Science, Service, Stewardship



NOAA FISHERIES SERVICE

Observed and Estimated Total Bycatch of Salmon in the 2002-2013 US West Coast Fisheries



Kayleigh Somers¹ Marlene Bellman² Jason Jannot² Yong Woo Lee¹ Jon McVeigh² Vanessa Tuttle¹

Publication date: February 2015

This document should be cited as follows:

Somers, K. A.¹, M.A. Bellman², J.E. Jannot², Y.W. Lee¹, J. McVeigh², V. Tuttle². 2014. Observed and estimated total bycatch of salmon in the 2002-2013 U.S. west coast fisheries. West Coast Groundfish Observer Program. National Marine Fisheries Service, NWFSC, 2725 Montlake Blvd E., Seattle, WA 98112.



¹ Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission Northwest Fisheries Science Center 2725 Montlake Blvd. E. Seattle, WA 98112

² West Coast Groundfish Observer Program National Marine Fisheries Service Northwest Fisheries Science Center Fishery Resource Analysis and Monitoring Division 2725 Montlake Blvd E Seattle, WA 98112

Table of Contents

List of Tables	1
Executive Summary	
Introduction	
Data Sources	8
Observer Data	9
Logbook Data	10
Landings Data	
Methods	10
Salmon Bycatch Estimation Methods	
Limited Entry Bottom Trawl	12
California Halibut Bottom Trawl	14
Nearshore Fixed Gear	15
Oregon Pink Shrimp Trawl	16
Non-Nearshore Fixed Gear Sector: Limited Entry Sablefish Primary	16
Catch Shares: Non-hake IFQ	17
Catch Shares: Shoreside Hake IFQ	
At-Sea Hake	18
Biological Data Collection	18
Results	19
Chinook Salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha)	19
Chum Salmon (Oncorhynchus keta)	
Coho Salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch)	20
Pink Salmon (Oncorhynchus gorbuscha)	21
Sockeye Salmon (Oncorhynchus nerka)	21
Unspecified salmon	21
Biological Data	
Acknowledgements	23
References	24
Figures	
Tables	31
1.0100	

List of Tables

Table 1. Geographic latitudinal regions and depths utilized in salmon bycatch estimation in the U.S. west
coast groundfish fisheries
Table 2. Observed vessels, trips, hauls, catch, and salmon and fleet landings, stratified by year, season, salmon
management area, and depth interval for LE trawl fishery. Strata not listed were not observed; *
represents strata containing fewer than 3 observed vessels; @ represents observed strata for which fewer
than 3 vessels landed fish: this represented less than 0.25 percent of fishing effort in regards to yearly
landings
Table 3. Salmon bycatch count for LE trawl fishery. Unobserved strata and those without salmon bycatch are
not shown. * represents strata containing fewer than 3 observed vessels; ! represents strata where
bootstrapping was not possible due to fewer than 3 observed vessels in potential boostrapping dataset.
Bootstrapped estimates of the bycatch ratio and 95% confidence intervals around the ratio SE are
italicized. Note that for strata with a small number of salmon encounters can show bycatch ratio values
equivalent to bycatch ratio SE values
Table 4. Salmon bycatch weight for LE trawl fishery. Unobserved strata and those without salmon bycatch
are not shown. * represents strata containing fewer than 3 observed vessels; ! represents strata where
bootstrapping was not possible due to fewer than 3 observed vessels in potential boostrapping dataset.
Bootstrapped estimates of the bycatch ratio and 95% confidence intervals around the ratio SE are
italicized. Note that for strata with a small number of salmon encounters can show bycatch ratio values
equivalent to bycatch ratio SE values
Table 5. Observed vessels, trips, hauls, catch, and salmon and fleet landings, stratified by year and season for
LE CA halibut fishery. Note that all fishing in this fishery occurred south of Cape Mendocino. Strata not
listed were not observed. To preserve confidentiality: 2010 data are reported in Tables 11 and 12,
Combined with OA CA halibut; 2011 and 2015 data are reported in Table 21, combined with IFQ
Bottom I fawl; 2012 data cannot be reported
hypertable of Sainton bycatch count for LE CA naribut lishery. Onobserved strata and those without sainton
that for strate with a small number of salmon ancounters can show bysetch ratio values equivalent to
by catch ratio SE values. To preserve confidentiality 2010 data are reported in Tables 11 and 12
combined with OA CA halibut: 2011 and 2013 data are reported in Table 21, combined with IEO
Bottom Trawl: 2012 data cannot be reported
Table 7 Salmon by catch weight for LE CA halibut fishery. Unobserved strata and those without salmon
bycatch are not shown. Note that all fishing in this fishery occurred south of Cape Mendocino. To
preserve confidentiality 2010 data are reported in Tables 11 and 12, combined with OA CA halibut
2011 and 2013 data are reported in Table 21, combined with IFO Bottom Trawl: 2012 data cannot be
reported
Table 8. Observed vessels, trips, hauls, catch, and salmon and fleet landings, stratified by year and season for
OA CA halibut fishery. Note that all fishing in this fishery occurred south of Cape Mendocino. Strata
not listed were not observed; * represents strata containing fewer than 3 observed vessels
Table 9. Salmon bycatch count and weight for OA CA halibut fishery. Unobserved strata and those without
salmon bycatch are not shown. Note that all fishing in this fishery occurred south of Cape Mendocino in
the winter. Bootstrapped estimates of the bycatch ratio and 95% confidence intervals around the ratio
SE are italicized
Table 10. Observed vessels, trips, hauls, catch, and salmon and fleet landings, stratified by season for LE and
OA CA halibut fishery (grouped in 2010 due to fewer than 3 observed vessels in strata). Note that all
fishing in this fishery occurred south of Cape Mendocino41
Table 11. Salmon bycatch count and weight for LE and OA CA halibut fishery in 2010. Only strata with
observed salmon bycatch are shown. Note that all fishing in this fishery occurred south of Cape
Mendocino

Table 12. Observed vessels, trips, hauls, catch, and salmon and fleet landings, stratified by year, season, and area for nearshore fixed gear fishery north of the management line at 40.16°N. Strata not listed were Table 13. Salmon bycatch count for nearshore fixed gear fishery north of the management line at 40.16°N. Unobserved strata and those without salmon bycatch are not shown. Note that for strata with a small number of salmon encounters can show bycatch ratio values equivalent to bycatch ratio SE values......43 Table 14. Salmon bycatch weight for nearshore fixed gear fishery north of the management line at 40.16°N. Unobserved strata and those without salmon bycatch are not shown. Note that for strata with a small number of salmon encounters can show bycatch ratio values equivalent to bycatch ratio SE values......44 Table 15. Observed vessels, trips, hauls, catch, and salmon and fleet landings, stratified by year, season, and salmon management area for OR Pink Shrimp fishery. Strata not listed were not observed; * represents strata containing fewer than 3 observed vessels. @ represents strata for which fewer than 3 vessels Table 16. Salmon bycatch count for OR Pink Shrimp fishery. Salmon bycatch was observed only in 2012, between Cape Blanco OR and Cape Mendocino CA. Note that for strata with a small number of salmon Table 17. Observed vessels, trips, hauls, catch, and salmon and fleet landings, stratified by year, season, and area for Sablefish fishery. Strata not listed were not observed; * represents strata containing fewer than 3 observed vessels. @ represents strata for which fewer than 3 vessels landed fish: this represented at Table 18. Salmon bycatch count and count for sablefish fishery. Unobserved strata and those without salmon bycatch are not shown. Note that for strata with a small number of salmon encounters can show Table 19. Observed vessels, trips, hauls, catch, and salmon and fleet landings, stratified by year, season, and salmon management area for Catch Shares Non-Hake Trawl, including bottom and mid-water trawl and LE California halibut. Strata not listed were not observed......47 Table 20. Salmon bycatch count and weight for Catch Shares Non-Hake Trawl, including bottom and midwater trawl (2011) and LE California halibut (2011, 2013). Unobserved strata and those without salmon bycatch are not shown. Due to 100% coverage, only small amounts of estimation were required to calculate fleetwide bycatch. Note that a small amount of shoreside discard is shown in Table 22 and is Table 21. Salmon bycatch count and weight of shoreside discards by the Catch Shares Bottom Trawl IFQ Table 22. Observed vessels, trips, hauls, catch, and salmon and fleet landings, stratified by year for Catch Shares Midwater Trawl. All fishing occurred north of Cape Blanco, OR. 2011 data is included with Table 23. Salmon bycatch count and weight of at-sea discards by the Catch Shares Non-Hake Midwater Trawl IFQ Fishery. 2011 data is included with Catch Shares Bottom Trawl to maintain confidentiality. Note that 8 Chinook salmon, (0.02 mt), in 2012 and 40 (0.15 mt) in 2013 were discarded shoreside by the non-hake midwater trawl sector; these numbers are included in the summary table but not shown Table 24. Observed vessels, trips, hauls, catch, and salmon and fleet landings, stratified by year, season, and salmon management area for Catch Shares Shoreside Hake IFQ. Strata not listed were not observed...49 Table 25. Salmon bycatch count for Catch Shares Shoreside Hake IFQ Fishery, including both at-sea and shoreside discard. Due to 100% coverage, only small amounts of estimation were required to calculate Table 26. Salmon bycatch weight for Catch Shares Shoreside Hake IFQ Fishery, including both at-sea and shoreside discard. Due to 100% coverage, only small amounts of estimation were required to calculate Table 27. Observed vessels, hauls, and salmon and fleet landings, stratified by sector, year, and season for the At-Sea Hake fishery. Note that seasons for the At-Sea Hake fishery run from May 15 to June 30 (spring)

Table 28. Salmon bycatch count for the At-Sea Hake fishery. Note that seasons for the At-Sea Hake fishery
Table 29 Salmon bycatch weight for the At-Sea Hake fishery. Note that seasons for the At-Sea Hake fishery
run from May 15 to June 30 (spring) and from July 1 to December 31 (fall)
Table 30. Estimated bycatch count of salmon in all U.S. west coast fisheries observed by the West Coast
Groundfish Observer Program (WCGOP) and the At-Sea Hake Observer Program (* = A-SHOP) from
2002-2013, as well as salmon bycatch in shoreside Pacific hake sectors (** = numbers from annual
NWR reports). Dashes () signify years when the fishery/sector was not observed, or data were not available
Table 31. Estimated bycatch weight (mt) of salmon in all U.S. west coast fisheries observed by the West Coast
Groundfish Observer Program (WCGOP) and the At-Sea Hake Observer Program ($* = A$ -SHOP) from
2002-2013, as well as salmon bycatch in shoreside Pacific hake sectors. Dashes () signify years when
the fishery/sector was not observed, or data were not available
Table 32. Summary of biological data for at-sea salmon catch, separated by sector, collected by WCGOP
observers from 2002 to 2013. All data was not available for every specimen. Due to 100% coverage in
Catch Shares sectors, more biological data is typically collected
Table 33. Summary of biological data for salmon discarded shoreside with coded wire tags (CWTs) in the
Shoreside Hake sector, collected by the Catch Monitor (CM) program 2008 to 2013. Additional data is
collected by the CM program, but only data for salmon with CWTs are shown here. All salmon with
CWTs are checked for adipose fin clips. From 2008 to 2010, this sector functioned under exempted
fishing permits. All data was not available for every specimen, but 100% coverage of the catch typically
leads to greater collection of biological data than in other fishery sectors
Table 34. Summary of biological data for salmon species, separated by sector, collected by ASHOP observers
from 1976 to 2013. All data was not available for every specimen. 100% coverage of the catch typically
leads to greater collection of biological data than in other fishery sectors
Table 35. Summary of CWT data collected by ASHOP observers from 1981 to 2013. 100% coverage of trips
from 2000 to present typically leads to greater collection of biological data than in other fishery sectors.

List of Figures

Figure 1. Chinook bycatch in all observed fisheries2	6
Figure 2. Coho bycatch in all observed fisheries2	7
Figure 3. Fish ticket and logbook data processing for division into groundfish fishery sectors after retrieval o	f
a full calendar year dataset from the Pacific Coast Fisheries Information Network (PacFIN) database.	
Grey highlight indicates sectors for which federal observer data is available2	8
Figure 4. Geographic latitudinal regions and depths utilized in salmon bycatch estimation in the LE	
groundfish bottom trawl sector. Latitudinal regions are also used to summarize salmon bycatch	
estimates in the U.S. west coast groundfish fisheries2	9
Figure 5. Length-frequency diagrams for Chinook salmon and coho salmon bycatch, across all fisheries	
observed by WCGOP in years 2002 to 2013.	0
Figure 6. Length-frequency diagrams for Chinook, coho, chum, and pink salmon bycatch in all fisheries	
observed by the A-SHOP in years 1976 to 2013	0

Executive Summary

Salmon catch estimates are provided for 2002 through 2013 for all groundfish fishery sectors observed by the West Coast Groundfish Observer Program and the At-Sea Hake Observer Program (Tables 30-31). This report updates the previous report which contained data through 2010. We include data from 2002-2010; however, we discussion is focused on changes in catch since 2010. General salmon catch trends for Chinook and coho salmon can be found in Figures 1 and 2.

In non-hake sectors, Chinook salmon catch increased from 2010 to 2013. Catch shares bottom trawl Chinook catch remained low relative to pre-trawl rationalization, but was higher than all other non-hake sectors, other than the nearshore fixed gear sector in 2013. In 2013, the nearshore fixed gear sector in the north caught the largest estimated amounts of both Chinook and coho salmon for this sector since the WCGOP was established. In non-hake sectors, coho salmon catch was fairly low in 2012, but the catch in 2013 was six-times higher than the peak catch in 2004.

A single chum salmon was observed between 2011 and 2013 in non-hake sectors, in Individual Fishing Quotas (a.k.a. IFQs or catch shares) non-hake midwater trawl. Pink salmon was observed in a non-hake sector for the second time since the establishment of WCGOP: 2 pink salmon were caught in the IFQ non-hake sector in 2012. Sockeye salmon was encountered for the first time in the catch shares bottom trawl sector: one sockeye was caught in 2011.

Chinook salmon bycatch in the hake fishery shows inter-annual variation and has been higher in recent years. By comparison the other salmonid species encountered in the hake fishery are seen in low numbers. Coho bycatch was low in 2012 and higher in 2011 and 2013. Pink salmon bycatch shows a strong biannual flux with relatively small amounts in even years. Chum bycatch is consistently low, with less than 120 fish caught annually. Sockeye are extremely rare, with only 6 seen in the last decade.

New data and improvements have been included in this report. First, the current report presents both the count and weight of salmon catch by species. The number of individuals caught is used for fisheries management, whereas the total weight provides useful information for conservation efforts and comparisons with other mortality estimates. Second, observer coverage of each sector is presented in tables separate from the observed salmon catch. Third, we present estimates of salmon catch from sectors managed under IFQ, originally implemented January 2011. Fourth, we include data from the Catch Monitor Program (CMP) to account for the large amount of salmon sorted shoreside in the shoreside midwater trawl fisheries. Finally, for the first time, we include salmon catch estimates from two sectors without previous salmon bycatch (Oregon pink shrimp trawl, and Open Access California halibut).

Introduction

The primary objective of this report is to provide estimates of salmon bycatch in U.S. West Coast groundfish fisheries for the years 2002-2013. We present observed bycatch ratios and estimated bycatch (number of individual fish and total weight) for five salmon species observed in the groundfish fisheries: Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshanytscha*), pink salmon (*Oncorhynchus gorbuscha*), coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus keta*), and sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*). We also present bycatch estimates for salmon not identified to species (unspecified salmon). This report includes bycatch estimates for all fisheries observed by the Fisheries Observation Science Program (FOS) which encountered salmon. These include the following commercial fisheries:

- Limited entry (LE) bottom trawl (2002-2010)
- Catch shares non-hake, bottom and midwater trawl (also referred to as individual fishing quota (IFQ)) (2011-2013)
- Open access (OA) and LE bottom trawl targeting California halibut
- State-permitted nearshore fixed gear
- Oregon pink shrimp trawl
- LE fixed gear sablefish primary (tier endorsed)
- Shoreside hake catch shares
- At-sea hake fishery, catcher-processor, mothership, and tribal

Commercial fisheries observed by the WCGOP which did not have any observed bycatch of salmon during the 2002-2013 period included:

- OA fixed gear
- Pink shrimp trawl in Washington and California
- LE fixed gear daily trip or quota limits

Salmon bycatch in the shoreside tribal and shoreside exempted fishing permit (EFP) sectors is also reported, based on data compiled by the NOAA Fisheries West Coast Regional Office (WCR). This report does not include recreation and research catch or fishery sectors not covered for the FOS program. Discard survivorship rates have not been applied to these estimates.

Endangered Species Act (ESA) listing determinations for 16 Evolutionarily Significant Units (ESUs) of Pacific salmon (*Oncorhynchus sp.*) were issued in 2005 (70 FR 37160). These listings consisted of two sockeye salmon ESUs (one listed as endangered), nine Chinook salmon ESUs (two listed as endangered), three coho salmon ESUs (one listed as endangered), and two chum salmon ESUs. Recent status review updates for all of the listed ESUs maintained the listing level issued in 2005 (Ford 2011, Williams 2011). Additionally, one coho salmon ESU was listed as threatened in 2008 and re-affirmed in 2011 (76 FR 20558).

Data Sources

Data sources for this report include data from: 1) observers aboard commercial fishing vessels (recorded and maintained by FOS programs), 2) PacFIN logbooks, and 3) PacFIN landing receipt data, referred to as fish tickets.

Observer Data

Bycatch estimates are derived from independent scientific observation of catch conducted on commercial groundfish vessels at sea by the Northwest Fisheries Science Center (NWFSC) Fishery Resource Analysis and Monitoring Division (FRAM) FOS program. This program consists of two major components, the West Coast Groundfish Observer Program (WCGOP) and the At-Sea Hake Observer Program (A-SHOP). The WCGOP and the A-SHOP observe distinct sectors of the groundfish fishery. The WCGOP observes several federally-managed sectors of the groundfish fishery, including the limited entry (LE) groundfish bottom trawl, LE and open access (OA) fixed gear, and LE catch shares non-hake bottom and midwater trawl and catch shares shoreside hake. The WCGOP also observes several state-managed fisheries that incidentally catch groundfish, including the nearshore fixed gear fisheries in California and Oregon, California halibut trawl, and Oregon pink shrimp trawl fisheries. The A-SHOP observes federally-managed and tribal-managed fisheries that target Pacific hake using midwater trawl gear and process catch at-sea. More information on each of these programs is available at the FOS website

(http://www.nwfsc.noaa.gov/research/divisions/fram/observer/). For a list of groundfish sectors not observed by FOS, see the description of observer coverage provided in the most recent groundfish mortality report (Somers et al. 2014).

The WCGOP's goal is to improve total bycatch estimates by collecting information on the discarded catch (fish returned overboard at-sea) of west coast groundfish species. For more details about WCGOP goals, vessel selection, and data collection, see the WCGOP website at http://www.nwfsc.noaa.gov/research /divisions/fram/observer/. The website also provides estimates of observer coverage, observed catch, and a summary of observed fishing depths for each sector. A list of fisheries, in order of coverage priority, and detailed information on data collection methods employed in each observed sector can be found in the WCGOP manual (NWFSC 2014a, NWFSC 2014b).

Prior to estimating fleet-wide bycatch for each sector, both observed salmon bycatch and landed catch (used for expansion) must meet data quality standards and pass data quality control. Observer and fish ticket data QAQC is described in detail on the FOS website

(http://www.nwfsc.noaa.gov/research/divisions/fram/observer/). During QAQC processing, salmon observations that do not meet QAQC standards are removed from the analysis. All subsequent data processing steps specific to this report are described in the methods section below.

When salmon are encountered by an observer, the observer documents weight, number, length, and sex. In the WCGOP, this data is collected for all salmon, while in the A-SHOP this data is collected only for salmon within the observer's species-composition sample (\sim 50% of the total haul weight). In the WCGOP, observers check all salmon species for the presence or absence of an adipose fin, take a genetic sample in the form of a fin clip, and collect the snout to be later scanned for coded wire tags (CWTs). In the ASHOP, only Chinook and coho salmon are checked for adipose status and scanned for CWTs, and fin clips are taken only from Chinook salmon.

Logbook Data

Vessel logbook record-keeping is a state-mandated requirement for the LE groundfish trawl sector in Washington, Oregon, and California. A common-format logbook is used by all three states and vessel completed logbook information is entered into state agency databases. The electronic logbook data are then uploaded by state agencies to the Pacific Coast Fisheries Information Network (PacFIN) regional database, which is maintained by the Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission (PSMFC).

Trawl logbook data for 2002-2010 were retrieved from the PacFIN database PacFIN logbook data was queried in March 2014 and divided into groundfish fishery sectors as indicated in Figure 3. All subsequent data processing steps are described in the methods section below. Logbook data from the OA groundfish trawl sector were not included in our analyses.

Landings Data

Fleet-wide landing receipts, also referred to as fish tickets, are the cornerstone of retained catch information for all sectors of the commercial groundfish fishery operating off the west coast of the United States. Fish tickets are trip-aggregated sales receipts issued to vessels by fish-buyers in each port for each delivery of fish. Fish tickets are designed and issued by a state agency (Washington, Oregon, or California) and must be returned to the agency for processing. Each state conducts species-composition sampling for market categories (single species or a mix of species) reported on fish tickets. Fish ticket and species-composition data are submitted by state agencies to the PacFIN database. For analytical purposes, the percentage of weight of each species within market categories obtained from species composition sampling was applied to the fish ticket data used in our analyses. In doing so, landed weights from sampled market categories were distributed to individual species whenever possible. PacFIN data for fish ticket landings with state species composition sampling applied (*vdrfd* table) was queried in March 2014 (for 2011-2013) and November 2012 (for 2002-2010). All additional data processing steps are described in the methods section below.

Methods

Salmon Bycatch Estimation Methods

In non-catch shares sectors, a deterministic approach was used to estimate salmon bycatch by expanding observed bycatch rates to the fleet-level. First, bycatch ratios were computed from observer data as the observed bycatch of salmon (number or weight) divided by the observed retained weight of the target species or group, which varies by fishery sector. Depending on the sector, retained catch used as the denominator in bycatch ratios is calculated as the observed landed weight of one of the following species or groups: all landed groundfish species included in the groundfish fishery management plan (FMP) (excluding Pacific hake); California halibut; nearshore species; pink shrimp; pacific hake; or sablefish. Fleet-wide estimates of salmon bycatch (number or weight of fish) were obtained by multiplying bycatch ratios by the fleet-wide landed weight as recorded on fish tickets of the appropriate target species or group for the sector. Due to differences in data availability and management structure among sectors, this approach was applied with slight modifications for each sector as described below.

Expansion methods for salmon bycatch in WCGOP-observed bycatch shares fisheries (catch shares trawl and shoreside hake) were conducted by stratum. The proportion of unsampled hauls was relatively low: 0.6% in 2011 and 2012 and 0.2% in 2013 in the catch shares bottom trawl and 0% for catch shares midwater trawl. Expanded salmon estimates were only produced for strata where both salmon and unsampled hauls co-occurred. The unsampled salmon weight (or count) was estimated by multiplying the total unsampled weight (or count) in the stratum by the ratio of the sampled salmon weight (or count) in the stratum to the total sampled, retained groundfish for the catch shares trawl sector and total sampled, retained Pacific hake in the shoreside hake sector. The total weight (or count) of bycatch is calculated by combining this estimated unsampled salmon weight (or count) with the total sampled salmon weight (or count) in the stratum.

When the FMP groundfish species grouping was used to compute bycatch ratios, any retained weights that were recorded by the observer but that did not appear on fish tickets were excluded from the denominator. This was necessary to prevent double-counting associated with differences in the species codes used by observers and processors. For instance, while observers may record rockfish catch at the species level, multiple species of rockfish are often grouped, weighed, and recorded together on the fish ticket under a grouped species code such as NUSP - northern unspecified slope rockfish. By using only the retained groundfish weight from fish tickets in catch ratio denominators, we prevent double-counting of retained weights. This is not an issue when using a single species in the denominator (e.g., California halibut, sablefish) as any retained weights in observer and fish ticket data that share the same species code will match and adjust properly.

Species were defined and/or grouped for this report according to the WCGOP Data Processing Appendix, found at: http://www.nwfsc.noaa.gov/research/divisions/fram/observer/data_processing.cfm. A complete listing of groundfish species is defined in the Groundfish Fishery Management Plan (PFMC 2014: Chapter 3, Part 1, page 15).

Expansion methods for the At-Sea Hake sectors used the small proportion of unsampled hauls to sampled hauls (average 0.4% from 2010-2013) to expand to sector-wide estimates.

For all sectors where confidentiality could be maintained, observer data were stratified into four latitudinal areas, described in Table 1 and illustrated in Figure 4. These areas correspond to the coastline attributed to ESUs of Chinook salmon and coho salmon.

Salmon bycatch in each sector was seasonal, presumably due to seasonal migration patterns. Therefore, data was partitioned into season strata to present the salmon bycatch estimation. In all fisheries other than At-Sea Hake, seasons were defined as winter (January-April and November-December) and summer (May-October), when sample sizes were large enough. In the At-Sea Hake fisheries, seasons were defined as spring (May 15 to June 30) and fall (July 1 to December 31).

Depth strata (0-125, 126-250, > 250 fathoms) were used in the LE and catch shares trawl fisheries because salmon were caught almost exclusively in the 0-250 fathom depth range (Tables 2-4, 19-20). For LE trawl (2002-2010), we used the legally-mandated logbooks to apportion fleet-wide catch to depth strata and expand bycatch estimates accordingly. For catch shares trawl, observer-recorded depth was available due to 100% coverage. Depth stratification was not possible in other sectors due to a lack of fleet-wide, haul-level fishing depth information.

We aggregated fishery data using a minimum of three vessels per stratum to ensure that we met confidentiality mandates. Bycatch ratios were calculated directly using observer data only when data from three or more vessels could be aggregated per stratum. When the three vessel aggregation could not be met, bycatch ratios were produced by applying non-parametric bootstrap resampling, which enabled us to simultaneously meet confidentiality guidelines and estimate bycatch ratios. The non-parametric bootstrap model was implemented in R (R Core Team 2013). The model re-sampled observed hauls, with replacement, within a single stratum across three years (year of interest, plus one year before and one year after the year of interest or, for 2013 data, year of interest and the two previous years). For each selected haul, all hauls from the given vessel were included in the dataset and used to produce a bycatch ratio. We repeated this process over 10,000 iterations. We then calculated the mean of the 10,000 resampled bycatch ratios to produce an estimate of the bycatch ratio estimate for the given year and stratum. An estimate of the error around the 10,000 resamples was used to construct 95% confidence interval around the mean bycatch ratio. Standard errors (SE) of bycatch ratios were calculated based on the methods described in Pikitch et al. (1998). Note that for strata with a very low occurrence of bycatch, SE of ratios can be equivalent to the bycatch ratio. In the rare case where less than 3 vessels were present in the dataset for bootstrapping, we do not provide an estimate due to low sample size.

Point estimates of bycatch fluctuate due to a number of non-biological factors, including annual variation in observer coverage rates, fishing behavior, and various physical characteristics. Currently, it is not possible to fully quantify uncertainty for bycatch estimates presented in this report, as measures of the variability associated with all data sources are not available. Estimates of observer data uncertainty are presented in this report in the form of bycatch ratio standard errors.

