families with female householder, no husband present, with related children under 18 years	
	Total	All ages		Related children				Population 65 years and over		Number	Percent	Number	Percent
		Number	Percent	Under 18 years		5 to 17 years		Number	Percent				
				Number	Percent	Number	Percent						
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL—Con.</b>													
Wells Colony, NV .....	40	20	50.0	7	53.8	3	50.0	-	-	7	41.2	7	100.0
Elko County (part) .....	40	20	50.0	7	53.8	3	50.0	-	-	7	41.2	7	100.0
Winnemucca Colony, NV .....	48	18	37.5	9	56.3	9	56.3	-	-	4	33.3	2	66.7
Humboldt County (part) .....	48	18	37.5	9	56.3	9	56.3	-	-	4	33.3	2	66.7
Yerington Colony, NV .....	129	70	54.3	33	52.4	20	42.6	5	50.0	23	62.2	15	78.9
Lyon County (part) .....	129	70	54.3	33	52.4	20	42.6	5	50.0	23	62.2	15	78.9
Yomba Reservation, NV .....	83	15	18.1	7	17.5	3	11.1	2	50.0	3	16.7	3	33.3
Nye County (part) .....	83	15	18.1	7	17.5	3	11.1	2	50.0	3	16.7	3	33.3

**Table 41. Units in Structure: 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Total housing units	Units in structure								
		1 unit, detached	1 unit, attached	2 to 4 units	5 to 9 units	10 to 19 units	20 to 49 units	50 or more units	Mobile home	Boat, RV, van, etc.
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL</b>										
All areas .....	3 390	2 677	65	98	9	18	3	-	508	12
Battle Mountain Reservation, NV .....	71	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	-
Lander County (part) .....	71	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	-
Campbell Ranch, NV .....	75	67	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	-
Lyon County (part) .....	75	67	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	-
Carson Colony, NV .....	106	65	-	-	-	-	2	-	39	-
Carson City (part) .....	106	65	-	-	-	-	2	-	39	-
Dresslerville Colony, NV .....	114	98	8	-	-	-	-	-	6	2
Douglas County (part) .....	114	98	8	-	-	-	-	-	6	2
Duck Valley Reservation, NV--ID (part) .....	386	308	26	16	3	2	-	-	31	-
Elko County (part) .....	386	308	26	16	3	2	-	-	31	-
Duckwater Reservation, NV .....	59	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	-
Nye County (part) .....	59	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	-
Elko Colony, NV .....	261	222	16	1	-	-	-	-	22	-
Elko County (part) .....	261	222	16	1	-	-	-	-	22	-
Ely Reservation, NV .....	66	63	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-
White Pine County (part) .....	66	63	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Colony, NV .....	44	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-
Churchill County (part) .....	44	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation and Off- Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	270	230	-	-	-	-	-	-	40	-
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation .....	270	230	-	-	-	-	-	-	40	-
Churchill County (part) .....	270	230	-	-	-	-	-	-	40	-
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Churchill County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fort McDermitt Reservation, NV--OR (part) .....	112	86	-	-	-	2	-	-	24	-
Humboldt County (part) .....	112	86	-	-	-	2	-	-	24	-
Fort Mojave Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--CA--NV (part) .....	32	31	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fort Mojave Reservation (part) .....	32	31	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Clark County (part) .....	32	31	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Goshute Reservation, NV--UT (part) .....	8	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White Pine County (part) .....	8	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Las Vegas Colony, NV .....	39	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	26	-
Clark County (part) .....	39	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	26	-
Lovelock Colony, NV .....	39	34	2	-	-	-	-	-	3	-
Pershing County (part) .....	39	34	2	-	-	-	-	-	3	-
Moapa River Reservation, NV .....	92	80	-	1	-	-	-	-	11	-
Clark County (part) .....	92	80	-	1	-	-	-	-	11	-
Pyramid Lake Reservation, NV .....	679	435	5	46	-	3	1	-	179	10
Lyon County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Storey County (part) .....	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	7
Washoe County (part) .....	668	435	5	46	-	3	1	-	175	3
Reno-Sparks Colony, NV .....	295	252	6	18	-	11	-	-	8	-
Washoe County (part) .....	295	252	6	18	-	11	-	-	8	-
South Fork Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	67	61	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-
South Fork Reservation .....	44	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-
Elko County (part) .....	44	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-
South Fork Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	23	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-
Elko County (part) .....	23	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-
Stewart Community, NV .....	67	67	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Douglas County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Carson City (part) .....	67	67	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Summit Lake Reservation, NV .....	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	-
Humboldt County (part) .....	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	-
Walker River Reservation, NV .....	348	306	2	2	4	-	-	-	34	-
Churchill County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lyon County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mineral County (part) .....	348	306	2	2	4	-	-	-	34	-
Wells Colony, NV .....	37	34	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-
Elko County (part) .....	37	34	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-
Winnemucca Colony, NV .....	24	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	-
Humboldt County (part) .....	24	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	-
Yerington Colony, NV .....	57	40	-	13	-	-	-	-	4	-
Lyon County (part) .....	57	40	-	13	-	-	-	-	4	-
Yomba Reservation, NV .....	34	19	-	-	2	-	-	-	13	-
Nye County (part) .....	34	19	-	-	2	-	-	-	13	-

**Table 42. Physical Housing Characteristics: 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Total housing units	Year structure built			Rooms			No bedrooms or 1 bedroom	Lacking complete plumbing facilities	Lacking complete kitchen facilities
		1995 to March 2000	1939 or earlier	Median	1 or 2	6 or more	Median			
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL</b>										
All areas .....	3 390	298	84	1 982	94	1 242	5.1	296	114	100
Battle Mountain Reservation, NV .....	71	2	-	1 977	3	26	4.9	9	-	-
Lander County (part) .....	71	2	-	1 977	3	26	4.9	9	-	-
Campbell Ranch, NV .....	75	6	-	1 983	-	48	5.8	-	-	-
Lyon County (part) .....	75	6	-	1 983	-	48	5.8	-	-	-
Carson Colony, NV .....	106	15	-	1 978	2	53	5.5	2	15	3
Carson City (part) .....	106	15	-	1 978	2	53	5.5	2	15	3
Dresslerville Colony, NV .....	114	6	5	1 985	6	32	4.8	13	-	-
Douglas County (part) .....	114	6	5	1 985	6	32	4.8	13	-	-
Duck Valley Reservation, NV--ID (part) .....	386	43	20	1 982	21	123	4.9	45	7	9
Elko County (part) .....	386	43	20	1 982	21	123	4.9	45	7	9
Duckwater Reservation, NV .....	59	-	3	1 975	-	3	4.0	3	-	-
Nye County (part) .....	59	-	3	1 975	-	3	4.0	3	-	-
Elko Colony, NV .....	261	34	12	1 984	17	139	5.6	30	2	-
Elko County (part) .....	261	34	12	1 984	17	139	5.6	30	2	-
Ely Reservation, NV .....	66	9	2	1 983	-	26	5.3	2	4	-
White Pine County (part) .....	66	9	2	1 983	-	26	5.3	2	4	-
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Colony, NV .....	44	-	4	1 981	-	24	5.7	-	2	2
Churchill County (part) .....	44	-	4	1 981	-	24	5.7	-	2	2
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation and Off- Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	270	18	5	1 983	-	75	5.1	9	25	25
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation .....	270	18	5	1 983	-	75	5.1	9	25	25
Churchill County (part) .....	270	18	5	1 983	-	75	5.1	9	25	25
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Churchill County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fort McDermitt Reservation, NV--OR (part) .....	112	5	3	1 974	5	23	4.1	26	13	14
Humboldt County (part) .....	112	5	3	1 974	5	23	4.1	26	13	14
Fort Mojave Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--CA--NV (part) .....	32	31	-	1 999	1	5	4.9	9	-	-
Fort Mojave Reservation (part) .....	32	31	-	1 999	1	5	4.9	9	-	-
Clark County (part) .....	32	31	-	1 999	1	5	4.9	9	-	-
Goshute Reservation, NV--UT (part) .....	8	-	3	1 973	-	3	5.0	-	-	-
White Pine County (part) .....	8	-	3	1 973	-	3	5.0	-	-	-
Las Vegas Colony, NV .....	39	3	-	1 988	-	12	5.0	-	2	2
Clark County (part) .....	39	3	-	1 988	-	12	5.0	-	2	2
Lovelock Colony, NV .....	39	-	2	1 974	2	2	3.5	27	5	5
Pershing County (part) .....	39	-	2	1 974	2	2	3.5	27	5	5
Moapa River Reservation, NV .....	92	-	-	1 977	4	8	4.8	7	-	-
Clark County (part) .....	92	-	-	1 977	4	8	4.8	7	-	-
Pyramid Lake Reservation, NV .....	679	50	13	1 979	18	288	5.3	52	-	-
Lyon County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Storey County (part) .....	11	2	-	1 992	2	-	2.9	11	-	-
Washoe County (part) .....	668	48	13	1 979	16	288	5.3	41	-	-
Reno-Sparks Colony, NV .....	295	28	-	1 988	-	100	5.1	34	-	-
Washoe County (part) .....	295	28	-	1 988	-	100	5.1	34	-	-
South Fork Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	67	11	3	1 984	1	23	5.1	1	12	9
South Fork Reservation .....	44	1	-	1 984	1	16	5.2	1	3	3
Elko County (part) .....	44	1	-	1 984	1	16	5.2	1	3	3
South Fork Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	23	10	3	1 993	-	7	4.9	-	9	6
Elko County (part) .....	23	10	3	1 993	-	7	4.9	-	9	6
Stewart Community, NV .....	67	6	-	1 986	-	38	5.7	-	-	-
Douglas County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Carson City (part) .....	67	6	-	1 986	-	38	5.7	-	-	-
Summit Lake Reservation, NV .....	8	-	-	1 970	4	-	2.5	4	8	8
Humboldt County (part) .....	8	-	-	1 970	4	-	2.5	4	8	8
Walker River Reservation, NV .....	348	16	3	1 983	4	146	5.3	10	19	23
Churchill County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lyon County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mineral County (part) .....	348	16	3	1 983	4	146	5.3	10	19	23
Wells Colony, NV .....	37	3	-	1 985	-	13	5.0	-	-	-
Elko County (part) .....	37	3	-	1 985	-	13	5.0	-	-	-
Winnemucca Colony, NV .....	24	-	-	1 970	2	13	5.6	2	-	-
Humboldt County (part) .....	24	-	-	1 970	2	13	5.6	2	-	-
Yerington Colony, NV .....	57	7	6	1 982	-	14	4.8	2	-	-
Lyon County (part) .....	57	7	6	1 982	-	14	4.8	2	-	-
Yomba Reservation, NV .....	34	5	-	1 986	4	5	4.2	9	-	-
Nye County (part) .....	34	5	-	1 986	4	5	4.2	9	-	-

**Table 43. Selected Equipment Characteristics of Housing Units With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Occupied housing units	Vehicles available		Percent no telephone service available	American Indian Area County	Occupied housing units	Vehicles available		Percent no telephone service available
		None	1 or more				None	1 or more	
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL</b>					<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL—Con.</b>				
All areas .....	2 603	249	2 354	10.7	Las Vegas Colony, NV .....	31	4	27	12.9
Battle Mountain Reservation, NV .....	53	5	48	5.7	Clark County (part) .....	31	4	27	12.9
Lander County (part) .....	53	5	48	5.7	Lovelock Colony, NV .....	32	7	25	21.9
Campbell Ranch, NV .....	65	3	62	-	Pershing County (part) .....	32	7	25	21.9
Lyon County (part) .....	65	3	62	-	Moapa River Reservation, NV .....	59	24	35	22.0
Carson Colony, NV .....	78	9	69	11.5	Clark County (part) .....	59	24	35	22.0
Carson City (part) .....	78	9	69	11.5	Pyramid Lake Reservation, NV .....	418	20	398	4.1
Dresslerville Colony, NV .....	109	6	103	9.2	Lyon County (part) .....	-	-	-	(X)
Douglas County (part) .....	109	6	103	9.2	Storey County (part) .....	-	-	-	(X)
Duck Valley Reservation, NV--ID (part) .....	299	30	269	15.7	Washoe County (part) .....	418	20	398	4.1
Elko County (part) .....	299	30	269	15.7	Reno-Sparks Colony, NV .....	264	42	222	6.1
Duckwater Reservation, NV .....	45	6	39	22.2	Washoe County (part) .....	264	42	222	6.1
Nye County (part) .....	45	6	39	22.2	South Fork Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	52	6	46	13.5
Elko Colony, NV .....	250	19	231	8.8	South Fork Reservation .....	36	6	30	19.4
Elko County (part) .....	250	19	231	8.8	Elko County (part) .....	36	6	30	19.4
Ely Reservation, NV .....	48	2	46	-	South Fork Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	16	-	16	-
White Pine County (part) .....	48	2	46	-	Elko County (part) .....	16	-	16	-
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Colony, NV .....	38	3	35	18.4	Stewart Community, NV .....	67	-	67	-
Churchill County (part) .....	38	3	35	18.4	Douglas County (part) .....	-	-	-	(X)
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation and Off- Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	208	4	204	6.3	Carson City (part) .....	67	-	67	-
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation .....	208	4	204	6.3	Summit Lake Reservation, NV .....	8	-	8	100.0
Churchill County (part) .....	208	4	204	6.3	Humboldt County (part) .....	8	-	8	100.0
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	-	-	-	(X)	Walker River Reservation, NV .....	261	27	234	13.8
Churchill County (part) .....	-	-	-	(X)	Churchill County (part) .....	-	-	-	(X)
Fort McDermitt Reservation, NV--OR (part) .....	99	19	80	24.2	Lyon County (part) .....	-	-	-	(X)
Humboldt County (part) .....	99	19	80	24.2	Mineral County (part) .....	261	27	234	13.8
Fort Mojave Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--CA--NV (part) .....	-	-	-	(X)	Wells Colony, NV .....	23	-	23	13.0
Fort Mojave Reservation (part) .....	-	-	-	(X)	Elko County (part) .....	23	-	23	13.0
Clark County (part) .....	-	-	-	(X)	Winnemucca Colony, NV .....	15	3	12	20.0
Goshute Reservation, NV--UT (part) .....	6	-	6	100.0	Humboldt County (part) .....	15	3	12	20.0
White Pine County (part) .....	6	-	6	100.0	Yerington Colony, NV .....	50	6	44	16.0
					Lyon County (part) .....	50	6	44	16.0
					Yomba Reservation, NV .....	25	4	21	20.0
					Nye County (part) .....	25	4	21	20.0

