# 18. Assessment of the big and longnose skate stocks and the other skate stock complex in the Gulf of Alaska

Olav A. Ormseth NMFS Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Seattle WA

#### **Executive Summary**

The Gulf of Alaska (GOA) skate complex is managed as three units. Big skates (*Beringraja binoculata*) and longnose skates (*Raja rhina*) each have separate harvest specifications, with acceptable biological catches (ABCs) specified for each GOA regulatory area (western, central, and eastern). A single gulfwide overfishing level (OFL) is specified for each stock. All remaining skate species are managed as an "Other Skates" complex with gulfwide harvest specifications. All GOA skates are managed under Tier 5, where OFL and ABC are based on survey biomass estimates and natural mortality rate.

Gulf of Alaska skates are assessed on a biennial schedule, with full assessments due in odd years to coincide with the availability of new trawl survey data. In even years an executive summary is prepared with updated catch data. The full assessment from 2015 is available on the web (Ormseth 2015, http://www.afsc.noaa.gov/REFM/Docs/2015/GOAskate.pdf).

#### **Summary of Changes in Assessment Inputs**

1) Catch data for all GOA skates has been updated through October 16, 2016.

#### **Summary of Results**

- 1) Catches of big skates in the central GOA regulatory area (CGOA) increased in 2016, reversing a trend of reduced catches in 2014 and 2015 relative to 2013 and earlier years (Tables 2-3 and Figure 1). The reduced catches in 2014 and 2015 were associated with early (February) prohibitions on big skate retention in those years; in contrast, in 2016 retention was permitted but was limited by a 5% maximum retention allowance (MRA). The reduced MRA was intended to reduce the incentive for targeting of big skates and diminish the probability that the ABC would be exceeded. Despite a prohibition on big skate retention in the CGOA that was issued on September 27, 2016, at the time of this assessment the catch had exceeded the ABC by a small amount and it is unclear the degree to which the final 2016 catch will be over ABC.
- 2) The catch of longnose skates in 2016 was similar to previous years (Tables 2-3). Similar to 2015 the catch in the western GOA regulatory area (WGOA) exceeded the ABC and retention of longnose skates in the WGOA was prohibited beginning April 25, 2016.
- 3) The retention rate of big skates in 2016 increased relative to 2014 and 2015, but was still lower than in 2013 and previous years (Table 4). Retention of longnose skate was lower in 2016 relative to previous years, but the retention of other skates was higher than in any year since 2007 (Table 4).

Following are the harvest recommendation summary tables for GOA skate stocks. W, C, and E indicate the western, central, and eastern GOA regulatory areas, respectively. Big and longnose skates have areaspecific ABCs and gulfwide OFLs; the other skate complex has a gulfwide ABC and OFL.

	big skate (Beringraja binoculata)											
		As estimated or	r specified	As estimated or								
	<i>last</i> year	for	recommended th	is year for:								
Quantity	2016	2017	2017	2018								
M (natural mortality)		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1							
Specified/recommended Tier		5	5	5	5							
	W	12,112	12,112	12,112	12,112							
Biomass (t)	С	24,666	24,666	24,666	24,666							
	Е	14,079	14,079	14,079	14,079							
	GOA-wide	50,857	50,857	50,857	50,857							
$F_{OFL}(F=M)$		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1							
maximum $F_{ABC}$		0.075	0.075	0.075	0.075							
$F_{ABC}$		0.075	0.075	0.075	0.075							
OFL (t)	GOA-wide	5,086	5,086	5,086	5,086							
ABC (4:14	W	908	908	908	908							
ABC (t; equal to maximum ABC)	С	1,850	1,850	1,850	1,850							
TIBE)	E	1,056	1,056	1,056	1,056							
Status		As determined la	st year for:	As determined <i>this</i> year for:								
Status	2014	2015	2015	2016								
Overfishing?		no	n/a	no	n/a							
(for Tier 5 stocks, data are n	ot available to	determine whethe	r the stock is	(for Tier 5 stocks, data are not available to determine whether the stock is in an overfished condition)								