Although this report utilizes the same methods as those in the previous salmon bycatch report (Al-Humaidhi 2012), estimates in the report were constructed with the most current observer and fish ticket data and slightly different rounding rules. Therefore, minor differences exist in some sectors between the two reports.

Limited Entry Bottom Trawl

Fleet-wide salmon bycatch estimates for the LE bottom (non-midwater) trawl sector were derived from WCGOP observer, logbook, and fish ticket landings data (Figure 3). Note that after 2010, this sector was modified into an individual fishing quota (IFQ) program and is now included in the LE catch shares sector. The analyses described in this section only apply to the years 2002-2010. After 2010, IFQ methods (below) were used to estimate salmon bycatch.

Several additional filtering steps were applied to the data to ensure that the LE bottom trawl data was defined appropriately. We investigated tows and landings with more than 2 mt of Pacific hake, to exclude effort that was targeted exclusively towards this species. On the basis of this criterion, nine observed tows between 2002 and 2010 met the criterion and were removed.

LE bottom trawl vessels can hold a California halibut bottom trawl permit and participate in the statepermitted California halibut fishery. California halibut tows can occur on the same trip as tows targeting groundfish and were identified based on the following criteria: 1) the reported tow target was California halibut or 2) the tow target was nearshore mix, sand sole, or other flatfish, and the tow took place in less than 30 fathoms and south of 40°10' N. latitude. All tows in the observer data that met at least one of the above requirements were removed from the LE bottom trawl data and included as data for the California halibut fishery (see below). Tow targets are typically determined by the vessel captain.

Observer data and trawl logbook data were then stratified by area, season, and depth. Records were separated into four spatial areas (Figure 4) and each area was divided into three depth strata (0-125, 126-250, > 250 fathoms). Two-month cumulative trip limit periods were combined to form two seasonal strata in each year: winter (January-April and November-December) and summer (May-October).

We used observer data to compute bycatch ratios for each stratum and multiplied these ratios by the fleetwide fish ticket landing weight in the stratum, using the equation:

$$\widehat{D}_{sx} = \frac{\sum_{t} b_{sxt}}{\sum_{t} r_{sxt}} \times adj(R_{sx})$$

where:

s: salmon species

x: index strata (year, area, season, depth)

t: tows in observer data

b: observed number of catch individuals of species *s*

r: observed retained weight of all FMP groundfish except Pacific hake

adj(*R*_{sx}): fish ticket adjusted weight of retained FMP groundfish (except Pacific hake) recorded on logbooks (see below)

D: catch estimate for species s in each index stratum

Pacific hake was excluded from the denominator of observed bycatch ratios and the adjusted logbook expansion factors. Vessels that target or land large amounts of Pacific hake are classified as part of the Pacific hake midwater trawl sector, distinct from the LE groundfish bottom trawl sector.

Although logbooks describe the depth distribution of fishing effort, they are not submitted for all trawl trips and can underestimate bottom trawl fishing effort. In addition, logbook retained weights are vessel-supplied estimates, whereas fish ticket landings record actual weights and are legally binding. As a result, it was necessary to adjust the initial retained logbook weights of FMP groundfish (excluding Pacific hake) by strata to reflect the level of effort indicated by fish ticket landings. We aggregated both the fish ticket and logbook data by year, latitudinal area, and bimonthly period, to be consistent with cumulative trip limit periods. An adjusted logbook weight was then computed for each year, area, and bimonthly period as the weight of FMP groundfish (except Pacific hake) recorded on fish tickets divided by that recorded in logbooks. Each adjustment ratio was multiplied by coinciding depth-distributed logbook catches and then summed across bimonthly periods:

$$adj(R_{sx}) = \sum_{n} \sum_{b} \left(R_{sxbn} \times \frac{F_{yabn}}{R_{yabn}} \right)$$

where:

x: index strata (year, area, season, depth) y: year *h*: bimonthly period *a*: latitudinal area *n*: state *F*: weight of retained FMP groundfish (except Pacific hake) recorded on fish tickets *R*: weight of retained FMP groundfish (except Pacific hake) recorded on logbooks *adj*(*R*_{sx}): fish ticket adjusted weight of retained FMP groundfish (except Pacific hake) recorded on logbooks

Adjustment ratios were computed separately for each state and bimonthly period to account for differences between individual states' logbook submission rates and fish ticket recording methods. An adjustment ratio value less than 1 indicated that more FMP groundfish weight was recorded in logbooks than on fish tickets. Conversely, adjustment ratios greater than 1 occurred when fish ticket FMP groundfish weights were larger than logbook weights.

Observed number of salmon, bycatch ratios, and estimated fleet-wide bycatch of salmon by year, area, season, and depth are presented in Tables 2-4 for the LE bottom trawl sector for the years 2002-2010.

California Halibut Bottom Trawl

Fleet-wide salmon bycatch estimates in the California halibut bottom trawl fishery were derived from WCGOP observer data and fish ticket landings data. California halibut vessels are permitted by the state of California. Even so, this fishery consists of both LE and OA components (i.e. vessels that do not have federal LE groundfish permits). The WCGOP provides observer coverage for both LE and OA vessels. The WCGOP provides observer coverage under the LE groundfish bottom trawl sector. Data for the LE component of the California halibut fishery is isolated based on the following criteria: the tow target was California halibut or the tow target was nearshore mix, sand sole or other flatfish, and took place in less than 30 fathoms and south of 40°10' N. latitude. All tows in the observer data set that met at least one of the above requirements were included in the LE California halibut bottom trawl dataset. The WCGOP randomly samples the OA California halibut component separately. The LE and OA components of the California halibut trawl fishery are reported separately when possible. When fewer than 3 vessels were observed by WCGOP within a stratum, bycatch ratios were bootstrapped as described above. In 2010, we combined the LE and OA components of the California halibut fishery due to an extremely small number of vessels, in a stratum of the LE sector where salmon bycatch occurred.

Bycatch ratios were computed for this fishery using the retained weight of California halibut in the denominator. The fleet-wide landed weight of California halibut was then used as a multiplier to expand observed salmon bycatch ratios to the fleet level. To isolate fish tickets from trips on which California halibut was targeted, landings were only compiled from fish tickets that had greater than 150 lbs of California halibut during the period 2002-2006.

Starting in 2007, the state of California required vessels participating in the LE and OA trawl fisheries landing more than 150 lbs of California halibut to possess a California halibut bottom trawl permit. While all OA vessels that landed more than 150 lbs of California halibut in 2007 possessed a permit, not all LE vessels did. To account for all California halibut fishing in 2007, the permit list was used to identify California halibut

vessels in the OA component, while the total weight of California halibut was used to isolate California halibut trips in the LE component of the fishery as before.

By 2008, California halibut bottom trawl permits for both the LE and OA trawl components represented all vessels targeting California halibut. Thus, landed California halibut weights from 2008-2010 for both the LE and OA components were compiled from "non-midwater" trawl fish tickets (see Figure 3) for those vessels that had a state-issued California halibut bottom trawl permit.

Salmon bycatch estimates were computed for both the LE and OA components, although salmon bycatch was observed in the OA component only in 2011 and 2013. All California halibut fishing activity occurred south of Cape Mendocino, California. Estimates were generated for each salmon species by year and season based on the following equation:

 $\widehat{D}_{sx} = \frac{\sum_{t} b_{sxt}}{\sum_{t} r_{cxt}} \times F_{x}$

s: salmon species s: index strata (year, season) t: tows in observer data b: observed number of catch individuals of species s r: observed retained weight (mt) of California halibut F: weight (mt) of retained California halibut recorded on all fish tickets D: catch estimate for species s in each index stratum

LE groundfish trawl tows can be differentiated from California halibut tows during observed trips by the observer. However, fish tickets are reported at the trip level, and landings cannot be differentiated between tows. This inability to distinguish between bycatch from LE trawl tows and California halibut tows is not expected to be a major source of bias in our analysis, as the primary species retained on observed California halibut tows were non-groundfish (NWFSC 2011). However, because some flatfish species were retained on these tows, it is possible that bycatch estimates in California for the LE groundfish bottom trawl sector could have been positively biased due to slightly larger expansion factors (caused by the inclusion of landed flatfish that were in fact caught on California halibut tows). Examination of the species composition on fish tickets in the areas where California halibut is typically landed suggests that the impact of other landed species on bycatch estimates is minor.

Number of observed vessels, trips, and hauls, observed number and weight of salmon, bycatch ratios, and estimated fleet-wide bycatch of salmon by year and season are presented in Tables 5-7 for the LE component and Tables 8-9 for the OA component of the California halibut fishery. In 2010, the LE and OA components are combined to maintain confidentiality; this data is presented in Tables 10-11.

Nearshore Fixed Gear

Fleet-wide bycatch estimates for the commercial nearshore fixed gear groundfish sector were derived from WCGOP observer data and fish ticket landings data. Fish ticket data were assigned to this sector using the classification system outlined in Figure 3 and included only those fish tickets with recorded nearshore species

weight. A list of nearshore species and associated species groups used in this analysis are listed in the WCGOP Data Processing Appendix available at: http://www.nwfsc.noaa.gov/research/divisions/fram/observer/data_processing.cfm.

The WCGOP provides coverage for the commercial nearshore fisheries in California and Oregon based on a selection process of state-issued nearshore permits/licenses. The state of Washington does not allow commercial fishing within coastal state waters. State regulations in California and Oregon have extended the authority of the WCGOP to require that observers be carried by vessels participating in these state nearshore fisheries. Due to these differences, we separated nearshore fisheries into two sections, north and south of the salmon conservation area division at 40.16° N lat., and analyzed them separately.

Bycatch ratios for this fishery were calculated by dividing the observed bycatch of each salmon species (number or weight of fish) by the observed retained weight (mt) of nearshore species. The fleet landed weight of nearshore species was then used as a multiplier to expand observed salmon bycatch ratios to the fleet. The equation for the expansion of bycatch ratios in the nearshore sector is identical to that presented for the California halibut fishery, where r represents the retained weight of nearshore species, x represents index strata of year, area, and season and F represents the weight of retained nearshore species recorded on fish tickets.

Number of observed vessels, trips, and hauls, observed number of salmon, bycatch ratios, and estimated fleet-wide bycatch of salmon by year, area, and season are presented in Tables 12-14 for the nearshore fixed gear groundfish sector in the north.

Oregon Pink Shrimp Trawl

Salmon bycatch was only observed in the Oregon sector of the pink shrimp fishery and only occurred on one vessel in 2011. Fleet-wide bycatch estimates for this sector were derived from WCGOP observer data and fish ticket landings data. All fish tickets that listed pink shrimp as the target species and were landed in Oregon waters were included in the analysis of this sector.

Bycatch ratios for this fishery were calculated by dividing the observed bycatch of each salmon species (number or weight of fish) by the observed retained weight (mt) of pink shrimp. The fleet landed weight of pink shrimp was then used as a multiplier to expand observed salmon bycatch ratios to the fleet. The equation for the expansion of bycatch ratios in the pink shrimp sector is again identical to that presented for the California halibut fishery, where r represents the retained weight of pink shrimp, x represents index strata of year, area, and season and F represents the weight of retained pink shrimp recorded on fish tickets.

Number of observed vessels, trips, and hauls, observed number of salmon, bycatch ratios, and estimated fleet-wide bycatch of salmon by year, area, and season are presented in Tables 15-16 for the Oregon pink shrimp sector.

Non-Nearshore Fixed Gear Sector: Limited Entry Sablefish Primary

Salmon were only observed on hook-and-line vessels in the LE sablefish primary sector of the non-nearshore fixed gear groundfish fleet. Fleet-wide bycatch estimates for the commercial LE fixed gear sablefish primary

sector were derived from WCGOP observer and fish ticket landings data. For further information about how this sector is defined refer to the 2013 Groundfish Mortality report (Somers et al. 2014).

Bycatch ratios for this sector were calculated by dividing the observed bycatch of each salmon species (number of fish) by the observed retained weight (mt) of sablefish. The fleet landed weight of sablefish was then used as a multiplier to expand observed salmon bycatch ratios to the fleet. The equation for the expansion of bycatch ratios in the LE sablefish primary sector is identical to that presented for the California halibut fishery, where r represents the retained weight of sablefish, x represents index strata of year and area, and F represents the weight of retained sablefish recorded on fish tickets.

Number of observed vessels, trips, and hauls, observed number of salmon, bycatch ratios, and estimated fleet-wide bycatch of salmon by year and area are presented in Tables 17-18 for the LE sablefish primary fixed gear groundfish sector.

Catch Shares: Non-hake IFQ

In 2011, new regulations governing the LE bottom trawl fishery, led to the induction of Individual Fishing Quotas (IFQs). With this change, each vessel is now required to carry a WCGOP observer at all times, resulting in 100% observer coverage. In addition, permit holders with IFQ and a trawl endorsement can fish multiple gear types (although not within the same trip), including bottom or midwater trawl gear or hook and line or pot gear. No salmon was observed in the hook and line or pot gear sectors, so only bottom and midwater trawl are reported. LE California halibut are included in this sector, as they are now regulated under IFQ regulations. Because there is a complete census of vessels, fleet-wide bycatch for this sector were derived entirely from WCGOP observer data. To maintain confidentiality standards, we combined bottom and midwater with LE California halibut in 2011 and bottom trawl and LE California halibut in 2013. We are unable to report 2012 LE California halibut data.

All Catch Shares trips are observed, but a small portion may be unsampled due to observer illness or other circumstance. Salmon weight occurs only when unsampled catch weight occurred in strata where salmon bycatch was observed. Three potential types of unsampled catch can occur: unsorted catch (all species including discarded and retained), non-IFQ species, or sorted but unsampled discard. For unsorted catch, unsampled salmon is estimated as the product of the sum of all unsorted catch weight in the strata and the ratio of the salmon in the strata to the sampled salmon is estimated as the product of all species (retained and discarded) in the strata. For unsampled non-IFQ species, unsampled salmon is estimated as the product of the sum of all unsampled weight of all discarded non-IFQ species in the strata. For unsampled miscellaneous, unsampled salmon is estimated as the product of the sum of all unsampled salmon is estimated as the product of the sum of all unsampled salmon is estimated as the product of the sum of all unsampled non-IFQ species in the strata. For unsampled miscellaneous, unsampled salmon is estimated as the product of the sum of all unsampled miscellaneous discarded weight in the strata and the ratio of the salmon in the strata to the sampled miscellaneous discarded weight in the strata and the ratio of the salmon is estimated as the product of the sum of all unsampled miscellaneous discarded weight in the strata and the ratio of the salmon in the strata to the sampled discarded weight of all species in the strata. The total weight of salmon bycatch is estimated as the sum of the total observed salmon weight in the strata and all estimations of unsampled salmon as described above.

Catch Shares vessels fishing midwater trawl gear function as maximum retention fishery, with little to no atsea discard. Catch is sorted on-shore, so any protected species catch is discarded shoreside rather than at-sea. This can also occur on occasion in bottom trawl sectors. Shoreside discards of salmon are recorded by the Catch Monitor Program (CMP) and uploaded to e-Tix (https://etix.psmfc.org/). Salmon bycatch data for 2011-2013 was queried on 1-13-2015. This data was matched to WCGOP data using PacFIN Fish Ticket numbers and is reported separately for each sector.

Fleet-wide bycatch of salmon and total weight of groundfish retained by year, area, depth, and season are presented in Tables 19-21 for the bottom trawl portion of the non-hake IFQ fishery and Tables 22-23 for the midwater trawl portion.

Catch Shares: Shoreside Hake IFQ

Under the catch shares program and regulations, each shoreside hake vessel is now required to carry a WCGOP observer, resulting in 100% observer coverage. Estimation for the small amount of unsampled catch was performed using the same methods as described above for the non-hake IFQ fishery. Additionally, in 2012, 80 lbs of unspecified salmon weight, with no observed count, was discarded at sea in 2012. We estimated a count based on the weight/count ratio in the same season and area for 2012 and 2013.

Most salmon caught in the Shoreside Hake fishery is discarded shoreside, so CMP data is also included for this sector. Fleet-wide bycatch of salmon and total weight of shoreside hake retained by year, area, and season are presented in Tables 24-26 for the shoreside hake IFQ fishery.

Bycatch values from the shoreside tribal and EFP Pacific hake sectors were compiled from season summaries of the Pacific hake fishery by the Northwest Regional Office found at http://www.nwr.noaa.gov/Groundfish-Halibut/Groundfish-Fishery-Management/Whiting-Management/. Estimates of salmon species bycatch counts were not available for the shoreside Pacific hake sectors prior to 2007, except for Chinook salmon. Estimates of salmon bycatch weight were not available in the shoreside EFP and tribal sectors.

At-Sea Hake

All motherships and catcher processors fishing in the at-sea hake fishery carry two A-SHOP observers for every fishing day. Catcher vessels delivering to the motherships carry one WCGOP observer for every fishing day, therefore, expansion of bycatch estimates to the fleet level using ratio estimation is not necessary. A-SHOP observers sample approximately 50% of each haul and recorded salmon bycatch numbers and weights were extrapolated to the remainder of a single haul. Also, in some cases, not all hauls are sampled, so the extrapolated haul-level bycatch numbers and weights were expanded further to account for the very small proportion of hauls that were not sampled each year (0.04% to 1.6% in 2002-2013). Documentation of A-SHOP data collection methods can be found in the observer manual (NWFSC 2014). Extrapolated haul level data were provided by the A-SHOP. The bycatch numbers and weights were then expanded using the provided expansion factors.

The number of individual salmon caught and the total weight of the salmon was reported by each observed at-sea hake sector: tribal and non-tribal motherships and catcher-processors. Fleet-wide bycatch of salmon and total weight of hake retained by year and season are presented in Tables 27-29.

Biological Data Collection

For protected resources, including any species regulated under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), additional biological data are collected whenever possible. Depending on the salmon species, WCGOP, A-SHOP, and CMP record a variety of information, potentially including length, sex, presence/absence of adipose fin (absence indicates hatchery fish), and collect scales, snouts and pectoral fin clips. Snouts are collected to detect the presence of coded-wire tags (CWT). CMP data in this report is limited to biological data associated with salmon with CWTs, but additional data will be available from the CMP by mid-2015.

Pectoral fin clip and snout samples are used for genetic mixed stock analysis (also known as "genetic stock identification") to estimate Chinook salmon stock composition and to better understand the stock-specific, spatial, and temporal distribution of bycatch. Results from genetic mixed stock analysis of 2008 WCGOP and A-SHOP salmon bycatch were provided in a report to the Northwest Regional Office (Moran et al. 2009). Most of the Chinook salmon collected by WCGOP in 2008 came from the Klamath River with a smaller fraction coming from the Oregon and California coastal populations. Those three stocks accounted for more than 70% of the WCGOP samples in 2008. WCGOP and A-SHOP have collected a number of genetic samples from 2008 to the present (Tables 32, 34). Analysis by the Genetic and Evolution Laboratory at the NWFSC for WCGOP samples is planned for the near future, once enough samples have been collected. The results of analysis of 2009 and 2010 A-SHOP samples were recently reported (Moran and Tuttle 2011).

CWT data is delivered to a regional database, the Regional Mark Information System (RMIS) (http://www.rmpc.org). CWT data have been used to study the marine distribution of Chinook salmon (Weitkamp 2010), and coho salmon (Weitkamp and Neely 2002) in the Pacific Ocean. Researchers have used coded-wire tags (CWTs) to track salmon since 1981 in fisheries off the West Coast of North America (Celewycz et al. 2012). Upon recovery, these tags inform scientists about distances traveled from release, stock population, and brood and run year, amongst other important data.

Tables 32-35 summarize salmon biological data collected by WCGOP, CMP, and A-SHOP, respectively.

Results

Salmon bycatch was estimated for all fisheries observed by the FOS in which salmon were reported for the 2002-2013 period. These included the LE bottom trawl sector (Tables 2-4), the LE and OA components of the California halibut fishery (Tables 5-11), the nearshore fixed gear sector (Tables 12-14), the Oregon portion of the pink shrimp trawl (Tables 15-16), the LE sablefish primary sector of the non-nearshore fixed gear groundfish fleet (Tables 17-18), the catch shares non-hake IFQ(Tables 19-23), the catch shares shoreside hake fishery (Tables 24-26), and the at-sea hake fishery (Tables 27-29).

A summary of annual estimated salmon bycatch (number of fish) for each sector from 2002 to 2013 is provided in Table 30. Annual estimated salmon bycatch weights for these sectors are also provided in Table 31.

Chinook Salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha)

Bycatch of Chinook salmon decreased between 2002 and 2010 across most of the WCGOP observed sectors (Tables 30-31). The largest estimate of salmon bycatch in the non-hake groundfish sectors occurred in 2003 when ~16,500 fish (~24 mt) were estimated to have been caught by all of the sectors combined. Most of the

2003 individuals (~99%) were caught by the LE trawl sector. Chinook salmon by catch levels in the non-hake sectors dropped by an order of magnitude in 2004 and had decreased to less than 200 fish (0.35 mt) in 2006. Chinook salmon by catch levels then hovered between 300 and 400 fish (0.5 to 0.8 mt) until 2010, which had the lowest Chinook salmon by catch level since observations began in 2002 and was estimated at 86 fish or 0.24 mt.

However, this trend of decreasing bycatch has not held for 2011 to 2013. Salmon bycatch was observed in some fishery sectors for the first time: the OA California halibut sector caught an estimated 32 fish (0.02 mt) in 2011 and 25 (0.01 mt) in 2013. The Oregon pink shrimp sector caught 2 Chinook salmon (0.001 mt) in 2012. Additionally, the nearshore fixed gear sector in the north has increased since 2010, with the highest record Chinook salmon bycatch for that sector, 404 fish (2.4 mt), occurring in 2013. The bottom trawl portion of the catch shares non-hake IFQ recorded 175 Chinook salmon (0.3 mt) in 2011, compared to a 53 Chinook salmon (0.13 mt) in the LE trawl sector in 2010 (Figure 1). In 2012, Chinook salmon bycatch in the catch shares non-hake IFQ sector nearly doubled to 304 (0.5 mt) fish and remained high in 2013, with 323 salmon (0.2 mt). In total across all sectors, 2011 showed an increase from the low levels of bycatch in 2010 to more than 200 fish (0.4 mt), and 2012 further increased to an estimated bycatch of 382 Chinook salmon or 0.8 mt. In 2013, this number doubled again to 807 or 3.2 mt.

From 2011 to 2013, the bottom trawl sector was the largest contributor to Chinook salmon bycatch in the non-hake IFQ fishery. The majority of Chinook salmon bycatch in both the LE and the catch shares trawl sectors is attributed to areas north of Cape Mendocino, California in all years (Tables 2-4, 19-23).

Hake sectors, which utilize mid-water trawl gear, have consistently caught the greatest amount of salmon. Salmon bycatch increased to a peak in 2005 of 11,956 salmon, with relatively lower numbers until a second peak in 2011 of 8622 salmon (24 mt). Since 2011, salmon bycatch in all hake sectors has decreased to 6022 salmon (15 mt), which remains higher than about half of the previous years. The total salmon caught in hake sectors in 2012 and 2013 are less than one-third of the numbers caught in 2003: the peak of 22,956 salmon. However, these numbers are almost double those of many previous years.

Chum Salmon (Oncorhynchus keta)

In the non-hake sectors, chum salmon has been observed only in the limited entry trawl and catch shares non-hake midwater trawl sectors. The bycatch peaked in 2003 at 36 chum salmon (0.12 mt), decreasing to 0 until 2013, when 1 chum salmon was caught in the catch shares non-hake midwater trawl.

In the hake sectors, chum salmon by catch has fluctuated, with peaks of 111 fish in both 2006 and 2011 and 290 fish in 2007 and minimums of \sim 20 in 2003 and 2010 (Tables 30-31). Largely, however, the by catch of chum salmon has remained between about 50 and 85 fish between 2002 and 2013. Estimates prior to 2007 should be considered underestimates because estimates for all salmon species except Chinook salmon were not available for the shoreside tribal and EFP Pacific hake sectors.

Coho Salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch)

Bycatch of coho salmon in the non-hake groundfish sectors showed a maximum of 630 fish in 2013, with the nearshore fixed gear sector in the north contributing most of the bycatch (Figure 2, Tables 30-31). Previous

to 2013, the coho bycatch peaked at 103 fish (0.4 mt) in 2004. The lowest bycatch, 11 coho salmon (0.03 mt) occurred in 2005. In all other years, coho salmon bycatch was less than 85 fish. Bycatch of coho salmon in non-hake sectors was predominantly from the LE trawl sector in 2002-2005, was exclusively from the LE California halibut component in 2006, and was exclusively from the nearshore fixed gear sector in 2008-2009. The LE trawl and nearshore fixed gear sectors comprised all of the salmon bycatch in 2004 and 2010-2013. Coho salmon bycatch in 2009-2013 has been consistently higher than those of all previous years except 2004.

In Pacific hake sectors, coho salmon bycatch has alternated between high bycatch years in odd years and low bycatch years in even years since a peak in 2005 (Figure 2). Estimates prior to 2007 should be considered underestimates because estimates for all salmon species except Chinook salmon were not available for the shoreside tribal and EFP Pacific hake sectors.

Pink Salmon (Oncorhynchus gorbuscha)

Pink salmon bycatch in non-hake sectors remains extremely low, with a total of 4 observed salmon from 2002 to 2012. In the summer of 2009, the LE bottom trawl sector caught an estimated 2 pink salmon (0.002 mt) south of Cape Mendocino, CA in the 0 to 125 fm depth range (Tables 2-4). In the winter of 2012, the LE catch shares bottom trawl sector caught 2 pink salmon between Cape Falcon, OR and Cape Blanco OR in the 0 to 250 fm depth range (Table 19-21).

In Pacific hake sectors, pink salmon bycatch is mainly attributable to tribal mothership and tribal shoreside sectors in all years except 2011, when the catch shares shoreside hake sector caught more pink salmon (~6110) than had previously been caught by all hake sectors from 2002 to 2010 (Tables 30-31). Besides this high peak in 2011, pink salmon bycatch in recent years has decreased to less than 50 fish per year. Estimates prior to 2007 should be considered underestimates because estimates for all salmon species except Chinook salmon were not available for the shoreside tribal and EFP Pacific hake sectors.

Sockeye Salmon (Oncorhynchus nerka)

Sockeye salmon has been observed very rarely in both non-hake and hake sectors (Tables 30-31). In 2010, the catch shares non-hake bottom trawl fishery caught 1 sockeye salmon. In both 2008 and 2010, the At-Sea Hake catcher processor sector caught 2 sockeye salmon, while shoreside EFP caught 2 in 2010 and Shoreside Tribal caught 2 in 2011. Estimates prior to 2007 should be considered underestimates because estimates for all salmon species except Chinook salmon were not available for the shoreside tribal and EFP Pacific hake sectors. In 2012 and 2013, no sockeye salmon was observed in any of these fisheries.

Unspecified salmon

In the non-hake sectors, unspecified salmon species bycatch was highest in 2002 at 159 fish mostly from the LE California halibut fishery (Tables 30-31). Between 2005 and 2009, no unspecified salmon were recorded, suggesting improved sampling and identification of salmon species. There are still cases when identifying salmon to species is not possible, such as when a salmon is observed on fishing gear but drops off the gear before reaching the deck. Two observed salmon fell into this category in 2010 in the nearshore fixed gear groundfish sector in the north, explaining the increase from zero to 26 unspecified salmon. Similarly, 2 unspecified salmon were recorded in the catch shares trawl sector in 2012.