**Table 44. Year Householder Moved into Unit for Housing Units With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Occupied housing units	Year householder moved into unit		American Indian Area County	Occupied housing units	Year householder moved into unit	
		1999 to March 2000	1969 or earlier			1999 to March 2000	1969 or earlier
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL</b>				<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL—Con.</b>			
All areas .....	2 603	269	140	Las Vegas Colony, NV .....	31	-	-
Battle Mountain Reservation, NV .....	53	5	5	Clark County (part) .....	31	-	-
Lander County (part) .....	53	5	5	Lovelock Colony, NV .....	32	2	7
Campbell Ranch, NV .....	65	5	2	Pershing County (part) .....	32	2	7
Lyon County (part) .....	65	5	2	Moapa River Reservation, NV .....	59	8	3
Carson Colony, NV .....	78	12	6	Clark County (part) .....	59	8	3
Carson City (part) .....	78	12	6	Pyramid Lake Reservation, NV .....	418	46	9
Dresslerville Colony, NV .....	109	18	6	Lyon County (part) .....	-	-	-
Douglas County (part) .....	109	18	6	Storey County (part) .....	-	-	-
Duck Valley Reservation, NV—ID (part) .....	299	40	32	Washoe County (part) .....	418	46	9
Elko County (part) .....	299	40	32	Reno-Sparks Colony, NV .....	264	29	20
Duckwater Reservation, NV .....	45	8	1	Washoe County (part) .....	264	29	20
Nye County (part) .....	45	8	1	South Fork Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	52	2	5
Elko Colony, NV .....	250	38	2	South Fork Reservation .....	36	2	3
Elko County (part) .....	250	38	2	Elko County (part) .....	36	2	3
Ely Reservation, NV .....	48	10	3	South Fork Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	16	-	2
White Pine County (part) .....	48	10	3	Elko County (part) .....	16	-	2
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Colony, NV .....	38	9	2	Stewart Community, NV .....	67	-	-
Churchill County (part) .....	38	9	2	Douglas County (part) .....	-	-	-
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation and Off- Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	208	10	2	Carson City (part) .....	67	-	-
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation .....	208	10	2	Summit Lake Reservation, NV .....	8	-	-
Churchill County (part) .....	208	10	2	Humboldt County (part) .....	8	-	-
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	-	-	-	Walker River Reservation, NV .....	261	8	21
Churchill County (part) .....	-	-	-	Churchill County (part) .....	-	-	-
Fort McDermitt Reservation, NV--OR (part) .....	99	12	10	Lyon County (part) .....	-	-	-
Humboldt County (part) .....	99	12	10	Mineral County (part) .....	261	8	21
Fort Mojave Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--CA--NV (part) .....	-	-	-	Wells Colony, NV .....	23	-	-
Fort Mojave Reservation (part) .....	-	-	-	Elko County (part) .....	23	-	-
Clark County (part) .....	-	-	-	Winnemucca Colony, NV .....	15	-	-
Goshute Reservation, NV--UT (part) .....	6	3	-	Humboldt County (part) .....	15	-	-
White Pine County (part) .....	6	3	-	Yerington Colony, NV .....	50	2	4
				Lyon County (part) .....	50	2	4
				Yomba Reservation, NV .....	25	2	-
				Nye County (part) .....	25	2	-

**Table 45. Home Value of Housing Units With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Specified owner- occupied housing units	Value of home							
		Less than \$50,000	\$50,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 to \$299,999	\$300,000 to \$499,999	\$500,000 or more	Median (dollars)
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL</b>									
All areas .....	1 498	474	704	201	65	38	14	2	67 300
Battle Mountain Reservation, NV .....	27	19	6	-	-	-	-	2	18 800
Lander County (part) .....	27	19	6	-	-	-	-	2	18 800
Campbell Ranch, NV .....	50	10	34	6	-	-	-	-	71 100
Lyon County (part) .....	50	10	34	6	-	-	-	-	71 100
Carson Colony, NV .....	43	6	26	1	4	6	-	-	71 000
Carson City (part) .....	43	6	26	1	4	6	-	-	71 000
Dresslerville Colony, NV .....	62	16	30	10	6	-	-	-	72 200
Douglas County (part) .....	62	16	30	10	6	-	-	-	72 200
Duck Valley Reservation, NV--ID (part) .....	131	66	49	4	6	4	2	-	49 200
Elko County (part) .....	131	66	49	4	6	4	2	-	49 200
Duckwater Reservation, NV .....	16	10	3	3	-	-	-	-	43 300
Nye County (part) .....	16	10	3	3	-	-	-	-	43 300
Elko Colony, NV .....	140	37	84	14	2	3	-	-	69 200
Elko County (part) .....	140	37	84	14	2	3	-	-	69 200
Ely Reservation, NV .....	36	13	21	2	-	-	-	-	57 100
White Pine County (part) .....	36	13	21	2	-	-	-	-	57 100
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Colony, NV .....	12	-	9	3	-	-	-	-	85 000
Churchill County (part) .....	12	-	9	3	-	-	-	-	85 000
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation and Off- Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	144	35	57	48	4	-	-	-	74 000
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation .....	144	35	57	48	4	-	-	-	74 000
Churchill County (part) .....	144	35	57	48	4	-	-	-	74 000
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Churchill County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fort McDermitt Reservation, NV--OR (part) .....	59	45	8	3	-	3	-	-	18 500
Humboldt County (part) .....	59	45	8	3	-	3	-	-	18 500
Fort Mojave Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--CA--NV (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fort Mojave Reservation (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Clark County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Goshute Reservation, NV--UT (part) .....	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	37 500
White Pine County (part) .....	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	37 500
Las Vegas Colony, NV .....	10	7	3	-	-	-	-	-	46 000
Clark County (part) .....	10	7	3	-	-	-	-	-	46 000
Lovelock Colony, NV .....	28	15	10	3	-	-	-	-	25 000
Pershing County (part) .....	28	15	10	3	-	-	-	-	25 000
Moapa River Reservation, NV .....	32	18	9	-	-	5	-	-	40 000
Clark County (part) .....	32	18	9	-	-	5	-	-	40 000
Pyramid Lake Reservation, NV .....	219	40	99	31	30	10	9	-	82 700
Lyon County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Storey County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Washoe County (part) .....	219	40	99	31	30	10	9	-	82 700
Reno-Sparks Colony, NV .....	191	30	110	43	5	-	3	-	79 800
Washoe County (part) .....	191	30	110	43	5	-	3	-	79 800
South Fork Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	48	18	27	-	3	-	-	-	62 300
South Fork Reservation .....	35	10	25	-	-	-	-	-	63 500
Elko County (part) .....	35	10	25	-	-	-	-	-	63 500
South Fork Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	13	8	2	-	3	-	-	-	32 500
Elko County (part) .....	13	8	2	-	3	-	-	-	32 500
Stewart Community, NV .....	47	4	12	22	4	5	-	-	127 300
Douglas County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Carson City (part) .....	47	4	12	22	4	5	-	-	127 300
Summit Lake Reservation, NV .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Walker River Reservation, NV .....	166	80	79	4	1	2	-	-	52 700
Churchill County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lyon County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mineral County (part) .....	166	80	79	4	1	2	-	-	52 700
Wells Colony, NV .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Elko County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Winnemucca Colony, NV .....	2	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	95 000
Humboldt County (part) .....	2	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	95 000

Table 45. **Home Value of Housing Units With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Specified owner- occupied housing units	Value of home								Median (dollars)
		Less than \$50,000	\$50,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 to \$299,999	\$300,000 to \$499,999	\$500,000 or more		
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL—Con.</b>										
Yerington Colony, NV .....	32	2	26	4	-	-	-	-	-	71 100
Lyon County (part) .....	32	2	26	4	-	-	-	-	-	71 100
Yomba Reservation, NV .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nye County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

**Table 46. Selected Monthly Owner Costs of Housing Units With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Specified owner- occupied housing units	Selected monthly owner costs							
		Less than \$200	\$200 to \$299	\$300 to \$399	\$400 to \$499	\$500 to \$599	\$600 to \$799	\$800 to \$999	\$1,000 or more
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL</b>									
All areas .....	1 498	497	468	255	99	44	70	21	44
Battle Mountain Reservation, NV .....	27	16	7	-	-	2	2	-	-
Lander County (part) .....	27	16	7	-	-	2	2	-	-
Campbell Ranch, NV .....	50	8	26	10	4	2	-	-	-
Lyon County (part) .....	50	8	26	10	4	2	-	-	-
Carson Colony, NV .....	43	13	21	6	3	-	-	-	-
Carson City (part) .....	43	13	21	6	3	-	-	-	-
Dresslerville Colony, NV .....	62	24	11	6	5	6	4	-	6
Douglas County (part) .....	62	24	11	6	5	6	4	-	6
Duck Valley Reservation, NV--ID (part) .....	131	82	20	19	8	2	-	-	-
Elko County (part) .....	131	82	20	19	8	2	-	-	-
Duckwater Reservation, NV .....	16	5	3	6	-	-	2	-	-
Nye County (part) .....	16	5	3	6	-	-	2	-	-
Elko Colony, NV .....	140	22	41	50	12	2	8	5	-
Elko County (part) .....	140	22	41	50	12	2	8	5	-
Ely Reservation, NV .....	36	11	19	2	4	-	-	-	-
White Pine County (part) .....	36	11	19	2	4	-	-	-	-
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Colony, NV .....	12	-	5	3	-	-	4	-	-
Churchill County (part) .....	12	-	5	3	-	-	4	-	-
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	144	59	40	27	5	3	5	-	5
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation .....	144	59	40	27	5	3	5	-	5
Churchill County (part) .....	144	59	40	27	5	3	5	-	5
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Churchill County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fort McDermitt Reservation, NV--OR (part) .....	59	44	9	3	3	-	-	-	-
Humboldt County (part) .....	59	44	9	3	3	-	-	-	-
Fort Mojave Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--CA--NV (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fort Mojave Reservation (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Clark County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Goshute Reservation, NV--UT (part) .....	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
White Pine County (part) .....	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Las Vegas Colony, NV .....	10	-	2	3	-	-	5	-	-
Clark County (part) .....	10	-	2	3	-	-	5	-	-
Lovelock Colony, NV .....	28	8	10	7	3	-	-	-	-
Pershing County (part) .....	28	8	10	7	3	-	-	-	-
Moapa River Reservation, NV .....	32	11	13	5	3	-	-	-	-
Clark County (part) .....	32	11	13	5	3	-	-	-	-
Pyramid Lake Reservation, NV .....	219	58	72	50	6	6	6	8	13
Lyon County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Storey County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Washoe County (part) .....	219	58	72	50	6	6	6	8	13
Reno-Sparks Colony, NV .....	191	20	64	35	29	7	22	3	11
Washoe County (part) .....	191	20	64	35	29	7	22	3	11
South Fork Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	48	23	14	5	2	1	3	-	-
South Fork Reservation .....	35	13	14	2	2	1	3	-	-
Elko County (part) .....	35	13	14	2	2	1	3	-	-
South Fork Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	13	10	-	3	-	-	-	-	-
Elko County (part) .....	13	10	-	3	-	-	-	-	-
Stewart Community, NV .....	47	-	6	2	7	11	9	5	7
Douglas County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Carson City (part) .....	47	-	6	2	7	11	9	5	7
Summit Lake Reservation, NV .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Walker River Reservation, NV .....	166	76	67	16	5	2	-	-	-
Churchill County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lyon County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mineral County (part) .....	166	76	67	16	5	2	-	-	-
Wells Colony, NV .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Elko County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Winnemucca Colony, NV .....	2	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt County (part) .....	2	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-



Table 46. **Selected Monthly Owner Costs of Housing Units With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Specified owner- occupied housing units	Selected monthly owner costs							
		Less than \$200	\$200 to \$299	\$300 to \$399	\$400 to \$499	\$500 to \$599	\$600 to \$799	\$800 to \$999	\$1,000 or more
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL—Con.</b>									
Yerington Colony, NV .....	32	17	13	-	-	-	-	-	2
Lyon County (part) .....	32	17	13	-	-	-	-	-	2
Yomba Reservation, NV .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nye County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

**Table 47. Gross Rent of Housing Units With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Specified renter-occupied housing units paying cash rent	Gross rent								Median (dollars)
		Less than \$250	\$250 to \$499	\$500 to \$749	\$750 to \$999	\$1,000 to \$1,499	\$1,500 to \$1,999	\$2,000 or more		
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL</b>										
All areas .....	576	219	248	85	13	11	-	-	-	300
Battle Mountain Reservation, NV .....	5	-	2	2	1	-	-	-	-	613
Lander County (part) .....	5	-	2	2	1	-	-	-	-	613
Campbell Ranch, NV .....	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	125
Lyon County (part) .....	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	125
Carson Colony, NV .....	5	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	275
Carson City (part) .....	5	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	275
Dresslerville Colony, NV .....	31	8	14	-	-	9	-	-	-	288
Douglas County (part) .....	31	8	14	-	-	9	-	-	-	288
Duck Valley Reservation, NV--ID (part) .....	65	29	29	5	2	-	-	-	-	269
Elko County (part) .....	65	29	29	5	2	-	-	-	-	269
Duckwater Reservation, NV .....	15	9	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	188
Nye County (part) .....	15	9	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	188
Elko Colony, NV .....	74	27	26	19	2	-	-	-	-	375
Elko County (part) .....	74	27	26	19	2	-	-	-	-	375
Ely Reservation, NV .....	10	-	2	8	-	-	-	-	-	613
White Pine County (part) .....	10	-	2	8	-	-	-	-	-	613
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Colony, NV .....	23	12	9	2	-	-	-	-	-	238
Churchill County (part) .....	23	12	9	2	-	-	-	-	-	238
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	25	10	12	3	-	-	-	-	-	271
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation .....	25	10	12	3	-	-	-	-	-	271
Churchill County (part) .....	25	10	12	3	-	-	-	-	-	271
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Churchill County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fort McDermitt Reservation, NV--OR (part) .....	6	4	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	225
Humboldt County (part) .....	6	4	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	225
Fort Mojave Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--CA--NV (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fort Mojave Reservation (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Clark County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Goshute Reservation, NV--UT (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White Pine County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Las Vegas Colony, NV .....	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	525
Clark County (part) .....	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	525
Lovelock Colony, NV .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pershing County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Moapa River Reservation, NV .....	15	9	4	2	-	-	-	-	-	231
Clark County (part) .....	15	9	4	2	-	-	-	-	-	231
Pyramid Lake Reservation, NV .....	131	29	74	22	4	2	-	-	-	378
Lyon County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Storey County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Washoe County (part) .....	131	29	74	22	4	2	-	-	-	378
Reno-Sparks Colony, NV .....	67	20	44	2	1	-	-	-	-	315
Washoe County (part) .....	67	20	44	2	1	-	-	-	-	315
South Fork Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Fork Reservation .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Elko County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Fork Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Elko County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stewart Community, NV .....	17	4	3	10	-	-	-	-	-	525
Douglas County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Carson City (part) .....	17	4	3	10	-	-	-	-	-	525
Summit Lake Reservation, NV .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Walker River Reservation, NV .....	34	25	6	3	-	-	-	-	-	185
Churchill County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lyon County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mineral County (part) .....	34	25	6	3	-	-	-	-	-	185
Wells Colony, NV .....	17	9	3	2	3	-	-	-	-	238
Elko County (part) .....	17	9	3	2	3	-	-	-	-	238
Winnemucca Colony, NV .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 47. **Gross Rent of Housing Units With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Specified renter-occupied housing units paying cash rent	Gross rent								Median (dollars)
		Less than \$250	\$250 to \$499	\$500 to \$749	\$750 to \$999	\$1,000 to \$1,499	\$1,500 to \$1,999	\$2,000 or more		
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL—Con.</b>										
Yerington Colony, NV .....	16	13	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	138
Lyon County (part) .....	16	13	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	138
Yomba Reservation, NV .....	15	8	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	238
Nye County (part) .....	15	8	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	238

