

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
Office of Inspector General

**Follow-Up Audit of
Passenger and Baggage Screening
Procedures at Domestic Airports
(Unclassified Summary)**



Office of Audits

OIG-05-16

March 2005

Office of Inspector General

**U.S. Department of Homeland
Security**
Washington, DC 20528



**Homeland
Security**

Preface

The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Office of Inspector General (OIG) was established by the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (*Public Law 107-296*) by amendment to the Inspector General Act of 1978. This is one of a series of audit, inspection, and special reports prepared by the OIG as part of its DHS oversight responsibility to promote economy, effectiveness, and efficiency within the Department.

This report represents an abbreviated version of our classified report assessing compliance by the Transportation Security Administration with federal aviation requirements to inspect passengers and property for explosives and weapons. It is based on undercover penetration tests, interviews with employees and officials of relevant agencies and institutions, direct observations, and a review of applicable documents.

The information contained in this report has been developed to the best knowledge available to us, and has been discussed in draft with appropriate management officials. It is our hope that this report will result in more effective, efficient, and economical operations. We express our appreciation to all of those who contributed to the preparation of this report.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Richard L. Skinner".

Richard L. Skinner
Acting Inspector General



Audit Report

Department of Homeland Security Office of Inspector General

Introduction

We recently completed a review of screener performance at selected airports around the country. We began our review at the end of November 2004, and completed our fieldwork in early February 2005. Our review was a follow-up to similar work that we had performed at the same airports in 2003. It was initiated in response to a request from the Chairman of the House Aviation Subcommittee, Transportation and Infrastructure Committee.

Background

In 2003, we conducted an audit of the aviation security screening function at 15 domestic airports nationwide (OIG-04-37). The purpose was to evaluate compliance with federal aviation security requirements to inspect passengers and property for explosives and weapons. We identified four broad areas--training, equipment and technology, policy and procedures, and management and supervision--as the cause of most test failures, and made 7 recommendations addressing those areas that would improve overall screener performance.

We began our follow-up testing on November 29, 2004, and concluded on February 4, 2005. During that time, our two 5-person teams conducted hundreds of screening checkpoint and checked baggage tests at airports of different sizes and annual passenger loads.

We met with the Transportation Security Administration's (TSA's) Office of Internal Affairs and Program Review to discuss their internal testing. We also obtained and reviewed all pertinent TSA Security Directives (SDs) and Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) relating to screening of checked baggage, and passengers and their carry-on items. We designed our test protocols from the SDs and SOPs.

We obtained and discussed the SOPs and updates with TSA's Office of Transportation Security Policy on an ongoing basis. In addition, we visited the Transportation Security Laboratory (TSL) in Atlantic City, New Jersey, to discuss our test methodology and obtain technical guidance on the equipment available at the airports to screen passengers and their property. The TSL also provided us with some of the test items used during our testing.

All ten DHS OIG team members conducted each type of test on a rotating schedule, to reduce the likelihood of being recognized as a tester by the screening workforce at any specific airport, and to introduce a real world element of variety into our test methodology. At each airport, we tested early and late shifts at all or almost all open screening checkpoints and at most of the lanes at each of those checkpoints. In addition, the team also tested checked baggage explosives detection systems (EDSs) and explosives trace detection (ETD) machines, which were installed in both lobbies and in baggage rooms, and their operators at each airport.

Specifically, a “test” at a passenger screening checkpoint was defined as one tester attempting to take one threat object through the checkpoint into the sterile area undetected on his or her body or in his or her carry-on bag. A “test” at a checked baggage location was defined as one or two testers introducing a bag with a simulated Improvised Explosive Device (IED) in it, and trace amounts of explosive contamination on the outside, into the checked baggage system. A “pass” occurred if the object was identified by screening personnel and prevented from being carried into the sterile area through the screening checkpoint or being put into the baggage system downstream from the checked baggage screening location. A “fail” occurred when the object was not detected at the screening checkpoint, or the checked bag was cleared for flight.

At each airport, we met with local law enforcement officers to notify them of our presence at the airport and the nature of our work. We also notified the Federal Security Directors (FSD) or their offices moments prior to commencing testing. At the conclusion of each test, we met with the screeners involved and their supervisors, and discussed the nature and results of the test. We also met with the FSD, or the FSD’s representative if the FSD was unavailable, and the FSD’s staff at each airport at the end of each testing period to summarize and discuss the results of our testing.

Results in Brief

Improvements are still needed in the screening process to ensure that dangerous prohibited items are not being carried into the sterile areas of airports, or do not enter the checked baggage system. In our report on the results of our first round of testing (OIG-04-036), which we issued in September 2004, we made several recommendations for improvements in the areas of training, equipment, policies and procedures, and management practices. For the most part, TSA agreed with our recommendations and is taking action to implement them. However, despite the fact that the majority of screeners with whom our testers came in contact were diligent in the performance of their duties and conscious of the responsibility those duties carry, the lack of improvement since our last audit indicates that significant improvement in performance may not be possible without greater use of new technology.

We recommended in our previous report that the TSA administrator aggressively pursue the development and deployment of innovations and improvements to aviation security technologies, particularly for checkpoint screening. TSA is currently testing several such technologies, including backscatter x-ray, ETD portals, and document scanners. We

encourage TSA to expedite its testing programs and give priority to technologies, such as backscatter x-ray, that will enable the screening workforce to better detect both weapons and explosives.

Appendix A
Major Contributors To This Report

Alexander Best, Director, Border and Transportation Security Division
James Yeager, Audit Manager
Sharon Trodden, Auditor-in-Charge
Isabel Tumblin, Auditor
Cecilia Barela, Auditor
Leigh Johnson-Steele, Auditor
Nadine Ramjohn, Auditor
James Nelson, Auditor
Domingo Alvarez, Auditor
Scott Sammons, Auditor
Danny Helton, Auditor

Department of Homeland Security

Secretary
Deputy Secretary
General Counsel
Chief of Staff
Under Secretary, BTS
Assistant Secretary, TSA
DHS-OIG Liaison
TSA-OIG Liaison

Office of Management and Budget

Chief, Homeland Security Branch
DHS OIG Budget Examiner

Congress

Congressional Oversight and Appropriations Committees, as appropriate



Additional Information and Copies

To obtain additional copies of this report, call the Office of Inspector General (OIG) at (202) 254-4100, fax your request to (202) 254-4285, or visit the OIG web site at www.dhs.gov/oig.

OIG Hotline

To report alleged fraud, waste, abuse or mismanagement, or any other kind of criminal or noncriminal misconduct relative to department programs or operations, call the OIG Hotline at 1-800-323-8603; write to DHS Office of Inspector General/MAIL STOP 2600, Attention: Office of Investigations - Hotline, 245 Murray Drive, SW, Building 410, Washington, DC 20528, or email DHSOIGHOTLINE@dhs.gov. The OIG seeks to protect the identity of each writer and caller.