In the hake sector, a maximum of 186 unspecified salmon were observed in 2003, all in the tribal mothership sector. In all other years between 2002 and 2012, the maximum number of unspecified salmon observed was 18. However, in 2012, the catch shares shoreside hake sector recorded 11 unspecified salmon.

Biological Data

Between 2002 and 2013, across all WCGOP-observed fishery sectors, the WCGOP observed 1382 Chinook salmon, ranging in length from 18 to 84 cm (Table 32, Figure 5) and 1 to 44 kg. 639 of these fish were identified as female, 633 as male, and 110 not classified. 343 genetic samples were taken and 69 readable CWTs were scanned. 75% of Chinook salmon checked had clipped adipose fins. The mean length of Chinook salmon bycatch in bottom trawl fisheries both before and after catch shares implementations fluctuates around 50 cm (Table 32). However, both the minimum and maximum lengths of Chinook caught by bottom trawl has increased, with no fish less than 31 cm measured from 2011 to 2013, and two of the three highest maximum lengths observed after catch shares implementation.

Between 2004 and 2013, observers recorded biological data for 82 coho salmon, ranging in length from 32 to 72 cm (Table 32, Figure 5) and 4 to 26 kg. 42 were identified as female, 31 as male, and 9 were unidentified.

Biological data were collected for 2 chum salmon in only 2003 and 2004 in the LE trawl fishery, both of similar length (around 50 cm) and weight (around 12 kg); one chum salmon was recorded in the catch shares non-hake fishery in 2013, with a weight of about 20 kg and a length of about 70 cm. WCGOP observed 6 pink salmon in the years 2009, 2011, and 2012, which showed a mean length of about 45 cm and a mean weight of about 16.5 kg. One sockeye salmon, 37 cm and 3.1 kg, was observed in 2011 in the catch shares non-hake trawl sector. Five unspecified salmon were observed: 3 in 2004 in the LE trawl sector and 2 in 2012 in the catch shares non-hake trawl sector. These salmon ranged from 41 to 71 cm and 4.4 to 20 kg.

Between 2008 and 2013, the CM program collected biological data for a large number of salmon caught by the Shoreside Hake fishery. In this report, we only provide data for those uploaded to the RMIS, consisting of 997 Chinook salmon and 24 coho salmon (Table 33). 505 of the Chinook salmon were identified as female and 459 as male, with the rest unidentified. Chinook lengths ranged from 5 to 102 cm, and weights ranged from 1.3 to 141 kg. 566 of the Chinook salmon had readable CWTs and 73% had clipped fins. 14 coho salmon were identified as female, while 10 were identified as male. Lengths ranged from 41 to 74 cm, and weights ranged from 4.2 to 56 kg. 11 had readable CWTs and 75% had clipped adipose fins.

The A-SHOP has collected salmon data since the 1970s. Here we report biological data from 1976 to 2013 and CWT data from 1981 to 2013 (Tables 34-35, Figure 6). Data before 1991 is grouped as one sector. From 1976 to 2013, A-SHOP observers recorded biological data for 92,243 Chinook salmon. 43,618 of these were identified as female, 47,388 were identified as male. From 1976 to 1990, lengths ranged from 15 to 116 cm, with a mean of 57 cm. From 1991 to 2013, a similar distribution has been observed, with a range of 16 to 123 cm and a mean of 60 cm; weights ranged from 0.3 to 37 kg with a mean of 9 kg. Since 1981, A-SHOP has found 4292 readable CWTs on Chinook salmon and, since 2008, taken 6,744 genetic samples from Chinook.

Biological data was recorded by the A-SHOP for 7434 coho salmon, identifying 3748 females and 3534 males. Lengths ranged from 25 to 105 cm, with a mean of 57 cm. From 1981 to 2013, 202 had readable CWTs.

From 1976 to 2013, biological data for 1178 chum salmon was recorded by the A-SHOP. 619 were identified as female, and 542 were identified as male. Lengths ranged from 21 to 97 cm, with a mean of 66 cm.

Biological data for 2435 pink salmon has been recorded by the A-SHOP, identifying 1233 females and 1195 males. Lengths ranged from 24 to 83 cm, with a mean of 48 cm.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the hard work and dedication of the observers and staff from the West Coast Groundfish and At-Sea Hake Observer Programs. The authors would also like to thank Alia Al-Humaidhi and Lori Jesse of the Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission for providing salmon data collected by the IFQ Catch Monitor Program.

References

Al-Humaidhi, A.W., M.A. Bellman, J. Jannot, and J. Majewski. 2012. Observed and estimated total bycatch of salmon in the 2002-2010 U.S. west coast fisheries. West Coast Groundfish Observer Program. National Marine Fisheries Service, NWFSC, 2725 Montlake Blvd E., Seattle, WA 98112.

Celewycz, A. G., E.A. Fergusson, J.H. Moss, and V. J. Tuttle. 2012. High seas salmonid coded-wire tag recovery data, 2012. NPAFC Doc. 1415. 37 p. NOAA, NMFS, Alaska Fish. Sci. Cent., Auke Bay Laboratories, Ted Stevens Marine Res. Institute, Northwest Fish. Sci. Cent. (Available at <u>http://www.npafc.org</u>).

Ford, M.J. (ed.). 2011. Status review update for Pacific salmon and steelhead listed under the Endangered Species Act: Pacific Northwest. U.S. Dept. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-NWFSC-113, 281 p.

Moran, P. and V. Tuttle. 2011. Estimates of Chinook Salmon stock composition in bycatch associated with the 2009 and 2010 US West Coast At-Sea Pacific hake fishery. 27 p. Report to the Northwest Regional Office, NOAA Fisheries. Available from the Northwest Fisheries Science Center, Seattle, WA 98112.

Moran, P., E. Iwamoto, R. Shama, and V. Tuttle. 2009. Chinook Salmon bycatch stock composition estimates in the 2008 Pacific hake fishery. 23 p. Report to the Northwest Regional Office, NOAA Fisheries. Available from the Northwest Fisheries Science Center, Seattle, WA 98112.

Northwest Fisheries Science Center (NWFSC). 2006. Observer coverage plan: Sampling plan and logistics for the west coast groundfish observer program. NOAA, West Coast Groundfish Observer Program. Northwest Fisheries Science Center, 2725 Montlake Blvd E, Seattle, WA. Available at: www.nwfsc.noaa.gov/research/divisions/fram/observer/observersamplingplan.pdf

Northwest Fisheries Science Center (NWFSC). 2014. At-Sea Hake Observer Program, Observer Sampling Manual. Fishery Resource Analysis and Monitoring, At-Sea Hake Observer Program. Northwest Fisheries Science Center, 2725 Montlake Blvd E, Seattle, WA. Available at: http://www.nwfsc.noaa.gov/research/divisions/fram/observation/data_collection/training.cfm

Northwest Fisheries Science Center (NWFSC). 2014a. West Coast Groundfish Observer Program 2014 Catch Shares Training Manual. West Coast Groundfish Observer Program. Northwest Fisheries Science Center, 2725 Montlake Blvd E, Seattle, WA. Available at: http://www.nwfsc.noaa.gov/research/divisions/fram/observation/data_collection/training.cfm

Northwest Fisheries Science Center (NWFSC). 2014b. West Coast Groundfish Observer Program 2014 Non-Catch Shares Training Manual. West Coast Groundfish Observer Program. Northwest Fisheries Science Center, 2725 Montlake Blvd E, Seattle, WA. Available at: http://www.nwfsc.noaa.gov/research/divisions/fram/observation/data_collection/training.cfm

Northwest Fisheries Science Center (NWFSC). 2011. Observed Catch of Individual Species. NOAA, West Coast Groundfish Observer Program. Northwest Fisheries Science Center, 2725 Montlake Blvd. E., Seattle, Washington 98112. Available at http://www.nwfsc.noaa.gov/research/divisions/fram/observer/

sector_products.cfm

Pacific Fishery Management Council (PFMC). 2014. Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery Management Plan. PFMC, 7700 NE Ambassador Place, Suite 100, Portland, OR. Available at http://www.pcouncil.org/wp-content/uploads/GF_FMP_FINAL_May2014.pdf

Pikitch, E.K., J.R. Wallace, E.A. Babcock, D.L. Erickson, M. Saelens and G. Oddsson. 1998. Pacific Halibut Bycatch in the Washington, Oregon, and California Groundfish and Shrimp Trawl Fisheries. North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 18(3): 569-586.

R Core Team (2013). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL http://www.R-project.org/

Somers, K.A., M. Bellman, J. Jannot, N. Riley, and J. McVeigh. 2014. Estimated discard and catch of groundfish species in the 2013 U.S. west coast fisheries. NOAA Fisheries, NWFSC Observer Program, 2725 Montlake Blvd E., Seattle, WA 98112.

Weitkamp, L.A. and K. Neely. 2002. Coho salmon (*Oncorbynchus kisutch*) ocean migration patterns: insight from marine coded-wire tag recoveries. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 59: 1100-1115.

Weitkamp, L.A. 2010. Marine distributions of Chinook Salmon from the west coast of North America determined by coded wire tag recoveries. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society, 139: 147-170.

Williams, T.H. (ed.). 2011. Status review update for Pacific salmon and steelhead listed under the Endangered Species Act: Southwest. U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Technical Memorandum NOAA-TM-SWFSC-2011.

Figures



Figure 1. Chinook bycatch in all observed fisheries.



Figure 2. Coho bycatch in all observed fisheries.



Figure 3. Fish ticket and logbook data processing for division into groundfish fishery sectors after retrieval of a full calendar year dataset from the Pacific Coast Fisheries Information Network (PacFIN) database. Grey highlight indicates sectors for which federal observer data is available.



Figure 4. Geographic latitudinal regions and depths utilized in salmon bycatch estimation in the LE groundfish bottom trawl sector. Latitudinal regions are also used to summarize salmon bycatch estimates in the U.S. west coast groundfish fisheries.



Figure 5. Length-frequency diagrams for Chinook salmon and coho salmon bycatch, across all fisheries observed by WCGOP in years 2002 to 2013.



Figure 6. Length-frequency diagrams for Chinook, coho, chum, and pink salmon bycatch in all fisheries observed by the A-SHOP in years 1976 to 2013.

Tables

Table 1. Geographic latitudinal regions and depths utilized in salmon bycatch estimation in the U.S. west coast groundfish fisheries.

Management Area	Latitudinal Description
North of Cape Falcon, Oregon	North of 45.77°N
Cape Falcon, Oregon to Cape Blanco, Oregon	Between 42.75°N and 45.77°N
Cape Blanco, Oregon to Cape Mendocino, California	Between 40.16°N and 42.75°N
South of Cape Mendocino, California	Between 32.5°N and 40.16°N

Table 2. Observed vessels, trips, hauls, catch, and salmon and fleet landings, stratified by year, season, salmon management area, and depth interval for LE trawl fishery. Strata not listed were not observed; * represents strata containing fewer than 3 observed vessels; @ represents observed strata for which fewer than 3 vessels landed fish: this represented less than 0.25 percent of fishing effort in regards to yearly landings.

			Depth		Fleet				Hauls that Encou	ntered Salmon
			Interval	Observed	Landings				6	
Year	Area	Season	(fm)	Catch (mt)	(mt) 965	Vessels	Trips 52	Hauls 259	Count	Percent
		Winter	125-250	225	1006	17	35	151	24	16
	North of Cape Falcon		250+	170	927	16	36	126	3	2
	OR		0-125	441	2544	20	136	821	50	6
		Summer	125-250	9	288	3	4	7	0	0
			250+	20	210	4	10	17	0	0
		Winter	125-250	55 70	436	0 14	32	83	10	24
	Cape Falcon OR to		250+	145	1068	17	38	141	0	0
	Cape Blanco OR		0-125	121	980	11	48	252	5	2
		Summer	125-250	18	219	7	11	24	0	0
003		•	250+	52	345	11	14	65	0	0
~		Winter	0-125	34	264	12	18	54 11	19	35
	Cape Blanco OR to	winter	250+	198	1302	24	61	184	15	40
	Cape Mendocino CA		0-125	66	751	11	38	143	14	10
		Summer	125-250	17	176	4	11	23	0	0
			250+	103	629	11	36	127	0	0
		Winter	0-125	70	496	10	26	61	8	13
	South of Cape	winter	250+	141	1099	15	38	120	2	2
	Mendocino CA		0-125	17	269	4	9	20	2	10
		Summer	125-250	109	627	23	41	76	3	4
			250+	254	1587	27	60	208	0	0
		Mintor	0-125	194	1257	11	34	201	33	16
	North of Cane Falcon	winter	125-250 250+	90 162	994 1074	10	2/	58 108	9	16
	OR		0-125	75	1394	7	19	135	23	17
		Summer	125-250	133	809	11	25	110	0	0
			250+	113	592	11	26	131	0	0
			0-125	105	649	8	16	87	53	61
	Capa Falcan OR to	Winter	125-250	162	832	19	44	131	17	13
	Cape Blanco OR		250+	*	645	25	47	1/0	*	*
		Summer	125-250	77	628	12	19	69	0	0
03		-	250+	99	712	14	21	83	0	0
20			0-125	36	188	8	15	39	16	41
	C	Winter	125-250	43	303	7	15	31	5	16
	Cape Blanco UK to		250+	188	966	18	40	121	0	0
	cape mendoenio ex	Summer	125-250	127	637	17	35	79	0	10
			250+	243	1389	23	59	189	0	0
			0-125	*	211	*	*	*	*	*
		Winter	125-250	39	452	5	13	31	0	0
	South of Cape		250+	142	1183	16	31	103	0	0
	Mendocino CA	Summer	125-250	50	350	10	25	38	11	7
			250+	217	1670	21	55	151	0	0
			0-125	153	324	8	55	268	44	16
		Winter	125-250	361	1501	17	47	199	20	10
	North of Cape Falcon		250+	401	1406	17	51	204	1	< 1
	OR	Summer	0-125	432	2/59	16	119	/94 72	22	3
		Summer	250+	83	924 254	10	16	75	1	0
		[0-125	13	15	3	8	31	4	13
		Winter	125-250	244	809	19	43	129	16	12
	Cape Falcon OR to		250+	293	1170	22	44	146	1	1
	Cape Blanco OR	c	0-125	42	538	5	19	98	9	9
4		Jummer	125-250 250+	221	1020	18	40 28	154 152	0	0
200			0-125	@	0J4 @	@	@	@	0	a
		Winter	125-250	112	278	8	23	58	14	24
	Cape Blanco OR to		250+	233	639	11	34	108	1	1
	Cape Mendocino CA		0-125	81	473	6	19	70	3	4
		Summer	125-250	100	437	12	20	43	0	0
			250+	100	/61	13	31 14	30 T00	0	0
		Winter	125-250	89	369	14	36	96	2	2
	South of Cape		250+	407	1052	20	62	229	0	0
	Mendocino CA		0-125	71	191	8	35	107	2	2
		Summer	125-250	109	604	13	29	85	0	0
			250+	309	1416	17	51	179	0	0

Table 2, continued.

<u> </u>	,		Donth		Floot				Hauls that Enco	untered S <u>almon</u>
			Depth	Observed	Fleet					
Year	Area	Season	(fm)	Catch (mt)	(mt)	Vessels	Trips	Hauls	Count	Percent
rear	Alcu	Scuson	0-125	135	619	7	41	187	1	1
		Winter	125-250	276	1490	14	37	132	17	13
	North of Cape Falcon		250+	381	1361	16	42	170	0	0
	OR		0-125	1061	4713	23	112	1148	0	0
		Summer	125-250	89	329	12	25	83	0	0
			250+	58	253	10	19	69	0	0
			0-125	*	82	*	*	*	*	*
	a 51 aa.	Winter	125-250	278	947	18	46	148	11	7
	Cape Falcon OR to		250+	237	971	20	42	122	0	0
	Cape Blanco OR	C	0-125	225	1241	11	41	267	0	0
05		Summer	125-250	80 109	363	13	24	101	1	1
20		1	125 250	100	6052	1/	10	101	2	0
		Winter	250+	174	854	13	27	73	0	4
	Cape Blanco OR to		0-125	86	565	6	18	83	2	2
	Cape Mendocino CA	Summer	125-250	37	267	6	10	18	0	0
			250+	210	886	12	28	102	0	0
-			0-125	5	51	3	9	21	0	0
		Winter	125-250	111	392	11	26	76	0	0
	South of Cape		250+	218	962	13	35	120	0	0
	Mendocino CA		0-125	88	343	5	51	203	1	<1
		Summer	125-250	53	317	9	19	40	0	0
			250+	195	1009	11	28	119	0	0
			0-125	60	232	6	28	147	2	1
	North of Cone Folger	Winter	125-250	186	1170	11	28	102	2	2
			250+	214	1270	12	28	102	0	0
	UK	Summor	0-125	106	4279	19	110	943	2	< 1
		Jummer	250+	100	371	10	13	54 64	0	0
-		1	0-125	*	521	*	*	*	*	*
		Winter	125-250	276	, 1065	16	48	180	1	1
	Cape Falcon OR to		250+	191	789	16	37	91	0	0
	Cape Blanco OR		0-125	235	1063	14	41	282	0	0
9		Summer	125-250	78	432	12	18	60	0	0
00		_	250+	215	943	17	30	119	0	0
		Winter	125-250	155	648	7	23	85	2	2
	Cane Blanco OR to	winter	250+	140	639	8	26	63	0	0
	Cape Mendocino CA		0-125	75	650	3	12	71	0	0
		Summer	125-250	35	148	5	7	11	0	0
-		-	250+	288	1153	11	35	134	0	0
			0-125	*	47	*	*	*	*	*
	South of Cono	winter	125-250	2/	324	4	11	24	0	0
	Mendocino CA		2JU+ 0_125	50	250	5	18	175	0	0
	Wendocino CA	Summer	125-250	74	235	2	40	82	0	0
		ounner	250+	227	925	11	40	133	0	0
			0-125	10	93	3	5	33	0	0
		Winter	125-250	254	1673	13	31	116	6	5
	North of Cape Falcon		250+	228	1844	13	30	140	0	0
	OR		0-125	253	1998	10	44	418	3	1
		Summer	125-250	222	1539	13	32	155	0	0
		_	250+	128	835	13	32	129	0	0
			0-125	*	17	*	*	*	*	*
		Winter	125-250	190	1160	15	32	102	2	2
	Cape Falcon OR to		250+	270	1486	18	39	145	1	1
	Cape Blanco OR		0-125	99	586	4	16	107	0	0
01		Summer	125-250	224	1251	24	40	138	0	0
20			250+	245	827	22	44	169	0	0
		Winter	250+	179	1260	9	24	00	1	2
	Cape Blanco OR to		250+	1/0	100	*	20	/2	*	*
	Cape Mendocino CA	Summer	125-250	172	493 Q10	17	79	م	1	, ,
		Sammer	250+	280	045 1192	1/	20 47	145		2
		-	0-125	*	45	*	*	*	*	*
		Winter	125-250	92	467	5	23	68	0	0
	South of Cape		250+	150	400	5	21	75	0	0
	Mendocino CA		0-125	82	384	7	36	133	0	0
		Summer	125-250	103	516	9	30	75	0	0
1			250+	109	718	11	33	83	0	0

Table 2, continued.

			Donth		Floot				Hauls that Enc	ountered Salmon
			Interval	Observed	Landings					
Year	Area	Season	(fm)	Catch (mt)	(mt)	Vessels	Trips	Hauls	Count	Percent
		Winter	125-250	317	2065	12	38	150		6 4
	North of Cape Falcon		250+	453	2636	13	41	210		0 0
	OR	Summer	0-125	242 504	2109	8 21	30 47	328 274		0 0
			250+	386	1607	21	54	298		0 0
· ·		-	0-125	@	@	@	@	@	(<u>@</u> @
		Winter	125-250	271	1417	17	44	153		9 6
	Cape Falcon OR to		250+	327	1885	17	44	158		0 0
	Cape Blanco OK	Summer	0-125	39	352	5	18	247		0 0
80		Summer	250+	319	1023	30	69	238		0 0
20			0-125	*	47	*	*	*		* *
	Cane Blanco OR to	Winter	125-250	164	922	10	37	99		9 9
	Cape Mendocino CA		250+	307	1744	12	53	156		0 0
		Summer	125-250	260	1255	17	37	150		0 0
		Ī	0-125	>>>	1233	*	41	*		* *
		Winter	125-250	35	502	5	16	39		0 0
	South of Cape		250+	92	523	8	22	63		0 0
	Mendocino CA		0-125	106	334	11	46	207		1 <1
		Summer	125-250	78	495	11	22	55		0 0
			250+	155	895	9	22	82		0 0 6 8
		Winter	125-250	576	2852	18	57	215		2 1
	North of Cape Falcon		250+	616	2885	21	69	312		0 0
	OR		0-125	517	1911	10	53	663		0 0
		Summer	125-250	316	2028	13	41	139		0 0
		-	250+	282	1262	13	41	235		0 0
		Winter	0-125	380	1/	× /1	03	256		* *
	Cape Falcon OR to	winter	250+	784	2627	39	117	476		0 0
	Cape Blanco OR		0-125	193	834	10	41	313		0 0
6		Summer	125-250	336	1175	21	58	254		0 0
200			250+	408	1373	24	71	297		0 0
		Winter	125-250	94	623 1503	11	28	58		4 7
	Cape Blanco OR to		250+ 0-125	592	1305	*	57 *	*		* *
	Cape Mendocino CA	Summer	125-250	126	863	11	32	75		0 0
			250+	354	1710	16	48	181		0 0
			0-125	6	73	4	5	16		1 6
	South of Cono	Winter	125-250	65	494	7	18	63		0 0
	Mendocino CA		250+ 0-125	155	189	01	29	114		9 6
		Summer	125-250	142	475	8	43	105		0 0
			250+	172	816	8	40	120		0 0
		Winter	125-250	270	1985	12	27	78		0 0
	North of Cape Falcon		250+	398	2637	12	36	196		0 0
	OR	Summer	0-125	232	2171	8	24	242		0 0
		Summer	250+	344	1239	14	42	267		0 0
		Winter	125-250	138	1263	15	33	82		3 4
	Cape Falcon OR to	winter	250+	339	2165	18	42	217		1 <1
	Cape Blanco OR	_	0-125	88	345	5	26	170		0 0
		Summer	125-250	258	1062	22	46	153		0 0
010			250+	3/5	1504	27	64 *	314		0 0 * *
50		Winter	250+	138	1372	7	18	72		0 0
	Cape Blanco OR to		0-125	*	13	*	*	*		* *
	саре менаостю СА	Summer	125-250	200	828	17	40	64		0 0
.			250+	433	1428	20	58	225		0 0
		Winter	0-125	28	99	3	15	65		0 0 *
	South of Cape	whiter	250+	41	611	4	11	3/1		0 0
	Mendocino CA		0-125	32	245	6	17	62		0 0
		Summer	125-250	52	322	8	24	60		0 0
1			250+	191	966	8	31	120		0 0

Table 3. Salmon bycatch count for LE trawl fishery. Unobserved strata and those without salmon bycatch are not shown. * represents strata containing fewer than 3 observed vessels; ! represents strata where bootstrapping was not possible due to fewer than 3 observed vessels in potential boostrapping dataset. Bootstrapped estimates of the bycatch ratio and 95% confidence intervals around the ratio SE are italicized. Note that for strata with a small number of salmon encounters can show bycatch ratio values equivalent to bycatch ratio SE values.

					Chinook				Chum				<u>Coho</u>				Pink					Unspecified				
							Observed	d			Observe	ł			Observe	d		c	Observed	d			Observed	1		
			Depth	Observed	Fleet				Bycatch				Bycatch				Bycatch				Bycatch			1	Bycatch	
			Interval	Groundfish	Groundfish	Bycatch	Bycatch	Bycatch	Estimate	Bycatch	Bycatch	Bycatch	Estimate	Bycatch	Bycatch	Bycatch	Estimate	Bycatch	Bycatch	Bycatch	Estimate	Bycatch	Bycatch	Bycatch	Estimate	
Year	Area	Season	(fm)	Catch (mt)	Landings (mt)	(count)	Ratio	Ratio SE	(count)	(count)	Ratio	Ratio SE	(count)	(count)	Ratio	Ratio SE	(count)	(count)	Ratio	Ratio SE	(count)	(count)	Ratio	Ratio SE	(count)	
			0-125	223	965	143	0.6397	0.1203	618	0	0	0	0	1	0.004485	0.004485	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	North of Cape Falcon	Winter	125-250	236	1006	74	0.3135	0.1143	315	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.004237	0.004237	4	
	OR		250+	170	927	5	0.02934	0.01948	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Summer	0-125	441	2544	116	0.2629	0.05169	669	1	0.002266	0.002266	6	2	0.004533	0.004533	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Cana Falcan OB to	Mintor	0-125	53	458	141	2.671	1.219	1224	0	0	0	0	1	0.01893	0.01893	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		winter	125-250	70	774	544	7.809	4.923	6041	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5	Cape Branco OK	Summer	0-125	121	980	7	0.05784	0.02977	57	1	0.008263	0.008263	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
200			0-125	34	264	183	5.408	2.749	1427	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	1	0.02955	0.02955	8	
	Cape Blanco OR to	Winter	125-250	32	438	194	6.066	3.599	2659	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Cape Mendocino CA		250+	198	1302	6	0.03031	0.03031	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Summer	0-125	66	751	106	1.614	0.7106	1212	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Winter	0-125	70	496	21	0.3015	0.1403	149	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	South of Cape		125-250	82	584	2	0.02451	0.01766	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Mendocino CA	Summer	0-125	17	269	3	0.1784	0.1337	48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
			125-250	109	627	6	0.05503	0.03905	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	North of Cape Falcon	Winter	0-125	194	1257	98	0.5055	0.1194	636	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	OR		125-250	90	994	29	0.3217	0.144	320	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Summer	0-125	75	1394	121	1.612	0.7132	2247	1	0.01332	0.01332	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
			0-125	105	649	1234	11.72	4.394	7609	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Cape Falcon OR to	winter	125-250	162	832	569	3.502	1.693	2912	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Cape Blanco OR S		250+	199	856	8	0.04029	0.04029	34	0	0	0.01635	0	0	0	0 007041	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.004050	0	
03		Summer	0-125	*	645	*	0.1524	0.152 -	98	*	0.01627	0.01625 -	10	*	0.007981	0.007941 -	5	0	0	0	0	*	0.004882	0.004858 -	3	
20		-	0.125	26	199	146	4 050	1 525	761	0	0	0.01029	0	1	0.02770	0.000021		0	0	0	0	0	٥	0.004907	0	
	Cape Blanco OR to	Winter	125 250	30	100	140	4.059	1.000	1204		0	0	0	1	0.02779	0.02779			0		0		0	0		
	Cape Mendocino CA	Summor	0.125	43	305	104	4.272	0.6122	240	0	0	0	0	2	0.09604	0.09604	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Jummer	0-125	23	240	2.5	0.3333	0.0133	240	0	0	0	0	2	0.00034	0.08094	21		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	South of Cane	Winter	0-125	*	211	*	0.2216	0.2214	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Mendocino CA		0-125	50	356	20	0.3996	0.1668	142	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Summer	125-250	50	352	0	0	0	0	1	0.02009	0.02009	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
			0-125	153	324	146	0.958	0.2831	310	0	0.02009	0.02000	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	2	0.01309	0.01309	4	
		Winter	125-250	361	1501	36	0.09976	0.02843	150	1	0.002771	0.002771	4	1	0.002771	0.002771	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	North of Cape Falcon		250+	401	1406	2	0.004992	0.004992	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	OR	<u>,</u>	0-125	432	2759	33	0.07663	0.02107	211	0	0	0	0	1	0.002314	0.002314	6	0	0	0	0	1	0.002314	0.002314	6	
		Summer	125-250	154	924	1	0.006492	0.006492	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
			0-125	13	15	7	0.559	0.3137	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Cape Falcon OR to	Winter	125-250	244	809	94	0.386	0.1368	312	0	0	0	0	4	0.01643	0.01298	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
8	Cape Blanco OR		250+	293	1170	1	0.003418	0.003418	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
20		Summer	0-125	42	538	36	0.8635	0.6779	465	0	0	0	0	3	0.07844	0.05697	42	0	0	0	0	2	0.04797	0.04797	26	
			0-125	112	278	80	0.717	0.2855	200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Cana Blanco OR to	Minton	105 050		-		3 720	2.727 -			-					-			-		_					
	Cape Biarico UR to	winter	125-250		6	· *	2.738	2.748	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Cape Mendocino CA		250+	233	639	1	0.004297	0.004297	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Summer	0-125	81	473	3	0.03695	0.02125	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	South of Cape	Winter	125-250	89	369	2	0.02246	0.01587	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Mendocino CA	Summer	0-125	71	191	4	0.05668	0.03997	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Table 3, continued.