**Table 48. Selected Mortgage and Rental Cost Characteristics of Housing Units With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Specified owner-occupied housing units							Specified renter-occupied housing units paying cash rent	
	Total	With a mortgage			Not mortgaged			Total	Median gross rent as a percentage of household income in 1999
		Total	Median selected monthly owner costs (dollars)	Median selected monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in 1999	Total	Median selected monthly owner costs (dollars)	Median selected monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in 1999		
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL</b>									
All areas .....	1 498	556	372	16.5	942	204	10.0-	576	17.0
Battle Mountain Reservation, NV .....	27	2	750	22.5	25	171	10.0-	5	33.8
Lander County (part) .....	27	2	750	22.5	25	171	10.0-	5	33.8
Campbell Ranch, NV .....	50	17	358	19.4	33	221	10.0-	3	-
Lyon County (part) .....	50	17	358	19.4	33	221	10.0-	3	-
Carson Colony, NV .....	43	-	-	-	43	263	10.0-	5	15.8
Carson City (part) .....	43	-	-	-	43	263	10.0-	5	15.8
Dresslerville Colony, NV .....	62	23	575	28.5	39	178	10.0-	31	50.0+
Douglas County (part) .....	62	23	575	28.5	39	178	10.0-	31	50.0+
Duck Valley Reservation, NV--ID (part) .....	131	29	317	15.3	102	146	10.0-	65	12.5
Elko County (part) .....	131	29	317	15.3	102	146	10.0-	65	12.5
Duckwater Reservation, NV .....	16	5	383	10.0-	11	208	10.0-	15	14.5
Nye County (part) .....	16	5	383	10.0-	11	208	10.0-	15	14.5
Elko Colony, NV .....	140	93	356	16.8	47	228	10.0-	74	19.5
Elko County (part) .....	140	93	356	16.8	47	228	10.0-	74	19.5
Ely Reservation, NV .....	36	4	450	22.5	32	225	10.0-	10	21.3
White Pine County (part) .....	36	4	450	22.5	32	225	10.0-	10	21.3
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Colony, NV .....	12	9	290	19.2	3	325	17.5	23	14.4
Churchill County (part) .....	12	9	290	19.2	3	325	17.5	23	14.4
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	144	69	328	13.4	75	181	10.0-	25	15.7
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation .....	144	69	328	13.4	75	181	10.0-	25	15.7
Churchill County (part) .....	144	69	328	13.4	75	181	10.0-	25	15.7
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Churchill County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fort McDermitt Reservation, NV--OR (part) .....	59	-	-	-	59	152	10.4	6	17.5
Humboldt County (part) .....	59	-	-	-	59	152	10.4	6	17.5
Fort Mojave Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--CA--NV (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fort Mojave Reservation (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Clark County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Goshute Reservation, NV--UT (part) .....	3	3	250	12.5	-	-	-	-	-
White Pine County (part) .....	3	3	250	12.5	-	-	-	-	-
Las Vegas Colony, NV .....	10	8	720	28.0	2	225	50.0+	2	32.5
Clark County (part) .....	10	8	720	28.0	2	225	50.0+	2	32.5
Lovelock Colony, NV .....	28	-	-	-	28	243	17.5	-	-
Pershing County (part) .....	28	-	-	-	28	243	17.5	-	-
Moapa River Reservation, NV .....	32	6	333	25.0	26	211	10.0-	15	19.4
Clark County (part) .....	32	6	333	25.0	26	211	10.0-	15	19.4
Pyramid Lake Reservation, NV .....	219	56	550	15.3	163	229	10.0-	131	16.5
Lyon County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Storey County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Washoe County (part) .....	219	56	550	15.3	163	229	10.0-	131	16.5
Reno-Sparks Colony, NV .....	191	81	498	17.8	110	249	10.0-	67	19.9
Washoe County (part) .....	191	81	498	17.8	110	249	10.0-	67	19.9
South Fork Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	48	24	250	17.5	24	181	10.5	-	-
South Fork Reservation .....	35	24	250	17.5	11	208	10.0-	-	-
Elko County (part) .....	35	24	250	17.5	11	208	10.0-	-	-
South Fork Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	13	-	-	-	13	178	10.9	-	-
Elko County (part) .....	13	-	-	-	13	178	10.9	-	-
Stewart Community, NV .....	47	47	577	18.9	-	-	-	17	26.1
Douglas County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Carson City (part) .....	47	47	577	18.9	-	-	-	17	26.1
Summit Lake Reservation, NV .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Walker River Reservation, NV .....	166	76	243	10.0	90	177	10.0-	34	13.1
Churchill County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lyon County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mineral County (part) .....	166	76	243	10.0	90	177	10.0-	34	13.1

Table 48. **Selected Mortgage and Rental Cost Characteristics of Housing Units With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Specified owner-occupied housing units						Specified renter-occupied housing units paying cash rent		
	Total	With a mortgage			Not mortgaged			Total	Median gross rent as a percentage of household income in 1999
		Total	Median selected monthly owner costs (dollars)	Median selected monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in 1999	Total	Median selected monthly owner costs (dollars)	Median selected monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in 1999		
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL—Con.</b>									
Wells Colony, NV .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	14.5
Elko County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	14.5
Winnemucca Colony, NV .....	2	-	-	-	2	275	10.0-	-	-
Humboldt County (part) .....	2	-	-	-	2	275	10.0-	-	-
Yerington Colony, NV .....	32	4	650	50.0+	28	191	10.0-	16	12.5
Lyon County (part) .....	32	4	650	50.0+	28	191	10.0-	16	12.5
Yomba Reservation, NV .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	21.3
Nye County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	21.3

**Table 49. Place of Birth, Residence in 1995, and Language for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Population (One Race): 2000**

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

**Table 50. Education and Veteran Status for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Population (One Race): 2000**

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

**Table 51. Disability Status for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Population (One Race): 2000**

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

**Table 52. Employment Status and Journey to Work for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Population (One Race): 2000**

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

**Table 53. Work Status and Income in 1999 for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Population (One Race): 2000**

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

**Table 54. Earnings in 1999 of Full-Time, Year-Round Workers, by Sex for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Population (One Race): 2000**

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

**Table 55. Income in 1999 of Households With a Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Householder (One Race): 2000**

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

**Table 56. Poverty Status in 1999 for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Population (One Race): 2000**

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

**Table 57. Units in Structure: 2000**

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

**Table 58. Physical Housing Characteristics: 2000**

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

**Table 59. Selected Equipment Characteristics of Housing Units With a Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Householder (One Race): 2000**

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

**Table 60. Year Householder Moved into Unit for Housing Units With a Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Householder (One Race): 2000**

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

**Table 61. Home Value of Housing Units With a Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Householder (One Race): 2000**

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

**Table 62. Selected Monthly Owner Costs of Housing Units With a Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Householder (One Race): 2000**

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

**Table 63. Gross Rent of Housing Units With a Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Householder (One Race): 2000**

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

**Table 64. Selected Mortgage and Rental Cost Characteristics of Housing Units With a Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Householder (One Race): 2000**

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

**Table 65. Percent of Population and Housing Units in Sample: 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Population		Housing units		State County County Subdivision Place	Population		Housing units	
	100-percent count	Percent in sample	100-percent count	Percent in sample		100-percent count	Percent in sample	100-percent count	Percent in sample
<b>The State</b> .....	<b>1 998 257</b>	<b>12.0</b>	<b>827 457</b>	<b>12.1</b>	Lincoln County .....	4 165	21.3	2 178	20.8
Churchill County .....	23 982	13.1	9 732	12.9	Alamo CCD .....	1 096	12.9	542	12.5
Carson Sink CCD .....	35	20.0	14	14.3	Caliente CCD .....	1 204	35.0	546	37.4
Dixie Valley CCD .....	135	16.3	114	14.0	Caliente city (part) .....	1 123	35.9	479	37.4
Fallon CCD .....	23 812	13.1	9 604	12.9	Pioche CCD .....	1 865	17.3	1 090	16.6
Fallon city .....	7 536	11.3	3 336	11.4	Caliente city (part) .....	-	(X)	-	(X)
Fallon Station CDP .....	1 265	13.7	360	13.6	Lyon County .....	34 501	12.3	14 279	12.0
Clark County .....	1 375 765	11.5	559 799	11.6	Dayton CCD .....	9 682	11.7	3 794	11.7
Clark CCD .....	49 281	11.1	22 241	11.0	Dayton CDP .....	5 907	11.8	2 322	11.9
Blue Diamond CDP .....	282	9.6	125	12.0	Fernley CCD .....	8 596	10.7	3 452	11.0
Boulder City city (part) .....	-	(X)	-	(X)	Fernley CDP .....	8 543	10.8	3 432	11.0
Bunkerville CDP .....	1 014	11.0	277	11.6	Silver Springs CCD .....	6 691	11.8	2 776	11.9
Cal-Nev-Ari CDP .....	278	9.4	199	9.0	Silver Springs CDP .....	4 708	11.9	1 935	12.0
Enterprise CDP (part) .....	1 000	6.6	387	7.2	Smith Valley CCD .....	1 564	16.2	697	13.9
Goodsprings CDP .....	232	8.6	122	13.9	Smith Valley CDP .....	1 425	16.8	609	14.4
Henderson city (part) .....	2 583	11.4	1 290	11.5	Yerington CCD .....	7 968	14.5	3 560	13.1
Indian Springs CDP .....	1 302	12.7	657	10.7	Yerington city .....	2 883	13.4	1 359	12.3
Las Vegas city (part) .....	-	(X)	-	(X)	Mineral County .....	5 071	20.2	2 866	17.3
Laughlin CDP .....	7 076	9.9	4 127	10.1	Hawthorne CCD .....	3 928	15.1	2 239	13.8
Mesquite city .....	9 389	11.9	4 442	11.6	Hawthorne CDP .....	3 311	15.3	1 883	13.9
Moapa Town CDP .....	928	15.4	310	13.9	Schurz CDP (part) .....	-	(X)	-	(X)
Moapa Valley CDP .....	5 784	15.4	2 213	14.5	Mina CCD .....	284	12.7	279	10.0
Mount Charleston CDP .....	285	9.1	362	11.9	Walker River CCD .....	859	45.9	348	45.7
North Las Vegas city (part) .....	-	(X)	-	(X)	Schurz CDP (part) .....	721	48.4	312	46.8
Sandy Valley CDP .....	1 804	10.8	811	9.6	Nye County .....	32 485	10.9	15 934	10.7
Searchlight CDP .....	576	10.1	444	11.7	Amargosa Valley CCD .....	1 176	(X)	541	12.0
Spring Valley CDP (part) .....	-	(X)	-	(X)	Beatty CCD .....	1 173	14.3	752	12.2
Summerlin South CDP (part) .....	505	8.9	283	10.6	Beatty CDP .....	1 154	14.5	740	12.3
Sunrise Manor CDP (part) .....	7 952	9.7	3 138	9.3	Crystal CCD .....	7 135	9.0	3 370	8.8
Las Vegas CCD .....	1 326 484	11.5	537 558	11.6	Pahrump CDP (part) .....	7 030	9.1	3 300	8.9
Boulder City city (part) .....	14 966	14.6	6 979	14.4	Duckwater CCD .....	250	22.0	154	16.2
Enterprise CDP (part) .....	13 676	10.1	6 222	10.2	Gabbs CCD .....	503	38.6	281	40.2
Henderson city (part) .....	172 798	11.6	69 859	11.7	Gabbs city .....	318	45.9	183	47.5
Las Vegas city (part) .....	478 434	11.4	190 724	11.5	Pahrump CCD .....	17 601	9.9	8 351	9.4
Nellis AFB CDP .....	8 896	10.4	3 040	10.2	Pahrump CDP (part) .....	17 601	9.9	8 351	9.4
North Las Vegas city (part) .....	115 488	13.2	36 600	13.1	Ralston CCD .....	39	-	24	4.2
Paradise CDP .....	186 070	11.4	85 398	11.6	Round Mountain CCD .....	1 825	14.6	872	13.1
Spring Valley CDP (part) .....	117 390	11.3	52 870	11.5	Tonopah CCD .....	2 783	12.1	1 589	13.2
Summerlin South CDP (part) .....	3 230	11.0	1 472	10.4	Tonopah CDP .....	2 627	12.3	1 561	13.1
Sunrise Manor CDP (part) .....	148 168	11.1	55 272	11.1	Yucca Flat CCD .....	-	(X)	-	(X)
Whitney CDP .....	18 273	10.5	7 849	10.3	Pershing County .....	6 693	23.6	2 389	27.7
Winchester CDP .....	26 958	11.2	13 535	11.2	Imlay CCD .....	1 912	17.3	885	14.8
Douglas County .....	41 259	12.6	19 006	13.2	Lovelock CCD .....	4 781	26.1	1 504	35.3
Gardnerville-Minden CCD .....	24 974	11.9	9 887	12.0	Lovelock city .....	2 003	45.0	951	44.3
Gardnerville CDP .....	3 357	10.9	1 556	11.6	Storey County .....	3 399	15.2	1 596	14.4
Gardnerville Ranchos CDP .....	11 054	11.9	4 123	12.2	Clark CCD .....	882	16.7	461	15.6
Indian Hills CDP (part) .....	-	(X)	-	(X)	Virginia City CCD .....	2 517	14.6	1 135	13.9
Johnson Lane CDP .....	4 837	11.8	1 829	11.8	Washoe County .....	339 486	12.2	143 908	12.1
Minden CDP .....	2 836	11.0	1 231	11.7	High Desert CCD .....	912	15.0	487	12.1
Genoa-Jacks Valley CCD .....	6 631	12.4	2 752	12.2	Gerlach-Empire CDP .....	499	17.0	297	13.5
Indian Hills CDP (part) .....	4 407	12.4	1 737	12.1	Incline Village CCD .....	9 952	13.8	7 664	14.1
Pine Nut CCD .....	787	9.3	313	8.6	Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP (part) .....	9 952	13.8	7 664	14.1
Topaz Lake CCD .....	2 128	14.8	1 092	15.1	North Valleys CCD .....	34 002	10.5	12 155	10.7
Zephyr Cove CCD .....	6 739	15.1	4 962	16.2	Cold Springs CDP .....	3 834	11.3	1 382	11.1
Kingsbury CDP .....	2 624	15.0	1 925	16.3	Lemmon Valley-Golden Valley CDP .....	6 855	10.9	2 507	11.1
Stateline CDP .....	1 215	15.4	562	16.2	Reno city (part) .....	18 379	10.2	6 365	10.6
Zephyr Cove-Round Hill Village CDP .....	1 649	15.6	1 426	16.2	Pyramid Lake CCD .....	1 714	40.3	668	37.1
Elko County .....	45 291	17.4	18 456	17.6	Nixon CDP .....	418	43.8	144	42.4
Carlin CCD .....	2 347	37.9	1 040	38.7	Sutcliffe CDP .....	281	38.8	113	34.5
Carlin city .....	2 161	40.8	1 014	39.3	Wadsworth CDP .....	881	38.7	360	35.6
Elko CCD .....	32 823	15.0	12 934	15.2	Reno North CCD .....	71 163	11.8	30 938	11.4
Elko city .....	16 708	14.9	6 948	15.3	Reno city (part) .....	67 667	11.9	29 621	11.4
Spring Creek CDP .....	10 548	14.7	3 660	14.6	Sparks city (part) .....	2 153	10.5	828	10.3
Jackpot CCD .....	1 214	14.4	532	13.2	Reno Southeast CCD .....	54 622	12.7	24 178	12.7
Jarbridge CCD .....	112	11.6	191	4.7	Reno city (part) .....	49 489	12.4	22 146	12.4
Montello CCD .....	487	7.8	347	11.8	Reno Southwest CCD .....	53 634	11.8	24 483	11.7
Mountain City CCD .....	1 442	30.5	717	27.8	Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP (part) .....	-	(X)	-	(X)
Owyhee CDP .....	1 017	37.9	371	46.9	Reno city (part) .....	43 073	11.8	20 427	11.7
Wells CCD .....	2 117	31.4	1 046	29.0	Sparks CCD .....	55 794	12.5	21 901	12.2
Wells city .....	1 346	40.2	633	36.7	Sparks city (part) .....	55 186	12.5	21 668	12.3
West Wendover CCD .....	4 749	15.6	1 649	15.8	Sun Valley CCD .....	21 002	10.9	7 464	11.0
West Wendover city .....	4 721	15.6	1 626	15.9	Reno city (part) .....	1 631	10.7	802	9.7
Esmeralda County .....	971	41.5	833	43.0	Sparks city (part) .....	42	14.3	10	20.0
Goldfield CCD .....	440	39.5	434	40.8	Sun Valley CDP (part) .....	19 329	10.9	6 652	11.2
Silverpeak CCD .....	531	43.1	399	45.4	Verdi CCD .....	3 193	14.6	1 306	14.4
Eureka County .....	1 651	41.9	1 025	40.4	Reno city (part) .....	241	16.6	92	16.3
Beowawe CCD .....	548	40.0	404	34.2	Verdi-Mogul CDP .....	2 949	14.5	1 213	14.3
Eureka CCD .....	1 103	42.8	621	44.4	Warm Springs-Truckee Canyon CCD .....	22 054	13.0	8 151	12.7
Humboldt County .....	16 106	13.5	6 954	13.1	Spanish Springs CDP .....	9 018	11.2	3 078	10.8
Golconda CCD .....	9 952	12.5	4 269	11.8	Sparks city (part) .....	8 965	13.4	3 519	13.6
Winnemucca city (part) .....	5 908	12.3	2 634	11.6	Sun Valley CDP (part) .....	132	12.9	51	9.8
McDermitt CCD .....	1 240	20.6	604	21.5	Washoe Valley CCD .....	11 444	12.1	4 513	11.5
McDermitt CDP .....	269	40.1	107	36.4	White Pine County .....	9 181	12.5	4 439	13.5
Summit Lake CCD .....	292	3.8	193	6.2	Baker CCD .....	382	7.1	237	8.0
Winnemucca CCD .....	4 622	14.5	1 888	14.1	Cherry Creek CCD .....	93	1.1	136	7.4
Winnemucca city (part) .....	1 266	16.1	646	14.2	Ely CCD .....	5 535	14.0	2 847	13.9
Lander County .....	5 794	16.1	2 780	14.5	Ely city .....	4 041	14.2	2 205	13.7
Austin CCD .....	468	13.2	372	8.9	Lund CCD .....	372	15.1	202	11.4
Battle Mountain CCD .....	5 326	16.3	2 408	15.4					
Battle Mountain CDP .....	2 871	16.0	1 455	14.7					