	longnos	se skate ( <i>Raja i</i>	hina)		
	As estimated of	or specified last	As estimated or		
	year	r for	recommended	this year for:	
Quantity	2016	2017	2017	2018	
M (natural mortality)		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Specified/recommended Tier		5	5	5	5
	W	808	808	808	808
Biomass (t)	C	33,503	33,503	33,503	33,503
	Е	8,426	8,426	8,426	8,426
	GOA-wide	42,737	42,737	42,737	42,737
$F_{OFL}(F=M)$		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
maximum $F_{ABC}$		0.075	0.075	0.075	0.075
$F_{ABC}$		0.075	0.075	0.075	0.075
OFL (t)	GOA-wide	4,274	4,274	4,274	4,274
ABC (t; equal to maximum	W	61	61	61	61
ABC)	C	2,513	2,513	2,513	2,513
- /	Е	632	632	632	632
		As determined	l <i>last</i> year for:	As determined	this year for:
Status	2014	2015	2015	2016	
Overfishing?		no	n/a	no	n/a
(for Tier 5 stocks, data are 1	not available to	determine wheth	ner the stock is i	n an overfished	condition)

other skates (Bathyraja sp.)									
		As estimated or	r <i>specified</i>	As estimate	d or				
	last year	for	recommended this year for						
Quantity		2016	2017	2017	2018				
M (natural mortality)		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1				
Specified/recommended Tier		5	5	5	5				
Biomass (t)	(t) GOA-wide			25,580	25,580				
$F_{OFL}(F=M)$		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1				
maximum $F_{ABC}$		0.075	0.075	0.075	0.075				
$F_{ABC}$		0.075	0.075	0.075	0.075				
OFL (t)	GOA-wide	2,558	2,558	2,558	2,558				
ABC (t; equal to maximum ABC)	GOA-wide	1,919	1,919	1,919	1,919				
		As determined la	st year for:	As determined this	s year for:				
Status		2014	2015	2015	2016				
Overfishing?		no	n/a	no	n/a				
(for Tier 5 stocks, data are not	available to de	etermine whether t	the stock is i	n an overfished cor	ndition)				

#### Responses to SSC and Plan Team Comments on Assessments in General

There were no relevant general comments from either the SSC or Pan Team.

#### Responses to SSC and Plan Team Comments Specific to this Assessment

From the November 2015 GOA Plan Team minutes:

The Team recommended considering the following suggestions for future assessments:

- 1. Exploring shared process error among areas in RE estimates of biomass,
- 2. Examining a more thorough accounting of skate catches in the directed halibut fishery,
- 3. Including IPHC survey for regional CPUE and apportionment
- 4. Given skate association with depth strata, consider analyzing skate abundance as a function of habitat.

*Response*: These suggestions will be considered in the next full assessment that will be conducted in 2017.

From the November 2015 GOA Plan Team minutes:

The Team recommended that the random effects model be used to estimate the gulf-wide ABC by species or species aggregate. Also, the Team recommended that the apportionment be determined by the individual area random effects biomass estimates.

*Response*: The recommended approaches were used for harvest recommendations in the 2015 full assessment and are carried forward in the 2016 assessment.

From the December 2015 SSC report:

The SSC reiterates its request that the author investigate whether there is information to support that skates in areas 649 and 659 are part of the GOA population and, if so, how to estimate skate biomass in these areas.

*Response*: Area 649 & 659 catches will be explored in the next full assessment that will be conducted in 2017.

### **Tables**

Table 1. Gulfwide bottom trawl survey biomass estimates (t) for the three managed skate groups in the GOA, 1984-2015. CV = coefficient of variation. Bottom row of table contains the 2015 biomass estimate from a random-effects timeseries model; this value is used for recommending harvest levels under Tier 5.

	big skate	big skate		cate	other ska	te	total skate
	biomass (t)	CV	biomass (t)	CV	biomass (t)	CV	biomass
1984	27,540	0.22	9,002	0.38	4,647	0.16	41,189
1987	28,093	0.16	6,631	0.36	3,339	0.21	38,063
1990	22,316	0.25	11,995	0.22	13,936	0.25	48,248
1993	39,708	0.18	17,803	0.12	6,191	0.14	63,702
1996	43,064	0.18	26,226	0.14	11,912	0.17	81,201
1999	54,650	0.15	39,333	0.14	18,946	0.11	112,929
2001	39,082	0.19	23,275	0.16	12,857	0.16	75,214
2003	55,397	0.16	39,603	0.09	21,775	0.11	116,775
2005	39,320	0.16	41,370	0.08	29,998	0.11	110,688
2007	39,630	0.19	34,470	0.11	32,289	0.11	106,388
2009	44,349	0.16	36,652	0.09	27,399	0.12	108,401
2011	67,883	0.37	33,911	0.11	21,389	0.10	123,183
2013	38,234	0.26	44,484	0.11	30,705	0.11	113,423
2015	58,006	0.17	41,833	0.09	25,182	0.11	125,020
RE estimate	50,857		42,737		25,580		

Table 2. Time series of ABC, OFL and catch (t) for skates, beginning in 2005 when the current management approach for skates was adopted. For big and longnose skates, ABC and catch are divided by GOA regulatory area (Western, Central, Eastern). Red-shaded cells indicate years/areas where the catch exceeded the ABC. All data are from the Alaska Regional Office.

year	species/			ABC		OFL		estimated	skate catc	h
year	group	W	C	E	GOA		W	С	E	GOA
	big	727	2,463	809		5,332	26	811	65	902
2005	longnose	66	1,972	780		3,757	37	993	162	1,192
	other				1,327	1,769	163	506	42	711
	big	695	2,250	599		4,726	72	1,272	344	1,688
2006	longnose	65	1,969	861		3,860	57	682	219	958
	other				1,617	2,156	354	988	51	1,393
	big	695	2,250	599		4,726	69	1,518	8	1,595
2007	longnose	65	1,969	861		3,860	76	978	342	1,396
	other				1,617	2,156	479	690	88	1,257
	big	632	2,065	633		4,439	132	1,241	45	1,418
2008	longnose	78	2,041	768		3,849	34	965	113	1,112
	other				2,104	2,806	252	1,053	69	1,374
	big	632	2,065	633		4,439	79	1,903	100	2,082
2009	longnose	78	2,041	768		3,849	79	1,096	244	1,419
	other				2,104	2,806	343	1,092	113	1,548
	big	598	2,049	681		4,438	148	2,220	149	2,517
2010	longnose	81	2,009	762		3,803	105	846	131	1,082
	other				2,093	2,791	421	986	83	1,490
	big	598	2,049	681		4,438	110	2,111	90	2,311
2011	longnose	81	2,009	762		3,803	71	892	68	1,031
	other				2,093	2,791	313	977	59	1,349
	big	469	1,793	1,505		5,023	65	1,902	38	2,006
2012	longnose	70	1,879	676		3,500	39	793	93	925
	other				2,030	2,706	256	843	104	1,203
	big	469	1,793	1,505		5,023	121	2,319	79	2,519
2013	longnose	70	1,879	676		3,500	90	1,254	429	1,773
	other				2,030	2,706	218	1,486	174	1,878
	big	589	1,532	1,641		5,016	157	1,412	103	1,672
2014	longnose	107	1,935	834		3,835	59	1,158	355	1,572
	other				1,989	2,652	305	1,370	240	1,915
	big	589	1,532	1,641		5,016	233	1,224	58	1,515
2015	longnose	107	1,935	834		3,835	138	1,176	357	1,672
	other				1,989	2,652	571	1,035	175	1,782
	big	908	1,850	1,056		6,200	126	1,921	41	2,088
2016*	longnose	61	2,513	632		4,274	107	773	331	1,211
	other				1,919	2,558	288	959	146	1,393

<sup>\* 2016</sup> catch data are incomplete; retrieved October 16, 2016.

Table 3a. Catches (t) of **big skates** in the GOA by target fishery, 2005-2016. Data are from the Alaska Regional Office Catch Accounting System. The 2016 data are incomplete; retrieved October 16, 2016. Target fisheries are arranged separately in each table according to the 2016 estimated catch, in descending order.

	big skate											
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Halibut	36	566	11	34	163	42	142	35	420	413	338	738
Pacific Cod	222	417	536	584	552	928	921	735	611	840	771	596
Arrowtooth Flounder	225	163	299	219	433	484	817	677	949	190	237	569
Pollock	2	23	38	22	34	47	93	48	228	171	63	99
Shallow Water Flatfish	251	350	608	413	535	700	190	288	140	26	72	66
Flathead Sole	21	30	23	66	53	112	31	57	15	0	2	6
Rockfish	19	4	0	4	4	14	8	13	2	4	7	4
Sablefish	23	8	6	5	6	12	2	3	8	3	6	4
Rex Sole - GOA	49	99	74	70	264	172	106	149	145	25	19	4
Atka Mackerel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Other Species	56	27	0	2	38	5	1	0	1	0	0	1
Deep Water Flatfish	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
total	903	1,688	1,594	1,418	2,082	2,517	2,312	2,006	2,519	1,671	1,515	2,088