							Chinook					Chum				<u>Coho</u>						Unspecified				
							Observed				Observe	d			Observe	d			Observe	d			Observe	d		
			Depth	Observed	Fleet				Bycatch				Bycatch				Bycatch				Bycatch				Bycatch	
			Interval	Groundfish	Groundfish	Bycatch	Bycatch	Bycatch	Estimate	Bycatch	Bycatch	Bycatch	Estimate	Bycatch	Bycatch	Bycatch	Estimate	Bycatch	Bycatch	Bycatch	Estimate	Bycatch	Bycatch	Bycatch	Estimate	
Yez	ar Area	Season	(fm)	Catch (mt)	Landings (mt)	(count)	Ratio	Ratio SE	(count)	(count)	Ratio	Ratio SE	(count)	(count)	Ratio	Ratio SE	(count)	(count)	Ratio	Ratio SE	(count)	(count)	Ratio	Ratio SE	(count)	
	North of Cane Falcon	beason	0-125	135	619	1	0.007/133	0.007/133	5	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(0	0		n (
		Winter	125-250	276	1/100	109	0 2019	0.007455	594	0	0			1	0 002628	0.002628				, U						
			125-250	270	1450	100	0.3310	0.1323		0	0		0	· 1	0.003028	0.003028				, 0		, 0	0			
	Cape Falcon OR to	Winter	0-125	*	82	*	0.1251	0.1249-	10	0	0	C	0	0 0	0	0	C	0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0		0 0	
U U		winter	125 250	270	047	2 20	0 1006	0.1235	05	0	0				0	0										
		C	125-250	2/8	947	28	0.1006	0.03973	95	0	0				0	0							0			
· `	Casa Plases OP to	Summer	125-250	80	303	3	0.03468	0.03408	13	0	0				0	0		0					0			
	Cape Blanco OR LO	winter	125-250	90	605	14	0.1562	0.111	94	0	0		0		0	0							0			
	Cape Mendocino CA	Summer	0-125	80	505	2	0.02318	0.01030	13	0	U	ι ι	0	0	0	U		U		0 0	l l	0	0		u i	
	South of Cape	Summer	0-125	88	343	1	0.0114	0.0114	4	0	0	C	0	0 0	0	0	C	0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0		0 0	
-	Mendocino CA		0.105																							
	North of Cape Falcon	Winter	0-125	60	232	2	0.03319	0.02348	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0) ()	(0 0	0			
	OR		125-250	186	11/0	5	0.02919	0.02211	34	0	0		0	0 0	0	0		0	0) ()	(0 0	0			
		Summer	0-125	665	42/9	2	0.003009	0.002128	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0) ()		0 0	0			
6	Cape Falcon OR to	Minhor	0-125	276	1065	1	0.003622	0.003622	4	0	U	L L	. 0	0	0	u		0	u u) 0	, c	0 0	0		U (
1	Cape Blanco OR	winter	125-250	*	7	*	0.08653	0.08647 -	1	0	0	C	0	0 0	0	0	C	0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0		0 0	
	0	•						0.08658					1				{								1	
	Cape Blanco OR to	Winter	125-250	155	648	2	0.01288	0.009084	8	0	0	C	0	0 0	0	0	C	0	0	0	0	0 0	0		0 0	
	Cape Mendocino CA				1000			0.00001							0.00000						_					
	North of Cape Falcon	Winter	125-250	254	16/3	12	0.04/32	0.02/31	/9	0	0		. 0	2	0.00/88/	0.005576	13	0	0	0 0		0 0	0		0 0	
	OR	Summer	0-125	253	1998	6 6	0.02368	0.014/6	4/	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0) ()	(0 0	0		0. 0	
İ	Cape Falcon OR to	Winter	125-250	190	1160	8	0.04208	0.02969	49	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0) ()		0 0	0		0 0	
1	Cape Blanco OR		250+	270	1486	5 1	0.003699	0.003699	5	0	0	C	0	0 0	0	0	C	0	0) 0	(0 0	0 0		0 0	
	Cape Blanco OR to	Winter	125-250	87	681	. 1	0.01147	0.01147	8	0	0	C	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0) 0		0 0	0		0 0	
-	Cape Mendocino CA	Summer	125-250	172	843	1	0.005799	0.005799	5	0	0	L C	0	0 0	0	0	C	0	0) 0	(0 0	0		0 0	
	North of Cape Falcon	Winter	125-250	317	2065	11	0.03471	0.01638	72	0	0	C	0	0 0	0	0	C	0	0	0	0	0 0	0		o c	
	OR								1				1													
	Cape Falcon OR to	Winter	125-250	271	1417	24	0.08847	0.03777	125	0	0	C	0	0 0	0	0	C	0	0	0	0	0 0	0		o c	
	Cape Blanco OR											-					-									
Š	Cape Blanco OR to	Winter	0-125	!	47	!	!	!	!!	0	0	C	0	0 0	0	0	C	0	0) 0	(0 0	0		0 0	
1	Cape Mendocino CA		125-250	164	922	20	0.1222	0.05322	113	0	0	C	0	0 0	0	0	C	0	0) 0	C	0 0	0		0 0	
		Winter	0-125	*	99	*	0.01	0.009906 -	1	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	c c	0	0	0		0 0	0		o c	
	South of Cape							0.01009		-																
	Mendocino CA	Summer	0-125	106	334	4	0.0379	0.0379	13	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	C	0	0) 0		0 0	0		o c	
													<u> </u>													
	North of Cape Falcon	Winter	0-125	41	149	39	0.9479	0.5364	142	0	0	C	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0) 0		0 0	0		0 0	
	OR	-	125-250	576	2852	2 2	0.00347	0.002452	10	0	0	C	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0) 0	(0 0	0		0, C	
	Cape Falcon OR to	Winter	0-125	!	17	'!	!	!	!!	0	0	C	0	0 0	0	0	C	0	0) 0		0 0	0 0		0 C	
Ĩ	Cape Blanco OR		125-250	380	1391	. 14	0.03687	0.01703	51	0	0	C	0	0 0	0	0	C	0	0) 0	(0 0	0		0 0	
1	 Cape Blanco OR to 	Winter	125-250	94	623	5	0.05292	0.02768	33	0	0	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0		0 0	
1	Cape Mendocino CA		250+	392	1503	2	0.005102	0.005102	8	0	0	C	0	0 0	0	0	C	0	0) 0	(0 0	0		0 C	
1	South of Cape	Winter	0-125	6	73	8 1	0.1652	0.1652	12	0	0	C	0	0	0	C	C	0	0	0 0	(0 0	0		o c	
	Mendocino CA	Summer	0-125	79	189	18	0.2278	0.1135	43	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	C	і <u>с</u>	1	0.01266	0.01266	2	2 0	0		0 0	
1.	Cape Falcon OR to	Winter	125-250	138	1263	8 4	0.02901	0.01767	37	0	0	0	• 0	0 0	0	C	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	1 0	0 0	0		0 0	
1 5	Cape Blanco OR		250+	339	2165	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.01182	0.01182	26	0	0 0	0 0	(0 0	0		o c	
1	Cape Blanco OR to	Winter	125-250	*	379	*	0.04262	0.04256 -	16	0	0		0	*	0.01285	0.01281 -	5	0	0	0		0	0		0 0	
1	Cape Mendocino CA				5/5		2.0.202	0.04269	10	U U	0		I V		5.01205	0.01288	1 7	l °	0				0		1	

Table 4. Salmon bycatch weight for LE trawl fishery. Unobserved strata and those without salmon bycatch are not shown. * represents strata containing fewer than 3 observed vessels; ! represents strata where bootstrapping was not possible due to fewer than 3 observed vessels in potential boostrapping dataset. Bootstrapped estimates of the bycatch ratio and 95% confidence intervals around the ratio SE are italicized. Note that for strata with a small number of salmon encounters can show bycatch ratio values equivalent to bycatch ratio SE values.

					Chinook					Chum				Coho				Pink				Unspecified				
						Observed				Observed				Observed		_		Observe	d	_		Observed		-		
		Depth	Observed	Fleet				Bycatch				Bycatch				Bycatch				Bycatch				Bycatch		
		Interval	Groundfish	Groundfish	Bycatch	Duratah Datia	Bycatch Ratio	Estimate	Bycatch	Durantah Datia	Bycatch Ratio	Estimate	Bycatch	Duratah Datia	Bycatch Ratio	Estimate	Bycatch	Bycatch	Bycatch	Estimate	Bycatch	Durantal Datia	Bycatch Ratio	Estimate		
Year	Area	Season (fm)	Catch (mt)	Landings (mt)	(mt)	Bycatch Ratio	5E	(mt)	(mt)	Bycatch Ratio	SE	(mt)	(mt)	Bycatch Ratio	3E	(mt)	(mt)	Ratio	Ratio SE	(mt)	(mt)	Bycatch Ratio	SE	(mt)		
	North of Cono Folcon	U-125	223	965	0.3	0.001269	0.0002341	1	0	0	U	0	0.002	0.000009297	0.000009297	0.009		,			0 001	0.000005705	0.000005705			
		winter 125-250	236	1006	0.1	0.0005839	0.0001918	0.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	L L			,			0.001	0.000005765	0.000005765	0.006		
	UK	250+ Summor 0.125	1/0	927	0.000	0.00003752	0.00002525	0.05	0.004	0 00000842	0 00000842	0.02	0.0004	0.2525.07	0.2525.03	0.002		,				0				
		0.125	53	458	0.3	0.000033	0.0001144	2	0.004	0.00000843	0.00000843	0.02	0.0004	0.00001116	0.00001116	0.002)				0				
	Cape Falcon OR to	Winter 125-250	70	774	0.5	0.003207	0.002362	10	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.00001110	0.00001110	0.005		, i	n c			0	(
-	Cape Blanco OR	Summer 0-125	121	980	0.02	0.0001271	0.00006953	0.1	0.004	0.00003486	0.00003486	0.03	0	0	(0	()	0 0		0 0	0	(
002		0-125	34	264	0.3	0.009053	0.004365	2	0	0	0	0	0	0		0 0	()	 D (0.003	0.00008579	0.00008579	0.02		
2	Cape Blanco OR to	Winter 125-250	32	438	0.3	0.009154	0.004829	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0 0	()	0 0		0 0	0	C	0 0		
	Cape Mendocino CA	250+	198	1302	0.01	0.00005494	0.00005494	0.07	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0 0	()	D C) (0 0	0	C	0 0		
		Summer 0-125	66	751	0.2	0.002462	0.000954	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0 0	()	D C) (0 0	0	C	0 0		
		0-125	70	496	0.07	0.0009928	0.0004379	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0 0	()	D C	0 0	0 0	0	C	0 0		
	South of Cape	125-250	82	584	0.006	0.00006903	0.00005077	0.04	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0 0	()	D C) (0 0	0	C) (
	Mendocino CA	Summer 0-125	17	269	0.003	0.0001888	0.0001432	0.05	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	0)	D C	C (0 0	0	C	0 0		
		125-250	109	627	0.01	0.00008945	0.00006309	0.06	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0 0)	0 0	0 0	0 0	0) (
	North of Cape Falcon	Winter 0-125	194	1257	0.2	0.001176	0.0003035	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0 0	()	D C	с С	0 0	0	C) (
	OR	125-250	90	994	0.09	0.0009757	0.0004468	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0 0	()	D C) (0 0	0	C	0 0		
		Summer 0-125	75	1394	0.2	0.002527	0.001094	4	0.003	0.00003462	0.00003462	0.05	0	0	C	0	()	D C		0 0	0	(0 0		
		0-125	105	649	2	0.02037	0.007278	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0 0	()	0 0		0 0	0	(
	Cape Falcon OR to	winter 125-250	162	832	1	0.006357	0.003241	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	(()			0	0	(
	Cape Blanco OR S	250+	199	856	0.02	0.00008476	0.00008476	0.07	0	0	6 9540 05	0	0	0	2 020a 0E			J	υι		0	0	1 2220 05	, (
03		Summer 0-125	*	645	*	0.0003091	0.0003083-	0.2	*	0.00006863	6 8720-05	0.04	*	0.00002954	2.3336=03=	0.02	()	D C) (* (0.00001329	1 3350-05	0.009		
2		0-125	36	188	0.3	0.007613	0.003012	1	0	0	0.0720 03	0	0.002	0.00006492	0.00006492	0.01	()	0 0		0	0	1.5550 05			
	Cape Blanco OR to	Winter 125-250	43	303	0.3	0.006818	0.004182	2	0	0	0	0	0	0		0 0			 0 (0 0	0				
	Cape Mendocino CA	Summer 0-125	23	240	0.03	0.001322	0.0007243	0.3	0	0	0	0	0.003	0.0001479	0.0001479	0.04	()	D C) (0 0	0	C) (
		Winter 0 125	*	211		0.0007445	0.0007438 -	0.2	0	0	0		0	0								0				
	South of Cape	Winter 0-125		211		0.0007445	0.0007452	0.2	0	U	U	0	0	U	L	1	,	,	υ ι	1	0	U	· · ·			
	Mendocino CA	Summer 0-125	50	356	0.04	0.0008007	0.0002921	0.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0 0	0)	D C) (0 0	0	C) (
		125-250	50	352	0	0	0	0	0.004	0.00007382	0.00007382	0.03	0	0	0	0 0)	0 0) <u> </u>	0 0	0	(0 0		
		0-125	153	324	0.2	0.001497	0.0003573	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	()	D C		0.002	0.0000127	0.0000127	0.004		
	North of Cape Falcon	Winter 125-250	361	1501	0.09	0.0002602	0.00008487	0.4	0.002	0.000006536	0.00006536	0.01	0.001	0.000003771	0.000003771	0.006	()	D C		0 0	0	C			
	OR	250+	401	1406	0.003	0.000007133	0.000007133	0.01	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	()	0 0) (0 0	0	() (
		Summer 0-125	432	2759	0.1	0.0002405	0.0000/1/	0.7	0	0	0	0	0.003	0.000006509	0.000006505	0.02	()			0.004	0.00009564	0.000009564	0.02		
		125-250	154	924	0.0008	0.000005301	0.00005301	0.005	0	0	0	0	0	0)				0				
	Cane Falcon OR to	U-125 Winter 125 250	244	200	0.000	0.0004905	0.000277	0.007	0	0	0	0	0.006	0.00002217	0.0000197/	0.07		,				0				
4	Cape Blanco OR	250+	293	1170	0.001	0.00004496	0.00002495	0.005	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.00002517	0.000010/4	0.02		, i	n r			0	(
200		Summer 0-125	42	538	0.07	0.001653	0.001162	0.9	0	0	0	0	0.01	0.0002903	0.0002072	0.2	()	0 0		0.005	0.0001306	0.0001306	0.07		
		-					0.005081 -		-	-	-	, i i			2.892e-05 -	1								1		
	C	0-125	*	6	*	0.005102	0.005123	0.03	0	0	0	0	*	0.00002922	2.952e-05	0.0002	()	D C		0 0	0	C	0 0		
1	Cape Blanco UR to	125-250	112	278	0.2	0.001486	0.0005704	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	()	D C) (0 0	0	C	0 0		
1	cape Menuocino CA	250+	233	639	0.005	0.00002261	0.00002261	0.01	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0 0	()	D C) (0 0	0	C	0 0		
Ι.		Summer 0-125	81	473	0.007	0.0000838	0.00005891	0.04	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	()	D C	0	0 0	0	C	0 0		
'	South of Cape	Winter 125-250	89	369	0.007	0.00008253	0.00005831	0.03	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0 0	()	D C) C	0 0	0	C) (
	Mendocino CA	Summer 0-125	71	191	0.01	0.0001903	0.0001395	0.04	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0 0	()	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0) (

Table 4, continued.

				Chinook				Chum				<u>Coho</u>					Pink				Unspecified				
					Observed		_		Observed	1	_		Observed		_		Observed				Observe	1	_		
	Depth	Observed	Fleet				Bycatch				Bycatch				Bycatch				Bycatch				Bycatch		
	Interval	Groundfish	Groundfish	Bycatch		Bycatch Ratio	Estimate	Bycatch		Bycatch Ratio	Estimate	Bycatch		Bycatch Ratio	Estimate	Bycatch	Bycatch B	ycatch	Estimate	Bycatch		Bycatch Ratio	Estimate		
Year Area	Season (fm)	Catch (mt)	Landings (mt)	(mt)	Bycatch Ratio	SE	(mt)	(mt)	Bycatch Ratio	SE	(mt)	(mt)	Bycatch Ratio	SE	(mt)	(mt)	Ratio Ra	atio SE	(mt)	(mt)	Bycatch Ratio	SE	(mt)		
North of Cape Falcor	0-125	135	619	0.0007	0.000005395	0.000005395	0.003	0	0	(0 0	0 0	0	() (0 0	0	0	0	0	C	(0 0		
OR	125-250	276	1490	0.2	0.0007242	0.000271	. 1	0	0	() O	0.001	0.000004361	0.00000436	0.006	0	0	0	0	0	C	(0 0		
						0.0002709 -			_						j.					-					
Cape Falcon OR to	Winter 0-125		82	÷	0.0002/13	0.0002718	0.02	0	0	(0	0 0	0	(. 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	L.		J 0		
Cape Blanco OR	125-250	278	947	0.06	0.0002235	0.00009147	0.2	0	0	(0 0	0	0	(0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	c		o c		
. 50	Summer 125-250	86	363	0.007	0.00008128	0.00008128	0.03	0	0	(0 0	0	0	(0 10	0	0	0	0	0	C	(0 0		
Cape Blanco OR to	Winter 125-250	90	605	0.04	0.0004074	0.0002934	0.2	0	0	(. 0	0	0	(0	0	0	0	0	0	(0 0		
Cape Mendocino CA	Summer 0-125	86	565	0.005	0.00005993	0.00004296	0.03	0	0	() ()	0	0	(0	0	0	0	0	-		0 0		
South of Cape	-							-	-			-	-		1	-	-	-	-	-			-		
Mendocino CA	Summer 0-125	88	343	0.001	0.00001576	0.00001576	0.005	0	0	() ' (0 0	0	(0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	C		J 0		
includence of the	0.125	60	232	0.003	0.00005043	0.00003570	0.01	0	0	(0	0			0	0	0	0	0			0 0		
North of Cape Falcor	Winter 125-250	186	1170	0.005	0.00003043	0.00003373	0.01		0	() ()) ()		0				0	0	0	0					
OR	123-230 Summor 0 135	100	4270	0.000	0.00003144	0.00002277	0.04	0	0			0	0				0	0	0	0					
O Cana Falcon OR to	Juliller 0-125	005	42/5	0.005	0.0000043	0.00000313	0.02	0	0		, i	0	0				0	Ŭ	0	0	, i		5		
Cape Plance OR	Winter 125-250	*	7	*	0.0002487	0.0002483	0.002	0	0	(0 0	0 0	0	(0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	C	(0 O		
Cape Blanco OR	-					0.0002491									1								4		
Cape Blanco OR to	Winter 125-250	276	1065	0.004	0.00001528	0.00001528	0.02	0	0	(0 0	0	0	() o	0 0	0	0	0	0	C		o c		
Cape Mendocino CA									-												-		1 .		
North of Cape Falcor	Winter 125-250	155	648	0.004	0.00002279	0.00001607	0.01	0	0	(0 0	0	(0 0	0	0	0	0	(J 0		
OR	Summer 0-125	254	16/3	0.02	0.00007746	0.00004288	0.1	0	0	(0.002	0.000009481	0.00000671	3 0.02	0	0	0	0	0	(J 0		
Cape Falcon OR to	Winter 125-250	253	1998	0.01	0.00004864	0.00002918	0.1	0	0	(0 0	0 0	0	(0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	C		J 0		
∼ Cape Blanco OR	250+	190	1160	0.02	0.00008434	0.00005992	0.1	0	0	(0 0	0 0	0	(0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	C		J 0		
Cape Blanco OR to	Winter 125-250	270	1486	0.004	0.00001376	0.00001376	0.02	0	0	() ()	0 0	0	(0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	C		J 0		
Cape Mendocino CA	Summer 125-250	87	681	0.0009	0.00009884	0.000009884	0.007	0	0	(0 0	0 0	0	(0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	C	1	J 0		
North of Cape Falcor	1 Winter 125-250	172	843	0.002	0.00001157	0.00001157	0.01	0	0	(). ()	0	0	(0	0	0	0	0	0	(0 0		
OR	• •							-				-			i - '	-		-	-	-					
	0-125	317	2065	0.02	0.00006776	0.00003334	01	0	0	ſ	n 0	0	0	(1 0	0	0	0	0	0	(0 0		
Cape Falcon OR to	Winter						1		-			-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-		1 1		
Cape Blanco OR	125-250	*	3	*	0 0002268	0.0002262 -	0.0006	0	0	(0	0	0	(0	0	0	0	0	ſ		0 0		
~			2		0.0002200	0.0002274	0.0000	Ŭ	Ű			Ŭ	0				Ū	0	0	Ŭ			1 ĭ		
Cape Blanco OR to	Winter 0-125	271	1417	0.05	0.0001882	0.00008127	0.3	0	0	(0 0	0 0	0	(0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	C		J 0		
Cape Mendocino CA	125-250	164	922	0.04	0.0002418	0.00009653	0.2	0	0	(0 0	0 0	0	(0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	C		J 0		
South of Cape	Summer 0-175	*	00	*	0 00003479	3.397e-05 -	0.003	0	0	(0	0				0	0	0	0			0 0		
Mendocino CA	Summer 0-125		35		0.00003429	3.462e-05	0.003	0	U	(, u	0	0			0	U	0	0	0	, c		5 0		
North of Cape Falcor	Winter 0-125	106	334	0.007	0.00006326	0.00006326	0.02	0	0	(0 0	0 0	0	(0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	C		0 O		
OR	125-250	41	149	0.02	0.0005413	0.0002672	0.08	0	0	(0 0	0 0	0	(0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	C		J 0		
Cape Falcon OR to	0-125	576	2852	0.004	0.000006453	0.000004694	0.02	0	0	(0 0	0 0	0	(0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	C	(0 O		
Cape Blanco OR	125-250	380	1391	0.04	0.0000986	0.00004509	0.1	0	0	(0 0	0	0	(0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	C	(0 0		
Cape Blanco OR to	125-250	94	623	0.01	0.0001023	0.00005311	0.06	0	0	(0 0	0	0	(0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	C		0 0		
Cape Mendocino CA	250+	392	1503	0.003	0.000007174	0.000007174	0.01	0	0	(0 0	0 0	0	(o l	0 0	0	0	0	0	C		o c		
South of Cape	Winter 0-125	6	73	0.003	0.0005665	0.0005665	0.04	0	0	(0 0	0 0	0	(0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	C	(0 C		
Mendocino CA	Summer 0-125	79	189	0.04	0.0005081	0.0002278	0.1	0	0	(0 0	0 0	0	(0 0	0.0009	1.2E-05 1	1.2E-05	0.002	0	C	(0 0		
Cape Falcon OR to	125-250	138	1263	0.01	0.00006991	0.00004686	0.09	0	0	() 0	0 0	0	() 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	C	(0 0		
Cape Blanco OR	250+	339	2165	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	(0 0	0.01	0.00003082	0.00003082	2 0.07	0	0	0	0	0	C	(o c		
Cape Blanco OR to	-					9.473e-05 -					1			1.046e-05											
Cape Mendocino CA	Winter 125-250	*	379	*	0.0009488	9.504e-05	0.04	0	0		· 0	*	0.00001049	1.052e-05	0.004	0	0	0	0	0			J 0		

Table 5. Observed vessels, trips, hauls, catch, and salmon and fleet landings, stratified by year and season for LE CA halibut fishery.Note that all fishing in this fishery occurred south of Cape Mendocino. Strata not listed were not observed. To preserveconfidentiality: 2010 data are reported in Tables 11 and 12, combined with OA CA halibut; 2011 and 2013 data are reported in Table21, combined with IFQ Bottom Trawl; 2012 data cannot be reported.

		Observed	Fleet				Hauls that En	countered Salmon
		California	California					
		Halibut	Halibut					
Year	Season	Catch (mt)	Landings (mt)	Vessels	Trips	Hauls	Count	Percent
2002	All Year	4	105	7	19	52	8	15
2003	Winter	13	62	6	33	107	8	7
2003	Summer	6	44	8	40	99	9	9
2004	Winter	15	80	3	21	94	25	27
2004	Summer	17	56	6	25	76	4	5
2005	Winter	11	131	6	28	100	16	16
2005	Summer	20	57	5	46	133	9	7
2006	Winter	11	81	7	44	143	6	4
2000	Summer	3	39	5	34	81	2	2
2007	Winter	3	27	3	13	29	4	14
2007	Summer	2	12	4	26	51	2	4
2000	Winter	10	34	4	35	110	12	11
2008	Summer	<1	2	3	5	8	0	0
2009	Summer	3	7	3	12	29	0	0

Table 6. Salmon bycatch count for LE CA halibut fishery. Unobserved strata and those without salmon bycatch are not shown. Note that all fishing in this fishery occurred south of Cape Mendocino. Note that for strata with a small number of salmon encounters can show bycatch ratio values equivalent to bycatch ratio SE values. To preserve confidentiality: 2010 data are reported in Tables 11 and 12, combined with OA CA halibut; 2011 and 2013 data are reported in Table 21, combined with IFQ Bottom Trawl; 2012 data cannot be reported.

					Chin	ook			Co	ho			Unspe	cified	
		Observed	Fleet		Observed				Observed				Observed		
		California	California				Bycatch				Bycatch				Bycatch
		Halibut	Halibut	Bycatch	Bycatch	Bycatch	Estimate	Bycatch	Bycatch	Bycatch	Estimate	Bycatch	Bycatch	Bycatch	Estimate
Year	Season	Catch (mt)	Landings (mt)	(count)	Ratio	Ratio SE	(count)	(count)	Ratio	Ratio SE	(count)	(count)	Ratio	Ratio SE	(count)
2002	All Year	4	105	13	3.621	1.47	381	0	0	0	0	5	1.393	1.393	147
2002	Winter	13	62	9	0.6987	0.2544	43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2003	Summer	6	44	11	1.767	0.632	77	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2004	Winter	15	80	88	5.991	2.013	479	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2004	Summer	17	56	4	0.2381	0.1181	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	i 0
2005	Winter	11	131	31	2.888	0.7882	380	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2003	Summer	20	57	15	0.7583	0.2896	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Winter	11	81	13	1.174	0.6301	95	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2000	Summer	3	39	1	0.3112	0.3112	12	4	1.245	1.245	48	0	0	0	0
2007	Winter	3	27	11	3.663	2.257	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	I 0
2007	Summer	2	12	5	2.045	1.685	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008	Winter	10	36	21	2.209	0.755	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 7. Salmon bycatch weight for LE CA halibut fishery. Unobserved strata and those without salmon bycatch are not shown. Note that all fishing in this fishery occurred south of Cape Mendocino. To preserve confidentiality: 2010 data are reported in Tables 11 and 12, combined with OA CA halibut; 2011 and 2013 data are reported in Table 21, combined with IFQ Bottom Trawl; 2012 data cannot be reported.

					<u>Chinook</u> Observed				<u>Co</u>	ho			Unspe	cified	
		Observed	Fleet		Observed				Observed				Observed		-
		California	California				Bycatch				Bycatch				Bycatch
		Halibut	Halibut	Bycatch	Bycatch	Bycatch	Estimate	Bycatch	Bycatch	Bycatch	Estimate	Bycatch	Bycatch	Bycatch	Estimate
Year	Season	Catch (mt)	Landings (mt)	(mt)	Ratio	Ratio SE	(mt)	(mt)	Ratio	Ratio SE	(mt)	(mt)	Ratio	Ratio SE	(mt)
2002	Winter	4	105	0.01	0.003475	0.001474	0.4	0	0	0	0	0.002	0.000682	0.000682	0.07
2003	Winter	6	44	0.01	0.001716	0.000658	0.07	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2005	Summer	13	62	0.01	0.000789	0.000321	0.05	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2004	Winter	17	56	0.02	0.001407	0.000753	0.08	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2004	Summer	15	80	0.1	0.008563	0.002286	0.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	i 0
2005	Winter	20	57	0.03	0.001674	0.000686	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2005	Summer	11	131	0.06	0.005302	0.001462	0.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Winter	3	39	0.004	0.001129	0.001129	0.04	0.02	0.004952	0.004952	0.2	0	0	0	0
2000	Summer	11	81	0.02	0.001636	0.000874	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2007	Winter	2	12	0.03	0.01258	0.01232	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2007	Summer	3	27	0.02	0.006964	0.004237	0.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008	Winter	10	36	0.05	0.00496	0.001723	0.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 8. Observed vessels, trips, hauls, catch, and salmon and fleet landings, stratified by year and season for OA CA halibut fishery. Note that all fishing in this fishery occurred south of Cape Mendocino. Strata not listed were not observed; * represents strata containing fewer than 3 observed vessels.

		Observed California Halibut Catch	Fleet California Halibut				Hauls that Encou	ntered
Year	Season	(mt)	Landings (mt)	Vessels	Trips	Hauls	Count	Percent
2002	Winter	*	18	*	*	*	*	*
2003	Summer	2	7	3	15	103	0	0
2004	Winter	1	30	3	18	67	0	0
2004	Summer	*	41	*	*	*	*	*
2005	Winter	2	24	4	31	117	0	0
2003	Summer	*	40	*	*	*	*	*
2007	Winter	1	8	4	18	73	0	0
2007	Summer	2	31	4	30	153	0	0
2008	Winter	1	21	3	18	68	0	0
2008	Summer	2	30	4	31	129	0	0
2000	Winter	*	37	*	*	*	*	*
2005	Summer	*	45	*	*	*	*	*
2011	Winter	11	50	4	22	83	5	6
2011	Summer	2	30	9	26	121	0	0
2012	Summer	4	29	7	27	77	0	0
2013	Winter	*	29	*	*	*	*	*
2015	Summer	2	39	4	19	51	0	0

Table 9. Salmon bycatch count and weight for OA CA halibut fishery. Unobserved strata and those without salmon bycatch are not shown. Note that all fishing in this fishery occurred south of Cape Mendocino in the winter. Bootstrapped estimates of the bycatch ratio and 95% confidence intervals around the ratio SE are italicized.

	Observed	Fleet				C	hinook			
	California	California	(Observed				Observed		Bycatch
	Halibut	Halibut	Bycatch	Bycatch	Bycatch	Estimate	Bycatch	Bycatch	Bycatch	Estimate
Year	Catch (mt)	Landings	(count)	Ratio	Ratio SE	(count)	(mt)	Ratio	Ratio SE	(mt)
2011	11	50	7	0.6415	0.3279	32	0.003	0.000311	0.0001409	0.02
2012					0.8312 -				0.0003924	
2013	*	29	*	0.8319	0.8326	25	*	0.000393	0.000393	0.01

Table 10. Observed vessels, trips, hauls, catch, and salmon and fleet landings, stratified by season for LE and OA CA halibut fishery (grouped in 2010 due to fewer than 3 observed vessels in strata). Note that all fishing in this fishery occurred south of Cape Mendocino.

	Observed California Halibut	Fleet California Halibut				<u>Hauls that En</u>	countered Salmon	
Season	Catch (mt)	Landings (mt)	Vessels	Trips	Hauls	Count	Percent	
Winter	7	61	4	22	86	2		2
Summer	2	63	4	21	66	0		С

Table 11. Salmon bycatch count and weight for LE and OA CA halibut fishery in 2010. Only strata with observed salmon bycatch are shown. Note that all fishing in this fishery occurred south of Cape Mendocino.

						Chine	ook			
		Fleet								
	Observed	California								
	California	Halibut		Observed		Bycatch		Observed		Bycatch
	Halibut Catch	Landings	Bycatch	Bycatch	Bycatch	Estimate	Bycatch	Bycatch	Bycatch	Estimate
Season	(mt)	(mt)	(count)	Ratio	Ratio SE	(count)	(mt)	Ratio	Ratio SE	(mt)
Winter	7	61	2	0.2873	0.2038	17	0.002	0.000225	0.000185	0.01

			Observed	Fleet				Hauls that	Encountered
			Nearshore	Nearshore				<u>Sa</u>	lmon
Maan	A	6	Species	Species		Talas	Usula	Count	Porcont
Year	Area	Season	Catch (mt)	Landings (mt)	Vessels	Trips	Hauls	Count	Percent
00	Cape Blanco OR to Cape Mendocino CA	winter	<1	29	3	5	8	0	0
2		Summer	3	119	5	19	45	1	2
4	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	Summer	8	106	22	79	163	3	2
200	Cape Blanco OR to Cape Mendocino CA	Winter	5	36	6	36	58	1	2
		Summer	10	123	23	105	136	1	1
	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	Winter	2	38	8	24	33	0	0
00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Summer	5	77	24	57	62	1	2
5	Cape Blanco OR to Cape Mendocino CA	Winter	2	44	8	23	27	1	4
	· · ·	Summer	10	125	27	95	123	0	0
ۍ س	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	winter	1	12	8	1/	23	0	0
00		Summer	11	97	25	108	1/9	1	1
	Cape Blanco OR to Cape Mendocino CA	Winter	2	21	12	21	25	0	0
		Summer	1	125	3/	133	192	1	1
~	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	Summor	10	1/	17	14	15	1	0
200		Winter	10	108	17	95 27	151	1	1
	Cape Blanco OR to Cape Mendocino CA	Summer	4	128	12	01	122	0	0
		Winter	2	30	13	20	38	0	0
8	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	Summer	7	104	20	69	30 80	2	2
200		Winter	4	56	13	37	41	0	0
	Cape Blanco OR to Cape Mendocino CA	Summer	6	125	15	65	75	0	0
		Winter	2	46	10	20	25	0	0
60	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	Summer	10	116	20	87	147	6	4
20(Winter	4	40	14	44	50	1	2
	Cape Blanco OR to Cape Mendocino CA	Summer	4	124	16	39	40	0	0
		Winter	2	35	13	29	41	0	0
10	Cape Faicon OR to Cape Blanco OR	Summer	6	96	23	71	85	3	4
20	Cone Plance OD to Cone Mandacine CA	Winter	1	16	10	13	15	0	0
	Cape Blanco OR to Cape Mendocino CA	Summer	8	85	27	86	105	2	2
	Cano Falcon OP to Cano Planco OP	Winter	3	37	15	31	40	0	0
111		Summer	8	108	26	105	125	3	2
20		Winter	2	15	13	29	35	0	0
	cape blanco on to cape mendocino ca	Summer	9	70	27	89	115	2	2
	Cape Falcon OB to Cape Blanco OB	Winter	3	33	22	41	53	2	4
012		Summer	13	120	28	134	163	3	2
5(Cape Blanco OR to Cape Mendocino CA	Winter	2	11	9	21	23	2	9
		Summer	9	64	20	70	97	2	2
_	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	Winter	5	45	19	60	69	2	3
013		Summer	6	107	26	68	92	5	5
5	Cape Blanco OR to Cape Mendocino CA	Winter	4	22	17	47	51	5	10
1		Summer	8	71	24	68	88	1	1

Table 12. Observed vessels, trips, hauls, catch, and salmon and fleet landings, stratified by year, season, and area for nearshore fixedgear fishery north of the management line at 40.16°N. Strata not listed were not observed.

Table 13. Salmon bycatch count for nearshore fixed gear fishery north of the management line at 40.16°N. Unobserved strata and those without salmon bycatch are not shown. Note that for strata with a small number of salmon encounters can show bycatch ratio values equivalent to bycatch ratio SE values.

				Fleet	t <u>Chinook</u> ore Observed				Co	<u>ho</u>			Unspe	cified		
			Observed	Nearshore		Observed				Observed		1		Observed		1
			Nearshore	Species				Bycatch				Bycatch		_		Bycatch
			Species	Landings	Bycatch	Bycatch	Bycatch	Estimate	Bycatch	Bycatch	Bycatch	Estimate	Bycatch	Bycatch	Bycatch	Estimate
Year	Area	Season	Catch (mt)	(mt)	(count)	Ratio	Ratio SE	(count)	(count)	Ratio	Ratio SE	(count)	(count)	Ratio	Ratio SE	(count)
2003	Cape Blanco OR to Cape Mendocino CA	Summer	3	119	1	0.3468	0.3468	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	Summer	8	106	2	0.2465	0.2465	26	2	0.2465	0.1747	26	0	0	0	0
2004	Cane Blanco OB to Cane Mendocino CA	Winter	5	36	1	0.1903	0.1903	7	0	0	0	ı 0	0	0	0	0
	cape blanco on to cape mendoemo ex	Summer	10	123	0	0	0	0	1	0.09933	0.09933	12	0	0	0	0
2005	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	Summer	5	77	1	0.186	0.186	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2005	Cape Blanco OR to Cape Mendocino CA	Winter	2	44	1	0.4108	0.4108	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	Summer	11	97	1	0.09226	0.09226	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2000	Cape Blanco OR to Cape Mendocino CA	Summer	11	125	1	0.08697	0.08697	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2007	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	Summer	10	108	0	0	0	0	1	0.1051	0.1051	11	0	0	0	0
2008	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	Summer	7	104	0	0	0	0	3	0.4085	0.3035	42	0	0	0	0
2009	Cape Blanco OR to Cape Mendocino CA	Winter	4	116	1	0.1015	0.1015	12	6	0.609	0.2867	71	0	0	0	0
2005	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	Summer	10	40	1	0.2599	0.2599	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2010	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	Summer	6	96	1	0.1681	0.1681	16	2	0.3363	0.3363	32	1	0.1681	0.1681	16
2010	Cape Blanco OR to Cape Mendocino CA	Summer	8	85	0	0	0	0	1	0.1209	0.1209	10	1	0.1209	0.1209	10
2011	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	Summer	8	108	0	0	0	0	4	0.5153	0.3147	56	0	0	0	0
2011	Cape Blanco OR to Cape Mendocino CA	Summer	9	70	1	0.11	0.11	8	1	0.11	0.11	I 8	0	0	0	i 0
	Cane Falcon OR to Cane Blanco OR	Winter	3	33	2	0.7197	0.5066	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2012		Summer	13	120	2	0.1586	0.1119	19	1	0.07928	0.07928	9	0	0	0	0
2012		Winter	2	. 11	1	0.626	0.626	7	1	0.626	0.626	7	0	0	0	0
	cape blanco on to cape mendocino ca	Summer	9	64	2	0.2105	0.1487	14	0	0	0	I 0	0	0	0	i 0
	Cana Falcan OB ta Cana Blanco OB	Winter	5	45	3	0.6489	0.4825	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2013		Summer	6	107	18	3.143	2.634	337	31	5.414	5.241	581	0	0	0	0
2015		Winter	4	22	5	1.345	0.5922	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	cape blanco on to cape Mendocino CA	Summer	8	71	1	0.13	0.13	9	0	0	0	ч O	0	0	0	I 0

Table 14. Salmon bycatch weight for nearshore fixed gear fishery north of the management line at 40.16°N. Unobserved strata and those without salmon bycatch are not shown. Note that for strata with a small number of salmon encounters can show bycatch ratio values equivalent to bycatch ratio SE values.

				Fleet Chinook Coho Unspecified bserved Nearshore Observed Observed Observed												
			Observed	Nearshore		Observed				Observed		-		Observed		-
			Nearshore	Species				Bycatch				Bycatch				Bycatch
			Species	Landings	Bycatch	Bycatch	Bycatch	Estimate	Bycatch	Bycatch	Bycatch	Estimate	Bycatch	Bycatch	Bycatch	Estimate
Year	Area	Season	Catch (mt)	(mt)	(count)	Ratio	Ratio SE	(count)	(count)	Ratio	Ratio SE	(count)	(count)	Ratio	Ratio SE	(count)
2003	Cape Blanco OR to Cape Mendocino CA	Summer	3	119	0.006	0.001966	0.001966	0.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	Summer	8	106	0.004	0.000475	0.000475	0.05	0.009	0.001062	0.000778	0.1	. 0	0	0	0
2004		Winter	5	36	0.002	0.000345	0.000345	0.01	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	cape bianco on to cape Mendocino CA	Summer	10	123	0	0	0	0	0.005	0.000451	0.000451	0.06	0	0	0	I 0
2005	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	Summer	5	77	0.005	0.001013	0.001013	0.08	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2003	Cape Blanco OR to Cape Mendocino CA	Winter	2	44	0.0002	9.32E-05	9.32E-05	0.004	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	Summer	11	97	0.02	0.001465	0.001465	0.1	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0
2000	Cape Blanco OR to Cape Mendocino CA	Summer	11	125	0.001	9.86E-05	9.86E-05	0.01	0	0	0	I 0	0 0	0	0	I 0
2007	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	Summer	10	108	0	0	0	0	0.001	0.000107	0.000107	0.01	. 0	0	0	0
2008	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	Summer	7	104	0	0	0	0	0.01	0.001729	0.001364	0.2	0	0	0	0
2000	Cape Blanco OR to Cape Mendocino CA	Winter	10	116	0.004	0.000368	0.000368	0.04	0.02	0.001681	0.000851	0.2	. 0	0	0	0
2009	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	Summer	4	40	0.004	0.00112	0.00112	0.05	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2010	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	Summer	6	96	0.009	0.001525	0.001525	0.1	0.01	0.001678	0.001678	0.2	0.005	0.000763	0.000763	0.07
2010	Cape Blanco OR to Cape Mendocino CA	Summer	8	85	0	0	0	0	0.005	0.000631	0.000631	0.05	0.009	0.001097	0.001097	0.09
2011	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	Summer	8	108	0	0	0	0	0.01	0.001636	0.001063	0.2	. 0	0	0	0
2011	Cape Blanco OR to Cape Mendocino CA	Summer	9	70	0.006	0.000674	0.000674	0.05	0.005	0.000549	0.000549	0.04	0	0	0	I 0
	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	Winter	3	33	0.005	0.001632	0.001149	0.05	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0
2012		Summer	13	120	0.01	0.001079	0.000803	0.1	0.005	0.00036	0.00036	0.04	0	0	0	0
2012	Cane Blanco OB to Cane Mendocino CA	Winter	2	. 11	0.007	0.00426	0.00426	0.05	0.003	0.001704	0.001704	0.02	2 0	0	0	0
	cape blanco on to cape Mendocino CA	Summer	9	64	0.01	0.001361	0.000973	0.09	0	0	0	I 0	0 0	0	0	I 0
	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	Winter	5	45	0.02	0.005102	0.003809	0.2	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0
2013		Summer	6	107	0.1	0.01721	0.01457	2	0.1	0.01814	0.01759	2	. 0	0	0	0
2013	Cane Blanco OR to Cane Mendocino CA	Winter	4	22	0.02	0.004245	0.002145	0.09	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0
	cape branco on to cape mendocino CA	Summer	8	71	0.009	0.00118	0.00118	0.08	0	0	0	ں ا	0 0	0	0	I 0

Table 15. Observed vessels, trips, hauls, catch, and salmon and fleet landings, stratified by year, season, and salmon management area for OR Pink Shrimp fishery. Strata not listed were not observed; * represents strata containing fewer than 3 observed vessels. *@* represents strata for which fewer than 3 vessels landed fish: this represented less than 1 percent of fishing effort in regards to yearly landings.

			Observed	Fleet Pink				<u>Hauls</u> Encour	<u>that</u> tered
			Pink Shrimp	Shrimp				Saln	non
Year	Area	Season	Catch (mt)	Landings (mt)	Vessels	Trips	Hauls	Count	Percent
_	North of Cape Falcon OR	Summer	142	1573	11	. 24	230	0	C
700	Cape Falcon OR to Cape								
2	Blanco OR	Summer	285	2/05	18	40	504	0	C
		Winter	10	154	3	3	15	0	0
	North of Cape Falcon OR	Summer	95	1733	8	9	115	0	0
35	Cape Falcon OR to Cape	Winter	102	637	<u> </u>	10	99		
20(Blanco OR	Summer	192	4320	11	21	241	0	C
	Cape Blanco OR to Cape	Winter	*	157	*	*	*	*	*
	Mendocino CA	Summer	*	157	*	*	*	*	*
	North of Cours Follow OD	Winter	*	85	*	*	*	*	*
	North of Cape Falcon OR	Summer	47	1527	7	11	88	0	C
01	Cape Falcon OR to Cape	Winter	66	601	5	10	70	0	C
20	Blanco OR	Summer	464	6680	22	46	645	0	C
	Cape Blanco OR to Cape								
	Mendocino CA	Summer	45	236	3	5	45	0	C
	North of Cane Falcon OR	Winter	*	196	*	*	*	*	*
		Summer	209	3113	10	18	283	0	C
008	Cape Falcon OR to Cape	Winter	125	508	6	7	104	0	C
5(Blanco OR	Summer	267	7140	17	27	324	0	C
	Cape Blanco OR to Cape								
	Mendocino CA	Summer	65	620	5	5	49	0	0
	North of Cape Falcon OR	Winter	*	54	*	*	*	*	*
		Summer	184	2044	11	15	183	0	C
600	Cape Falcon OR to Cape								
5(Blanco OR	Summer	366	7431	25	32	339	0	C
	Cape Blanco OR to Cape								
	Mendocino CA	Summer	194	483	11	13	80	0	C
	North of Cane Falcon OR	Winter	30	342	3	6	40	0	C
		Summer	192	3424	9	19	213	0	C
010	Cape Falcon OR to Cape	Winter	103	1008	7	9	85	0	C
20	Blanco OR	Summer	888	8525	27	59	652	0	C
	Cape Blanco OR to Cape	Winter	@	@	@	@	@	@	@
	Mendocino CA	Summer	465	881	16	24	186	0	0
	North of Cape Falcon OR	Winter	*	162	*	*	*	*	*
_		Summer	526	4394	11	29	443	0	C
011	Cape Falcon OR to Cape	Winter	77	1582	3	5	46	0	C
2	Blanco OR	Summer	1340	14693	30	76	892	0	C
	Cape Blanco OR to Cape								
	Mendocino CA	Summer	1042	963	21	50	436	0	0
	North of Cape Falcon OR	Summer	467	3749	14	33	501	0	C
12	Cape Falcon OR to Cape	Winter	74	1519	4	5	55	0	C
20	Blanco OR	Summer	991	15113	34	81	842	0	0
	Cape Blanco OR to Cape	Winter	*	118	*	*	*	*	
	IVIENDOCINO CA	Summer	1456	1552	29	68	635	1	0.16
	North of Cape Falcon OR	Winter	*	318	*	*	*	*	1
ŝ	Capa Falcar OB to Care	Summer	398	2989	11	22	2//	0	C
201	Cape Faicon OK to Cape	Summer	146	1242	6	8	86	0	
		Winter	940	14981	32	58 *	*	0	*
	Cape Bianco UK to Cape	Summer		145	24	24	225	-	
	IVIETIOCITO CA	Summer	/8/	1864	21	54	325	0	

Table 16. Salmon bycatch count for OR Pink Shrimp fishery. Salmon bycatch was observed only in 2012, between Cape Blanco OR and Cape Mendocino CA. Note that for strata with a small number of salmon encounters can show bycatch ratio values equivalent to bycatch ratio SE values.

						Chir	look			
	Observed	Fleet Pink		Observed		Bycatch		Observed		Bycatch
	Pink Shrimp	Shrimp	Bycatch	Bycatch	Bycatch	Estimate	Bycatch	Bycatch	Bycatch	Estimate
Season	Catch (mt)	Landings (mt)	(count)	Ratio	Ratio SE	(count)	(mt)	Ratio	Ratio SE	(mt)
Summer	1456	1552	2	0.001374	0.001374	2	0.001	7.63E-07	7.63E-07	0.001

Table 17. Observed vessels, trips, hauls, catch, and salmon and fleet landings, stratified by year, season, and area for Sablefish fishery. Strata not listed were not observed; * represents strata containing fewer than 3 observed vessels. @ represents strata for which fewer than 3 vessels landed fish: this represented at most 4 percent of fishing effort in regards to yearly landings.

		Observed Sablefish	Fleet Sablefish				<u>Haul:</u> Encour Salr	<u>; that</u> ntered non
Year	Area	Catch (mt)	Landings (mt)	Vessels	Trips	Hauls	Count	Percent
02	North of Cape Falcon OR	103	547	9	24	224	0	0
50	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	71	381	12	32	114	0	0
	South of Cape Blanco OR	17	215	7	14	53	0	0
03	North of Cape Falcon OR	158	750	9	28	231	1	0
20	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	41	580	3	8	70	0	0
——	South of Cape Blanco OR	24	303	3	12	48	0	0
4	North of Cape Falcon OR	95	905	9	19	198	0	0
20	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	64	721	4	16	81	0	0
	South of Cape Blanco OR	20	299	5	11	41	0	0
05	North of Cape Falcon OR	254	894	11	34	408	0	0
20	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	123	704	11	33	142	1	1
	South of Cape Blanco OR	105	277	10	38	113	0	0
90	North of Cape Falcon OR	178	990	13	47	361	0	0
20	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	95	617	5	13	81	0	0
——	South of Cape Blanco OR	23	343	3	8	27	0	0
01	North of Cape Falcon OR	163	694	11	38	397	1	0
50	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	106	545	8	18	78	0	0
——	South of Cape Blanco OR	30	262	6	19	42	0	0
8	North of Cape Falcon OR	117	683	9	34	281	0	0
20	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	168	578	9	35	207	0	0
	South of Cape Blanco OR	46	260	5	13	51	0	0
60	North of Cape Falcon OR	44	791	5	14	180	0	0
20	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	36	762	4	9	74	0	0
	South of Cape Blanco OR	18	377	4	24	33	0	0
10	North of Cape Falcon OR	149	681	7	41	396	0	0
20	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	156	/64	9	61	2/3	0	0
———	South of Cape Blanco OR	35	354	11	46	8/	0	0
11	North of Cape Falcon OR	99	519	9	31	375	0	0
20	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	70	598	10	30	161	0	0
——	South of Cape Blanco OR	72	402	11	44	137	0	0
12	North of Cape Falcon OR	64	422	7	21	177	0	0
20	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	97	503	7	43	231	0	0
<u> </u>	South of Cape Blanco OR	66	428	5	31	124	0	0
13	North of Cape Falcon OR	68	349	6	12	155	0	0
20	Cape Falcon OR to Cape Blanco OR	14	382	6	9	48	0	0
	South of Cape Blanco OR	84	288	11	37	148	0	0

Table 18. Salmon bycatch count and count for sablefish fishery. Unobserved strata and those without salmon bycatch are not shown.

 Note that for strata with a small number of salmon encounters can show bycatch ratio values equivalent to bycatch ratio SE values.

							<u>Coho</u>				
			Fleet		Observed				Observed		
		Observed	Sablefish				Bycatch				Bycatch
		Sablefish	Landings	Bycatch	Bycatch	Bycatch	Estimate	Bycatch	Bycatch	Bycatch	Estimate
Year Area	Season	Catch (mt)	(mt)	(count)	Ratio	Ratio SE	(count)	(mt)	Ratio	Ratio SE	(mt)
2003 North of Cape Falcon O	R Summer	158	750	1	0.006317	0.006317	5	0.004	2.35E-05	2.35E-05	0.02
2005 Cape Falcon OR to Cape	Blanco OR Summer	123	704	1	0.008112	0.008112	6	0.004	3.11E-05	3.11E-05	0.02
2007 North of Cape Falcon O	Summer	163	694	1	0.00615	0.00615	4	0.003	1.56E-05	1.56E-05	0.01

Table 19. Observed vessels, trips, hauls, catch, and salmon and fleet landings, stratified by year, season, and salmon management area for Catch Shares Non-Hake Trawl, including bottom and mid-water trawl and LE California halibut. Strata not listed were not observed.

			Depth	Fleet Groundfish Landings				<u>Hauls</u> Encoun Salm	<u>that</u> tered on
Year	Area	Season	(fm)	(mt)	Vessels	Trips	Hauls	Count	Percent
			0-125	364	6	27	222	2	1
		Winter	125-250	1104	18	131	400	3	1
	North of Cape Falcon		250+	1614	19	150	807	0	0
	OR	Summer	0-125	1871	17	125	1505	9	1
		Summer	125-250 250+	1294	10	94 99	467	1	0
			125-250	899	29	108	350	12	3
	Cano Falcon OR to Cano	Winter	250+	1618	31	163	726	2	0
	Blanco OR		0-125	157	8	47	315	0	0
H	Bianco Oli	Summer	125-250	540	17	74	269	0	0
20			250+	673	17	84	383	0	0
	Cape Blanco OR to	Winter	125-250	28/	12	45	97	8	8
	Cape Mendocino CA		0-250	658	19	94	237	0	0
		Summer	250+	1321	14	121	460	0	0
			0-125	28	4	9	27	0	0
		Winter	125-250	162	8	36	105	0	0
	South of Cape		250+	289	8	50	187	0	0
	Mendocino CA		0-125	387	9	136	531	3	1
		Summer	125-250	319	12	80 127	185	0	0
			250+ 0-125	948	15	127	520 139	1	1
		Winter	125-250	1362	18	117	490	16	3
	North of Cape Falcon		250+	1321	20	129	621	1	0
	OR		0-125	2861	15	157	1914	6	0
		Summer	125-250	926	14	85	374	3	1
			250+	397	13	67	250	0	0
		Winter	0-250	1054	32	143	405	20	5
	Cape Falcon OR to Cape		250+	1652	30	164	742	2	0
	Blanco OR	Summer	125-250	477	0 16	42 51	2/4	0	0
12		Sammer	250+	704	20	75	378	0	0
20		Wintor	0-250	220	18	42	82	6	7
	Cane Blanco OR to	winter	250+	1201	19	104	418	0	0
	Cape Mendocino CA		0-125	52	4	11	57	0	0
		Summer	125-250	365	12	60	144	0	0
			250+	1189	13	101	441	0	0
		Winter	125-250	218	11	53	143	1	0
	South of Cape		250+	592	11	68	327	0	0
	Mendocino CA		0-125	273	8	81	402	0	0
		Summer	125-250	273	9	72	197	0	0
			250+	942	9	108	498	0	0
		Monter	0-125	324	12	31	227	9	4
	North of Cane Falcon	winter	125-250 250±	1851	1/	132	810	10	1
	OR		0-125	2519	17	145	1785	17	1
		Summer	125-250	719	12	80	346	0	0
			250+	422	10	75	262	0	0
			0-125	7	5	5	11	0	0
		Winter	125-250	1591	29	181	626	11	2
	Cape Falcon OR to Cape		250+	1839	29	183	912	3	0
	Bialico OK	Summer	0-125 125-250	367	9	48	241 177	1	0
013		Summer	250+	574	17	69	308	0	0
5			125-250	354	14	62	121	17	14
	Cane Blanco OP to	winter	250+	1321	22	126	527	0	0
	Cape Mendocino CA		0-125	228	4	35	158	6	4
		Summer	125-250	342	13	51	131	0	0
			250+	1415	14	102	468	0	0
		Winter	0-125	105	10	2/	100	3	2
	South of Cape	winter	250+	575	10	83	332	0	0
	Mendocino CA		0-125	501	9	125	543	1	0
		Summer	125-250	226	9	81	194	0	0
			250+	886	12	123	519	0	0

Table 20. Salmon bycatch count and weight for Catch Shares Non-Hake Trawl, including bottom and midwater trawl (2011) and LE California halibut (2011, 2013). Unobserved strata and those without salmon bycatch are not shown. Due to 100% coverage, only small amounts of estimation were required to calculate fleetwide bycatch. Note that a small amount of shoreside discard is shown in Table 22 and is included in the annual summary tables but not shown here.

			Depth	Fleet			<u>Count</u>				<u>1</u>	Weight (m	<u>it)</u>	
Year	Area	Season	Interval (fm)	Groundfish Retained (mt)	Chinook	Coho	Pink	Sockeye	Unspecified	Chinook	Coho	Pink	Sockeye	Unspecified
		Winter	0-125	364	2	0	0	0	0	0.008	0	0	0	0
	North of Cape		0-125	1104	39	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0	0
	Falcon OR	Summer	125-250	1294	1	0	0	0	0	0.001	0	0	0	0
		-	250+	702	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0.001	0
011	Cape Falcon OR to	Winter	125-250 250+	899 1618	114 2	18 0	0	0	0	0.2	0.03 0	0	0	0
5	Cape Blanco OR	Summer	125-250	540	1	0	0	0	0	0.002	0	0	0	0
	Cape Blanco OR to	Winter	125-250											
	CA	winter	125 250	287	8	2	0	0	0	0.01	0.005	0	0	0
	South of Cape	Summer	0-125	207		-	0	0	0	0.01	0.005	0	0	0
	Mendocino CA		0-125	246	4	0	0	0	0	0.01	0	0	0	0
		Winter	125-250	1362	26	4	0	0	0	0.07	0.008	0	0	0
	North of Cape		250+	1321	1	0	0	0	0	0.003	0	0	0	0
	Falcon OR	Summor	0-125	3025	7	1	0	0	0	0.02	0.002	0	0	0
		Summer	125-250	926	11	1	0	0	0	0.03	0.004	0	0	0
012	Cape Falcon OR to	Winter	0-250	1054	37	9	2	0	0	0.07	0.02	0.002	0	0
5	Cape Blanco OR		250+	1652	2	0	0	0	0	0.009	0	0	0	0
	Cape Blanco OR to	146	0.250											
		winter	0-250	220	217	11	0	0	2	0.002	0	0	0	0.000
	South of Cape	-		220	217	11	0	0	2	0.002	0	0	0	0.000
	Mendocino CA	Winter	0-125	41	1	0	0	0	0	0.300	0.02	0	0	0
			0-125	352	35	0	0	0	0	0.05	0	0	0	0
	North of Cape	Winter	125-250	1876	12	3	0	0	0	0.02	0.005	0	0	0
	Falcon OR		250+	1394	1	0	0	0	0	0.002	0	0	0	0
		Summer	0-125	2607	124	34	0	0	0	0.20	0.030	0	0	0
	Cape Falcon OR to	Winter	125-250	1591	24	0	0	0	0	0.06	0	0	0	0
	Cape Blanco OR	C	250+	1839	11	0	0	0	0	0.02	0	0	0	0
201		Summer	0-125	1/0	1	0	0	0	0	0.002	0	0	U	U
``	Cape Blanco OR to	Winter	125-250											
1	Cape Mendocino		125 250	354	94	3	0	0	0	0.2	0.01	0	0	0
	CA	Summer	0-125	228	12	0	0	0	0	0.02	0	0	0	0
	South of Cano	Winter	0-125	105	1	9	0	0	0	0.002	0.02	0	0	0
	Mendocino CA	Summer	0-125	501	6	0	0	0	0	0.007	0	0	0	0

Table 21. Salmon bycatch count and weight of shoreside discards by the Catch Shares Bottom Trawl IFQ Fishery. Strata without salmon bycatch are not shown.

			Groundfish	C	<u>Chinook</u>
			Retained		
Year	Area	Season	(mt)	Count	Weight (mt)
2012	Cape Falcon OR to	Wintor			1
2012	Cape Blanco OR	winter	2706	1	0.002
2012	Cape Blanco OR to	Mintor			
2015	Cape Mendocino CA	winter	1675	1	0.002

Table 22. Observed vessels, trips, hauls, catch, and salmon and fleet landings, stratified by year for Catch Shares Midwater Trawl. All fishing occurred north of Cape Blanco, OR. 2011 data is included with Catch Shares Bottom Trawl. No estimation was required due to 100% sampled hauls.

Year	Fleet Groundfish Landings (mt)	Vessels	Trips	Hauls	<u>Hauls</u> Discardeo <u>At-s</u> Count	that Salmon Sea Percent
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*
2012	198	4	9	30	3	10
2013	405	5	19	58	7	12

Table 23. Salmon bycatch count and weight of at-sea discards by the Catch Shares Non-Hake Midwater Trawl IFQ Fishery. 2011 data is included with Catch Shares Bottom Trawl to maintain confidentiality. Note that 8 Chinook salmon, (0.02 mt), in 2012 and 40 (0.15 mt) in 2013 were discarded shoreside by the non-hake midwater trawl sector; these numbers are included in the summary table but not shown here.

	Groundfish	<u>c</u>	<u>Chinook</u>		<u>Chum</u>
Year	Retained (mt)	Count	Weight (mt)	Count	Weight (mt)
2011	*	*	*	*	*
2012	198	4	0.009	0	0
2013	405	15	0.09	1	0.006

Table 24. Observed vessels, trips, hauls, catch, and salmon and fleet landings, stratified by year, season, and salmon management area for Catch Shares Shoreside Hake IFQ. Strata not listed were not observed.

Veer	A-10-1	C	Fleet Pacific Whiting	Veccele	Tring	Heule	<u>Hauls</u> Discarded <u>At-</u>	<u>s that</u> d Salmon Sea Percent
Year	Area	Season	Landings (mt)	vessels	Trips	Hauis	count	Feitent
	North of Cape	Winter	/1/	4	9	19	0	0
111	Falcon OR	Summer	62718	24	591	1138	2	0
20	Cape Falcon OR to	Winter	1292	3	12	25	0	0
	Cape Blanco OR	Summer	25427	21	305	517	6	1
	North of Cape	Winter	6126	13	59	135	3	2
112	Falcon OR	Summer	31636	21	333	797	3	0
20	Cape Falcon OR to	Winter	4234	14	53	72	1	1
	Cape Blanco OR	Summer	23222	20	291	558	1	0
	North of Cape	Winter	*	*	*	*	*	*
13	Falcon OR	Summer	20581	16	188	388	1	0
20	Cape Falcon OR to	Winter	1474	7	13	34	0	0
	Cape Blanco OR	Summer	73990	24	746	1261	5	0

Table 25. Salmon bycatch count for Catch Shares Shoreside Hake IFQ Fishery, including both at-sea and shoreside discard. Due to 100% coverage, only small amounts of estimation were required to calculate fleetwide bycatch.

			Fleet Pacific		Chinook			Chum			<u>Coho</u>			Pink			Sockeye		Uns	pecified	
Year	Area	Season	Landings	At-Sea	Shoreside	Total	At-Sea	Shoreside T	otal	At-Sea	Shoreside	Total	At-Sea	Shoreside	Total	At-Sea	Shoreside T	Fotal	At-Sea Sł	oreside	Total
	North of Cape Falcon OR	Winter	717	0	30	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0
011		Summer	62718	0	3085	3085	0	41	41	0	110	110	3	6076	6079	0	2	2	0	0	0
50	Cane Falcon OR to Cane Blanco OR	Winter	1292	0	72	72	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	cape ration on to cape blanco on	Summer	25427	19	521	540	0	1	1	0	27	27	0	34	34	0	0	0	0	0	0
	North of Cane Falcon OR	Winter	6126	2	1073	1075	0	0	0	0	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	10
112		Summer	31636	4	504	508	0	3	3	0	8	8	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0
50	Cane Falcon OR to Cane Blanco OR	Winter	4234	3	314	317	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cape Falcon OK to Cape Blanco OK	Summer	23222	0	421	421	0	0	0	0	1	. 1	. 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	1	0	1
	North of Cane Falcon OR	Winter	*	0	59	59	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
113		Summer	20581	11	452	463	0	7	7	0	31	. 31	. 0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
50	Cana Falsan OR to Cana Blanco OR	Winter	1474	0	344	344	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cape Faiton OK to Cape Bialico OR	Summer	73990	13	379	392	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 26. Salmon bycatch weight for Catch Shares Shoreside Hake IFQ Fishery, including both at-sea and shoreside discard. Due to 100% coverage, only small amounts of estimation were required to calculate fleetwide bycatch.

			Fleet Pacific	C	hinook (mt)		Chum (mt)			Coho (mt)			Pink (mt)		Sc	ockeye (mt)	Uns	pecified (mt)
			Whiting															
Yea	r Area	Season	Landings	At-Sea	Shoreside Total	At-Sea	Shoreside	Total	At-Sea	Shoreside	Total	At-Sea	Shoreside	Total	At-Sea	Shoreside Tota	At-Sea	Shoreside Total
	North of Cono Folcon OB	Winter	717	0	0.1 0.1	0) 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0 0	0	0 0	0 0
11		Summer	62718	0	10 10	0	0.2	0.2	0	0.3	0.3	0.006	10	10.006	6 O	0.003 0.003	3 0	0 0
50		Winter	1292	0	0.2 0.2	0) 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0 0	0	0 0	0 0
	Cape Falcoll OK to Cape Bialico OK	Summer	25427	0.08	2 2.08	0	0.003	0.003	0	0.09	0.09	0	0.06	0.06	6 0	0	0 0	0 0
	North of Cono Folcon OB	Winter	6126	0.006	3 3.006	0) 0	0	0	0.01	0.01	0	0	C	0 0	0	0.04	0 0.04
112		Summer	31636	0.02	2 2.02	0	0.01	0.01	0	0.02	0.02	0	0	C	0 0	0	0 0	0 0
20		Winter	4234	0.006	0.9 0.906	0) 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0 0	0	0 0	0 0
	Cape Falcoll OR to Cape Bialico OR	Summer	23222	0	2 2	0) 0	0	0	0.005	0.005	0	0	C	0 0	0	0.005	0 0.005
	North of Cono Folcon OB	Winter	*	*	0.1 0.1	0) 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0 0	0	0 0	0 0
13		Summer	20581	0.04	2 2.04	0	0.03	0.03	0	0.1	0.1	0	0.005	0.005	0	0	0 0	0 0
20		Winter	1474	0	1 1	C) 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0 0	0	0 0	0 0
	cape Faiton OK to Cape Bialico OK	Summer	73990	0.04	1 1.04	0) 0	0	0	0.005	0.005	0	0	C	0 0	0	0 0	0 0

Table 27. Observed vessels, hauls, and salmon and fleet landings, stratified by sector, year, and season for the At-Sea Hake fishery.Note that seasons for the At-Sea Hake fishery run from May 15 to June 30 (spring) and from July 1 to December 31 (fall).

Sector Hake bandings Frequence Fereountered Salmon 2002 All Year 3633 559 98 188 2003 Spring 14524 4 308 722 233 7611 26945 3 460 166 33 2004 Fall 26945 66 728 2216 300 2005 Fall 40442 5 609 4 11 2005 Fall 40442 5 660 0 00 2007 Fall 40799 937 222 205 757 2008 Fall 60595 66 102 49 41 2009 Fall 65951 1068 74 777 163 111 2011 Spring 22626 7 577 58 111 116 2011 Spring 22633 7 707 163 111 118 111 116				Fleet			Haul	s that
Sector Year Sector Handings (mt) Vessels Haufic solution Sector All Year 3333 5 559 98 18 Spring 126945 3 400 72 233 2004 Fall 47559 5 890 16 22 2005 Fall 40442 5 609 44 11 2005 Fall 30056 6 728 2205 22 2006 Fall 30749 5 585 29 317 2007 Fall 30749 5 585 29 317 2008 Fall 30749 5 585 29 317 2009 AllYear 3457 707 103 322 323 2001 Fall 34983 7 707 103 323 2011 Fall 34983 7 707 103 323 2011				Hake			Encounter	red Salmon
SectorYearSeconImm)VesselsHailCountPercent2002All Year363335559981882003Fall205454308722332004Fall205506611961612005Fall405595890166222005Spring3086667282163002006Spring4066599372221272007Fall3158165600002008Spring4214999221371442009All Year5421761068744772011Fall65985611021213002012Spring20263174001213002011Fall3498377071632232012Spring20533115741182012013Spring20533125361023662004Spring20533115741182012005Spring20533115741182012004Spring20533115741182012005Spring2050115741182012006Spring2050115741182012007Fall109523155101 </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Landings</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>				Landings				
2002 All Year 36333 5 559 98 18 2003 Spring 14524 4 308 72 23 Fall 26945 3 460 16 3 2004 Spring 25300 6 611 96 16 2005 Spring 38056 6 728 216 30 2005 Spring 44042 5 609 4 1 2006 Fall 30749 5 585 29 5 2007 Spring 42149 9 992 137 14 2008 Spring 42176 8 784 53 7 2008 Spring 26261 7 517 58 11 2011 Spring 26263 7 707 163 23 2013 Spring 26263 7 777 763 21 2013 Spring <th>Sector</th> <th>Year</th> <th>Season</th> <th>(mt)</th> <th>Vessels</th> <th>Hauls</th> <th>Count</th> <th>Percent</th>	Sector	Year	Season	(mt)	Vessels	Hauls	Count	Percent
No No<		2002	All Year	36333	5	559	98	18
2003 Fall 2694S 3 460 16 3 2004 Spring 25300 6 611 96 16 2 2005 Spring 3056 6 728 216 30 2005 Spring 44042 5 609 4 1 2007 Spring 44249 9 922 137 14 2007 Spring 41769 8 784 53 7 2008 Spring 41769 8 784 53 7 2010 All Year 5451 5 868 9 1 2010 All Year 5451 7 517 58 11 2011 Spring 26261 7 517 58 11 2011 Fall 34983 7 707 163 23 2013 Spring 26503 11 574 185 14 18			Spring	14524	4	308	72	23
Prime Description Description Description Description 2004 Spring 23300 6 611 96 16 2005 Spring 447559 5 890 16 22 2005 Spring 44042 5 609 43 30 2006 Spring 42149 9 992 137 14 2007 Spring 42149 9 992 137 14 2008 Spring 421476 8 784 53 7 2008 All Year 34591 5 868 9 11 2011 Fall 65985 6 1102 49 4 2001 All Year 34591 5 868 9 11 2011 Fall 45076 9 1032 272 266 2013 Spring 20539 7 400 121 30 2013		2003	Fall	26945	3	460	16	20
2004 Fail 47559 5.80 16 22 2005 Spring 38056 6 728 216 30 2005 Fail 31581 6 500 0 1 2006 Fail 31581 6 500 0 0 2007 Spring 42149 9 992 137 14 2008 Fail 65985 6 1102 49 4 2009 All Year 34591 5 868 9 1 2010 All Year 54217 6 1068 74 77 2011 Spring 20539 7 400 121 30 2013 Spring 20533 11 574 118 21 2003 Spring 26503 111 574 118 21 2004 Spring 26503 111 574 118 21 2005 S			Spring	25300	6	611	96	16
Provide Total <		2004	Fall	47559	5	890	16	2
2005 Fall 40442 5 609 4 1 2006 Fall 31581 6 560 0 0 2007 Fring 42149 9 9922 137 144 2007 Fall 30749 5 855 29 5 2008 Spring 41769 8 784 53 77 2001 All Year 34591 5 868 9 1 2010 All Year 34591 7 1018 74 7 2011 Fall 45076 9 1032 2722 266 2012 Spring 26261 7 517 78 117 781 49821 7 707 163 233 2013 Spring 25333 12 536 192 366 2004 Spring 2400 10 571 79 144 35 2005			Spring	38056	6	728	216	30
No. No. <td></td> <td>2005</td> <td>Fall</td> <td>40442</td> <td>5</td> <td>609</td> <td>4</td> <td>1</td>		2005	Fall	40442	5	609	4	1
2006 Fall Fall 31581 6 50 10 10 2007 Spring 42149 9 992 137 14 7 Fall 30749 5 585 29 5 2008 Spring 41769 8 784 53 7 2009 All Year 34591 5 868 9 1 2010 All Year 54217 6 1068 74 7 2011 Spring 20539 7 400 121 300 7011 Spring 28184 8 520 466 9 2012 Spring 26503 11 574 118 21 2003 Spring 2603 11 574 118 21 2004 Spring 2603 11 574 118 21 2005 Spring 24010 10 571 79 14 20			Spring	46665	9	937	22	2
Spring 42149 9 92 137 14 2007 Fall 30749 5 585 29 5 2008 Spring 41769 8 784 53 7 2009 All Year 34591 5 668 9 11 2010 All Year 54217 6 1068 74 7 2011 Spring 26261 7 517 58 11 Fall 45076 9 1032 2722 266 2012 Spring 2039 7 400 121 30 Fall 49821 7 939 157 17 2012 Spring 2603 11 574 118 21 2003 Spring 24010 1057 79 14 2005 Spring 24010 1057 79 14 2005 Spring 24200 1147 147	sor	2006	Fall	31581	6	560	0	0
2007 Fail 30749 5 585 29 5 2008 Spring 41769 8 784 53 7 2009 All Year 34591 5 868 9 1 2010 All Year 34591 5 868 9 1 2011 Spring 26261 7 517 58 11 2012 Spring 20539 7 400 121 30 2013 Spring 20539 7 400 121 30 2013 Spring 26503 11 574 118 21 2004 Spring 26503 11 574 118 21 2005 Spring 24010 10 571 79 14 2005 Spring 24050 18 999 145 15 2006 Spring 32480 18 855 301 35	ces		Spring	42149	9	992	137	14
	Pro	2007	Fall	30749	5	585	29	5
2008 Fail 65985 6 1102 449 44 2009 All Year 34591 5 868 9 11 2010 All Year 54217 6 1068 74 77 2011 Spring 20539 7 400 121 300 2012 Spring 2033 7 400 121 300 2013 Spring 20539 7 400 121 300 2013 Spring 2053 11 574 118 211 2004 Spring 25333 12 536 192 366 2004 Spring 24010 100 571 79 144 2005 Spring 37648 18 855 301 355 2004 Spring 324850 18 999 145 15 2006 Spring 32486 18 865 47 55	Jer		Spring	41769	8	784	53	7
O 2009 All Year 34591 5 888 9 1 2011 All Year 54217 6 1068 74 7 2011 Fall 45076 9 1032 2772 266 2012 Spring 20539 7 400 121 30 2013 Spring 28184 8 520 466 99 2013 Spring 28184 8 520 466 99 2013 Spring 28184 8 520 466 99 2002 Spring 28184 8 55 301 35 2004 Spring 2768 18 899 145 15 2005 Spring 32484 18 855 301 35 2006 Spring 32484 18 865 477 5 2007 All Year 47276 201 147 147 13	atch	2008	Fall	65985	6	1102	49	4
2010 All Year 54217 6 1068 74 7 2011 Spring 26261 7 517 58 11 2012 Spring 20539 7 400 121 30 2012 Spring 28184 8 520 46 9 2013 Spring 28184 8 520 46 9 2013 Spring 26503 111 574 118 211 2002 Spring 26503 111 574 118 211 2003 Spring 24010 100 571 79 144 2005 Spring 24010 100 571 79 144 2005 Spring 24280 18 999 145 155 2006 Spring 24280 18 865 477 57 2006 Spring 32484 18 865 477 51 <t< td=""><td>U</td><td>2009</td><td>All Year</td><td>34591</td><td>5</td><td>868</td><td>9</td><td>1</td></t<>	U	2009	All Year	34591	5	868	9	1
Print Spring 26261 7 517 58 11 2011 Spring 26261 7 517 58 11 2012 Spring 2039 7 400 121 30 Fall 34983 7 707 163 23 2013 Spring 28184 8 520 46 9 2012 Spring 26503 11 574 118 21 2002 Spring 25333 12 536 192 36 2004 Spring 24010 10 571 79 14 2005 Spring 37648 18 855 301 35 2005 Spring 32480 18 865 47 55 2008 Spring 32484 18 865 47 52 2009 Spring 32484 18 865 47 52 2010 <t< td=""><td></td><td>2010</td><td>All Year</td><td>54217</td><td>6</td><td>1068</td><td>74</td><td>7</td></t<>		2010	All Year	54217	6	1068	74	7
2011 Fall 45076 9 1032 222 26 2012 Spring 20539 7 400 121 30 2013 Fall 34983 7 707 163 23 2013 Spring 28184 8 520 466 9 2013 Spring 25333 11 574 118 21 2003 Spring 25333 12 536 192 36 2004 Spring 37648 18 855 301 35 5006 Fall 10952 3 185 14 8 2006 Spring 32484 18 865 47 5 2007 All Year 47276 20 1147 147 13 2008 Spring 33518 22 845 137 16 2011 Spring 13508 10 756 123 16			Spring	26261	7	517	58	11
Q Spring 2013 Spring 2010 10 571 17 2002 Spring 26503 11 574 118 21 2003 Spring 25333 12 536 192 36 2004 Spring 37648 18 855 301 35 2006 Spring 42050 18 999 145 15 2007 All Year 47276 20 1147 147 13 2008 Spring 32484 18 865 477 5 2010 Spring 33518 22 845 137 16 2011 Fall <t< td=""><td></td><td>2011</td><td>Fall</td><td>45076</td><td>9</td><td>1032</td><td>272</td><td>26</td></t<>		2011	Fall	45076	9	1032	272	26
2012 Fail 34983 7 707 163 23 2013 Spring 28184 8 520 46 9 2013 Spring 26503 11 574 118 21 2003 Spring 25333 12 536 192 36 2004 Spring 24010 10 571 79 14 2005 Spring 37648 18 855 301 35 Fall 10952 3 185 14 8 2006 Spring 42850 18 999 145 15 2007 All Year 47276 20 1147 147 13 2008 Spring 32484 18 865 47 5 2009 Spring 33518 22 845 137 16 2010 Fall 2109 3 63 0 0 2011 Sp			Spring	20539	7	400	121	30
Spring 2813 8 Form 100 <th1< td=""><td></td><td>2012</td><td>Fall</td><td>34983</td><td>7</td><td>707</td><td>163</td><td>23</td></th1<>		2012	Fall	34983	7	707	163	23
2013 Fail 49821 7 939 157 17 2002 Spring 26503 11 574 118 21 2003 Spring 25333 12 536 192 36 2004 Spring 24010 10 571 79 14 2005 Spring 37648 18 855 301 35 2006 Fall 10952 3 185 14 8 2006 Spring 42850 18 999 145 15 2006 Fall 11289 6 284 12 4 2007 All Year 47276 20 1147 147 13 2008 Spring 32484 18 865 477 5 2009 Spring 33518 22 845 137 16 2010 Spring 13209 77 16 133 12			Spring	28184	8	520	46	
2002 Spring 2533 1 574 118 21 2003 Spring 25333 12 536 192 36 2004 Spring 24010 10 571 79 14 2005 Spring 37648 18 855 301 35 2006 Spring 42850 18 999 145 15 2006 Fall 10952 3 185 14 8 2007 All Year 47276 20 1147 147 13 2008 Spring 32484 18 865 47 5 2009 Spring 24066 19 600 74 12 2010 Spring 1209 3 63 0 0 2011 Spring 1358 10 756 123 16 2012 Spring 1031 13 749 278 37 <td< td=""><td></td><td>2013</td><td>Fall</td><td>49821</td><td>7</td><td>939</td><td>157</td><td>17</td></td<>		2013	Fall	49821	7	939	157	17
OF Spring 2003 Spring 2003 Spring 2003 Spring 2004 Spring 2004 Spring 2004 Spring 2004 Spring 2005 Spring 37648 18 855 301 35 2005 Spring 37648 18 999 145 15 2006 Spring 42850 18 999 145 15 2007 All Year 47276 20 1147 147 13 2008 Spring 32484 18 865 477 55 2009 Spring 32484 18 865 477 51 2000 Spring 32406 19 600 74 12 2010 Spring 33518 22 845 137 16 2011 Spring 13508 10 756 123 16 2012 Spring 13101 13 749 278		2002	Spring	26503	11	574	118	21
Image Image <th< td=""><td></td><td>2003</td><td>Spring</td><td>25333</td><td>12</td><td>536</td><td>192</td><td>36</td></th<>		2003	Spring	25333	12	536	192	36
Abor Spring 37648 18 855 301 35 2005 Spring 37648 18 855 301 35 2006 Spring 42850 18 999 145 15 2006 Spring 42850 18 999 145 15 2007 All Year 47276 20 1147 147 13 2008 Spring 32484 18 865 47 5 2009 Spring 32486 19 600 74 12 2009 Spring 33518 22 845 137 16 2010 Spring 18463 9 492 77 16 2011 Fall 31508 10 756 123 16 2012 Spring 133158 14 880 18 19 2011 Fall 31011 13 749 278 37		2004	Spring	24010	10	571	79	14
2005 Spring 10052 3 185 14 8 2006 Spring 4280 18 999 145 15 2007 All Year 47276 20 1147 147 13 2008 Spring 32484 18 865 477 5 2008 Fall 25203 7 484 24 5 2009 Spring 33518 22 845 137 16 2010 Spring 33518 22 845 137 16 2011 Fall 2009 3 63 0 0 2011 Spring 18463 9 492 77 16 2012 Spring 10315 70 26 13 2012 Spring 1238 11 376 29 8 2013 Fall 31011 13 749 278 37 2013 Sprin		200.	Spring	37648	18	855	301	35
Image Image <th< td=""><td></td><td>2005</td><td>Fall</td><td>10952</td><td></td><td>185</td><td>14</td><td>8</td></th<>		2005	Fall	10952		185	14	8
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			Spring	42850	18	999	145	15
	<u>.e</u>	2006	Fall	11289	10	28/	113	13
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	ersh	2007	All Year	47276	20	1147	147	13
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	the		Spring	37484	18	865	47	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	δ	2008	Fall	25203	10	484		5
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	bal	2009	Spring	24066	, 19	600	74	12
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Ę		Snring	33518	22	845	137	16
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	lon	2010	Fall	2209	3	63	13,	10
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	~		Spring	18463	9	492	77	16
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		2011	Fall	31508	10	756	123	16
2012 Spring 1051 1 203 10			Spring	7031	7	200	26	13
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		2012	Fall	31011	13	749	278	37
2013 Fall 39410 14 880 168 19 2002 Spring 3923 3 114 880 168 19 2002 Spring 3923 3 114 833 29 2003 Fall 17706 4 519 139 27 2003 Spring 6276 4 182 129 71 2004 Fall 13155 4 358 251 70 2004 Fall 17054 5 455 235 52 2005 Spring 9288 3 258 165 64 2005 Fall 14274 4 375 194 52 2006 Spring 2730 4 83 44 53 2006 Fall 2675 4 77 22 29 2007 Fall 5129 5 156 73 47 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Spring</td><td>12938</td><td>11</td><td>376</td><td>270</td><td>8</td></td<>			Spring	12938	11	376	270	8
2002 Spring 3923 3 114 33 29 Fall 17706 4 519 139 27 2003 Spring 6276 4 182 129 71 2003 Spring 6276 4 182 129 71 2004 Fall 13155 4 358 251 70 2004 Spring 6458 4 177 95 54 2004 Fall 17054 5 455 235 52 2005 Spring 9288 3 258 165 64 2005 Fall 14274 4 375 194 52 2006 Spring 2730 4 83 44 53 Fall 2675 4 77 22 29 2008 Fall 14977 5 382 38 10 2009 Fall 13469 <t< td=""><td></td><td>2013</td><td>Fall</td><td>39410</td><td>14</td><td>880</td><td>168</td><td>19</td></t<>		2013	Fall	39410	14	880	168	19
2002 Fall 17706 4 519 139 27 2003 Spring 6276 4 182 129 71 2003 Spring 6276 4 182 129 71 2004 Fall 13155 4 358 251 70 2004 Spring 6458 4 177 95 54 2004 Fall 17054 5 455 235 52 2005 Spring 9288 3 258 165 64 2006 Fall 14274 4 375 194 52 2006 Fall 2675 4 77 22 29 2007 Fall 5129 5 156 73 47 2008 Fall 14977 5 382 38 10 2009 Fall 13469 5 404 87 222 2010			Spring	3923	3	114	33	29
Spring 6276 4 182 129 71 2003 Fall 13155 4 358 251 70 2004 Spring 6458 4 177 95 54 2005 Spring 9288 3 258 165 64 2005 Spring 9288 3 258 165 64 2006 Fall 14274 4 375 194 52 2006 Fall 2675 4 77 22 29 2007 Fall 2675 4 77 22 29 2008 Fall 14977 5 382 38 10 2008 Fall 14977 5 382 38 10 2009 Fall 13469 5 404 87 22 2010 Spring 88 3 4 3 75 2010 Fall 16118 <td></td> <td>2002</td> <td>Fall</td> <td>17706</td> <td>1</td> <td>519</td> <td>139</td> <td>27</td>		2002	Fall	17706	1	519	139	27
2003 Fall 13155 4 358 251 70 2004 Spring 6458 4 177 95 54 2004 Spring 6458 4 177 95 54 2004 Fall 17054 5 455 235 52 2005 Spring 9288 3 258 165 64 2005 Fall 14274 4 375 194 52 2006 Spring 2730 4 83 44 53 2007 Fall 2675 4 77 22 29 2008 Fall 14977 5 382 38 10 2009 Fall 13469 5 404 87 22 2010 Spring 88 3 4 3 75 2010 Fall 16118 6 512 148 29 2011 Fall <td></td> <td></td> <td>Spring</td> <td>6276</td> <td>4</td> <td>182</td> <td>129</td> <td>71</td>			Spring	6276	4	182	129	71
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		2003	Fall	13155	- л	358	251	70
2004 Spring Clock 4 17 D3 D3 4 Fall 17054 5 455 235 52 2005 Spring 9288 3 258 165 64 2006 Fall 14274 4 375 194 52 2006 Spring 2730 4 83 44 53 2006 Fall 2675 4 77 22 29 2007 Fall 5129 5 156 73 47 2008 Fall 14977 5 382 38 10 2009 Fall 13469 5 404 87 22 2010 Spring 88 3 4 3 75 2010 Fall 16118 6 512 148 29 2011 Fall 16147 5 228 79 35			Spring	6458	4	177	95	54
Spring 9288 3 258 165 64 2005 Fall 14274 4 375 194 52 2006 Spring 2730 4 83 44 53 2006 Spring 2730 4 83 44 53 2007 Fall 2675 4 77 22 29 2007 Fall 14977 5 382 38 10 2009 Fall 14977 5 382 38 10 2009 Fall 13469 5 404 87 22 2010 Spring 88 3 4 3 75 2010 Spring 16118 6 512 148 29 2011 Fall 16147 5 228 79 35		2004	Fall	17054	5	455	235	52
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	dir		Spring	9788	3	258	165	64
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	ersh	2005	Fall	14274	4	375	194	52
2006 5pming 2135 4 77 22 29 Fall 2675 4 77 22 29 2007 Fall 5129 5 156 73 47 2008 Fall 14977 5 382 38 10 2009 Fall 13469 5 404 87 22 2010 Spring 88 3 4 3 75 2011 Fall 16118 6 512 148 29 2011 Fall 16147 5 228 79 35	oth		Spring	2730	- 1	22	44	52
End End <td>ž</td> <td>2006</td> <td>Fall</td> <td>2675</td> <td>4</td> <td>77</td> <td>22</td> <td>29</td>	ž	2006	Fall	2675	4	77	22	29
2008 Fall 14977 5 382 38 10 2009 Fall 14977 5 382 38 10 2009 Fall 13469 5 404 87 22 2010 Spring 88 3 4 3 75 2010 Fall 16118 6 512 148 29 2011 Fall 16147 5 228 79 35	ibal	2007	Fall	5120	4	156	72	25 //7
2009 Fall 13469 5 502 503 10 2009 Fall 13469 5 404 87 22 2010 Spring 88 3 4 3 75 2010 Fall 16118 6 512 148 29 2011 Fall 6147 5 228 79 35	Ē	2007	Fall	14977	5	382	38	-47
2010 Spring 88 3 4 3 75 2010 Spring 16118 6 512 148 29 2011 Fall 16147 5 228 79 35		2009	Fall	13469	5	404	87	22
2010 Fall 16118 6 512 148 29 2011 Fall 6147 5 228 79 35		2009	Spring	20-05	2	104	2	75
2011 Fall 6147 5 228 79 35		2010	Fall	16119	5	512	1/18	75
		2011	Fall	61/7	5	228	70	25
		2012	Fall	21	2	4	,,,	0