Table 65. **Percent of Population and Housing Units in Sample: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Population		Housing units	
	100-percent count	Percent in sample	100-percent count	Percent in sample
White Pine County—Con.				
McGill CCD .....	2 293	9.7	727	16.0
McGill CDP .....	1 054	15.7	599	16.0
Ruth CCD .....	506	14.2	290	12.4
Carson City .....	52 457	12.0	21 283	12.4

**Table 66. Percent of Population and Housing Units in Sample: 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place	Population		Housing units		State County Place	Population		Housing units	
	100-percent count	Percent in sample	100-percent count	Percent in sample		100-percent count	Percent in sample	100-percent count	Percent in sample
<b>The State</b> .....	<b>1 998 257</b>	<b>12.0</b>	<b>827 457</b>	<b>12.1</b>	<b>PLACE—Con.</b>				
<b>COUNTY</b>					Indian Springs CDP, Clark County .....	1 302	12.7	657	10.7
Churchill County .....	23 982	13.1	9 732	12.9	Johnson Lane CDP, Douglas County .....	4 837	11.8	1 829	11.8
Clark County .....	1 375 765	11.5	559 799	11.6	Kingsbury CDP, Douglas County .....	2 624	15.0	1 925	16.3
Douglas County .....	41 259	12.6	19 006	13.2	Las Vegas city, Clark County .....	478 434	11.4	190 724	11.5
Elko County .....	45 291	17.4	18 456	17.6	Laughlin CDP, Clark County .....	7 076	9.9	4 127	10.1
Esmeralda County .....	971	41.5	833	43.0	Lemmon Valley-Golden Valley CDP, Washoe County .....	6 855	10.9	2 507	11.1
Eureka County .....	1 651	41.9	1 025	40.4	Lovelock city, Pershing County .....	2 003	45.0	951	44.3
Humboldt County .....	16 106	13.5	6 954	13.1	McDermitt CDP, Humboldt County .....	269	40.1	107	36.4
Lander County .....	5 794	16.1	2 780	14.5	McGill CDP, White Pine County .....	1 054	15.7	599	16.0
Lincoln County .....	4 165	21.3	2 178	20.8	Mesquite city, Clark County .....	9 389	11.9	4 442	11.6
Lyon County .....	34 501	12.3	14 279	12.0	Minden CDP, Douglas County .....	2 836	11.0	1 231	11.7
Mineral County .....	5 071	20.2	2 866	17.3	Moapa Town CDP, Clark County .....	928	15.4	310	13.9
Nye County .....	32 485	10.9	15 934	10.7	Moapa Valley CDP, Clark County .....	5 784	15.4	2 213	14.5
Pershing County .....	6 693	23.6	2 389	27.7	Mount Charleston CDP, Clark County .....	285	9.1	362	11.9
Storey County .....	3 399	15.2	1 596	14.4	Nellis AFB CDP, Clark County .....	8 896	10.4	3 040	10.2
Washoe County .....	339 486	12.2	143 908	12.1	Nixon CDP, Washoe County .....	418	43.8	144	42.4
White Pine County .....	9 181	12.5	4 439	13.5	North Las Vegas city, Clark County .....	115 488	13.2	36 600	13.1
Carson City .....	52 457	12.0	21 283	12.4	Owyhee CDP, Elko County .....	1 017	37.9	371	46.9
<b>PLACE</b>					Pahrump CDP, Nye County .....	24 631	9.6	11 651	9.3
Battle Mountain CDP, Lander County .....	2 871	16.0	1 455	14.7	Paradise CDP, Clark County .....	186 070	11.4	85 398	11.6
Beatty CDP, Nye County .....	1 154	14.5	740	12.3	Reno city, Washoe County .....	180 480	11.8	79 453	11.7
Blue Diamond CDP, Clark County .....	282	9.6	125	12.0	Sandy Valley CDP, Clark County .....	1 804	10.8	811	9.6
Boulder City city, Clark County .....	14 966	14.6	6 979	14.4	Schurz CDP, Mineral County .....	721	48.4	312	46.8
Bunkerville CDP, Clark County .....	1 014	11.0	277	11.6	Searchlight CDP, Clark County .....	576	10.1	444	11.7
Caliente city, Lincoln County .....	1 123	35.9	479	37.4	Silver Springs CDP, Lyon County .....	4 708	11.9	1 935	12.0
Cal-Nev-Ari CDP, Clark County .....	278	9.4	199	9.0	Smith Valley CDP, Lyon County .....	1 425	16.8	609	14.4
Carlin city, Elko County .....	2 161	40.8	1 014	39.3	Spanish Springs CDP, Washoe County .....	9 018	11.2	3 078	10.8
Carson City .....	52 457	12.0	21 283	12.4	Sparks city, Washoe County .....	66 346	12.5	26 025	12.4
Cold Springs CDP, Washoe County .....	3 834	11.3	1 382	11.1	Spring Creek CDP, Elko County .....	10 548	14.7	3 660	14.6
Dayton CDP, Lyon County .....	5 907	11.8	2 322	11.4	Spring Valley CDP, Clark County .....	117 390	11.3	52 870	11.5
Elko city, Elko County .....	16 708	14.9	6 948	15.3	Steline CDP, Douglas County .....	1 215	15.4	562	16.2
Ely city, White Pine County .....	4 041	14.2	2 205	13.7	Summerlin South CDP, Clark County .....	3 735	10.7	1 755	10.4
Enterprise CDP, Clark County .....	14 676	9.9	6 609	10.1	Sunrise Manor CDP, Clark County .....	156 120	11.0	58 410	11.0
Fallon city, Churchill County .....	7 536	11.3	3 336	11.4	Sun Valley CDP, Washoe County .....	19 461	10.9	6 703	11.1
Fallon Station CDP, Churchill County .....	1 265	13.7	360	13.6	Sutcliffe CDP, Washoe County .....	281	38.8	113	34.5
Fermeley CDP, Lyon County .....	8 543	10.8	3 432	11.0	Tonopah CDP, Nye County .....	2 627	12.3	1 561	13.1
Gabbs city, Nye County .....	318	45.9	183	47.5	Verdi-Mogul CDP, Washoe County .....	2 949	14.5	1 213	14.3
Gardnerville CDP, Douglas County .....	3 357	10.9	1 556	11.6	Wadsworth CDP, Washoe County .....	881	38.7	360	35.6
Gardnerville Ranchos CDP, Douglas County .....	11 054	11.9	4 123	12.2	Wells city, Elko County .....	1 346	40.2	633	36.7
Gerlach-Empire CDP, Washoe County .....	499	17.0	297	13.5	West Wendover city, Elko County .....	4 721	15.6	1 626	15.9
Goodsprings CDP, Clark County .....	232	8.6	122	13.9	Whitney CDP, Clark County .....	18 273	10.5	7 849	10.3
Hawthorne CDP, Mineral County .....	3 311	15.3	1 883	13.9	Winchester CDP, Clark County .....	26 958	11.2	13 535	11.2
Henderson city, Clark County .....	175 381	11.6	71 149	11.7	Winnemucca city, Humboldt County .....	7 174	12.9	3 280	12.1
Incline Village-Crystal Bay CDP, Washoe County .....	9 952	13.8	7 664	14.1	Yerington city, Lyon County .....	2 883	13.4	1 359	12.3
Indian Hills CDP, Douglas County .....	4 407	12.4	1 737	12.1	Zephyr Cove-Round Hill Village CDP, Douglas County .....	1 649	15.6	1 426	16.2

**Table 67. Percent of Population and Housing Units in Sample: 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Population		Housing units	
	100-percent count	Percent in sample	100-percent count	Percent in sample
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL</b>				
All areas .....	8 855	41.5	3 336	41.7
Battle Mountain Reservation, NV .....	124	50.0	63	42.9
Lander County (part) .....	124	50.0	63	42.9
Campbell Ranch, NV .....	446	38.8	80	48.8
Lyon County (part) .....	446	38.8	80	48.8
Carson Colony, NV .....	286	35.0	105	33.3
Carson City (part) .....	286	35.0	105	33.3
Dresslerville Colony, NV .....	315	38.1	116	38.8
Douglas County (part) .....	315	38.1	116	38.8
Duck Valley Reservation, NV--ID (part) .....	1 017	37.9	371	46.9
Elko County (part) .....	1 017	37.9	371	46.9
Duckwater Reservation, NV .....	149	36.2	65	33.8
Nye County (part) .....	149	36.2	65	33.8
Elko Colony, NV .....	729	43.6	257	44.7
Elko County (part) .....	729	43.6	257	44.7
Ely Reservation, NV .....	133	38.3	67	41.8
White Pine County (part) .....	133	38.3	67	41.8
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Colony, NV .....	123	43.9	51	41.2
Churchill County (part) .....	123	43.9	51	41.2
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation and Off- Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	620	42.3	256	39.5
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation .....	620	42.3	256	39.5
Churchill County (part) .....	620	42.3	256	39.5
Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	-	(X)	-	(X)
Churchill County (part) .....	-	(X)	-	(X)
Fort McDermitt Reservation, NV--OR (part) .....	309	38.8	119	36.1
Humboldt County (part) .....	309	38.8	119	36.1
Fort Mojave Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--CA--NV (part) .....	19	42.1	33	39.4
Fort Mojave Reservation (part) .....	19	42.1	33	39.4
Clark County (part) .....	19	42.1	33	39.4
Goshute Reservation, NV--UT (part) .....	15	33.3	6	50.0
White Pine County (part) .....	15	33.3	6	50.0
Las Vegas Colony, NV .....	108	41.7	37	45.9
Clark County (part) .....	108	41.7	37	45.9
Lovelock Colony, NV .....	103	40.8	38	42.1
Pershing County (part) .....	103	40.8	38	42.1
Moapa River Reservation, NV .....	206	50.5	90	51.1
Clark County (part) .....	206	50.5	90	51.1
Pyramid Lake Reservation, NV .....	1 734	40.3	687	36.8
Lyon County (part) .....	-	(X)	-	(X)
Storey County (part) .....	20	45.0	19	26.3
Washoe County (part) .....	1 714	40.3	668	37.1
Reno-Sparks Colony, NV .....	881	42.7	282	42.2
Washoe County (part) .....	881	42.7	282	42.2
South Fork Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NV .....	123	43.1	62	46.8
South Fork Reservation .....	83	43.4	42	47.6
Elko County (part) .....	83	43.4	42	47.6
South Fork Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	40	42.5	20	45.0
Elko County (part) .....	40	42.5	20	45.0
Stewart Community, NV .....	196	39.8	62	33.9
Douglas County (part) .....	18	-	8	-
Carson City (part) .....	178	43.8	54	38.9
Summit Lake Reservation, NV .....	15	20.0	15	13.3
Humboldt County (part) .....	15	20.0	15	13.3
Walker River Reservation, NV .....	853	46.0	339	46.6
Churchill County (part) .....	-	(X)	-	(X)
Lyon County (part) .....	2	-	1	-
Mineral County (part) .....	851	46.1	338	46.7
Wells Colony, NV .....	54	44.4	24	45.8
Elko County (part) .....	54	44.4	24	45.8
Winnemucca Colony, NV .....	62	46.8	21	42.9
Humboldt County (part) .....	62	46.8	21	42.9
Yerington Colony, NV .....	139	59.0	54	48.1
Lyon County (part) .....	139	59.0	54	48.1
Yomba Reservation, NV .....	96	41.7	36	47.2
Nye County (part) .....	96	41.7	36	47.2

Table 68. **Percent of Population and Housing Units in Sample: 2000**

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

# Appendixes

---

Several appendixes traditionally found in printed reports are now available in a separate volume. See PHC-2-A, *Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics, Selected Appendixes*, in print or on the Internet at <http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/phc-2-a.pdf> for the following:


- Appendix A. Geographic Terms and Concepts
- Appendix B. Definitions of Subject Characteristics
- Appendix C. Data Collection and Processing Procedures
- Appendix D. Questionnaire
- Appendix E. Data Products and User Assistance
- Appendix H. Acknowledgments

This Appendix section contains:

- Appendix F. Maps
- Appendix G. Accuracy of the Data





# Appendix F. Maps

## Counties and Independent City




# County Subdivision Outline Map Legend and County and Independent City Location Index

## Map Legend

	<b>CAMPO</b>	American Indian Reservation (Federal)
	<b>ZIA</b>	Off-Reservation Trust Land
		State
	<b>ERIE</b>	County or Independent City
	<b>YORK</b>	County Subdivision <sup>1</sup>
	<b>ROME</b>	Incorporated Place <sup>1</sup>
	Zena	Census Designated Place
	<i>Lake Erie</i>	Large River, Lake, Water Body, or Shoreline
		A fishhook joins contiguous and/or discontinuous parts of the same geographic entity

## Map Sections



<sup>1</sup> A <sup>101</sup> following a place name indicates that the place is an independent place or independent city. An independent place is not part of any legal county subdivision and thus serves as the statistical equivalent of a legal county subdivision. An independent city is not part of any county or legal county subdivision and thus serves as both the statistical equivalent of a county and a legal county subdivision. The name for the county subdivision is always the same as that of the place and never shown separately on the map.


Note: All legal boundaries and names are as of January 1, 2000. Where international, state, county, and/or county subdivision boundaries coincide, the map shows the boundary symbol for the highest level of these geographic entities. The county boundary is always shown. Where a county subdivision boundary coincides with a place boundary, the map does not show the place boundary symbol. Any geographic entity name may include '(pt.)' if some portion of the entity extends beyond the limits of the map area displayed on the page, or if multiple discontinuous pieces of the entity have been discretely labeled on the page. A geographic entity name may include '(pts.)' if many discontinuous pieces exist for that entity that cannot be discretely labeled. The boundaries shown on this map are for Census Bureau statistical data collection and tabulation purposes only; their depiction and designation for statistical purposes does not constitute a determination of jurisdictional authority or rights of ownership or entitlement.

## County and Independent City Location Index

This list presents the reference coordinates for each county and independent city on the county subdivision outline map. Map section numbers refer to the county subdivision outline maps only.


COUNTY/ INDEPENDENT CITY	MAP SEC	MAP REF
Carson City.....	1	BF-CH
Churchill.....	1	BN-CE
Clark.....	1	CH-CY
Douglas.....	1	BG-CI
Elko.....	1	CF-BV
Esmeralda.....	1	BS-CP
Eureka.....	1	CA-CC
Humboldt.....	1	BP-BT
Lander.....	1	BV-CC
Lincoln.....	1	CI-CQ
Lyon.....	1	BI-CH
Mineral.....	1	BN-CK
Nye.....	1	BZ-CN
Pershing.....	1	BN-BZ
Storey.....	1	BG-CF
Washoe.....	1	BG-BY
White Pine.....	1	CI-CF

# American Indian Areas, Counties, Counties, Independent City, County Subdivisions, and Other Places - Section 1






**American Indian Areas, Counties, Counties Subdivisions, and Other Places – Inset A**



**American Indian Areas, Counties, Independent City, County Subdivisions, and Other Places – Inset B**



# Appendix G.

## Accuracy of the Data

---

### INTRODUCTION

The data contained in this product are based on the Census 2000 sample. The data are estimates of the actual figures that would have been obtained from a complete count. Estimates derived from a sample are expected to be different from the 100-percent figures because they are subject to sampling and nonsampling errors. Sampling error in data arises from the selection of people and housing units included in the sample. Nonsampling error affects both sample and 100-percent data and is introduced as a result of errors that may occur during the data collection and processing phases of the census. This chapter provides a detailed discussion of both types of errors and a description of the estimation procedures.