Table 3b. Catches (t) of **longnose skates** in the GOA by target fishery, 2005-2016. Data are from the Alaska Regional Office Catch Accounting System. The 2016 data are incomplete; retrieved October 16, 2016. Target fisheries are arranged separately in each table according to the 2016 estimated catch, in descending order.

	longnose skate											
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Pacific Cod	139	165	305	359	339	408	334	307	348	415	613	432
Halibut	103	186	400	105	421	106	191	114	704	421	503	328
Arrowtooth Flounder	373	135	165	212	152	166	238	181	218	303	250	202
Sablefish	105	298	277	126	81	109	69	121	321	159	122	149
Rockfish	20	21	17	12	17	12	25	23	23	26	33	39
Pollock	5	13	27	24	35	10	35	9	25	180	87	37
Shallow Water Flatfish	278	97	168	227	239	172	78	65	70	36	26	13
Flathead Sole	11	11	13	11	24	30	17	60	8	11	10	6
Rex Sole	19	29	24	36	82	52	44	45	54	23	21	4
Atka Mackerel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Other Species	137	2	0	0	30	16	0	0	1	0	7	0
Deep Water Flatfish	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
total	1,192	957	1,396	1,112	1,419	1,082	1,032	925	1,773	1,573	1,672	1,211

Table 3c. Catches (t) of **other skates** in the GOA by target fishery, 2005-2016. Data are from the Alaska Regional Office Catch Accounting System. The 2016 data are incomplete; retrieved October 16, 2016. Target fisheries are arranged separately in each table according to the 2016 estimated catch, in descending order.

	other skate complex											
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Pacific Cod	175	980	527	945	887	1,058	776	686	805	935	1,076	<i>789</i>
Halibut	47	74	109	32	256	37	142	100	682	534	290	233
Arrowtooth Flounder	194	64	123	88	99	133	242	174	63	163	118	192
Sablefish	122	124	262	144	89	133	117	148	200	169	177	141
Rockfish	59	49	20	10	13	28	15	20	18	45	21	17
Shallow Water Flatfish	36	27	79	107	98	35	20	33	44	28	30	16
Pollock	1	5	9	6	3	7	2	6	24	17	18	5
Flathead Sole	38	12	20	5	13	19	13	17	8	1	8	2
Rex Sole	36	56	103	22	60	41	21	20	33	21	13	0
Atka Mackerel	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Deep Water Flatfish	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Other Species	2	3	4	16	30	0	0	0	0	0	30	0
total	711	1,393	1,257	1,374	1,548	1,491	1,349	1,202	1,878	1,915	1,782	1,393

Table 4. Retention rates of skates in GOA fisheries, 2007-2016. Data are from tables published by the Alaska Regional Office and available online at https://alaskafisheries.noaa.gov/fisheries-catch-landings. Retention rates in 2013-2016 were influenced by management actions; see footnotes.

_	big skate	longnose skate	other skates
2007	46%	28%	27%
2008	70%	64%	17%
2009	76%	51%	18%
2010	72%	64%	15%
2011	81%	65%	19%
2012	93%	74%	13%
$2013^{1}$	63%	36%	1%
$2014^{2}$	26%	52%	5%
$2015^{3}$	15%	52%	3%
20164*	33%	32%	20%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>On May 8, 2013 retention of big skate was prohibited in the CGOA.

- the maximum retention allowance (gulfwide for all species) was set at 5% on January 27, 2016
- retention of longnose skates in the WGOA was prohibited on April 25, 2016
- retention of big skates in the CGOA was prohibited on September 27, 2016

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> On February 5, 2014 retention of big skate was prohibited in the CGOA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> On February 11, 2015 retention of big skate was prohibited in the CGOA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The following management actions related to skates in the GOA occurred during 2016:

<sup>\* 2016</sup> data are incomplete; retrieved October 21, 2016

## **Figures**




Figure 1. Incidental catch of big skates in the Central Gulf of Alaska regulatory area, 2004-2016, by target fishery. Data are from the NMFS Alaska Regional Office. **2016 data are incomplete; retrieved October 16, 2016.** 