Table 28. Salmon bycatch count for the At-Sea Hake fishery. Note that seasons for the At-Sea Hake fishery run from May 15 toJune 30 (spring) and from July 1 to December 31 (fall).

			Fleet Hake						
Sector	Year	Season	Landings (mt)	Chinook	Chum	Coho	Pink	Sockeye	Unspecified
	2002	All Year	36333	954	14	69	0	0	0
	2003	Spring	14524	508	8	0	0	0	0
		Fall	26945	62	0	0	13	0	0
	2004	Spring	25300	361	21	1	0	0	0
-		Fall	47559	55	6	0	0	0	0
	2005	Spring	38056	1746	8	4	48	0	0
-		Fall	40442	8	0	0	0	0	0
sor	2006	Spring	46665	112	8	2	0	0	0
ces	2007	Spring	42149	434	73	86	19	0	0
Pro-		Fall	30749	299	0	2	0	0	0
her	2008	Spring	41769	81	39	3	0	2	2
atc		Fall	65985	412	4	0	0	0	16
· ·	2009	All Year	34591	22	0	0	0	0	0
-	2010	All Year	54217	257	4	0	0	2	0
	2011	Spring	26261	137	28	0	10	0	6
-		Fall	45076	2556	6	0	0	0	0
	2012	Spring	20539	407	51	12	22	0	0
-			34983	1521	0	1	0	0	0
	2013	Spring	28184	8/	24	0	34	0	1
	2002	Fall	49821	16/1	10	77	0	0	0
-	2002	Spring	20503	2047	10	2	0	0	3
-	2003	Spring	25333	2047	3 20	3	4	0	081
-	2004	Spring	24010	21/1	20 12	0	0	0	0
	2005	Spring	10052	2141	12	02	0	0	0
-		Spring	42850	03	70	26	0	0	0
dih	2006	Fall	42830	997	/9 0	20	0	0	0
Jers	2007		47276	58/	96	138	15	0	0
loth		Snring	32484	123	17	3	0	0	0
≥	2008	Fall	25203	102		15	0	0	0
	2009	Spring	24066	296	41	12	2	0	0
L-no	2010	Spring	33518	457	6	0	0	0	2
ž		Spring	18463	173	12	5	2	0	0
	2011	Fall	31508	1123	0	0	0	0	0
-		Spring	7031	52	2	4	0	0	0
	2012	Fall	31011	2229	0	0	0	0	0
-		Spring	12938	92	0	2	2	0	0
	2013	Fall	39410	1889	0	4	1	0	0
	2002	Spring	3923	232	33	0	0	0	0
	2002	Fall	17706	772	18	23	0	0	1
-	2002	Spring	6276	1571	9	56	3	0	0
	2005	Fall	13155	1833	0	135	3744	0	0
	2004	Spring	6458	661	11	0	0	0	0
di	2004	Fall	17054	3032	0	207	0	0	9
ersh	2005	Spring	9288	1811	2	180	26	0	2
othe		Fall	14274	2093	0	164	357	0	6
Ĕ	2006	Spring	2730	557	6	3	0	0	0
ibal		Fall	2675	103	18	0	0	0	0
⊢.	2007	Fall	5129	710	0	9	0	0	0
	2008	Fall	14977	157	0	0	0	0	0
	2009	Fall	13469	824	11	8	0	0	0
	2010	Spring	88	8	0	0	0	0	0
.		Fall	16118	642	1	5	0	0	0
	2011	Fall	6147	371	19	10	382	0	0

			Fleet Hake	Chinook	Chum	Coho	Pink	Sockeye	Unspecified
Sector	Year	Season	Landings (mt)	(mt)	(mt)	(mt)	(mt)	(mt)	(mt)
	2002	All Year	36333	3	0.07	0.2	0	0	0
	2003	Spring	14524	1	0.03	0	0	0	0
- 1		Fall	26945	0.3	0	0	0.03	0	0
	2004	Spring	25300	2	0.07	0.002	0	0	0
		Fall	47559	0.3	0.02	0	0	0	0
	2005	Spring	38056	5	0.03	0.004	0.05	0	0
		- Fall	40442	0.04	0	0	0	0	0
_ sor	2006	Spring	46665	0.6	0.04	0.009	0	0	0
ces	2007	Spring	42149	2	0.3	0.2	0.02	0	0
Pro -		Fall	30749	0.8	0	0.003	0	0	0
ther	2008	Spring	41/69	0.4	0.1	0.005	0	0.004	0.0002
	2000		05985	2	0.02	0	0	0	0.09
[°] -		All Year	54591	0.1	0.01	0	0	0 002	0
-		All Year	54217	1	0.01	0	0.02	0.003	0.01
	2011	Spring	20201	0.0	0.00	0	0.02	0	0.01
		FdII	45070	1	0.02	0.02	0.02	0	0
	2012	Spring	20559		0.2	0.02	0.05	0	0
-		_ Fdil	24903	0.4	01	0.005	0.04	0	0 0003
	2013	Spring	20104	0.4	0.004	0	0.04	0	0.0002
	2002	Spring	49021	2	0.004	02	0	0	0.02
	2002	Spring	20303	2	0.00	0.007	0 003	0	0.02
	2003	Spring	23333	1	0.01	0.007	0.003	0	0.4
	2004	Spring	24010	1 6	0.1	02	0	0	0
	2005	Fall	10952	0.4	0.04	0.2	0	0	0
		Snring	42850	0.4	0.4	0.08	0	0	0
hip	2006	Fall	11289	0.2	0.4	0.00	0	0	0
- Jerg	2007	All Year	47276	2	0.3	0.3	0.02	0	0
1ot		Spring	32484	0.5	0.07	0.005	0	0	0
al∑	2008	Fall	25203	0.4	0.07	0.04	0	0	0
- Trib	2009	Spring	24066	1	0.2	0.02	0.002	0	0
	2010	Spring	33518	2	0.02	0	0	0	0.004
ž –		Spring	18463	0.9	0.05	0.007	0.003	0	0
	2011	Fall	31508	3	0	0	0	0	0
		Spring	7031	0.2	0.009	0.006	0	0	0
	2012	Fall	31011	7	0	0	0	0	0
-		Spring	12938	0.4	0	0.005	0.003	0	0
	2013	Fall	39410	5	0	0.02	0.001	0	0
	2002	Spring	3923	0.8	0.2	0	0	0	0
	2002	Fall	17706	2	0.07	0.05	0	0	0.002
-	2002	Spring	6276	3	0.03	0.09	0.005	0	0
	2005	Fall	13155	6	0	0.3	6	0	0
	2004	Spring	6458	2	0.05	0	0	0	0
d .	2004	Fall	17054	6	0	0.6	0	0	0.008
ersh	2005	Spring	9288	4	0.009	0.3	0.03	0	0.002
- othe	2005	Fall	14274	5	0	0.3	0.5	0	0.01
Ĕ	2006	Spring	2730	1	0.03	0.007	0	0	0
ibal		Fall	2675	0.2	0.04	0	0	0	0
F _	2007	Fall	5129	1	0	0.03	0	0	0
	2008	Fall	14977	0.5	0	0	0	0	0
_	2009	Fall	13469	1	0.05	0.02	0	0	0
	2010	Spring	88	0.02	0	0	0	0	0
		Fall	16118	2	0.003	0.01	0	0	0
1	2011	Fall	6147	0.6	0.07	0.02	0.6	0	0

Table 29. Salmon bycatch weight for the At-Sea Hake fishery. Note that seasons for the At-Sea Hake fishery run from May 15 toJune 30 (spring) and from July 1 to December 31 (fall).

Table 30. Estimated bycatch count of salmon in all U.S. west coast fisheries observed by the West Coast Groundfish Observer Program (WCGOP) and the At-Sea Hake Observer Program (* = A-SHOP) from 2002-2013, as well as salmon bycatch in shoreside Pacific hake sectors (** = numbers from annual NWR reports). Dashes (--) signify years when the fishery/sector was not observed, or data were not available.

			{					Yea	r					
Species		Sector	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
		Limited Entry Trawl	14534	16340	1729	818	68	193	324	299	53			
	s	Limited Entry California Halibut	381	120	492	424	107	124	75	0				
	to	Open Access California Halibut	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		32	0	25
	Sec	Open Access and Limited Entry	ſ											
	ke	California Halibut	{ {								17			
	Ę.	Nearshore Fixed Gear in the North	} {	41	33	32	20	0	0	22	16	8	64	404
¥	o	OR Pink Shrimp	}		0	0		0	0	0	0	0	2	0
ino	2	Catch Shares Non-Hake Bottom Trawl										175	304	323
చ		Catch Shares Non-Hake Midwater Trawl	I									*	12	55
		Catch Shares Shoreside]									3727	2321	1258
	ors	Catcher Processor *	954	570	416	1754	112	733	493	22	257	2693	1928	1758
	ect	Non-Tribal Mothership *	709	2047	387	2204	1080	584	225	296	457	1296	2281	1981
	e S	Tribal Mothership *	1004	3404	3693	3904	660	710	157	824	650	371	0	
	Fax	Shoreside - EFP **	1062	425	4206	4018	839	2462	1962	279	2997			
	_	Shoreside - Tribal **	o	9	50	76	1271	1690	539	1321	28	535	17	1025
	<u>ا</u> ا	Limited Entry Trawl	[
	on- ctor		14	36	4	0	0	0	0	0	0			
	ΣΞğ	Catch Shares Non-Hake Midwater Trawl										*	0	1
_ '		Catch Shares Shoreside	<u>⊢ – –</u>		·							42		
ľ ľ	10	Catcher Processor *	14	8	27	R	R	72	43	0	Δ	34	51	26
Ċ	tors	Non-Tribal Mothershin *	10	2	27	12	79	96	17	۵ 41	- -	17	2	20
	Sec	Tribal Mothership *	51	2	11	2	7 <u>/</u>	0	1,	11	1	10	0	
	ke	Shoreside - FEP **						113	8	2	8			
	На	Shoreside - Tribal **	{					8	11	0	0	4	0	1
		Limited Entry Trawl	25	31	65	5	0	13	0	0	31			
	s ke	Limited Entry California Halibut	0	0	0	0	48	0	0	0				
	Ę Ę	Nearshore Fixed Gear in the North		0	38	0	0	11	42	71	42	64	16	581
	Sei	Limited Entry Sablefish Primary	0	5	0	6	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
-	2	Catch Shares Non-Hake Bottom Trawl										20	27	49
oło -		Catch Shares Shoreside										137	15	33
Ŭ	s	Catcher Processor *	69	0	1	4	2	88	3	0	0	0	13	0
	to	Non-Tribal Mothership *	77	3	0	82	26	138	18	12	0	5	4	6
	Sec	Tribal Mothership *	23	191	207	344		9	0	8	5	10	0	
	ke	Shoreside - FEP **						141	10	37	16			
	Ha	Shoreside - Tribal **						98	21	49	0	17	0	91
		Limited Entry Trawl	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0			
	cto ake		{ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-			
	S T S	Catch Shares Non-Hake Bottom Trawl	}									0	2	0
		Catch Shares Shoreside										6113	0	2
in	ors	Catcher Processor *	6 0	13	0	48	0	19	0	0	0	10	22	34
-	ject	Non-Tribal Mothership *	0	4	0	0	0	15	0	2	0	2	0	3
	e S	Tribal Mothership *	0	3747	0	383	0	0	0	0	0	382	0	
	Hal	Shoreside - EFP **						47	7	26	0			
		Shoreside - Tribal **						513	9	129	0	808	0	5
	- a S		}											
ē	Hak ecto	Catch Shares Non-Hake Bottom Trawl	}											
key	ب _		<u> </u>										0	0
Soc	ers ors	Catch Shares Shoreside										2	0	0
	E Ha	Catcher Processor *	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0
	• • •	Shoreside - Iribal **						0	0	0	0	2	U	0
	ake	Limited Entry Irawi	12	3	30	0	0	0	0	0	0			
	icto H	Linited Entry Canornia Hallbut	147	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
ied	S No	Catch Shares Nen Usin Patters True	{	0	0	0	U	U	0	U	20	0	0	0
scif		Catch Shares Shoreside	{											
spe	tors	Catcher Processor *							10			0	11	1
5	ect	Calcher Processor *	0 2	196	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	6 0	0	1
	e,	Tribal Mothershin *		001	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	
	Hai	Shoreside - FEP **			9	0		0	0	0	2			
		SHOLOHUC EN	1	-				0	0	0	4			-

Table 31. Estimated bycatch weight (mt) of salmon in all U.S. west coast fisheries observed by the West Coast Groundfish Observer Program (WCGOP) and the At-Sea Hake Observer Program (* = A-SHOP) from 2002-2013, as well as salmon bycatch in shoreside Pacific hake sectors. Dashes (--) signify years when the fishery/sector was not observed, or data were not available.

			1					١	'ear					
Species		Sector	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
		Limited Entry Trawl	24	25	3.7	1.5	0.1	0.34	0.62	0.41	0.13			
	Ś	Limited Entry California Halibut	0.4	0.12	0.78	0.8	0.14	0.3	0.2	0				
	ctor	Open Access California Halibut	ı 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0.02	0	0.01
	Sec	Open Access and Limited Entry	1											
	ake	California Halibut									0.01			
ð	Ť	Nearshore Fixed Gear in the North		0.2	0.06	0.084	0.11	0	0	0.09	0.1	0.05	0.29	2.4
ino	Nor	OR Pink Shrimp	ı 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.001	0
5	~	Catch Shares Non-Hake Bottom Trawl										0.3	0.5	0.6
		Catch Shares Non-Hake Midwater Trawl										*	0.03	0.2
		Catch Shares Shoreside										12	7.9	4
	to is	Catcher Processor *	i 3	1.3	2.3	5	0.6	2.8	2.4	0.1	1	7.6	6	5.4
	Ha	Non-Tribal Mothership *	2	6	1	6.4	4.2	2	0.9	1	2	3.9	7.2	5.4
	•,	Tribal Mothership *	2.8	9	8	9	1.2	1	0.5	1	2	0.6	0	0
	1 01 5	2 Limited Entry Trawl	0.05	0.12	0.01	0	0	0	0	0	0			
	a la ke		i i											
_	2 ± 3	Catch Shares Non-Hake Midwater Trawl	۰ <u>ـ</u>									*	0	0.006
huh		Catch Shares Shoreside										0.2	0.01	0.03
Ū	ູ ະ	Catcher Processor *	0.07	0.03	0.09	0.03	0.04	0.3	0.12	0	0.01	0.08	0.2	0.1
	cto cto	Non-Tribal Mothership *	0.06	0.01	0.1	0.04	0.4	0.3	0.07	0.2	0.02	0.05	0.009	0
	Se H	Tribal Mothership *	0.27	0.03	0.05	0.009	0.07	0	0	0.05	0.003	0.07	0	0
		Limited Entry Trawl	0.016	0.07	0.25	0.006	0	0.02	0	0	0.074			
	ake rs	Limited Entry California Halibut	0	0	0	0	0.2	0	0	0				
	μ, β	Nearshore Fixed Gear in the North	i	0	0.16	0	0	0.01	0.2	0.2	0.25	0.24	0.06	2
	Se Vo	Limited Entry Sablefish Primary	۱ O	0.02	0	0.02	0	0.01	0	0	0	0	0	0
- Ye	-	Catch Shares Non-Hake Bottom Trawl										0.03	0.05	0.06
<u>о</u>		Catch Shares Shoreside										0.39	0.04	0.10
	ູ ະ	Catcher Processor *	0.2	0	0.002	0.004	0.009	0.2	0.005	0	0	0	0.023	0
	ake cto	Non-Tribal Mothership *	0.3	0.007	0	0.2	0.08	0.3	0.045	0.02	0	0.007	0.006	0.025
	Se H	Tribal Mothership *	0.05	0.39	0.6	0.6	0.007	0.03	0	0.02	0.01	0.02	0	
	L 0 2	Limited Entry Trawl	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.002	0			
	Take take	Catch Charge Nen Hake Pattern Troud	i											
.	Z T 3	Catch Shares Non-Hake Bottom Trawi	L									00	0.002	0
lin	5	Catch Shares Shoreside										10	0	0.005
<u> </u>	ake tor:	Catcher Processor *	0	0.03	0	0.05	0	0.02	0	0	0	0.02	0.03	0.04
	Hî Sec	Non-Tribal Mothership *	0	0.003	0	0	0	0.02	0	0.002	0	0.003	0	0.004
		Tribal Mothership *	0	6	0	0.53	0	0	0	0	0	0.6	0	0
	- e su													
e	Noi Hak	Catch Shares Non-Hake Bottom Trawl												
key	×	, 	i									0.0007	0	0
Sc	e ors	Catch Shares Shoreside	I										_	
	ect											0.003	0	0
	S	Catcher Processor *	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.004	0	0.003	0	0	0
	rs ake	Limited Entry Trawl	0.026	0.009	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0			
-	τ ^Ω	Limited Entry California Halibut	0.07	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
fiet	Se	Nearshore Fixed Gear in the North		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.16	0	0	0
eci		Catch Shares Non-Hake Bottom Trawl										00	0.002	0
dsu	. <u>2</u>	Catch Shares Shoreside	i									0	0.045	0
_ ⊃	ake	Catcher Processor *	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.09	0	0	0.01	0	0.0002
	ΞĒ	Non-Tribal Mothership *	0.02	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.004	0	0	0
1		Tribal Mothership *	0.002	0	0.008	0.012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Table 32. Summary of biological data for at-sea salmon catch, separated by sector, collected by WCGOP observers from 2002 to 2013. All data was not available for every specimen. Due to 100% coverage in Catch Shares sectors, more biological data is typically collected.

				Sex	<u>.</u>	Length (cm)		w	eight (kg)	Adipose Fin		Coded	Genetic	
														Wire	Samples
Species	Sector	Year	Count	Females	Males	Minimum	Mean	Maximum	Minimum	Mean	Maximum	Checked	Clipped	Tags	Taken
		2011	11	6	3	42	65	78	5.0	21.4	39.0	11	9	0	6
	Catch Shares Shoreside Hake	2012	8	2	6	49	61	77	7.3	13.7	25.1	8	6	1	4
		2013	27	- <u> </u>	3	42	62	74	4.7	16.6	28.8	27	16	1	5
	Catch Sharas Nan Haka	2011	157	125	/5	40	51	83	3.4	8.5	41.7	155	109	15	31
	Catch Shales Non-Hake	2012	255	135	110	30	50	//	3.0	8.3	32.0	254	109	12	43
		2013	100	1	<u></u> 0	57	57	<u> </u>	10.1	10.1	44.2	102	108	13	04
		2002	8	4	4	41	48	54	3.9	6.8	10.1			0	6
		2004	353	179	161	18	53	84	1.8	10.1	43.2	o	0	10	37
		2005	157	69	68	25	50	71	1.3	9.8	24.7	0	0	5	41
×	Limited Entry Trawl	2006	23	5	12	32	50	80	2.4	8.8	20.5	2	1	1	13
Ľ		2007	40	13	26	33	51	68	3.1	9.2	22.3	40	32	7	19
చ		2008	75	40	34	36	53	69	2.4	9.6	25.5	72	59	2	31
		2009	74	32	39	28	45	77	1.4	8.1	29.6	57	35	1	27
		2010	6	0	4	27	50	64	1.5	9.4	14.3	5	4	0	6
		2004	2	0	0	55	57	59	8.8	9.1	9.4	0	0	0	0
		2006	1	0	0	46	46	46	5.5	5.5	5.5	0	0	0	0
	Nearshore Fixed Gear in the North	2011	1	0	0	74	74	74	29.8	29.8	29.8	0	0	0	0
		2012	3	0	0	57	69	79	11.0	24.6	36.4	0	0	0	0
		2013	1	0	$\frac{0}{2}$		33	33	2.2	2.2	2.2		0	0	
	Open Access California Halibut	2011	6	2	3	31	35	38	1.1	2.2	3.6	6	5	0	4
	Oregon Dink Shrime	2013	5	<u>-</u> -	3	28	31	34	1.3	- 2.3	<u>3.0</u>			$ \frac{1}{2}$	$ \frac{4}{1}$
	Catch Shares Non-Hake	2012	1	1	0	50	60	40	1.0	19.6	10 6		1	0	1
Ē	catch shares Non-Hake	2013		<u>-</u>					12.6	12.0	12.6			0	
చ	Limited Entry Trawl	2003	1	0	1	57	57	57	11 5	11 5	12.0			0	1
		2004	18	8	10		59	57	45	8.0	11.5	17	16	0	1
	Catch Shares Non-Hake	2012	26	17	8	41	51	71	4.5	83	19.7	25	18	0	11
		2013	17	10	6	37	53	65	4.7	10.1	17.4	16	12	2	7
		2004	5	2	3	51	61	72	6.6	14.4	25.9	0	0	0	2
		2005	1	1	0	45	45	45	5.8	5.8	5.8	0	0	0	1
	Limited Entry Trawl	2006	4	2	2	65	67	69	17.4	19.3	22.5	4	3	0	1
온		2007	2	0	1	47	48	48	5.5	5.8	6.2	2	1	0	1
8		2010	2	0	1	43	51	58	4.0	8.3	12.7	2	2	0	2
	Limited Entry Sablefish Primary	2005	1	1	0	66	66	66	18.6	18.6	18.6	0	0	0	0
		2007	1	1	0	63	63	63	12.3	12.3	12.3	1	0	0	1
		2004	2	0	0	53	58	63	15.4	18.7	22.0	0	0	0	0
	Nearshore Fixed Gear in the North	2007	1	0	0	38	38	38	5.0	5.0	5.0	1	1	0	0
		2009	1	0	0	32	32	32	2.2	2.2	2.2	0	0	0	0
	Cataly Channel Channel de Universit	2012	1	0	0	/1	/1	/1	22.0	22.0	22.0	0	0	0	0
ž	Catch Shares Shoreside Hake IFQ	2011	3	0	0	49	53	57	1.7	1.7	/.7		0		0
ä	Limited Entry Travel	2012	2	1	1	42	42	42	4.1	4.2	4.4	1	1	0	1
ø	Linited Littly Hawi	2009	1	0	0	41	41	41	4.5	4.5	4.5		1	0	1
key	Catch Shares Non-Hake IFO														
Soc		2011	1	0	0	37	37	37	3.1	3.1	3.1	1	1	0	1
ed															
citi	Catch Shares Non-Hake IFQ	2012	2	0	n	7٨	40	50		-		, n	n		1
spe		2012	2	0	0	4/	49	30				1	2		1
5	Limited Entry Trawl	2004	3	2	1	41	52	71	4.4	9.8	20.1	0	0	0	0

Table 33. Summary of biological data for salmon discarded shoreside with coded wire tags (CWTs) in the Shoreside Hake sector, collected by the Catch Monitor (CM) program 2008 to 2013. Additional data is collected by the CM program, but only data for salmon with CWTs are shown here. All salmon with CWTs are checked for adipose fin clips. From 2008 to 2010, this sector functioned under exempted fishing permits. All data was not available for every specimen, but 100% coverage of the catch typically leads to greater collection of biological data than in other fishery sectors.

			Se	x	Le	Length (cm)			eight (<u>kg)</u>	Coded Wire	Adipose Fin
Species	Year	Count	Females	Males	Minimum	Mean	Maximum	Minimum	Mean	Maximum	Tags	Clipped
	2008	195	111	80	29	50	90	3.6	22.8	131.2	84	179
	2009	44	22	20	5	60	98	1.4	19.2	70.5	23	26
8	2010	324	160	149	26	59	93	2.4	36.0	140.9	190	221
jā i	2011	240	112	123	35	58	98	2.2	14.4	81.6	138	167
l v	2012	132	64	66	39	61	85	4.4	16.1	41.9	83	88
	2013	62	36	21	42	65	102	4.4	18.4	55.1	48	46
	2008	3	3	0	58	61	66	38.9	43.7	53.5	0	3
•	2009	4	2	2	54	58	64	8.4	11.5	15.7	2	3
- de	2010	5	5	0	57	65	74	31.6	41.8	55.9	4	3
l J	2011	11	4	7	41	59	66	4.2	13.2	19.8	5	9
	2012	1	0	1	56	56	56	10.8	10.8	10.8	0	0

Table 34. Summary of biological data for salmon species, separated by sector, collected by ASHOP observers from 1976 to 2013. All data was not available for every specimen. 100% coverage of the catch typically leads to greater collection of biological data than in other fishery sectors.

	Sex		L	Le	ngth (cm	<u>)</u>	We	Genetic Samples				
Species	Sector	Year	Count	Females	Males	Minimum	Mean N	laximum	Minimum	Mean	Maximum	Taken
		1976	470	121	175	36	64	108				
		1977	296	133	153	37	60 61	108				
		1978	1000	422	477	23	64	104				
		1980	2379	965	1277	30	55	109				
		1981	2155	981	1098	28	54	106				
	Uistaria	1982	5328	2200	2827	15	58	112				
	HISTOLIC	1983	2162	870	894 999	26	54 50	109				
		1985	1183	572	563	22	60	105				
		1986	21892	11124	10545	20	49	116				
		1987	8273	3737	4430	20	57	114				
		1988	/b/b 5179	3/65	3/99	22	54	105				
		1990	2982	1460	1464	23	54	105				
		1991	770	301	468	19	59	98	0.45	3.40	15.75	
		1992	302	128	146	43	68	101	1	5.09	15.5	
		1993	569	258	301	32	55	105	0.65	3.10	13	
		1994	561	277	284	35	62	102	0.55	3.93	12.78	
		1996	113	53	60	36	78	110	1.8	7.71	15	
		1997	107	51	52	33	65	103	0.9	5.35	16.6	
		1998	81	37	44	32	73	123	0.9	6.50	14.2	
		1999	691 599	280	407 304	32	61 53	101	0.62	5.47	13.51	
		2000	371	179	192	36	59	100	0.24	4.53	15.09	
	At-Sea CP	2002	293	119	174	30	60	122	0.59	3.71	15.7	
		2003	243	115	128	35	60	101	1.63	4.46	13.43	
		2004	168	71	97	40	64	119	1	4.79	15.69	
		2005	54	359	381	39 50	61 70	99				
		2000	393	185	191	34	59	99	1.71	2.83	3.88	
		2008	232	95	137	36	65	99	1.22	4.43	13.44	145
		2009	12	4	8	59	78	104	3.29	7.46	16.86	11
		2010	114	48	66	28	68	106	0.26	5.01	14.62	113
		2011	984	562 440	543	32	58 60	97 114	0.44	2.80	13.69	922
- Xa		2013	787	365	421	34	59	90	0.56	2.97	10.44	784
inc		1991	731	311	387	33	58	91	0.5	3.87	10.9	
5		1992	139	70	53	35	63	93	0.5	3.75	9.8	
		1993	556 724	276	280	31	48	86 102	0.8	2.61	10.4	
		1995	1144	568	574	24	56	95	0.4	3.83	14.5	
		1996	269	121	109	32	61	105	0.6	6.80	14.2	
		1997	88	43	43	36	65	98	0.8	5.55	15	
		1998	97	43	54 69	38	71	98	0.8	4.99	11.3	
		2000	821	40	407	16	53	104	0.78	3.44	12.4	
		2001	302	165	137	34	54	95	0.47	2.47	10.99	
	At-Sea MS	2002	335	134	201	40	60	98	1.67	4.35	12.18	
		2003	687	328	359	37	58	98	0.7	3.04	6.1	
		2004	155	70	85 540	39	59 60	94 102	1.12	3.25	8.78	
		2005	388	208	164	17	62	102	9.43	9.43	9.43	
		2007	260	150	109	35	56	99	2.18	4.92	7.65	
		2008	96	53	42	40	65	98	0.82	4.16	11.44	81
		2009	145	80	65	33	60	97	0.44	3.66	12.73	143
		2010	552	247	304	30	61	98	0.38	3,54	12.65	531
		2012	1106	441	664	32	60	95	0.58	2.98	12.7	1093
		2013	764	349	414	36	60	98	0.5	3.13	14.17	758
		1996	79	44	35	25	53	84				
		1998	846 878	411 401	433 476	25	50	98 106	0.6	3.04 3.22	9.6 16 2	
		1999	1264	537	727	28	54	116	1	3.47	6.4	
		2000	279	154	125	36	52	96	0.68	2.56	14.61	
		2001	376	179	197	27	59	91	0.37	2.70	11.69	
		2002	314	136	178	35	59 54	99 112	1 27	 4 17	 9 //C	
	Tribal MS	2003	932	451	481	32	53	108	1.52	4.98	0.40 13.42	
		2005	1074	577	497	17	54	102				
		2006	225	120	105	36	53	89				
		2007	206	97	109	30	48	77				
		2008	54 256	24 127	30 120	29	58 51	80 91	0.25	3.12 1 77	7.4 7/12	45 2/10
		2010	354	155	199	37	59	93	0.13	2.92	13.88	351
		2011	206	111	95	22	48	94	0.12	1.69	13.26	203

Table 34, continued.

				<u>Sex</u>		Le	<u>m)</u>	We	(g)	Genetic		
Species	Sector	Year	Count	Females	Males	Minimum	Mean	Maximum	Minimum	Mean	Maximum	Taken
		1978	4	0	4	57	65	75				
		1979	13	6	6	48	60	71				
		1980	1	1	0 3	66 47	66 66	66 77				
		1982	46	21	25	45	70	79				
		1983	17	6	10	33	55	81				
	Historic	1984	195	109	86	37	54	78				
		1985	48	22	26	21	62	85				
		1986	260 17	154	99	33 51	64 71	92				
		1988	49	24	24	30	69	90				
		1989	5	3	2	40	50	58				
		1990	13	10	3	51	72	81				
		1991	3	2	1	54	62 71	73	5.6	5.60	5.6	
		1992	- 21	3	2	36	44	65	5.5	5.06	7.8	
		1994	4	3	1	71	74	75	4.5	5.13	5.5	
		1995	11	7	4	36	47	74	0.55	2.01	5.6	
		1996	12	10	2	65	73	79	3.4	5.02	7.2	
		1997	4	2	2	40	52	70 76	0.8	1.78	3.6	
		1999	17	8	9	41	70	85	3.34	4.79	9.11	
		2000	2	2	0	63	80	97	3.26	3.26	3.26	
	At-Sea CP	2001	20	10	10	48	64	78	2.73	3.75	6.76	
		2002	7	6	1	56	71	89	2.29	5.33	10.81	
		2003	4	1	10	60 53	65 66	69 74	2.24	3.57	4.54	
		2004	5	1	3	44	63	69			4.74	
		2006	4	2	2	53	71	82				
		2007	44	11	31	39	66	79				
_		2008	12	6	6	52	62	73				
μ		2010	17	1	1	58	61 50	63 76				
ъ		2011	21	, 9	10	63	70	70				
		2013	10	5	5	49	68	80				
		1991	2	0	2	72	76	79				
		1992	3	3	0	70	73	76	3.4	4.50	5.5	
		1993	2	2	0	73	73 81	73 82	4.8 6.4	4.80 6.40	4.8	
		1995	39	25	14	31	56	77	2.4	4.05	6	
		1996	16	13	3	65	72	80	3.4	5.19	7.2	
		1997	7	4	2	59	64	68	2.6	2.93	3.5	
		1999	7	5	2	41	65	78	0.72	3.81	5.8	
		2000	2	2	2	65	70	78	5.16	5.16	4.52	
	At-Sea MS	2002	4	3	1	75	79	82	4.6	6.11	7.61	
		2003	1	0	1	69	69	69				
		2004	11	5	6	56	67	73	2.22	3.61	4.66	
		2005	5	1	4	62 E 6	67	70				
		2008	20 45	14	26		65	82	6 72	6 72	6 72	
		2008	10	7	3	64	68	73				
		2009	4	2	2	66	70	73				
		2010	2	1	1	60	60	60				
		2011	5	1 F	4	67	69 68	72				
		1998	11	3	2 8	40 50	65	76	2.9	3.87	7.4 5.1	
		1999	7	5	2	70	79	89	4.5	6.80	10.8	
		2001	2	2	0	63	65	67	2.84	2.84	2.84	
	Tribal MS	2002	13	5	8	49	68	85				
		2003	3	0	3	62	64	67				
		2004	3	2 5	1	61 54	69 65	// 73				
		2009	3	2	1	69	71	73				
		2011	10	5	5	61	67	78				

Table 34, continued.

Species Yeak Cature Feralet Maine				Sex		Ler		We	<u>g)</u>	Genetic			
Picture 11 5 3 90 64 90	Species	Sector	Year	Count	int Females Males M		Minimum	Mean M	aximum	Minimum	Samples Taken		
Image: biologic line			1976	11	5	3	59	64	70				
1399 20 38 30 64 44 99 88			1977	49	17	31	44	59	86				
Matrix 1391 13 14 9 8 73 1 1 1 1 1391 132 15 43 33 59 105			1978 1979	27	8 12	14 26	44 39	59 61	85 88				
See 1 17 8 9 47 60 74			1980	38	17	14	47	58	73				
Historic 1363 340 146 137 34 54 100 198 1367 550 500 25 20 79 <td></td> <td></td> <td>1981</td> <td>17</td> <td>8</td> <td>9</td> <td>47</td> <td>60</td> <td>74</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			1981	17	8	9	47	60	74				
Historic 138 136 137 136 136 137 138 137 138 137 138 137 138 137 138 137 138 137 138 137 138 137 138 13		Historia	1982	122	45	43	33	59	105				
No 198 198 198 198 198 198 198 198 198 198 198 199		HISTOLIC	1983	349 1067	146 556	509	34 25	54 52	100 79				
No 1368 1379 807 903 33 55 83 1388 153 917 603 22 75 20 79			1985	252	114	124	26	58	80				
Matrix 1387 166 33.4 34 20 55 80 <td></td> <td></td> <td>1986</td> <td>1793</td> <td>877</td> <td>909</td> <td>33</td> <td>55</td> <td>83</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			1986	1793	877	909	33	55	83				
1380 1340 430 635 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 14 <			1987	656 1529	314	334	26	55 52	80 70				
900 400 119 21 330 56 89 191 40 18 21 300 53 84 <td></td> <td></td> <td>1989</td> <td>94</td> <td>49</td> <td>45</td> <td>43</td> <td>54</td> <td>67</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			1989	94	49	45	43	54	67				
Point Add Disp Zi Joint Si			1990	40	19	21	33	56	89				
Performance in the second seco			1991	40	18	21	30	53	84				
Performance in the second seco			1992	14	3	10	47	64 48	// 50	1.4	2.74	4.9	
Piges 99 4 50 61 76 7.4 7.00 7.4 7.00 7.4 7.00 7.4 7.00 7.4 7.00 7.4 7.00 7.4 7.00 7.4 7.00 7.4 7.00 7.3 950 2 6.90 2 6.90 7.1 2.58 9.20 7.20 1998 1 0 1 7.1 16 4.3 56 66 1.1 2.45 4.03 7.20 7.3 7.8 7.4			1994	2	1	1	43	47	50	1.7	1.70	1.7	
P At-Sea CP At-Sea CP At-Sea CP At-Sea CP 1999 At-Sea CP 1999 At-Sea CP 1999 At-Sea MS At-Sea MS 1999 1999 10 10 10 10 10			1995	9	4	5	50	61	76	7.4	7.40	7.4	
Performance in the second seco			1996	27	12	15	55	73	96	2	6.99	9.8	
At-Sea CP 100 2000 33 17 16 16 43 8 56 6 69 6 11.1 2.48 2.00 6.73 7.200 1.16 2.002 1.16 2.002 2.17 2.002 1.16 2.002 2.17 2.005 1.16 7.3 2.16 2.001 6.73 7.3 2.88 7.8 36 7.46 6.69 6.55 1.15 7.4 3.21 7.5 5.3 7.5 7.5 2005 73 2.8 36 7.3 6.65 56 7.0 1.1 5.5 56 7.0 7.0 7.5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 -7 -7 -7 -7 -7 -17 -7 -7 -7 -7 -7 -7 -7 -7 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>1997</td> <td>14 1</td> <td>2</td> <td>12</td> <td>49 74</td> <td>56 74</td> <td>84 74</td> <td>1.3</td> <td>2.58</td> <td>9.2</td> <td></td>			1997	14 1	2	12	49 74	56 74	84 74	1.3	2.58	9.2	
Al-Sea CP 2000 33 17 16 43 56 66 1.1 2.45 4.03 2001 15 8 7 46 62 74 1.45 3.80 6.33 2002 19 88 11 47 58 81 1.15 3.20 5.3		At Son CD	1999	8	5	3	46	60	79	1.16	2.88	6.76	
P 2001 15 8 7 46 62 74 1.45 3.80 6.3 2004 1 0 1 56 56 56 1.93 1.93 1.93		AL-SEd CP	2000	33	17	16	43	56	69	1.1	2.45	4.03	
Performance in the second of t			2001	15	8	7	46	62	74	1.45	3.80	6.3	
Performance in the second seco			2002	19	8	11	47	58 56	81 56	1.5	3.21 1.93	5.3 1 93	
PG 2006 1 1 1 0 68 68 68 68 70 7 7 7 7 42 54 70 7 7 7 7 42 54 70 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 9 7 7 7 7			2005	73	28	36	46	55	90				
Point Soint 240 17 42 54 70 <td></td> <td></td> <td>2006</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>68</td> <td>68</td> <td>68</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			2006	1	1	0	68	68	68				
Ause 1 1 0 0.2 <th0.2< th=""> <th0.2< th=""> <th0.2< th=""></th0.2<></th0.2<></th0.2<>			2007	50	24	17	42	54	70				
No. No. <td>~</td> <td></td> <td>2008</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>62 48</td> <td>62 51</td> <td>62 54</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	~		2008	1	1	2	62 48	62 51	62 54				
O 1992 13 4 9 47 55 65 1.9 2.20 2.6	oho		1991	16	7	9	32	58	78				
1993 1 1 0 43 43 43 1 1.00 1 1994 14 6 8 32 50 72 1.1 3.10 4.4 1995 394 206 188 30 55 87 0.7 2.40 4.8 1996 2 1 1 66 74 82 2.5 2.50 2.5 1999 13 9 4 50 62 83 1.7 3.22 7.7 1999 13 9 4 50 62 83 1.7 3.22 7.7 2002 21 10 1 38 62 78 1.32 2.65 5.66	0		1992	13	4	9	47	55	65	1.9	2.20	2.6	
1995 394 206 188 30 55 78 0.10 4.48 1996 2 1 1 66 74 82 2.5 2.50 2.5 1997 15 9 5 55 52 70 1.22 2.45 4 1998 1 1 0 51 51 51 1.77 3.22 7.7 1999 13 9 4 50 62 83 1.73 3.22 7.7 2002 21 10 11 38 62 78 1.32 2.65 5.06 2.00 30 6 23 41 55 71 2.00 30 6 23 95 78 0			1993	1	1	0	43	43	43	1	1.00	1	
Hore Lo Lo <thlo< th=""> Lo Lo Lo</thlo<>			1994	14 394	206	8 188	32 30	50 55	72 87	0.7	2.40	4.4 4.8	
1997 15 9 5 35 52 70 1.25 2.45 4			1996	2	1	1	66	74	82	2.5	2.50	2.5	
Home 1998 1 1 0 51 51 51 1.7 1.70 1.7 At-Sea MS 199 13 9 4 50 62 83 1.77 3.22 7.7 2001 19 10 9 36 54 65 1.44 2.10 2.83 2002 221 10 11 38 62 78 1.32 2.65 5.06 2003 1 0 1 61 61 61			1997	15	9	5	35	52	70	1.25	2.45	4	
At-Sea MS 2002 21 10 11 38 62 78 1.32 2.65 5.06 2003 1 0 1 0 11 38 62 78 1.32 2.65 5.06 2003 1 0 1 61 61 61 2005 30 6 23 41 55 71 2006 1 7 4 44 56 62 2007 49 24 23 39 57 80 2008 5 4 1 46 55 61 2009 6 4 2 41 49 52 2013 3 1 2 55 64 70 2013 3 1 2 55 64 70 1999 58 38 20 33 57 85 1 1.76 2.4 1999 4 2 1 445 53 67 1.05 2.08 3.8 1999 4 2 1 45 53 67 1.05 2.08 3.8 2001 4 2 2 65 86 72 3.66 4.20 5.25 2001 4 2 2 65 66 77 2001 4 2 2 65 66 77			1998	1	1	0	51	51 62	51	1.7	1.70	1.7	
At-Sea MS 2002 21 10 11 38 62 78 1.32 2.65 5.06 2003 1 0 1 61 61 61 61 2005 30 6 23 41 55 71			2001	15	9 10	4	36	54	65	1.7	2.10	2.89	
2003 1 0 1 61 61 61 2005 30 6 23 41 55 71 2006 11 7 4 44 56 62 2007 49 24 23 39 57 80		At-Sea MS	2002	21	10	11	38	62	78	1.32	2.65	5.06	
2005 30 6 23 41 55 71			2003	1	0	1	61	61	61				
11 7 4 44 50 02 11 11 14 14 100 11			2005	30	6	23	41	55	71 62				
2008 5 4 1 46 55 61 2009 6 4 2 41 49 52			2000	49	24	23	39	57	80				
2009 6 4 2 41 49 52 2011 3 2 1 46 50 53 2012 2 1 1 48 50 51 2013 3 1 2 55 64 70 1997 115 61 54 33 50 72 0.85 1.45 2.4 1998 58 38 20 33 57 85 1 1.76 2.4 1999 4 2 1 45 53 67 1.05 2.08 3.8 2000 3 0 3 51 56 62 2.11 2.66 3.2 2001 4 2 2 65 68 7.1 2.09 <			2008	5	4	1	46	55	61				
2011 3 2 1 46 50 53			2009	6	4	2	41	49	52				
2012 2 1			2011	3	2	1	46	50 50	53				
1997 115 61 54 33 50 72 0.85 1.45 2.4 1998 58 38 20 33 57 85 1 1.76 2.4 1999 4 2 1 45 53 67 1.05 2.08 3.8 2000 3 0 3 51 56 62 2.11 2.66 3.2			2012	3	1	2	55	64	70				
1998 58 38 20 33 57 85 1 1.76 2.4 1999 4 2 1 45 53 67 1.05 2.08 3.8 2000 3 0 3 51 56 62 2.11 2.66 3.2 2001 4 2 2 65 68 72 3.64 4.20 5.25 2002 11 6 5 25 52 65 2003 55 22 33 45 53 71 2.09 2.35 2.6 2004 51 25 26 44 59 68 2.15 3.00 3.61 2005 90 36 54 43 54 70 2006 2 1 1 55 56 57 2007 3			1997	115	61	54	33	50	72	0.85	1.45	2.4	
1999 4 2 1 45 53 67 1.05 2.08 3.8 2000 3 0 3 51 56 62 2.11 2.66 3.2 2001 4 2 2 65 68 72 3.64 4.20 5.25 2002 11 6 5 25 52 65 2003 55 22 33 45 53 71 2.09 2.35 2.6 2004 51 25 26 44 59 68 2.15 3.00 3.61 2005 90 36 54 43 54 70 2006 2 1 1 55 56 57 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>1998</td> <td>58</td> <td>38</td> <td>20</td> <td>33</td> <td>57</td> <td>85</td> <td>1</td> <td>1.76</td> <td>2.4</td> <td></td>			1998	58	38	20	33	57	85	1	1.76	2.4	
Tribal MS 2001 4 2 2 65 68 72 3.64 4.20 5.25 2002 11 6 5 25 52 65 2002 11 6 5 25 52 65 2003 55 22 33 45 53 71 2.09 2.35 2.6 2004 51 25 26 44 59 68 2.15 3.00 3.61 2005 90 36 54 43 54 70 2006 2 1 1 55 56 57 2007 3 1 2 56 62 67 2009 2 0 2 59 60 60 2010 2			1999 2000	4	2	1 2	45 51	53 56	67 62	1.05 2 11	2.08 2.66	3.8 २ २	
Z002 11 6 5 25 52 65 <			2001	4	2	2	65	68	72	3.64	4.20	5.25	
Tribal MS 2003 55 22 33 45 53 71 2.09 2.35 2.6 2004 51 25 26 44 59 68 2.15 3.00 3.61 2005 90 36 54 43 54 70 2006 2 1 1 55 56 57 2007 3 1 2 56 62 67 2009 2 0 2 40 59 77 2009 2 0 2 59 60 60 2010 2 0 2 59 60 60 2011 7 1 1 5 57 50 50			2002	11	6	5	25	52	65				
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Tribal MS	2003	55	22	33	45	53	71	2.09	2.35	2.6	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			2004	51 90	25 36	26 54	44 43	59 54	68 70	2.15	3.00	3.61	
2007 3 1 2 56 62 67			2006	2	1	1	55	56	57				
2009 2 0 2 40 59 77 2010 2 0 2 59 60 60 2011 7 1 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5			2007	3	1	2	56	62	67				
			2009	2	0	2	40	59	77				
ו-2011ן /ן ב 1 סן 55 5/ 59ן			2010	2	1	2	59	57	60 59				

Table 34, continued.

				Se	ex Length (cm)		<u>w</u>	Genetic				
Snecies	Sector	Vear	Count	Fomalos	Males	Minimum	Mean	Maximum	Minimum	Moon	Maximum	Taken
species	Sector	1978	1	n	1111111111	65	65	65		IVICAII		
		1979	2	1	1	66	75	83				
		1981	33	13	19	52	58	65				
	Historic	1983	91	53	38	40	52	74				
		1985	29	10	19	40	55	64				
		1987	80	48	32	41	52	69				
		1988	1	0	1	48	48	48				
		1991	8	3	5	37	42	45				
		1993	236	137	99	37	43	58	0.7	1.00	1.4	
		1995	163	73	90	31	39	45	0.5	0.70	0.9	
		1997	14	6	8	38	44	48	0.53	0.89	1.3	
		1999	61	44	15	24	45	53	0.62	1.02	1.7	
		2000	6	5	1	37	42	45	0.93	0.93	0.93	
	At-Sea CP	2001	29	19	10	41	50	68	0.84	1.41	2.16	
		2003	4	2	2	51	54	62	1.54	1.94	2.87	
		2005	20	11	9	42	46	54				
		2007	11	5	4	34	44	51				
¥		2011	5	2	3	46	49	52				
E.		2012	6	3	3	42	49	59				
		2013	13	9	4	41	47	54				
		1991	2	2	0	28	39	49				
		1993	70	36	34	38	43	49	0.6	1.03	1.5	
		1994	11	5	6	37	50	59	1.3	3.33	6.8	
		1995	65	33	32	33	39	46				
		1997	11	8	3	37	43	47	0.53	1.03	2	
	At-Sea MS	1999	4	2	2	40	42	44	0.72	0.84	0.96	
		2001	14	11	3	42	. 50	58	1.22	1.52	1./	
		2003	1	0	1	41	41	41				
		2007	5	2	2	40	48	49				
		2009	1	1	0	40	40	40				
		2011	1	1	1	40	40	40				
		1007	185	00	05	25	40	48	1	1 21	1 95	
		1000	37	90 14	30 22	33	50	59	1	1.51	1.05	
	Tribal MS	2003	030	14 428	501	40	50	62	1 51	1.55	2.1	
	11501145	2005	130	-50	64	30 43	51	63	1.51	1.00	2.30	
		2005	1/2	70	64	43	52	60				
		2011	7+3	13	04	43	JZ	00				

Year	Chinook	Coho
1981	61	1
1982	120	0
1983	29	2
1984	39	25
1985	30	4
1986	778	43
1987	383	18
1988	273	41
1989	193	1
1990	106	1
1991	27	0
1992	13	1
1993	14	0
1994	56	1
1995	116	0
1996	55	0
1997	55	3
1998	37	1
1999	108	2
2000	215	3
2001	129	0
2002	64	0
2003	309	5
2004	210	10
2005	301	28
2006	44	0
2007	64	7
2008	24	0
2009	39	3
2010	48	1
2011	172	1
2012	116	0
2013	64	0

Table 35. Summary of CWT data collected by ASHOP observers from 1981 to 2013. 100% coverage of trips from 2000 to present typically leads to greater collection of biological data than in other fishery sectors.