### MASTER ADDRESS FILE DEVELOPMENT

The majority of addresses in the country are in what is known for census purposes as Mailout/Mailback areas, which generally consist of city-style addresses. The original source of addresses on the Master Address File (MAF) for the Mailout/Mailback areas was the 1990 Census Address Control File (ACF). The first update to the ACF addresses is a United States Postal Service (USPS) Delivery Sequence File (DSF) of addresses. The November 1997, September 1998, November 1999, and April 2000 DSFs were incorporated into the MAF.

Until shortly before the census, the ACF addresses and the November 1997 and September 1998 residential DSF addresses constituted the MAF. These addresses were tested against Census Bureau geographic information to determine their location at the census block level. The geographic information is maintained in the Census Bureau's Topologically Integrated Geographic Encoding Referencing (TIGER) system. When an address on the MAF can be uniquely matched to the address range in TIGER for a street segment that forms one of the boundaries of a particular block, the address is said to be *geocoded* to that block. Valid and geocoded addresses appeared on each address list used for a field operation.

The Block Canvass operation was the next major address list operation in the Mailout/Mailback areas for Census 2000. Between January and May 1999, there was a 100-percent canvass of every block in these areas. Every geocoded address was printed in a block-by-block address register. Block Canvassing listers identified each address as one of the following: a verified housing unit; a unit with corrections to the street name or directional; a delete; a duplicate, implying the unit exists elsewhere on the list with a different, unmatchable designation, such as a different street name or building name; uninhabitable; or nonresidential. Also, units that were deleted from one block and matched an added unit in another block were called a move.

A cooperative address list check with local governmental units throughout the country, called Local Update of Census Addresses (LUCA) 98, occurred in approximately the same time frame as Block Canvassing. In LUCA 98, the participating governmental units received an address list and were asked for input mostly on added units but also on deleted units and corrected street names or directionals. The outcome of this operation was similar to that of Block Canvassing; units were added to and deleted from blocks, and address corrections were made.

The Decennial Master Address File (DMAF), created in July 1999, was the file used for the main printing of the Census 2000 questionnaires. In Mailout/Mailback areas, the operations that had yielded housing units and their status before this initial printing stage were the ACF, the November 1997 DSF, the September 1998 DSF, LUCA 98, and Block Canvassing.

Updates to the DMAF followed the creation of the initial DMAF. Addresses were added by the November 1999, February 2000, and April 2000 DSFs. The LUCA 98 field verification and appeal processes were address update operations that occurred subsequent to the creation of the initial

---

DMAF. Units receiving a conflicting status from Block Canvassing and the LUCA 98 operation were sent for field verification by the Census Bureau; the results of the field verification were sent to the governmental units. The governmental unit could appeal the Census Bureau's findings for particular units at this stage. At an appeal, the Census Bureau and the governmental unit submit their evidence of the status of a housing unit for independent review. The Census Address List Appeals Office, a temporary Federal office established outside the Department of Commerce, administered the appeal process. The Director of the Appeals Office (or their designee) was responsible for issuing a written determination that was considered final. Both the field verification and the appeal process had the potential to change the status of a housing unit.

The New Construction operation was another cooperative effort with participating governmental units that added addresses before Census Day. This was a final operation in Mailout/Mailback areas that used governmental units' local knowledge to identify new housing units in February and March of 2000.

After Mailout/Mailback, the second most common method of questionnaire delivery was Update/Leave. Rather than obtaining addresses from the ACF and DSF, the address list for Update/Leave areas was constructed during a Census Bureau field operation called Address Listing. This was due to the fact that addresses in Update/Leave areas were primarily noncity-style. Census employees were sent to the field with maps of their assignment areas and were instructed to record the city-style address, noncity-style address or location description, or possibly some combination of the above, for every housing unit. In addition, the location of the unit was noted on the census map with what is known as a *map spot*. This operation took place in the fall of 1998.

After processing the Address Listing data, the Census Bureau could tabulate the number of housing units in each block. Because the housing units in these areas may have nonstandard mailing addresses and may be recorded in census files solely with a location description, the governmental units participating in the local review operation in these areas were sent lists of housing unit counts by block. This operation was called LUCA 99. When a LUCA 99 participant disagreed with a Census block count, the contested block was sent out for LUCA 99 recanvassing. Census employees were redeployed to make updates to the address list. In addition, there was a LUCA 99 appeal process for settling housing unit status discrepancies that could potentially add units to the address list. The LUCA 99 recanvassing and LUCA 99 appeal process took place at various times during the DMAF updating process. Although most of the LUCA 99 entities had their recanvassing results processed before creation of the initial DMAF, many did not. There were DMAF updates designed specifically for obtaining late recanvassing and appeal results. These updates to the census files occurred in time for USPS delivery of a questionnaire.

The last address list-building operation in the Update/Leave areas was the Update/Leave operation itself. This operation was responsible for having a census questionnaire hand-delivered at every housing unit. The MAF and the maps were updated during this process.

In the most remote regions of the country, housing units were listed at the same time people within them were enumerated for Census 2000. These operations, called List/Enumerate and Remote Alaska enumeration, were the only source of addresses in these regions. All housing units were map spotted at the time of enumeration.

In some other regions of the country where an address list had already been created, the Census Bureau determined that direct enumeration of the population would be more successful than mail-back of the forms. This operation was called Update/Enumerate. There were two types of Update/Enumerate areas – urban areas that were formerly Mailout/Mailback and rural areas that were formerly Update/Leave. The urban areas had passed through all the Mailout/Mailback operations up through the point of the creation of the initial DMAF, and the rural areas had passed through Address Listing, and sometimes LUCA 99, by the time of the creation of the initial DMAF. Because of these distinct paths, it was necessary to distinguish between the urban and rural Update/Enumerate areas.

Urban Update/Leave is another special enumeration that took place in areas where mail delivery was considered to be problematic. The addresses had passed through all the operations of the

---

Mailout/Mailback areas up through the creation of the initial DMAF, but enumerators visited the area during the census. As a result, additions, deletions and corrections to the address list were made.

People who do not receive a questionnaire at their house could submit a Be Counted Form, or they could call Telephone Questionnaire Assistance and have their information collected over the telephone. Addresses from these operations that did not match those already on the DMAF and that were geocoded to a census collection block in an area where census enumeration did not take place were visited in a Field Verification operation to determine if they existed. Verified addresses were added to the address list.

Follow-up operations provided additional information about housing units listed on the DMAF. In Nonresponse Followup (NRFU), enumerators followed up on units that had not returned a pre-addressed census form. These units could be enumerated, deemed vacant, or possibly deleted. At the same time, units that did not appear on the address list could be added and enumerated concurrently. Coverage Improvement Follow Up was designated for enumeration at addresses added by New Construction and the later Delivery Sequence Files, as well as a second check on NRFU vacant and deleted units. Adds were also possible. These operations occurred in the Mailout/Mailback, Update/Leave, and Urban Update/Leave areas.

### **SERVICE-BASED ENUMERATION**

Service Based Enumeration was designed to account for people without a usual residence who use service facilities (i.e., shelters, soup kitchens and mobile food vans). Only people using the service facility on the interview day were enumerated. In addition, people enumerated in Targeted Non-Shelter Outdoor Locations (TNSOLS) and people without a usual residence that filed Be Counted Forms (BCF) augmented the count. **This component of the enumeration should *not* be interpreted as a complete count of the population without a usual residence.**

### **SAMPLE DESIGN**

Every person and housing unit in the United States was asked basic demographic and housing questions (for example, race, age, and relationship to householder). A sample of these people and housing units was asked more detailed questions about items, such as income, occupation, and housing costs. The sampling unit for Census 2000 was the housing unit, including all occupants. There were four different housing unit sampling rates: 1-in-8, 1-in-6, 1-in-4, and 1-in-2 (designed for an overall average of about 1-in-6). The Census Bureau assigned these varying rates based on precensus occupied housing unit estimates of various geographic and statistical entities, such as incorporated places and interim census tracts. For people living in group quarters or enumerated at long form eligible service sites (shelters and soup kitchens), the sampling unit was the person and the sampling rate was 1-in-6.

The sample designation method for housing units depended on the data collection procedures. Approximately 95 percent of the population was enumerated by the mailback procedure. In these areas, the Census Bureau used the Decennial Master Address File (DMAF) to select electronically a probability sample. The questionnaires were either mailed or hand-delivered to selected addresses with instructions to complete and mail back the form.

The housing unit sampling rate varied by census block. Long Form Sampling Entities (LFSEs) were used to determine sampling rates in Census 2000 similarly to the way governmental units were used in the 1990 census sample design. LFSEs were:

- Counties and county equivalents (such as parishes in Louisiana).
- Cities.
- Incorporated places (including consolidated cities).
- Census designated places in Hawaii only.

- 
- Minor civil divisions in certain states only (Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, and Wisconsin).
  - School districts (based on the 1995-1996 school year).
  - American Indian reservations.
  - Tribal jurisdiction statistical areas (replaced for Census 2000 by entities called Oklahoma Tribal Statistical Areas).
  - Alaska Native village statistical areas.

Size estimates for LFSEs were based on housing unit counts from the DMAF and occupancy rates from the 1990 census. If the smallest LFSE that included all or any part of a block had an estimated housing unit count of less than 800, the housing units in the block were sampled at a 1-in-2 rate. If the smallest LFSE that included all or any part of a block had an estimated housing unit count of 800 or more but less than 1,200, housing units in the block were sampled at a 1-in-4 rate. If a block was not in either of the two previous sampling rate categories, and was part of an interim census tract with 2,000 or more estimated housing units, the housing units in the block were sampled at a 1-in-8 rate. Housing units in all remaining blocks (those not assigned to 1-in-2, 1-in-4, or 1-in-8 rates) were sampled at a 1-in-6 rate.

In List/Enumerate areas (accounting for less than 0.5 percent of the housing units), each enumerator was given a blank address register with designated sample lines. Beginning about Census Day, the enumerator systematically canvassed an Assignment Area (AA) and listed all housing units in the address register in the order they were encountered. Completed questionnaires, including sample information for any housing unit listed on a designated sample line, were collected. If an AA contained any blocks that would qualify as above for a 1-in-2 or 1-in-4 rate, all households in the AA were sampled at 1-in-2. Housing units in all other AAs were sampled at 1-in-6.

Housing units in American Indian reservations, tribal jurisdiction statistical areas (replaced for Census 2000 by entities called Oklahoma Tribal Statistical Areas), and Alaska Native villages were sampled according to the same criteria as other LFSEs, except the sampling rates were based on the size of the American Indian and Alaska Native population in those areas as measured in the 1990 census. Trust lands were sampled at the highest rate of any part of their associated American Indian reservations. If the associated American Indian reservation was entirely outside the state containing the trust land, then the trust land was sampled at a 1-in-2 rate. All Remote Alaska assignment areas were sampled at a rate of 1-in-2. Housing units in Puerto Rico were sampled at a constant 1-in-6 rate in all blocks.

Variable sampling rates provide relatively more reliable estimates for small areas and decrease respondent burden in more densely populated areas while maintaining data reliability. When all sampling rates were taken into account across the Nation, approximately 1 out of every 6 housing units was included in the Census 2000 sample.

## **CONFIDENTIALITY OF THE DATA**

The Census Bureau has modified or suppressed some data in this data release to protect confidentiality. Title 13 United States Code, Section 9, prohibits the Census Bureau from publishing results in which an individual can be identified. The Census Bureau's internal Disclosure Review Board sets the confidentiality rules for all data releases. A checklist approach is used to ensure that all potential risks to the confidentiality of the data are considered and addressed.

**Title 13, United States Code.** Title 13 of the United States Code authorizes the Census Bureau to conduct censuses and surveys. Section 9 of the same Title requires that any information collected from the public under the authority of Title 13 be maintained as confidential. Section 214 of Title 13 and Sections 3559 and 3571 of Title 18 of the United States Code provide for the imposition of penalties of up to 5 years in prison and up to \$250,000 in fines for wrongful disclosure of confidential census information.



---

**Disclosure limitation.** Disclosure limitation is the process for protecting the confidentiality of data. A disclosure of data occurs when someone can use published statistical information to identify an individual who provided information under a pledge of confidentiality. Using disclosure limitation procedures, the Census Bureau modifies or removes the characteristics that put confidential information at risk for disclosure. Although it may appear that a table shows information about a specific individual, the Census Bureau has taken steps to disguise the original data while making sure the results are still useful. The techniques used by the Census Bureau to protect confidentiality in tabulations vary, depending on the type of data.

**Data swapping.** Data swapping is a method of disclosure limitation designed to protect confidentiality in tables of frequency data (the number or percentage of the population with certain characteristics). Data swapping is done by editing the source data or exchanging records for a sample of cases when creating a table. A sample of households is selected and matched on a set of selected key variables with households in neighboring geographic areas that have similar characteristics (such as the same number of adults and the same number of children). Because the swap often occurs within a neighboring area, there is no effect on the marginal totals for the area or for totals that include data from multiple areas. Because of data swapping, users should not assume that tables with cells having a value of 1 or 2 reveal information about specific individuals. Data swapping procedures were first used in the 1990 census and were also used for Census 2000.

## **ERRORS IN THE DATA**

Statistics in this data product are based on a sample. Therefore, they may differ somewhat from 100-percent figures that would have been obtained if all housing units, people within those housing units, and people living in group quarters had been enumerated using the same questionnaires, instructions, enumerators, and so forth. The sample estimate also would differ from other samples of housing units, people within those housing units, and people living in group quarters. The deviation of a sample estimate from the average of all possible samples is called the *sampling error*. The *standard error* of a sample estimate is a measure of the variation among the estimates from all possible samples. Thus, it measures the precision with which an estimate from a particular sample approximates the average result of all possible samples. The sample estimate and its estimated standard error permit the construction of interval estimates with prescribed confidence that the interval includes the average result of all possible samples. The method of calculating standard errors and confidence intervals for the data in this product appears in the section called “Calculation of Standard Errors.”

In addition to the variability that arises from the sampling procedures, both sample data and 100-percent data are subject to *nonsampling error*. Nonsampling error may be introduced during any of the various complex operations used to collect and process census data. For example, operations such as editing, reviewing, or handling questionnaires may introduce error into the data. A detailed discussion of the sources of nonsampling error is given in the section on “Nonsampling Error” in this chapter.

Nonsampling error may affect the data in two ways: errors that are introduced randomly will increase the variability of the data and, therefore, should be reflected in the standard error; errors that tend to be consistent in one direction will make both sample and 100-percent data biased in that direction. For example, if respondents consistently tend to underreport their incomes, then the resulting counts of households or families by income category will tend to be understated for the higher income categories and overstated for the lower income categories. Such biases are not reflected in the standard error.

## **Limitations of the Group Quarters Data**

By definition, universes that include the total population include both the household population and the group quarters population. For example, the universe defined as the population 15 years and over includes all people 15 years and over in both households and group quarters.

---

In previous censuses and in Census 2000, allocation rates for demographic characteristics (such as age, sex, and race) of the group quarters population were similar to those for the total population. However, allocation rates for sample characteristics, such as school enrollment, educational attainment, income, and veteran status for the institutionalized and noninstitutionalized group quarters population have been substantially higher than those for the household population since at least the 1960 census. A review of the Census 2000 allocation rates for sample characteristics indicated that this trend continued.

Although allocation rates for sample characteristics are higher for the group quarters population, it is important to include the group quarters population in the total population universe. In most areas, the group quarters population represents a small proportion of the total population. As a result, the higher allocation rates associated with the group quarters population have minimal impact on the sample characteristics for the area of interest. In areas where the group quarters population represents a larger percentage of the total population, the Census Bureau cautions data users about the impact the higher allocation rates may have on the sample characteristics.

### Calculation of Standard Errors

**Totals and percentages.** Tables A through C in this chapter contain the necessary information for calculating the standard errors of sample estimates in this data product. To calculate the standard error, it is necessary to know:

- The unadjusted standard error for the characteristic (given in Table A for estimated totals or Table B for estimated percentages) that would result under a simple random sample design of people, housing units, households, or families.
- The design factor for the particular characteristic estimated (given in Table C) based on the sample design and estimation techniques employed to produce long form data estimates.
- The number of people, housing units, households, or families in the publication area.
- The observed sampling rate.

The design factor is the ratio of the estimated standard error to the standard error of a simple random sample. The design factors reflect the effects of the actual sample design and the complex ratio estimation procedure used for Census 2000. Percent-in-sample values are provided in Summary File 3. The percent of the population in sample is given in P4, Percent of the Population in Sample. Percent-in-sample values for housing units are provided in H4, Percent of Housing Units in Sample by Occupancy Status. Thus, observed sampling rates for housing units are provided separately for occupied and vacant housing units. Data users should use information in H2, Unweighted Sample Housing Units by Occupancy Status, to determine the most prevalent type of housing unit in a specific geography (occupied or vacant), and use its corresponding percent-in-sample value from H4. As a convenience to data users, a percent-in-sample value for total housing units is available in the Census Bureau's PHC-2, *Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics* reports (see the Percent of Population and Housing Units in Sample tables). This percent-in-sample value combines occupied and vacant housing units to form a single percent-in-sample value for housing units.

Use the steps given below to calculate the standard error of an estimated total or percentage contained in this product. A percentage is defined here as a ratio of a numerator to a denominator where the numerator is a subset of the denominator. For example, the proportion of Black or African-American teachers is the ratio of Black or African-American teachers to all teachers.

1. Obtain the unadjusted standard error from Table A or B (or use the formula given below the table) for the estimated total or percentage, respectively.
2. Obtain the person or housing unit observed sampling rate (percent-in-sample) for the geographic area to which the estimate applies. Use the person observed sampling rate for population characteristics and the housing unit observed sampling rate for housing characteristics.



- Use Table C to obtain the appropriate design factor, based on the characteristic (Employment status, School enrollment, etc.) and the range containing the percent-in-sample value defined in step 2. Multiply the unadjusted standard error by this design factor.

The unadjusted standard errors of zero estimates or of very small estimated totals or percentages will approach zero. This is also the case for very large percentages or estimated totals that are close to the size of the publication areas to which they correspond. Nevertheless, these estimated totals and percentages are still subject to sampling and nonsampling variability, and an estimated standard error of zero (or a very small standard error) is not appropriate. For estimated percentages that are less than 2 or greater than 98, use the unadjusted standard errors in Table B that appear in the “2 or 98” row. For an estimated total that is less than 50 or within 50 of the total size of the publication area, use an unadjusted standard error of 16.

Examples using Tables A and B are given in the section titled “Using Tables to Compute Standard Errors and Confidence Intervals.”

**Sums and differences.** The standard errors estimated from Tables A and B are not directly applicable to sums of and differences between two sample estimates. To estimate the standard error of a sum or difference, the tables are to be used somewhat differently in the following three situations:

- For the sum of or difference between a sample estimate and a 100-percent value, use the standard error of the sample estimate. The complete count value is not subject to sampling error.
- For the sum of or difference between two sample estimates, the appropriate standard error is approximately the square root of the sum of the two individual standard errors squared; that is, for standard errors

$SE(\hat{X})$  and  $SE(\hat{Y})$  of estimates  $\hat{X}$  and  $\hat{Y}$ , respectively:

$$SE(\hat{X} + \hat{Y}) = SE(\hat{X} - \hat{Y}) = \sqrt{[SE(\hat{X})]^2 + [SE(\hat{Y})]^2}$$

This method, however, will underestimate (overestimate) the standard error if the two items in a sum are highly positively (negatively) correlated or if the two items in a difference are highly negatively (positively) correlated. This method may also be used for the difference between (or sum of) sample estimates from two censuses or from a census sample and another survey. The standard error for estimates not based on the Census 2000 sample must be obtained from an appropriate source outside of this chapter.

- For the differences between two estimates, one of which is a subclass of the other, use the tables directly where the calculated difference is the estimate of interest. For example, to determine the estimate of non-Black or African-American teachers, subtract the estimate of Black or African-American teachers from the estimate of total teachers. To determine the standard error of the estimate of non-Black or African-American teachers, apply the above formula directly.

**Ratios.** Frequently, the statistic of interest is the ratio of two variables, where the numerator is not a subset of the denominator. An example is the ratio of students to teachers in public elementary schools. (Note that this method cannot be used to compute a standard error for a sample mean.) The standard error of the ratio between two sample estimates is estimated as follows:

- If the ratio is a proportion, then follow the procedure outlined for “Totals and percentages.”
- If the ratio is not a proportion, then approximate the standard error using the formula below.

$$SE\left(\frac{\hat{X}}{\hat{Y}}\right) = \left(\frac{\hat{X}}{\hat{Y}}\right) \sqrt{\frac{[SE(\hat{X})]^2}{\hat{X}^2} + \frac{[SE(\hat{Y})]^2}{\hat{Y}^2}}$$

**Medians.** The sampling variability of an estimated median depends on the form of the distribution and the size of its base. The reliability of an estimated median is approximated by constructing a confidence interval. Estimate the 68 percent confidence limits of a median based on sample data using the following procedure.

1. Obtain the appropriate (person or housing unit) observed sampling rate for the specific geographic area. Use this rate to locate the design factor for the characteristic of interest in Table C.
2. Obtain the frequency distribution for the selected variable. Cumulate these frequencies to yield the base.
3. Determine the standard error of the estimate of 50 percent from the distribution using the formula:

$$SE(50 \text{ percent}) = \sqrt{\frac{5}{\text{base}} \times 50^2 \times \text{Design Factor}}$$

4. Subtract from and add to 50 percent the standard error determined in step 3.

$$p_{\text{lower}} = 50 - SE(50 \text{ percent})$$

$$p_{\text{upper}} = 50 + SE(50 \text{ percent})$$

Find the category in the distribution containing  $p_{\text{lower}}$  and the category in the distribution containing  $p_{\text{upper}}$ . If  $p_{\text{lower}}$  and  $p_{\text{upper}}$  fall in the same category, follow these steps:

- Define A1 as the smallest value in that category.
- Define A2 to be the smallest value in the next (higher) category.
- Define C1 as the cumulative percent of units strictly less than A1.
- Define C2 as the cumulative percent of units strictly less than A2.

Use these values (A1, A2, C1, C2) in step 5 to obtain the Lower Bound and the Upper Bound.

If  $p_{\text{lower}}$  and  $p_{\text{upper}}$  are in different categories, follow the steps above twice—once for each category. In effect, users will interpolate twice to obtain the Lower and Upper Bounds as follows:

- First, for the category containing  $p_{\text{lower}}$ , define the values A1, A2, C1, and C2 as above. Use these values in step 5 to obtain the Lower Bound.
- Second, for the category containing  $p_{\text{upper}}$ , define a new set of values for A1, A2, C1, and C2. Use these values in step 5 to obtain the Upper Bound.

5. Use the following formula and the values obtained in step 4 to form a confidence interval about the median:

$$\text{Bound} = \left[ \frac{X - C1}{C2 - C1} \right] \times (A2 - A1) + A1$$

where X =  $p_{\text{lower}}$  ( $p_{\text{upper}}$ ) for the Lower Bound (Upper Bound).

6. Divide the difference between the two points determined in step 5 by two to obtain the estimated standard error of the median:

$$SE(\text{median}) = \frac{\text{Upper Bound} - \text{Lower Bound}}{2} = \frac{(p_{\text{upper}} - p_{\text{lower}})}{C2 - C1} \times \frac{A2 - A1}{2}$$

**Means.** A mean is defined here as the average quantity of some characteristic (other than the number of people, housing units, households, or families) per person, housing unit, household, or family. For example, a mean could be the average annual income of females age 25 to 34. The standard error of a mean can be approximated by the formula below. Because of the approximation used in developing this formula, the estimated standard error of the mean obtained from this formula will generally underestimate the true standard error.

---

The formula for estimating the standard error of a mean,  $\bar{x}$ , is

$$SE(\bar{x}) = \sqrt{\frac{5}{\text{base}} \times s^2 \times \text{Design Factor}}$$

where  $s^2$  is the estimated population variance of the characteristic and the base is the total number of units in the population. The population variance,  $s^2$ , may be estimated using data that has been grouped into intervals.

For this method, the range of values for the characteristic is divided into  $c$  intervals, where the lower and upper boundaries of interval  $j$  are  $L_j$  and  $U_j$ , respectively. Each person is placed into one of the  $c$  intervals such that the value of the characteristic is between  $L_j$  and  $U_j$ . The estimated population variance,  $s^2$ , is then given by:

$$s^2 = \sum_{j=1}^c p_j m_j^2 - (\bar{x})^2$$

where  $p_j$  is the estimated proportion of persons in interval  $j$  (based on weighted data) and  $m_j$  is the midpoint of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  interval, calculated as:

$$m_j = \frac{L_j + U_j}{2}.$$

The most representative value of the characteristic in interval  $j$  is assumed to be the midpoint of the interval,  $m_j$ . If the  $c^{\text{th}}$  interval is open-ended, i.e., no upper interval boundary exists, then an approximate value for  $m_c$  is

$$m_c = \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)L_c.$$

The estimated sample mean,  $\bar{x}$ , can be obtained using the following formula:

$$\bar{x} = \sum_{j=1}^c p_j m_j.$$

**Confidence intervals.** A sample estimate and its estimated standard error may be used to construct confidence intervals about the estimate. These intervals are ranges that will contain the average value of the estimated characteristic that results over all possible samples, with a known probability.

For example, if all possible samples that could result under the Census 2000 sample design were independently selected and surveyed under the same conditions, and if the estimate and its estimated standard error were calculated for each of these samples, then:

1. *68 percent confidence interval.* Approximately 68 percent of the intervals from one estimated standard error below the estimate to one estimated standard error above the estimate would contain the average result from all possible samples.
2. *90 percent confidence interval.* Approximately 90 percent of the intervals from 1.645 times the estimated standard error below the estimate to 1.645 times the estimated standard error above the estimate would contain the average result from all possible samples.
3. *95 percent confidence interval.* Approximately 95 percent of the intervals from two estimated standard errors below the estimate to two estimated standard errors above the estimate would contain the average result from all possible samples.

The average value of the estimated characteristic that could be derived from all possible samples either is or is not contained in any particular computed interval. Thus, the statement that the average value has a certain probability of falling between the limits of the calculated confidence interval cannot be made. Rather, one can say with a specified probability of confidence that the calculated confidence interval includes the average estimate from all possible samples (approximately the 100-percent value).

---

Confidence intervals also may be constructed for the ratio, sum of, or difference between two sample figures. First compute the ratio, sum, or difference. Next, obtain the standard error of the ratio, sum, or difference (using the formulas given earlier). Finally, form a confidence interval for this estimated ratio, sum, or difference as above. One can then say with specified confidence that this interval includes the ratio, sum, or difference that would have been obtained by averaging the results from all possible samples.

**Calculating the confidence interval from the standard error.** To calculate the lower and upper bounds of the 90 percent confidence interval around an estimate using the standard error, multiply the standard error by 1.645, then add and subtract the product from the estimate.

$$\text{Lower bound} = \text{Estimate} - (\text{Standard Error} \times 1.645)$$

$$\text{Upper bound} = \text{Estimate} + (\text{Standard Error} \times 1.645)$$

**Limitations.** Be careful when computing and interpreting confidence intervals. The estimated standard errors given in this chapter do not include all portions of the variability because of non-sampling error that may be present in the data. The standard errors reflect the effect of simple response variance, but not the effect of correlated errors introduced by enumerators, coders, or other field or processing personnel. Thus, the standard errors calculated represent a lower bound of the total error. As a result, confidence intervals formed using these estimated standard errors might not meet the stated levels of confidence (i.e., 68, 90, or 95 percent). Thus, be careful interpreting the data in this data product based on the estimated standard errors.

A standard sampling theory text should be helpful if the user needs more information about confidence intervals and nonsampling errors.

*Zero or small estimates; very large estimates.* The value of almost all Census 2000 characteristics is greater than or equal to zero by definition. The method given previously for calculating confidence intervals relies on large sample theory and may result in negative values for zero or small estimates, which are not admissible for most characteristics. In this case, the lower limit of the confidence interval is set to zero by default. A similar caution holds for estimates of totals that are close to the population total and for estimated proportions near one, where the upper limit of the confidence interval is set to its largest admissible value. In these situations, the level of confidence of the adjusted range of values is less than the prescribed confidence level.

### Using Tables to Compute Standard Errors and Confidence Intervals

**Example 1. Computing the standard error of a total.** Suppose a particular data table shows that for City A 9,948 people out of all 15,888 people age 16 years and over were in the civilian labor force. The person observed sampling rate (percent-in-sample) in City A is 16.0 percent. The column in Table C that includes an observed sampling rate of 16.0 percent shows the design factor to be 1.1 for the population characteristic "Employment status."

The unadjusted standard error for the estimated total of 9,948 is computed using the formula given below Table A. Suppose that the total population of City A was 21,220. The formula for the unadjusted standard error, SE, is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SE}(9,948) &= \sqrt{5(9,948) \left(1 - \frac{9,948}{21,220}\right)} \\ &= 163 \text{ people.} \end{aligned}$$

The 5 in the above formula is based on a 1-in-6 sample and is derived from the inverse of the sampling rate minus one; i.e.,  $5 = 6 - 1$ . To find the standard error of the estimated 9,948 people 16 years and over who were in the civilian labor force, multiply the unadjusted standard error 163 by the design factor, 1.1, from Table C. This yields an estimated standard error of 179 for the total number of people 16 years and over in City A who were in the civilian labor force.

**Example 2. Computing the standard error of a percentage.** The estimated percent of people 16 years and over who were in the civilian labor force in City A is 62.6 percent ( $= 9,948 \div$

---

15,888). Using the formula below Table B, the unadjusted standard error is approximately

$$\begin{aligned} SE(62.6) &= \sqrt{\left(\frac{5}{15,888}\right)(62.6)(100 - 62.6)} \\ &= 0.86 \text{ percentage points.} \end{aligned}$$

Again, the 5 in the above formula is based on a 1-in-6 sample and is derived from the inverse of the sampling rate minus one; i.e.,  $5 = 6 - 1$ . The standard error for the estimated 62.6 percent of people 16 years and over who were in the civilian labor force is  $0.86 \times 1.1 = 0.95$  percentage points.

*Note that standard errors of percentages derived in this manner are approximate.* Calculations can be expressed to several decimal places, but doing so would indicate more precision in the data than is justifiable. Final results should contain no more than two decimal places when the estimated standard error is one percentage point (i.e., 1.00) or more.

**Example 3. Computing a confidence interval.** In Example 1, the adjusted standard error of the 9,948 people 16 years and over in City A in the civilian labor force was 179. Thus, a 90 percent confidence interval for this estimated total is:

$$\begin{aligned} &[9,948 - 1.645(179)] \text{ to } [9,948 + 1.645(179)] \\ &\text{or } 9,654 \text{ to } 10,242. \end{aligned}$$

One can say, with about 90 percent confidence, that this interval includes the value that would have been obtained by averaging the results from all possible samples.

**Example 4. Computing the standard error and confidence interval for the difference between two sample estimates.** Suppose the number of people in City B age 16 years and over who were in the civilian labor force was 9,314 and the total number of people 16 years and over was 16,666. The population size of City B was 25,225, resulting in a person percent-in-sample of 15.7. The range that includes an observed sampling rate of 15.7 in Table C shows the design factor to be 1.1 for "Employment status." Using the formula below Table A and the appropriate design factor, the estimated standard error for the total number of people 16 years and over in City B who were in the civilian labor force is 188 ( $= 171 \times 1.1$ ). The estimated percentage of people 16 years and over who were in the civilian labor force is 55.9 percent. The unadjusted standard error determined using the formula provided at the bottom of Table B is 0.86 percentage points, and the approximate standard error of the percentage (55.9 percent) is  $0.86 \times 1.1 = 0.95$  percentage points.

Suppose that one wished to obtain the standard error of the difference between City A and City B of the percentages of people who were 16 years and over and who were in the civilian labor force. The difference in the percentages for the two cities is:

$$62.6 - 55.9 = 6.7 \text{ percent.}$$

Using the above calculations and the adjusted standard error from Example 2:

$$\begin{aligned} SE(6.7) &= \sqrt{[SE(62.6)]^2 + [SE(55.9)]^2} = \sqrt{(0.95)^2 + (0.95)^2} \\ &= 1.34 \text{ percentage points.} \end{aligned}$$

The 90 percent confidence interval for the difference is formed as before:

$$\begin{aligned} &[6.70 - 1.645(1.34)] \text{ to } [6.70 + 1.645(1.34)] \\ &\text{or } 4.50 \text{ to } 8.90. \end{aligned}$$

One can say with 90 percent confidence that the interval includes the difference that would have been obtained by averaging the results from all possible samples.

**Example 5. Computing the standard error and confidence interval for the ratio of two sample estimates.** For reasonably large samples, ratio estimates are normally distributed, particularly for the census population. Therefore, if the standard error of a ratio estimate can be calculated, then a confidence interval can be formed about the ratio.

Suppose that one wished to obtain the standard error of the ratio of the estimate of people who were 16 years and over and who were in the civilian labor force in City A to the estimate of people who were 16 years and over and who were in the civilian labor force in City B. The ratio of the two estimates is:

$$\frac{9,948}{9,314} = 1.07$$

The standard error of this ratio is:

$$\begin{aligned} SE(1.07) &= \left(\frac{9,948}{9,314}\right) \sqrt{\frac{(179)^2}{(9,948)^2} + \frac{(188)^2}{(9,314)^2}} \\ &= 0.029. \end{aligned}$$

Using the results above, the 90 percent confidence interval for this ratio would be:

$$\begin{aligned} &[1.07 - 1.645(0.029)] \text{ to } [1.07 + 1.645(0.029)] \\ &\text{or } 1.02 \text{ to } 1.12. \end{aligned}$$

One can say with 90 percent confidence that the interval includes the ratio that would have been obtained by averaging the results from all possible samples.

**Example 6. Computing the standard error and confidence interval of a median.** The following example shows the steps for calculating an estimated standard error and confidence interval for the median housing value in City C.

1. The housing unit observed sampling rate in City C is 14.3. Suppose that the corresponding design factor in Table C for the housing characteristic "Value" is 1.2.
2. Obtain the frequency distribution for housing values in City C. The base is the sum of the frequencies (4,227).

Table 1. Frequency Distribution and Cumulative Totals for Housing Value

Housing value	Frequency	Cumulative sum	Cumulative per cent
Less than \$50,000. ....	1,548	1,548	36.62
\$50,000 to \$99,999. ....	820	2,368	56.02
\$100,000 to \$149,999. ....	752	3,120	73.81
\$150,000 to \$199,999. ....	524	3,644	86.21
\$200,000 to \$299,999. ....	300	3,944	93.30
\$300,000 to \$499,999. ....	248	4,192	99.17
\$500,000 or more. ....	35	4,227	100.00

3. Determine the standard error of the estimate of 50 percent from the distribution:

$$\begin{aligned} SE(50 \text{ percent}) &= \sqrt{\frac{5}{4,227} \times 50^2 \times 1.2} \\ &= 2.06 \end{aligned}$$

4. Calculate a confidence interval with bounds:

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\text{lower}} &= 50 - 2.06 = 47.94 \\ p_{\text{upper}} &= 50 + 2.06 = 52.06 \end{aligned}$$

From the given distribution, the category with the cumulative percent first exceeding 47.94 percent is \$50,000 to \$99,999. Therefore, A1 = \$50,000. C1 is the cumulative percent of housing units with value less than \$50,000. As a result, C1 = 36.62 percent.

The category with the cumulative percent that first exceeds 52.06 percent is also \$50,000 to \$99,999. A2 is the smallest value in the next (higher) category, resulting in A2 = \$100,000. C2 is the cumulative percent of housing units with value less than \$100,000. Thus, C2 = 56.02 percent.

- Given the values obtained in earlier steps, calculate the Lower and Upper Bounds of the confidence interval about the median:

$$\text{Lower Bound} = \left[ \frac{47.94 - 36.62}{56.02 - 36.62} \right] \times (\$100,000 - \$50,000) + \$50,000$$

$$\text{Upper Bound} = \left[ \frac{52.06 - 36.62}{56.02 - 36.62} \right] \times (\$100,000 - \$50,000) + \$50,000$$

The confidence interval is \$79,175 to \$89,794.

- The estimated standard error of the median is

$$\text{SE}(\text{median}) = \frac{\$89,794 - \$79,175}{2} = \$5,310$$

**Example 7. Computing the standard error of a mean.** This example shows the steps for calculating the standard error for the average commuting time in City D. The frequency distribution is given in Table 2.

Table 2. Frequency Distribution for Travel Time to Work

Travel time to work	Frequency
Did not work at home: .....	776,619
Less than 5 minutes .....	14,602
5 to 9 minutes .....	69,066
10 to 14 minutes .....	107,161
15 to 19 minutes .....	138,187
20 to 24 minutes .....	139,726
25 to 29 minutes .....	52,879
30 to 34 minutes .....	120,636
35 to 39 minutes .....	19,751
40 to 44 minutes .....	25,791
45 to 59 minutes .....	50,322
60 to 89 minutes .....	29,178
90 or more minutes .....	9,320
Worked at home .....	19,986

- Cumulating the frequencies over the 12 categories for those who commuted to work (i.e., Did not work at home) yields the population count (base) of 776,619 workers age 16 years and over.
- Find the midpoint  $m_j$  for each of the 12 categories. Multiply each category's proportion  $p_j$  by the square of the midpoint and sum this product over all categories.

For example, the midpoint of category 1 "Less than 5 minutes" is

$$m_1 = \frac{0 + 5}{2} = 2.5 \text{ minutes}$$

while the midpoint of the 12<sup>th</sup> category "90 or more minutes" is

$$m_{12} = \left( \frac{3}{2} \right) 90 = 135 \text{ minutes.}$$

The proportion of units in the first category,  $p_1$ , is

$$p_1 = \frac{14,602}{776,619} = 0.019.$$

Necessary products for the standard error calculation are given in Table 3 along with totals.

**Table 3. Calculations for Travel Time to Work**

Travel time to work	$p_j$	$m_j$	$p_j m_j^2$	$p_j m_j$
Did not work at home:				
Less than 5 minutes .....	0.019	2.5	0.119	0.048
5 to 9 minutes .....	0.089	7	4.361	0.623
10 to 14 minutes .....	0.138	12	19.872	1.656
15 to 19 minutes .....	0.178	17	51.442	3.026
20 to 24 minutes .....	0.180	22	87.120	3.960
25 to 29 minutes .....	0.068	27	49.572	1.836
30 to 34 minutes .....	0.155	32	158.720	4.960
35 to 39 minutes .....	0.025	37	34.225	0.925
40 to 44 minutes .....	0.033	42	58.212	1.386
45 to 59 minutes .....	0.065	52	175.760	3.380
60 to 89 minutes .....	0.038	74.5	210.910	2.831
90 or more minutes .....	0.012	135	218.700	1.620
		Total	1069.013	26.251

- To estimate the mean commuting time for people in City D, multiply each category's proportion by its midpoint and sum over all categories in the universe. Table 3 shows an estimated mean travel time to work,  $\bar{x}$ , of 26 minutes.
- Calculate the estimated population variance.

$$s^2 = 1069.013 - (26)^2$$

$$= 393.013$$

- In City D, the person observed sampling rate is 13.1 percent. Suppose the design factor for "Travel time to work" in City D, given in the "Less than 15 percent" percent-in-sample column of Table C, is 1.4. Use this information and the results from steps 1 through 4 to calculate an estimated standard error for the mean as:

$$SE(\bar{x}) = \sqrt{\frac{5}{776,619} \times 393.013 \times 1.4}$$

$$= 0.07 \text{ minutes.}$$

### ESTIMATION PROCEDURE

The estimates that appear in this product were obtained from an iterative ratio estimation procedure (iterative proportional fitting) resulting in the assignment of a weight to each sample person or housing unit record. For any given tabulation area, a characteristic total was estimated by summing the weights assigned to the people or housing units possessing the characteristic in the tabulation area. Estimates of family or household characteristics were based on the weight assigned to the family member designated as householder. Each sample person or housing unit record was assigned exactly one weight to be used to produce estimates of all characteristics. For example, if the weight given to a sample person or housing unit had the value 6, all characteristics of that person or housing unit would be tabulated with a weight of 6. The estimation procedure, however, did assign weights varying from person to person or housing unit to housing unit.

The estimation procedure used to assign the weights was performed in geographically defined *weighting areas*. Generally, weighting areas were formed of contiguous geographic units within counties. Weighting areas were required to have a minimum sample of 400 people. Also, weighting areas never crossed state or county boundaries. In small counties with a sample count below 400 people, the minimum sample size condition was relaxed to permit the entire county to become a weighting area.



---

## People

Within a weighting area, the long form sample was ratio-adjusted to equal the 100-percent totals for certain data groups. There were four stages of ratio adjustment for people. The first stage used 21 household-type groups. The second stage used three groups with the following sampling rates: 1-in-2, 1-in-4, and less than 1-in-4. The third stage used the dichotomy householders/nonhouseholders and the fourth stage used 312 aggregate age-sex-race-Hispanic origin groups. The stages were defined as follows:

## People

### Stage I: Type of Household

Group	Family with own children under 18: Number of people in housing unit
1	2
2	3
3	4
4	5
5	6-7
6	8 or more
	Family without own children under 18:
7-12	2 through 8 or more
	All other housing units:
13	1
14-19	2 through 8 or more
20	People in group quarters
21	Service Based Enumerations

### Stage II: Sampling Type

Group	
1	1-in-2
2	1-in-4
3	1-in-6 or 1-in-8

### Stage III: Householder Status

Group	
1	Householder
2	Nonhouseholder

---

**People—Con.**

**Stage IV: Age/Sex/Race/Hispanic origin**

People of Hispanic origin: Black or African American: Male:

Group	Age
1	0-4
2	5-14
3	15-17
4	18-19
5	20-24
6	25-29
7	30-34
8	35-44
9	45-49
10	50-54
11	55-64
12	65-74
13	75+
14-26	Female: Same age categories as 1-13
27-52	American Indian or Alaska Native: Same gender and age categories as 1-26
53-78	Asian: Same gender and age categories as 1-26
79-104	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander: Same gender and age categories as 1-26
105-130	White: Same gender and age categories as 1-26
131-156	Some Other Race: Same gender and age categories as 1-26
157-312	People not of Hispanic origin: Same race, gender, and age categories as 1-156

NOTE: Multiple race people were included in one of the six race groups for estimation purposes only. Subsequent tabulations were based on the full set of responses to the race item.

The ratio estimation procedure for people was conducted within a weighting area in four stages as follows:

**Stage I.**

1. Assign an initial weight to each sample person record approximately equal to the inverse of the observed sampling rate for the weighting area.
2. Prior to iterative proportional fitting, combine categories in each of the four estimation stages, if necessary, to increase the reliability of the ratio estimation procedure. For each stage, any group that did not meet certain criteria for the unweighted sample count or for the ratio of the 100-percent to the initially weighted sample count was combined with another group in the same stage according to a specified collapsing pattern. There was an additional criterion concerning the number of complete count people in each race/Hispanic origin category in the second estimation stage.
3. The initial weights underwent four stages of ratio adjustment applying the grouping procedures described above. At the first stage, the ratio of the complete census count to the sum of the initial weights for each sample person was computed for each Stage I group. The initial weight assigned to each person in a group was then multiplied by the Stage I group ratio to produce an adjusted weight.

**Stage II.** The Stage I adjusted weights were again adjusted by the ratio of the complete census count to the sum of the Stage I weights for sample people in each Stage II group.

---

**Stage III.** The Stage II weights were adjusted by the ratio of the complete census count to the sum of the Stage II weights for sample people in each Stage III group.

**Stage IV.** The Stage III weights were adjusted by the ratio of the complete census count to the sum of the Stage III weights for sample people in each Stage IV group.

The four stages of ratio adjustment were repeated in the order given above until the predefined stopping criteria were met. The weights obtained from the final iteration of Stage IV were assigned to the sample person records. However, to avoid complications in rounding for tabulated data, only whole number weights were assigned. For example, if the final weight of the people in a particular group was 7.25, then 1/4 of the sample people in this group were randomly assigned a weight of 8, while the remaining 3/4 received a weight of 7.

### **Housing Units**

The ratio estimation procedure for housing units was essentially the same as that for people, except that vacant housing units were treated separately. The occupied housing unit ratio estimation procedure was done in three stages. The first stage for occupied housing units used 19 household type groups while the second stage used three sampling type groups. The third stage used 24 race-Hispanic origin-tenure groups. The vacant housing unit ratio estimation procedure was done in a single stage with three groups. The stages for ratio estimation for housing units were as follows:

#### **Occupied Housing Units**

Stage I: Type of Household

	Family with own children under 18:
Group	Number of people in housing unit
1	2
2	3
3	4
4	5
5	6-7
6	8 or more
	Family without own children under 18:
7-12	2 through 8 or more
	All other housing units:
13	1
14-19	2 through 8 or more

#### **Stage II: Sampling Type**

Group	
1	1-in-2
2	1-in-4
3	1-in-6 or 1-in-8

---

## Occupied Housing Units—Con.

### Stage III: Race and Hispanic Origin of Householder/Tenure

Group	Owner: Hispanic origin:
1	Black or African American
2	American Indian or Alaska Native
3	Asian
4	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander
5	White
6	Some Other Race
7-12	Owner: Not of Hispanic origin: Same race categories as 1-6
13-24	Renter: Same Hispanic origin and race categories as 1-12

### Vacant Housing Units

Group	
1	Vacant for rent
2	Vacant for sale
3	Other vacant

The estimates produced by this estimation procedure realize some of the gains in sampling efficiency that would have resulted if the population had been stratified into the ratio-estimation groups before sampling and if the sampling rate had been applied independently to each group. The net effect is a reduction in both the standard error and the possible bias of most estimated characteristics to levels below what would have resulted from simply using the initial, unadjusted weight. A by-product of this estimation procedure is that the estimates from the sample will, for the most part, be consistent with the complete count figures for the population and housing unit groups used in the estimation procedure.

### CONSISTENCY WITH COMPLETE COUNTS

As described earlier, Census 2000 long form data were collected on a sample basis. Cities and incorporated places were used to determine sampling rates to support estimates for these areas. As a result, each city, incorporated place, school district, and county had addresses selected in the long form sample.

To produce estimates from the long form data, weighting was performed at the weighting area level. In forming weighting areas, trade-offs between reliability, consistency of the estimates, and complexity of the implementation were considered. The decision was made to form weighting areas consisting of small geographic areas with at least 400 sample persons (or about 200 or more completed long forms) that do not cross county boundaries. No other boundary constraints were imposed. Thus, total population estimates from the long form data will agree with census counts reported in SF 1 and SF 2 for the weighting area, county, and other higher geographic areas obtained by combining either weighting areas or counties. Differences between long form estimates of characteristics in the SF 3 and their corresponding values in the SF 1 or SF 2 are particularly noticeable for small places, tracts, and block groups. Examples of these characteristics are the total number of people, the number of people reporting specific racial categories, and the number of housing units. The official values for items reported on the short form come from SF 1 and SF 2.

Because the weighting areas were formed at a smaller geographic level, any differential nonresponse to long form questionnaires by demographic groups or geographical areas included in a weighting area may introduce differences in complete counts (SF 1 and SF 2) and the SF 3 total population estimates. Also, an insufficient number of sample cases in the weighting matrix cells could lead to differences in SF 1, SF 2, and SF 3 population totals. Thus, differences between the census and SF 3 counts are typical and expected.

---

In 1990, separate tabulations were not prepared for small areas below a certain size. In contrast, Census 2000 tabulations are being prepared for all areas to maximize data availability. This approach may lead to a greater number of anomalous results than what may have been observed with tabulations released from the 1990 census. A similar phenomenon occurred in the 1990 census when weighting areas respected city and place boundaries. Census counts differed from the long form data estimates in small places. As expected, these differences were sometimes large.

The SF 1 tables provide the official census count of the number of people in an area. The SF 3 tables provide estimates of the proportion of people with specific characteristics, such as occupation, disability, or educational attainment. The total number of people in the SF 3 table is provided for use as the denominator, or base, for these proportions. Estimates in the SF 3 tables give the best estimates of the proportion of people with a particular characteristic, but the census count is the official count of how many people are in the area.

The SF 1 gives exact numbers even for very small groups and areas; whereas, SF 3 gives estimates for small groups and areas, such as tracts and small places, that are less exact. The goal of SF 3 is to identify large differences among areas or large changes over time. Estimates for small areas and small population groups often exhibit large changes from one census to the next, so having the capability to measure them is worthwhile.

## **NONSAMPLING ERROR**

In any large-scale statistical operation, such as Census 2000, human and processing errors occur. These errors are commonly referred to as nonsampling errors. Such errors include: not enumerating every household or every person in the population, failing to obtain all required information from the respondents, obtaining incorrect or inconsistent information, and recording information incorrectly. In addition, errors can occur during the field review of the enumerators' work, during clerical handling of the census questionnaires, or during the electronic processing of the questionnaires.

While it is impossible to completely eliminate nonsampling error from an operation as large and complex as the decennial census, the Census Bureau attempts to control the sources of such error during the data collection and processing operations. The primary sources of nonsampling error and the programs instituted to control this error in Census 2000 are described below. The success of these programs, however, was contingent upon how well the instructions actually were carried out during the census. As part of the Census 2000 evaluation program, both the effects of these programs and the amount of error remaining after their application will be evaluated.

### **Types of Nonsampling Error**

**Nonresponse.** Nonresponse to particular questions on the census questionnaire or the failure to obtain any information for a housing unit allows for the introduction of bias into the data because the characteristics of the nonrespondents have not been observed and may differ from those reported by respondents. As a result, any imputation procedure using respondent data may not completely reflect these differences either at the elemental level (individual person or housing unit) or on average. As part of the data processing, people and/or housing units for which sample data were not collected may have their data imputed to ensure a sufficient number of sample people/housing units in a given area. As a result, the imputation rates for some small geographic areas, such as tracts, are very high. The Census Bureau cautions data users about the impact the higher imputation rates may have on the sample characteristics. Some protection against the introduction of large biases is afforded by minimizing nonresponse. Characteristics for the nonresponses were imputed by using reported data for a person or housing unit with similar characteristics.

**Respondent and enumerator error.** The person answering the mail questionnaire for a household or responding to the questions posed by an enumerator could serve as a source of error, although the question wording was extensively tested in several experimental studies prior to the census. The mail respondent may overlook or misunderstand a question, or answer a question in a way that cannot be interpreted correctly by the data capture system. Also, the enumerator may:

---

misinterpret or otherwise incorrectly record information given by a respondent, fail to collect some of the information for a person or household, or collect data for households that were not designated as part of the sample. Enumerators were monitored carefully to minimize these types of field enumeration problems. Field staff was prepared for their tasks by using standardized training packages that included hands-on experience with census materials. A sample of the households interviewed by each enumerator was reinterviewed to control for the possibility of fabricated data being submitted by enumerators.

**Processing error.** The many phases involved in processing the census data represent potential sources for the introduction of nonsampling error. The processing of the census questionnaires completed by enumerators included field review by the crew leader, check-in, and transmittal of completed questionnaires. No field reviews were done on the mail return questionnaires. Error may also be introduced by the misinterpretation of data by the data capture system, or the failure to capture all the information that the respondents or enumerators provided on the forms. Write-in entries go through coding operations, which may also be a source of processing error in the data. Many of the various field, coding, and computer operations undergo a number of quality control checks to help ensure their accurate application.

### **Reduction of Nonsampling Error**

A number of techniques were implemented during the census planning and development stages to reduce various types of nonsampling errors. Quality assurance methods were used throughout the data collection and processing phases of the census to improve the quality of the data. In addition, the Census Bureau implemented a reinterview program to minimize errors in the data-collection phase for enumerator-filled questionnaires.

Several initiatives were implemented during Census 2000 to minimize the undercoverage of population and housing units and to reduce costs. These programs were developed based on experience from the 1990 decennial census and results from the Census 2000 testing cycle. They include:

- Be Counted questionnaires – unaddressed forms requesting all short form data and a few additional items – were available in public locations for people who believed they were not otherwise counted.
- An introductory letter was sent to all Mailout/Mailback addresses and many addresses in Update/Leave areas prior to the mailing of the census form. A reminder postcard was also sent to these addresses.
- Forms in Spanish and other languages were mailed to those who requested them by returning the introductory letter.
- A well-publicized, toll-free telephone number was available to answer questions about the census forms. Responses from people in households who received a short form could be taken over the telephone.
- Under the Local Update of Census Addresses (LUCA) program, local officials had the opportunity to address specific concerns about the accuracy and completeness of the Master Address File before mailings began.

### **Resolving Multiple Responses**

There were multiple modes of response for Census 2000. Because there were various ways people could initiate their enumeration in the census, and the fact that some people would be enumerated during field followup, it was very likely that some people could be enumerated more than once. A special computer process was implemented to control this type of nonsampling error by resolving situations where more than one form was received from a particular housing unit, as designated by its identification (ID). The process consisted of several steps. IDs that had more

---

than one viable return were analyzed. Within each of these IDs, the person records on each return were compared with person records on the other return(s). People included on two or more different returns were marked as such, and only one of the person records was used in the creation of the household roster.

### **EDITING OF UNACCEPTABLE DATA**

The objective of the processing operation was to produce a set of data that describes the population as accurately and clearly as possible. In a major change from past practice, the information on Census 2000 questionnaires generally was not edited for consistency, completeness, or acceptability during field data collection or data capture operations. Census crew leaders and local office clerks reviewed enumerator-filled questionnaires for adherence to specified procedures. Mail return questionnaires were not subjected to clerical review and households were not contacted, as in previous censuses, to collect data that were missing from census returns.

Most census questionnaires received by mail from respondents as well as those filled by enumerators were processed through a new contractor-built image scanning system that used optical mark and character recognition to convert the responses into computer files. The optical character recognition, or OCR, process used several pattern and context checks to estimate accuracy thresholds for each write-in field. The system also used “soft edits” on most interpreted numeric write-in responses to decide whether the field values read by the machine interpretation were acceptable. If the value read had a lower than acceptable accuracy threshold or was outside the soft edit range, the image of the item was displayed to a keyer who then entered the response.

To control the possible creation of erroneous people from questionnaires containing stray marks or completed incorrectly, the data capture system included an edit for the number of people indicated on each mail return and enumerator-filled questionnaire. If the edit failed, the questionnaire image was reviewed at a workstation by an operator. The operator identified erroneous person records and corrected OCR interpretation errors in the population count field.

At Census Bureau headquarters, the mail response data records were subjected to a computer edit that identified households exhibiting a possible coverage problem and those with more than six household members – the maximum number of people who could be enumerated on a mail questionnaire. Attempts were made to contact these households on the telephone to correct the count inconsistency and to collect census data for those people for whom there was no room on the questionnaire.

Incomplete or inconsistent information on the questionnaire data records was assigned acceptable values using *imputation* procedures during the final automated edit of the collected data. As in previous censuses, the general procedure for changing unacceptable entries was to assign an entry for a person that was consistent with entries for people with similar characteristics. Assigning acceptable codes in place of blanks or unacceptable entries enhances the usefulness of the data.

Another way in which corrections were made during the computer editing process was *substitution*. Substitution assigned a full set of characteristics for people in a household. If there was an indication that a household was occupied by a specified number of people but the questionnaire contained no information for people within the household, or the occupants were not listed on the questionnaire, the Census Bureau selected a previously accepted household of the same size and substituted its full set of characteristics for this household.

**Table A. Unadjusted Standard Error for Estimated Totals**  
 [Based on a 1-in-6 simple random sample]

Estimated total <sup>1</sup>	Size of publication area <sup>2</sup>													
	500	1,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	25,000	50,000	100,000	250,000	500,000	1,000,000	5,000,000	10,000,000	25,000,000
50	15	15	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16
100	20	21	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22
250	25	31	34	34	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
500	-	35	45	47	49	49	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
1,000	-	-	55	63	67	69	70	70	71	71	71	71	71	71
2,500	-	-	-	79	97	106	109	110	111	112	112	112	112	112
5,000	-	-	-	-	112	141	150	154	157	157	158	158	158	158
10,000	-	-	-	-	-	173	200	212	219	221	222	223	223	224
15,000	-	-	-	-	-	173	229	252	266	270	272	273	274	274
25,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	250	306	335	345	349	353	353	353
75,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	306	512	565	589	608	610	611
100,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	548	632	671	700	704	706
250,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	791	968	1090	1104	1112
500,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1118	1500	1541	1565
1,000,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2000	2121	2191
5,000,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3536	4472
10,000,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5477

<sup>1</sup>For estimated totals larger than 10,000,000, the standard error is somewhat larger than the table values. Use the formula given below to calculate the standard error.

$$SE(\hat{Y}) = \sqrt{5\hat{Y}\left(1 - \frac{\hat{Y}}{N}\right)}$$

N = Size of publication area

$\hat{Y}$  = Estimate of characteristic total

The 5 in the above equation is based on a 1-in-6 sample and is derived from the inverse of the sampling rate minus one, i.e.,  $5 = 6 - 1$ .

<sup>2</sup>The total count of people, housing units, households, or families in the area if the estimated total is a person, housing unit, household, or family characteristic, respectively.

**Table B. Unadjusted Standard Error in Percentage Points for Estimated Percentages**  
 [Based on a 1-in-6 simple random sample]

Estimated percentage	Base of estimated percentage <sup>1</sup>													
	500	750	1,000	1,500	2,500	5,000	7,500	10,000	25,000	50,000	100,000	250,000	500,000	
2 or 98	1.4	1.1	1.0	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
5 or 95	2.2	1.8	1.5	1.3	1.0	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
10 or 90	3.0	2.4	2.1	1.7	1.3	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
15 or 85	3.6	2.9	2.5	2.1	1.6	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1
20 or 80	4.0	3.3	2.8	2.3	1.8	1.3	1.0	0.9	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1
25 or 75	4.3	3.5	3.1	2.5	1.9	1.4	1.1	1.0	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1
30 or 70	4.6	3.7	3.2	2.6	2.0	1.4	1.2	1.0	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1
35 or 65	4.8	3.9	3.4	2.8	2.1	1.5	1.2	1.1	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2
50	5.0	4.1	3.5	2.9	2.2	1.6	1.3	1.1	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2

<sup>1</sup>For a percentage and/or base of percentage not shown in the table, use the formula given below to calculate the standard error. Use this table only for proportions; that is, where the numerator is a subset of the denominator.

$$SE(\hat{p}) = \sqrt{\left(\frac{5}{B}\right)\hat{p}(100 - \hat{p})}$$

B = Base of estimated percentage

$\hat{p}$  = Estimated percentage

The 5 in the above equation is based on a 1-in-6 sample and is derived from the inverse of the sampling rate minus one, i.e.,  $5 = 6 - 1$ .



Table C. Standard Error Design Factors—Nevada

[Percent of people or housing units in sample]

Characteristic	Less than 15 Percent	15 percent to less than 25 percent	25 percent to less than 35 percent	35 percent or more
<b>POPULATION</b>				
Age .....	1.3	1.1	0.9	0.6
Sex.....	1.3	1.1	0.8	0.6
Race .....	2.3	1.8	1.3	1.0
Hispanic or Latino .....	2.3	1.9	1.4	1.0
Marital status.....	1.1	1.0	0.7	0.5
Household type and relationship .....	1.2	1.0	0.8	0.5
Disabled and employment disability .....	1.4	1.2	0.9	0.6
Ancestry.....	1.9	1.6	1.2	0.8
Place of birth .....	1.6	1.3	1.0	0.6
Citizenship status .....	1.7	1.5	1.1	0.8
Residence in 1995 .....	2.0	1.8	1.4	0.9
Year of entry .....	1.7	1.5	0.9	0.7
Language spoken at home and ability to speak English .....	1.7	1.4	0.9	0.7
Educational attainment.....	1.3	1.1	0.9	0.6
School enrollment .....	1.6	1.3	1.0	0.7
Type of residence (urban/rural).....	1.5	1.4	1.6	0.7
Household type .....	1.2	1.0	0.8	0.5
Family type.....	2.3	2.0	1.4	1.0
Subfamily type and presence of children.....	1.4	1.1	0.8	0.6
Grandparent status and responsibility for grandchild.....	1.5	1.3	0.8	0.7
Employment status.....	1.3	1.1	0.8	0.5
Industry .....	1.4	1.2	0.8	0.6
Occupation.....	1.3	1.1	0.8	0.6
Class of worker .....	1.4	1.2	0.9	0.6
Usual hours worked per week and weeks worked in 1999 .....	1.3	1.1	0.8	0.6
Number of workers in family in 1999 .....	1.3	1.1	0.8	0.5
Place of work .....	1.4	1.2	0.8	0.6
Means of transportation to work .....	1.4	1.2	0.8	0.6
Travel time to work.....	1.4	1.2	0.9	0.6
Time leaving home to go to work.....	1.4	1.1	0.9	0.6
Private vehicle occupancy.....	1.4	1.2	0.8	0.6
Type of income in 1999.....	1.5	1.3	1.0	0.6
Household income in 1999.....	1.3	1.1	0.9	0.6
Family income in 1999 .....	1.3	1.1	0.8	0.6
Poverty status in 1999 (persons).....	1.6	1.4	1.0	0.7
Poverty status in 1999 (families) .....	1.3	1.1	0.8	0.5
Military service and veteran status .....	1.2	1.0	0.8	0.5
<b>HOUSING</b>				
Age of householder .....	1.3	1.1	0.8	0.5
Race of householder.....	1.2	1.0	0.6	0.6
Hispanic or Latino householder.....	1.2	1.0	0.7	0.5
Type of residence (urban/rural).....	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.4
Units in structure .....	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.5
Tenure .....	1.2	1.0	0.7	0.6
Occupancy status.....	1.3	1.0	0.9	0.5
Value.....	1.2	1.0	0.7	0.6
Gross rent .....	1.2	1.1	0.6	0.6
Household income in 1999.....	1.3	1.1	0.7	0.6
Year structure built.....	1.2	1.0	0.7	0.6
Rooms, bedrooms .....	1.2	1.0	0.7	0.6
Kitchen facilities .....	1.0	1.0	0.8	0.5
Plumbing facilities .....	1.2	1.0	0.7	0.5
House heating fuel.....	1.1	1.0	0.7	0.5
Telephone service available.....	1.1	1.0	0.7	0.5
Vehicles available .....	1.2	1.0	0.7	0.6
Year householder moved into unit.....	1.2	1.0	0.7	0.6
Mortgage status and monthly mortgage costs.....	1.2	1.0	0.7	0.5
Mortgage status and selected monthly owner costs .....	1.2	1.0	0.7	0.6
Gross rent as a percentage of household income in 1999.....	1.3	1.1	0.7	0.6
Household income in 1999 by selected monthly owner costs as a percentage of income in 1999 .....	1.2	1.0	0.7	0.6

Nevada: 2000      **2000 Census of Population and Housing**  
*Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics*