

FEDERAL REGISTER

Vol. 77 Wednesday,

No. 225 November 21, 2012

Part III

Department of the Interior

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 17

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Review of Native Species That Are Candidates for Listing as Endangered or Threatened; Annual Notice of Findings on Resubmitted Petitions; Annual Description of Progress on Listing Actions; Proposed Rule

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 17

[Docket No. FWS-R9-ES-2012-0050; MO-4500030113]

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Review of Native Species That Are Candidates for Listing as Endangered or Threatened; Annual Notice of Findings on Resubmitted Petitions; Annual Description of Progress on Listing Actions

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of review.

SUMMARY: In this Candidate Notice of Review (CNOR), we, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), present an updated list of plant and animal species native to the United States that we regard as candidates for or have proposed for addition to the Lists of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended. Identification of candidate species can assist environmental planning efforts by providing advance notice of potential listings, allowing landowners and resource managers to alleviate threats and thereby possibly remove the need to list species as endangered or threatened. Even if we subsequently list a candidate species, the early notice provided here could result in more options for species management and recovery by prompting candidate conservation measures to alleviate threats to the species.

The CNOR summarizes the status and threats that we evaluated in order to determine that species qualify as candidates and to assign a listing priority number (LPN) to each species or to determine that species should be removed from candidate status. Additional material that we relied on is available in the Species Assessment and Listing Priority Assignment Forms (species assessment forms) for each candidate species.

Overall, this CNOR recognizes two new candidates, changes the LPN for nine candidates, and removes three species from candidate status. Combined with other decisions for individual species that were published separately from this CNOR in the past year, the current number of species that are candidates for listing is 192.

This document also includes our findings on resubmitted petitions and describes our progress in revising the Lists of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants (Lists) during the period October 1, 2011, through September 30, 2012.

We request additional status information that may be available for the 192 candidate species identified in this CNOR.

DATES: We will accept information on any of the species in this Candidate Notice of Review at any time.

ADDRESSES: This notice is available on the Internet at http:// www.regulations.gov and http:// www.fws.gov/endangered/what-we-do/ cnor.html. Species assessment forms with information and references on a particular candidate species' range, status, habitat needs, and listing priority assignment are available for review at the appropriate Regional Office listed below in SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION or at the Office of Communications and Candidate Conservation, Arlington, VA (see address under FOR FURTHER **INFORMATION CONTACT)**, or on our Web site (http://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/ pub/candidateSpecies.jsp). Please submit any new information, materials, comments, or questions of a general nature on this notice to the Arlington, VA. address listed under FOR FURTHER **INFORMATION CONTACT.** Please submit any new information, materials, comments, or questions pertaining to a particular species to the address of the Endangered Species Coordinator in the appropriate Regional Office listed in SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Endangered Species Coordinator(s) in the appropriate Regional Office(s), or Chief, Office of Communications and Candidate Conservation, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 4401 N. Fairfax Drive, Room 420, Arlington, VA 22203 (telephone 703–358–2171). Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 800–877–8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: We request additional status information that may be available for any of the candidate species identified in this CNOR. We will consider this information to monitor changes in the status or LPN of candidate species and to manage candidates as we prepare listing documents and future revisions to the notice of review. We also request information on additional species to consider including as candidates as we prepare future updates of this notice.

You may submit your information concerning this notice in general or for any of the species included in this notice by one of the methods listed in the ADDRESSES section.

Species-specific information and materials we receive will be available for public inspection by appointment, during normal business hours, at the appropriate Regional Office listed below under Request for Information in SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION. General information we receive will be available at the Office of Communications and Candidate Conservation, Arlington, VA (see address under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT).

Candidate Notice of Review

Background

The Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) (ESA), requires that we identify species of wildlife and plants that are endangered or threatened, based on the best available scientific and commercial information. As defined in section 3 of the ESA, an endangered species is any species which is in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its range, and a threatened species is any species which is likely to become an endangered species within the foreseeable future throughout all or a significant portion of its range. Through the Federal rulemaking process, we add species that meet these definitions to the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife at 50 CFR 17.11 or the List of Endangered and Threatened Plants at 50 CFR 17.12. As part of this program, we maintain a list of species that we regard as candidates for listing. A candidate species is one for which we have on file sufficient information on biological vulnerability and threats to support a proposal to list as endangered or threatened, but for which preparation and publication of a proposal is precluded by higher-priority listing actions. We may identify a species as a candidate for listing after we have conducted an evaluation of its status on our own initiative, or after we have made a positive finding on a petition to list a species, in particular we have found that listing is warranted but precluded by other higher priority listing actions (see the Petition Findings section, below).

We maintain this list of candidates for a variety of reasons: To notify the public that these species are facing threats to their survival; to provide advance knowledge of potential listings that could affect decisions of environmental planners and developers; to provide information that may stimulate and guide conservation efforts that will remove or reduce threats to these species and possibly make listing unnecessary; to request input from interested parties to help us identify

those candidate species that may not require protection under the ESA or additional species that may require the ESA's protections; and to request necessary information for setting priorities for preparing listing proposals. We strongly encourage collaborative conservation efforts for candidate species, and offer technical and financial assistance to facilitate such efforts. For additional information regarding such assistance, please contact the appropriate Regional Office listed under Request for Information or visit our Web site, http://www.fws.gov/ endangered/what-we-do/cca.html.

Previous Notices of Review

We have been publishing candidate notices of review (CNOR) since 1975. The most recent CNOR (prior to this CNOR) was published on October 26, 2011 (76 FR 66370). CNORs published since 1994 are available on our Web site, http://www.fws.gov/endangered/what-we-do/cnor.html. For copies of CNORs published prior to 1994, please contact the Office of Communications and Candidate Conservation (see FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section above).

On September 21, 1983, we published guidance for assigning an LPN for each candidate species (48 FR 43098). Using this guidance, we assign each candidate an LPN of 1 to 12, depending on the magnitude of threats, immediacy of threats, and taxonomic status; the lower the LPN, the higher the listing priority (that is, a species with an LPN of 1 would have the highest listing priority). Section 4(h)(3) of the ESA (16 U.S.C. 1533(h)(3)) requires the Secretary to establish guidelines for such a priorityranking guidance system. As explained below, in using this system we first categorize based on the magnitude of the threat(s), then by the immediacy of the threat(s), and finally by taxonomic status.

Under this priority-ranking system, magnitude of threat can be either "high" or ''moderate to low.'' This criterion helps ensure that the species facing the greatest threats to their continued existence receive the highest listing priority. It is important to recognize that all candidate species face threats to their continued existence, so the magnitude of threats is in relative terms. For all candidate species, the threats are of sufficiently high magnitude to put them in danger of extinction, or make them likely to become in danger of extinction in the foreseeable future. But for species with higher magnitude threats, the threats have a greater likelihood of bringing about extinction or are expected to bring about extinction on a

shorter timescale (once the threats are imminent) than for species with lower magnitude threats. Because we do not routinely quantify how likely or how soon extinction would be expected to occur absent listing, we must evaluate factors that contribute to the likelihood and time scale for extinction. We therefore consider information such as: The number of populations or extent of range of the species affected by the threat(s) or both; the biological significance of the affected population(s), taking into consideration the life-history characteristics of the species and its current abundance and distribution; whether the threats affect the species in only a portion of its range, and if so, the likelihood of persistence of the species in the unaffected portions; the severity of the effects and the rapidity with which they have caused or are likely to cause mortality to individuals and accompanying declines in population levels; whether the effects are likely to be permanent; and the extent to which any ongoing conservation efforts reduce the severity of the threat.

As used in our priority-ranking system, immediacy of threat is categorized as either "imminent" or 'nonimminent" and is based on when the threats will begin. If a threat is currently occurring or likely to occur in the very near future, we classify the threat as imminent. Determining the immediacy of threats helps ensure that species facing actual, identifiable threats are given priority for listing proposals over those for which threats are only potential or species that are intrinsically vulnerable to certain types of threats but are not known to be presently facing such threats.

Our priority ranking system has three categories for taxonomic status: Species that are the sole members of a genus; full species (in genera that have more than one species); and subspecies and distinct population segments of vertebrate species (DPS).

The result of the ranking system is that we assign each candidate a listing priority number of 1 to 12. For example, if the threats are of high magnitude, with immediacy classified as imminent, the listable entity is assigned an LPN of 1, 2, or 3 based on its taxonomic status (i.e., a species that is the only member of its genus would be assigned to the LPN 1 category, a full species to LPN 2, and a subspecies or DPS would be assigned to LPN 3). In summary, the LPN ranking system provides a basis for making decisions about the relative priority for preparing a proposed rule to list a given species. No matter which LPN we assign to a species, each species included in this notice as a candidate is one for which we have sufficient information to prepare a proposed rule to list because it is in danger of extinction or likely to become endangered within the foreseeable future throughout all or a significant portion of its range.

For more information on the process and standards used in assigning LPNs, a copy of the 1983 guidance is available on our Web site at: http://www.fws.gov/endangered/esa-library/pdf/48fr43098-43105.pdf. For more information on the LPN assigned to a particular species, the species assessment for each candidate contains the LPN chart and a rationale for the determination of the magnitude and immediacy of threat(s) and assignment of the LPN; that information is summarized in this CNOR.

This revised notice supersedes all previous animal, plant, and combined candidate notices of review.

Summary of This CNOR

Since publication of the previous CNOR on October 26, 2011 (76 FR 66370), we reviewed the available information on candidate species to ensure that a proposed listing is justified for each species, and reevaluated the relative LPN assigned to each species. We also evaluated the need to emergency-list any of these species, particularly species with high priorities (i.e., species with LPNs of 1, 2, or 3). This review and reevaluation ensures that we focus conservation efforts on those species at greatest risk first.

In addition to reviewing candidate species since publication of the last CNOR, we have worked on numerous findings in response to petitions to list species, and on proposed and final determinations for rules to list species under the ESA. Some of these findings and determinations have been completed and published in the **Federal Register**, while work on others is still under way (see *Preclusion and Expeditious Progress*, below, for details).

Based on our review of the best available scientific and commercial information, with this CNOR we identify 2 new candidate species (see New Candidates, below), change the LPN for 9 candidates (see Listing Priority Changes in Candidates, below) and determine that a listing proposal is not warranted for 3 species and thus remove them from candidate status (see Candidate Removals, below). Combined with the other decisions published separately from this CNOR, a total of 192 species (including 69 plant and 123 animal species) are now candidates awaiting preparation of rules proposing

their listing. These 192 species, along with the 94 species currently proposed for listing (including 6 species proposed for listing due to similarity in appearance), are included in Table 1.

Table 2 lists the changes from the previous CNOR, and includes 47 species identified in the previous CNOR as either proposed for listing or classified as candidates that are no longer in those categories. This includes 41 species for which we published a final listing rule, 1 species for which we published a withdrawal of a proposed rule, 2 candidate species for which we published separate not-warranted findings and removed from candidate status, plus the 3 species in this notice that we have determined do not meet the definition of an endangered or threatened species and therefore do not warrant listing. We have removed these species from candidate status in this ĆNOR.

New Candidates

Below we present a brief summary of one new mammal (Peñasco least chipmunk), and one new fish (Cumberland arrow darter), that are additions to this year's CNOR. Complete information, including references, can be found in the species assessment forms. You may obtain a copy of these forms from the Regional Office having the lead for the species, or from our Web site http://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/pub/ candidateSpecies.jsp. For these species, we find that we have on file sufficient information on biological vulnerability and threats to support a proposal to list as endangered or threatened, but that preparation and publication of a proposal is precluded by higher-priority listing actions (i.e., it met our definition of a candidate species). We also note below that two other species—San Francisco Bay-Delta longfin smelt DPS and Arapahoe snowfly—were identified as candidates earlier this year as a result of separate petition findings published in the Federal Register.

Mammals

Peñasco least chipmunk (*Tamias minimus atristriatus*)—The Peñasco least chipmunk is endemic to the White Mountains, Otero and Lincoln Counties, and the Sacramento Mountains, Otero County, New Mexico. The Peñasco least chipmunk historically had a broad distribution throughout the Sacramento Mountains within ponderosa pine forests. The last verification of persistence of the Sacramento Mountains population of Peñasco least chipmunk was in 1966, and the subspecies appears to be extirpated from the Sacramento Mountains. The only

remaining known distribution of the least chipmunk is restricted to open, high elevation, talus slopes within a subalpine grassland, located in the Sierra Blanca area, White Mountains, Lincoln and Otero Counties, New Mexico.

The Peñasco least chipmunk faces threats from present or threatened destruction, modification, and curtailment of its habitat from the alteration or loss of mature ponderosa pine forests in one of the two historically-occupied areas. The documented decline in occupied localities, in conjunction with the small numbers of individuals captured, are linked to widespread habitat alteration. Moreover, the highly-fragmented nature of its current distribution is a significant contributor to the vulnerability of this subspecies and increases the likelihood of very small, isolated populations being extirpated. As a result of this fragmentation, even if suitable habitat exists (or is restored) in the Sacramento Mountains, the likelihood of recolonization of historical habitat or population expansion from the White Mountains is extremely remote. Considering the magnitude and imminence of these threats to the subspecies and its habitat, and the vulnerability of the White Mountains population, we conclude that the least chipmunk is in danger of extinction throughout all of its known range now or in the foreseeable future.

The remaining population of Peñasco least chipmunk in the White Mountains is particularly susceptible to extinction as a result of small, reduced population sizes and its isolation. Because of the reduced population size and lack of contiguous habitat adjacent to the extant White Mountains population, even a small impact on the White Mountains could have a very large impact on the status of the species as a whole. As a result of its restricted range, apparent small population size, and fragmented historical habitat, the one known remaining extant population in the White Mountains is inherently vulnerable to extinction due to effects of small, population sizes. These impacts are likely to be seen in the population at some point in the foreseeable future, but do not appear to be affecting this population currently. Therefore, we conclude the threats to this population are of high magnitude, but not imminent. Therefore, we assign an LPN of 6 to the subspecies.

Fish

Cumberland arrow darter (*Etheostoma* sagitta sagitta)—The following summary is based on information in our files. The

Cumberland arrow darter is a brightly colored darter with a total length of 116 millimeters (4.6 inches). It is restricted to the upper Cumberland River basin in southeastern Kentucky and northeastern Tennessee. The Cumberland arrow darter typically inhabits small, headwater streams (first to third order) but is sometimes observed in larger streams or small rivers. Its preferred habitat consists of pools or transitional areas between riffles and pools (runs and glides) in moderate to high gradient streams with bedrock, boulder, and cobble substrates. Cumberland arrow darters feed on a variety of aquatic invertebrates, but adults feed predominantly on larval mayflies (order Ephemeroptera), specifically the families Heptageniidae and Baetidae. Rangewide surveys from 2010 to 2012 revealed that the Cumberland arrow darter has been extirpated from portions of its range. During these efforts, the subspecies was observed at 60 of 101 historical streams and 72 of 123 historical sites.

The subspecies' habitat and range have been degraded and limited by water pollution from surface coal mining and gas exploration activities; removal of riparian vegetation; stream channelization; increased siltation associated with poor mining, logging, and agricultural practices; and deforestation of watersheds. The magnitude of these threats is most severe in the eastern half of the range, where resource extraction activities are more common and public ownership is sparse. The threat magnitude is lower in the western half of the range where resource extraction activities are less severe and a larger proportion of the range is in public ownership. Since the species and its life cycle and habitat requirements are fairly evenly distributed across its range, overall, the magnitude of the threats is moderate. We also consider these threats to be imminent because the threats are ongoing and will continue for the foreseeable future. Consequently, we assigned an LPN of 9 to the Cumberland arrow darter.

Longfin smelt, San Francisco Bay-Delta DPS (*Spirinchus thaleichthys*)— We previously announced candidate status for this DPS, and described the reasons and data on which the finding was based, in a separate warranted-butprecluded 12-month petition finding published on April 2, 2012 (77 FR 19756).

Insects

Arapahoe snowfly (*Capnia* arapahoe)—We previously announced candidate status for this species, and

described the reasons and data on which the finding was based, in a separate warranted-but-precluded 12month petition finding published on May 10, 2012 (77 FR 27386).

Listing Priority Changes in Candidates

We reviewed the LPN for all candidate species and are changing the numbers for the following species discussed below. Some of the changes reflect actual changes in either the magnitude or immediacy of the threats. For some species, the LPN change reflects efforts to ensure national consistency as well as closer adherence to the 1983 guidelines in assigning these numbers, rather than an actual change in the nature of the threats.

Reptiles

Sonoran desert tortoise (Gopherus morafkai)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. Sonoran desert tortoises are most closely associated with Sonoran and Mojave Desert scrub vegetation types, but may also be found in other habitat types within their distribution and elevation range. They occur most commonly on rocky, steep slopes and bajadas in paloverde-mixed cacti associations. Washes and valley bottoms may be used in dispersal and, in some areas, as all or part of home ranges. Most Sonoran desert tortoises in Arizona occur between 904 to 4,198 feet (275 to 1280 meters) in elevation. The Sonoran desert tortoise is distributed south and east of the Colorado River in Arizona in all counties except for Navajo, Apache, Coconino, and Greenlee Counties, south to the Rio Yaqui in southern Sonora, Mexico.

Threats known to affect Sonoran desert tortoises include nonnative plant species invasions and altered fire regimes; urban and agricultural development, and human population growth; barriers to dispersal and genetic exchange; off-highway vehicles; roads and highways; historical ironwood and mesquite tree harvest in Mexico; improper livestock grazing (predominantly in Mexico); undocumented human immigration and interdiction activities; illegal collection; predation from feral dogs; human depredation and vandalism; drought; and climate change. Threats to the Sonoran desert tortoise differ geographically in type and scope, and are highly synergistic in their effects. However, in their totality, these threats are high in magnitude because of the large amount of habitat that is likely to be affected and the irreversible nature of the effect of these threats in sensitive habitats that are slow to rebound. While

some threats are ongoing, the more significant ones are not. Thus, overall, the threats are nonimminent. Recent phylogenetic research confirmed what has been suspected for decades within the scientific community that the Sonoran desert tortoise is a distinct species. Therefore, we changed the LPN from a 6 to a 5, reflecting that this entity is now a full species and no longer a DPS.

Sonovta mud turtle (Kinosternon sonoriense longifemorale)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. The Sonoyta mud turtle occurs in a spring and pond at Quitobaquito Springs on Organ Pipe Cactus National Monument in Arizona, and in the Rio Sonoyta and Quitovac Spring of Sonora, Mexico. Loss and degradation of stream habitat from water diversion and groundwater pumping, along with its very limited distribution, are the primary threats to the Sonoyta mud turtle. The Sonoyta mud turtle may also be vulnerable to aerial spraying of pesticides on nearby agricultural fields. Sonoyta mud turtles are highly aquatic and depend on permanent water for survival. The area of southwest Arizona and northern Sonora where the Sonoyta mud turtle occurs is one of the driest regions in the Southwest. Due to continued drought and irrigated agriculture in the region, we expect surface water in the Rio Sonoyta to further dwindle in the foreseeable future but not as imminently as previously believed since National Park Service staff have implemented several actions to stabilize the water levels at Quitobaquito Springs. However, surface water use will have a significant impact on the survival of this subspecies. Based on a change in the timing of the threat from the reduction of surface water to nonimminent (i.e., expected to occur in foreseeable future), we are changing the LPN for Sonoyta mud turtle from a 3 to

Amphibians

Black Warrior waterdog (*Necturus alabamensis*)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. The Black Warrior waterdog is a salamander that inhabits streams above the Fall Line within the Black Warrior River Basin in Alabama. There is very little specific locality information available on the historical distribution of the Black Warrior waterdog because little attention was given to this species between its

description in 1937 and the 1980s. During this time, there were a total of only 11 known historical records from 4 Alabama counties. Two of these sites have now been inundated by impoundments. Extensive survey work was conducted in the 1990s to look for additional populations. As a result of that work, the species was documented at 14 sites in 5 counties.

Water-quality degradation is the biggest threat to the continued existence of the Black Warrior waterdog. Most streams that have been surveyed for the waterdog showed evidence of pollution and many appeared biologically depauperate. Sources of point and nonpoint pollution in the Black Warrior River Basin have been numerous and widespread. Pollution is generated from inadequately treated effluent from industrial plants, sanitary landfills, sewage treatment plants, poultry operations, and cattle feedlots. Surface mining represents another threat to the biological integrity of waterdog habitat. Runoff from old, abandoned coal mines generates pollution through acidification, increased mineralization, and sediment loading. The North River, Locust Fork, and Mulberry Fork, all streams that this species inhabits, are on the Environmental Protection Agency's list of impaired waters. An additional threat to the Black Warrior waterdog is the creation of large impoundments that have flooded thousands of square hectares of its habitat. These impoundments are likely marginal or unsuitable habitat for the salamander. Suitable habitat for the Black Warrior waterdog is limited, and available data indicate extant populations are small and their viability is questionable. This situation is pervasive and problematic; water quality issues are persistent and regulatory mechanisms are not ameliorating these ongoing threats. The most current survey information indicates all populations except one may have decreased below detectable limits indicating the threats have increased in their severity and effects on the species. Based on this updated information, the threats are now of high magnitude overall. Water quality degradation in the Black Warrior Basin is ongoing, therefore, the threats are imminent. We have changed the LPN from an 8 to a 2 for this species.

Snails

Page springsnail (*Pyrgulopsis morrisoni*)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. The Page springsnail is known from a complex of springs located within an approximately 0.93-mi (1.5-km) stretch along the west side of Oak

Creek around the community of Page Springs, and within springs located along Spring Creek, tributary to Oak Creek, Yavapai County, Arizona.

The primary threat to the Page springsnail has been modification of habitat by domestic use, agriculture, ranching, fish hatchery operations, recreation, and groundwater withdrawal. Many of the springs where the species occurs have been subjected to some level of modification. However, the immediacy of the threat of groundwater withdrawal is uncertain, due to conflicting information regarding immediacy. Based on recent survey data, it appears that the Page springsnail is abundant within natural habitats and persists in modified habitats, albeit at reduced densities. Arizona Game and Fish Department (AGFD) management plans for the Bubbling Ponds and Page Springs fish hatcheries include commitments to replace lost habitat and to monitor remaining populations of invertebrates such as the Page springsnail. The candidate conservation agreement with assurances (CCAA) for the Page springsnail has resulted in the implementation of conservation measures such as restoration and creation of spring ecosystems, including springs on AGFD properties. The implementation of the CCAA has resulted in measurable benefits to the species and its habitats. Additionally, the National Park Service has expressed an interest in restoring natural springhead integrity to Shea Springs, a site historically occupied by Page

Accordingly, we find that ongoing implementation of the CCAA continues to substantially reduce the magnitude and immediacy of threats to, and to appreciably improve the conservation status of, the species. Therefore, we are changing the LPN for the Page springsnail from an 8 to an 11.

Insects

Nevares Spring naucorid bug (Ambrysus funebris)—The Nevares Spring naucorid bug is an aquatic insect that has a distribution that is limited to the Travertine-Nevares Springs Complex within Death Valley National Park, Inyo County, California. Surveys indicate that it is a rare species within the aquatic invertebrate community. The Travertine and Nevares Springs areas have eight water collection facilities that provide water for commercial and domestic uses. Information pertaining to the historical distribution of the Nevares Spring naucorid bug prior to the development of the local water collection systems is not available. However, several of the aquatic habitats

where the insect occurred have been eliminated or substantially reduced in size. It is likely that the species occupied a large area of habitat where suitable micro-habitat features were present. The widespread loss of aquatic habitat within the Travertine-Nevares Springs Complex since the water collection systems were installed suggests the species has experienced major reductions in abundance and distribution as springbrook environments were eliminated or reduced in extent. The adverse effects of water diversion activities are most pronounced during the summer months, when aquatic habitats and the species that occupy those habitats are most restricted, and therefore vulnerable to perturbation. In addition, as the human population in southwestern Nevada grows, the demand for ground water and the application for permits to pump more ground water from the underground aquifer that supplies water to desert springs, seeps, and streams in Death Valley National Park will grow. This would likely reduce the quantity of water supplies to desert seeps, springs, and streams and reduce the habitat available to the Nevares Spring naucorid

Nonnative mosquitofish (Gambusia affinis) may prey on and compete with Nevares Spring naucorid bugs for food resources. Crayfish (*Procambarus* sp.) are in close proximity to the naucorid bug's range, and if ever introduced into the same habitat, could pose an immediate threat to the species. The presence of nonnative plants may also reduce water availability or alter microhabitat features. Climate change will likely affect the species because increasing temperatures will likely result in greater evaporation rates and increasingly arid conditions, which may result in decreased recharge rates into the groundwater system. In previous years, magnitude of threats was classified as high and immediacy of threats was classified as nonimminent for this species, resulting in an LPN of 5. However, the primary threats to this species are ongoing, and, thus, to ensure consistency in the application of our listing priority process, we have changed the immediacy of threats from nonimminent to imminent, resulting in an LPN of 2 (high magnitude and imminent threats) for the Nevares Spring naucorid bug.

Stephan's riffle beetle (Heterelmis stephani)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition received on May 11, 2004. The Stephan's riffle beetle is an endemic riffle beetle historically found

in limited spring environments within the Santa Rita Mountains, Pima County, Arizona. In the most recent surveys conducted in 1993, the beetle was only documented in Sylvester Spring in Madera Canvon, within the Coronado National Forest. Suspected potential threats to that spring are largely from habitat modification, and potential changes in water quality and quantity due to catastrophic natural events and climate change. The threats are of low to moderate magnitude based on our current knowledge that the effects of these threats are unlikely to be permanent as they stem from occasional natural events that do not result in permanent water quality degradation. Additionally, there is a higher likelihood that the species will persist in areas that are unaffected by the threats; it is unlikely that all areas of the spring would be simultaneously be affected. Threats from habitat modification have already occurred and are no longer ongoing, and the threats from climate change are expected to occur over many years. Therefore, the threats are nonimminent. Thus, we are changing the LPN for the Stephan's riffle beetle from an 8 to an 11.

Flowering Plants

Astragalus anserinus (Goose Creek milkvetch)—The following summary is based on information in our files and in the petition received on February 3, 2004. The majority (over 80 percent) of Goose Creek milkvetch sites in Idaho, Utah, and Nevada occur on Federal lands managed by the Bureau of Land Management. The rest of the sites occur as small populations on private and State lands in Utah and on private land in Idaho and Nevada. Goose Creek milkvetch occurs in a variety of habitats, but is typically associated with dry, tuffaceous soils (made up of rock consisting of smaller kinds of volcanic detritus) from the Salt Lake Formation. The species grows on steep or flat sites, with soil textures ranging from silty to sandy to somewhat gravelly. The species tolerates some level of disturbance, based on its occurrence on steep slopes where downhill movement of soil is common.

The primary threat to Goose Creek milkvetch is habitat degradation and modification resulting from an altered wildfire regime, fire suppression activities, and rehabilitation efforts to recover lands that have burned. Other factors that also appear to threaten Goose Creek milkvetch include livestock use; invasive, nonnative species; and the inadequacy of regulatory mechanisms to address these threats. Climate change effects to Goose

Creek drainage habitats are possible, but we are unable to predict the specific impacts of this change to Goose Creek milkvetch at this time.

We originally assigned the species an LPN of 5 based on high magnitude threats that were capable of destroying entire populations, but that were nonimminent, or not currently ongoing. However, our recent review reveals that the threats have increased and are now imminent, or currently occurring, largely a result of land management actions taken since fires initially altered the habitat. We now consider the threats associated with livestock grazing and invasive species to be imminent throughout a large portion of the species' range. The increased magnitude and immediacy of threats leaves the species and its small populations more vulnerable to stochastic events. Additionally, surveys have not identified new populations that would significantly increase the range or extent of the species. Therefore, we are changing the LPN for Goose Creek milkvetch from a 5 to a 2.

Calochortus persistens (Siskiyou mariposa lily)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files and the petition we received on September 10, 2001. The Siskiyou mariposa lily is a narrow endemic that is restricted to three disjunct ridge tops in the Klamath-Siskiyou Range near the California-Oregon border. The southernmost occurrence of this species is composed of nine separate sites on approximately 17.6 hectares (ha) (43.4 acres (ac)) of Klamath National Forest and privately owned lands that stretch for 10 kilometers (km) (6 miles (mi)) along the Gunsight-Humbug Ridge, Siskiyou County, California. In 2007, a new occurrence was confirmed in the locality of Cottonwood Peak and Little Cottonwood Peak, Siskiyou County, where several populations are distributed over 164 ha (405 ac) on three individual mountain peaks in the Klamath National Forest and on private lands. The northernmost occurrence consists of not more than five Siskiyou mariposa lily plants that were discovered in 1998, on Bald Mountain, west of Ashland, Jackson County,

Major threats include competition and shading by native and nonnative species fostered by suppression of wildfire; increased fuel loading and subsequent risk of wildfire; fragmentation by roads, fire breaks, tree plantations, and radiotower facilities; maintenance and construction around radio towers and telephone relay stations located on Gunsight Peak and Mahogany Point; and soil disturbance, direct damage, and

exotic weed and grass species introduction as a result of heavy recreational use and construction of fire breaks. Dyer's woad (*Isatis tinctoria*), an invasive, nonnative plant that may prevent germination of Siskiyou mariposa lily seedlings, affects 75 percent of the known lily habitat on Gunsight-Humbug Ridge, the southernmost California occurrence. U.S. Forest Service staff and the Klamath-Siskiyou Wildlands Center cite competition with dyer's woad as a significant and chronic threat to the survival of Siskiyou mariposa lily.

The combination of restricted range, extremely low numbers (five plants) in one of three disjunct populations, poor competitive ability, short seed dispersal distance, slow growth rates, low seed production, apparently poor survival rates in some years, herbivory, habitat disturbance, and competition from exotic plants threaten the continued existence of this species. However, because efforts are underway to reduce the threat of dyer's woad where it is found and because there is no evidence of a decline in the populations of any of the three *C. persistens* occurrences since the time this species was added to the list of candidate species, we now classify the magnitude of existing threats as moderate rather than high. As the threats of competition from exotic plants are not anticipated to overwhelm a large portion of the species' range in the immediate future, the threats are nonimminent. Therefore, we have changed the LPN from a 5 to an 11 to

Helianthus verticillatus (whorled sunflower)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. The whorled sunflower is found in moist, prairie-like openings in woodlands and along adjacent creeks. Despite extensive surveys throughout its range, only four populations are known for this species. There is one population (consisting of two subpopulations) documented in Cherokee County, Alabama; one population in Floyd County, Georgia; and one population each in Madison and McNairy Counties,

This species appears to have restricted ecological requirements and is dependent upon the maintenance of prairie-like openings for its survival. Active management of habitat is needed to keep competition and shading under control. Much of its habitat has been degraded or destroyed for agricultural, silvicultural, and residential purposes. Populations near roadsides or powerlines are threatened by herbicide

usage in association with right-of-way maintenance. The majority of the Georgia population is protected due to its location within a conservation easement; however, only 15 to 20 plants are estimated to occur at this site. The remaining three populations are not formally protected, but efforts have been taken to abate threats associated with highway right-of-way maintenance at one Alabama subpopulation. However, timber growth, following a 2001 timber harvest that benefitted the plants, now threatens the other Alabama subpopulation. Last year, this species was assigned an LPN of 8 based on imminent threats of moderate magnitude. However this year, we have evidence that one Alabama subpopulation is facing new threats from shading by trees, and additional information on the variable reproductive fitness of the species. Because small population size poses a threat to all known populations of *H*. verticillatus, threats associated with land uses affect all populations except for the one in Georgia, and the reproductive fitness of the Georgia population is apparently diminished, we currently consider threats to be of high magnitude, and have changed the LPN to 2 for this species.

Candidate Removals

As summarized below, we have evaluated the threats to the following species and considered factors that, individually and in combination, currently or potentially could pose a risk to these species and their habitats. After a review of the best available scientific and commercial data, we conclude that listing these species under the Endangered Species Act is not warranted because these species are not likely to become endangered species within the foreseeable future throughout all or a significant portion of their ranges. Therefore, we find that proposing a rule to list them is not warranted, and we no longer consider them to be candidate species for listing. We will continue to monitor the status of these species and to accept additional information and comments concerning this finding. We will reconsider our determination in the event that new information indicates that the threats to the species are of a considerably greater magnitude or imminence than identified through assessments of information contained in our files, as summarized here.

Snails

Elongate mud meadows springsnail (*Pyrgulopsis notidicola*)—The following summary is based on information

contained in our files. Pvrgulopsis notidicola, a freshwater snail, is endemic to Soldier Meadow, which is located at the northern extreme of the western arm of the Black Rock Desert in the transition zone between the Basin and Range Physiographic Province and the Columbia Plateau Province, Humboldt County, Nevada. The species is currently known to occupy four separate stretches of thermal (between 45 and 32 $^{\circ}$ Celsius, 113 and 90 $^{\circ}$ Fahrenheit) aquatic habitat. The first stretch is the largest at approximately 600 m (1,968 ft) long and 2 m (6.7 ft) wide. The other stretches where Pyrgulopsis notidicola occurs are less than 6 m (19.7 ft) long and 0.5 m (1.6 ft) wide. Pyrgulopsis notidicola occurs only in shallow, flowing water on gravel substrate. The species does not occur in deep water (i.e., impoundments) where water velocity is low, gravel substrate is absent, and sediment levels are high.

The primary threat to *Pyrgulopsis* notidicola identified when the species was elevated to candidate status was associated with the pattern and amount of recreational use in Soldier Meadow, particularly bathing and camping in the immediate vicinity of the only spring known to contain the species at that time. However, management actions implemented by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) have greatly reduced recreation impacts in Soldier Meadow and thus have appreciably reduced the threat of habitat destruction or modification for Pyrgulopsis notidicola. BLM constructed a designated central campground to preclude dispersed camping in sensitive habitats. Established walkways were also constructed to direct foot traffic away from sensitive habitats, including springs occupied by *Pyrgulopsis* notidicola. BLM implemented a campground host system during periods of peak recreation use, and the site steward interacts with recreationists, directing them to designated camping and bathing areas. Educational signs that provide information on the need to protect sensitive species like Pyrgulopsis notidicola and their habitats were also installed. In addition, BLM has increased on-site presence of staff, including law enforcement staff, within the area. Another conservation action implemented was construction of a 1,215-ha (3,000-ac) exclosure fence to exclude livestock, wild horses, and burros from the majority of the hot springs, including *Pyrgulopsis* notidicola habitat. Some of these conservation actions began before Pyrgulopsis notidicola became a

candidate, but most have been implemented since that time.

Only one population was known at the time *Pyrgulopsis notidicola* was designated as a candidate in 2002. Since then, three additional populations have been discovered, indicating the species is more widely distributed and abundant than previously thought. As a result, the species is less vulnerable to stochastic events than previously thought.

Because conservation actions implemented in Soldier Meadow have greatly reduced threats to *Pyrgulopsis* notidicola and are likely to stay in place for the foreseeable future, and because the population status of the species is more secure than originally thought as a result of the discovery of three additional populations, we conclude that Pyrgulopsis notidicola no longer meets the definition of an endangered or threatened species under section 3 of the ESA. There are no portions of its range where threats remain, therefore, it is not threatened or endangered in a significant portion of its range. Therefore, we find that listing of Pyrgulopsis notidicola throughout all or a significant portion of its range is no longer warranted, and we have removed it from candidate status.

Flowering Plants

Castilleja christii (Christ's paintbrush)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files and the petition we received on January 2, 2001. Castilleja christii occurs as a single population within an approximately 85-ha (220-ac) area of subalpine meadow and sagebrush habitats found near the summit of Mount Harrison, Cassia County, Idaho, between 2,621 and 2,804 meters (8,600 to 9,200 feet (ft)). This endemic species is considered a hemiparasite that grows in association with native host plants found in its subalpine-meadow and sagebrush habitats. The species is subject to annual population fluctuations likely resulting from a variety of factors, such as biological interactions, anthropogenic disturbances, and environmental effects. The most recent population estimate, conducted in 2005, used distance sampling to estimate the overall population size for *C. christii* of 1,267,580 plants, with lower and upper confidence limits of 819,126 and 1,716,033 plants, respectively. The overall *C. christii* population is currently stable throughout a large portion of its range.

Castilleja christii was previously threatened by destruction, modification, and curtailment of its habitat by the

effects from the nonnative smooth brome (Bromus inermis), recreationbased impacts, and inadequate regulatory mechanisms. It was also thought that hybridization with nearby Castilleja spp. may be affecting C. christii. The U.S. Forest Service has successfully implemented numerous conservation actions that have ameliorated most of the previously known threats and established longterm monitoring programs to document their effectiveness on conservation actions. There is a long-term commitment by the Forest Service, through a 2005 Candidate Conservation Agreement and 2012 Memorandum of Agreement with the Service, to continue to implement conservation actions for *C*. christii. Furthermore, recent research by Boise State University has demonstrated that hybridization is not a factor affecting *C. christii*. Finally, the species' estimated population is much largerby as much as two orders of magnitude —than earlier estimates had indicated. Therefore, we find that this species is no longer warranted for listing throughout all or a portion of its range. The species no longer meets our definition of a threatened or endangered species, and we have removed it from candidate status.

Narthecium americanum (bog asphodel)—Over the last 20 years frequent monitoring activities, studies, and increases in regulatory protections have improved our understanding and outlook for the status of Narthecium americanum. Based on our current review of the best available information, we have determined that the species is less imperiled than previously believed and therefore does not warrant listing as threatened or endangered.

The historical range of *Narthecium* americanum included three counties in the Pinelands Area of New Jersey and one county each in Delaware and South Carolina. The Delaware and South Carolina occurrences are documented by a single sample in each state collected in 1895 and 1922, respectively. The species' current range includes the same three New Jersey counties. The species' distribution consists of 18 occurrences covering approximately 80 ac. The relatively broad distribution of the species reduces the risk or loss of the species from stochastic, habitat-modifying events. While some historical locations have been lost on the periphery of the species' range due to habitat loss, other new locations have been found.

There are no manmade or natural threats affecting *Narthecium* americanum to the level that the species meets the definition of threatened or

endangered. Approximately 97 percent of N. americanum occurs on public land or on private conservation land. Therefore, the historical threats of wetland filling, draining, flooding, and conversion to commercial cranberry bogs that resulted in the decline of the species are no longer occurring. Other manmade threats that we once thought were severely affecting the species such as upland development, water withdrawal, disturbance from recreational activities such as off-road vehicles (ORV), and collection are either adequately regulated (development and water withdrawal) or at most having a de minimus impact (ORV and collection) on a small number of populations. The regulations controlling the manmade threats are expected to stay in place, and the de minimus level of impacts are expected to remain stable or further decrease. The natural threats of habitat succession, deer and waterfowl browsing, and beaver flooding are also not affecting N. americanum as we once believed. For example, new information suggests that the species is able to persist in closed canopy conditions and that greater than 20 percent of the distribution of *N*. americanum is found in cedar forest cover that has remained relatively stable for the past 61 years. In addition, wetter microhabitat conditions created by deer trails may allow N. americanum to expand and colonize into forested areas. Beaver flooding of the species' habitat does occur, but only five percent of all N. americanum occurrences are negatively influenced by beaver activities. These natural threats are not anticipated to increase. And lastly, climate change is not now impacting the species, and we are unable to accurately predict if or how *N. americanum* may be impacted by climate change in the future. It is possible that future climate conditions in the New Jersey Pinelands may cause changes in water table, precipitation, or evapotranspiration levels. However, these climate processes may increase or decrease or the potential effects may be off-setting. Therefore, based on the best available information, we cannot conclude that climate change is a threat to N. americanum.

In summary, Narthecium americanum is secure within its current range. There are no manmade or natural threats affecting the species to such a degree that N. americanum warrants listing in all or a significant portion of its range. The species no longer meets our definition of a threatened or endangered species, and we have removed it from candidate status.

Petition Findings

The ESA provides two mechanisms for considering species for listing. One method allows the Secretary, on his own initiative, to identify species for listing under the standards of section 4(a)(1). We implement this through the candidate program, discussed above. The second method for listing a species provides a mechanism for the public to petition us to add a species to the Lists. The CNOR serves several purposes as part of the petition process: (1) In some instances (in particular, for petitions to list species that the Service has already identified as candidates on its own initiative), it serves as the petition finding; (2) for candidate species for which the Service has made a warranted-but-precluded petition finding, it serves as a "resubmitted" petition finding that the ESA requires the Service to make each year; and (3) it documents the Service's compliance with the statutory requirement to monitor the status of species for which listing is warranted-but-precluded to ascertain if they need emergency listing.

First, the CNOR serves as a petition finding in some instances. Under section 4(b)(3)(A), when we receive a listing petition, we must determine within 90 days, to the maximum extent practicable, whether the petition presents substantial information indicating that listing may be warranted (a "90-day finding"). If we make a positive 90-day finding, we must promptly commence a status review of the species under section 4(b)(3)(A); we must then make and publish one of three possible findings within 12 months of the receipt of the petition (a "12-month finding"):

(1) The petitioned action is not warranted;

(2) The petitioned action is warranted (in which case we are required to promptly publish a proposed regulation to implement the petitioned action; once we publish a proposed rule for a species, sections 4(b)(5) and 4(b)(6) of the ESA govern further procedures regardless of whether we issued the proposal in response to a petition); or

(3) The petitioned action is warranted but (a) the immediate proposal of a regulation and final promulgation of a regulation implementing the petitioned action is precluded by pending proposals to determine whether any species is endangered or threatened, and (b) expeditious progress is being made to add qualified species to the Lists. We refer to this third option as a "warranted-but-precluded finding."

We define "candidate species" to mean those species for which the Service has on file sufficient information on biological vulnerability and threat(s) to support issuance of a proposed rule to list, but for which issuance of the proposed rule is precluded (61 FR 64481; December 5, 1996). The standard for making a species a candidate through our own initiative is identical to the standard for making a warranted-but-precluded 12-month petition finding on a petition to list, and we add all petitioned species for which we have made a warranted-but-precluded 12-month finding to the candidate list.

Therefore, all candidate species identified through our own initiative already have received the equivalent of substantial 90-day and warranted-butprecluded 12-month findings. Nevertheless, we review the status of the newly petitioned candidate species and through this CNOR publish specific section 4(b)(3) findings (i.e., substantial 90-day and warranted-but-precluded 12month findings) in response to the petitions to list these candidate species. We publish these findings as part of the first CNOR following receipt of the petition. On October 5, 2011, we received a petition to list the Peñasco least chipmunk (see summary above under New Candidates) after we had initiated our assessment of this species for candidate status. As part of this notice, we are making the substantial 90-day and warranted-but-precluded 12month findings for this species. We have identified the candidate species for which we received petitions by the code "C*" in the category column on the left side of Table 1 below.

Second, the CNOR serves as a "resubmitted" petition finding. Section 4(b)(3)(C)(i) of the ESA requires that when we make a warranted-but-precluded finding on a petition, we are to treat such a petition as one that is resubmitted on the date of such a finding. Thus, we must make a 12-month petition finding in compliance with section 4(b)(3)(B) of the ESA at least once a year, until we publish a proposal to list the species or make a final not-warranted finding. We make these annual findings for petitioned candidate species through the CNOR.

Third, through undertaking the analysis required to complete the CNOR, the Service determines if any candidate species needs emergency listing. Section 4(b)(3)(C)(iii) of the ESA requires us to "implement a system to monitor effectively the status of all species" for which we have made a warranted-but-precluded 12-month finding, and to "make prompt use of the [emergency listing] authority [under section 4(b)(7)] to prevent a significant

risk to the well being of any such species." The CNOR plays a crucial role in the monitoring system that we have implemented for all candidate species by providing notice that we are actively seeking information regarding the status of those species. We review all new information on candidate species as it becomes available, prepare an annual species assessment form that reflects monitoring results and other new information, and identify any species for which emergency listing may be appropriate. If we determine that emergency listing is appropriate for any candidate we will make prompt use of the emergency listing authority under section 4(b)(7). For example, on August 10, 2011, we emergency listed the Miami blue butterfly (76 FR 49542). We have been reviewing and will continue to review, at least annually, the status of every candidate, whether or not we have received a petition to list it. Thus, the CNOR and accompanying species assessment forms constitute the Service's system for monitoring and making annual findings on the status of petitioned species under sections 4(b)(3)(C)(i) and 4(b)(3)(C)(iii) of the ESA.

A number of court decisions have elaborated on the nature and specificity of information that must be considered in making and describing the petition findings in the CNOR. The CNOR published on November 9, 2009 (74 FR 57804), describes these court decisions in further detail. As with previous CNORs, we continue to incorporate information of the nature and specificity required by the courts. For example, we include a description of the reasons why the listing of every petitioned candidate species is both warranted and precluded at this time. We make our determinations of preclusion on a nationwide basis to ensure that the species most in need of listing will be addressed first and also because we allocate our listing budget on a nationwide basis (see below). Regional priorities can also be discerned from Table 1, below, which includes the lead region and the LPN for each species. Our preclusion determinations are further based upon our budget for listing activities for unlisted species only, and we explain the priority system and why the work we have accomplished does preclude action on listing candidate

In preparing this CNOR, we reviewed the current status of, and threats to, the 172 candidates for which we have received a petition to list and the 5 listed species and for which we have received a petition to reclassify from threatened to endangered, where we

found the petitioned action to be warranted but precluded. Included in this work is our review of the current status of, and threats to, the Canada lynx in New Mexico for which we received a petition to add that State to the listed range. We find that the immediate issuance of a proposed rule and timely promulgation of a final rule for each of these species has been, for the preceding months, and continues to be, precluded by higher priority listing actions. Additional information that is the basis for this finding is found in the species assessments and our administrative record for each species.

Our review included updating the status of, and threats to, petitioned candidate or listed species for which we published findings, under section 4(b)(3)(B) of the ESA, in the previous CNOR. We have incorporated new information we gathered since the prior finding and, as a result of this review, we are making continued warranted-but-precluded 12-month findings on the petitions for these species.

The immediate publication of proposed rules to list these species was precluded by our work on higher priority listing actions, listed below, during the period from October 1, 2011, through September 30, 2012. Below we describe the actions that continue to preclude the immediate proposal and final promulgation of a regulation implementing each of the petitioned actions for which we have made a warranted-but-precluded finding, and we describe the expeditious progress we are making to add qualified species to, and remove species from, the Lists. We will continue to monitor the status of all candidate species, including petitioned species, as new information becomes available to determine if a change in status is warranted, including the need to emergency-list a species under section 4(b)(7) of the ESA.

In addition to identifying petitioned candidate species in Table 1 below, we also present brief summaries of why each of these candidates warrants listing. More complete information, including references, is found in the species assessment forms. You may obtain a copy of these forms from the Regional Office having the lead for the species, or from the Fish and Wildlife Service's Internet Web site: http:// ecos.fws.gov/tess public/pub/ candidateSpecies.jsp. As described above, under section 4 of the ESA, we identify and propose species for listing based on the factors identified in section 4(a)(1), and section 4 also provides a mechanism for the public to petition us to add species to the Lists of

Endangered or Threatened Wildlife and Plants under the ESA.

Preclusion and Expeditious Progress

To make a finding that a particular action is warranted-but-precluded, the Service must make two findings: (1) That the immediate proposal and timely promulgation of a final regulation is precluded by pending listing proposals, and (2) that expeditious progress is being made to add qualified species to either of the lists and to remove species from the lists. 16 U.S.C. 1533(b)(3)(B)(iii).

Preclusion

A listing proposal is precluded if the Service does not have sufficient resources available to complete the proposal, because there are competing demands for those resources, and the relative priority of those competing demands is higher. Thus, in any given fiscal year (FY), multiple factors dictate whether it will be possible to undertake work on a listing proposal regulation or whether promulgation of such a proposal is precluded by higher priority listing actions—(1) the amount of resources available for completing the listing function, (2) the estimated cost of completing the proposed listing, and (3) the Service's workload and prioritization of the proposed listing in relation to other actions.

Available Resources

The resources available for listing actions are determined through the annual Congressional appropriations process. In FY 1998 and for each fiscal year since then, Congress has placed a statutory cap on funds that may be expended for the Listing Program. This spending cap was designed to prevent the listing function from depleting funds needed for other functions under the ESA (for example, recovery functions, such as removing species from the Lists), or for other Service programs (see House Report 105-163, 105th Congress, 1st Session, July 1, 1997). The funds within the spending cap are available to support work involving the following listing actions: Proposed and final listing rules; 90-day and 12-month findings on petitions to add species to the Lists or to change the status of a species from threatened to endangered; annual "resubmitted" petition findings on prior warrantedbut-precluded petition findings as required under section 4(b)(3)(C)(i) of the ESA; critical habitat petition findings; proposed and final rules designating critical habitat; and litigation-related, administrative, and program-management functions

(including preparing and allocating budgets, responding to Congressional and public inquiries, and conducting public outreach regarding listing and critical habitat).

We cannot spend more for the Listing Program than the amount of funds within the spending cap without violating the Anti-Deficiency Act (see 31 U.S.C. 1341(a)(1)(A)). In addition, since FY 2002, the Service's budget has included a critical habitat subcap to ensure that some funds are available for completing Listing Program actions other than critical habitat designations ("The critical habitat designation subcap will ensure that some funding is available to address other listing activities" (House Report No. 107-103, 107th Congress, 1st Session. June 19, 2001)). In FY 2002 and each year until FY 2006, the Service had to use virtually the entire critical habitat subcap to address court-mandated designations of critical habitat, and consequently none of the critical habitat subcap funds were available for other listing activities. In some FYs since 2006, we have been able to use some of the critical habitat subcap funds to fund proposed listing determinations for high-priority candidate species. In other FYs, while we were unable to use any of the critical habitat subcap funds to fund proposed listing determinations, we did use some of this money to fund the critical habitat portion of some proposed listing determinations so that the proposed listing determination and proposed critical habitat designation could be combined into one rule, thereby being more efficient in our work. In FY 2012, based on the Service's workload, we were able to use some of the critical habitat subcap funds to fund proposed listing determinations.

For FY 2012 Congress also put in place two additional subcaps within the listing cap: One for listing actions for foreign species and one for petition findings. As with the critical habitat subcap, if the Service does not need to use all of the funds within the subcap, we are able to use the remaining funds for completing proposed or final listing determinations. In FY 2012, based on the Service's workload, we were able to use some of the funds within the foreign species subcap and the petitions subcap to fund proposed listing determinations.

We make our determinations of preclusion on a nationwide basis to ensure that the species most in need of listing will be addressed first and also because we allocate our listing budget on a nationwide basis. Through the listing cap, the three subcaps, and the amount of funds needed to complete court-mandated actions within those

subcaps, Congress and the courts have in effect determined the amount of money available for other listing activities nationwide. Therefore, the funds in the listing cap—other than those within the subcaps needed to comply with court orders or court-approved settlement agreements requiring critical habitat actions for already-listed species, listing actions for foreign species, and petition findings—set the framework within which we make our determinations of preclusion and expeditious progress.

For FY 2012, on December 23, 2011, Congress passed a Consolidated Appropriations Act (Pub. L. 112–74) which provided funding through the end of the fiscal year. In particular, it included a spending cap of \$20,902,000 for the Listing Program. Of that, no more than \$7,472,000 was available for determinations of critical habitat for already listed species. In addition, no more than \$1,500,000 could be used for listing actions for foreign species and no more than \$1,500,000 could be used to make 90-day or 12-month findings on petitions. The Service thus had \$10,430,000 available to work on proposed and final listing determinations for domestic species. In addition, if the Service had funding available within the critical habitat, foreign species, or petition subcaps after those workloads had been completed, it could use those funds to work on listing actions other than critical habitat designations or foreign species.

Costs of Listing Actions. The work involved in preparing various listing documents can be extensive, and may include, but is not limited to: Gathering and assessing the best scientific and commercial data available and conducting analyses used as the basis for our decisions; writing and publishing documents; and obtaining, reviewing, and evaluating public comments and peer review comments on proposed rules and incorporating relevant information into final rules. The number of listing actions that we can undertake in a given year also is influenced by the complexity of those listing actions; that is, more complex actions generally are more costly. The median cost for preparing and publishing a 90-day finding is \$39,276; for a 12-month finding, \$100,690; for a proposed rule with critical habitat, \$345,000; and for a final listing rule with critical habitat, \$305,000.

Prioritizing Listing Actions. The Service's Listing Program workload is broadly composed of four types of actions, which the Service prioritizes as follows: (1) Compliance with court orders and court-approved settlement

agreements requiring that petition findings or listing or critical habitat determinations be completed by a specific date; (2) section 4 (of the Act) listing and critical habitat actions with absolute statutory deadlines; (3) essential litigation-related, administrative, and listing programmanagement functions; and (4) section 4 listing actions that do not have absolute statutory deadlines. In FY 2010, the Service received many new petitions and a single petition to list 404 species, significantly increasing the number of actions within the second category of our workload-actions that have absolute statutory deadlines. As a result of the petitions to list hundreds of species, we currently have over 460 12month petition findings yet to be initiated and completed.

To prioritize within each of the four types of actions, we developed guidelines for assigning a listing priority number (LPN) for each candidate species (48 FR 43098; September 21, 1983). As discussed above, under these guidelines, we assign each candidate an LPN of 1 to 12, depending on the magnitude of threats (high or moderate to low), immediacy of threats (imminent or nonimminent), and taxonomic status of the species (in order of priority: monotypic genus (a species that is the sole member of a genus), species, or part of a species (subspecies or distinct population segment)). The lower the listing priority number, the higher the listing priority (that is, a species with an LPN of 1 would have the highest listing priority). A species with a higher LPN would generally be precluded from listing by species with lower LPNs, unless work on a proposed rule for the species with the higher LPN can be combined with work on a proposed rule for other high-priority species.

Finally, proposed rules for reclassification of threatened species to endangered species are lower priority, because as listed species, they are already afforded the protections of the Act and implementing regulations. However, for efficiency reasons, we may choose to work on a proposed rule to reclassify a species to endangered if we can combine this with work that is subject to a court ordered or court-

approved deadline.

Since before Congress first established the spending cap for the Listing Program in 1998, the Listing Program workload has required considerably more resources than the amount of funds Congress has allowed for the Listing Program. It is therefore important that we be as efficient as possible in our listing process. Therefore, as we implement our listing work plan and

work on proposed rules for the highest priority species in the next several years, we are preparing multi-species proposals when appropriate, and these may include species with lower priority if they overlap geographically or have the same threats as one of the highest-priority species. In addition, we take into consideration the availability of staff resources when we determine which high-priority species will receive funding to minimize the amount of time and resources required to complete each listing action.

Listing Program Workload. Each FY we determine, based on the amount of funding Congress has made available within the Listing Program spending cap, specifically which actions we will have the resources to work on in that FY. We then prepare Allocation Tables that identify the actions that we are funding for that FY, and how much we estimate it will cost to complete each action; these Allocation Tables are part of our record for this notice and the listing program. Our Allocation Table for FY 2012, which incorporated the Service's approach to prioritizing its workload, was adopted as part of a settlement agreement in a case before the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia (Endangered Species Act Section 4 Deadline Litigation, No.10-377 (EGS), MDL Docket No. 2165 ("MDL Litigation"), Document 31–1 (D. D.C. May 10, 2011) ("MDL Settlement Agreement")). The requirements of paragraphs 1 through 7 of that settlement agreement, combined with the work plan attached to the agreement as Exhibit B, reflected the Service's Allocation Tables for FY 2011 and FY 2012. In addition, paragraphs 2 through 7 of the agreement require the Service to take numerous other actions through FY 2017—in particular, complete either a proposed listing rule or a notwarranted finding for all 251 species designated as "candidates" in the 2010 candidate notice of review ("CNOR") before the end of FY 2016, and complete final listing determinations within one year of proposing to list any of those species. Paragraph 10 of that settlement agreement sets forth the Service's conclusion that "fulfilling the commitments set forth in this Agreement, along with other commitments required by court orders or court-approved settlement agreements already in existence at the signing of this Settlement Agreement (listed in Exhibit A), will require substantially all of the resources in the Listing Program." As part of the same lawsuit, the court also approved a separate settlement agreement with the

other plaintiff in the case; that settlement agreement requires the Service to complete additional actions in specific fiscal years — including 12-month petition findings for 11 species, 90-day petition findings for 477 species, and proposed listing determinations or not-warranted findings for 39 species.

These settlement agreements have led to a number of results that affect our preclusion analysis. First, the Service has been, and will continue to be, limited in the extent to which it can undertake additional actions within the Listing Program through FY 2017 beyond what is required by the MDL Settlement Agreements. Second, because the settlement is courtapproved, two broad categories of actions now fall within the Service's highest priority (compliance with a court order): (1) the Service's entire prioritized workload for FY 2012, as reflected in its Allocation Table, and (2) completion, before the end of FY 2016, of proposed listings or not-warranted findings for most of the candidate species identified in this CNOR (in particular, for those candidate species that were included in the 2010 CNOR). Therefore, each year, one of the Service's highest priorities is to make steady progress towards completing by the end of 2017 proposed and final lisiting determinations for the 2010 candidate species—based on its LPN prioritization system, preparing multispecies actions when appropriate, and taking into consideration the availability of staff resources.

Based on these prioritization factors, we continue to find that proposals to list the petitioned candidate species included in Table 1 are all precluded by higher-priority listing actions including those with court-ordered and court-approved settlement agreements and listing actions with absolute statutory deadlines.

Expeditious Progress

As explained above, a determination that listing is warranted but precluded must also demonstrate that expeditious progress is being made to add and remove qualified species to and from the Lists. As with our "precluded" finding, the evaluation of whether progress in adding qualified species to the Lists has been expeditious is a function of the resources available for listing and the competing demands for those funds. (Although we do not discuss it in detail here, we are also making expeditious progress in removing species from the list under the Recovery program in light of the resource available for delisting, which is funded by a separate line item in the

budget of the Endangered Species Program. During FY 2012, we completed delisting rules for two species.) As discussed below, given the limited resources available for listing, we find that we are making expeditious progress in FY 2012 in the Listing Program.

We provide below tables cataloguing the work of the Service's Listing Program in FY 2012. This work includes all three of the steps necessary for adding species to the Lists: (1) Identifying species that warrant listing, (2) undertaking the evaluation of the best available scientific information about those species and the threats they face, and preparing proposed and final listing rules, and (3) adding species to the Lists by publishing proposed and final listing rules that include a summary of the data on which the rule is based and show the relationship of that data to the rule. After taking into consideration the limited resources available for listing, the competing demands for those funds, and the completed work catalogued in the tables below, we find that we are making expeditious progress to add qualified species to the Lists in FY 2012.

First, we are making expeditious progress in the third and final step: listing qualified species. In FY 2012, we resolved the status of 44 species that we determined, or had previously determined, qualified for listing. Moreover, for 43 of those 44 species, the resolution was to add them to the Lists, most with concurrent designations of critical habitat. We also proposed to list an additional 85 qualified species, most with concurrent critical habitat proposals.

Second, we are making expeditious progress in the second step: working towards adding qualified species to the Lists. In FY 2012, we worked on developing proposed listing rules for 39 species (most of them with concurrent critical habitat proposals). Although we have not yet completed those actions, we are making expeditious progress towards doing so.

Third, we are making expeditious progress in the first step towards adding qualified species to the Lists: identifying additional species that qualify for listing. In FY 2012, we completed 90-day petition findings for 76 species and 12-month petition findings for 53 species. Of those 51 species, we determined that listing 9 of the species was warranted but precluded. In FY 2012, we also worked on evaluating the best available scientific information towards preparing 90-day findings for an additional 3 species and 12-month findings for 1 additional species.

In addition to the work the Service has completed towards adding qualified species to the Lists, as we described above, on May 10, 2011, the Service filed in the MDL Litigation a settlement agreement that incorporated the Service's work plan for FY 2012; the court approved that settlement agreement on September 9, 2011. Paragraph 10 of that settlement agreement provides, "The Parties agree that the timetables for resolving the

status of candidate species outlined in this Agreement constitute expeditious progress in adding qualified species to the lists of threatened and endangered species." The Service also filed a second settlement agreement that required even more work in FY 2012. The Service had already begun in FY 2011 to implement that work required by the work plan, and many of these initial actions in our work plan include work on proposed rules for candidate species with an LPN

of 2 or 3. Therefore, both by entering into the first settlement agreement and by completing the listing actions required by both settlement agreements, the Service is making expeditious progress to add qualified species to the lists. As provided for in the settlement agreements and the work plan incorporated into the first agreement, the Service's progress in FY 2012 included completing and publishing the following determinations:

FY 2012 COMPLETED LISTING ACTIONS 1

Publication date	Title	Actions	FR Pages
10/4/2011	12-Month Finding on a Petition to List the Lake Sammamish Kokanee Population of <i>Oncorhynchus nerka</i> as an Endangered or Threatened Distinct Population Segment.	Notice of 12-month petition finding, Not warranted.	76 FR 61298–61307
10/4/2011	12-Month Finding on a Petition to List <i>Calopogon oklahomensis</i> as Threatened or Endangered.	Notice of 12-month petition finding, Not warranted.	76 FR 61307–61321
10/4/2011	12-Month Finding on a Petition To List the Amargosa River Population of the Mojave Fringe-toed Lizard as an Endangered or Threatened Distinct Population Segment.	Notice of 12-month petition finding, Not warranted.	76 FR 61321–61330
10/4/2011	Endangered Status for the Alabama Pearlshell, Round Ebonyshell, Southern Sandshell, Southern Kidneyshell, and Choctaw Bean, and Threatened Status for the Tapered Pigtoe, Narrow Pigtoe, and Fuzzy Pigtoe; with Critical Habitat.	Proposed Listing Endangered	76 FR 61482–61529
10/4/2011	90-Day Finding on a Petition To List 10 Subspecies of Great Basin Butterflies as Threatened or Endangered with Critical Habitat.	Notice of 90-day Petition Finding, Substantial and Not substantial.	76 FR 61532–61554
10/5/2011	90-Day Finding on a Petition to List 29 Mollusk Species as Threat- ened or Endangered With Critical Habitat.	Notice of 90-day Petition Finding, Substantial and Not substantial.	76 FR 61826–61853
10/5/2011	12-Month Finding on a Petition to List the Cactus Ferruginous Pygmy-Owl as Threatened or Endangered with Critical Habitat.	Notice of 12-month petition finding, Not warranted.	76 FR 61856–61894
10/5/2011	12-Month Finding on a Petition to List the Northern Leopard Frog in the Western United States as Threatened.	Notice of 12-month petition finding, Not warranted.	76 FR 61896–61931
10/6/2011 10/6/2011	Endangered Status for the Ozark Hellbender Salamander	Final Listing Endangered	76 FR 61956–61978 76 FR 62016–62034
10/6/2011	12-Month Finding on a Petition to List Texas Fatmucket, Golden Orb, Smooth Pimpleback, Texas Pimpleback, and Texas Fawnsfoot as Threatened or Endangered.	Notice of 12-month petition finding, Warranted but precluded.	76 FR 62166–62212
10/6/2011	12-Month Finding on a Petition to List the Mohave Ground Squirrel as Endangered or Threatened.	Notice of 12-month petition finding, Not warranted.	76 FR 62214–62258
10/6/2011	Partial 90-Day Finding on a Petition to List 404 Species in the Southeastern United States as Threatened or Endangered With Critical Habitat.	Notice of 90-day Petition Finding, Not substantial.	76 FR 62260–62280
10/7/2011	12-Month Finding on a Petition to List the Black-footed Albatross as Endangered or Threatened.	Notice of 12-month petition finding, Not warranted.	76 FR 62504–62565
10/11/2011	12-Month Finding on a Petition to List <i>Amoreuxia gonzalezii, Astragalus hypoxylus</i> , and <i>Erigeron piscaticus</i> as Endangered or Threatened.	Notice of 12-month petition finding, Not warranted.	76 FR 62722–62740
10/11/2011	12-Month Finding on a Petition to List the Tehachapi Slender Salamander as Endangered or Threatened.	Notice of 12-month petition finding, Not warranted.	76 FR 62900–62926
10/11/2011	Endangered Status for the Altamaha Spinymussel and Designation of Critical Habitat.	Final Listing Endangered	76 FR 62928–62960
10/11/2011	12-Month Finding for a Petition to List the California Golden Trout as Endangered.	Notice of 12-month petition finding, Not warranted.	76 FR 63094–63115
10/12/2011	12-Month Petition Finding, Proposed Listing of Coquí Llanero as Endangered, and Designation of Critical Habitat for Coquí Llanero.	Notice of 12-month petition find- ing, Warranted; Proposed List- ing Endangered.	76 FR 63420–63442
10/12/2011	12-Month Finding on a Petition to List Northern Leatherside Chub as Endangered or Threatened.	Notice of 12-month petition finding, Not warranted.	76 FR 63444–63478
10/13/2011	12-Month Finding on a Petition to List a Distinct Population Segment of the Red Tree Vole as Endangered or Threatened.	Notice of 12-month petition finding, Warranted but precluded.	76 FR 63720–63762
12/19/2011	90-Day Finding on a Petition To List the Western Glacier Stonefly as Endangered With Critical Habitat.	Notice of 90-day Petition Finding, Substantial.	76 FR 78601–78609
1/3/2012	90-Day Finding on a Petition to List Sierra Nevada Red Fox as Endangered or Threatened.	Notice of 90-day Petition Finding, Substantial.	77 FR 45–52
1/12/2012	90-Day Finding on a Petition To List the Humboldt Marten as Endangered or Threatened.	Notice of 90-day Petition Finding, Substantial.	77 FR 1900–1908
1/24/2012	90-Day Finding on a Petition to List the 'l'iwi as Endangered or Threatened.	Notice of 90-day Petition Finding, Substantial.	77 FR 3423–3432

FY 2012 COMPLETED LISTING ACTIONS 1—Continued

Publication date	Title	Actions	FR Pages
2/1/2012	90-Day Finding on a Petition to List the San Bernardino Flying	Notice of 90-day Petition Finding,	77 FR 4973–4980
2/14/2012	Squirrel as Endangered or Threatened With Critical Habitat. Determination of Endangered Status for the Rayed Bean and Snuffbox Mussels Throughout Their Ranges.	Substantial. Final Listing Endangered	77 FR 8632–8665
2/17/2012	90-Day Finding on a Petition to List the Thermophilic Ostracod as Endangered or Threatened.	Notice of 90-day Petition Finding, Not substantial.	77 FR 9618–9619
3/13/2012	Determination of Endangered Status for the Sheepnose and Spectaclecase Mussels Throughout Their Range.	Final Listing Endangered	77 FR 14914–14949
4/2/2012	12-month Finding on a Petition to List the San Francisco Bay-Delta Population of the Longfin Smelt as Endangered or Threatened.	Notice of 12-month petition find-	77 FR 19756—19797
4/6/2012	Listing of the Miami Blue Butterfly as Endangered Throughout Its Range; Listing of the Cassius Blue, Ceraunus Blue, and Nickerbean Blue Butterflies as Threatened Due to Similarity of Appearance to the Miami Blue Butterfly in Coastal South and Central Florida.	ing, Warranted but precluded. Final Listing Endangered	77 FR 20948–20986
4/12/2012	90-Day Finding on a Petition to List Either the Eastern Population or the Southern Rocky Mountain Population of the Boreal Toad	Notice of 90-day Petition Finding, Substantial.	77 FR 21920–21936
4/17/2012	as an Endangered or Threatened Distinct Population Segment. Determination of Endangered Status for Three Forks Springsnail and Threatened Status for San Bernardino Springsnail Throughout Their Ranges and Designation of Critical Habitat for Both Species.	Final Listing Endangered and Threatened.	77 FR 23060–23092
4/26/2012	90-Day Finding on a Petition to List <i>Aliciella formosa</i> (Aztec gilia) as Endangered or Threatened with Critical Habitat.	Notice of 90-day Petition Finding, Not substantial.	77 FR 24908–24915
5/1/2012	12-Month Finding on a Petition To List the Sonoran Desert Area Bald Eagle as Threatened or Endangered.	Notice of 12-month petition finding, Not warranted.	77 FR 25792–25828
5/10/2012	12-Month Finding on a Petition to List the Arapahoe Snowfly as Threatened or Endangered.	Notice of 12-month petition finding, Warranted but Precluded.	77 FR 27386—27403
5/10/2012	90-Day Finding on a Petition to List the Eastern Diamondback Rat- tlesnake as Threatened.	Notice of 90-day Petition Finding, Substantial.	77 FR 27403—27411
5/15/2012	Threatened Status for <i>Eriogonum codium</i> (Umtanum Desert Buckwheat) and <i>Physaria douglasii</i> subsp. <i>tuplashensis</i> (White Bluffs Bladderpod) and Designation of Critical Habitat.	Proposed Listing Threatened	77 FR 28704–28740
6/5/2012	90-Day Finding on a Petition to List the Southern White-Tailed Ptarmigan and the Mt. Rainier White-Tailed Ptarmigan as Threatened with Critical Habitat.	Notice of 90-day Petition Finding, Substantial.	77 FR 33143–33155
6/11/2012	Listing 38 Species on Molokai, Lanai, and Maui as Endangered and Designating Critical Habitat on Molokai, Lanai, Maui, and Kahoolawe for 135 Species.	Proposed Listing Endangered	77 FR 34464–34775
6/19/2012 6/21/2012	Withdrawal of the Proposed Rule to List Dunes Sagebrush Lizard 90-Day Finding on a Petition to List the Black-capped Petrel as Endangered or Threatened.	Proposed Listing Withdrawal Notice of 90-day Petition Finding, Substantial.	77 FR 36871–36899 77 FR 37367–37373
7/5/2012	90-Day Finding on a Petition to List <i>Maytenus cymosa</i> as Endangered or Threatened.	Notice of 90-day Petition Finding, Not substantial.	77 FR 39666–39670
7/5/2012	90-Day Finding on a Petition to List a Distinct Population Segment of the American Black Bear in Nevada as Endangered or Threat-	Notice of 90-day Petition Finding, Not substantial.	77 FR 39670–39674
7/12/2012	ened. Determination of Endangered Status for the Chupadera Springsnail and Designation of Critical Habitat.	Final Listing Endangered	77 FR 41088–41106
7/18/2012	12-Month Finding on a Petition to List Six Sand Dune Beetles as Endangered or Threatened.	Notice of 12-month petition finding, Not warranted.	77 FR 42238–42251
7/24/2012	90-Day Finding on a Petition to List the Sonoran talussnail as Endangered or Threatened.	Notice of 90-day Petition Finding, Substantial.	77 FR 43218–43222
7/26/2012	90-Day Finding on a Petition to List the Gila Mayfly as Endangered	Notice of 90-day Petition Finding, Substantial.	77 FR 43799–43803
7/26/2012	Endangered Status for the Diamond Darter and Designation of Critical Habitat.	Proposed Listing Endangered	77 FR 43905–43939
8/7/2012	90-Day Finding on Petitions to List the Two Spring Mountains Dark Blue Butterflies and Morand's Checkerspot Butterfly as Endan-	Notice of 90-day Petition Finding, Not-substantial and Substantial.	77 FR 47003–47011
8/8/2012	gered or Threatened. 90-Day Finding on a Petition to List Graptopetalum bartramii (Bartram Stonecrop) and Pectis imberbis (Beardless Chinch Weed)	Notice of 90-day Petition Finding, Substantial.	77 FR 47352–47356
8/9/2012	as Endangered or Threatened and Designate Critical Habitat. 90-Day Finding on a Petition to List Desert Massasauga as Endangered or Threatened and to Designate Critical Habitat.	Notice of 90-day Petition Finding, Substantial.	77 FR 47583–47587
8/15/2012	90-Day Finding on a Petition to List the Bicknell's Thrush (Catharus bicknelli) as Endangered or Threatened.	Notice of 90-day Petition Finding, Substantial.	77 FR 48934–48947
8/16/2012	Endangered Status for Six West Texas Aquatic Invertebrate Species and Designation of Critical Habitat.	Proposed Listing and Critical Habitat Endangered.	77 FR 49601–49651
8/17/2012	Determination of Status for the Gierisch Mallow and Designation of Critical Habitat.	Proposed Listing and Critical Habitat Endangered.	77 FR 49893–49919

FY 2012 COMPLETED LISTING ACTIONS 1—Continued

Publication date	Title	Actions	FR Pages
8/22/2012	Endangered Status for Four Central Texas Salamanders and Des-	Proposed Listing and Critical	77 FR 50767–50854
8/28/2012	ignation of Critical Habitat. 12-Month Finding on a Petition to List the Bay Skipper as Endanger of Threstoned	Habitat Endangered. Notice of 12-month petition finding, Not warranted.	77 FR 51958–51964
8/29/2012	gered or Threatened. 90-Day Finding on a Petition to List <i>Mimulus gemmiparus</i> (Rocky Mountain monkeyflower) as Endangered or Threatened and to Designate Critical Habitat.	Notice of 90-day Petition Finding, Substantial.	77 FR 52293–52300
8/29/2012	90-Day Finding on a Petition to List the Prince of Wales Flying Squirrel as Threatened or Endangered.	Notice of 90-day Petition Finding, Not substantial.	77 FR 52301–52308
8/30/2012	12-Month Finding on a Petition to List the Platte River Caddisfly as Endangered or Threatened.	Notice of 12-month petition finding, Not warranted.	77 FR 52650–52673
9/4/2012	12-Month Finding on a Petition To List Four Subspecies of Great Basin Butterflies as Endangered or Threatened Species.	Notice of 12-month petition find- ing, Not warranted.	77 FR 54293–54329
9/4/2012	12-Month Finding on a Petition to List the Mardon Skipper as Threatened or Endangered.	Notice of 12-month petition find- ing, Not warranted.	77 FR 54331–54352
9/5/2012	90-Day Finding on a Petition to List the Eagle Lake Rainbow Trout as an Endangered or Threatened Species.	Notice of 90-day Petition Finding, Substantial.	77 FR 54548–54553
9/5/2012	Determination of Endangered Status for Arctostaphylos franciscana (Franciscan manzanita) Throughout Its Range.	Final Listing Endangered	77 FR 54434–54450
9/11/2012	Determination of Status for Texas Golden Gladecress and Neches River Rose-mallow and Designation of Critical Habitat.	Proposed Listing Endangered, Threatened.	77 FR 55967–56026
9/12/2012	Proposed Endangered Status for the Jemez Mountains Salamander and Proposed Designation of Critical Habitat.	Proposed Listing Endangered	77 FR 56481–56513
9/18/2012	12-Month Finding on a Petition to List 14 Aquatic Mollusks as Endangered or Threatened.	Notice of 12-month petition find- ing, Not warranted.	77 FR 57647–57862
9/18/2012	Endangered Status for 23 Species on Oahu and Designation of Critical Habitat for 124 Species.	Final Listing Endangered	77 FR 57921–57948
9/27/2012	Proposed Listing of the Mount Charleston Blue Butterfly as Endangered and Proposed Listing of Five Blue Butterflies as Threatened Due to Similarity of Appearance.	Proposed Listing Endangered	77 FR 59517–59540
9/27/2012	Endangered Status for Grotto Sculpin and Designation of Critical Habitat.	Proposed Listing Endangered	77 FR 59487–59515
9/27/2012	12-Month Finding on a Petition to List Spring Mountains Acastus Checkerspot Butterfly as an Endangered or Threatened Species.	Notice of 12-month petition finding, Not warranted.	77 FR 59357–59371
10/2/2012	Proposed Threatened Status for Coral Pink Sand Dunes Tiger Beetle and Designation of Critical Habitat.	Proposed Listing Threatened	77 FR 60207–60235
10/2/2012	12-Month Petition Finding, Listing of the Spring Pygmy Sunfish as Threatened, and Designation of Critical Habitat.	Notice of 12-month petition find- ing, Warranted Proposed List- ing Threatened.	77 FR 60179–60206
10/3/2012	12-month Finding for the Lemmon Fleabane; Endangered Status for the Acuña Cactus and the Fickeisen Plains Cactus and Designation of Critical Habitat.	Notice of 12-month petition find- ing, Not warranted Proposed Listing Endangered.	77 FR 60509–60579
10/4/2012 10/4/2012	Proposed Endangered Species Status for the Florida Bonneted Bat Determination of Endangered Species Status for Coquí Llanero	Proposed Listing Endangered Final Listing Endangered	77 FR 60749–60776 77 FR 60777–60802
10/4/2012	Throughout Its Range and Designation of Critical Habitat. Endangered Species Status for the Fluted Kidneyshell and Slabside	Proposed Listing Endangered	77 FR 60803–60882
10/9/2012	Pearlymussel and Designation of Critical Habitat. 12-Month Finding on Petitions to List the Mexican Gray Wolf as an Endangered Subspecies or Distinct Population Segment with Critical Habitat.	Notice of 12-month petition finding, Not warranted.	77 FR 61375–61377
10/10/2012	Determination of Endangered Species Status for the Alabama Pearlshell, Round Ebonyshell, Southern Kidneyshell, and Choc- taw Bean, and Threatened Species Status for the Tapered Pigtoe, Narrow Pigtoe, Southern Sandshell, and Fuzzy Pigtoe,	Final Listing Endangered and Threatened.	77 FR 61663–61719
10/11/2012	and Designation of Critical Habitat. Endangered Species Status for Cape Sable Thoroughwort, Florida Semaphore Cactus, and Aboriginal Prickly-apple, and Designation of Critical Habitat for Cape Sable Thoroughwort	Proposed Listing Endangered	77 FR 61835–61894
10/11/2012	tion of Critical Habitat for Cape Sable Thoroughwort. Listing Taylor's Checkerspot Butterfly and Streaked Horned Lark and Designation of Critical Habitat.	Proposed Listing Endangered and Threatened.	77 FR 61937–62058
10/16/2012	Proposed Endangered Status for the Neosho Mucket, Threatened Status for the Rabbitsfoot, and Designation of Critical Habitat for Both Species.	Proposed Listing Endangered and Threatened.	77 FR 63439–63536
10/17/2012	Listing 15 Species on Hawaii Island as Endangered and Designating Critical Habitat for 3 Species.	Proposed Listing Endangered	77 FR 63927–64018

¹ While some of these actions were published in FY 13, they were all completed and submitted to the **Federal Register** in FY 12.

Our expeditious progress also included work on listing actions that we funded in previous fiscal years and in FY 2012 but have not yet been completed to date. For these species, we have completed the first step, and have

been working on the second step, necessary for adding species to the Lists. These actions are listed below. Actions in the top section of the table are being conducted under a deadline set by a court through a court order or settlement agreement. Actions in the lower section of the table are being conducted to meet statutory timelines, that is, timelines required under the Act

ACTIONS FUNDED IN PREVIOUS FYS AND IN FY 2012 BUT NOT YET COMPLETED

Species	Action				
Actions Subject to Court Order/Settlement Agreement					
Red knot (LPN = 3)	Proposed listing. Proposed listing.				
Actions With Statutory Deadlines					
Ashy storm-petrel Alexander Archipelago wolf Sphinx date palm (Phoenix dactylifera cv. Sphinx) Black-backed woodpecker	12-month petition finding. 90-day petition finding. 90-day petition finding 90-day petition finding.				

We also funded work on resubmitted petitions findings for 172 candidate species (species petitioned prior to the last CNOR). In our resubmitted petition finding for the Columbia Basin population of the greater sage-grouse in this notice, although we completed a new analysis of the threats facing the species, we did not include new information, as the significance of the Columbia Basin DPS to the greater sagegrouse will require further review and we will update our finding when we resolve the status of the greater sagegrouse at a later date (see 75 FR 13910; March 23, 2010). We also did not include an updated assessment form as part of our resubmitted petition findings for the 29 candidate species for which we are preparing proposed listing determinations. However, for both the Columbia Basin DPS to the greater sagegrouse and for the other resubmitted petition findings, in the course of preparing proposed listing determinations, we continue to monitor new information about their status so that we can make prompt use of our authority under Section 4(b)(7) in the case of an emergency posing a significant risk to the well-being of any of these candidate species; see summaries below regarding publication of these determinations (these species will remain on the candidate list until a proposed listing rule is published). We also funded revised 12-month petition

findings for the candidate species that we are removing from candidate status, which are being published as part of this CNOR (see Candidate Removals). Because the majority of these petitioned species were already candidate species prior to our receipt of a petition to list them, we had already assessed their status using funds from our Candidate Conservation Program, so we continue to monitor the status of these species through our Candidate Conservation Program. The cost of updating the species assessment forms and publishing the joint publication of the CNOR and resubmitted petition findings is shared between the Listing Program and the Candidate Conservation Program.

During FY 2012, we also funded work on resubmitted petition findings for uplisting two listed species (Delta smelt and *Sclerocactus brevispinus* (Pariette cactus)), for which we had previously received a petition and made a warranted-but-precluded finding.

Another way that we have been expeditious in making progress to add qualified species to the Lists is that we have endeavored to make our listing actions as efficient and timely as possible, given the requirements of the relevant law and regulations, and constraints relating to workload and personnel. We are continually considering ways to streamline processes or achieve economies of scale, such as by batching related actions

together. Given our limited budget for implementing section 4 of the ESA, these efforts also contribute towards finding that we are making expeditious progress to add qualified species to the Lists.

Although we have not been able to resolve the listing status of many of the candidates, several programs in the Service contribute to the conservation of these species. In particular, the Candidate Conservation Program, which is separately budgeted, focuses on providing technical expertise for developing conservation strategies and agreements to guide voluntary on-theground conservation work for candidate and other at-risk species. The main goal of this program is to address the threats facing candidate species. Through this program, we work with our partners (other Federal agencies, State agencies, Tribes, local governments, private landowners, and private conservation organizations) to address the threats to candidate species and other species atrisk. We are currently working with our partners to implement voluntary conservation agreements for more than 142 species covering 5.5 million ac of habitat. In some instances, the sustained implementation of strategically designed conservation efforts culminates in making listing unnecessary for species that are candidates for listing or for which listing has been proposed.

Findings for Petitioned Candidate Species

Below are updated summaries for petitioned candidates for which we published findings, under section 4(b)(3)(B). We are making continued warranted-but-precluded 12-month findings on the petitions for these species (for our revised 12-month petition findings for species that we are removing from candidate status, see summaries above under Candidate Removals).

Mammals

Pacific sheath-tailed bat, American Samoa DPS (Emballonura semicaudata semicaudata) — The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. This small bat is a member of the Emballonuridae, an Old World bat family that has an extensive distribution, primarily in the tropics. The Pacific sheath-tailed bat was once common and widespread in Polynesia and Micronesia, and it is the only insectivorous bat recorded from a large part of this area. The species as a whole (E. semicaudata) occurred on several of the Caroline Islands (Palau, Chuuk, and Pohnpei), Samoa (Independent and American), the Mariana Islands (Guam and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (CNMI)), Tonga, Fiji, and Vanuatu. While populations appear to be healthy in some locations, mainly in the Caroline Islands, they have declined substantially in other areas, including Independent and American Samoa, the Mariana Islands, Fiji, and possibly Tonga. Scientists recognize four subspecies: Emballonura s. rotensis, endemic to the Mariana Islands (Guam and the CNMI); E. s. sulcata, occurring in Chuuk and Pohnpei; E. s. palauensis, found in Palau; and E. s. semicaudata, occurring in American and Independent Samoa, Tonga, Fiji, and Vanuatu. The candidate assessment form addresses the distinct population segment (DPS) of E. s. semicaudata that occurs in American Samoa.

Emballonura s. semicaudata
historically occurred in American and
Independent Samoa, Tonga, Fiji, and
Vanuatu. It is extant in Fiji and Tonga,
but may be extirpated from Vanuatu and
Independent Samoa. There is some
concern that it is also extirpated from
American Samoa, the location of this
DPS, where surveys are currently
ongoing to ascertain its status. The
factors that led to the decline of this
subspecies and the DPS are poorly
understood; however, current threats to

this subspecies and the DPS include habitat loss, predation by introduced species, and its small population size and distribution, which make the taxon extremely vulnerable to extinction due to typhoons and similar natural catastrophes. Thus, the threats are high in magnitude. The Pacific sheath-tailed bat may also be susceptible to disturbance to roosting caves. The LPN for *E. s. semicaudata* is 3 because the magnitude of the threats is high; the threats are ongoing, and therefore, imminent; and the taxon is a distinct population segment of a subspecies.

Pacific sheath-tailed bat (Emballonura semicaudata rotensis), Guam and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (CNMI)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. This small bat is a member of the Emballonuridae, an Old World bat family that has an extensive distribution, primarily in the tropics. The Pacific sheath-tailed bat was once common and widespread in Polynesia and Micronesia, and it is the only insectivorous bat recorded from a large part of this area. Emballonura s. rotensis is historically known from the Mariana Islands and formerly occurred on Guam and in the CNMI on Rota, Aguiguan, Tinian (known from prehistoric records only), Saipan, and possibly Anatahan and Maug. Currently, E. s. rotensis appears to be extirpated from all but one island in the Mariana archipelago. The single remaining population of this subspecies occurs on Aguiguan, CNMI.

Threats to this subspecies have not changed over the past year. The primary threats to the subspecies are ongoing habitat loss and degradation as a result of feral goat (Capra hircus) activity on the island of Aguiguan and the taxon's small population size and limited distribution. Predation by nonnative species and human disturbance are also potential threats to the subspecies. The subspecies is believed near the point where stochastic events, such as typhoons, are increasingly likely to affect its continued survival. The disappearance of the remaining population on Aguiguan would result in the extinction of the subspecies. Thus, the threats are high in magnitude. The LPN for *E. s. rotensis* remains at 3 because the magnitude of the threats is high; the threats are ongoing, and therefore, imminent; and the taxon is a subspecies.

New England cottontail (*Sylvilagus* transitionalis) — The following summary is based on information contained in our files and information

received in response to our notice published on June 30, 2004, when we announced our 90-day petition finding and initiation of a status review (69 FR 39395). We received the petition on August 30, 2000.

The New England cottontail (NEC) is a medium to large-sized cottontail rabbit that may reach 1,000 grams (g) in weight, and is one of two species within the genus Sylvilagus occurring in New England. The NEC is considered a habitat specialist, because it is dependent upon early-successional habitats typically described as thickets. The species is the only endemic cottontail in New England. Historically, the NEC occurred in seven States and ranged from southeastern New York (east of the Hudson River) north through the Champlain Valley, southern Vermont, the southern half of New Hampshire, and southern Maine and south throughout Massachusetts, Connecticut, and Rhode Island. The current range of the NEC has declined substantially, and occurrences have become increasingly separated. The species' distribution is fragmented into five apparently isolated metapopulations. The area occupied by the cottontail has contracted from approximately 90,000 square kilometers (km²) to 12,180 km². Surveys indicate that the long-term decline in NEC continues. For example, surveys for the species in 2009 documented the presence of NEC in 7 of the 23 New Hampshire locations that were known to be occupied in 2002 and 2003. Similarly, surveys in Maine found the species no longer present in 9 of the 19 towns identified in an extensive survey that spanned the years 2000 to 2004. Similar surveys were conducted during the winter of 2010–2011 in Rhode Island. Rangewide, it is estimated that less than one-third of the occupied sites occur on lands in conservation status and fewer than 10 percent are being managed for early-successional forest species.

The primary threat to the NEC is loss of habitat through succession and alteration. Isolation of occupied patches by areas of unsuitable habitat and high predation rates are resulting in local extirpation of NECs from small patches. The range of the NEC has contracted by 75 percent or more since 1960, and current land uses in the region indicate that the rate of change, about 2 percent range loss per year, will continue. Additional threats include competition for food and habitat with introduced eastern cottontails and large numbers of native white-tailed deer, inadequate regulatory mechanisms to protect habitat, and mortality from predation.

The magnitude of the threats continues to be high, because they occur rangewide and have a negative effect on the population size and survival of the species. Although conservation measures that address the threats to the species are being developed, they are not yet in place, and there is not yet any indication that they are having an effect on the magnitude of the species. The threats are imminent because they are ongoing. Thus, we retained an LPN of 2 for this species.

Fisher, West Coast DPS (Martes pennanti)—The following summary is based on information in our files and in the Service's initial warranted-butprecluded finding published in the Federal Register on April 8, 2004 (69 FR 18770). The fisher is a carnivore in the family Mustelidae and is the largest member of the genus *Martes*. Historically, the West Coast population of the fisher extended south from British Columbia into western Washington and Oregon, and in the North Coast Ranges, Klamath-Siskiyou Mountains, and Sierra Nevada in California, Because of a lack of detections with standardized survey efforts over much of the fisher's historical range, the fisher is believed to be extirpated or reduced to scattered individuals from the lower mainland of British Columbia through Washington and northern Oregon and in the central and northern Sierra Nevada in California. Extant populations of native fisher are isolated to the North Coast and Klamath-Siskiyou Mountains of northern California and southwestern Oregon, and the southern Sierra Nevada in California. Descendants of a fisher reintroduction effort also occur in the southern Cascades in Oregon. Two recent reintroduction efforts in Olympic National Park in Washington and in the northern Sierra Nevada in California have completed the movement and release of fishers to their respective study areas. Several years of monitoring are still needed to determine if these will become successfully-established populations.

Estimates of fisher numbers in native populations of the West Coast DPS vary widely. A rigorous monitoring program is lacking for the native northern California-southwestern Oregon and reintroduced southern Oregon Cascades populations, making estimates of fisher numbers for these two populations difficult. The fisher monitoring program in the southern Sierra Nevada population has provided preliminary estimates indicating no decline in the index of abundance within the monitored portion of the population. The two populations of native fisher in the northern California-southwestern

Oregon and southern Sierra Nevada are separated by several times greater than the species' maximum dispersal distance. The extant fisher populations are either small (southern Sierra Nevada and southern Oregon Cascades) and isolated from one another or both.

Major threats that fragment or remove key elements of fisher habitat include various forest vegetation management practices such as timber harvest and fuels reduction treatments. Other potential major threats in portions of the range include: large stand-replacing wildfires, changes in forest composition and structure related to the effects of climate change, forest and fuels management, and urban and rural development. Threats to fishers that lead to direct mortality and injury include: collisions with vehicles; predation; rodenticides; and viral borne diseases such as rabies, parvovirus, and canine distemper virus. Existing regulatory mechanisms on Federal, State, and private lands do not provide sufficient protection for the key elements of fisher habitat, or the certainty that conservation efforts will be implemented or effective. The magnitude of threats is high as they occur across the range of the DPS, resulting in a negative impact on fisher distribution and abundance. However, the threats are nonimminent as the greatest long-term risks to the fisher in its west coast range are the subsequent ramifications of the isolation of small populations and their interactions with the listed threats. Therefore, we assigned an LPN of 6 to this DPS.

New Mexico meadow jumping mouse (Zapus hudsonius luteus)—We continue to find that listing this species is warranted but precluded as of the date of publication of this notice. However, we are working on a proposed listing rule that we expect to publish prior to making the next annual resubmitted petition 12-month finding. In the course of preparing the proposed listing rule, we are continuing to monitor new information about this species' status so that we can make prompt use of our authority under Section 4(b)(7) in the case of an emergency posing a significant risk to the species.

Mazama pocket gopher (Thomomys mazama ssp. couchi, douglasii, glacialis, louiei, melanops, pugetensis, tacomensis, tumuli, yelmensis)—We continue to find that listing these subspecies is warranted but precluded as of the date of publication of this notice. However, we are working on a proposed listing rule that we expect to publish prior to making the next annual resubmitted petition 12-month finding. In the course of preparing the proposed

listing rule, we are continuing to monitor new information about this species' status so that we can make prompt use of our authority under Section 4(b)(7) in the case of an emergency posing a significant risk to the species.

Canada lynx, within the State of New Mexico (Lynx canadensis)—In our finding of December 17, 2009 (74 FR 66937), we determined that adding the lynx in New Mexico to the listing of the lynx DPS was warranted, because the lynx is now present in the State as a result of the Colorado reintroduction effort, and we assigned an LPN of 12 to amending the listing of lynx to include New Mexico. We reconfirm that assigning an LPN of 12 is appropriate based on nonimminent threats of a low magnitude. The threats to the lvnx in New Mexico from human-caused mortality are low in magnitude, because they do not occur at a level that creates a significant threat to the lynx DPS in the contiguous United States. We do not consider lynx in New Mexico, or its habitat in New Mexico, to be essential to the survival or recovery of the DPS; as a result, neither human-caused mortality nor habitat modification in New Mexico creates a significant threat to the lynx DPS in the contiguous United States. Potential impacts to the habitat in New Mexico have not been documented to threaten lynx, either in New Mexico or outside of it. The amount of suitable habitat for lynx in New Mexico is considered negligible relative to the amount of habitat within the listed range, and the majority of lynx habitats within the contiguous United States are already protected by the ESA. The threats are also nonimminent, because they occur infrequently. Because lynx in the lower 48 States are already listed as a DPS and conditions affecting the lynx in New Mexico are neither imminent nor of sufficient magnitude to pose a threat to the lynx DPS throughout the contiguous United States, the appropriate LPN for this level of magnitude and immediacy of threats for a DPS is 12.

Gunnison's prairie dog (Cynomys gunnisoni)—Gunnison's prairie dogs occur in Arizona, Colorado, New Mexico, and Utah. In our February 5, 2008, 12-month finding (73 FR 6660), we determined that listing the Gunnison prairie dog was warranted but precluded, with an LPN of 2, due to threats in a significant portion of its range—the montane portion of the species' range within Colorado and New Mexico—where the effects from plague and other factors threaten those populations. This finding was challenged by WildEarth Guardians in

September of 2008. On September 30, 2010, the Court set aside our 2008 finding and remanded the matter back to us for further action. The Court found that we arbitrarily and capriciously "determined that something other than a species was an endangered or threatened species which warranted listing." In response to the decision of the Court, we will reevaluate the status of the Gunnison's prairie dog and deliver a revised 12-month finding to the **Federal Register**. However, we are currently unable to complete a status review due to budget and workload limitations. Furthermore, initiating a revised status review for the species would be premature at this time because of a significant ongoing genetics study initiated by Colorado Parks and Wildlife (CPW) (formerly the Colorado Division of Wildlife) along with researchers at the University of Colorado Boulder addressing Gunnison's prairie dog taxonomy. This work will be essential in determining whether or not Gunnison's prairie dogs in the montane and prairie portions of the species' range constitute two subspecies. We anticipate the analysis of these genetic data will likely be completed by late 2012 and we will evaluate the information thereafter. It is critical for us to consider this potentially significant taxonomic revision in our revised status review after the CPW releases its final genetics report. Gunnison's prairie dogs will remain a candidate within the montane portion of their range until we complete this analysis.

Southern Idaho ground squirrel (Spermophilus brunneus endemicus)-The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. The southern Idaho ground squirrel is endemic to 4 counties in southwest Idaho; its total known range is approximately 426,000 ha (1,050,000 ac). Threats to southern Idaho ground squirrels include: habitat degradation and fragmentation; direct killing from shooting, trapping, or poisoning; predation; competition with other ground squirrel species; and inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms. Habitat degradation and fragmentation appear to be the primary threats to the species. Nonnative annuals such as Bromus tectorum (cheatgrass) and Taeniatherum caput-medusae (medusahead) now dominate much of this species' range and have altered the fire regime by accelerating the frequency of wildfire. Nonnative annuals provide inconsistent forage quality for southern

Idaho ground squirrels as compared to native vegetation. Habitat deterioration, destruction, and fragmentation contribute to the current patchy distribution of southern Idaho ground squirrels. Some human-altered landscapes, such as golf courses and row crops of alfalfa, provide alternative habitats that maintain high densities of southern Idaho ground squirrels. However, high densities of ground squirrels in agricultural fields sometimes cause crop damage, which results in reduced tolerance of the species by local landowners.

One programmatic Candidate Conservation Agreement with Assurances (CCAA) has been completed for this species; it includes conservation measures that minimize grounddisturbing activities, allow for the investigation of methods to restore currently degraded habitat, provide additional protection to southern Idaho ground squirrels from recreational shooting and other direct killing on enrolled lands, and allow for the translocation of squirrels to or from enrolled lands, if necessary. The acreage enrolled through the CCAA encompasses approximately 9 percent of the known range of the species. While the ongoing conservation efforts have helped to reduce the magnitude of threats to moderate, habitat degradation remains the primary threat to the species throughout most of its range. This threat is imminent due to the ongoing and increasing prevalence of nonnative vegetation, and the current patchy distribution of the species. Thus, we assign an LPN of 9 to this subspecies.

Washington ground squirrel (Spermophilus washingtoni) — The following summary is based on information contained in our files and in the petition we received on March 2, 2000. The Washington ground squirrel is endemic to the Deschutes-Columbia Plateau sagebrush-steppe and grassland communities in north-central Oregon and south-central Washington. Although historically abundant and widespread, approximately two-thirds of its total historical range has been converted to agricultural and residential uses. The most contiguous, least disturbed expanse of suitable habitat within the species' range occurs on land in Oregon owned by Boeing, Inc., and on the Naval Weapons Systems Training Facility near Boardman, Oregon. In Washington, the largest area of suitable habitat occurs on State and Federal

Agricultural, residential, and wind power development, among other forms of development, continue to eliminate

Washington ground squirrel habitat in portions of its range. Throughout much of its range, Washington ground squirrels are threatened by the establishment and spread of invasive plant species, particularly cheatgrass (Bromus tectorum), which alter available cover and food quantity and quality, and increase fire frequency. Additional threats include habitat fragmentation, recreational shooting, genetic isolation and drift, and predation. Potential threats include disease, drought, and possible competition with related species in disturbed habitat at the periphery of the Washington ground squirrel's range.

In Oregon, some threats are being addressed as a result of the State's listing the species as endangered under the Oregon State Endangered Species Act (OESA), and by implementation of the Threemile Canyon Farms Multi-Species CCAA. In Washington, there are currently no formal agreements with private landowners or with State or Federal agencies to protect the Washington ground squirrel. Additionally, no State or Federal management plans have been developed to specifically address the needs of the species. Since current and potential threats are widespread, and, in some priority areas, could significantly affect the survival of the species, we conclude the magnitude of threats remains high. The Washington ground squirrel has both imminent and nonimminent threats. At a rangewide scale, we conclude the threats are nonimminent based largely on the following: The Threemile Canyon Farms Multi-Species CCAA addressed the imminent loss of a large portion of habitat to agriculture; there are currently no other large-scale efforts to convert suitable habitat to agriculture; and wind power project impacts can be minimized through compliance with the OESA and the Columbia Basin Ecoregion wind energy siting and permitting guidelines. We also consider the potential development of shooting ranges on the Naval Weapons Systems Training Facility as nonimminent because the proposed action is still under development and we are unable to assess its timing and impact, which could be minimized through compliance with the OESA. We, therefore, have retained an LPN of 5 for this species.

Red tree vole, north Oregon coast DPS (*Arborimus longicaudus*)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files and in our initial warranted-but-precluded finding, published in the **Federal Register** on October 13, 2011 (76 FR 63720). Red tree voles are small, mouse-

sized rodents that live in conifer forests and spend almost all of their time in the tree canopy. They are one of the few animals that can persist on a diet of conifer needles, which is their principal food. Red tree voles are endemic to the humid, coniferous forests of western Oregon (generally west of the crest of the Cascade Range) and northwestern California (north of the Klamath River). The north Oregon coast DPS of the red tree vole comprises that portion of the Oregon Coast Range from the Columbia River south to the Siuslaw River. Red tree voles demonstrate strong selection for nesting in older conifer forests, which are now relatively rare across the DPS; they avoid nesting in younger

Although data are not available to rigorously assess population trends, information from retrospective surveys indicates red tree voles have declined in the DPS and no longer occur, or are now scarce, in areas where they were once relatively abundant. Older forests that provide habitat for red tree voles are limited and highly fragmented, while ongoing forest practices in much of the DPS maintain the remaining patches of older forest in a highly fragmented and isolated condition. Modeling indicates only 11 percent within the area of the DPS currently contains tree vole habitat, largely restricted to the 22 percent of the area that is under Federal ownership. Existing regulatory mechanisms on State and private lands are inadequate to prevent continued harvest of forest stands at a scale and extent that would be meaningful for conserving red tree voles. Biological characteristics of red tree voles, such as small home ranges, limited dispersal distances, and low reproductive potential, limits their ability to respond to and persist in areas of extensive habitat loss and alteration. These biological characteristics also make it difficult for the tree voles to recolonize isolated habitat patches. Due to its reduced distribution, the red tree vole is now vulnerable to random environmental disturbances that may remove or further isolate large blocks of already limited habitat, and to extirpation within the DPS from such factors as genetic variability, inbreeding depression, and demographic stochasticity. Although the entire population is experiencing threats, the impact is less pronounced on Federal lands where much of the red tree vole habitat remains. Hence, the magnitude of threats is moderate to low. The threats are imminent because they are currently occurring within the DPS. Therefore, we have assigned the red tree

vole north Oregon coast DPS an LPN of 9.

Pacific walrus (Odobenus rosmarus divergens)—The following information is based on information in our files and our warranted-but-precluded 12-month petition finding published on February 10, 2011 (76 FR 7634). The Pacific walrus is an ice-dependent species found across the continental shelf waters of the northern Bering and Chukchi Seas. Unlike seals that can remain in the water indefinitely, walrus must haulout onto ice or land periodically. Pacific walrus is a traditional and important source of food and products to native Alaskans, especially those living on Saint Lawrence Island, and to native Russians.

Annually, walrus migrate up to 1,500 km (932 mi) between winter breeding areas in the sub-Arctic (northern Bering Sea) and summer foraging areas in the Arctic. Historically, the females and calves remained on pack ice over the continental shelf of the Chukchi Sea throughout the summer, using it as a platform for resting after making shallow foraging dives for invertebrates on the sea floor. Sea ice also provides isolation from disturbance and terrestrial predators such as polar bears. Since 1979, the extent of summer Arctic sea ice has declined. The four lowest records of minimum sea ice extent occurred from 2007 to 2011. Based on the best scientific information available, we anticipate that sea ice will retreat northward off the Chukchi continental shelf for 1 to 5 months every year in the foreseeable future.

When the ice melts beyond the limits of the continental shelf (and the ability of the walrus to obtain food), thousands of walrus congregate at coastal haulouts. Although coastal haulouts have historically provided a place to rest, the aggregation of so many animals, in particular females and calves, at this time of year has increased in the last 5 years. Not only are the number of animals more concentrated at coastal haulouts than on widely dispersed sea ice, but also the probability of disturbance from humans and terrestrial animals is much higher. Disturbances at coastal haulouts cause stampedes, leading to mortalities and injuries. In addition, because of the amount of food these large animals need, there is also concern that the concentration of animals will cause local prey depletion leading to longer foraging trips, increased energy costs, and potential effects on female fitness and calf survival. We expect these effects to lead to a population decline.

We recognize that Pacific walrus face additional stressors from ocean warming, ocean acidification, disease, oil and gas exploration and development, increased shipping, commercial fishing, and subsistence harvest, but none rise to the level of a threat except subsistence harvest. We found that subsistence harvest will rise to the level of a threat if the population declines but harvest levels remain the same. Because the threat of sea ice loss is not having significant populationlevel effects currently, but is projected to, we determined the magnitude of this threat is moderate, not high. Because both the loss of sea ice habitat and subsistence harvest are presently occurring, these threats are imminent. Thus, we assigned an LPN of 9 to this subspecies.

North American wolverine, contiguous U.S. DPS (Gulo gulo luscus)—We continue to find that listing this species is warranted but precluded as of the date of publication of this notice. However, we are working on a proposed listing rule that we expect to publish prior to making the next annual resubmitted petition 12-month finding. In the course of preparing the proposed listing rule, we are continuing to monitor new information about this species' status so that we can make prompt use of our authority under Section 4(b)(7) in the case of an emergency posing a significant risk to the species.

Birds

Spotless crake, American Samoa DPS (Porzana tabuensis)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Porzana tabuensis is a small, dark, cryptic rail found in wetlands and rank scrublands or forests in the Philippines, Australia, Fiji, Tonga, Society Islands, Marquesas, Independent Samoa, and American Samoa (Ofu, Tau). The genus Porzana is widespread in the Pacific, where it is represented by numerous island-endemic and flightless species (many of which are extinct as a result of anthropogenic disturbances) as well as several more cosmopolitan species, including P. tabuensis. No subspecies of P. tabuensis are recognized.

The American Samoa population is the only population of spotless crakes under U.S. jurisdiction. The available information indicates that distinct populations of the spotless crake, a species not noted for long-distance dispersal, are definable. The population of spotless crakes in American Samoa is discrete in relation to the remainder of the species as a whole, which is distributed in widely separated locations. Although the spotless crake (and other rails) have dispersed widely in the Pacific, flight in island rails has atrophied or been completely lost over evolutionary time causing populations to become isolated (and vulnerable to terrestrial predators such as rats). The population of this species in American Samoa is therefore distinct based on geographic and distributional isolation from spotless crake populations on other islands in the oceanic Pacific, the Philippines, and Australia. The American Samoa population of the spotless crake links the Central and Eastern Pacific portions of the species' range. The loss of this population would result in an increase of roughly 500 mi (805 km) in the distance between the central and eastern Polynesian portions of the spotless crake's range, and could result in the isolation of the Marquesas and Society Islands populations by further limiting the potential for even rare genetic exchange. Based on the discreteness and significance of the American Samoa population of the spotless crake, we consider this population to be a distinct vertebrate population segment.

Threats to this population have not changed over the past year. The population in American Samoa is threatened by small population size, limited distribution, predation by nonnative and native animals, continued development of wetland habitat, and natural catastrophes such as hurricanes. The co-occurrence of a known predator of ground-nesting birds, the Norway rat (Rattus norvegicus), and native predators, the Pacific boa (Candoia bibroni) and the purple swamphen (Porphyrio porphyrio), along with the extremely restricted observed distribution and low numbers, indicate that the magnitude of the threats to the American Samoa DPS of the spotless crake continues to be high, because the threats significantly affect the species' survival. The threats are ongoing, and therefore imminent. Based on this assessment of existing information about the imminence and high magnitude of these threats, we assigned the spotless crake an LPN of 3.

Yellow-billed cuckoo, western U.S. DPS (*Coccyzus americanus*)—We continue to find that listing this species is warranted, but precluded as of the date of publication of this notice. However, we are working on a proposed listing rule that we expect to publish prior to making the next annual resubmitted petition 12-month finding. In the course of preparing the proposed listing rule, we are continuing to

monitor new information about this species' status so that we can make prompt use of our authority under Section 4(b)(7) in the case of an emergency posing a significant risk to the species.

Friendly ground-dove, American Samoa DPS (Gallicolumba stairi)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. The genus Gallicolumba is distributed throughout the Pacific and Southeast Asia. The genus is represented in the oceanic Pacific by six species: Three are endemic to Micronesian islands or archipelagos, two are endemic to island groups in French Polynesia, and G. stairi is endemic to Samoa, Tonga, and Fiji. Some authors recognize two subspecies of the friendly ground-dove, one, slightly smaller, in the Samoan archipelago (G. s. stairi), and one in Tonga and Fiji (G. s. vitiensis), but because morphological differences between the two are minimal, we are not recognizing separate subspecies at this time.

In American Samoa, the friendly ground-dove has been found on the islands of Ofu and Olosega (Manua Group). Threats to this subspecies have not changed over the past year. Predation by nonnative species and natural catastrophes such as hurricanes are the primary threats to the subspecies. Of these, predation by nonnative species is thought to be occurring now and likely has been occurring for several decades. This predation may be an important impediment to population growth. Predation by introduced species has played a significant role in reducing, limiting, and extirpating populations of island birds, especially ground-nesters like the friendly ground-dove, in the Pacific and other locations worldwide. Nonnative predators known or thought to occur in the range of the friendly ground-dove in American Samoa are feral cats (Felis catus), Polynesian rats (Rattus exulans), black rats (R. rattus), and Norway rats (R. norvegicus).

In January 2004 and February of 2005, hurricanes virtually destroyed the habitat of *G. stairi* in the area on Olosega Island where the species had been most frequently recorded. Although this species has evolved on islands subject to severe storms, this example illustrates the potential for natural disturbance to exacerbate the effect of anthropogenic disturbance on small populations. Consistent monitoring using a variety of methods over the last 5 years yielded few observations and no change in the relative abundance of this taxon in

American Samoa. The total population size is poorly known, but is unlikely to number more than a few hundred pairs. The distribution of the friendly ground-dove is limited to steep, forested slopes with an open understory and a substrate of fine scree or exposed earth; this habitat is not common in American Samoa. The threats are ongoing and therefore imminent, and the magnitude is moderate because relative abundance has remained unchanged for several years. Thus, we assign this DPS an LPN of 9.

Red knot (Calidris canutus rufa)—We continue to find that listing this species is warranted but precluded as of the date of publication of this notice. However, we are working on a proposed listing rule that we expect to publish prior to making the next annual resubmitted petition 12-month finding. In the course of preparing the proposed listing rule, we are continuing to monitor new information about this species' status so that we can make prompt use of our authority under Section 4(b)(7) in the case of an emergency posing a significant risk to the species.

Yellow-billed loon (Gavia adamsii)— The following summary is based on information contained in our files and the petition we received on April 5, 2004. The yellow-billed loon is a migratory bird. Solitary pairs breed on lakes in the arctic tundra of the United States, Russia, and Canada from June to September. During the remainder of the year, the species winters in more southern coastal waters of the Pacific Ocean and the Norway and North Seas.

The available information is not sufficient to dismiss subsistence harvest as a threat to the species. While subsistence harvest information, which has bias of unknown direction and magnitude, cannot be used to precisely estimate harvest, it indicates that tens to possibly low hundreds of vellow-billed loons are harvested throughout Alaska, Russia, and Canada annually. The available information suggests that the majority of harvest likely occurs during spring and fall migrations, as yellowbilled loons move along the coast of Alaska or through the Chukchi and Bering seas. As a result, what harvest actually is occurring is extracted from a migrant population that likely includes much of the species' total rangewide numbers of 16,000 to 32,000. Although uncertainty surrounding harvest levels, breeding-population composition of the migrant population, and total population size exists, the current information on subsistence harvest seems to indicate that a small proportion of the migrant population is

harvested each year. While it currently appears that fewer yellow-billed loons may be harvested than previously thought, we are continuing to gather data and refine model-based predictions to address the uncertainties regarding subsistence harvest and the effect it may have at the population level. Therefore, we conclude that subsistence harvest is a threat to the species.

Additionally, yellow-billed loons are subject to several stressors, including oil and gas exploration and development, marine pollution, the effects of climate change, the inadequacy of existing regulations, and fishing by-catch. While these stressors may not rise to the level of a threat individually, when taken collectively they could cause population-level effects.

The primary threat of subsistence harvest is currently occurring; therefore, the threat is imminent. The magnitude of subsistence harvest is moderate based on what we currently know about the level of harvest. Thus, we assigned the yellow-billed loon an LPN of 8.

Kittlitz's murrelet (Brachyramphus brevirostris)—We continue to find that listing this species is warranted but precluded as of the date of publication of this notice. However, we are working on a proposed listing rule that we expect to publish prior to making the next annual resubmitted petition 12month finding. In the course of preparing the proposed listing rule, we are continuing to monitor new information about this species' status so that we can make prompt use of our authority under Section 4(b)(7) in the case of an emergency posing a significant risk to the species.

Xantus's murrelet (*Synthliboramphus* hypoleucus)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files and the petition we received on April 16, 2002. The Xantus's murrelet is a small seabird in the family Alcidae that occurs along the west coast of North America in the United States, Mexico, and Canada. The species has a limited breeding distribution, only nesting on the Channel Islands in southern California and on islands off the west coast of Baja California, Mexico. Although data on population trends are scarce, scientists believe the population declined greatly over the last century, mainly due to introduced predators such as rats (Rattus sp.) and feral cats (Felis catus) to nesting islands, with possible extirpations on three islands in Mexico. A dramatic decline (up to 70 percent) from 1977 to 1991 was detected at the largest nesting colony in southern California, possibly due to high levels of predation on eggs by the endemic deer mouse (Peromyscus maniculatus

elusus). Identified threats include introduced predators at nesting colonies, oil spills and oil pollution, reduced prey availability, human disturbance, and artificial light pollution.

Although substantial declines in the Xantus's murrelet population likely occurred over the last century, some of the largest threats are being addressed and, to some degree, ameliorated. Declines and possible extirpations at several nesting colonies were thought to have been caused by nonnative predators, which have been removed from many of the islands where they once occurred. Most notably, since 1994, Island Conservation and Ecology Group has systematically removed rats, cats, and dogs from every murrelet nesting colony in Mexico, with the exception of cats and dogs on Guadalupe Island. In 2002, rats were eradicated from Anacapa Island in southern California, which has resulted in improvements in reproductive success at that island. In southern California, efforts to restore nesting habitat on Santa Barbara Island through the Montrose Settlements Restoration Project may benefit the Xantus's murrelet population at that island.

Artificial lighting from squid fishing and other vessels, or from lights on islands, remains a potential threat to the species. Bright lights make Xantus's murrelets more susceptible to predation, and they can also become disoriented and exhausted from continual attraction to bright lights. Chicks can become disoriented and separated from their parents at sea, which could result in death of the dependent chicks. Highwattage lights on commercial market squid (Loligo opalescens) fishing vessels used at night to attract squid to the surface of the water in the Channel Islands was the suspected cause of unusually high predation on Xantus's murrelets by western gulls (Larus occidentalis) and barn owls (Tyto alba) at Santa Barbara Island in 1999. To address this threat, in 2000, the California Fish and Game Commission required light shields and a limit of 30,000 watts per boat; it is unknown if this is sufficient to reduce impacts. Since 1999, no significant squid fishing has occurred near any of the colonies in the Channel Islands; however, this remains a potential future threat.

A proposal to build three liquid natural gas facilities near the Channel Islands could affect the nesting colonies due to bright lights at night from the facilities and visiting tanker vessels, noise from the facilities or from helicopters visiting the facilities, and the threat of oil spills associated with

visiting tanker vessels. However, these facilities are still early in the complex and long-term planning processes, and it is possible that none of these facilities will be built. In addition, none of them is directly adjacent to nesting colonies, where their impacts would be expected to be more significant. The threats from nonnative predators and artificial lighting are of a high magnitude because they have been sufficient to cause significant declines in the population. However, because of the efforts to eliminate nonnative predators and reduce artificial lighting, they are nonimminent. Therefore, we retained an LPN of 5 for this species.

Red-crowned parrot (Amazona viridigenalis)—The red-crowned parrot occurs in fragmented isolated habitat in the Mexican states of Veracruz, San Luis Potosi, Nuevo Leon, Tamaulipas, and northeast Queretaro; and in Hidalgo and Cameron Counties, Texas. Feral populations may also exist in southern California, Puerto Rico, Hawaii, and Florida and escaped birds have been reported in central Texas. The species generally occurs in tropical lowlands and foothills, inhabiting tropical deciduous forest, gallery forest, evergreen floodplain forest, Tamaulipan thornscrub, and semi-open areas; in Texas, the species is known to nest in cavities in the urban centers of town in palm species. Currently, the population of red-crowned parrots is extremely small (less than 5,000 individuals) and fragmented, and a large portion (approximately half) of the population occurs within the species' historical range in Mexico. The primary threats to the red-crowned parrot at this time include habitat loss, illegal capture for the pet trade, and the inadequacy of regulatory mechanisms that address those threats.

The primary threats to the redcrowned parrot are affecting a large portion of the species' population throughout the historical range of the species in Mexico. We consider the magnitude high because the current population is small, a large portion of the population is affected, and these factors may lead to extirpation in Mexico. Further, we have no information indicating the Lower Rio Grande Valley populations can persist in the absence of the Mexico populations. Threats to the red-crowned parrot are currently affecting populations and are expected to continue to occur in the future. Therefore, threats to the red-crowned parrot are imminent. As a result of the imminent, high magnitude threats, we assigned an LPN of 2 for the redcrowned parrot.

Sprague's pipit (Anthus spragueii)— The following summary is based on information contained in our files and in the petition we received on October 15, 2008. The Sprague's pipit is a small grassland bird characterized by its high flight display and otherwise very secretive behavior. Sprague's pipits are strongly tied to native prairie (land which has never been plowed) throughout their life cycle. Its breeding range includes portions of Minnesota, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Canada. The Sprague's pipit's wintering range includes south-central and southeast Arizona, southern New Mexico, Texas, southern Oklahoma, southern Arkansas, northwest Mississippi, southern Louisiana, and northern Mexico. The vast majority of the U.S. winter sightings have been in Texas but there have been migration sightings in Michigan, western Ontario, Ohio, Massachusetts, and Gulf and Atlantic States from Mississippi east and north to South Carolina. Sprague's pipits also have been sighted in California during fall migration.

Threats to this species include: Habitat loss and conversion, habitat fragmentation on the breeding grounds, energy development, roads, and inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms. Due to prairie habitat loss and fragmentation, only 15 to 18 percent of the historical breeding habitat in the United States remains in patches of sufficient size for males to establish territories. The Breeding Bird Survey and Christmas Bird Count both show a 40-year decline of 73 to 79 percent (3.23 to 4.1 percent annually), although the population seems to have stabilized in recent years. We anticipate that prairie habitat will continue to be converted and fragmented. Most of the breeding range, including those areas where grassland habitat still remains, has been identified as a prime area for wind energy development, and an oil and gas boom is occurring in the central part of the breeding range in the United States and Canada. On the wintering range, conversion of grassland to agriculture and other uses appears to be accelerating. While habitat loss has occurred and will likely to continue to occur, as noted above, approximately 15 to 18 percent of the breeding range remains in suitable habitat cover and in large enough patch sizes to support nesting, and population decline seems to have slowed in recent years. Thus, the threats are moderate in magnitude. The threats are imminent because the species is currently facing them in many portions of its range. Therefore, we have

assigned the Sprague's pipit an LPN of

Lesser prairie-chicken (Tympanuchus pallidicinctus)—We continue to find that listing this species is warranted, but precluded as of the date of publication of this notice. However, we are working on a proposed listing rule that we expect to publish prior to making the next annual resubmitted petition 12month finding. In the course of preparing the proposed listing rule, we are continuing to monitor new information about this species' status so that we can make prompt use of our authority under Section 4(b)(7) in the case of an emergency posing a significant risk to the species.

Gunnison sage-grouse (Centrocercus minimus)—We continue to find that listing this species is warranted, but precluded as of the date of publication of this notice. However, we are working on a proposed listing rule that we expect to publish prior to making the next annual resubmitted petition 12month finding. In the course of preparing the proposed listing rule, we are continuing to monitor new information about this species' status so that we can make prompt use of our authority under Section 4(b)(7) in the case of an emergency posing a significant risk to the species.

Greater sage-grouse (Centrocercus urophasianus)—The following summary is based on information in our files and in the petition we received on January 30, 2002. Currently, greater sage-grouse occur in 11 States (Washington, Oregon, California, Nevada, Idaho, Montana, Wyoming, Colorado, Utah, South Dakota, and North Dakota), and 2 Canadian provinces (Alberta and Saskatchewan), occupying approximately 56 percent of their historical range. Greater sage-grouse depend on a variety of shrub-steppe habitats throughout their life cycle, and are considered obligate users of several

species of sagebrush.

The primary threat to greater sagegrouse is ongoing fragmentation and loss of shrub-steppe habitats through a variety of mechanisms. Most importantly, increasing fire cycles and invasive plants (and the interaction between them) in more westerly parts of the range, along with energy development and related infrastructure in more easterly areas, are negatively affecting species. In addition, direct loss of habitat and fragmentation is occurring due to agriculture, urbanization, and infrastructure such as roads and power lines built in support of several activities. We also have determined that existing regulatory mechanisms are inadequate to protect

the species from these ongoing threats. However, many of these habitat impacts are being actively addressed through conservation actions taken by local working groups, and State and Federal agencies. Notably, the National Resource Conservation Service has committed significant financial and technical resources to address threats to this species on private lands through their Sage-grouse Initiative. These efforts, when fully implemented, will potentially provide important conservation benefits to the greater sagegrouse and its habitats. We consider the threats to the greater sage-grouse to be of moderate magnitude, because the threats are not occurring with uniform intensity or distribution across the wide range of the species at this time, and substantial habitat still remains to support the species in many areas. The threats are imminent because the species is currently facing them in many portions of its range. Therefore, we assigned the greater sage-grouse an LPN of 8.

Greater sage-grouse, Bi-State DPS (Centrocercus urophasianus)—We continue to find that listing this species is warranted, but precluded as of the date of publication of this notice. However, we are working on a proposed listing rule that we expect to publish prior to making the next annual resubmitted petition 12-month finding. In the course of preparing the proposed listing rule, we are continuing to monitor new information about this species' status so that we can make prompt use of our authority under Section 4(b)(7) in the case of an emergency posing a significant risk to the species.

Greater sage-grouse, Columbia Basin DPS (Centrocercus urophasianus)—The following summary is based on information in our files and a petition, dated May 14, 1999, requesting the listing of the Washington population of the western sage-grouse (C. u. phaios). On May 7, 2001, we concluded that listing the Columbia Basin DPS of the western sage-grouse was warranted, but precluded by higher priority listing actions (66 FR 22984); this population was historically found in northern Oregon and central Washington. Following our May 7, 2001, finding, the Service received additional petitions requesting listing actions for various other greater sage-grouse populations, including one for the nominal western subspecies, dated January 24, 2002, and three for the entire species, dated June 18, 2002, and March 19 and December 22, 2003. The Service subsequently found that the petition for the western subspecies did not present substantial

information (68 FR 6500; February 7, 2003), and that listing the greater sagegrouse throughout its historical range was not warranted (70 FR 2244; January 12, 2005). These latter findings were remanded to the Service for further consideration. In response, we initiated a new rangewide status review for the entire species (73 FR 10218; February 26, 2008). On March 5, 2010, we found that listing of the greater sage-grouse was warranted but precluded by higher priority listing actions (75 FR 13909; March 23, 2010), and it was added to the list of candidates. We also found that the western subspecies of the greater sage-grouse, the taxonomic entity we relied on in our DPS analysis for the Columbia Basin population, was no longer considered a valid subspecies. In light of our conclusions regarding the taxonomic invalidity of the western sage-grouse subspecies, the significance of the Columbia Basin DPS to the greater sage-grouse will require further review. The Service intends to complete an analysis to determine if this population continues to warrant recognition as a DPS in accordance with our Policy Regarding the Recognition of Distinct Vertebrate Population Segments (61 FR 4722; February 7, 1996) at the time we make a listing decision on the status of the greater sage-grouse. Until that time, the Columbia Basin DPS will remain a candidate for listing.

Band-rumped storm-petrel, Hawaii DPS (Oceanodroma castro)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files and the petition we received on May 8, 1989. No new information was provided in the second petition received on May 11, 2004. The band-rumped storm-petrel is a small seabird that is found in several areas of the subtropical Pacific and Atlantic Oceans. In the Pacific, there are three widely separated breeding populations—one in Japan, one in Hawaii, and one in the Galapagos. Populations in Japan and the Galapagos are comparatively large and number in the thousands, while the Hawaiian birds represent a small, remnant population of possibly only a few hundred pairs. Band-rumped stormpetrels are most commonly found in close proximity to breeding islands. The three populations in the Pacific are separated by long distances across the ocean where birds are not found. Extensive at-sea surveys of the Pacific have revealed a broad gap in distribution of the band-rumped stormpetrel to the east and west of the Hawaiian Islands, indicating that the distribution of birds in the central Pacific around Hawaii is disjunct from

other nesting areas. The available information indicates that distinct populations of band-rumped stormpetrels are definable and that the Hawaiian population is distinct based on geographic and distributional isolation from other band-rumped storm-petrel populations in Japan, the Galapagos, and the Atlantic Ocean. A population also can be considered discrete if it is delimited by international boundaries that have differences in management control of the species. The Hawaiian population of the band-rumped storm-petrel is the only population within U.S. borders or under U.S. jurisdiction. Loss of the Hawaiian population would cause a significant gap in the distribution of the band-rumped storm-petrel in the Pacific, and could result in the complete isolation of the Galapagos and Japan populations without even occasional genetic exchange. Therefore, the population is both discrete and significant, and constitutes a DPS.

The band-rumped storm-petrel probably was common on all of the main Hawaiian Islands when Polynesians arrived about 1,500 years ago, based on storm-petrel bones found in middens on the island of Hawaii and in excavation sites on Oahu and Molokai. Nesting colonies of this species in the Hawaiian Islands currently are restricted to remote cliffs on Kauai and Lehua Island and highelevation lava fields on Hawaii. Vocalizations of the species were heard in Haleakala Crater on Maui as recently as 2006; however, no nesting sites have been located on the island to date. The significant reduction in numbers and range of the band-rumped storm-petrel is due primarily to predation by nonnative species introduced by humans, including the domestic cat (Felis catus), small Indian mongoose (Herpestes auropunctatus), common barn owl (*Tyto alba*), black rat (*R.* rattus), Polynesian rat (R. exulans), and Norway rat (R. norvegicus). These nonnative predators occur throughout the main Hawaiian Islands, with the exception of the mongoose, which is not established on Kauai. Attraction of fledglings to artificial lights, which disrupts their night-time navigation, resulting in collisions with building and other objects, and collisions with artificial structures such as communication towers and utility lines are also threats. Erosion of nest sites caused by the actions of nonnative ungulates is a potential threat in some locations. Efforts are under way in some areas to reduce light pollution and mitigate the threat of collisions, but

there are no large-scale efforts to control nonnative predators in the Hawaiian Islands. The threats are imminent because they are ongoing, and they are of a high magnitude because they are reducing the population size of the DPS. Therefore, we assign this distinct population segment an LPN of 3.

Elfin-woods warbler (Dendroica angelae)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Dendroica angelae, or elfin-woods warbler, is a small entirely black and white warbler, distinguished by its white eyebrow stripe, white patches on ear covers and neck, incomplete eye ring, and black crown. The elfin-woods warbler was at first thought to occur only in high elevations at dwarf or elfin forests, but it has since been found at lower elevations including shade coffee plantations and secondary forests. These birds build a compact cup nest, usually close to the trunk and well hidden among the epiphytes of small trees. Its breeding season extends from March to June. Elfin-woods warblers forage in the middle part of trees, gleaning insects from leaves in the outer portion of tree crowns. The species has been documented from four locations in Puerto Rico: Luquillo Mountains, Sierra de Cayey, and the Commonwealth forests of Maricao and Toro Negro. However, it has not been recorded again in Toro Negro and Cayey, following the passing of Hurricane Hugo in 1989. In 2003 and 2004, surveys were conducted for the elfin-woods warbler in the Carite Commonwealth Forest, Toro Negro Forest, Guilarte Forest, Bosque del Pueblo, Maricao Forest, and the El Yunque National Forest. These surveys only reported sightings at Maricao Commonwealth Forest (778 individuals) and El Yunque National Forest (196 individuals).

The elfin-woods warbler is currently threatened by habitat modification. Elfin-woods warblers have been historically common in the elfin woodland of El Yunque National Forest and the Podocarpus forest type of Maricao Commonwealth Forest. Removal and replacement of this forest vegetation with infrastructure (e.g., telecommunication towers and recreational facilities) may have affected the species. Although this loss of habitat has been permanent and restoration would take a few decades, the present regulatory process, at both the Commonwealth and Federal levels, have curtailed this threat. Unrestricted development within the El Yunque buffer zone needs to be addressed to determine the impact on the migratory

behavior of the species. Conversion of elfin-woods warbler habitat (e.g., mature secondary forests, young secondary forests, and shaded-coffee plantations) along the periphery of the Maricao Commonwealth Forest to marginal habitat (e.g., pastures, dry slope forests, residential rural forests, gallery forests, and unshaded coffee plantations), has affected potential corridors for the elfinwoods warbler, resulting in a reduced dispersal and expansion capability of the species. These threats are not imminent because most of the range of the species is within protected lands. The magnitude of threat to the elfinwoods warbler is low to moderate because there is no indication that the two populations of the elfin-woods warbler are declining in numbers. The species can thrive in disturbed and plantation habitats, although abundance of the species on these habitats is lower than in primary habitats. Moreover, elfin-woods warblers appear to recover well, and in a relatively short time, from damaging effects of hurricanes to the forest structure. Therefore, we assign a listing priority number of 11 to the elfinwoods warbler.

Reptiles

Northern Mexican gartersnake (Thamnophis eques megalops)—We continue to find that listing this species is warranted but precluded as of the date of publication of this notice. However, we are working on a proposed listing rule that we expect to publish prior to making the next annual resubmitted petition 12-month finding. In the course of preparing the proposed listing rule, we are continuing to monitor new information about this species' status so that we can make prompt use of our authority under Section 4(b)(7) in the case of an emergency posing a significant risk to the species.

Eastern massasauga rattlesnake (Sistrurus catenatus)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. The Service received a petition containing no new information on May 11, 2004. Until 2011, the eastern massasauga was considered one of three recognized subspecies of massasauga. Based on recent information, we recognized the eastern massasauga rattlesnake as a distinct species beginning in 2011. It is a small, thick-bodied rattlesnake that occupies shallow wetlands and adjacent upland habitat in portions of Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Michigan, Minnesota, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Wisconsin, and Ontario.

Although the current range of *S. catenatus* resembles the species'

historical range, the geographic distribution has been restricted by the loss of the species from much of the area within the boundaries of that range. Approximately 40 percent of the counties that were historically occupied by S. catenatus no longer support the species. S. catenatus is currently listed as endangered in every State and province in which it occurs, except for Michigan where it is designated as a species of special concern. Each State and Canadian province across the range of S. catenatus has lost more than 30 percent of its historical population of the species, and for the majority more than 50 percent. Furthermore, fewer than 35 percent of the remaining populations are considered secure. Approximately 59 percent of the remaining S. catenatus populations occur wholly or in part on public land, and Statewide and/or site-specific CCAAs are currently being developed for many of these areas in Illinois and Michigan. In 2004, a Candidate Conservation Agreement (CCA) with the Lake County Forest Preserve District in Illinois was completed. In 2005, a CCA with the Forest Preserve District of Cook County in Illinois was completed. In 2006, a CCAA with the Ohio Department of Natural Resources Division of Natural Areas and Preserves was completed for Rome State Nature Preserve in Ashtabula County. In 2011, a CCAA with the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources was completed for the Lower Chippewa River Bottoms. These agreements are addressing threats in those areas and thus reduce the magnitude of threats for the species as a whole. Therefore, the magnitude of threats is considered "moderate" at this time. However, a recently completed extinction risk model and information provided by species experts indicate that other populations are likely to suffer additional losses in abundance and genetic diversity, and some will likely be extirpated unless threats are removed in the near future. Declines have continued or may be accelerating in several States. Thus we are monitoring the status of this species to determine if a change in listing priority is warranted. Threats of habitat modification, habitat succession, incompatible land management practices, illegal collection for the pet trade, and human persecution are ongoing and constitute imminent threats to many remaining populations, particularly those inhabiting private lands. Based on imminent threats of moderate magnitude, we assigned this species an LPN of 8.

Black pine snake (*Pituophis* melanoleucus lodingi)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. There are historical records for the black pine snake from one parish in Louisiana, 14 counties in Mississippi, and 3 counties in Alabama west of the Mobile River Delta. Black pine snake surveys and trapping indicate that this species has been extirpated from Louisiana and from four counties in Mississippi. Moreover, the distribution of remaining populations has become highly restricted due to the destruction and fragmentation of the remaining longleaf pine habitat within the range of the subspecies. Most of the known Mississippi populations are concentrated on the DeSoto National Forest. In Alabama, populations occurring on properties managed by State and other governmental agencies, as gopher tortoise mitigation banks or wildlife sanctuaries, represent the best opportunities for long-term survival of the subspecies there. Other factors affecting the black pine snake include vehicular mortality and low reproductive rates, which magnify the threats from destruction and fragmentation of longleaf pine habitat and increase the likelihood of local extinctions. Due to the imminent threats of high magnitude caused by the past destruction of most of the longleaf pine habitat of the black pine snake, and the continuing persistent degradation of what remains, we assigned an LPN of 3 to this subspecies.

Louisiana pine snake (Pituophis ruthveni)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files and the petition we received on July 20, 2000, and updated through April 30, 2011. The Louisiana pine snake historically occurred in the firemaintained longleaf pine ecosystem within west-central Louisiana and extreme east-central Texas. Most of the historical longleaf pine habitat of the Louisiana pine snake has been destroyed or degraded due to logging, fire suppression, roadways, shortrotation silviculture, and grazing. The loss and fragmentation of the longleaf pine ecosystem has resulted in extant Louisiana pine snake populations that are isolated and small.

The Louisiana pine snake is currently restricted to seven disjunct populations; five of the populations occur on federal lands, and two occur mainly on private industrial timberlands. Currently occupied habitat in Louisiana and Texas is estimated to be approximately 159,000 ac. All remnant Louisiana pine

snake populations have been affected by habitat loss and all require active habitat management. A CCA was completed in 2003 to maintain and enhance occupied and potential habitat on public lands, and to protect known Louisiana pine snake populations. This proactive habitat management has likely slowed or reversed the rate of Louisiana pine snake habitat degradation on many portions of federal lands. Because all extant populations are currently isolated and fragmented by habitat loss in the matrix between populations, there is little potential for dispersal among remnant populations or for the natural re-colonization of vacant habitat patches.

While the extent of Louisiana pine snake habitat loss has been great in the past and much of the remaining habitat has been degraded, habitat loss does not represent an imminent threat, primarily because the rate of habitat loss appears to be declining on public lands. However, all populations require active habitat management, and the lack of adequate habitat remains a threat for several populations. The potential threats to a large percentage of extant Louisiana pine snake populations, coupled with the likely permanence of these effects and the species' low fecundity and low population sizes (based on capture rates and occurrence data), lead us to conclude that the threats have significant effect on the survival of the species and therefore remain high in magnitude. The threats are not imminent, because the rate of habitat loss appears to be declining due to proactive habitat management. Thus, based on nonimminent, high-magnitude threats, we assign a listing priority number of 5 to this species.

Tucson shovel-nosed snake (Chionactis occipitalis klauberi)—The Tucson shovel-nosed snake is a small, burrowing snake in the Colubridae family that occupied a roughly 35-mile-wide swath running along the Phoenix-Tucson corridor in northeastern Pima, southwestern Pinal, and eastern Maricopa Counties, Arizona. No systematic surveys have been conducted to assess the status of the subspecies throughout its range, but it has apparently disappeared from some areas.

Threats to the Tucson shovel-nosed snake include urban and rural development; road construction, use, and maintenance; concentration of solar power facilities and transmission corridors; agriculture; wildfires; and lack of adequate management and regulation. Comprehensive plans encompassing the entire range of the snake encourage large growth areas in

the next 20 years and beyond. These plans also call for an increase in roads and transportation corridors, which have been documented to affect the snake through direct mortality. Additionally, development of solar energy facilities and transmission corridors throughout the State is being pursued, and demand for these facilities will likely increase. Some of these facilities are being considered within the range of the Tucson shovel-nosed snake. Wildfires due to infestations of nonnative grasses in the snake's habitat, dominated by native plants not adapted to survive wildfires, are likely to increase in frequency and magnitude in the future as these invasive grasses continue to spread rapidly. Regulations are not in place to minimize or mitigate these threats to the Tucson shovel-nosed snake and its habitat, and, therefore, they are likely to put the snake at risk of local extirpation or extinction. These threats, particularly those that lead to a loss of habitat, are likely to reduce the population of the Tucson shovel-nosed snake across its entire range. Given the limited geographic distribution of this snake and the fact that its entire range lies within the path of development in the foreseeable future, these threats are of high magnitude. Because development, wildfires, and spread of nonnative grasses are ongoing, and are likely to increase in the future, the threats are imminent. Accordingly, we have assigned an LPN of 3 to the Tucson shovel-nosed snake.

Desert tortoise, Sonoran (*Gopherus morafkai*)—See above in "Listing Priority Changes in Candidates." The above summary is based on information contained in our files.

Gopher tortoise, eastern population (Gopherus polyphemus)—The following summary is based on information in our files. The gopher tortoise is a large, terrestrial, herbivorous turtle that reaches a total length up to 15 in (38 cm), and typically inhabits the sandhills, pine/scrub oak uplands, and pine flatwoods associated with the longleaf pine (Pinus palustris) ecosystem. A fossorial animal, the gopher tortoise is usually found in areas with well-drained, deep, sandy soils; an open tree canopy; and a diverse, abundant, herbaceous groundcover. The gopher tortoise ranges from extreme southern South Carolina south through peninsular Florida, and west through southern Georgia, Florida, southern Alabama, and Mississippi, into extreme southeastern Louisiana. The eastern population of the gopher tortoise in South Carolina, Florida, Georgia, and Alabama (east of the Mobile and Tombigbee Rivers) is a candidate

species; the gopher tortoise is federally listed as threatened in the western portion of its range, which includes Alabama (west of the Mobile and Tombigbee Rivers), Mississippi, and Louisiana.

The primary threat to the gopher tortoise is habitat fragmentation, destruction, and modification (either deliberately or from inattention), including conversion of longleaf pine forests to other silvicultural or agricultural habitats, urbanization, shrub/hardwood encroachment (mainly from fire exclusion or insufficient fire management), and establishment and spread of invasive species. Other threats include disease, predation (mainly on nests and young tortoises), and inadequate regulatory mechanisms, specifically those needed to protect and enhance relocated tortoise populations in perpetuity. The magnitude of threats to the eastern range of the gopher tortoise is moderate to low, as populations extend over a broad geographic area and conservation measures are in place in some areas. However, because the species is currently being affected by a number of threats including destruction and modification of its habitat, disease, predation, exotics, and inadequate regulatory mechanisms, the threat is imminent. Thus, we have assigned a listing priority number of 8 for this species.

Sonoyta mud turtle (*Kinosternon sonoriense longifemorale*)—See above in "Listing Priority Changes in Candidates." The above summary is based on information contained in our files.

Amphibians

Columbia spotted frog, Great Basin DPS (Rana luteiventris)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files and the petition we received on May 1, 1989. Currently, the Great Basin DPS of Columbia spotted frogs appear to be widely distributed throughout southwest Idaho, southeast Oregon, northeast and central Nevada, but most populations within this range appear to be small and isolated from each other. Recent work by researchers in Idaho and Nevada have documented the loss of historically known sites, reduced numbers of individuals within local populations, and declines in the reproduction of those individuals.

Small, highly fragmented populations, characteristic of the majority of existing populations of Columbia spotted frogs in the Great Basin, are susceptible to extinction processes. Development and poor management of Columbia spotted

frog habitat—including water development, improper grazing, mining activities, and nonnative species—have contributed and continue to contribute to the degradation and fragmentation of habitat. Emerging fungal diseases such as chytridiomycosis, Ranavirus outbreaks, and the spread of parasites may be contributing factors to Columbia spotted frog population declines throughout portions of its range. Effects of climate change such as drought and stochastic events such as fire often have detrimental effects to small, isolated populations and can often exacerbate existing threats. A 10-year Conservation Agreement and Strategy was signed in September 2003 for both the Northeast and the Toiyabe subpopulations in Nevada. The goals of the conservation agreements are to reduce threats to Columbia spotted frogs and their habitat to the extent necessary to prevent populations from becoming extirpated throughout all or a portion of their historical range and to maintain, enhance, and restore a sufficient number of populations of Columbia spotted frogs and their habitat to ensure their continued existence throughout their historical range. Additionally, a CCAA was completed in 2006 for the Owyhee subpopulation at Sam Noble Springs, Idaho. Several habitat enhancement projects have been conducted throughout the DPS's range that have benefitted these populations. Because the DPS is widely distributed and there are management actions in place working to reduce the scope of threats to the speces, we conclude that the threats are moderate. The threats are imminent, because development and poor management of its habitat, and fungal diseases and parasites are already present. Based on imminent threats of moderate magnitude, we assigned an LPN of 9 to this DPS of the Columbia spotted frog.

Mountain yellow-legged frog, Sierra Nevada DPS (Rana muscosa)—We continue to find that listing this species is warranted but precluded as of the date of publication of this notice. However, we are working on a proposed listing rule that we expect to publish prior to making the next annual resubmitted petition 12-month finding. In the course of preparing the proposed listing rule, we are continuing to monitor new information about this species' status so that we can make prompt use of our authority under Section 4(b)(7) in the case of an emergency posing a significant risk to the species.

Oregon spotted frog (Rana pretiosa)— We continue to find that listing this species is warranted but precluded as of the date of publication of this notice. However, we are working on a proposed listing rule that we expect to publish prior to making the next annual resubmitted petition 12-month finding. In the course of preparing the proposed listing rule, we are continuing to monitor new information about this species' status so that we can make prompt use of our authority under Section 4(b)(7) in the case of an emergency posing a significant risk to the species.

Relict leopard frog (Lithobates onca)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. Natural relict leopard frog populations occur in two general areas in Nevada: Near the Overton Arm area of Lake Mead, and Black Canyon below Lake Mead. These two areas represent a small fraction of the historical distribution of the species. Its historical range included springs, streams, and wetlands within the Virgin River drainage downstream from the vicinity of Hurricane, Utah; along the Muddy River, Nevada; and along the Colorado River from its confluence with the Virgin River downstream to Black Canyon below Lake Mead, Nevada and Arizona.

Factors contributing to the decline of the species include alteration, loss, and degradation of aquatic habitat due to water developments and impoundments, and scouring and erosion; changes in plant communities that result in dense growth and the prevalence of vegetation; introduced predators; climate change; and stochastic events. The presence of chytrid fungus in relict leopard frogs at Lower Blue Point Spring is a concern and warrants further evaluation of the threat of disease to the relict leopard frog. The size of natural and translocated populations is small, and, therefore, these populations are vulnerable to stochastic events, such as floods and wildfire. Climate change that results in reduced spring flow, habitat loss, and increased prevalence of wildfire would adversely affect relict leopard frog populations. In 2005, the National Park Service, in cooperation with the Fish and Wildlife Service and other Federal, State, and local partners, developed a conservation agreement and strategy intended to improve the status of the species through prescribed management actions and protection. Conservation actions identified in the agreement and strategy include captive rearing of tadpoles for translocation and refugium populations, habitat and natural history studies, habitat enhancement, population and habitat monitoring, and translocation. New sites within the historical range of the species

have been successfully established with captive-reared frogs. Conservation is proceeding under the agreement and strategy; however, additional time is needed to determine whether or not the agreement and strategy will be effective in eliminating or reducing the threats to the point that the relict leopard frog can be removed from candidate status. In consideration of these conservation efforts and the overall threat level to the species, we determined the magnitude of existing threats is moderate to low. Potential water development and other habitat effects, presence of introduced predators, chytrid fungus, limited distribution, small population size, and climate change are ongoing and, therefore, imminent threats. Therefore, we assigned a listing priority number of 8 to this species.

Striped newt (Notophthalmus

perstriatus)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. The striped newt is a small salamander that inhabits ephemeral ponds surrounded by upland habitats of high pine, scrubby flatwoods, and scrub. Longleaf pine-turkey oak stands with intact ground cover containing wiregrass are the preferred upland habitat for striped newts, followed by scrub, then flatwoods. Life-history stages of the striped newt are complex, and include the use of both aquatic and terrestrial habitats throughout their life cycle. Striped newts are opportunistic feeders that prey on frog eggs, worms, snails, fairy shrimp, spiders, and insects (adult and larvae) that are of appropriate size. They occur in appropriate habitats from the Atlantic Coastal Plain of

central peninsula of Florida and through the Florida panhandle into portions of southwest Georgia. There is a 125-km (78-mi) separation between the western and eastern portions of the striped newt's range.

The historical range of the striped newt was likely similar to the current

southeastern Georgia to the north-

newt was likely similar to the current range. However, loss of native longleaf habitat, fire suppression, and the natural patchy distribution of upland habitats used by striped newts have resulted in fragmentation of existing populations. Other threats to the species include disease, drought, and inadequate regulatory mechanisms. The magnitude of threats from habitat loss, fire suppression, and disease are moderate, as most of the known striped newt metapopulations are on conservation lands, and, although disease has been found in similar species, no known metapopulations of striped newts have shown any evidence of disease. For drought, the magnitude is high because nearly all populations are affected, and

this factor may lead to possible extirpation. Also, throughout the entire range of the striped newt, droughts are predicted to be more severe and longer in the coming years, which could have a detrimental effect on the species' long-term survival. In sum, because we find that most of the threats are of a moderate magnitude, we find the overall threats that the striped newt is facing to be moderate in magnitude. The threats are ongoing and, therefore, imminent. Thus, we assigned a listing priority number of 8 to the newt.

Berry Cave salamander (*Gyrinophilus* gulolineatus)—The following summary is based on information in our files. The Berry Cave salamander is recorded from Berry Cave in Roane County, from Mud Flats, Avcock Spring, Christian, Meades Quarry, Meades River, and Fifth caves in Knox County; from Blythe Ferry Cave in Meigs County; and from an unknown cave in Athens, McMinn County, Tennessee. In May of 2012, the species was also discovered in an additional cave, The Lost Puddle Cave, in Knox County. These cave systems are all located within the Upper Tennessee River and Clinch River drainages. A total of 113 caves in Middle and East Tennessee were surveyed from the time period of April 2004 through June 2007, resulting in observations of 63 Berry Cave salamanders. These surveys concluded that Berry Cave salamander populations are robust at Berry and Mudflats caves where population declines had been previously reported and documented two new populations of Berry Cave salamanders at Aycock Spring and Christian caves. Three Berry Cave salamanders were spotted during the May, 2012, survey in The Lost Puddle and additional surveys are planned. Ongoing threats to this species are in the form of lye leaching in the Meades Quarry Cave as a result of past quarrying activities, a proposed roadway with potential to impact the recharge area for the Meades Quarry Cave system, urban development in Knox County, water quality impacts despite existing State and Federal laws, and hybridization between spring salamanders and Berry Cave salamanders in Meades Quarry Cave. These threats, coupled with confined distribution of the species and apparent low population densities, are all factors that leave the Berry Cave salamander vulnerable to extirpation. Although these threats are ongoing, the population levels are robust at two caves, and three new populations have been found at three additional caves. Therefore, we have determined that the Berry Cave salamander faces imminent

threats of moderate magnitude. Based on moderate-magnitude, imminent threats, we assigned this species a listing priority number of 8.

Yosemite toad (Anaxyrus canorus)— We continue to find that listing this species is warranted but precluded as of the date of publication of this notice. However, we are working on a proposed listing rule that we expect to publish prior to making the next annual resubmitted petition 12-month finding. In the course of preparing the proposed listing rule, we are continuing to monitor new information about this species' status so that we can make prompt use of our authority under Section 4(b)(7) in the case of an emergency posing a significant risk to the species.

Black Warrior waterdog (*Necturus alabamensis*)—See above in "Listing Priority Changes in Candidates." The above summary is based on information contained in our files.

Fishes

Headwater chub (Gila nigra)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files since 2006 and in the 12-month finding published in the Federal Register on May 3, 2006 (71 FR 26007). The headwater chub is a moderate-sized cyprinid fish. The range of the headwater chub has been reduced by approximately 60 percent. Twenty-three streams (125 mi (200 km) of stream) are thought to be occupied out of 26 streams (312 mi (500 km) of stream) formerly occupied in the Gila River Basin in Arizona and New Mexico. All remaining populations are fragmented and isolated, and threatened by a combination of factors.

Headwater chubs are threatened by introduced, nonnative fish that prey on them and compete with them for food. Habitat destruction and modification have occurred and continue to occur as a result of dewatering, impoundment, channelization, and channel changes caused by alteration of riparian vegetation and watershed degradation from mining, grazing, roads, water pollution, urban and suburban development, groundwater pumping, and other human actions. Existing regulatory mechanisms do not appear to be adequate for addressing the impact of nonnative fish and also have not removed or eliminated the threats that continue to be posed through habitat degradation. The fragmented nature and rarity of existing populations makes them vulnerable to other natural or manmade factors, such as drought and wildfire. Climate change is predicted to worsen these threats through increased

aridity of the region, thus reducing stream flows and warming aquatic habitats, which makes the habitat more suitable to nonnative species.

The Arizona Game and Fish Department's Arizona Statewide Conservation Agreement for Roundtail Chub (G. robusta), Headwater Chub, Flannelmouth Sucker (Catostomus latipinnis), Little Colorado River Sucker (Catostomus spp.), Bluehead Sucker (C. discobolus), and Zuni Bluehead Sucker (C. discobolus yarrowi) was finalized in 2006. The New Mexico Department of Game and Fish has listed the headwater chub as endangered and in 2006 finalized a recovery plan for the species: Colorado River Basin Chubs (Roundtail Chub, Gila Chub (G. intermedia), and Headwater Chub) Recovery Plan. Arizona's agreement and New Mexico's recovery plan both recommend preservation and enhancement of extant populations and restoration of historical headwater-chub populations. The recovery and conservation actions prescribed by Arizona's and New Mexico's plans, which we predict will reduce and remove threats to this species, will require further discussions and authorizations as they are being implemented. The recently completed Arizona Game and Fish Department Sportfish Stocking Program's Conservation and Mitigation Program contains significant conservation actions for the headwater chub that will be implemented over the next 10 years.

Existing information indicates that existing populations are stable and persisting in the long-term; 9 of the 23 extant stream populations are currently considered stable based on abundance and evidence of recruitment. Therefore, although threats are ongoing, the threats are moderate in magnitude. We have retained an LPN of 8 for this species at this time.

Least chub (Iotichthys phlegethontis)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files and in the petition received on June 25, 2007. The least chub is a small, colorful fish species in Utah that follows thermal patterns for habitat use. Least chub use flooded, warmer, vegetated marsh areas to spawn in the spring, and retreat to spring heads to overwinter as the water recedes in the late summer and fall. Historically, many least chub occurrences were reported across the State of Utah, but the current distribution of the species is highly reduced from its historical range. Currently, only six known wild populations remain, but one of these is considered functionally extirpated. Least chub also currently exist at several genetic refuge sites. The species faces

threats from the effects of livestock grazing, which affects most least chub sites despite efforts to protect least chub habitat with grazing exclosures and management plans. Least chub habitat also is affected by current and proposed future groundwater withdrawals, especially when combined with the threat of drought. These threats also act cumulatively with climate change to put the least chub at further risk. Existing regulatory mechanisms are currently inadequate to regulate groundwater withdrawals and ameliorate their effects on least chub habitat. Nonnative species, particularly mosquitofish, also are a continuing threat to least chub. There is no known means of controlling mosquitofish, and they have already caused the functional extirpation of one wild least chub population.

In 1998, several State and Federal agencies, including the Service and the Utah Division of Wildlife Resources, developed a Least Chub Conservation Agreement and Strategy and formed the Least Chub Conservation Team. Their objectives are to eliminate or significantly reduce threats to the least chub and its habitat, and to ensure the continued existence of the species by restoring and maintaining a minimum number of least chub populations throughout its historical range. Recent State-led least chub conservation actions have included restoration of habitat affected by grazing, reintroduction and range expansion, nonnative removal, population monitoring, and working cooperatively with landowners to conserve water and aquatic habitat. This group also has recently begun a structured decisionmaking modeling process that will provide additional guidance for conservation activities.

Overall, grazing, groundwater withdrawal, and predation by nonnative species are moderate magnitude threats; some populations are more negatively affected by these threats but in others the threats are not decreasing the populations or the threats are not present. The threats are imminent because the species is currently facing them in many portions of its range. Therefore, we have assigned the least chub an LPN of 7.

Roundtail chub (*Gila robusta*), Lower Colorado River DPS—The following summary is based on information contained in our files and the 12-month finding published in the **Federal Register** on July 7, 2009 (74 FR 32352). The roundtail chub is a moderate to large cyprinid fish. The range of the roundtail chub has been reduced by approximately 68 to 82 percent. Thirtytwo streams are currently occupied,

representing approximately 18 to 32 percent of the species' former range, or 800 km (500 mi) to 1,350 km (840 mi) of 3,050 km (1,895 mi) of formerly occupied streams in the Gila River Basin in Arizona and New Mexico. Most of the remaining populations are fragmented and isolated, and all are threatened by a combination of factors.

Roundtail chub are threatened by introduced, nonnative fish that prey on them and compete with them for food. Habitat destruction and modification have occurred and continue to occur as a result of dewatering, impoundment, channelization, and channel changes caused by alteration of riparian vegetation and watershed degradation from mining, grazing, roads, water pollution, urban and suburban development, groundwater pumping, and other human actions. Existing regulatory mechanisms do not appear to be adequate for addressing the impact of nonnative fish and also have not removed or eliminated the threats that continue to be posed through habitat destruction or modification. The fragmented nature and rarity of existing populations make roundtail chub vulnerable to other natural or manmade factors, such as drought and wildfire. Climate change is predicted to worsen these threats through increased aridity of the region, thus reducing stream flows and warming aquatic habitats, which makes the habitat more suitable to nonnative species.

The Arizona Game and Fish Department's Arizona Statewide Conservation Agreement for Roundtail Chub, Headwater Chub (G. nigra), Flannelmouth Sucker (Catostomus latipinnis), Little Colorado River Sucker (Catostomus spp.), Bluehead Sucker (C. discobolus), and Zuni Bluehead Sucker (C. discobolus yarrowi) was finalized in 2006. The New Mexico Department of Game and Fish lists the roundtail chub as endangered and in 2006 finalized a recovery plan for the species: Colorado River Basin Chubs (Roundtail Chub, Gila Chub (G. intermedia), and Headwater Chub) Recovery Plan. Both the Arizona Agreement and the New Mexico Recovery Plan recommend preservation and enhancement of extant populations and restoration of historical roundtail chub populations. The recovery and conservation actions prescribed by the Arizona and New Mexico plans, which we predict will reduce and remove threats to this species, will require further discussions and authorizations as they are being implemented. The recently completed Arizona Game and Fish Department Sportfish Stocking Program's Conservation and Mitigation Program

contains significant conservation actions for the roundtail chub that will be implemented over the next 10 years.

Although threats are ongoing, existing information indicates long-term persistence and stability of existing populations. Currently, 7 of the 32 extant stream populations are considered stable, based on abundance and evidence of recruitment. One new conservation population was initially stocked in 2012, raising the number of extant populations to 33. Based on our assessment, threats (primarily nonnative species and habitat loss from land uses) remain imminent, because they are ongoing, and are of moderate magnitude because there is evidence of long-term persistence and stability of the existing populations. Thus, we have retained an LPN of 9 for this distinct population

Arkansas darter (Etheostoma cragini)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. This fish species occurs in Arkansas, Colorado, Kansas, Missouri, and Oklahoma. The species is found most often in sand- or pebble-bottomed pools of small, spring-fed streams and marshes, with cool water and broadleaved aquatic vegetation. Its current distribution is indicative of a species that once was widely dispersed throughout its range, but has been relegated to isolated areas surrounded by unsuitable habitat that prevents dispersal.

Factors influencing the current distribution include: Surface and groundwater irrigation resulting in decreased flows or stream dewatering; the dewatering of long reaches of riverine habitat necessary for species movement when surface flows do occur; conversion of prairie to cropland, which influences groundwater recharge and spring flows; water quality degradation from a variety of sources; and the construction of dams, which act as barriers preventing emigration upstream and downstream through the reservoir pool. The magnitude of threats facing this species is moderate to low, given the number of different locations where the species occurs and the fact that no single threat or combination of threats affects more than a portion of the widespread population occurrences. Overall, the threats are nonimminent as groundwater pumping is declining and development, spills, and runoff are not currently affecting the species rangewide. Thus, we are retaining an LPN of 11 for the Arkansas darter.

Pearl darter (*Percina aurora*)—The following summary is based on

information contained in our files. Little is known about the specific habitat requirements or natural history of the Pearl darter. Pearl darters have been collected from a variety of river/stream attributes, mainly over gravel bottom substrate. This species is historically known only from localized sites within the Pascagoula and Pearl River drainages in Mississippi and Louisiana. Currently, the Pearl darter is considered extirpated from the Pearl River drainage and rare in the Pascagoula River drainage. Since 1983, the range of the Pearl darter has decreased by 55 percent.

The Pearl darter is threatened by nonpoint source pollution caused by urbanization and other land use activities; gravel mining and resultant changes in river geomorphology, especially head cutting; and the possibility of water quantity decline from the proposed Department of Energy Strategic Petroleum Reserve project and a proposed dam on the Bouie River. Additional threats are posed by the apparent lack of adequate State and Federal water quality regulations due to the continuing degradation of water quality within the species' habitat. The Pearl darter's localized distribution and apparent low population numbers may indicate a species with lower genetic diversity, which would also make this species more vulnerable to catastrophic events. Threats affecting the Pearl darter are localized in nature, affecting only portions of the population within the drainage; thus, a threat magnitude of moderate to low is assigned for this species. In addition, the threats are considered imminent, as the identified threats are currently affecting this species in some portions of its range. Therefore, we have assigned a listing priority number of 8 for this species.

Arctic grayling, Upper Missouri River DPS (Thymallus arcticus)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. This fish species has a broad, nearly circumpolar distribution, occurring in a variety of cold-water habitats including small streams, large rivers, lakes, and even bogs. We determined in our September 8, 2010, status review (75 FR 54708) that the upper Missouri River population of arctic grayling in Montana and Wyoming represents a DPS because it is discrete due to geographic separation and genetic differences, and it is significant to the taxon as a whole. The historical range of Arctic grayling in the upper Missouri River basin has declined dramatically in the past century. The five remaining indigenous

populations are isolated from one another by dams or other factors.

All populations face potential threats from competition with and predation by nonnative trout, and most populations face threats resulting from the alteration of their habitats, such as habitat fragmentation from dams or irrigation diversion structures, stream dewatering, high summer water temperatures, loss of riparian habitats, and entrainment in irrigation ditches. Severe drought likely also affects all populations by reducing water availability and reducing the extent of thermally suitable habitat. Projected climate changes will likely influence the severity and scope of these threats in the future. As applied, existing regulatory mechanisms do not appear to be adequate to address the primary threats to arctic grayling. In addition, four of five populations are at risk from random environmental fluctuations and genetic drift due to their low abundance and isolation. The magnitude of these threats is high because one or more of these threats occurs in each known population in the Missouri River basin. The threats are imminent because they are currently occurring and are expected to continue in the foreseeable future. Therefore, we have assigned the upper Missouri River DPS of arctic grayling an LPN of 3.

Sicklefin redhorse (Moxostoma sp.) We continue to find that listing this species is warranted but precluded as of the date of publication of this notice. However, we are working on a proposed listing rule that we expect to publish prior to making the next annual resubmitted petition 12-month finding. In the course of preparing the proposed listing rule, we are continuing to monitor new information about this species' status so that we can make prompt use of our authority under Section 4(b)(7) in the case of an emergency posing a significant risk to the species.

Sharpnose shiner (Notropis oxyrhynchus)—We continue to find that listing this species is warranted but precluded as of the date of publication of this notice. However, we are working on a proposed listing rule that we expect to publish prior to making the next annual resubmitted petition 12month finding. In the course of preparing the proposed listing rule, we are continuing to monitor new information about this species' status so that we can make prompt use of our authority under Section 4(b)(7) in the case of an emergency posing a significant risk to the species.

Smalleye shiner (*Notropis buccula*)— We continue to find that listing this species is warranted but precluded as of the date of publication of this notice. However, we are working on a proposed listing rule that we expect to publish prior to making the next annual resubmitted petition 12-month finding. In the course of preparing the proposed listing rule, we are continuing to monitor new information about this species' status so that we can make prompt use of our authority under Section 4(b)(7) in the case of an emergency posing a significant risk to the species.

Zuni bluehead sucker (Catostomus discobolus yarrowi)—We continue to find that listing this species is warranted but precluded as of the date of publication of this notice. However, we are working on a proposed listing rule that we expect to publish prior to making the next annual resubmitted petition 12-month finding. In the course of preparing the proposed listing rule, we are continuing to monitor new information about this species' status so that we can make prompt use of our authority under Section 4(b)(7) in the case of an emergency posing a significant risk to the species.

Rio Grande cutthroat trout (Oncorhvnchus clarki virginalis)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files and our status review published on May 14, 2008 (73 FR 27900). Rio Grande cutthroat trout is one of 14 subspecies of cutthroat trout found in the western United States. Populations of this subspecies are in New Mexico and Colorado in drainages of the Rio Grande, Pecos, and Canadian rivers. Although once widely distributed in connected stream networks, Rio Grande cutthroat trout populations now occupy about 10 percent of historical habitat, and the populations are fragmented and isolated from one another. The majority of populations occur in high-elevation streams.

Major threats include the loss of suitable habitat that has occurred and is likely to continue occurring due to water diversions, dams, stream drying, habitat quality degradation, and changes in hydrology; introduction of nonnative trout and ensuing competition, predation, and hybridization; and whirling disease. In addition, average air temperatures in the Southwest have increased about 1 °C (2.5 °F) in the past 30 years, and they are projected to increase by another 1.2 to 2.8 °C (3 to 7 °F) by 2050. Because trout require cold water, and water temperatures depend in large part on air temperature, there is concern that the habitat of Rio Grande cutthroat trout will further decrease in response to warmer water temperatures caused by climate change. Wildfire and

drought (stream drying) are additional threats to Rio Grande cutthroat trout populations that are likely to increase in magnitude in response to climate change. Research is occurring to assess the effects of climate change on this subspecies, and agencies are working to restore historically occupied streams and develop a conservation plan to direct conservation. The threats are of moderate magnitude because there is good distribution and a comparatively large number of populations across the landscape, some populations have few threats present, and in other areas management actions are being taken to help control the threat of nonnative trout. Overall, the threats are ongoing and, therefore, imminent. Based on imminent threats of moderate magnitude, we retain an LPN of 9 for this subspecies.

Clams

Texas fatmucket (Lampsilis bracteata)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. The Texas fatmucket is a large, elongated freshwater mussel that is endemic to central Texas. Its shell can be moderately thick, smooth, and rhomboidal to oval in shape. Its external coloration varies from tan to brown with continuous dark brown, green-brown, or black rays, and internally it is pearly white, with some having a light salmon tint. This species historically occurred throughout the Colorado and Guadalupe-San Antonio River basins but is now known to occur only in nine streams within these basins in very limited numbers. All existing populations are represented by only one or two individuals and are not likely to be stable or recruiting.

The Texas fatmucket is primarily threatened by habitat destruction and modification from impoundments, which scour river beds, thereby removing mussel habitat; decrease water quality; modify stream flows; and prevent fish host migration and distribution of freshwater mussels. This species is also threatened by sedimentation, dewatering, sand and gravel mining, and chemical contaminants. Additionally, these threats may be exacerbated by the current and projected effects of climate change, population fragmentation and isolation, and the anticipated threat of nonnative species. Threats to the Texas fatmucket and its habitat are not being adequately addressed through existing regulatory mechanisms. Because of the limited distribution of this endemic species and its lack of mobility, these threats are likely to result in the

extinction of the Texas fatmucket in the foreseeable future.

The threats are such that the Texas fatmucket warrants listing; the threats are high in magnitude because habitat loss and degradation from impoundments, sedimentation, sand and gravel mining, and chemical contaminants are widespread throughout the range of the Texas fatmucket and profoundly affect its survival and recruitment. These threats are exacerbated by climate change, which will increase the frequency and magnitude of droughts. Remaining populations are small, isolated, and highly vulnerable to stochastic events, which could lead to extirpation or extinction. We consider these threats to be imminent because they are ongoing and will continue in the foreseeable future. Habitat loss and degradation have already occurred and will continue as the human population continues to grow in central Texas. Texas fatmucket populations may already be below the minimum viable population requirement, which causes a reduction in the number of populations and an increase in the species' vulnerability to extinction. Based on imminent, highmagnitude threats, we assigned the Texas fatmucket an LPN of 2.

Texas fawnsfoot (Truncilla macrodon)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. The Texas fawnsfoot is a small, relatively thin-shelled freshwater mussel that is endemic to central Texas. Its shell is long and oval, generally free of external sculpturing, with external coloration that varies from yellowish- or orangish-tan, brown, reddish-brown, to smoky-green with a pattern of broken rays or irregular blotches. The internal color is bluish-white or white and iridescent posteriorly. This species historically occurred throughout the Colorado and Brazos River basins and is now known from only five locations. The Texas fawnsfoot has been extirpated from nearly all of the Colorado River basin and from much of the Brazos River basin. Of the populations that remain, only three are likely to be stable and recruiting; the remaining populations are disjunct and restricted to short stream reaches.

The Texas fawnsfoot is primarily threatened by habitat destruction and modification from impoundments, which scour river beds, thereby removing mussel habitat, decrease water quality, modify stream flows, and prevent fish host migration and distribution of freshwater mussels, as well as by sedimentation, dewatering, sand and gravel mining, and chemical contaminants. Additionally, these

threats may be exacerbated by the current and projected effects of climate change, population fragmentation and isolation, and the anticipated threat of nonnative species. Threats to the Texas fawnsfoot and its habitat are not being adequately addressed through existing regulatory mechanisms. Because of the limited distribution of this endemic species and its lack of mobility, these threats are likely to result in the extinction of the Texas fawnsfoot in the foreseeable future.

The threats are such that the Texas fawnsfoot warrants listing; the threats are high in magnitude. Habitat loss and degradation from impoundments, sedimentation, sand and gravel mining, and chemical contaminants are widespread throughout the range of the Texas fawnsfoot and profoundly affect its habitat. These threats are exacerbated by climate change, which will increase the frequency and magnitude of droughts. Remaining populations are small, isolated, and highly vulnerable to stochastic events. These threats are imminent because they are ongoing and will continue in the foreseeable future. Habitat loss and degradation has already occurred and will continue as the human population continues to grow in central Texas. The Texas fawnsfoot populations may already be below the minimum viable population requirement, which causes a reduction in the number of populations and an increase in the species' vulnerability to extinction. Based on imminent, highmagnitude threats we assigned the Texas fawnsfoot an LPN of 2.

Texas hornshell (Popenaias popei)— The following summary is based on information contained in our files and information provided by the New Mexico Department of Game and Fish and Texas Parks and Wildlife Department. The Texas hornshell is a freshwater mussel found in the Black River in New Mexico, and in the Rio Grande and the Devils River in Texas. Until March 2008, the only known extant populations were in New Mexico's Black River and one locality in the Rio Grande near Laredo, Texas. In March 2008, two new localities were confirmed in Texas: one in the Devils River, and one in the mainstem Rio Grande in the Rio Grande Wild and Scenic River segment downstream of Big Bend National Park. In 2011, the Rio Grande population near Laredo was resurveyed and found to be large and robust.

The primary threats to this species are habitat alterations such as streambank channelization, impoundments, and diversions for agriculture and flood control (including a proposed low-water diversion dam just downstream of the Rio Grande population near Laredo); contamination of water by oil and gas activity; alterations in the natural riverine hydrology; and increased sedimentation and flood pulses from prolonged overgrazing and loss of native vegetation. Although riverine habitats throughout the species' known occupied range are under constant threat from these ongoing or potential activities, numerous conservation actions to benefit the species are under way in New Mexico, including the completion of a State recovery plan for the species, and are beginning in Texas on the Big Bend reach of the Rio Grande. Due to these ongoing conservation efforts, and because at least one of the populations appears to be robust, the magnitude of the threats is moderate. However, the threats to the species are ongoing and remain imminent. Thus, we maintained an LPN of 8 for this species.

Golden orb (Quadrula aurea)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. The golden orb is a small, round-shaped freshwater mussel that is endemic to central Texas. Its shell is smooth and unsculptured, except for concentric growth rings, and the external coloration varies from yellow-brown, gold, or orangish-brown to dark brown or black with some individuals having faint green rays. The internal color is bluish-white. This species historically occurred throughout the Nueces-Frio and Guadalupe-San Antonio River basins and is now known from only nine locations in four rivers. The golden orb has been eliminated from nearly the entire Nueces-Frio River basin. Four of these populations appear to be stable and reproducing, and the remaining five populations are small and isolated and show no evidence of recruitment. It appears that the populations in the middle Guadalupe and lower San Marcos Rivers are likely connected. The remaining extant populations are highly fragmented and restricted to short reaches.

The golden orb is primarily threatened by habitat destruction and modification from impoundments, which scour river beds, thereby removing mussel habitat, decrease water quality, modify stream flows, and prevent fish host migration and distribution of freshwater mussels. The species is also threatened by sedimentation, dewatering, sand and gravel mining, and chemical contaminants. Additionally, these threats may be exacerbated by the current and projected effects of climate change, population fragmentation and isolation, and the anticipated threat of

nonnative species. Threats to the golden orb and its habitat are not being adequately addressed through existing regulatory mechanisms. Because of the limited distribution of this endemic species and its lack of mobility, these threats may be likely to result in the extinction of the golden orb in the foreseeable future.

The threats are such that the golden orb warrants listing; the threats are moderate in magnitude. Habitat loss and degradation from impoundments, sedimentation, sand and gravel mining, and chemical contaminants are widespread throughout the range of the golden orb, but several large populations remain, including one that was recently discovered, suggesting that the threats are not high in magnitude. These threats are exacerbated by climate change, which will increase the frequency and magnitude of droughts. These threats are imminent because they are ongoing and will continue in the foreseeable future. Habitat loss and degradation have already occurred and will continue as the human population continues to grow in central Texas. Several golden orb populations may already be below the minimum viable population requirement, which causes a reduction in the number of populations and an increase in the species vulnerability to extinction. Based on imminent, moderate threats, we assigned the golden orb an LPN of 8.

Smooth pimpleback (Quadrula houstonensis)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. The smooth pimpleback is a small, round-shaped freshwater mussel that is endemic to central Texas. Its shell is moderately thick and inflated, and the external coloration varies from tan to light brown, dark brown, and black with little to no sculpturing. The internal color is silvery white. This species historically occurred throughout the Colorado and Brazos River basins and is now known from only nine locations. The smooth pimpleback has been eliminated from nearly the entire Colorado River and all but one of its tributaries, and has been limited to the central and lower Brazos River drainage. Five of the populations are represented by no more than a few individuals while six of the existing populations appear to be relatively stable and recruiting, while the remaining populations are small, isolated, and represented by only a few individuals.

The smooth pimpleback is primarily threatened by habitat destruction and modification from impoundments, which scour river beds, thereby removing mussel habitat, decrease water quality, modify stream flows, and

prevent fish host migration and distribution of freshwater mussels. The species is also threatened by sedimentation, dewatering, sand and gravel mining, and chemical contaminants. Additionally, these threats may be exacerbated by the current and projected effects of climate change, population fragmentation and isolation, and the anticipated threat of nonnative species. Threats to the smooth pimpleback and its habitat are not being adequately addressed through existing regulatory mechanisms. Because of the limited distribution of this endemic species and its lack of mobility, these threats may be likely to result in the extinction of the smooth pimpleback in the foreseeable future.

The threats are such that the smooth pimpleback warrants listing; the threats are moderate in magnitude. Habitat loss and degradation from impoundments, sedimentation, sand and gravel mining, and chemical contaminants are widespread throughout the range of the smooth pimpleback, but several large populations remain, including one that was recently discovered, suggesting that the threats are not high in magnitude. These threats are exacerbated by climate change, which will increase the frequency and magnitude of droughts. These threats are imminent because they are ongoing and will continue in the foreseeable future. Habitat loss and degradation have already occurred and will continue as the human population continues to grow in central Texas. Several smooth pimpleback populations may already be below the minimum viable population requirement, which causes a reduction in the number of populations and an increase in the species' vulnerability to extinction. Based on imminent, moderate threats, we assigned the smooth pimpleback an LPN of 8.

Texas pimpleback (Quadrula petrina)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. The Texas pimpleback is a large, freshwater mussel that is endemic to central Texas. Its shell is generally smooth with the exception of growth lines and moderately thick and inflated. The external coloration ranges from vellowish-tan to dark brown with some individuals mottled or with dark green rays, and, internally, the nacre is white and iridescent posteriorly. This species historically occurred throughout the Colorado and Guadalupe-San Antonio River basins, but is now known to only occur in four streams within these basins. Only two populations appear large enough to be stable, but evidence of recruitment is limited in the Concho River population and is present in the

San Saba River population, which may be the only remaining recruiting populations of Texas pimpleback. The remaining two populations are represented by one or two individuals and are highly disjunct.

The Texas pimpleback is primarily threatened by habitat destruction and modification from impoundments, which scour river beds, thereby removing mussel habitat, decrease water quality, modify stream flows, and prevent fish host migration and distribution of freshwater mussels. This species is also threatened by sedimentation, dewatering, sand and gravel mining, and chemical contaminants. Additionally, these threats may be exacerbated by the current and projected effects of climate change, population fragmentation and isolation, and the anticipated threat of nonnative species. Threats to the Texas pimpleback and its habitat are not being adequately addressed through existing regulatory mechanisms. Because of the limited distribution of this endemic species and its lack of mobility, these threats may be likely to result in the extinction of the Texas pimpleback in the foreseeable future.

The threats are such that the Texas pimpleback warrants listing; the threats are high in magnitude because habitat loss and degradation from impoundments, sedimentation, sand and gravel mining, and chemical contaminants are widespread throughout the range of the Texas pimpleback and profoundly affect its survival and recruitment. Remaining populations are small, isolated, and highly vulnerable to stochastic events, which could lead to extirpation or extinction. These threats are exacerbated by climate change, which will increase the frequency and magnitude of droughts. We consider these threats to be imminent because they are ongoing and will continue in the foreseeable future. Habitat loss and degradation have already occurred and will continue as the human population continues to grow in central Texas. Texas pimpleback populations may already be below the minimum viable population requirement, which causes a reduction in the number of populations and an increase in the species vulnerability to extinction. Based on imminent, high-magnitude threats, we assigned the Texas pimpleback an LPN of 2.

Snails

Black mudalia (Elimia melanoides)— The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the

petition we received on April 20, 2010. The black mudalia is a small snail that is found clinging to clean gravel, cobble, boulders, and logs in flowing water on shoals and riffles. The historical distribution of the black mudalia encompassed over 250 mi of stream channel in the upper Black Warrior River drainage in Alabama. The species has been extirpated from more than 80 percent of that range by the construction of two major dams on the main stem Black Warrior River and another dam on the lower Sipsey Fork. Other historical causes of range curtailment in the undammed river and stream channels of the upper Black Warrior River drainage include coal mine drainage, industrial and municipal pollution events, and agricultural runoff. The black mudalia is currently known from 10 shoal populations in five streams.

Water quality and habitat degradation are the biggest threats to the continued existence of the black mudalia. Sources of point and nonpoint pollution in the Black Warrior River Basin have been numerous and widespread. Pollution is generated from inadequately treated effluent from industrial plants, sanitary landfills, sewage treatment plants, poultry operations, and cattle feedlots. Surface mining represents another threat to the biological integrity of stream habitats. Runoff from old, abandoned coal mines generates pollution through acidification, increased mineralization, and sediment loading. Most of the stream segments draining into black mudalia habitat currently support their water quality classification standards; however, the reach of the Locust Fork where the species is found is identified on the Alabama 303(d) List (a list of water bodies failing to meet their designated water-use classifications) as impaired by siltation, nutrients, and/or other habitat alterations. Overall the magnitude of threats is moderate. While all known populations are currently negatively affected by point or nonpoint source pollution, the discovery of surviving populations in shoals of five streams in the upper Black Warrior River reduces the magnitude of stochastic threats. Additional surveys that are currently underway will clarify the extent and status of black mudalia populations. The threats are ongoing, and therefore imminent. We assigned an LPN of 8 to

Magnificent ramshorn (Planorbella magnifica)—Planorbella magnifica, or magnificent ramshorn, is the largest North American air-breathing freshwater snail in the family Planorbidae. The magnificent ramshorn is believed to be a southeastern North

Carolina endemic, though the complete historical range of the species is unknown. The species is known from only four sites in the lower Cape Fear River Basin in North Carolina. Salinity and pH are major factors limiting the distribution of the magnificent ramshorn, as the snail prefers freshwater bodies with pH within the range of 6.8 to 7.5.

While several factors have likely contributed to the possible extirpation of the magnificent ramshorn in the wild, the primary factors include loss of habitat associated with the extirpation of beavers (and their impoundments) in the early 20th century, increased salinity and alteration of flow patterns, and increased input of nutrients and other pollutants. While efforts have been made to restore habitat for the magnificent ramshorn at one of the sites known to have previously supported the species, all of the sites continue to be affected or threatened by the same factors believed to have resulted in extirpation of the species from the wild. Currently, only two captive populations exist: a single robust captive population of the species comprised of approximately 100 adults, and a second small population of 35 individuals. Although the robust captive population of the species has been maintained since 1993, a single catastrophic event, such as a severe storm, disease, or predator infestation, affecting this captive population could result in the near extinction of the species. Thus, the threats are high in magnitude and imminent, and we assigned this species an LPN of 2.

Sisi snail (Ostodes strigatus)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. The sisi snail is a ground-dwelling species in the Potaridae family, and is endemic to American Samoa. The species is now known from a single population on the island of Tutuila, American Samoa.

This species is currently threatened by habitat loss and modification and by predation from nonnative predatory snails. The decline of the sisi snail in American Samoa has resulted, in part, from loss of habitat to forestry and agriculture and loss of forest structure to hurricanes and nonnative weeds that establish after these storms. All live sisi snails have been found in the leaf litter beneath remaining intact forest canopy. No snails were found in areas bordering agricultural plots or in forested areas that were severely damaged by three hurricanes (1987, 1990, and 1991). Under natural historical conditions, loss of forest canopy to storms did not pose a great threat to the long-term survival of these snails; enough intact forest with healthy populations of snails would support dispersal back into newly regrown forest canopy. However, the presence of nonnative weeds such as mile-a-minute vine (Mikania micrantha) may reduce the likelihood that native forests will re-establish in areas damaged by the hurricanes. This loss of habitat to storms is greatly exacerbated by expanding agriculture. Agricultural plots on Tutuila have spread from low elevation up to middle and some high elevations, greatly reducing the forested area and thus reducing the resilience of native forests and populations of native snails. These reductions also increase the likelihood that future storms will lead to the extinction of populations or species that rely on the remaining forest canopy. In an effort to eradicate the giant African snail (Achatina fulica), the nonnative rosy carnivore snail (Euglandina rosea) was introduced in 1980. The rosy carnivore snail has spread throughout the main island of Tutuila. Numerous studies show that the rosy carnivore snail feeds on endemic island snails including the sisi, and is a major agent in their declines and extirpations. At present, the major threat to long-term survival of the native snail fauna in American Samoa is predation by nonnative predatory snails. These threats are ongoing and are therefore imminent. As the threats occur throughout the entire range of the species and have a severe effect on the survival of the snails, they are of a high magnitude. Therefore we assigned this species an LPN of 2.

Rosemont talussnail (Sonorella rosemontensis)—We continue to find that listing these species is warranted but precluded as of the date of publication of this notice. However, we are working on a proposed listing rule that we expect to publish prior to making the next annual resubmitted petition 12-month finding. In the course of preparing the proposed listing rule, we are continuing to monitor new information about this species' status so that we can make prompt use of our authority under Section 4(b)(7) in the case of an emergency posing a significant risk to the species.

Fragile tree snail (Samoana fragilis)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. A tree-dwelling species, the fragile tree snail is a member of the Partulidae family of snails, and is endemic to the islands of Guam and Rota (Mariana Islands). Requiring cool and shaded

native forest habitat, the species is now known from one population on Guam and from one population on Rota.

The fragile tree snail is currently threatened by habitat loss and modification and by predation from nonnative predatory snails and flatworms. Large numbers of Philippine deer (Cervus mariannus) (Guam and Rota), pigs (Sus scrofa) (Guam), water buffalo (Bubalus bubalis) (Guam), and cattle (Bos taurus) (Rota) directly alter the understory plant community and overall forest microclimate, making it unsuitable for tree snails. Predation by the nonnative rosy carnivore snail (Euglandina rosea) and the Manokwar flatworm (Platydemus manokwari) is a serious threat to the survival of the fragile tree snail. Field observations have established that the rosy carnivore snail and the Manokwar flatworm will readily feed on native Pacific island tree snails, including the Partulidae, such as those of the Mariana Islands. The rosy carnivore snail has caused the extirpation of many populations and species of native snails throughout the Pacific islands. The Manokwar flatworm has also contributed to the decline of native tree snails, in part due to its ability to ascend into trees and bushes that support native snails. Areas with populations of the flatworm usually lack partulid tree snails or have declining numbers of snails. Because all of the threats occur rangewide and have a significant effect on the survival of the fragile tree snail, they are high in magnitude, and the species has a relatively high likelihood of extinction. The threats are also ongoing and thus are imminent. Therefore, we assigned this species an LPN of 2.

Guam tree snail (Partula radiolata)—
The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. A tree-dwelling species, the Guam tree snail is a member of the Partulidae family of snails and is endemic to the island of Guam. Requiring cool and shaded native forest habitat, the species is now known from 22 populations on Guam.

This species is primarily threatened by predation from nonnative predatory snails, flatworms, and rats. In addition, the species is also threatened by habitat loss and degradation. Predation by the nonnative rosy carnivore snail (Euglandina rosea) and the nonnative Manokwar flatworm (Platydemus manokwari) is a serious threat to the survival of the Guam tree snail (see summary for the fragile tree snail, above). In addition, predation by rats (Rattus spp.) is a serious and ongoing

threat to the Guam tree snail. On Guam, open agricultural fields and other areas prone to erosion were seeded with tangantangan (Leucaena leucocephala) by the U.S. Military. Tangantangan grows as a single species stand with no substantial understory. The microclimatic condition is dry with little accumulation of leaf litter humus and is unsuitable as Guam tree snail habitat. In addition, native forests cannot reestablish and grow where this nonnative weed has become established. Because all of the threats occur rangewide and have a significant effect on the survival of this snail species, they are high in magnitude, and the species has a relatively high likelihood of extinction. The threats are also ongoing and thus are imminent. Therefore, we assigned this species an

Humped tree snail (Partula gibba)— The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. A tree-dwelling species, the humped tree snail is a member of the Partulidae family of snails, and was originally known from the island of Guam and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (islands of Rota, Aguiguan, Tinian, Saipan, Anatahan, Sarigan, Alamagan, and Pagan). Until recently, the species was known from a total of 14 populations on the islands of Guam, Rota, Aguiguan, Sarigan, Saipan, Alamagan, and Pagan. However, new (2011) information indicates that P. gibba may be found only on the islands of Guam, Saipan, Sarigan, and Pagan. This information also suggests that the individuals identified as P. gibba on Rota may be a different species. Although still the most widely distributed tree snail endemic in the Mariana Islands, remaining population sizes are often small.

This species is currently threatened by habitat loss and modification and by predation from nonnative predatory snails, flat worms, and rats. Throughout the Mariana Islands, feral ungulates (pigs (Sus scrofa), Philippine deer (Cervus mariannus), cattle (Bos taurus), water buffalo (Bubalus bubalis), and goats (Capra hircus)) have caused severe damage to native forest vegetation by browsing directly on plants, causing erosion, and retarding forest growth and regeneration. This in turn reduces the quantity and quality of forested habitat for the humped tree snail. Currently, populations of feral ungulates are found on the islands of Guam (deer, pigs, and water buffalo), Rota (deer and cattle), Aguiguan (goats), Saipan (deer, pigs, and cattle), Alamagan (goats, pigs, and

cattle), and Pagan (cattle, goats, and pigs). Goats were eradicated from Sarigan in 1998, and the humped tree snail has increased in abundance on that island, likely in response to the removal of all the goats. However, the population of humped tree snails on Anatahan is likely extirpated due to the massive volcanic explosions of the island beginning in 2003 and still continuing, and the resulting loss of up to 95 percent of the vegetation on the island. Predation by the nonnative rosy carnivore snail (Euglandina rosea), and the nonnative Manokwar flatworm (Platydemus manokwari) is a serious threat to the survival of the humped tree snail (see summary for the fragile tree snail, above). In addition, predation by rats (Rattus spp.) is a serious and ongoing threat to the humped tree snail. The magnitude of threats is high because these nonnative predators cause significant population declines to the humped tree snail rangewide. These threats are ongoing and thus are imminent. Therefore, we assigned this species an LPN of 2.

Langford's tree snail (*Partula langfordi*)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. A tree-dwelling species, Langford's tree snail is a member of the Partulidae family of snails, and is known from one population on the

island of Aguiguan.

This species is currently threatened by habitat loss and modification and by predation from nonnative predatory snails. In the 1930s, the island of Aguiguan was mostly cleared of native forests to support sugar cane and pineapple production. The abandoned fields and airstrip are now overgrown with nonnative weeds. The remaining native forest understory has greatly suffered from large and uncontrolled populations of alien goats and the invasion of weeds. Goats (Capra hircus) have caused severe damage to native forest vegetation by browsing directly on plants, causing erosion, and retarding forest growth and regeneration. This, in turn, reduces the quantity and quality of forested habitat for Langford's tree snail. Predation by the nonnative rosy carnivore snail (Euglandina rosea) and by the Manokwar flatworm (*Platydemus* manokwari) (see summary for the fragile tree snail, above) is also a serious threat to the survival of Langford's tree snail. In addition, predation by rats (*Rattus* spp.) is a serious and ongoing threat to Langford's tree snail. All of the threats are occurring rangewide, and no efforts to control or eradicate the nonnative

predatory snail species or rats, or to reduce habitat loss, are being undertaken. The magnitude of threats is high because they result in direct mortality and significant population declines to Langford's tree snail rangewide. A survey of Aguiguan in November 2006 failed to find any live Langford's tree snails. These threats are also ongoing and thus are imminent. Therefore, we assigned this species an LPN of 2.

Tutuila tree snail (*Eua zebrina*)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. A tree-dwelling species, the Tutuila tree snail is a member of the Partulidae family of snails, and is endemic to American Samoa. The species is known from 32 populations on the islands of Tutuila, Nuusetoga, and Ofu.

This species is currently threatened by habitat loss and modification and by predation from nonnative predatory snails and rats. All live Tutuila tree snails were found on understory vegetation beneath remaining intact forest canopy. No snails were found in areas bordering agricultural plots or in forested areas that were severely damaged by three hurricanes (1987) 1990, and 1991). (See summary for the sisi snail, above, regarding impacts of nonnative weeds and of the rosy carnivore snail.) Rats (*Rattus* spp.) have also been shown to devastate snail populations, and rat-chewed snail shells have been found at sites where the Tutuila snail occurs. At present, the major threat to the long-term survival of the native snail fauna in American Samoa is predation by nonnative predatory snails and rats. The magnitude of threats is high because they result in direct mortality and significant population declines to the Tutuila tree snail rangewide. The threats are also ongoing and thus are imminent. Therefore, we assigned this species an

Huachuca springsnail (*Pyrgulopsis* thompsoni)—The following is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition received on May 11, 2004. The Huachuca springsnail inhabits approximately 19 springs in southeastern Arizona and two springs in Sonora, Mexico. The springsnail is typically found in shallow water habitats, often in rocky seeps at the spring source. Potential threats include habitat modification and destruction through catastrophic wildfire and unmanaged grazing at the landscape scale. Overall, the threats are low in magnitude because threats are not

occurring throughout the range of the species uniformly and not all populations would likely be affected simultaneously by the known threats. We have no site-specific information indicating that grazing is currently ongoing in or adjacent to occupied habitats and catastrophic wildfire is not known to be an imminent threat. Accordingly, threats are nonimminent. Therefore, we retain an LPN of 11 for this species.

Page springsnail (*Pyrgulopsis morrisoni*)—See above in "Listing Priority Changes in Candidates." The above summary is based on information contained in our files.

Insects

Hawaiian yellow-faced bee (Hylaeus anthracinus)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files and in the petition that we received for this species on March 23, 2009. Hylaeus anthracinus is a species of Hawaiian yellow-faced bee (family Colletidae) found in certain coastal areas and dry lowland forests containing native plant communities on the islands of Hawaii, Kahoolawe, Lanai, Maui, Molokai, and Oahu. Hylaeus anthracinus is currently known from 13 populations comprised of an unknown number of individuals. This species is threatened by ongoing habitat loss and modification due to the effects of feral ungulates, nonnative plants, wildfire, and climate change. Hylaeus anthracinus is directly threatened by predation from yellowjacket wasps and several species of nonnative ants. Additional indirect threats to the species include the limited number of and small size of populations, competition from European honey bees, the possibility of habitat destruction from stochastic and catastrophic events, and a lack of regulatory mechanisms affording protection to the species.

Some Hvlaeus anthracinus populations occur in areas that are managed for one or more of the threats affecting habitat; however no population is entirely protected from impacts to habitat, and predation on the species is not currently managed at any population site. We consider the threats to *H. anthracinus* to be high in magnitude because their severity endangers the species with a high likelihood of extinction throughout its entire range. The threats to *H*. anthracinus are imminent, because they are ongoing. Therefore, we have assigned this species an LPN of 2.

Hawaiian yellow-faced bee (*Hylaeus assimulans*)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files and in the petition that we received

for this species on March 23, 2009. Hylaeus assimulans is a species of Hawaiian yellow-faced bee (family Colletidae) found in certain coastal areas and dry lowland forests containing native plant communities on the islands of Hawaii, Kahoolawe, Lanai, Maui, Molokai, and Oahu. Hylaeus assimulans is currently known from 13 populations comprised of an unknown number of individuals. This species is threatened by ongoing habitat loss and modification due to the effects of feral ungulates, nonnative plants, wildfire, and climate change. *Hylaeus assimulans* is directly threatened by predation from yellowjacket wasps and several species of nonnative ants. Additional indirect threats to the species include the limited number of and small size of populations, competition from European honey bees, the possibility of habitat destruction from stochastic and catastrophic events, and a lack of regulatory mechanisms affording protection to the species.

Some Hylaeus assimulans populations occur in areas that are managed for one or more of the threats affecting habitat; however no population is entirely protected from impacts to habitat, and predation on the species is not currently managed at any population site. We consider the threats to *H. assimulans* to be high in magnitude because their severity endangers the species with a high likelihood of extinction throughout its entire range. The threats to H. assimulans are imminent, because they are ongoing. Therefore, we have assigned this species an LPN of 2.

Hawaiian yellow-faced bee (Hylaeus facilis)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files and in the petition that we received for this species on March 23, 2009. Hylaeus facilis is a species of Hawaiian yellow-faced bee (family Colletidae) with a wide historical range of native plant community habitat including coastal areas, lowland dry and wet forests, and montane mesic forests on the islands of Lanai, Maui, Molokai, and Oahu. Now extirpated from the islands of Lanai and Maui, H. facilis is currently known from two populations comprised of an unknown number of individuals. This species is threatened by ongoing habitat loss and modification due to the effects of feral ungulates, nonnative plants, wildfire, and climate change. Hylaeus facilis is directly threatened by predation from yellowjacket wasps and several species of nonnative ants. Additional indirect threats to the species include the limited number of and small size of populations, competition from European honey bees,

the possibility of habitat destruction from stochastic and catastrophic events, and a lack of regulatory mechanisms affording protection to the species.

Both of the *Hylaeus facilis* populations occur in areas that are managed for one or more of the threats affecting habitat; however no population is entirely protected from impacts to habitat, and predation upon the species is not currently managed within any population site. We consider the threats to *H. facilis* to be high in magnitude because their severity endangers the species with a high likelihood of extinction throughout its entire range. The threats to *H. facilis* are imminent, because they are ongoing. Therefore, we have assigned this species an LPN of 2.

Hawaiian yellow-faced bee (*Hylaeus hilaris*)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files and in the petition that we received for this species on March 23, 2009. Hylaeus hilaris is a cleptoparasitic species of Hawaiian vellow-faced bee (family Colletidae) with a historical range in coastal habitat on the islands of Lanai, Maui, and Molokai. Now extirpated from the islands of Lanai and Maui, H. hilaris is currently known from a single population on Molokai comprised of an unknown number of individuals. This species is threatened by ongoing habitat loss and modification due to the effects of feral ungulates, nonnative plants, wildfire, and climate change. Hylaeus hilaris is directly threatened by predation from vellowjacket wasps and several species of nonnative ants. Additional indirect threats to the species include the limited number of and small size of its population, competition from European honey bees, the possibility of habitat destruction from stochastic and catastrophic events, and a lack of regulatory mechanisms affording protection to the species.

The *Hylaeus hilaris* population occurs within a private preserve that is managed for one or more of the threats affecting habitat; however the population is not entirely protected from impacts to habitat, and predation upon the species is not currently managed at all. We consider the threats to *H. hilaris* to be high in magnitude because their severity endangers the species with a high likelihood of extinction throughout its entire range. The threats to *H. hilaris* are imminent, because they are ongoing. Therefore, we have assigned this species an LPN of 2.

Hawaiian yellow-faced bee (*Hylaeus kuakea*)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files and in the petition that we received for this species on March 23, 2009.

Hylaeus kuakea is a species of Hawaiian vellow-faced bee (family Colletidae) found in lowland mesic forests on the island of Oahu. Hylaeus kuakea is currently known from two populations comprised of an unknown number of individuals. This species is threatened by ongoing habitat loss and modification due to the effects of feral ungulates, nonnative plants, wildfire, and climate change. Hylaeus kuakea is directly threatened by predation from yellowjacket wasps and several species of nonnative ants. Additional indirect threats to the species include the limited number of and small size of populations, competition from European honey bees, the possibility of habitat destruction from stochastic and catastrophic events, and a lack of regulatory mechanisms affording protection to the species.

Both Hylaeus kuakea populations occur in areas that are managed for one or more of the threats affecting habitat; however no population is entirely protected from impacts to habitat, and predation on the species is not currently managed within either population site. We consider the threats to H. kuakea to be high in magnitude because their severity endangers the species with a high likelihood of extinction throughout its entire range. The threats to H. kuakea are imminent, because they are ongoing. Therefore, we have assigned this species an LPN of 2.

Hawaiian yellow-faced bee (Hylaeus longiceps)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files and in the petition that we received for this species on March 23, 2009. Hylaeus longiceps is a species of Hawaiian yellow-faced bee (family Colletidae) found in certain coastal areas and dry lowland forest containing native plant communities on the islands of Lanai, Maui, Molokai, and Oahu. Hylaeus longiceps is currently known from six populations comprised of an unknown number of individuals. This species is threatened by ongoing habitat loss and modification due to the effects of feral ungulates, nonnative plants, wildfire, and climate change. Hylaeus longiceps is directly threatened by predation from yellowjacket wasps and several species of nonnative ants. Additional indirect threats to the species include the limited number of and small size of populations, competition from European honey bees, the possibility of habitat destruction from stochastic and catastrophic events, and a lack of regulatory mechanisms affording protection to the species.

Some *Hylaeus longiceps* populations occur in areas that are managed for one or more of the threats affecting habitat;

however no population is entirely protected from impacts to habitat, and predation on the species is not currently managed within any population site. We consider the threats to *H. longiceps* to be high in magnitude because their severity endangers the species with a high likelihood of extinction throughout its entire range. The threats to *H. longiceps* are imminent, because they are ongoing. Therefore, we have assigned this species an LPN of 2.

Hawaiian yellow-faced bee (Hylaeus mana)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files and in the petition that we received for this species on March 23, 2009. Hylaeus mana is a species of Hawaiian yellow-faced bee (family Colletidae) found in lowland mesic forests on the island of Oahu. Hylaeus mana is currently known from a single population comprised of an unknown number of individuals. This species is threatened by ongoing habitat loss and modification due to the effects of feral ungulates, nonnative plants, wildfire, and climate change. Hylaeus mana is directly threatened by predation from yellowjacket wasps and several species of nonnative ants. Additional indirect threats to the species include the limited number of and small size of populations, competition from European honey bees, the possibility of habitat destruction from stochastic and catastrophic events, and a lack of regulatory mechanisms affording protection to the species.

The Hylaeus mana population occurs in an area that is managed for one or more of the threats affecting habitat; however the population is not entirely protected from impacts to habitat, and predation on the species is not currently managed at all. We consider the threats to H. mana to be high in magnitude because their severity endangers the species with a high likelihood of extinction throughout its entire range. The threats to H. mana are imminent, because they are ongoing. Therefore, we have assigned this species an LPN of 2.

Hermes copper butterfly (Hermelycaena [Lycaena] hermes)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. Hermes copper butterfly primarily occurs in San Diego County, California, and a few records of the species have been documented in Baja California, Mexico. The species inhabits coastal sage scrub and southern mixed chaparral and is dependent on its larval host plant, *Rhamnus crocea* (spiny redberry), to complete its lifecycle. Adult Hermes copper butterflies lay single eggs on spiny redberry stems where they hatch and feed until

pupation occurs at the base of the plant. Hermes copper butterflies have one flight period occurring in mid-May to early-July, depending on weather conditions and elevation. We estimate there were at least 57 known separate historical populations throughout the species' range since the species was first described. Of the 57 known Hermes copper butterfly populations, 17 are extant, 28 are believed to have been extirpated, and 12 are of unknown status.

Primary threats to the Hermes copper butterfly are megafires (large wildfires), and small and isolated populations. Secondary threats include increased wildfire frequency that results in habitat loss, and combined impacts of existing development, possible future (limited) development, existing dispersal barriers, and megafires that result in fragmentation of habitat. The Hermes copper butterfly occupies scattered areas of sage scrub and chaparral habitat in an arid region susceptible to wildfires of increasing frequency and size. The likelihood that individuals of the species will be burned as a result of catastrophic wildfires, combined with the isolation and small size of extant populations makes the Hermes copper butterfly particularly vulnerable to population extirpation rangewide. Overall, the threats that the Hermes copper butterfly faces are high in magnitude because the major threats (particularly mortality due to wildfire and increased wildfire frequency) occur throughout all of the species' range and are likely to result in adverse impacts to the species. The threats are nonimminent overall because the presence of wildfire in the Hermes copper butterfly habitat occurs on a sporadic basis and we do not have the ability to predict when wildfires will occur. This species faces highmagnitude nonimminent threats; therefore, we assigned this species an LPN of 5.

Mariana eight spot butterfly (Hypolimnas octucula mariannensis)— The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. The Mariana eight spot butterfly is a nymphalid butterfly species that feeds upon two host plants, *Procris* pedunculata and Elatostema calcareum. Endemic to the islands of Guam and Saipan, the species is now only known from 10 populations on Guam. This species is currently threatened by predation and parasitism. The Mariana eight spot butterfly has extremely high mortality of eggs and larvae due to predation by nonnative ants and wasps.

Because the threat of parasitism and predation by nonnative insects occurs rangewide and can cause significant population declines to this species, they are high in magnitude. The threats are imminent because they are ongoing. Therefore, we assigned an LPN of 3 for this subspecies.

Mariana wandering butterfly (Vagrans egistina)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. The Mariana wandering butterfly is a nymphalid butterfly species that feeds upon a single host plant species, Maytenus thompsonii. Originally known from and endemic to the islands of Guam and Rota, the species is now known from one population on Rota. This species is currently threatened by nonnative predation and parasitism. The Mariana wandering butterfly is likely predated by nonnative ants and parasitized by native and nonnative parasitoids. Because the threats of parasitism and predation by nonnative insects occur rangewide and can cause significant population declines to this species, leading to a relatively high likelihood of extinction, they are high in magnitude. These threats are imminent because they are ongoing. Therefore, we assigned an LPN of 2 to this species.

Puerto Rican harlequin butterfly (Atlantea tulita)—The following summary is based on information in our files and in the petition we received on Feburary 29, 2009. The Puerto Rican harlequin butterfly is endemic to Puerto Rico, and one of the four species endemic to the Greater Antilles within the genus Atlantea. This species occurs within the subtropical moist forest life zone in the northern karst region (i.e., municipality of Quebradillas) of Puerto Rico, and in the subtropical wet forest (i.e., Maricao Commonwealth Forest, municipality of Maricao). The Puerto Rican harlequin butterfly has only been found utilizing Oplonia spinosa (prickly bush) as its host plant (i.e., plant used for laying the eggs, also serves as a food source for development of the larvae).

The primary threats to the Puerto Rican harlequin butterfly are development, habitat fragmentation, and other natural or manmade factors such as human-induced fires, use of herbicides and pesticides, vegetation management, and climate change. These factors would substantially affect the distribution and abundance of the species, as well as its habitat. In addition, the lack of effective enforcement makes the existing policies and regulations inadequate for the protection of the species' habitat. These threats are high in magnitude and

imminent because known populations occur in areas that are subject to development, increased traffic, and increased road maintenance and construction. Such threats directly affect populations during all life stages. We expect these threats to continue and potentially increase in the foreseeable future. Therefore, we assigned a LPN of 2 to this species.

Sequatchie caddisfly (Glyphopsyche sequatchie)—The following summary is based on information in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. The Sequatchie caddisfly is known from two spring runs that emerge from caves in Marion County, Tennessee-Owen Spring Branch (the type locality) and Martin Spring run in the Battle Creek system. In 1998, biologists estimated population sizes at 500 to 5,000 individuals for Owen Spring Branch and 2 to 10 times higher at Martin Spring, due to the greater amount of apparently suitable habitat. In spite of greater amounts of suitable habitat at the Martin Spring run, Sequatchie caddisflies are more difficult to find at this site, and in 2001 (the most recent survey), the Sequatchie caddisfly was "abundant" at the Owen Spring Branch location, while only two individuals were observed at the Martin Spring.

Threats to the Sequatchie caddisfly include siltation, point and nonpoint discharges from municipal and industrial activities, and introduction of toxicants during episodic events. These threats, coupled with the extremely limited distribution of the species, its apparent small population size, the limited amount of occupied habitat, ease of accessibility, and the annual life cycle of the species, are all factors that leave the Sequatchie caddisfly vulnerable to extirpation. Therefore, the magnitude of the threat is high. These threats are gradual and not imminent. Based on high-magnitude and nonimminent threats, we assigned this species an LPN of 5.

Clifton Cave beetle (Pseudanophthalmus caecus)—The following summary is based upon information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Clifton Cave beetle is a small, eyeless, reddish-brown, predatory insect that feeds upon small cave invertebrates. It is cave dependent, and is not found outside the cave environment. Clifton Cave beetle is only known from two privately owned Kentucky caves. Soon after the species was first collected in 1963 in one cave, the cave entrance was enclosed due to road construction. We do not know whether the species still

occurs at the original location or if it has been extirpated from the site by the closure of the cave entrance. Other caves in the vicinity of this cave were surveyed for the species during 1995 and 1996, and only one additional site was found to support the Clifton Cave beetle. The limestone caves in which the Clifton Cave beetle is found provide a unique and fragile environment that supports a variety of species that have evolved to survive and reproduce under the demanding conditions found in cave ecosystems. The limited distribution of the species makes it vulnerable to isolated events that would only have a minimal effect on more wide-ranging insects. Events such as toxic chemical spills or discharges of large amounts of polluted water, or indirect impacts from off-site construction activities, closure of entrances, alteration of entrances, or the creation of new entrances, could have serious adverse impacts on this species. Therefore, the magnitude of threat is high for this species. The threats are nonimminent because there are no known projects planned that would affect the species in the near future. We therefore have assigned an LPN of 5 to this species.

Coleman cave beetle (Pseudanophthalmus colemanensis)— The following summary is based upon information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on April 20, 2010. The Coleman cave beetle is a small, eyeless, reddish-brown, predatory insect that feeds upon small cave invertebrates. It is cave dependent and is not found outside the cave environment. It is only known from three Tennessee caves. The limestone caves in which this species is found provide a unique and fragile environment that support a variety of species that have evolved to survive and reproduce under the demanding conditions found in cave ecosystems. Caves and the species that are completely dependent upon them receive the energy that forms the basis of the cave food chain from outside the cave. This energy can be in the form of bat guano deposited by cave-dependent bats, large or small woody debris washed or blown into the cave, or tiny bits of organic matter that are carried into the cave by water through small cracks in the rocks overlaying the cave.

The Coleman cave beetle was originally known only from privately owned Coleman Cave in Montgomery County. This cave formerly supported a colony of endangered gray bats (*Myotis grisescens*). The bats have abandoned this cave because of air flow changes in the cave caused by closure of an upper

entrance to the cave. Although the cave is protected by a cooperative management agreement with the landowner, the upper entrance has not been restored, and the bats have not returned to the cave. A new location for the species was discovered during a biological inventory of Foster Cave (also known as Darnell Cave). One specimen of the species was found during that survey. Foster Cave is on a preserve owned and managed by the Tennessee Department of Conservation. In 2006, specimens of this species were discovered in Bellamy Cave and in Darnell Spring Cave (part of the same cave complex as Foster Cave). All of these sites are in close proximity to each other. Bellamy Cave is owned and managed by the Tennessee Wildlife Resources Agency (TWRA). Both Foster Cave and Bellamy Cave were first acquired and protected by The Nature Conservancy and later transferred to the State for long-term protection and management. The threats are nonimminent because there are no known projects planned that would affect the species in the next few years. Because the species occurs at four locations and receives some protection under a cooperative management agreement and protective ownership, the magnitude of threats is moderate to low. Thus, we have assigned an LPN of 11 to this species.

Icebox Cave beetle (Pseudanophthalmus frigidus)—The following summary is based upon information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Icebox Cave beetle is a small, eyeless, reddish-brown, predatory insect that feeds upon small cave invertebrates. It is not found outside the cave environment and is only known from one privately owned Kentucky cave.

The limestone cave in which this species is found provides a unique and fragile environment that supports a variety of species that have evolved to survive and reproduce under the demanding conditions found in cave ecosystems. The species has not been observed since it was originally collected, but species experts believe that it may still exist in the cave in low numbers. The limited distribution of the species makes it vulnerable to isolated events that would only have a minimal effect on more wide-ranging insects. Events such as toxic chemical spills or discharges of large amounts of polluted water, or indirect impacts from off-site construction activities, closure of entrances, alteration of entrances, or the creation of new entrances, could have serious adverse impacts on this species.

Therefore, the magnitude of threat is high for this species because it is limited in distribution and the threats would result in a high level of mortality or reduced reproductive capacity. The threats are nonimminent because there are no known projects planned that would affect the species in the near future. We therefore have assigned an LPN of 5 to this species.

Inquirer Cave beetle (Pseudanophthalmus inquisitor)—The following summary is based upon information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. The Inquirer Cave beetle is a fairly small, eyeless, reddish-brown, predatory insect that feeds upon small cave invertebrates. It is not found outside the cave environment and is only known from one privately owned Tennessee cave.

The limestone cave in which this species is found provides a unique and fragile environment that supports a variety of species that have evolved to survive and reproduce under the demanding conditions found in cave ecosystems. The species was last observed in 2006. The limited distribution of the species makes it vulnerable to isolated events that would only have a minimal effect on more wide-ranging insects. The area around the only known site for the species is in a rapidly expanding urban area. The entrance to the cave is protected by the landowner through a cooperative management agreement with the Service, The Nature Conservancy and Tennessee Wildlife Resources Agency; however, a sinkhole that drains into the cave system is located away from the protected entrance and is near a highway. Events such as toxic chemical spills or discharges of large amounts of polluted water or indirect impacts from off-site construction activities could adversely affect the species and the cave habitat. The magnitude of threat is high for this species because it is limited in distribution and the threats would have severe negative impacts on its continued existence. The threats are nonimminent because there are no known projects planned that would affect the species in the near future and it receives some protection under a cooperative management agreement. We therefore have assigned an LPN of 5 to this species.

Louisville Cave beetle (*Pseudanophthalmus troglodytes*)—The following summary is based upon information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. The Louisville Cave beetle is a small,

eyeless, reddish-brown, predatory insect that feeds upon cave invertebrates. It is not found outside the cave environment and is only known from two privately owned Kentucky caves.

The limestone caves in which this species is found provide a unique and fragile environment that supports a variety of species that have evolved to survive and reproduce under the demanding conditions found in cave ecosystems. The limited distribution of the species makes it vulnerable to isolated events that would only have a minimal effect on more wide-ranging insects. Events such as toxic chemical spills or discharges of large amounts of polluted water, or indirect impacts from off-site construction activities, closure of entrances, alteration of entrances, or the creation of new entrances could have serious adverse impacts on this species. The magnitude of threat is high for this species, because it is limited in distribution and the threats would have severe negative impacts on the species. The threats are nonimminent because there are no known projects planned that would affect the species in the near future. We therefore have assigned an LPN of 5 to this species.

Tatum Cave beetle (*Pseudanophthalmus parvus*)—The following summary is based upon information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Tatum Cave beetle is a small, eyeless, reddish-brown, predatory insect that feeds upon cave invertebrates. It is not found outside the cave environment and is only known from one privately owned Kentucky cave.

The limestone cave in which this species is found provides a unique and fragile environment that supports a variety of species that have evolved to survive and reproduce under the demanding conditions found in cave ecosystems. The species has not been observed since 1965, but species experts believe that it still exists in low numbers. The limited distribution of the species makes it vulnerable to isolated events that would only have a minimal effect on more wide-ranging insects. Events such as toxic chemical spills or discharges of large amounts of polluted water, or indirect impacts from off-site construction activities, closure of entrances, alteration of entrances, or the creation of new entrances, could have serious adverse impacts on this species. The magnitude of threat is high for this species, because its limited numbers mean that any threats could severely affect its continued existence. The threats are nonimminent because there are no known projects planned that

would affect the species in the near future. We therefore have assigned an LPN of 5 to this species.

Orangeblack Hawaiian damselfly (Megalagrion xanthomelas)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. The orangeblack Hawaiian damselfly is a stream-dwelling species endemic to the Hawaiian Islands of Kauai, Oahu, Molokai, Maui, Lanai, and Hawaii. The species no longer is found on Kauai, and is now restricted to 16 populations on the islands of Oahu, Maui, Molokai, Lanai, and Hawaii. This species is threatened by predation from nonnative aquatic species such as fish and predacious insects, and by habitat loss through dewatering of streams and invasion by nonnative plants. Nonnative fish and insects prey on the naiads of the damselfly, and loss of water reduces the amount of suitable naiad habitat. Invasive plants (e.g., California grass (Brachiaria mutica)) also contribute to loss of habitat by forming dense, monotypic stands that completely eliminate open water. Nonnative fish and plants are found in all the streams where orangeblack Hawaiian damselflies occur, except at the Oahu location, where there are no nonnative fish. Predation and habitat loss are ongoing and therefore imminent; they are of moderate magnitude, because they affect the survival of the species to varying degrees throughout the species' range. We therefore assign an LPN of 8 to this species.

Stephan's riffle beetle (Heterelmis stephani)—See above in "Listing Priority Changes in Candidates." The above summary is based on information contained in our files.

Dakota skipper (Hesperia dacotae)-We continue to find that listing this species is warranted but precluded as of the date of publication of this notice. However, we are working on a proposed listing rule that we expect to publish prior to making the next annual resubmitted petition 12-month finding. In the course of preparing the proposed listing rule, we are continuing to monitor new information about this species' status so that we can make prompt use of our authority under Section 4(b)(7) in the case of an emergency posing a significant risk to the species.

Meltwater lednian stonefly (*Lednia tumana*)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files and in the petition we received on July 30, 2007. This species is an aquatic insect in the order Plecoptera (stoneflies). Stoneflies are primarily

associated with clean, cool streams and rivers. Eggs and nymphs (juveniles) of the meltwater lednian stonefly are found in high-elevation, alpine, and subalpine streams, most typically in locations closely linked to glacial runoff. The species is generally restricted to streams with mean summer water temperature less than 10 °C (50 °F). Adults emerge from the nymph stage and mate in streamside vegetation. The only known meltwater lednian stonefly occurrences are within Glacier National Park (NP), Montana.

Climate change, and the associated effects of glacier loss (with glaciers predicted to be gone by 2030)including reduced streamflows, and increased water temperatures—are expected to significantly reduce the occurrence of populations and extent of suitable habitat for the species in Glacier NP. In addition, the existing regulatory mechanisms do not address environmental changes due to global climate change. We announced candidate status for the meltwater lednian stonefly in a warranted-but precluded 12-month petition finding published on April 5, 2011 (76 FR 18684). We have assigned the species an LPN of 5 based on three criteria: (1) The high magnitude of threat, which is projected to substantially reduce the amount of suitable habitat relative to the species' current range; (2) the low imminence of the threat based on the lack of documented evidence that climate change is affecting stonefly habitat; and (3) the taxonomic status of the species, which is a full species.

Highlands tiger beetle (Cicindela highlandensis)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. The Highlands tiger beetle is narrowly distributed and restricted to areas of bare sand within scrub and sandhill on ancient sand dunes of the Lake Wales Ridge in Polk and Highlands Counties, Florida. Adult tiger beetles have been most recently found at 40 sites at the core of the Lake Wales Ridge. In 2004-2005 surveys, a total of 1,574 adults were found at 40 sites, compared with 643 adults at 31 sites in 1996, 928 adults at 31 sites in 1995, and 742 adults at 21 sites in 1993. Of the 40 sites in the 2004–2005 surveys with one or more adults, results ranged from 3 sites with large populations of over 100 adults, to 13 sites with fewer than 10 adults. Results from a limited removal study at four sites and similar studies suggested that the actual population size at some survey sites can be as much as two times as high as indicated by the visual

index counts. If assumptions are correct and unsurveyed habitat is included, then the total number of adults at all survey sites might be 3,000 to 4,000.

Habitat loss and fragmentation and lack of fire and disturbances to create open habitat conditions are serious threats; remaining patches of suitable habitat are disjunct and isolated. Populations occupy relatively small patches of habitat and are small and isolated; individuals have difficulty dispersing between suitable habitats. These factors pose serious threats to the species. Although significant progress in implementing prescribed fire has occurred over the last 10 years through collaborative partnerships and the Lake Wales Ridge Prescribed Fire Team, a backlog of long-unburned habitat within conservation areas remains. Overcollection and pesticide use are additional concerns. Because this species is narrowly distributed with specific habitat requirements and small populations, any of the threats could have a significant impact on the survival of the species, leading to a relatively high likelihood of extinction. Therefore, the magnitude of threats is high. Although the majority of its historical range has been lost, degraded, and fragmented, numerous sites are protected, and land managers are implementing prescribed fire at some sites; these actions are expected to restore habitat and help reduce threats and have already helped stabilize and improve the populations. Habitat management at some sites may be forestalling the threat of vegetation encroaching into bare sand areas needed by the beetle. While the species is inherently vulnerable to extinction due to its low population sizes, restricted range, small and isolated habitat patches, and difficulty in dispersal between suitable habitats, the immediacy of these threats is unknown. Thus, overall, the threats are nonimminent. Therefore, we assigned the Highlands tiger beetle an LPN of 5.

Arachnids

Warton's cave meshweaver (*Cicurina wartoni*)—The Warton's Cave meshweaver is an eyeless, cavedwelling, unpigmented, 0.23-inch-long invertebrate known only from female specimens. This meshweaver is known to occur in only one cave (Pickle Pit) in Travis County, Texas. Primary threats to the species and its habitat are predation and competition from red-imported fire ants, surface and subsurface effects from polluted runoff from an adjacent subdivision, unauthorized entry into the area surrounding the cave, and trash dumping that may include toxic

materials near the feature. The magnitude of threats is now considered low to moderate based on observations made during field visits to Pickle Pit in November 2011 and March 2012. For example, Pickle Pit is receiving some protection because it is in a mitigation preserve for the golden-cheeked warbler (Dendroica chrysoparia). While adequate fencing has not been completed, we did not see trails or other signs of recent human use in the immediate vicinity of the cave. Also, despite the fact that this preserve is not receiving red-imported fire ant treatment, we did not see many redimported fire ants in the immediate area. Because fire ants have been found and fencing to eliminate human use has not been completed, the threats are ongoing (imminent). Thus, we assigned this species a LPN of 8.

Crustaceans

Anchialine pool shrimp (*Metabetaeus lohena*)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Metabetaeus lohena is an anchialine pool-inhabiting species of shrimp belonging to the family Alpheidae. This species was originally thought to be endemic to the Hawaiian Islands with populations on the islands of Oahu, Maui, and Hawaii. Recent information indicates this species may also occur in Rapa Nui, a special territory of Chile. The current status of this species in Rapa Nui and the primary threats there are unknown at this time.

The primary threats to this species in Hawaii are predation by fish (which do not naturally occur in the pools inhabited by this species) and habitat loss from degradation (primarily from illegal trash dumping). The pools where this species occurs on the islands of Maui and Hawaii are located within State Natural Area Reserves (NAR) and in a National Park. Both the State NARs and the National Park prohibit the collection of the species and the disturbance of the pools. However, enforcement of collection and disturbance prohibitions is difficult, and the negative effects from the introduction of fish are extensive and happen quickly. On Oahu, four pools are located in a National Wildlife Refuge and are protected from collection and disturbance to the pool; however, on State-owned land where the species occurs, there is no protection from collection or disturbance of the pools. Because of the limited number of sites where this species occurs, collection or disturbance of the species, particularly

on State-owned lands, could have a significant adverse effect on the survival of the species, leading to a relatively high likelihood of extinction, and are of a high magnitude. However, the primary threats of predation from fish and loss of habitat due to degradation are nonimminent overall, because on the islands of Maui and Hawaii no fish were observed in any of the pools where this species occurs and there has been no documented trash dumping in these pools. Only one site on Oahu had a trash dumping instance, and in that case the trash was cleaned up immediately, and the species was subsequently observed. No additional dumping events are known to have occurred. We have assigned this species an LPN of 5. The Service is currently seeking any additional information on the status of, and the threats to, the population(s) of Metabetaeus lohena in any location outside of the United States. The Service may consider removing this species as a candidate for listing depending upon our review of new information regarding the status and distribution of this species outside the United States.

Anchialine pool shrimp (Palaemonella burnsi)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Palaemonella burnsi is an anchialine pool-inhabiting species of shrimp belonging to the family Palaemonidae. This species was originally thought to be endemic to the Hawaiian Islands with populations on the islands of Maui at three sites and Hawaii in several pools at one site. Recent information indicates this species may also occur in the Ryukyu Islands, Japan. The current status of this species in the Ryukyu Islands and the primary threats there are unknown at this time.

The primary threats to this species are predation by nonnative fish (which do not naturally occur in the pools inhabited by this species) and habitat loss due to degradation (primarily from illegal trash dumping). The pools where this species occurs on Maui are located within a State Natural Area Reserve (NAR). Hawaii's State statutes prohibit the collection of the species and the disturbance of the pools in State NARs. On the island of Hawaii, the species occurs within a State NAR and a National Park, where collection and disturbance are also prohibited. However, enforcement of these prohibitions is difficult, and the negative effects from the introduction of fish are extensive and happen quickly, in part because the pools are very small.

Therefore, threats to this species could have a significant adverse effect on the survival of the species, leading to a relatively high likelihood of extinction, and are of a high magnitude. However, the threats are nonimminent, because surveys in 2004 and 2007 did not find fish in the pools where these shrimp occur on Maui or the island of Hawaii. Also, there was no evidence of recent habitat degradation at those pools. We assigned this species an LPN of 5. The Service is currently seeking any additional information on the status of, and the threats to, the population(s) of Palaemonella burnsi in any location outside of the United States. The Service may consider removing this species as a candidate for listing depending upon our review of new information regarding the status and distribution of this species outside the United States.

Anchialine pool shrimp (Procaris hawaiana)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Procaris hawaiana is an anchialine pool-inhabiting species of shrimp belonging to the family Procarididae. This species is endemic to the Hawaiian Islands, and is currently known from 2 pools on the island of Maui and 13 pools on the island of Hawaii. The primary threats to this species are predation from fish (which do not naturally occur in the pools inhabited by this species) and habitat loss due to degradation (primarily from illegal trash dumping). The pools where this species occurs on Maui are located within a State Natural Area Reserve (NAR). Hawaii's State statutes prohibit the collection of the species and the disturbance of the pools in State NARs. Twelve of the pools on the island of Hawaii are also located within a State NAR. However, enforcement of these prohibitions is difficult, and the negative effects from the introduction of fish are extensive and happen quickly. In addition, there are no prohibitions for either removal of the species or disturbance to the pool for the one pool located outside a NAR on the island of Hawaii. Therefore, threats to this species could have a significant adverse effect on the survival of the species, leading to a relatively high likelihood of extinction, and thus remain at a high magnitude. However, the threats to the species are nonimminent because, during 2004 and 2007 surveys, no fish were observed in the pools where these shrimp occur on Maui, and no fish were observed in the one pool on the island of Hawaii that was surveyed in 2005. In

addition, there were no signs of trash dumping or fill in any of the pools where the species occurs. Therefore, we assigned this species an LPN of 5.

Flowering Plants

Abronia alpina (Ramshaw Meadows sand-verbena)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Abronia alpina is a small perennial herb in the Nyctaginaceae (four o-clock) family, 2.5 to 15.2 cm (1 to 6 in) across, forming compact mats of lavender-pink, trumpet-shaped, and generally fragrant flowers. Abronia alpina is known from one main population center at Ramshaw Meadow and a smaller population at the adjacent Templeton Meadow. The meadows are located on the Kern River Plateau in the Sierra Nevada, on lands administered by the Inyo National Forest, in Tulare County, California. The total estimated area occupied is approximately 6 ha (15 ac). The population fluctuates from year to year without any clear trends. Population estimates for the years from 1985 through 2009 range from a high of approximately 130,000 plants in 1997, to a low of approximately 40,000 plants in 2003. In 2009, when the population was last monitored, the estimated total population increased again to just over 120,000 plants. The factors currently threatening *Abronia alpina* include natural and human habitat alteration, lowering of the water table due to erosion within the meadow system, and recreational use within meadow habitats. Lodgepole pines are encroaching upon meadow habitat with trees germinating within A. alpina habitat, occupying up to 20 percent of two A. alpina subpopulations. Lodgepole pine encroachment may alter soil characteristics by increasing organic matter levels, decreasing porosity, and moderating diurnal temperature fluctuations, thus reducing the competitive ability of A. alpina to persist in an environment more hospitable to other plant species.

The habitat occupied by Abronia alpina directly borders the meadow system, which is supported by the South Fork of the Kern River. The river flows through the meadow, at times coming within 15 m (50 ft) of Abronia alpina habitat, particularly in the vicinity of five subpopulations. Livestock trampling, along with the removal of bank stabilizing vegetation by grazing livestock, has contributed to downcutting of the river channel through the meadow, leaving the meadow subject to potential alteration by lowering of the water table. In 2001,

the U.S. Forest Service began resting the grazing allotment for 10 years, eliminating cattle use up through the present time. The U.S. Forest Service is currently assessing the data collected on the rested allotment and, if the data indicate that sufficient watershed recovery has occurred, may conduct an environmental analysis to consider resumption of grazing.

Established hiker, packstock, and cattle trails pass through A. alpina subpopulations. Two main hiker trails pass through Ramshaw Meadow, but in 1988 and 1997, they were rerouted out of A. alpina subpopulations where feasible. Occasional incidental use by horses and hikers sometimes occurs on the remnants of cattle trails that pass through subpopulations in several places The Service has funded studies to determine appropriate conservation measures for the species, and is working with the U.S. Forest Service on developing a conservation strategy for the species. The remaining threat affects individuals in the population and has not appeared to have population-level effects. Therefore, the threats are low in magnitude. In addition, because the grazing activities have been eliminated for the time being and the hiking trails have been rerouted, the threats are nonimminent. The LPN for A. alpina remains an 11 due to the presence of moderate to low threats, and the determination that the threats are nonimminent at this point in time.

Agave eggersiana (no common name)—Agave eggersiana, is an herb of the family Agavaceae endemic to the island of St. Croix in the U.S. Virgin Islands. Approximately 450 individuals in 10 localities are known to exist around this island. The species currently occurs in six areas that appear to be remnants of wild populations. The other four populations are introduced individuals planted for conservation. The primary threats to *Agave eggersiana* are from habitat modification and from natural or manmade factors. The species occurs in areas either threatened by development pressure, or currently affected by landscape practices and competition with exotic species, resulting in detrimental effects to its reproduction and recruitment. In addition, threats such as commercial interest (e.g. use as an ornamental plant), possible predation by insects or arthropod larvae, and the possibility of feral animals predating the species, makes Agave eggersiana vulnerable. The magnitude of the current threats is moderate because at least 450 adults and 260 bulbils are known to occur, with half of the populations showing evidence of recruitment in the wild. In

addition, three populations are located in areas managed for conservation and public outreach. The immediacy of the threats to the species as a whole is imminent because the threats are occurring now within each population on St. Croix. Additionally, we do not anticipate any changes that would appreciably reduce these threats in the foreseeable future. Therefore we have assigned an LPN of 8 to this species.

Arabis georgiana (Georgia rockcress)—We continue to find that listing this species is warranted but precluded as of the date of publication of this notice. However, we are working on a proposed listing rule that we expect to publish prior to making the next annual resubmitted petition 12month finding. In the course of preparing the proposed listing rule, we are continuing to monitor new information about this species' status so that we can make prompt use of our authority under Section 4(b)(7) in the case of an emergency posing a significant risk to the species.

Argythamnia blodgettii (Blodgett's silverbush)—The following summary is based on information in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Blodgett's silverbush occurs in Florida and is found in open, sunny areas in pine rockland; at the edges of rockland hammock; at the edges of coastal berm; and sometimes in disturbed areas at the edges of natural areas. Plants can be found growing from crevices on limestone, or sand. The pine-rockland habitat where the species occurs in Miami-Dade County and the Florida Keys requires periodic fires to maintain habitat with a minimum amount of hardwoods. There are approximately 22 extant occurrences, 12 in Monroe County and 10 in Miami-Dade County; many occurrences are on conservation lands. However, 4 to 5 sites are recently thought to be extirpated. The estimated population size of Blodgett's silverbush in the Florida Keys, excluding Big Pine Key, is roughly 11,000; the estimated population in Miami-Dade County is 375 to 13,650 plants.

Blodgett's silverbush is threatened by habitat loss, which is exacerbated by habitat degradation due to fire suppression, the difficulty of applying prescribed fire to pine rocklands, and threats from exotic plants. Remaining habitats are fragmented. Threats such as road maintenance and enhancement, infrastructure, and illegal dumping threaten some occurrences. Blodgett's silverbush is vulnerable to natural disturbances, such as hurricanes, tropical storms, and storm surges. Climatic changes, including sea-level

rise, are long-term threats that are expected to continue to affect pine rocklands and ultimately substantially reduce the extent of available habitat, especially in the Keys. Overall, the magnitude of threats is moderate because a number of occurrences remain with relatively high population levels, and not all of the occurrences are affected by the threats. In addition, land managers are aware of the threats from exotic plants and lack of fire, and are, to some extent, working to reduce these threats where possible. While a number of threats are occurring in some areas, the more significant threat from development is nonimminent because most occurrences are on public land, and sea-level rise is not currently affecting this species. Overall, the threats are nonimminent. Thus, we assigned an LPN of 11 to this species.

Artemisia borealis var. wormskioldii (Northern wormwood)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Historically known from eight sites, northern wormwood is currently known from two populations, one in Klickitat County and one in Grant County, Washington. This plant is restricted to exposed basalt, cobbly-sandy terraces, and sand habitat along the shore of, and on islands in, the Columbia River. The two populations are separated by 186 river miles (300 km) and three reservoirs (formed behind large hydroelectric dams). Annual monitoring indicates both populations are declining and both remain vulnerable to environmental variability. Surveys have not detected any additional plants.

Threats to northern wormwood include direct loss of habitat through regulation of water levels in the Columbia River and placement of riprap along the river bank; human trampling of plants from recreation; competition with nonnative, invasive species; burial by wind- and water-borne sediments; small population sizes; susceptibility to genetic drift and inbreeding; and the potential for hybridization with two other species of Artemisia. Ongoing conservation actions have reduced trampling, but have not eliminated or reduced the other threats at the Grant County site. Active conservation measures are not currently in place at the Miller Island site in Klickitat County. The magnitude of threat is high for this subspecies. Although the two remaining populations are demographically isolated, loss of habitat through regulation of water levels, competition with invasive species, burial by wind- and water-borne

sediments, and hybridization could eliminate one or both populations with a single disturbance. The threats are imminent because recreational use is ongoing; invasive, nonnative species occur at both sites; erosion of the substrate is ongoing at the Klickitat County site; and high water flows are random, naturally occurring events that may occur unpredictably in any year. Therefore, we have retained an LPN of 3 for this subspecies.

Astragalus anserinus (Goose Creek milkvetch)—See above in "Listing Priority Changes in Candidates." The above summary is based on information contained in our files.

Astragalus microcymbus (Skiff milkvetch)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files and in the petition we received on July 30, 2007. Skiff milkvetch is a perennial forb that dies back to the ground every year. It has a very limited range and a spotty distribution within Gunnison and Saguache Counties in Colorado, where it is found in open, park-like landscapes in the sagebrush steppe ecosystem on rocky or cobbly, moderate to steep slopes of hills and draws. The most significant threats to skiff milkvetch are recreation, roads, trails, the overall inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms, and habitat fragmentation and degradation. Recreational impacts are likely to increase given the close proximity of skiff milkvetch to the town of Gunnison and the increasing popularity of mountain biking, motorcycling, and allterrain vehicles. Furthermore, the Hartman Rocks Recreation Area draws users and contains over 40 percent of the skiff milkvetch units. Other threats to the species include residential and urban development; livestock, deer, and elk use; climate change; and increasing periodic drought, nonnative invasive cheatgrass; and wildfire. We consider the threats to skiff milkvetch to be moderate in magnitude because while serious and occurring rangewide, they do not collectively result in population declines on a short time scale. The threats are imminent because the species is currently facing them in many portions of its range. Therefore, we have assigned skiff milkvetch an LPN of 8.

Astragalus schmolliae (Schmoll milkvetch)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files and in the petition we received on July 30, 2007. Schmoll milkvetch is a narrow endemic perennial plant that grows in the mature pinyon-juniper woodland of mesa tops in the Mesa Verde National Park area and in the Ute Mountain Ute Tribal Park in Colorado. The most significant threats to the

species are degradation of habitat by fire, followed by invasion by nonnative cheatgrass and subsequent increase in fire frequency. These threats currently affect about 40 percent of the species entire known range. Cheatgrass is likely to increase given its rapid spread and persistence in habitat disturbed by wildfires, fire and fuels management, development of infrastructure, and the inability of land managers to control it on a landscape scale. Other threats to Schmoll milkvetch include fires, fire break clearings, drought, and inadequate regulatory mechanisms. We consider the threats to the species overall to be imminent and moderate in magnitude, because the species is currently facing them in many portions of its range, but the threats do not collectively result in population declines on a short time scale. Therefore, we have assigned Schmoll milkvetch an LPN of 8.

Astragalus tortipes (Sleeping Ute milkvetch)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Šleeping Ute milkvetch is a perennial plant that grows only on the Smokey Hills layer of the Mancos Shale Formation on the Ute Mountain Ute Indian Reservation in Montezuma County, Colorado. In 2000, 3,744 plants were recorded at 24 locations covering 500 ac within an overall range of 6,400 ac. Available information from 2000 indicates that the species remains stable. Threats from borrow pit excavation, off-highway vehicles, irrigation canal construction, and a prairie dog colony have had minor impacts that reduced the range and number of plants by small amounts. Offroad-vehicle use of the habitat has reportedly been controlled by fencing. Oil and gas development is active in the general area, but the Service has received no information to indicate that there is development within plant habitat. The Tribe reported that the status of the species remains unchanged, the population is healthy, and a management plan for the species is currently in draft form. Despite these positive indications, we have no documentation concerning the current status of the plants, condition of habitat, or terms of the species management plan being drafted by the Tribe. Thus, at this time, we cannot accurately assess whether populations are being adequately protected from previously existing threats. The threats are moderate in magnitude, as their effects on the species have been minor and the species appears to be stable. Based on information we have, the population

appears to be stable. Until the management plan is completed and made available, there are no regulatory mechanisms in place to protect the species. Overall, we conclude that threats are nonimminent because the more significant threats are not currently occurring; off-road-vehicle use has been controlled by fencing, and there are no plans for oil and gas development within the plant's habitat. Therefore, we assigned an LPN of 11 to this species.

Boechera pusilla (Fremont County rockcress)—The following summary is based on information in our files and in the petition received on July 24, 2007. Boechera pusilla is a perennial herb that occupies sparsely vegetated, coarse granite soil pockets in exposed granitepegmatite outcrops, with slopes generally less than 10 degrees, at an elevation between 2,438 to 2,469 m (8,000 to 8,100 ft). The only known population of *B. pusilla* is located in Wyoming on lands administered by the Bureau of Land Management's, Rock Springs Field Office in the southern foothills of the Wind River Range. B. pusilla is likely restricted in distribution by the limited occurrence of pegmatite in the area. The specialized habitat requirements of \vec{B} . pusilla have allowed the plant to persist without competition from other herbaceous plants or sagebrush-grassland species that are present in the surrounding landscape.

Boechera pusilla has a threat that is not identified, but that is indicated by the small and declining population size. The population size may be declining from a variety of unknown causes, with drought or disease possibly contributing to the trend. The trend may have been reversed somewhat recently, but without improved population numbers, the species may reach a population level at which other stressors become threats. We are unable to determine how climate change may affect the species in the future. To the extent that we understand the species, other potential habitatrelated threats have been removed through the implementation of Federal regulatory mechanisms and associated actions. Overutilization, predation, and the inadequacy of regulatory mechanisms are not viewed as threats to the species. We consider the threats that B. pusilla faces to be moderate in magnitude primarily because the population decline has been somewhat reversed. Although the threat is not fully understood, we know it exists as indicated by the declining population, but we have not detected the source or nature of the threat. The threat to *B*. pusilla is imminent because, although not fully identified, we have evidence

that the species is currently facing a threat indicated by reduced population size. The threat appears to be ongoing, although we are unsure of the extent and timing of its effects on the species. Thus, we have assigned B. pusilla an LPN of 8.

Brickellia mosieri (Florida brickellbush)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. This species is restricted to pine rocklands of Miami-Dade County, Florida. This habitat requires periodic prescribed fires to maintain the low understory and prevent encroachment by native tropical hardwoods and exotic plants, such as Brazilian pepper. Only one large occurrence is known to exist; 15 other occurrences contain fewer than 100 individuals. Eleven occurrences are on conservation lands, while the rest of the extant populations are on private land and are currently vulnerable to habitat loss and degradation.

Climatic changes, including sea-level rise, are long-term threats that will reduce the extent of habitat. This species is threatened by habitat loss, which is exacerbated by habitat degradation due to fire suppression, the difficulty of applying prescribed fire to pine rocklands, and threats from exotic plants. Remaining habitats are fragmented. The species is vulnerable to natural disturbances, such as hurricanes, tropical storms, and storm surges. Due to its restricted range and the small sizes of most isolated occurrences, this species is vulnerable to environmental (catastrophic hurricanes), demographic (potential episodes of poor reproduction), and genetic (potential inbreeding depression) threats. Ongoing conservation efforts include projects aimed at facilitating restoration and management of public and private lands in Miami-Dade County and projects to reintroduce and establish new populations at suitable sites within the species' historical range. The Service is also pursuing additional habitat restoration projects, which could help further improve the status of the species. Because of these efforts, the overall magnitude of threats is moderate. The threats are ongoing and thus imminent. We assigned this species an LPN of 8.

Calamagrostis expansa (Maui reedgrass)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Calamagrostis expansa is a perennial grass found in wet forests and bogs, and in bog margins, on the islands of Maui and Hawaii, Hawaii. This species is known from 13 populations totaling fewer than 750 individuals.

Calamagrostis expansa is threatened by habitat degradation and loss by feral pigs (Sus scrofa), and by competition with nonnative plants. Herbivory by feral pigs is a potential threat to this species. All of the known populations of C. expansa on Maui occur in managed areas. Pig exclusion fences have been constructed, and control of nonnative plants is ongoing within the exclosures but still pose a significant threat. On the island of Hawaii, the population in the Upper Waiakea Forest Reserve has been fenced entirely. This species is not represented in an ex situ collection. Threats to this species from feral pigs and nonnative plants are ongoing, or imminent, and of high magnitude because they significantly affect the species throughout its range, leading to a relatively high likelihood of extinction. Therefore, we retained an LPN of 2 for this species.

Calochortus persistens (Siskiyou mariposa lily)—See above in "Listing Priority Changes in Candidates." The above summary is based on information contained in our files.

Chamaecrista lineata var. keyensis (Big Pine partridge pea)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. This pea is endemic to the lower Florida Keys, and restricted to pine rocklands, hardwood hammock edges, and roadsides and firebreaks within these ecosystems. Historically, it was known from Big Pine, Cudjoe, No Name, Ramrod, and Little Pine Keys (Monroe County, Florida). In 2005, a small population was detected on lower Sugarloaf Key, but this population was not located after Hurricane Wilma; plants were likely killed by the tidal surge from this storm. It presently occurs on Big Pine Key, with a very small population on Cudjoe Key. It is fairly well distributed in Big Pine Key pine rocklands, which encompass approximately 580 ha (1,433 ac), approximately 360 ha (890 ac) of which are within the Service's National Key Deer Refuge (NKDR). Over 80 percent of the population probably exists on NKDR, with the remainder distributed among State, County, and private properties. Hurricane Wilma (October 2005) resulted in a storm surge that covered most of Big Pine Key with sea water. The surge reduced the population by as much as 95 percent in some areas.

Pine rockland communities are maintained by relatively frequent fires. In the absence of fire, shrubs and trees

encroach on pine rockland, and this subspecies is eventually shaded out. NKDR has a prescribed fire program, although with many constraints on implementation. Habitat loss due to development was historically the greatest threat to the pea. Much of the remaining habitat is now protected on public lands. Absence of fire now appears to be the greatest of the deterministic threats. Given the recent increase in hurricane activity, storm surges are the greatest of the stochastic threats. The small range and patchy distribution of the variety increase risk from stochastic events. Climatic changes, including sea-level rise, are serious long-term threats. Models indicate that even under the best of circumstances, a significant proportion of upland habitat will be lost on Big Pine Kev by 2100. Additional threats include restricted range, invasive nonnative plants, roadside dumping, loss of pollinators, seed predators, and development.

We maintain the previous assessment that hurricanes, storm surges, lack of fire, and limited distribution result in a moderate magnitude of threat because a large part of the range is on conservation lands wherein threats are being addressed, although fire management is at much slower rate than is required. The immediacy of hurricane threats is difficult to characterize, but imminence is considered high given that hurricanes (and storm surges) of various magnitudes are frequent and recurrent events in the area. Sea-level rise remains uncontrolled but, overall, is nonimminent. Overall, the threats from limited distribution and inadequate fire management are imminent because they are ongoing. In addition, the most consequential threats (hurricanes, storm surges) are frequent, recurrent, and imminent. Therefore, we retained an LPN of 9 for Big Pine partridge pea.

Chamaesyce deltoidea ssp. pinetorum (Pineland sandmat)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. The pineland sandmat is only known from Miami-Dade County, Florida. The largest occurrence, estimated at more than 10,000 plants, is located on Long Pine Kev within Everglades National Park. All other occurrences are smaller and are in isolated pine rockland fragments in heavily urbanized Miami-Dade County.

Occurrences on private (nonconservation) lands and on one Countyowned parcel are at risk from development and habitat degradation and fragmentation. Conditions related to climate change, particularly sea-level rise, will be a factor over the long term. All occurrences of the species are threatened by habitat loss and degradation due to fire suppression, the difficulty of applying prescribed fire, and exotic plants. These threats are severe within small and unmanaged fragments in urban areas. However, the threats of fire suppression and exotics are reduced on lands managed by the National Park Service. Hydrologic changes are considered to be another threat. Hydrology has been altered within Long Pine Key due to artificial drainage, which lowered ground water, and by the construction of roads, which either impounded or diverted water. Regional water management intended to restore the Everglades could negatively affect the pinelands of Long Pine Key in the future. At this time, we do not know whether the proposed restoration and associated hydrological modifications will have a positive or negative effect on pineland sandmat. This narrow endemic may be vulnerable to catastrophic events and natural disturbances, such as hurricanes. Overall, the magnitude of threats to this species is moderate; by applying regular prescribed fire, the National Park Service has kept Long Pine Key's pineland vegetation intact and relatively free of exotic plants, and partnerships are in place to help address the continuing threat of exotics on other pine rockland fragments. Overall, the threats are nonimminent because fire management at the largest occurrence is regularly conducted and sea-level rise and hurricanes are longer-term threats and because regional water management actions are only proposed, so they will not be implemented in the immediate future. Therefore, we assigned a LPN of 12 to this subspecies.

Chamaesyce deltoidea ssp. serpyllum (Wedge spurge)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Systematic surveys of publicly owned pine rockland throughout this plant's range were conducted during 2005-2006 and 2007-2008 to determine population size and distribution. Wedge spurge is a small prostrate herb. It was historically, and remains, restricted to pine rocklands on Big Pine Key in Monroe County, Florida. Pine rocklands encompass approximately 580 ha (1,433 ac) on Big Pine Key, approximately 360 ha (890 ac) of which are within the Service's National Key Deer Refuge (NKDR). Most of the species' range falls within the NKDR, with the remainder on State, County, and private properties. It is not widely dispersed within the limited range. Occurrences are sparser in the southern portion of Big Pine Key, which contains smaller areas of NKDR lands than does the northern portion. Wedge spurge inhabits sites with low woody cover (e.g., low palm and hardwood densities) and usually, exposed rock or gravel.

Pine rockland communities are maintained by relatively frequent fires. In the absence of fire, shrubs and trees encroach on pine rockland, and the subspecies is eventually shaded out. NKDR has a prescribed fire program, although with many constraints on implementation. Habitat loss due to development was historically the greatest threat to the wedge spurge. Much of the remaining habitat is now protected on public lands. Absence of fire now appears to be the greatest of the deterministic threats. Given the recent increase in hurricane activity, storm surges are the greatest of the stochastic threats. The small range and patchy distribution of the subspecies increases risk from stochastic events. Climatic changes, including sea-level rise, are serious long-term threats. Models indicate that even under the best of circumstances, a significant proportion of upland habitat will be lost on Big Pine Key by 2100. Additional threats include restricted range, invasive nonnative plants, roadside dumping, loss of pollinators, seed predators, and development.

We maintain the previous assessment that low fire-return intervals plus hurricane-related storm surges, in combination with a limited, fragmented distribution and threats from sea-level rise, result in a moderate magnitude of threat, in part, because a large part of the range is on conservation lands, where some threats can be substantially controlled. The immediacy of hurricane threats is difficult to categorize, but in this case threats are imminent given that hurricanes (and storm surges) of various magnitudes are frequent and recurrent events in the area. Sea-level rise remains uncontrolled, but over much of the range is nonimminent compared to other prominent threats. Threats resulting from limited fire occurrences are imminent. As major threats are ongoing, overall, the threats are imminent. Therefore, we retained an LPN of 9 for this subspecies.

Chorizanthe parryi var. fernandina (San Fernando Valley spineflower)—
The following summary is based on information contained in our files and the petition we received on December 14, 1999. Chorizanthe parryi var. fernandina is a low-growing, herbaceous, annual plant in the

buckwheat family. Germination occurs following the onset of late-fall and winter rains and typically represents different cohorts from the seed bank. Flowering occurs in the spring, generally between April and June. Chorizanthe parryi var. fernandina grows up to 30 cn in height and 5 to 40 cn across. The plant currently is known from two disjunct localities: One in the southeastern portion of Ventura County, California, on a site within the Upper Las Virgenes Canyon Open Space Preserve, formerly known as Ahmanson Ranch, and the other in an area of southwestern Los Angeles County known as Newhall Ranch. Investigations of historical locations and seemingly suitable habitat within the range of the species have not revealed any other occurrences.

The threats facing Chorizanthe parryi var. fernandina include threatened destruction, modification, or curtailment of its habitat or range; inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms; and other natural or manmade factors. The threats to Chorizanthe parryi var. fernandina from habitat destruction or modification are slightly less than they were 7 years ago. One of the two populations (Upper Las Virgenes Canyon Open Space Preserve) is in permanent, public ownership and is being managed by an agency that is working to conserve the plant; however, the use of adjacent habitat for Hollywood film productions was brought to our attention in 2007, and the potential impacts to Chorizanthe parryi var. fernandina have not yet been evaluated. During a site visit in April 2012, we noted an abundance of nonnative species that, if not managed, could degrade the quality of the habitat for C. parryi var. fernandina over time. It is not clear whether this presents an imminent threat at this time. We will be working with the landowners to manage the site for the benefit of *Chorizanthe* parryi var. fernandina. The other population (Newhall Ranch) is under the threat of development; however, a Candidate Conservation Agreement (CCA) is being developed with the landowner, and it is possible that the remaining plants can also be conserved. Until such an agreement is finalized, the threat of development and the potential damage to the Newhall Ranch population still exists, as shown by the destruction of some plants during installation of an agave farm. Furthermore, cattle grazing on Newhall Ranch may be a threat but we lack information to determine if it is currently occurring at a level that would threaten this species. Cattle grazing may

harm Chorizanthe parryi var. fernandina by trampling and soil compaction. Grazing activity could also alter the nutrient (e.g., elevated organic material levels) content of the soils for Chorizanthe parryi var. fernandina habitat through fecal inputs, which in turn may favor the growth of other plant species that would otherwise not grow so readily on the mineral-based soils. Over time, changes in species composition may render the sites less favorable for the persistence of Chorizanthe parryi var. fernandina. Chorizanthe parryi var. fernandina may be threatened by invasive nonnative plants, including grasses, which could potentially displace it from available habitat; compete for light, water, and nutrients; and reduce survival and establishment.

Chorizanthe parryi var. fernandina is particularly vulnerable to extinction due to its concentration in two isolated areas. The existence of only two areas of occurrence, and a relatively small range, makes the variety highly susceptible to extinction or extirpation from a significant portion of its range due to random events such as fire, drought, and erosion as these threats would result in a high level of mortality. We retained an LPN of 6 for Chorizanthe parryi var. fernandina due to highmagnitude, nonimminent threats.

Čirsium wrightii (Wright's marsh thistle)—The following summary is based on information from the 12-month warranted but precluded finding published November 4, 2010 (75 FR 67925). There are eight general confirmed locations of Wright's marsh thistle in New Mexico: Santa Rosa, Guadalupe County; Bitter Lake National Wildlife Refuge, Chaves County; Blue Spring, Eddy County; La Luz Canyon, Karr Canyon, Silver Springs, and Tularosa Creek, Otero County; and Alamosa Creek, Socorro County. The Wright's marsh thistle has been extirpated from all previously known locations in Arizona, and was misidentified and likely not ever present in Texas. The status of the species in Mexico is uncertain, with few verified collections.

The Wright's marsh thistle faces threats primarily from natural and human-caused modifications of its habitat due to ground and surface water depletion, drought, invasion of *Phragmites australis*, and from the inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms. The species occupies relatively small areas of seeps, springs, and wetland habitat in an arid region plagued by drought and ongoing and future water withdrawals. The species' highly specific requirements of

saturated soils with surface or subsurface water flow make it particularly vulnerable.

We consider the threats that the Wright's marsh thistle faces to be moderate in magnitude because the major threats (habitat loss and degradation due to alteration of the hydrology of its rare wetland habitat), while serious and occurring rangewide, do not collectively result in serious population declines on a short time scale. Still, long-term drought, in combination with ground and surface water withdrawal, pose a current and future threat to Wright's marsh thistle and its habitat. All of the threats are ongoing and therefore imminent. In addition to their current existence, we expect these threats to likely intensify in the foreseeable future. Thus, we continue to assign an LPN of 8 to this species.

Cordia rupicola (no common name)— The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Cordia rupicola is a small shrub that has been described from southwestern Puerto Rico, Viegues Island, and Anegada Island (British Virgin Islands). All these sites lay within the subtropical dry forest life zone overlying a limestone substrate. Cordia rupicola has a restricted distribution. Currently, approximately 227 individuals are known from 4 locations: Peñuelas, Yauco, Guánica Commonwealth Forests, and Viegues National Wildlife Refuge. Additionally, the species is reported as common in Anegada.

This species is threatened by maintenance of trails and power line right-of-way in the Guánica Commonwealth Forest, and residential and commercial development in Peñuelas, Yauco, and Anegada Island. Cordia rupicola is also vulnerable to natural (e.g., hurricanes) or manmade (e.g., human-induced fires) threats. Furthermore, the population on Anegada Island, which is considered the healthiest population, is expected to be affected by sea level rise as most of the suitable habitat for the species is below 3 m above sea level. Therefore, even a small rise in sea level could devastate the healthiest population, and lead to a significantly greater likelihood of extinction. For these reasons, the magnitude of the current threats is high. Although the threats faced by this species are expected to increase in the future if conservation measures are not implemented and long-term impacts are not averted, we conclude that the threats are nonimminent. About 60 percent of known adult plants are

located in protected lands managed for conservation by the Puerto Rico Department of Natural and Environmental Resources or the Service. The staff from the Royal Botanical Garden (Kew) has developed germination and cultivation protocols for the species and is planning to conduct studies to determine the genetic variation of the populations. We therefore have assigned to *Cordia rupicola* an LPN of 5 for threats that on the whole are high in magnitude and nonimminent.

Dalea carthagenensis ssp. floridana (Florida prairie-clover)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Dalea carthagenensis var. floridana occurs in Big Cypress National Preserve (BCNP) in Monroe and Collier Counties and at six locations within Miami-Dade County, Florida, albeit mostly in limited numbers. There are a total of nine extant occurrences, seven of which are on conservation lands. In addition, 25 plants were reintroduced to a park in Miami-Dade County in 2006, but only 4 remained after 8 months.

Existing occurrences are extremely small and may not be viable, especially some of the occurrences in Miami-Dade County. Remaining habitats are fragmented. Climatic changes, including sea-level rise, are long-term threats that are expected to reduce the extent of habitat. This plant is threatened by habitat loss and degradation due to fire suppression, the difficulty of applying prescribed fire to pine rocklands, and threats from exotic plants. Damage to plants by off-road vehicles is a serious threat within the BCNP; damage attributed to illegal mountain biking at the R. Hardy Matheson Preserve has been reduced. One location within BCNP is threatened by changes in mowing practices; this threat is low in magnitude. This species is being parasitized by the introduced insect lobate lac scale (Paratachardina pseudolobata) at some localities (e.g., R. Hardy Matheson Preserve), but we do not know the extent of this threat. This plant is vulnerable to natural disturbances, such as hurricanes, tropical storms, and storm surges. Due to its restricted range and the small sizes of most isolated occurrences, this species is vulnerable to environmental (catastrophic hurricanes), demographic (potential episodes of poor reproduction), and genetic (potential inbreeding depression) threats. The magnitude of threats is high because of the extremely limited number of occurrences, the small number of

individual plants at each occurrence, and poor reproduction. The threats are imminent; even though many sites are on conservation lands, these plants still face significant ongoing threats. Therefore, we have assigned an LPN of 3 to Florida prairie-clover.

Dichanthelium hirstii (Hirst Brothers' panic grass)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Dichanthelium hirstii is a perennial grass that produces erect, leafy, flowering stems from May to October. The species occurs in coastal plain intermittent ponds, usually in wet savanna or pine barren habitats, and is known to occur at only three sites in New Jersey, one site in Delaware, and two sites in North Carolina. While all six extant D. hirstii populations are located on public land or privately owned conservation lands, threats to the species from encroachment of woody and herbaceous vegetation, competition from rhizomatous perennials, fluctuations in hydrology, and threats associated with small population number and size are significant. Given the naturally fluctuating number of plants found at each site and the isolated nature of the wetlands (limiting dispersal opportunities), even small changes in the species' habitat could result in local extirpation. Loss of any known sites would constitute a significant contraction of the species' range. Therefore, we consider the threats to be high in magnitude. Because most of the potential threats to *D. hirstii* evolve over a period of years before they rise to the level of becoming imminent threats, and, in some cases, are being managed to some extent, we consider the threats to be nonimminent. Based on nonimminent threats of a high magnitude, we retain an LPN of 5 for this species.

Digitaria pauciflora (Florida pineland crabgrass)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. This perennial grass was historically found in central to southern Miami-Dade County, Florida, most commonly in habitat along the border between pine rockland and marl prairie. Pine rocklands in Miami-Dade County have largely been destroyed by residential, commercial, and urban development and by agriculture. With most remaining habitat having been negatively altered, this species has been extirpated from much of its historical range, including extirpation from all areas outside of National Parks. Two large occurrences remain within

Everglades National Park and Big Cypress National Preserve; plants on Federal lands are protected from the threat of habitat loss due to development. However, any unknown plants, indefinite occurrences, and suitable habitat remaining on private or non-conservation land are threatened by development. Continued development of suitable habitat diminishes the potential for reintroduction into its historical range. Extant occurrences are in low-lying areas and will be affected by climatic changes, including rising sea level.

Fire suppression, the difficulty of applying prescribed fire to pine rocklands, and threats from nonnative plants are ongoing threats. As the only known remaining occurrences are on lands managed by the National Park Service, the threats of fire suppression and exotics are somewhat reduced. The presence of the exotic Old World climbing fern is of particular concern due to its ability to spread rapidly and reduce the populations of this species. In Big Cypress National Preserve, plants are threatened by off-road-vehicle use. Changes to hydrology are a potential threat. Hydrology has been altered within Long Pine Key due to artificial drainage, which lowered ground water, and construction of roads, which either impounded or diverted water. Regional water management intended to restore the Everglades has the potential to affect the pinelands of Long Pine Key, where a large population occurs. At this time, it is not known whether Everglades restoration will have a positive or negative effect. This narrow endemic may be vulnerable to catastrophic events and natural disturbances, such as hurricanes. Overall, the magnitude of threats is high. Only two known occurrences remain, and the likelihood of establishing a sizable population on other lands is diminished due to continuing habitat loss. Impacts from climatic changes, including sea-level rise, are currently low, but expected to be severe in the future. The majority of threats are nonimminent as they are long-term in nature (water management, hurricanes, and sea-level rise). Therefore, we assigned an LPN of 5 to

Eriogonum corymbosum var. nilesii (Las Vegas buckwheat)—We continue to find that listing this species is warranted but precluded as of the date of publication of this notice. However, we are working on a proposed listing rule that we expect to publish prior to making the next annual resubmitted petition 12-month finding. In the course of preparing the proposed listing rule, we are continuing to monitor new

information about this species' status so that we can make prompt use of our authority under Section 4(b)(7) in the case of an emergency posing a significant risk to the species.

Eriogonum kelloggii (Red Mountain buckwheat)—We continue to find that listing this species is warranted but precluded as of the date of publication of this notice. However, we are working on a proposed listing rule that we expect to publish prior to making the next annual resubmitted petition 12month finding. In the course of preparing the proposed listing rule, we are continuing to monitor new information about this species' status so that we can make prompt use of our authority under Section 4(b)(7) in the case of an emergency posing a significant risk to the species.

Eriogonum soredium (Frisco buckwheat)—The following summary is based on information in our files and the petition we received on July 30, 2007. Frisco buckwheat is a low, mound-forming, perennial plant with oval leaves covered by short, white, woolly hairs. Flowers are pink or white and grow in tight clusters that resemble drumsticks. Frisco buckwheat is a narrow endemic restricted to soils derived from Ordovician limestone outcrops. The range of the species is less than 5 mi² (13 km²) with only four known populations. All four populations occur exclusively on private lands in Beaver County, Utah, and each population occupies a very small area with large, localized densities of plants. Available population estimates are highly variable and inaccurate due to the limited access for surveys associated with private lands.

The primary threat to Frisco buckwheat is habitat destruction from precious metal and gravel mining. Mining for precious metals historically occurred within the vicinity of all four populations. Three of the populations are currently in the immediate vicinity of active limestone quarries. Ongoing mining in the species' habitat has the potential to extirpate one population in the near future and extirpate all populations in the foreseeable future. Ongoing exploration for precious metals and gravel indicate that mining will continue, resulting in the loss and fragmentation of Frisco buckwheat populations. Other threats to species include nonnative species, vulnerability associated with small population size, climate change, and the overall inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms. We consider threats that Frisco buckwheat faces to be moderate in magnitude, because while serious and occurring rangewide, the threats do

not significantly reduce populations on a short time scale. The threats are imminent because three of the populations are currently in the immediate vicinity of active limestone quarries. Therefore, we have assigned Frisco buckwheat an LPN of 8.

Festuca hawaiiensis (no common name)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. This species is a cespitose (growing in dense, low tufts) annual found in dry forests on the island of Hawaii, Hawaii. Festuca hawaiiensis is known from 4 populations totaling approximately 1,000 individuals in and around the Pohakuloa Training Area. Historically, this species was also found on Hualalai and Puu Huluhulu, but it no longer occurs at these sites. In addition, Festuca hawaiiensis possibly occurred on Maui. This species is threatened by pigs (Sus scrofa), goats (Capra hircus), mouflon (Ovis musimon), and feral sheep (O. aries) that degrade and destroy habitat; fire; military training activities; and nonnative plants that outcompete and displace it. Feral pigs, goats, mouflon, and feral sheep have been fenced out of a portion of the populations of F. hawaiiensis, and nonnative plants have been reduced in the fenced area, but the majority of the populations are still affected by threats from ungulates. The threats are imminent because they are not controlled and are ongoing in the remaining, unfenced populations. Firebreaks have been established at two populations, but fire is an imminent threat to the remaining populations that have no firebreaks. There are no ex situ collections. The threats are of a high magnitude because they could adversely affect the majority of *F. hawaiiensis* populations, resulting in a high level of direct mortality or reduced reproductive capacity. Therefore, we retained an LPN of 2 for this species.

Festuca ligulata (Guadalupe fescue)— The following summary is based on information obtained from the original species petition, received in 1975, and from our files, on-line herbarium databases, and scientific publications. Six small populations of Guadalupe fescue, a member of the Poaceae (grass family), have been documented in mountains of the Chihuahuan desert in Texas and in Coahuila, Mexico. Only two extant populations have been confirmed in the last 5 years: one in the Chisos Mountains, Big Bend National Park, Texas, and one in the privately owned Area de Protección de Flora y Fauna (Protected Area for Flora and Fauna—APFF) Maderas del Carmen in

northern Coahuila. Despite intensive searches, a population known from Guadalupe Mountains National Park, Texas, has not been found since 1952, and is presumed extirpated. In 2009, botanists confirmed Guadalupe fescue at one site in APFF Maderas del Carmen, but could not find the species at the original site, known as Sierra El Jardín, which was first reported in 1973. Two additional Mexican populations, near Fraile in southern Coahuila, and the Sierra de la Madera in central Coahuila, have not been monitored since 1941 and 1977, respectively. A great amount of potentially suitable habitat in Coahuila and adjacent Mexican states has never been surveyed. An historically unprecedented period of exceptional drought and high temperatures prevailed throughout the species' range from October 2010 until November 2011. We will not know what impacts this unusual weather had on Guadalupe fescue populations until monitoring is completed during the September 2012 flowering season.

The potential threats to Guadalupe fescue include changes in the wildfire cycle and vegetation structure, trampling from humans and pack animals, possible grazing, trail runoff, fungal infection of seeds, small sizes and isolation of populations, and limited genetic diversity. The Service and the National Park Service established a candidate conservation agreement (CCA) in 2008, to provide additional protection for the Chisos Mountains population, and to promote cooperative conservation efforts with U.S. and Mexican partners. The threats to Guadalupe fescue are of moderate magnitude and are not imminent due to the provisions of the CCA and other conservation efforts which address threats from trampling, grazing, trail runoff, and genetic diversity, as well as the likelihood that other populations exist in mountains of Coahuila and adjacent Mexican states that have not been surveyed. Thus, we maintained an LPN of 11 for this species.

Gardenia remyi (Ñanu)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Gardenia remyi is a tree found in mesic to wet forests on the islands of Kauai, Molokai, Maui, and Hawaii, Hawaii. Gardenia remyi is known from 19 populations totaling between 85 and 87 individuals. This species is threatened by pigs (Sus scrofa), goats (Capra hircus), and deer (Axis axis and Odocoileus hemionus) that degrade and destroy habitat and possibly forage upon the species, and by nonnative plants

that outcompete and displace it. Gardenia remvi is also threatened by landslides and reduced reproductive vigor on the island of Hawaii. This species is represented in ex situ collections. On Kauai, G. remvi individuals have been outplanted within ungulate-proof exclosures in two locations. Feral pigs have been fenced out of the west Maui populations of G. remyi, and nonnative plants have been reduced in those areas. However, these threats are not controlled and are ongoing in the remaining, unfenced populations, and are, therefore, imminent. In addition, the threat from goats and deer is ongoing and imminent throughout the range of the species, because no goat or deer control measures have been undertaken for any of the populations of G. remyi. All of the threats are of a high magnitude because habitat destruction, predation, and landslides could significantly affect the entire species, resulting in direct mortality or reduced reproductive capacity, leading to a relatively high likelihood of extinction. Therefore, we retained an LPN of 2 for this species.

Gonocalyx concolor (no common name)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. *Gonocalyx concolor* is a small evergreen epiphytic or terrestrial shrub. This species in currently known from two populations: one at Cerro La Santa and the other at Charco Azul, both in the Carite Commonwealth Forest. This forest is located in the Sierra de Cavev and extends through the municipalities of Guayama, Cayey, Caguas, San Lorenzo, and Patillas in southeastern Puerto Rico. A population previously reported in the Caribbean National Forest apparently no longer exists. In 1996, approximately 172 plants were reported at Cerro La Santa. However, in 2006, only 25 individuals were reported at this site, and 4 were located in Charco Azul. At Cerro La Santa, the species is found growing on trees located close to communication towers, roads, plantations, and trails.

The Gonocalyx concolor population found at Cerro La Santa is threatened by habitat destruction and modification caused by vegetation clearing around telecommunication towers. Although the species is located within a Commonwealth forest and protected by Law No. 133 ("Ley de Bosques de Puerto Rico" or The Puerto Rico Forest Law), unauthorized maintenance of existing communication facilities results in loss of individuals. Gonocalyx concolor is not currently listed in the Commonwealth Regulation No. 6766

("Reglamento para Regir las Especies Vulnerables y en Peligro de Extinción en el Estado Libre Asociado de Puerto Rico"), which provides protection for threatened and endangered species. However, the Natural Heritage Program of the Puerto Rico Department of Natural and Environmental Resources recognizes Gonocalyx concolor as a critical element. In addition, the Carite Commonwealth Forest is designated as a Critical Wildlife Area by the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. Despite these conservation efforts, damage to the species still occurs due to its location near telecommunication facilities. In addition, due to its restricted distribution, the species is vulnerable to the effects of natural events (e.g., hurricanes, landslides). Existing laws and regulations have not been effectively enforced to protect these populations. Because of small population size and limited distribution, any loss of individuals due to maintenance of communication facilities or natural events could significantly affect the entire species, leading to a relatively high likelihood of extinction. Therefore, the threats to Gonocalyx concolor are high in magnitude. Overall the threats are nonimminent because the damage to the species from clearing of land near telecommunication facilities and the threats from natural events occur only periodically. Therefore, we have assigned an LPN of 5 to Gonocalyx concolor.

Hazardia orcuttii (Orcutt's hazardia)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files and the petition we received on March 8, 2001. Hazardia orcuttii is an evergreen shrubby species in the Asteraceae (sunflower family). The erect shrubs are 50-100 cm (20-40 in) high. The only known extant native occurrence of this species in the United States covers an area of 2 ha (5 ac) in the Manchester Conservation Area in northwestern San Diego County, California. This site is managed by Center for Natural Lands Management (CNLM). Using material derived from the native population, the CNLM facilitated the establishment of test populations at four additional sites in northwest San Diego County, California, including sites in the Manchester Conservation Area, Kelly Ranch Habitat Conservation Area, Rancho La Costa Habitat Conservation Area, and San Elijo Lagoon. *Hazardia orcuttii* also occurs at a few coastal sites in Mexico, where it recently became listed as endangered under Mexican environmental law. The total number of

plants at the only native site in the United States is approximately 669 adults, and it is unknown if reproduction is occurring. The five additional test populations collectively support approximately 483 adults, 17 juveniles, and 322 seedlings, and reproduction is occurring in three test populations. The population in Mexico is estimated to be 1,100 plants.

The occurrences in Mexico are threatened by coastal development from Tijuana to Ensenada. The native population in the United States is within an area that receives public use; however, management at this site has minimized impacts associated with habitat degradation. This species has a very low reproductive output, although the causes are as yet unknown. Competition from invasive, nonnative plants may pose a threat to the reproductive potential of this species. In one limited study, 95 percent of the flowers examined were damaged by insects or fungal agents or aborted prematurely, and insects or fungal agents damaged 50 percent of the seeds produced. All of the populations in the United States are small, and one test population is declining. Small populations are considered subject to random events and reductions in fitness due to low genetic variability. Threats associated with small population size are further exacerbated by the limited range and low reproductive output of this species. However, if low seed production is because of ecosystem disruptions, such as loss of effective pollinators, there could be additional threats that need to be addressed. Due to low abundance and a very small area of occupancy, any regional fire would be a rangewide threat. Furthermore, because the soil seed bank is poor and seed viability is low, recovery from a fire may be especially challenging. The response mechanism of this species to fire is unknown. Overall, the threats to H. orcuttii are of a high magnitude because they have the potential to significantly reduce the reproductive potential of this species. The threats are nonimminent overall because invasive, nonnative plants and low reproductive output are long-term in nature, and it is not clear that they have risen to the level of becoming imminent threats. This species faces high-magnitude nonimminent threats; therefore, we assigned this species an LPN of 5.

Hedyotis fluviatilis (Kamapuaa)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Hedyotis fluviatilis is a scandent (climbing) shrub found in mixed

shrubland to wet lowland forests on the islands of Oahu and Kauai, Hawaii. This species is known from 11 populations totaling between 400 and 900 individuals. Hedyotis fluviatilis is threatened by pigs (Sus scrofa) and goats (Capra hircus) that degrade and destroy habitat, and by nonnative plants that outcompete and displace it. Landslides and hurricanes are a potential threat to populations on Kauai. Herbivory by pigs and goats is a likely threat. This species is not represented in an ex situ collection. We retained an LPN of 2 because the severity of the threats to the species is high given the low number of individuals and the potential for whole populations to be eliminated, and the threats are ongoing and, therefore, imminent.

Helianthus verticillatus (Whorled sunflower)—See above in "Listing Priority Changes in Candidates." The above summary is based on information contained in our files.

Ivesia webberi (Webber ivesia)—We continue to find that listing this species is warranted but precluded as of the date of publication of this notice. However, we are working on a proposed listing rule that we expect to publish prior to making the next annual resubmitted petition 12-month finding. In the course of preparing the proposed listing rule, we are continuing to monitor new information about this species' status so that we can make prompt use of our authority under Section 4(b)(7) in the case of an emergency posing a significant risk to the species.

Joinvillea ascendens ssp. ascendens (Ohe)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Joinvillea ascendens ssp. ascendens is an erect herb found in wet to mesic Metrosideros polymorpha-Acacia koa (ohia-koa) lowland and montane forests on the islands of Kauai, Oahu, Molokai, Maui, and Hawaii, Hawaii. This subspecies is known from 44 widely scattered populations totaling approximately 200 individuals. Plants are typically found as only one or two individuals, with miles between populations. This subspecies is threatened by destruction or modification of habitat by pigs (Sus scrofa), goats (Capra hircus), and deer (Axis axis and Odocoileus hemionus), and by nonnative plants that outcompete and displace native plants. Herbivory by pigs, goats, deer, and rats (Rattus exulans, R. norvegicus, and R. rattus) is a likely threat to this species. Landslides are a potential threat to populations on Kauai and Molokai.

Seedlings have rarely been observed in the wild. Seeds germinate in cultivation, but most die soon thereafter. It is uncertain if this rarity of reproduction is typical of this subspecies, or if it is related to habitat disturbance. Feral pigs have been fenced out of a few of the populations of this subspecies, and nonnative plants have been reduced in those populations that are fenced. However, these threats are not controlled and are ongoing in the remaining, unfenced populations. This species is represented in ex situ collections. The threats are of high magnitude because habitat degradation, nonnative plants, and predation result in mortality or severely affect the reproductive capacity of the majority of populations of this species, leading to a relatively high probability of extinction. The threats are ongoing and thus are imminent. Therefore, we retained an LPN of 3 for this subspecies.

Leavenworthia crassa (Gladecress)— The following information is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. This species of gladecress is a component of glade flora, occurring in association with limestone outcroppings. Leavenworthia crassa is endemic to a 13-mile radius area in north central Alabama in Lawrence and Morgan Counties, where only six populations of this species are documented. Glade habitats today have been reduced to remnants fragmented by agriculture and development. Populations of this species are now located in glade-like areas exhibiting various degrees of disturbance including pastureland, roadside rights-of-way, and cultivated or plowed fields. The most vigorous populations of this species are located in areas which receive full, or near full, sunlight with limited herbaceous competition. The magnitude of threat is high for this species, because with the limited number of populations, the threats could result in direct mortality or reduced reproductive capacity of the species. This species appears to be able to adjust to periodic disturbances, and although competition, exotics, and herbicide use are potential threats, there is no evidence that they are ongoing, and they therefore are considered nonimminent. Thus, we assigned an LPN of 5 to this species.

Lepidium ostleri (Ostler's peppergrass)—The following summary is based on information in our files and the petition we received on July 30, 2007. Ostler's peppergrass is a long-lived perennial herb in the mustard family that grows in dense, cushion-like tufts. The leaves are hairy and grayish-

green and the flowering stalks have 5 to 35 white or purple-tinted flowers. Ostler's peppergrass is a narrow endemic restricted to soils derived from Ordovician limestone outcrops. The range of the species is less than 5 mi²(13 km²) with only four known populations. All four populations occur exclusively on private lands in the southern San Francisco Mountains of Beaver County, Utah. Available population estimates are highly variable and inaccurate due largely to the limited access for surveys associated with private lands.

The primary threat to Ostler's peppergrass is habitat destruction from precious metal and gravel mining. Mining for precious metals historically occurred within the vicinity of all four populations. Three of the populations are currently in the immediate vicinity of active limestone quarries, but mining is only currently occurring in the area of one population. Ongoing mining in the species' habitat has the potential to extirpate one population in the near future. Ongoing exploration for precious metals and gravel indicate that mining will continue, resulting in the loss and fragmentation of Ostler's peppergrass populations. Other threats to species include nonnative species, vulnerability associated with small population size, climate change, and the overall inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms. We consider threats that Ostler's peppergrass faces to be moderate in magnitude, because while serious and occurring rangewide, the threats do not collectively result in significant population declines on a short time scale. The threats are imminent because the species is currently facing them across its entire range. Therefore, we have assigned Ostler's peppergrass an LPN of 8.

Linum arenicola (Sand flax)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Sand flax is found in pine rockland and marl prairie habitats, which require periodic wildfires in order to maintain an open, shrub-free subcanopy and reduce leaf-litter levels. Based upon available data, there are 12 extant occurrences of sand flax; 11 others have been extirpated or destroyed. For the most part, only small and isolated occurrences remain in low-lying areas in a restricted range of southern Florida and the Florida Keys. In general, viability is uncertain for 10 of 12 occurrences.

Sand flax is threatened by habitat loss and degradation due to development; climatic changes, including sea-level rise, which ultimately are likely to substantially reduce the extent of available habitat; fire suppression and difficulty in applying prescribed fire; road maintenance activities; exotic species; illegal dumping; natural disturbances, such as hurricanes, tropical storms, and storm surges; and the small and fragmented nature of the current population. Reduced pollinator activity and suppression of pollinator populations from pesticides used in mosquito control and decreased seed production due to increased seed predation in a fragmented wildland urban interface may also affect sand flax; however, not enough information is known on this species' reproductive biology or life history to assess these potential threats. Some of the threats to the species—including fire suppression, difficulty in applying prescribed fire, road maintenance activities, exotic species, and illegal dumping—threaten nearly all remaining populations. However, some efforts are under way to use prescribed fire to control exotics on conservation lands where this species occurs.

There are some circumstances that may mitigate the impacts of the threats upon the species. For example, a survey conducted in 2009 showed approximately 74,000 plants on a nonconservation, public site in Miami-Dade County; this is far more plants than was previously known. Although a portion of the plants will be affected by development, approximately 60,000 are anticipated to be protected and managed. Still, this project will need to be carefully monitored because impacts would affect the largest known occurrence of the species. In addition, much of the pine rockland on Big Pine Key, the location of the largest occurrence in the Keys, is protected from development.

Nevertheless, due to the small and fragmented nature of the current population, stochastic events, disease, or genetic bottlenecks may strongly affect this species in the Keys. One example is Hurricane Wilma, which inundated most of the species' habitat on Big Pine Key in 2005, and plants were not found 8 to 9 weeks post-storm; the density of sand flax declined to zero in all management units at The Nature Conservancy's preserve in 2006. In a 2007 post-hurricane assessment, sand flax was found in northern plots, but not in any of the southern plots on Big Pine Key. More current data are not available.

Overall, the magnitude of threats is high, because the threats affect all 12 known occurrences of the species and can result in a precipitous decline to the population levels, particularly when combined with the potential impacts

from hurricanes or other natural disasters. Because development is not immediate for the majority of the largest population in Miami-Dade County and another population in the Keys is also largely protected from development because much of it is within public and private conservation lands, the threat of habitat loss remains nonimminent. In addition, sea-level rise is a long-term threat because we do not have evidence that it is present in any population of sand flax. Therefore, we retained an LPN of 5 for this species.

Linum carteri var. carteri (Carter's small-flowered flax)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. This plant occupies open and disturbed sites in pinelands of Miami-Dade County, Florida. Currently, there are nine known occurrences. Occurrences with fewer than 100 individuals are located on three county-owned preserves. A site with more than 100 plants is owned by the U.S. government, but the site is not managed for conservation.

Climatic changes, including sea-level rise, are long-term threats that will likely reduce the extent of habitat. The nine existing occurrences are small and vulnerable to habitat loss, which is exacerbated by habitat degradation due to fire suppression, the difficulty of applying prescribed fire to pine rocklands, and threats from nonnative plants. Remaining habitats are fragmented. Incompatible management practices are also a threat at most protected sites; several sites are mowed during the flowering and fruiting season. In the absence of fire, periodic mowing can, in some cases, help maintain open, shrub-free understory and provide benefits to this plant. However, mowing can also eliminate reproduction entirely in very young plants, delay reproductive maturation, and kill adult plants. With flexibility in timing and proper management, threats from mowing practices can be reduced or negated. Carter's small-flowered flax is vulnerable to natural disturbances, such as hurricanes, tropical storms, and storm surges. This species exists in such small numbers at so few sites that it may be difficult to develop and maintain viable occurrences on the available conservation lands. Although no population viability analysis has been conducted for this plant, indications are that existing occurrences are at best marginal, and it is possible that none are truly viable. As a result, the magnitude of threats is high. The threats are ongoing, and thus are imminent.

Therefore, we assigned an LPN of 3 to this plant variety.

Myrsine fosbergii (Kolea)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Myrsine fosbergii is a branched shrub or small tree found in lowland mesic and wet forests, on watercourses or stream banks, on the islands of Kauai and Oahu, Hawaii. This species is currently known from 14 populations totaling a little more than 100 individuals. Myrsine fosbergii is threatened by feral pigs (Sus scrofa) and goats (Capra hircus) that degrade and destroy habitat and may forage upon the plant, and by nonnative plants that compete for light and nutrients. This species is represented in an ex situ collection. Although there are plans to fence and remove ungulates from the Helemano area of Oahu, which may benefit this species, no conservation measures have yet been taken to protect this species from nonnative herbivores. Feral pigs and goats are found throughout the known range of M. fosbergii, as are nonnative plants. The threats from feral pigs, goats, and nonnative plants are of a high magnitude because they pose a severe threat throughout the limited range of this species, and they are ongoing and therefore imminent. We retained an LPN of 2 for this species.

Nothocestrum latifolium ('Aiea)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Nothocestrum latifolium is a small tree found in dry to mesic forests on the islands of Kauai, Oahu, Maui, Molokai, and Lanai, Hawaii. Nothocestrum *latifolium* is known from 17 declining populations totaling fewer than 1,200 individuals. This species is threatened by feral pigs (Sus scrofa), goats (Capra hircus), and deer (Axis axis and Odocoileus hemionus) that degrade and destroy habitat and may forage upon it; by nonnative plants that compete for light and nutrients; and by the loss of pollinators that negatively affect the reproductive viability of the species. This species is represented in an ex situ collection. Ungulates have been fenced out of four areas where N. latifolium currently occurs, hundreds of N. latifolium individuals have been outplanted in fenced areas, and nonnative plants have been reduced in some populations that are fenced. However, these ongoing conservation efforts for this species benefit only a few of the known populations. The threats are not controlled and are ongoing in the remaining unfenced populations. In

addition, little regeneration is observed in this species. The threats are of a high magnitude, as they are severe enough to affect the continued existence of the species, leading to a relatively high likelihood of extinction. The threats are imminent, because they are ongoing. Therefore, we retained an LPN of 2 for this species.

Ochrosia haleakalae (Holei)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Ochrosia haleakalae is a tree found in dry to mesic forests, often on lava, on the islands of Hawaii and Maui. This species is currently known from 8 populations totaling between 64 and 76 individuals. Ochrosia haleakalae is threatened by fire; by feral pigs (Sus scrofa), goats (Capra hircus), and cattle (Bos taurus) that degrade and destroy habitat and may directly forage upon it; and by nonnative plants that compete for light and nutrients. This species is represented in ex situ collections. Feral pigs, goats, and cattle have been fenced out of one wild and one outplanted population on private lands on the island of Maui and out of one outplanted population in Hawaii Volcanoes National Park on the island of Hawaii. Nonnative plants have been reduced in the fenced areas. The threat from fire is of a high magnitude and imminent because no control measures have been undertaken to address this threat that could adversely affect O. haleakalae as a whole. The threats from feral pigs, goats, and cattle are ongoing to the unfenced populations of *O*. haleakalae. The threat from nonnative plants is ongoing, imminent, and of a high magnitude to the wild populations on both islands as this threat adversely affects the survival and reproductive capacity of the majority of the individuals of this species, leading to a relatively high likelihood of extinction. Therefore, we retained an LPN of 2 for this species.

Penstemon scariosus var. albifluvis (White River beardtongue)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files and the petition we received on October 27, 1983. This species is restricted to calcareous soils derived from oil shale barrens of the Green River Formation in the Uinta Basin of northeastern Utah and adjacent Colorado. There are 20 occurrences known in Utah and 1 in Colorado. Most of the occupied habitat of the White River beardtongue is within developed and expanding oil and gas fields. The location of the species' habitat exposes it to destruction from road, pipeline, and well site

construction in connection with oil and gas development. Grazing by wildlife and livestock is an additional threat. A future threat (and potentially the greatest threat) to the species is oil shale development. Traditional oil and gas energy development is currently occurring and expected to increase within habitat areas for this species, and therefore the threat is imminent. However, the BLM has adopted a Special Status Species policy and has included in its current Resource Management Plan actions to protect this species. These protections lessen the extent of traditional oil and gas development impacts to this species, so that although oil and gas development will continue to increase within this species' range, the threat is of moderate magnitude. The threats are ongoing and therefore imminent. Thus, we assigned an LPN of 9 to this plant variety.

Physaria globosa (Desvaux) O'Kane & Al-Shehbaz (Short's bladderpod)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Short's bladderpod is a perennial member of the mustard family that occurs in Indiana (1 location), Kentucky (6 locations), and Tennessee (22 locations). It grows on steep, rocky, wooded slopes; on talus areas; along cliff tops and bases; and on cliff ledges. It is usually associated with south- to west-facing calcareous outcrops adjacent to rivers or streams. Road construction and road maintenance have played a significant role in the decline of *P. globosa*. Specific activities that have affected the species in the past and may continue to threaten it include bank stabilization, herbicide use, mowing during the growing season, grading of road shoulders, and road widening or repaving. Sediment deposition during road maintenance or from other activities also potentially threatens the species. Because the natural processes that maintained habitat suitability and competition from invasive, nonnative vegetation have been interrupted at many locations, active habitat management is necessary at those sites. Threats associated with roadside maintenance activities and habitat alterations by invasive plant encroachment are imminent because they are ongoing. These threats are of moderate magnitude as they are not affecting all locations of this species at this time, the viability of 10 of the 22 occurrences observed in Tennessee were rated as fair or better, and efforts undertaken to restore suitable habitat conditions at the Indiana site apparently

have shown early signs of success. Therefore, we assigned an LPN of 8 to this species.

Pinus albicaulis (Whitebark pine)-The following summary is based on information in our files and in the petition received on December 9, 2008. *Pinus albicaulis* is a hardy conifer found at alpine tree line and subalpine elevations in Washington, Oregon, Nevada, California, Idaho, Montana, and Wyoming, and in British Columbia and Alberta, Canada. In the United States, approximately 96 percent of land where the species occurs is federally owned or managed, primarily by the U.S. Forest Service. Pinus albicaulis is a slowgrowing, long-lived tree with a life span of up to 500 years and sometimes more than 1,000 years. It is considered a keystone, or foundation, species in western North America, where it increases biodiversity and contributes to critical ecosystem functions.

The primary threat to the species is from disease in the form of the nonnative white pine blister rust and its interaction with other threats. Pinus albicaulis also is currently experiencing significant mortality from predation by the native mountain pine beetle. We also anticipate that continuing environmental effects resulting from climate change will result in direct habitat loss for P. albicaulis. Bioclimatic models predict that suitable habitat for *P. albicaulis* will decline precipitously within the next 100 years. Past and ongoing fire suppression is also negatively affecting populations of P. albicaulis through direct habitat loss. Additionally, environmental changes resulting from changing climatic conditions are acting alone and in combination with the effects of fire suppression to increase the frequency and severity of wildfires. Lastly, the existing regulatory mechanisms are inadequate to address the threats presented above. The threats that face *P*. albicaulis are high in magnitude because the major threats occur throughout all of the species' range and are having a major population-level effect on the species. The threats are imminent because rangewide disease, predation, fire and fire suppression, and environmental effects of climate change are affecting *P. albicaulis* currently and are expected to continue and likely intensify in the foreseeable future. Thus, we have assigned P. albicaulis an LPN of 2.

Platanthera integrilabia (Correll) Leur (White fringeless orchid)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004.

Platanthera integrilabia is a perennial herb that grows in partially, but not fully, shaded, wet, boggy areas at the head of streams and on seepage slopes in Alabama, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, South Carolina, and Tennessee. Historically, there were at least 90 populations of P. integrilabia. It is presumed extirpated from North Carolina and Virginia. Currently there are about 60 extant sites supporting the species.

Several populations have been destroyed due to road, residential, and commercial construction, and to projects that altered soil and site hydrology such that suitability for the species was reduced. Several of the known populations are in or adjacent to powerline rights-of-way. Mechanical clearing of these areas may benefit the species by maintaining adequate light levels, but can promote development of dense, shrubby vegetation due to extensive suckering of woody species; however, the indiscriminant use of herbicides in these areas could pose a significant threat to the species. Allterrain vehicles have damaged several sites and pose a threat at most sites. Some of the known sites for the species occur in areas that are managed specifically for timber production. Timber management is not necessarily incompatible with the protection and management of the species, but care must be taken during timber management to ensure that the hydrology of bogs supporting the species is not altered. Natural succession can result in decreased light levels. Because of the species' dependence upon moderate-to-high light levels, some type of active management to prevent complete canopy closure is required at most locations. Collecting for commercial and other purposes is a potential threat. Herbivory (primarily deer) threatens the species at several sites. Due to the alteration of habitat and changes in natural conditions, protection and recovery of this species is dependent upon active management rather than just preservation of habitat. Invasive, nonnative plants such as Japanese honevsuckle and kudzu also threaten several sites. The threats are widespread; however, the impact of those threats on the species' survival is moderate in magnitude. Several of the sites are protected to some degree from the threats by being within State parks, national forests, wildlife management areas, or other protected land and the species is spread out over sites in several States. The threats, however, are imminent because they are ongoing, and we have therefore assigned an LPN of 8 to this species.

Potentilla basaltica (Soldier Meadow cinquefoil or basalt cinquefoil)—We continue to find that listing this species is warranted but precluded as of the date of publication of this notice. However, we are working on a proposed listing rule that we expect to publish prior to making the next annual resubmitted petition 12-month finding. In the course of preparing the proposed listing rule, we are continuing to monitor new information about this species' status so that we can make prompt use of our authority under Section 4(b)(7) in the case of an emergency posing a significant risk to the species.

Pseudognaphalium (= Gnaphalium) sandwicensium var. molokaiense (Enaena)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Pseudognaphalium sandwicensium var. molokaiense is a perennial herb found in strand vegetation in dry consolidated dunes on the islands of Molokai and Maui. Historically, this variety was also found on Oahu and Lanai. This variety is known from 5 populations totaling approximately 200 to 20,000 individuals (depending upon rainfall) in the Moomomi area on the island of Molokai, and from 2 populations of a few individuals at Waiehu dunes and at Puu Kahulianapa on west Maui. Pseudognaphalium sandwicensium var. molokaiense is threatened by feral goats (Capra hircus) and axis deer (Axis axis) that degrade and destroy habitat and possibly prey upon it, and by nonnative plants that compete for light and nutrients. Potential threats also include collection for lei-making and off-road vehicles that directly damage plants and degrade habitat. Weed control is conducted for one population on Molokai; however, no conservation efforts have been initiated to date for the other populations on Molokai or for the individuals on Maui. This species is represented in an ex situ collection. The ongoing threats from feral goats, axis deer, nonnative plants, collection, and off-road vehicles are of a high magnitude because no control measures have been undertaken for the Maui population or for four of the five Molokai populations, and the threats result in direct mortality for a plant that already has very low population numbers, or significantly reduce reproductive capacity for the majority of the populations, leading to a relatively high likelihood of extinction. Therefore,

we retained an LPN of 3 for this plant variety.

Ranunculus hawaiensis (Makou)-The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Ranunculus hawaiensis is an erect or ascending perennial herb found in mesic to wet forests dominated by Metrosideros polymorpha (ohia) and Acacia koa (koa) with scree substrate (loose stones or rocky debris on a slope) on the islands of Maui and Hawaii, Hawaii. This species is currently known from 14 individuals in 6 populations on the island of Hawaii. This does not include one population on Maui (Kukui Planeze) that was not relocated on a survey conducted in 2006 or one wild population at Waikamoi (also on Maui) has not been observed since 1995. Ranunculus hawaiensis is threatened by direct predation by slugs (Limax maximus, Vaginulus plebeius, and Milax gagates), feral pigs (Sus scrofa), goats (Capra hircus), cattle (Bos taurus), mouflon (Ovis musimon), and feral sheep (O. aries); by pigs, goats, cattle, mouflon, and feral sheep that degrade and destroy habitat; and by nonnative plants that compete for light and nutrients. This species is represented in ex situ collections, and three populations have been outplanted into protected exclosures; however, feral ungulates and nonnative plants are not controlled in the remaining, unfenced populations. In addition, the threat from introduced slugs is of a high magnitude because slugs occur throughout the limited range of this species and no effective measures have been undertaken to control them or prevent them from causing significant adverse impacts to this species which currently is only known to have a small number of individuals. Overall, the threats from pigs, goats, cattle, mouflon, feral sheep, slugs, and nonnative plants are of a high magnitude and are ongoing (imminent) for R. hawaiensis. We retained an LPN of 2 for this species.

Ranunculus mauiensis (Makou)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Ranunculus mauiensis is an erect to weakly ascending perennial herb found in open sites in mesic to wet forests and along streams on the islands of Maui, Kauai, and Molokai, Hawaii. This species is currently known from 14 populations totaling 198 individuals. Ranunculus mauiensis is threatened by feral pigs (Sus scrofa), goats (Capra hircus), mule deer (Odocoileus hemionus), axis deer (Axis axis), and

slugs (Limax maximus, Vaginulus plebeius, and Milax gagates) that consume it; by habitat degradation and destruction by feral pigs, goats, and deer; and by nonnative plants that compete for light and nutrients. This species is represented in an ex situ collection. Feral pigs have been fenced out of one Maui population of *R*. mauiensis, and nonnative plants have been reduced in the fenced area. One individual occurs in the Kamakou Preserve on Molokai, managed by The Nature Conservancy. However, ongoing conservation efforts benefit only two populations. The threats are of high magnitude because the threats result in direct mortality for a plant that already has low population numbers, or significantly reduce reproductive capacity for the majority of the populations, leading to a relatively high likelihood of extinction. They are imminent because they are ongoing in the Kauai and the majority of the Maui populations. Therefore, we retained an LPN of 2 for this species.

Rorippa subumbellata (Tahoe yellow cress)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files and the petition we received on December 27, 2000. Rorippa subumbellata is a small, branching, perennial herb with umbel-like inflorescences and yellow flowers. Rorippa subumbellata is known only from the shores of Lake Tahoe in California and Nevada. Data collected over the last 25 years generally indicate that species occurrence fluctuates yearly as a function of both lake level and the amount of exposed habitat. Records kept since 1900 show a preponderance of years with high lake levels that would isolate and reduce R. subumbellata occurrences at higher beach elevations. From the standpoint of the species, less favorable peak years have occurred almost twice as often as more favorable low-level years. Annual surveys are conducted to determine population numbers, site occupancy, and general disturbance regime. During the 2003 and 2004 annual survey periods, the lake level was approximately 6,224 ft (1,897.08m); 2004 was the fourth consecutive year of low water. Rorippa subumbellata was present at 46 of the 60 sites surveyed, up from 31 occupied sites in 2001, when the lake level was higher at 6,225 ft (1,897.38 m). Approximately 25,200 stems were present in 2003, whereas during the 2001 annual survey, the estimated number of stems was 6,136. Lake levels rose again in 2006, and less habitat was available. Lake levels dropped again in 2008 through 2010, leading to an

increase in both occupied sites and estimated stem counts. During very low lake levels in 2009, an estimated 27,522 stems were observed at 46 sites, equal to the highest number of occupied sites previously recorded. In 2011, the lake level was 6,228.4 ft (1,898.4 m), 3.8 ft (1.2 m) higher than in 2010, and an estimated 6,494 stems were observed at 25 sites.

Many Rorippa subumbellata sites are intensively used for commercial and public purposes and are subject to various activities such as erosion control, marina developments, pier construction, and recreation. The U.S. Forest Service, California Tahoe Conservancy, and California Department of Parks and Recreation have management programs for R. subumbellata that include monitoring, fenced enclosures, and transplanting efforts when funds and staff are available. Public agencies (including the Service), private landowners, and environmental groups collaborated to develop a Conservation Strategy coupled with a Memorandum of Understanding-Conservation Agreement. The Conservation Strategy, completed in 2003, lays out goals and objectives for recovery and survival, contains a research and monitoring agenda, and serves as the foundation for an adaptive management program. Because of the continued commitments to conservation demonstrated by regulatory and land management agencies participating in the conservation strategy, we have determined the threats to R. subumbellata from various land uses have been reduced to a moderate magnitude. In high lake level years such as 2011, however, recreational use is concentrated within R. subumbellata habitat, and we consider this threat in particular to be ongoing and imminent. Therefore, we are maintaining an LPN of 8 for this species.

Schiedea pubescens (Maolioli)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Schiedea pubescens is a reclining or weakly climbing vine found in diverse mesic to wet forests on the islands of Maui, Molokai, and Hawaii, Hawaii. It is presumed extirpated from Lanai. Currently, this species is known from 8 populations totaling between 30 and 32 individuals on Maui, from 4 populations totaling between 21 and 22 individuals on Molokai, and from 1 population of 4 to 6 individuals on the island of Hawaii. Schiedea pubescens is threatened by feral pigs (Sus scrofa) and goats (Capra hircus) that consume it and

degrade and destroy habitat, and by nonnative plants that compete for light and nutrients. Feral ungulates have been fenced out of the population of *S*. pubescens on the island of Hawaii. Feral goats have been fenced out of a few of the west Maui populations of S. pubescens. Nonnative plants have been reduced in the populations that are fenced on Maui. However, the threats are not controlled and are ongoing in the remaining unfenced populations on Maui and the four populations on Molokai. Additional fenced areas are planned at Pohakuloa Training Area on the island of Hawaii. Nonnative feral ungulates and nonnative plants will be controlled within these fenced areas. Fire is a potential threat to the Hawaii Island population. This species is not represented in an ex situ collection. Due to the extremely low number of individuals of this species, the threats from goats and nonnative plants are of a high magnitude. These threats cause mortality and reduced reproductive capacity for the majority of the populations, leading to a relatively high likelihood of extinction. The threats are imminent because they are ongoing with respect to most of the populations. Therefore, we retained an LPN of 2 for this species.

Sedum eastwoodiae (Red Mountain stonecrop)—We continue to find that listing this species is warranted but precluded as of the date of publication of this notice. However, we are working on a proposed listing rule that we expect to publish prior to making the next annual resubmitted petition 12month finding. In the course of preparing the proposed listing rule, we are continuing to monitor new information about this species' status so that we can make prompt use of our authority under Section 4(b)(7) in the case of an emergency posing a significant risk to the species.

Sicyos macrophyllus ('Anunu)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Sicvos macrophyllus is a perennial vine found in wet Metrosideros polymorpha (ohia) forests and subalpine Sophora chrysophylla-Myoporum sandwicense (mamane-naio) forests. Sicvos macrophyllus was historically known from Kipahulu Valley on Maui and was widely distributed on the island of Hawaii. Currently, this species is known from 10 populations totaling between 24 and 26 individuals in the Kohala and Mauna Kea areas, and in Hawaii Volcanoes National Park (Puna area) on the island of Hawaii. It appears that a naturally occurring population at

Kipuka Ki in Hawaii Volcanoes National Park is reproducing by seeds, but seeds have not been successfully germinated under nursery conditions.

This species is threatened by feral pigs (Sus scrofa), cattle (Bos taurus), and mouflon (Ovis musimon) that degrade and destroy habitat, and by nonnative plants that compete for light and nutrients. This species is represented in ex situ collections. Feral pigs have been fenced out of some of the areas where S. macrophyllus currently occurs, but the fences do not exclude mouflon. Nonnative plants have been reduced in the populations that are fenced. However, the threats are not controlled and are ongoing in the remaining, unfenced populations, and are, therefore, imminent. Similarly the threat from mouflon is ongoing and imminent in all populations, because the current fences do not exclude them. In addition, all of the threats are of a high magnitude because habitat degradation and competition from nonnative plants present a risk to the species, resulting in direct mortality for a species that already has very low population numbers, or significantly reducing the reproductive capacity. Therefore, we retained an LPN of 2 for this species.

Solanum conocarpum (marron bacora)—The following summary is based on information in our files and in the petition we received on November 21, 1996. Solanum conocarpum is a dryforest shrub in the island of St. John, U.S. Virgin Islands. Its current distribution includes eight localities in the island of St. John, each ranging from 1 to 144 individuals. The species has been reported to occur on dry, poor soils. It can be locally abundant in exposed topography on sites disturbed by erosion, areas that have received moderate grazing, and around ridgelines as an understory component in diverse woodland communities. A habitat suitability model suggests that the vast majority of Solanum conocarpum habitat is found in the lower elevation coastal scrub forest. Efforts have been conducted to propagate the species to enhance natural populations, and planting of seedlings has been conducted in the island of St. John.

Solanum conocarpum is threatened by the lack of natural recruitment, absence of dispersers, fragmented distribution, lack of genetic variation, climate change, and habitat destruction or modification by exotic mammal species. These threats are evidenced by the reduced number of individuals, low number of populations, and lack of connectivity between populations. Overall, we determined the magnitude of the threats to be high as shown by the

poor quality of the populations. The majority of threats are ongoing and, therefore, imminent. We assigned an LPN of 2 to this species

LPN of 2 to this species. Solanum nelsonii (popolo)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Solanum nelsonii is a sprawling or trailing shrub found in coral rubble or sand in coastal sites. This species is known from populations on Molokai (approximately 300 individuals), the island of Hawaii (5 individuals), and the northwestern Hawaiian Islands (NWHI), Hawaii. The current populations in the NWHI are found on Kure (unknown number of individuals), Midway (approximately 260 individuals), Laysan (approximately 490 individuals), Pearl and Hermes (unknown number of individuals), and Nihoa (8,000 to 15,000 individuals). On Molokai, S. nelsonii is moderately threatened by ungulates that degrade and destroy habitat, and may eat S. nelsonii. On Molokai and the NWHI, this species is threatened by nonnative plants that outcompete and displace it. Solanum nelsonii is threatened by herbivory by a nonnative grasshopper (Schistocerca nitens) in the NWHI. On Kure, Midway, Laysan, and Pearl and Hermes in the NWHI, tsunamis are also a potential threat to S. nelsonii. This species is represented in ex situ collections. Ungulate exclusion fences, routine fence monitoring and maintenance, and weed control protect the population of S. nelsonii on Molokai. Limited weed control is conducted in the NWHI. These threats are of moderate magnitude because of the relatively large number of plants, and the fact that this species is found on more than one island. The threats are imminent for the majority of the populations because they are ongoing and are not being controlled. We

species.
Solidago plumosa (Yadkin River goldenrod)—The following information is based on information in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on April 20, 2010. The global distribution of Solidago plumosa consists of a single population that occurs in two discrete locations along a 2.5-mile stretch of the Yadkin River in North Carolina.

therefore retained an LPN of 8 for this

The availability of suitable habitat and the fate of the single known population of this species are primarily determined by the manner in which two hydroelectric projects (the Yadkin River and Yadkin-Pee Dee River Hydroelectric Projects) are operated. Any detrimental effects to *S. plumosa* resulting from the

construction of these reservoirs occurred decades ago when these projects were built (during the years of 1917 to 1928), and the Service is not aware of any plans to construct additional reservoirs within the current range of this species. However, S. plumosa continues to be subject to threats from the continued operation of these reservoirs (which has reduced the frequency and severity of scouring floods that help to prevent the establishment of other species within the species' limited habitat) and the encroachment of nonnative, invasive species. Because the species' global distribution consists of a single population, its entire range is affected by these threats. However, because scouring floods (prior to reservoir construction) likely only occurred episodically, and in light of the relatively slow progression of nonnative species into areas of occupied habitat and efforts to reduce these nonnative plants, the magnitude of these threats is moderate to low. However, because these threats (especially those presented by nonnative, invasive plant species) are currently occurring, they are imminent. Thus, we assigned this species an LPN

Symphyotrichum georgianum (Georgia aster) — The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Georgia aster is a relict species of post oak savanna/prairie communities that existed in the Southeast prior to widespread fire suppression and extirpation of large, native, grazing animals. Georgia aster currently occurs in the States of Alabama, Georgia, North Carolina, and South Carolina. The species is presumed extant in 8 counties in Alabama, 22 counties in Georgia, 9 counties in North Carolina, and 15 counties in South Carolina. The species appears to have been eliminated from Florida.

Most remaining populations survive adjacent to roads, utility rights-of-way, and other openings where current land management mimics natural disturbance regimes. Most populations are small (10 to 100 stems), and because the species' main mode of reproduction is vegetative, each isolated population may represent only a few genotypes. Many populations are currently threatened by one or more of the following factors: woody succession due to fire suppression, development, highway expansion or improvement, and herbicide application. However, the species is still relatively widely distributed, and information indicates

that the species is more abundant than when we initially identified it as a candidate for listing. Taking into account its distribution and abundance, and the fact that it is increasing, the magnitude of threats is moderate. The threats are currently occurring and therefore are imminent. Thus we assigned an LPN of 8 for this species.

Trifolium friscanum (Frisco clover)— The following summary is based on information in our files and the petition we received on July 30, 2007. Frisco clover is a dwarf mat-forming or tufted perennial herb with a woody stem, silver hairy leaves, and reddish-purple flowers. The species is a narrow endemic found only in Utah, with five known populations restricted to sparsely vegetated, pinion-junipersagebrush communities and shallow, gravel soils derived from volcanic gravels, Ordovician limestone, and dolomite outcrops. The majority (68 percent) of Frisco clover plants occur on private lands, with the remaining plants found on Federal and State lands.

On the private and State lands, the most significant threat to Frisco clover is habitat destruction from mining for precious metals and gravel. Active mining claims, recent prospecting, and an increasing demand for precious metals and gravel indicate that mining in Frisco clover habitats will increase in the foreseeable future, likely resulting in the loss of large numbers of plants. Other threats to Frisco clover include nonnative, invasive species; vulnerability associated with small population size; drought associated with climate change; and the overall inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms. We consider the threats to Frisco clover to be moderate in magnitude because, while serious and occurring rangewide, they are not acting independently or cumulatively to have a highly significant negative impact on its survival or reproductive capacity. The threats are imminent because the species is currently facing them across its entire range. Therefore, we have assigned Frisco clover an LPN of 8.

Ferns and Allies

Cyclosorus boydiae (no common name)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. This species is a small- to medium-sized fern found in mesic to wet forests along stream banks on the islands of Oahu and Maui, Hawaii. Historically, this species was also found on the island of Hawaii, but it has been extirpated there. Currently, this species is known from 7 populations totaling

approximately 400 individuals. This species is threatened by feral pigs (Sus scrofa) that degrade and destroy habitat and may eat this plant, and by nonnative plants that compete for light and nutrients. Feral pigs have been fenced out of two populations, including the largest population, on Maui, and nonnative plants are being controlled in the fenced areas at these sites. No conservation efforts are under way to alleviate threats to the other populations on Maui, or the two populations on Oahu. This species is represented in an ex situ collection. The magnitude of the threats acting upon the currently extant populations is moderate because two of the seven populations, including the largest population that contains 40 percent of the total population for the species, are protected from pigs, and nonnative plants are being controlled in these areas. The threats are ongoing and therefore imminent. Therefore, we retained an LPN of 8 for this species.

Huperzia stemmermanniae (Waewaeiole)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. This species is an epiphytic pendant clubmoss found in mesic-to-wet Metrosideros polymorpha-Acacia koa (ohia-koa) forests on the islands of Maui and Hawaii, Hawaii. Only 3 populations are known, totaling approximately 20 individuals. The Maui population has not been observed since 1995. Huperzia stemmermanniae is threatened by feral pigs (Sus scrofa), goats (Capra hircus), cattle (Bos taurus), and axis deer (Axis axis) that degrade and destroy habitat, and by nonnative plants that compete for light, space, and nutrients. Huperzia stemmermanniae is also threatened by randomly occurring natural events due to its small population size. One individual at Waikamoi Preserve may benefit from fencing for axis deer and pigs. This species is represented in ex situ collections. The threats from pigs, goats, cattle, axis deer, and nonnative plants are of a high magnitude because they are sufficiently severe to adversely affect the species throughout its limited range, resulting in direct mortality for a species that already has very low population numbers, or significantly reducing reproductive capacity and leading to a relatively high likelihood of extinction. The threats are imminent because they are ongoing. Therefore, we retained an LPN of 2 for this species.

Microlepia strigosa var. mauiensis (Palapalai)—The following summary is based on information contained in our files. No new information was provided

in the petition we received on May 11, 2004. Microlepia strigosa var. mauiensis is a terrestrial fern found in mesic-towet forests. It is currently found in Hawaii on the islands of Maui, Oahu, and Hawaii, from at least 9 populations totaling at least 50 individuals. There is a possibility that the range of this plant variety could be larger and include the other main Hawaiian Islands. Microlepia strigosa var. mauiensis is threatened by feral pigs (Sus scrofa) that degrade and destroy habitat, and by nonnative plants that compete for light and nutrients. Pigs have been fenced out of some areas on east and west Maui, Oahu, and on Hawaii, where M. strigosa var. mauiensis currently occurs, and nonnative plants have been reduced in the fenced areas. However, the threats are not controlled and are ongoing in the remaining unfenced populations on Maui, Oahu, and Hawaii. Therefore, the threats from feral pigs and nonnative plants are imminent. The threats are of a high magnitude because they are sufficiently severe to adversely affect the species throughout its range, resulting in direct mortality for a species that already has very low population numbers, or significantly reducing reproductive capacity and leading to a relatively high likelihood of extinction. We therefore retained an LPN of 3 for M. strigosa var. mauiensis.

Petitions To Reclassify Species Already Listed or To Add to the Listed Range

We previously made warranted-butprecluded findings on five petitions seeking to reclassify threatened species to endangered status. The taxa involved in the reclassification petitions are three populations of the grizzly bear (Ursus arctos horribilis), delta smelt (Hypomesus transpacificus), and Sclerocactus brevispinus (Pariette cactus). Because these species are already listed under the ESA, they are not candidates for listing and are not included in Table 1. However, this notice and associated species assessment forms or 5-year review documents also constitute the resubmitted petition findings for these species. For the three grizzly bear populations, our recently completed 5year review serves as our assessment. For delta smelt and Sclerocactus brevispinus, our updated assessments are provided below. We find that reclassification to endangered status for the three grizzly bear populations, delta smelt, and Sclerocactus brevispinus are all currently warranted but precluded by work identified above (see "Findings for Petitioned Candidate Species"). One of the primary reasons that the work identified above is considered to have

higher priority is that the grizzly bear populations, delta smelt, and Sclerocactus brevispinus are currently listed as threatened, and therefore already receive certain protections under the ESA. We promulgated regulations extending take prohibitions for wildlife and plants under section 9 to threatened species (50 CFR 17.31 and 50 CFR 17.71, respectively). Prohibited actions under section 9 for wildlife include, but are not limited to, take (i.e., to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or attempt to engage in such activity). For plants, prohibited actions under section 9 include removing or reducing to possession any listed plant from an area under Federal jurisdiction (50 CFR 17.61). Other protections that apply to these threatened species even before we complete proposed and final reclassification rules include those under section 7(a)(2) of the ESA whereby Federal agencies must insure that any action they authorize, fund, or carry out is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any endangered or threatened species.

North Cascades ecosystem, Cabinet-Yaak, and Selkirk populations (Region 6)-Between 1986 and 2007, we have received and reviewed 10 petitions requesting a change in status for individual grizzly bear populations (51 FR 16363, May 2, 1986; 55 FR 32103, August 7, 1990; 56 FR 33892, July 24, 1991; 57 FR 14372, April 20, 1992; 58 FR 8250, February 12, 1993; 58 FR 38552, July 19, 1993; 58 FR 43856, August 18, 1993; 58 FR 43857, August 18, 1993; 59 FR 46611, September 9, 1994; 64 FR 26725, May 17, 1999; 72 FR 14866, March 29, 2007). Through this process, we determined that the Cabinet-Yaak, Selkirk, and North Cascade ecosystems warrant endangered status. On April 18, 2007, the Service initiated a 5-year review to evaluate the current status of grizzly bears in the lower 48 States (72 FR 19549-19551). This status review, completed on August 29, 2011, and available online at: http://ecos.fws.gov/speciesProfile/ profile/speciesProfile.action? spcode=A001, recommended that

Grizzly bear (*Ursus arctos horribilis*)

precluded.
Delta smelt (*Hypomesus*transpacificus) (Region 8) (see 75 FR
17667, April 7, 2010, for additional
information on why reclassification to
endangered is warranted but
precluded)—The following summary is
based on information contained in our
files. In April 2010, we completed a 12-

reclassifying as endangered the Cabinet-

Yaak, Selkirk, and North Cascades

Ecosystems remain warranted but

month finding for delta smelt in which we determined a change in status from threatened to endangered was warranted, although precluded by other high-priority listings. The primary evidence is the continuing downward trend in delta smelt abundance indices since the significant decline that occurred in 2002. A 2005 population viability analysis calculated a 50percent likelihood that the species could reach effective extinction (8,000 individuals) within 20 years.

The primary threats to the delta smelt are direct entrainments by State and Federal water export facilities, summer and fall increases in salinity and water clarity resulting from decreases in freshwater flow into the estuary, and effects from introduced species. Ammonia in the form of ammonium may also be a significant threat to the survival of the delta smelt. Additional potential threats are predation by striped and largemouth bass and inland silversides, entrainment into power plants, contaminants, and small population size. Existing regulatory mechanisms have not proven adequate to halt the decline of delta smelt since the time of listing as a threatened species.

As a result of our analysis of the best available scientific and commercial information, we have retained the recommendation of uplisting the delta smelt to an endangered species with a LPN of 2, based on high magnitude and imminent threats. The magnitude of the threats is high, because the threats occur rangewide and result in direct mortality for a species that already has low population numbers, or significantly reduce the reproductive capacity of the species. Threats are imminent because they are ongoing and, in some cases (e.g., nonnative species), considered irreversible.

Sclerocactus brevispinus (Pariette cactus) (Region 6) (see 72 FR 53211, September 18, 2007, and the species assessment form (see ADDRESSES) for additional information on why reclassification to endangered is warranted but precluded)—Sclerocactus brevispinus is restricted to clay badlands of the Wagon Hound member of the Uinta Formation in the Uinta Basin of northeastern Utah. The species is restricted to one population with an overall range of approximately 10 miles by 5 miles in extent. The species' entire population is within a developed and expanding oil and gas field. The location of the species' habitat exposes it to destruction from road, pipeline, and well-site construction in connection with oil and gas development. The species may be collected as a specimen

plant for horticultural use. Recreational off-road vehicle use and livestock trampling are additional potential threats. The species is currently federally listed as threatened by its previous inclusion within the species Sclerocactus glaucus. The threats are of a high magnitude because any one of the threats has the potential to severely affect this species, a narrow endemic with a highly limited range and distribution. Threats are ongoing and, therefore, are imminent. Thus, we assigned an LPN of 2 to this species for uplisting.

Current Notice of Review

We gather data on plants and animals native to the United States that appear to merit consideration for addition to the Lists of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants (Lists). This notice identifies those species that we currently regard as candidates for addition to the Lists. These candidates include species and subspecies of fish, wildlife, or plants, and DPSes of vertebrate animals. This compilation relies on information from status surveys conducted for candidate assessment and on information from State Natural Heritage Programs, other State and Federal agencies, knowledgeable scientists, public and private natural resource interests, and comments received in response to previous notices of review.

Tables 1 and 2 list animals arranged alphabetically by common names under the major group headings, and list plants alphabetically by names of genera, species, and relevant subspecies and varieties. Animals are grouped by class or order. Plants are subdivided into two groups: (1) Flowering plants and (2) ferns and their allies. Useful synonyms and subgeneric scientific names appear in parentheses with the synonyms preceded by an "equals" sign. Several species that have not vet been formally described in the scientific literature are included; such species are identified by a generic or specific name (in italics), followed by "sp." or "ssp." We incorporate standardized common names in these notices as they become available. We sort plants by scientific name due to the inconsistencies in common names, the inclusion of vernacular and composite subspecific names, and the fact that many plants still lack a standardized common name.

Table 1 lists all candidate species, plus species currently proposed for listing under the ESA. We emphasize that in this notice we are not proposing to list any of the candidate species; rather, we will develop and publish proposed listing rules for these species

in the future. We encourage State agencies, other Federal agencies, and other parties to give consideration to these species in environmental planning.

In Table 1, the "category" column on the left side of the table identifies the status of each species according to the

following codes:

PE—Species proposed for listing as endangered. Proposed species are those species for which we have published a proposed rule to list as endangered or threatened in the Federal Register. This category does not include species for which we have withdrawn or finalized the proposed rule.

PT—Species proposed for listing as

threatened.

PSAT—Species proposed for listing as threatened due to similarity of

C—Candidates: Species for which we have on file sufficient information on biological vulnerability and threats to support proposals to list them as endangered or threatened. Issuance of proposed rules for these species is precluded at present by other higher priority listing actions. This category includes species for which we made a 12-month warranted-but-precluded finding on a petition to list. We made new findings on all petitions for which we previously made "warranted-butprecluded" findings. We identify the species for which we made a continued warranted-but-precluded finding on a resubmitted petition by the code "C*" in the category column (see "Findings for Petitioned Candidate Species" section for additional information).

The "Priority" column indicates the LPN for each candidate species, which we use to determine the most appropriate use of our available resources. The lowest numbers have the highest priority. We assign LPNs based on the immediacy and magnitude of threats, as well as on taxonomic status. We published a complete description of our listing priority system in the Federal Register (48 FR 43098,

September 21, 1983). The third column, "Lead Region," identifies the Regional Office to which you should direct information, comments, or questions (see addresses $\,$ under Request for Information at the end of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section).

Following the scientific name (fourth column) and the family designation (fifth column) is the common name (sixth column). The seventh column provides the known historical range for the species or vertebrate population (for vertebrate populations, this is the historical range for the entire species or

subspecies and not just the historical range for the distinct population segment), indicated by postal code abbreviations for States and U.S. territories. Many species no longer occur in all of the areas listed.

Species in Table 2 of this notice are those we included either as proposed species or as candidates in the previous CNOR (published October 26, 2011, at 76 FR 66370) that are no longer proposed species or candidates for listing. Since October 26, 2011, we listed 41 species, withdrew a proposed rule for one species, and removed 6 species from candidate status for the reason indicated by the code. The first column indicates the present status of each species, using the following codes (not all of these codes may have been used in this CNOR):

-Species we listed as endangered. –Species we listed as threatened.

Rc—Species we removed from the candidate list because currently available information does not support a proposed listing.

Rp—Species we removed from because we have withdrawn the

proposed listing.

The second column indicates why we no longer regard the species as a candidate or proposed species using the following codes (not all of these codes may have been used in this CNOR):

Ă—Species that are more abundant or widespread than previously believed and species that are not subject to the degree of threats sufficient to warrant continuing candidate status, or issuing a proposed or final listing.

F—Species whose range no longer

includes a U.S. territory.

I—Species for which we have insufficient information on biological vulnerability and threats to support issuance of a proposed rule to list.

L—Species we added to the Lists of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife

and Plants.

M—Species we mistakenly included as candidates or proposed species in the last notice of review.

N—Species that are not listable entities based on the ESA's definition of "species" and current taxonomic

understanding.
U—Species that are not subject to the degree of threats sufficient to warrant issuance of a proposed listing or continuance of candidate status due, in part or totally, to conservation efforts that remove or reduce the threats to the species.

X—Species we believe to be extinct. The columns describing lead region, scientific name, family, common name, and historical range include information as previously described for Table 1.

Request for Information

We request you submit any further information on the species named in this notice as soon as possible or whenever it becomes available. We are particularly interested in any information:

(1) Indicating that we should add a species to the list of candidate species;

(2) Indicating that we should remove a species from candidate status;

(3) Recommending areas that we should designate as critical habitat for a species, or indicating that designation of critical habitat would not be prudent for a species:

(4) Documenting threats to any of the

included species;

(5) Describing the immediacy or magnitude of threats facing candidate species;

(6) Pointing out taxonomic or nomenclature changes for any of the species;

(7) Suggesting appropriate common names; and

(8) Noting any mistakes, such as errors in the indicated historical ranges.

Submit information, materials, or comments regarding a particular species to the Regional Director of the Region identified as having the lead responsibility for that species. The regional addresses follow:

Region 1. Hawaii, Idaho, Oregon, Washington, American Samoa, Guam, and Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands. Regional Director (TE), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Eastside Federal Complex, 911 NE. 11th Avenue, Portland, OR 97232-4181 (503/231-6158).

Region 2. Arizona, New Mexico, Oklahoma, and Texas. Regional Director (TE), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 500 Gold Avenue SW., Room 4012, Albuquerque, NM 87102 (505/ 248-6920).

Region 3. Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Ohio, and Wisconsin. Regional Director (TE), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 5600 American Blvd. West, Suite 990, Bloomington, MN 55437-1458 (612/ 713-5334).

Region 4. Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands. Regional Director (TE), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1875 Century Boulevard, Suite 200, Atlanta, GA 30345 (404/ 679-4156).

Region 5. Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, and West Virginia. Regional Director (TE), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 300 Westgate Center Drive, Hadley, MA 01035-9589 (413/253-8615).

Region 6. Colorado, Kansas, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah, and Wyoming. Regional Director (TE), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, P.O. Box 25486, Denver Federal Center, Denver, CO 80225-0486 (303/236-7400).

Region 7. Alaska. Regional Director (TE), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1011 East Tudor Road, Anchorage, AK 99503-6199 (907/786-3505).

Region 8. California and Nevada. Regional Director (TE), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2800 Cottage Way, Suite W2606, Sacramento, CA 95825 (916/414-6464).

We will provide information received in response to the previous CNOR to the Region having lead responsibility for each candidate species mentioned in the submission. We will likewise consider all information provided in response to this CNOR in deciding whether to propose species for listing and when to undertake necessary listing actions (including whether emergency listing under section 4(b)(7) of the ESA is appropriate). Information and comments we receive will become part of the administrative record for the species, which we maintain at the appropriate Regional Office.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your submission, be advised that your entire submission—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. Although you can ask us in your submission to withhold from public review your personal identifying information, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Authority

This notice is published under the authority of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 et sea.).

Dated: November 6, 2012.

Rowan W. Gould,

Deputy Director, Fish and Wildlife Service.

Sta	tus	Lead			_				
Category	Priority	region	Scientific name	Family	Common name	Historical range			
	MAMMALS								
PE C*	2	R4 R1	Eumops floridanus Emballonura semicaudata rotensis.	Molossidae Emballonuridae	Bat, Florida bonneted Bat, Pacific sheathtailed (Mariana Islands subspecies).	U.S.A. (FL). U.S.A. (GU, CNMI).			
C*	3	R1	Emballonura semicaudata semicaudata.	Emballonuridae	Bat, Pacific sheath-tailed (American Samoa DPS).	U.S.A. (AS), Fiji, Independent Samoa, Tonga, Vanuatu.			
C*	6	R2	Tamias minimus atristriatus.	Sciuridae	Chipmunk, Peñasco least.	U.S.A. (NM).			
C*	2	R5	Sylvilagus transitionalis	Leporidae	Cottontail, New England	U.S.A. (CT, MA, ME, NH, NY, RI, VT).			
C*		R8	,	Mustelidae	Fisher (west coast DPS)	U.S.A. (CA, CT, IA, ID, IL, IN, KY, MA, MD, ME, MI, MN, MT, ND, NH, NJ, NY, OH, OR, PA, RI, TN, UT, VA, VT, WA, WY), Canada.			
C*	12	R6	Lynx canadensis	Felidae	Lynx, Canada (New Mexico population).	U.S.A. (CO, ID, ME, MI, MN, MT, NH, NY, OR, UT, VT, WA, WI, WY), Canada.			
C*	3	R2	Zapus hudsonius luteus	Zapodidae	Mouse, New Mexico meadow jumping.	U.S.A. (AZ, CO, NM).			
C*	3	R1	Thomomys mazama couchi.	Geomyidae	Pocket gopher, Shelton	U.S.A. (WA).			
C*	3	R1	Thomomys mazama douglasii.	Geomyidae	Pocket gopher, Brush Prairie.	U.S.A. (WA).			
C*	3	R1	Thomomys mazama glacialis.	Geomyidae	Pocket gopher, Roy Prairie.	U.S.A. (WA).			
C*	3	R1	Thomomys mazama louiei.	Geomyidae	Pocket gopher, Cathlamet.	U.S.A. (WA)			
C*	3	R1	Thomomys mazama melanops.	Geomyidae	Pocket gopher, Olympic	U.S.A. (WA).			
C*	3	R1	Thomomys mazama pugetensis.	Geomyidae	Pocket gopher, Olympia	U.S.A. (WA).			
C*	3	R1	Thomomys mazama tacomensis.	Geomyidae	Pocket gopher, Tacoma	U.S.A. (WA).			
C*	3	R1	Thomomys mazama tumuli.	Geomyidae	Pocket gopher, Tenino	U.S.A. (WA).			
C*	3	R1		Geomyidae	Pocket gopher, Yelm	U.S.A. (WA).			
C*	2	R6	Cynomys gunnisoni	Sciuridae	Prairie dog, Gunnison's (populations in central and south-central Colorado, north-central New Mexico).	U.S.A. (CO, NM).			
C*	9	R1	Spermophilus brunneus endemicus.	Sciuridae	Squirrel, Southern Idaho ground.	U.S.A. (ID).			
C*	5	R1	Spermophilus washingtoni.	Sciuridae	Squirrel, Washington ground.	U.S.A. (WA, OR).			
C*	9	R1	Arborimus longicaudus	Cricetidae	Vole, Red (north Oregon coast DPS).	U.S.A. (OR).			
C*	9	R7	Odobenus rosmarus divergens.	Odobenidae	Walrus, Pacific	U.S.A. (AK), Canada, Russia.			
C*	6	R6	Gulo gulo luscus	Mustelidae	Wolverine, North American (Contiguous U.S. DPS).	U.S.A. (CA, CO, ID, MT, OR, UT, WA, WY).			
				BIRDS					
C*	3	R1	Porzana tabuensis	Rallidae	Crake, spotless (American Samoa DPS).	U.S.A. (AS), Australia, Fiji, Independent Samoa, Marquesas, Philippines, Society Is- lands, Tonga.			

Sta	itus	Lead	Scientific name	Family	Common name	Historical range
Category	Priority	region	Scientific name	Family	Common name	Historical range
C*	3	R8	Coccyzus americanus	Cuculidae	Cuckoo, yellow-billed (Western U.S. DPS).	U.S.A. (Lower 48 States), Canada, Mex- ico, Central and South America.
C*	9	R1	Gallicolumba stairi	Columbidae	Ground-dove, friendly (American Samoa DPS).	U.S.A. (AS), Independent Samoa.
			Eremophila alpestris strigata.	Alaudidae	Horned lark, streaked	U.S.A. (OR, WA), Can- ada (BC).
		R5		Scolopacidae	Knot, red	U.S.A. (Atlantic coast), Canada, South America.
			Gavia adamsii			U.S.A. (AK), Canada, Norway, Russia, coastal waters of southern Pacific and North Sea.
		R7	brevirostris.	Alcidae	, , , , , , ,	U.S.A. (AK), Russia.
		R8	Synthliboramphus hypoleucus.	Alcidae	,	U.S.A. (CA), Mexico.
		R6	Anthus spragueii	Motacillidae		U.S.A. (AR, AZ, CO, KS, LA, MN, MS, MT, ND, NE, NM, OK, SD, TX), Canada, Mexico.
C* C*	2	R2 R2	Amazona viridigenalis Tympanuchus pallidicinctus.	Psittacidae Phasianidae	Parrot, red-crowned Prairie-chicken, lesser	U.S.A. (TX), Mexico. U.S.A. (CO, KA, NM, OK, TX).
		R6	urophasianus.	Phasianidae	Sage-grouse, greater	U.S.A. (AZ, CA, CO, ID, MT, ND, NE, NV, OR, SD, UT, WA, WY), Canada (AB, BC, SK).
C*	3	R8	Centrocercus urophasianus.	Phasianidae	Sage-grouse, greater (Bi-State DPS).	U.S.A. (AZ, CA, CO, ID, MT, ND, NE, NV, OR, SD, UT, WA, WY), Canada (AB, BC, SK).
		R1	Centrocercus urophasianus.	Phasianidae	Sage-grouse, greater (Columbia Basin DPS).	U.S.A. (AZ, CA, CO, ID, MT, ND, NE, NV, OR, SD, UT, WA, WY), Canada (AB, BC, SK).
		R6	Centrocercus minimus	Phasianidae		U.S.A. (AZ, CO, NM, UT).
C*	3	R1	Oceanodroma castro	Hydrobatidae	Storm-petrel, band- rumped (Hawaii DPS).	U.S.A. (HI), Atlantic Ocean, Ecuador (Galapagos Islands), Japan.
C*	11	R4	Dendroica angelae	Emberizidae	Warbler, elfin-woods	U.S.A. (PR).
				REPTILES		
C*	3	R2	Thamnophis eques megalops.	Colubridae	Gartersnake, northern Mexican.	U.S.A. (AZ, NM, NV), Mexico.
C*	8	R3	Sistrurus catenatus	Viperidae	Massasauga (= rattle- snake), eastern.	U.S.A. (IA, IL, IN, MI, MN, MO, NY, OH, PA, WI), Canada.
C*	3	R4	Pituophis melanoleucus lodingi.	Colubridae	Snake, black pine	U.S.A. (AL, LA, MS).
C*	5 3	R4 R2	Pituophis ruthveni Chionactis occipitalis	Colubridae	Snake, Louisiana pine Snake, Tucson shovel-	U.S.A. (LA, TX). U.S.A. (AZ).
C*	5	R2	klauberi. Gopherus morafkai	Testudinidae	nosed. Tortoise, Sonoran desert	U.S.A. (AZ, CA, NV,
C*	8	R4	Gopherus polyphemus	Testudinidae	Tortoise, gopher (east-	UT). U.S.A. (AL, FL, GA, LA,
C*	6	R2	Kinosternon sonoriense longifemorale.	Kinosternidae	ern population). Turtle, Sonoyta mud	MS, SC). U.S.A. (AZ), Mexico.

Sta	itus	Lead	Colombific manne	Family.	0	Historical vanas		
Category	Priority	region	Scientific name	Family	Common name	Historical range		
AMPHIBIANS								
C*	9	R8	Rana luteiventris	Ranidae	Frog, Columbia spotted (Great Basin DPS).	U.S.A. (AK, ID, MT, NV, OR, UT, WA, WY), Canada (BC).		
C*	3	R8	Rana muscosa	Ranidae	Frog, mountain yellow- legged (Sierra Nevada DPS).	U.S.A (CA, NV).		
C*		R1	Rana pretiosa	Ranidae	Frog, Oregon spotted	U.S.A. (CA, OR, WA), Canada (BC).		
C*	8	R8 R4	Lithobates onca Notophthalmus perstriatus.	RanidaeSalamandridae	Frog, relict leopard Newt, striped	U.S.A. (AZ, NV, UT). U.S.A. (FL, GA).		
PE C* PE		R2 R4 R2	Eurycea waterlooensis Gyrinophilus gulolineatus Eurycea naufragia	Plethodontidae Plethodontidae Plethodontidae	Salamander, Austin blind Salamander, Berry Cave Salamander, George-	U.S.A. (TX). U.S.A. (TN). U.S.A. (TX).		
PE	2	R2	Plethodon neomexicanus	Plethodontidae	town. Salamander, Jemez	U.S. A. (NM).		
PE	8	R2	Eurycea tonkawae	Plethodontidae	Mountains. Salamander, Jollyville Plateau.	U.S.A. (TX).		
PE		R2	Eurycea chisholmensis	Plethodontidae	Salamander, Salado	U.S.A. (TX).		
C*		R8	Anaxyrus canorus	Bufonidae	Toad, Yosemite	U.S.A. (CA).		
C	3	R2	Hyla wrightorum	Hylidae	Treefrog, Arizona (Huachuca/Canelo DPS).	U.S.A. (AZ), Mexico (Sonora).		
C*	2	R4	Necturus alabamensis	Proteidae	Waterdog, black warrior (= Sipsey Fork).	U.S.A. (AL).		
FISHES								
C*	8	R2	Gila nigra	Cyprinidae	Chub, headwater	U.S.A. (AZ, NM).		
C* C*		R6 R2	lotichthys phlegethontis Gila robusta	Cyprinidae	Chub, least	U.S.A. (UT). U.S.A. (AZ, CO, NM, UT, WY).		
C*		R6	Etheostoma cragini	Percidae	Darter, Arkansas	U.S.A. (AR, CO, KS, MO, OK).		
C		R4	Etheostoma sagitta sagitta.	Percidae	Darter, Cumberland arrow.	U.S.A. (KY, TN).		
PE		R5	Crystallaria cincotta	Percidae	Darter, diamond	U.S.A. (KY, OH, TN, WV).		
C	3	R4	Etheostoma sagitta spilotum.	Percidae	Darter, Kentucky arrow	U.S.A. (KY).		
C* C*	8	R4 R6	Percina aurora Thymallus arcticus	PercidaeSalmonidae	Darter, Pearl Grayling, Arctic (upper Missouri River DPS).	U.S.A. (LA, MS). U.S.A. (AK, MI, MT, WY), Canada, north- ern Asia, northern Eu- rope.		
C*	5	R4	Moxostoma sp	Catostomidae	Redhorse, sicklefin	U.S.A. (GA, NC, TN).		
PE	2	R3	Cottus sp	Cottidae	Sculpin, grotto	U.S.A. (MO).		
C*	5	R2	Notropis oxyrhynchus	Cyprinidae	Shiner, sharpnose	U.S.A. (TX).		
C*	5 3	R2 R8	Notropis buccula	Cyprinidae Osmeridae	Shiner, smalleye	U.S.A. (TX). U.S.A. (AK, CA, OR,		
C*	3	R2	Catostomus discobolus	Catostomidae	cisco bay-delta DPS). Sucker, Zuni bluehead	WA), Canada. U.S.A. (AZ, NM).		
PT		R4	yarrowi. Elassoma	Elassomatidae	Sunfish, spring pygmy	U.S.A. (AL).		
PSAT	N/A	R1	alabamae Salvelinus malma	Salmonidae	Trout, Dolly Varden	U.S.A. (AK, WA), Can- ada, East Asia.		
C*	9	R2	Oncorhynchus clarki virginalis.	Salmonidae	Trout, Rio Grande cut- throat.	U.S.A. (CO, NM).		
				CLAMS				
C* C*	2	R2 R2	Lampsilis bracteata Truncilla macrodon	Unionidae Unionidae	Fatmucket, Texas Fawnsfoot, Texas	U.S.A. (TX). U.S.A. (TX).		

Sta	tus	Lead	Scientific name	Family	Common name	Historical range
Category	Priority	region	Scientific flame	1 anny	Common name	riistoricai rarige
C*	8	R2	Popenaias popei	Unionidae	Hornshell, Texas	U.S.A. (NM, TX), Mexico.
PE	2	R4	Ptychobranchus subtentum.	Unionidae	Kidneyshell, fluted	U.S.A. (AL, KY, TN, VA)
PE	2	R4	Lampsilis rafinesqueana	Unionidae	Mucket, Neosho	U.S.A. (AR, KS, MO, OK).
C*	8	R2	Quadrula aurea	Unionidae	Orb, golden	U.S.A. (TX).
PE	2	R4	Lexingtonia dolabelloides	Unionidae	Pearlymussel, slabside	U.S.A. (AL, KY, TN, VA)
C*	8	R2	Quadrula houstonensis	Unionidae	Pimpleback, smooth	U.S.A. (TX).
C*	2	R2	Quadrula petrina	Unionidae	Pimpleback, Texas	U.S.A. (TX).
PT	9	R4	Quadrula cylindrica cylindrica.	Unionidae	Rabbitsfoot	U.S.A. (AL, AR, GA, IN, IL, KS, KY, LA, MS, MO, OK, OH, PA, TN, WV).
		I		SNAILS		
C*	8	R4	Elimia melanoides	Pleuroceridae	Mudalia, black	U.S.A. (AL).
C*	2	R4	Planorbella magnifica	Planorbidae	Ramshorn, magnificent	U.S.A. (NC).
C*	2	R1	Ostodes strigatus	Potaridae	Sisi snail	U.S.A. (AS).
PE	2	R2	Pseudotryonia	Hydrobiidae	Snail, Diamond Y Spring	U.S.A. (TX).
. 🗕			adamantina.	i iyarobiidao	Chair, Diamond 1 Opinig	J.J., (17).
C*	2	R1	Samoana fragilis	Partulidae	Snail, fragile tree	U.S.A. (GU, MP).
C*	2	R1	Partula radiolata	Partulidae	Snail, Guam tree	U.S.A. (GU).
C*	2	R1	Partula gibba	Partulidae	Snail, Humped tree	U.S.A. (GU, MP).
PE	2	R1	Partulina semicarinata	Achatinellidae	Snail, Lanai tree	U.S.A. (HI).
PE	2	R1	Partulina variabilis	Achatinellidae	Snail, Lanai tree	U.S.A. (HI).
C*	2	R1	Partula langfordi	Partulidae	Snail, Langford's tree	U.S.A. (MP).
PE	2	R2	Cochliopa texana	Hydrobiidae	Snail, Phantom cave	U.S.A. (TX).
PE	2	R1	Newcombia cumingi	Achatinellidae	Snail, Newcomb's tree	U.S.A. (HI).
C*	2	R1	Eua zebrina	Partulidae	Snail, Tutuila tree	U.S.A. (AS).
PE	2	R2	Tryonia circumstriata (=	Hydrobiidae	Springsnail, Gonzales	U.S.A. (TX).
FE	2	ΠΖ	stocktonensis).	Hydrobiidae		0.3.A. (1A).
C*	11	R2	Pyrgulopsis thompsoni	Hydrobiidae	Springsnail, Huachuca	U.S.A. (AZ), Mexico.
C*	11	R2	Pyrgulopsis morrisoni	Hydrobiidae	Springsnail, Page	U.S.A. (AZ).
PE	2	R2	Tryonia cheatumi	Hydrobiidae	Springsnail (= Tryonia), Phantom.	U.S.A. (TX).
C*	5	R2	Sonorella rosemontensis	Helminthoglyptidae	Talussnail, Rosemont	U.S.A. (AZ).
		I	I	INSECTS	I	
C*	2	R1	Hylaeus anthracinus	Colletidae	Bee, Hawaiian yellow- faced.	U.S.A. (HI).
C*	2	R1	Hylaeus assimulans	Colletidae	Bee, Hawaiian yellow- faced.	U.S.A. (HI).
C*	2	R1	Hylaeus facilis	Colletidae	Bee, Hawaiian yellow- faced.	U.S.A. (HI).
C*	2	R1	Hylaeus hilaris	Colletidae	Bee, Hawaiian yellow- faced.	U.S.A. (HI).
C*	2	R1	Hylaeus kuakea	Colletidae	Bee, Hawaiian yellow- faced.	U.S.A. (HI).
C*	2	R1	Hylaeus longiceps	Colletidae	Bee, Hawaiian yellow- faced.	U.S.A. (HI).
C*	2	R1	Hylaeus mana	Colletidae	Bee, Hawaiian yellow- faced.	U.S.A. (HI).
PSAT		R8	Plebejus lupine texanus	Lycaenidae	Blue, Lupine	U.S.A. (AZ, CA, CO, NE, NM, NV, TX, UT), Mexico.
PE	3	R8	Plebejus shasta charlestonensis.	Lycaenidae	Blue, Mt. Charleston	U.S.A. (NV).
PSAT		R8	Echinargus isola	Lycaenidae	Blue, Reakirt's	U.S.A. (AR, AZ, CA, CO, IA, IL, IN, KS, LA, MI, MN, MO, MS, ND, NE, NM, NV, OH, OK, SD, TN, TX, UT, WA, WI, WY), Mexico.
PSAT		R8	Euphilotes ancilla cryptica.	Lycaenidae	Blue, Spring Mountains dark.	U.S.A. (NV).

Sta	itus	Lead	Colombific manne	Family	0	Historical research
Category	Priority	region	Scientific name	Family	Common name	Historical range
PSAT		R8	Euphilotes ancilla pur-	Lycaenidae	Blue, Spring Mountains dark.	U.S.A. (NV).
PSAT		R8	pura. Plebejus icarioides austinorum.	Lycaenidae	Blue, Spring Mountains icariodes.	U.S.A. (NV).
C	3	R4	Strymon acis bartrami	Lycaenidae	Butterfly, Bartram's	U.S.A. (FL).
C	3	R4	Anaea troglodyta floridalis.	Nymphalidae	hairstreak. Butterfly, Florida leafwing.	U.S.A. (FL).
C*	5	R8	Hermelycaena [Lycaena]	Lycaenidae	Butterfly, Hermes copper	U.S.A. (CA).
C*	3	R1	hermes. Hypolimnas octucula	Nymphalidae	Butterfly, Mariana eight-	U.S.A. (GU, MP).
C*	2	R1	mariannensis. Vagrans egistina	Nymphalidae	spot. Butterfly, Mariana wan-	U.S.A. (GU, MP).
C*	2	R4	Atlantea tulita	Nymphalidae	dering. Butterfly, Puerto Rican	U.S.A. (PR).
C*	5	R4	Glyphopsyche sequatchie.	Limnephilidae	harlequin. Caddisfly, Sequatchie	U.S.A. (TN).
C	5	R4	Pseudanophthalmus insularis.	Carabidae	Cave beetle, Baker Station (= insular).	U.S.A. (TN).
C*	5	R4	Pseudanophthalmus caecus.	Carabidae	Cave beetle, Clifton	U.S.A. (KY).
C*	11	R4	Pseudanophthalmus colemanensis.	Carabidae	Cave beetle, Coleman	U.S.A. (TN).
C	5	R4	Pseudanophthalmus fowlerae.	Carabidae	Cave beetle, Fowler's	U.S.A. (TN).
C*	5	R4	Pseudanophthalmus frigidus.	Carabidae	Cave beetle, icebox	U.S.A. (KY).
C	5	R4	Pseudanophthalmus tiresias.	Carabidae	Cave beetle, Indian Grave Point (= Sooth-	U.S.A. (TN).
C*	5	R4	Pseudanophthalmus in- quisitor.	Carabidae	sayer). Cave beetle, inquirer	U.S.A. (TN).
C*	5	R4	Pseudanophthalmus troglodytes.	Carabidae	Cave beetle, Louisville	U.S.A. (KY).
C	5	R4	Pseudanophthalmus paulus.	Carabidae	Cave beetle, Noblett's	U.S.A. (TN).
C*	5	R4	Pseudanophthalmus parvus.	Carabidae	Cave beetle, Tatum	U.S.A. (KY).
PE C*	8		Euphydryas editha taylori.	Nymphalidae	Checkerspot butterfly, Taylor's (= Whulge).	U.S.A. (OR, WA), Can- ada (BC).
			Megalagrion xanthomelas.	Coenagrionidae	Damselfly, orangeblack Hawaiian.	U.S.A. (HI).
C	2	H8	Ambrysus funebris	Naucoridae	Naucorid bug (= Furnace Creek), Nevares Spring.	U.S.A. (CA).
PE	2	R1	Drosophila digressa	Drosophilidae	fly, Hawaiian Picture- wing.	U.S.A. (HI).
C* C*	11 8	R2 R3	Heterelmis stephani Hesperia dacotae	Elmidae Hesperiidae	Riffle beetle, Stephan's Skipper, Dakota	U.S.A. (AZ). U.S.A. (MN, IA, SD, ND,
C	2	R3	Oarisma poweshiek	Hesperiidae	Skipperling, Poweshiek	IL), Canada. U.S.A. (IA, IL, IN, MI, MN, ND, SD, WI),
C*	5	R6	Capnia arapahoe	Capniidae	Snowfly, Arapahoe	Canada (MB). U.S.A. (CO).
C* PT	5	R6	Lednia tumana	Nemouridae	Stonefly, meltwater lednian. Tiger beetle, Coral Pink	U.S.A. (MT).
	2		Cicindela albissima	Cicindelidae	Sand Dunes.	U.S.A. (UT).
C*	5	R4	Cicindela highlandensis	Cicindelidae ARACHNIDS	Tiger beetle, highlands	U.S.A. (FL).
	8	R2	Cicurina wartoni	Dictynidae	Meshweaver, Warton's	U.S.A. (TX).
	J	112	Cicuma waitoni	Diotyffidde	cave.	J.J.A. (1A).
	ı	T.		CRUSTACEANS		
PE	2	R2	Gammarus hyalleloides	Gammaridae	Amphipod, diminutive	U.S.A. (TX).

					-	-
Sta	itus	Lead				
Cotogoni	Driority	region	Scientific name	Family	Common name	Historical range
Category	Priority	3 -				
PE		R2	Gammarus pecos	Gammaridae	Amphipod, Pecos	U.S.A. (TX).
C	8	R5	Stygobromus kenki	Crangonyctidae	Amphipod, Kenk's	U.S.A. (DC).
			, , ,			` ,
C*	5	R1	Metabetaeus lohena	Alpheidae	Shrimp, anchialine pool	U.S.A. (HI).
C*		l	Palaemonella burnsi	Palaemonidae	Shrimp, anchialine pool	U.S.A. (HI).
C*		R1	Procaris hawaiana	Procarididae	Shrimp, anchialine pool	U.S.A. (HI).
PE	4	R1	Vetericaris chaceorum	Procaridae	Shrimp, anchialine pool	U.S.A. (HI).
						. , ,
	I	I	FL	OWERING PLANTS	T	
C*	11	R8	Abronia alpina	Nyctaginaceae	Sand-verbena, Ramshaw Meadows.	U.S.A. (CA).
C*	8	R4	Agave eggersiana	Agavaceae	No common name	U.S.A. (VI).
C*	8	R4	Arabis georgiana	Brassicaceae	Rockcress, Georgia	U.S.A. (AL, GA).
C*	11	R4	Argythamnia blodgettii	Euphorbiaceae	Silverbush, Blodgett's	U.S.A. (FL).
C*	3	R1	Artemisia borealis var. wormskioldii.	Asteraceae	Wormwood, northern	U.S.A. (OŔ, WA).
C*	2	R6	Astragalus anserinus	Fabaceae	Milkvetch, Goose Creek	U.S.A. (ID, NV, UT).
C	3	R1	Astragalus cusickii var. packardiae.	Fabaceae	Milkvetch, Packard's	U.S.A. (ID).
C*	8	R6	Astragalus microcymbus	Fabaceae	Milkvetch, skiff	U.S.A. (CO).
C*	8	R6	Astragalus schmolliae	Fabaceae	Milkvetch, Schmoll	U.S.A. (CO).
C*	11		Astragalus tortipes	Fabaceae	Milkvetch, Sleeping Ute	U.S.A. (CO).
PE	2	R1	Bidens amplectens	Asteraceae	Koʻokoʻolau	U.S.A. (HI).
PE	3	R1	Bidens campylotheca pentamera.	Asteraceae	Koʻokoʻolau	U.S.A. (HI).
PE	3	R1	Bidens campylotheca waihoiensis.	Asteraceae	Koʻokoʻolau	U.S.A. (HI).
PE	8	R1	Bidens conjuncta	Asteraceae	Koʻokoʻolau	U.S.A. (HI).
PE		R1	Bidens hillenbrandiana hillebrandina.	Asteraceae	Koʻokoʻolau	U.S.A. (HI).
PE	3	R1	Bidens micrantha ctenophylla.	Asteraceae	Koʻokoʻolau	U.S.A. (HI).
C*	8	R6	Boechera (Arabis) pusilla	Brassicaceae	Rockcress, Fremont County or small.	U.S.A. (WY).
C*	8	R4	Brickellia mosieri	Asteraceae	Brickell-bush, Florida	U.S.A. (FL).
C*	2	R1	Calamagrostis expansa	Poaceae	Reedgrass, Maui	U.S.A. (HI).
PE	2	R1	Calamagrostis hillebrandii.	Poaceae	Reedgrass, Hillebrand's	U.S.A. (HI).
C*	11	DO		Lilianaa	Marinaga lihi Ciakiyay	LLCA (CA OD)
		R8	Calochortus persistens	Liliaceae	Mariposa lily, Siskiyou	U.S.A. (CA, OR).
PE	2	R1	Canavalia pubescens	Fabaceae	'Awikiwiki	U.S.A. (HI).
C*	9	R4	Chamaecrista lineata var. keyensis.	Fabaceae	Pea, Big Pine partridge	U.S.A. (FL).
C*	12	R4	Chamaesyce deltoidea pinetorum.	Euphorbiaceae	Sandmat, pineland	U.S.A. (FL).
	9		Chamaesyce deltoidea serpyllum.	Euphorbiaceae	Spurge, wedge	U.S.A. (FL).
C*	6	R8	Chorizanthe parryi var. fernandina.	Polygonaceae	Spineflower, San Fer- nando Valley.	U.S.A. (CA).
PE	2	R4	Chromolaena frustrata	Asteraceae	Thoroughwort, Cape Sable.	U.S.A. (FL).
C*	8	R2	Cirsium wrightii	Asteraceae	Thistle, Wright's	U.S.A. (AZ, NM), Mex- ico.
PE	2	R4	Consolea corallicola	Cactaceae	Cactus, Florida sema- phore.	U.S.A. (FL).
C*	5	R4	Cordia rupicola	Boraginaceae	No common name	U.S.A. (PR), Anegada.
PE	2	R1	Cyanea asplenifolia	Campanulaceae	Haha	U.S.A. (HI).
PE		R1	Cyanea duvalliorum	Campanulaceae	Haha	U.S.A. (HI).
PE		R1	Cyanea horrida	Campanulaceae	Haha	U.S.A. (HI).
PE	2	R1	Cyanea kunthiana	Campanulaceae	Haha	U.S.A. (HI).
PE		R1	Cyanea magnicalyx	Campanulaceae	Haha	U.S.A. (HI).
PE		R1	Cyanea maritae	Campanulaceae	Haha	U.S.A. (HI).
			l =	l = ' .	I .	1 1
PE		R1	Cyanea mauiensis	Campanulaceae	Haha	U.S.A. (HI).
PE		R1	Cyanea marksii	Campanulaceae	Haha	U.S.A. (HI).
PE		R1	Cyanea munroi	Campanulaceae	Haha	U.S.A. (HI).
PE	2	R1	Cyanea obtusa	Campanulaceae	Haha	U.S.A. (HI).
PE		R1	Cyanea profuga	Campanulaceae	Haha	U.S.A. (HI).
PE		R1	Cyanea solanacea	Campanulaceae	Haha	U.S.A. (HI).
PE	2	R1	Cyanea tritomantha	Campanulaceae	'Aku	U.S.A. (HI).
PE		R1	Cyrtandra ferripilosa	Gesneriaceae	Ha'iwale	, ,
			Systamora formphiosa			. J.J. (111).

PE	5	Lead region R1 R1 R1 R1 R4 R5 R4 R8 R8 R6 R1 R3 R4 R8	Scientific name Cyrtandra filipes	Family Gesneriaceae	Ha'iwale	U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (FL). U.S.A. (DE, GA, NC, NJ). U.S.A. (FL). U.S.A. (AZ), Mexico. U.S.A. (NV). U.S.A. (NV). U.S.A. (CA). U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (PR). U.S.A. (FL).
PE	2	R1	Cyrtandra nanawaleensis. Cyrtandra oxybapha Cyrtandra wagneri Dalea carthagenensis var. floridana. Dichanthelium hirstii Digitaria pauciflora Echinomastus erectocentrus var. acunensis. Eriogonum codium Eriogonum corymbosum var. nilesii. Eriogonum diatomaceum Eriogonum kelloggii Eriogonum soredium Festuca hawaiiensis Festuca ligulata Festuca molokaiensis Gardenia remyi Geranium hanaense Geranium hillebrandii Gonocalyx concolor Harrisia aboriginum	Gesneriaceae	Ha'iwale	U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (FL). U.S.A. (DE, GA, NC, NJ). U.S.A. (FL). U.S.A. (AZ), Mexico. U.S.A. (WA). U.S.A. (NV). U.S.A. (CA). U.S.A. (UT). U.S.A. (HI).
PE	3	R1	Cyrtandra oxybapha Cyrtandra wagneri Dalea carthagenensis var. floridana. Dichanthelium hirstii Digitaria pauciflora Echinomastus erectocentrus var. acunensis. Eriogonum codium Eriogonum corymbosum var. nilesii. Eriogonum diatomaceum Eriogonum kelloggii Eriogonum soredium Festuca hawaiiensis Festuca ligulata Festuca molokaiensis Gardenia remyi Geranium hanaense Geranium hillebrandii Gonocalyx concolor Harrisia aboriginum	Gesneriaceae	Ha'iwale	U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (DE, GA, NC, NJ). U.S.A. (FL). U.S.A. (FL). U.S.A. (AZ), Mexico. U.S.A. (WA). U.S.A. (NV). U.S.A. (NV). U.S.A. (CA). U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (PR).
C* 3 C* 5 C* 5 PE 3 PT 2 C* 6 C 5 C* 5 C* 2 PE 2 PE 8 C* 5 PE 8 C* 5 PE 2 C* 2 PT 2 C* 5 C* 5 C* 5	3	R4	Dalea carthagenensis var. floridana. Dichanthelium hirstii Digitaria pauciflora Echinomastus erectocentrus var. acunensis. Eriogonum codium Eriogonum corymbosum var. nilesii. Eriogonum diatomaceum Eriogonum kelloggii Eriogonum soredium Festuca hawaiiensis Festuca ligulata Festuca molokaiensis Gardenia remyi Geranium hanaense Geranium hillebrandii Gonocalyx concolor Harrisia aboriginum	Poaceae Poaceae Polygonaceae Polygonaceae Polygonaceae Polygonaceae Polygonaceae Poaceae Poaceae Poaceae Rubiaceae Geraniaceae Ericaceae	Prairie-clover, Florida Panic grass, Hirst Brothers'. Crabgrass, Florida pineland. Cactus, Acuna Buckwheat, Umtanum Desert. Buckwheat, Las Vegas Buckwheat, Churchill Narrows. Buckwheat, Red Mountain. Buckwheat, Frisco No common name	U.S.A. (FL). U.S.A. (DE, GA, NC, NJ). U.S.A. (FL). U.S.A. (AZ), Mexico. U.S.A. (WA). U.S.A. (NV). U.S.A. (CA). U.S.A. (UT). U.S.A. (TX), Mexico. U.S.A. (HI).
C* 5 PE 3 PT 2 C* 6 C 5 C* 8 C* 2 C* 11 PE 2 PE 8 PE 8 PE 8 C* 5 C* 5 CC* 2 PT 2 C* 5 C* 5 C* 5 C* 5	5	R4 R1 R8 R8 R8 R1	Dichanthelium hirstii Digitaria pauciflora Echinomastus erectocentrus var. acunensis. Eriogonum codium Eriogonum corymbosum var. nilesii. Eriogonum diatomaceum Eriogonum kelloggii Eriogonum soredium Festuca hawaiiensis Festuca ligulata Festuca molokaiensis Gardenia remyi Geranium hanaense Geranium hillebrandii Gonocalyx concolor Harrisia aboriginum	Poaceae Cactaceae Polygonaceae Polygonaceae Polygonaceae Polygonaceae Poaceae Poaceae Rubiaceae Geraniaceae Ericaceae	ers'. Crabgrass, Florida pineland. Cactus, Acuna	NJ). U.S.A. (FL). U.S.A. (AZ), Mexico. U.S.A. (WA). U.S.A. (NV). U.S.A. (CA). U.S.A. (UT). U.S.A. (HI).
PE	3	R2	Echinomastus erectocentrus var. acunensis. Eriogonum codium Eriogonum corymbosum var. nilesii. Eriogonum diatomaceum Eriogonum kelloggii Eriogonum soredium Festuca hawaiiensis Festuca ligulata Festuca molokaiensis Gardenia remyi Geranium hanaense Geranium hillebrandii Gonocalyx concolor Harrisia aboriginum	Polygonaceae Polygonaceae Polygonaceae Polygonaceae Polygonaceae Poaceae Poaceae Poaceae Rubiaceae Geraniaceae Ericaceae	Crabgrass, Florida pineland. Cactus, Acuna	U.S.Á. (FL). U.S.A. (AZ), Mexico. U.S.A. (WA). U.S.A. (NV). U.S.A. (NV). U.S.A. (CA). U.S.A. (HI).
PT	2	R1	erectocentrus var. acunensis. Eriogonum codium Eriogonum corymbosum var. nilesii. Eriogonum diatomaceum Eriogonum kelloggii Eriogonum soredium Festuca hawaiiensis Festuca ligulata Festuca molokaiensis Gardenia remyi Geranium hanaense Geranium hillebrandii Gonocalyx concolor Harrisia aboriginum	Polygonaceae	Buckwheat, Umtanum Desert. Buckwheat, Las Vegas Buckwheat, Churchill Narrows. Buckwheat, Red Mountain. Buckwheat, Frisco No common name Fescue, Guadalupe No common name Nanu Nohoanu Nohoanu No common name Pricklyapple, aboriginal (shellmound	U.S.A. (WA). U.S.A. (NV). U.S.A. (CA). U.S.A. (UT). U.S.A. (HI).
C*	5	R8	Eriogonum codium Eriogonum corymbosum var. nilesii. Eriogonum diatomaceum Eriogonum kelloggii Eriogonum soredium Festuca hawaiiensis Festuca ligulata Festuca molokaiensis Gardenia remyi Geranium hanaense Geranium hillebrandii Gonocalyx concolor Harrisia aboriginum	Polygonaceae Polygonaceae Poaceae Poaceae Rubiaceae Geraniaceae Ericaceae Piogonaceae Poaceae Rubiaceae Geraniaceae Ericaceae	Desert. Buckwheat, Las Vegas Buckwheat, Churchill Narrows. Buckwheat, Red Mountain. Buckwheat, Frisco No common name Fescue, Guadalupe No common name Nohoanu Nohoanu No common name Pricklyapple, aboriginal (shellmound	U.S.A. (NV). U.S.A. (CA). U.S.A. (UT). U.S.A. (HI).
C	5	R8	var. nilesii. Eriogonum diatomaceum Eriogonum kelloggii Eriogonum soredium Festuca hawaiiensis Festuca ligulata Festuca molokaiensis Gardenia remyi Geranium hanaense Geranium hilebrandii Gonocalyx concolor Harrisia aboriginum	Polygonaceae Polygonaceae Poaceae Poaceae Rubiaceae Geraniaceae Ericaceae	Buckwheat, Las Vegas Buckwheat, Churchill Narrows. Buckwheat, Red Mountain. Buckwheat, Frisco No common name Fescue, Guadalupe No common name Nohoanu Nohoanu No common name Pricklyapple, aboriginal (shellmound	U.S.A (NV). U.S.A. (CA). U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (TX), Mexico. U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (PR).
C* 5 C* 8 C* 2 C* 11 PE C* 2 PE 8 PE 8 C* 5 PE 2 C* 5 C* 2 C* 2 PT 2 C* 5 C* 5 C* 5	5	R6 R1 R2 R1 R1 R1 R1 R1 R1 R4 R4	Eriogonum diatomaceum Eriogonum kelloggii Eriogonum soredium Festuca hawaiiensis Festuca ligulata Festuca molokaiensis Gardenia remyi Geranium hanaense Geranium hillebrandii Gonocalyx concolor Harrisia aboriginum	Polygonaceae Poaceae Poaceae Poaceae Rubiaceae Geraniaceae Ericaceae	Narrows. Buckwheat, Red Mountain. Buckwheat, Frisco No common name Fescue, Guadalupe No common name Nohoanu Nohoanu No common name Pricklyapple, aboriginal (shellmound	U.S.A. (CA). U.S.A. (UT). U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (TX), Mexico. U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (PR).
C* 8 C* 2 C* 11 PE 2 PE 8 PE 8 C* 5 PE 2 C* 5 C* 2 C* 2 CT* 2 C* 5 C* 5 C* 5	3	R6	Eriogonum soredium Festuca hawaiiensis Festuca ligulata Festuca molokaiensis Gardenia remyi Geranium hanaense Geranium hillebrandii Gonocalyx concolor Harrisia aboriginum	Polygonaceae Poaceae Poaceae Poaceae Rubiaceae Geraniaceae Geraniaceae Ericaceae	Buckwheat, Red Mountain. Buckwheat, Frisco No common name Fescue, Guadalupe No common name Nohoanu Nohoanu No common name Pricklyapple, aboriginal (shellmound	U.S.A. (UT). U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (TX), Mexico. U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (HI).
C* 2 C* 11 PE 2 PE 8 PE 5 PE 2 C* 5 CC* 2 C* 2 C* 2 CT* 2 CT* 5 C* 5 C* 5 C* 5 C* 5 C* 5	2	R1	Festuca hawaiiensis Festuca ligulata Festuca molokaiensis Gardenia remyi Geranium hanaense Geranium hillebrandii Gonocalyx concolor Harrisia aboriginum	Poaceae Poaceae Poaceae Poaceae Poaceae Rubiaceae Geraniaceae Geraniaceae Ericaceae	Buckwheat, Frisco No common name Fescue, Guadalupe No common name Nanu Nohoanu Nohoanu No common name Pricklyapple, aboriginal (shellmound	U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (TX), Mexico. U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (PR).
C* 11 PE C* 2 PE 8 PE 5 PE 2 C* 5 C* 2 C* 2 C* 2 C* 2 C* 5 C* 5 C* 5 C* 5	11	R2 R1 R1 R1 R1 R4 R4	Festuca ligulata	Poaceae Poaceae Rubiaceae Geraniaceae Geraniaceae Ericaceae	Fescue, Guadalupe No common name Nanu Nohoanu Nohoanu No common name Pricklyapple, aboriginal (shellmound	U.S.A. (TX), Mexico. U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (PR).
PE	3 5 5	R1 R1 R1 R1 R4 R4	Festuca molokaiensis Gardenia remyi Geranium hanaense Geranium hillebrandii Gonocalyx concolor Harrisia aboriginum	Poaceae	No common name Nanu Nohoanu Nohoanu No common name Pricklyapple, aboriginal (shellmound	U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (PR).
C* 2 PE 8 PE 8 C* 5 PE 2 C* 5 CC* 2 CT* 2 CT* 2 CT* 5 CC* 5 CC* 5 CT* 5	2	R1 R1 R1 R4 R4	Gardenia remyi Geranium hanaense Geranium hillebrandii Gonocalyx concolor Harrisia aboriginum	Rubiaceae	Nanu	U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (PR).
PE	3	R1 R1 R4 R4	Geranium hanaense Geranium hillebrandii Gonocalyx concolor Harrisia aboriginum	Geraniaceae Geraniaceae Ericaceae	Nohoanu Nohoanu No common name Pricklyapple, aboriginal (shellmound	U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (PR).
PE	3 5 2 5 2	R1 R4 R4	Geranium hillebrandii Gonocalyx concolor Harrisia aboriginum	Geraniaceae	Nohoanu No common name Pricklyapple, aboriginal (shellmound	U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (PR).
C*	5	R4 R4	Gonocalyx concolor Harrisia aboriginum	Ericaceae	No common name Pricklyapple, aboriginal (shellmound	U.S.A. (PŔ).
PE	5	R4	Harrisia aboriginum		Pricklyapple, aboriginal (shellmound	` ,
C*	5		_	Cactaceae	(shellmound	U.S.A. (FL).
C*	2	R8	Hazardia arauttii			
C*	2		ı nazarula OfCUUI	Asteraceae	Orcutt's hazardia	U.S.A. (CA), Mexico.
C* 2 PT 2 C* 5	I	R1	Hedyotis fluviatilis	Rubiaceae	Kampua'a	U.S.A. (HI).
PT 2 C*	2	R4	Helianthus verticillatus	Asteraceae	Sunflower, whorled	U.S.A. (AL, GA, TN).
C* 5	2	R2	Hibiscus dasycalyx	Malvaceae	Rose-mallow, Neches River.	U.S.A. (TX).
C* 3	5	R8	Ivesia webberi	Rosaceae	Ivesia, Webber	U.S.A. (CA, NV).
	3	R1	Joinvillea ascendens ascendens.	Joinvilleaceae	'Ohe	U.S.A. (HI).
	5 3	R4 R4	Leavenworthia crassa Leavenworthia exigua var. laciniata.	Brassicaceae	Gladecress, unnamed Gladecress, Kentucky	U.S.A. (AL). U.S.A. (KY).
PE 2	2	R2	Leavenworthia texana	Brassicaceae	Gladecress, Texas gold- en.	U.S.A. (TX).
C* 8	3	R6	Lepidium ostleri	Brassicaceae	Peppergrass, Ostler's	U.S.A. (UT).
	5	R4	Linum arenicola	Linaceae	Flax, sand	U.S.A. (FL).
	3	R4	Linum carteri var. carteri	Linaceae	Flax, Carter's small-flow- ered.	U.S.A. (FL).
С	3	R8	Mimulus fremontii var. vandenbergensis.	Phrymaceae	Monkeyflower, Vanden- berg.	U.S.A. (CA).
PE		R1	Mucuna sloanei var. persericea.	Fabaceae	Sea bean	U.S.A. (HI).
C* 2	2	R1	Myrsine fosbergii	Myrsinaceae	Kolea	U.S.A. (HI).
	2	R1	Myrsine vaccinioides	Myrsinaceae	Kolea	U.S.A. (HI).
C* 2	2	R1	Nothocestrum latifolium	Solanaceae	'Aiea	U.S.A. (HI).
	2	R1	Ochrosia haleakalae	Apocynaceae	Holei	U.S.A. (HI).
PE 3	3	R2	Pediocactus peeblesianus var. fickeiseniae.	Cactaceae	Cactus, Fickeisen plains	U.S.A. (AŹ).
	2 9	R6 R6	Penstemon grahamii Penstemon scariosus var. albifluvis.	Scrophulariaceae	Beardtongue, Graham's Beardtongue, White River.	U.S.A. (CO, UT). U.S.A. (CO, UT).
PE 2	2	R1	Peperomia subpetiolata	Piperaceae	'Ala 'ala wai nui	U.S.A. (HI).
	5	R8	Phacelia stellaris	Hydrophyllaceae	Phacelia, Brand's	U.S.A. (CA), Mexico.
	2	R1	Phyllostegia bracteata	Lamiaceae	No common name	U.S.A. (HI).
	3	R1	Phyllostegia floribunda	Lamiaceae	No common name	U.S.A. (HI).
		R1	Phyllostegia haliakalae	Lamiaceae	No common name	U.S.A. (HI).

Sta	itus	Land				
Category	Priority	Lead region	Scientific name	Family	Common name	Historical range
PE		R1	Phyllostegia pilosa	Lamiaceae	No common name	U.S.A. (HI).
PT	9	R1	Physaria douglasii tuplashensis.	Brassicaceae	Bladderpod, White Bluffs	U.S.A. (WA).
C* C*	8 2	R4 R6	Physaria globosa Pinus albicaulis	BrassicaceaePinaceae	Bladderpod, Short's Pine, whitebark	U.S.A. (IN, KY, TN). U.S.A. (CA, ID, MT, NV, OR, WA, WY), Can- ada (AB, BC).
PE		R1	Pittosporum halophilum	Pittosporaceae	Hoawa	U.S.A. (HI).
PE		R1	Pittosporum hawaiiense	Pittosporaceae	Hoawa	U.S.A. (HI).
C*	8	R4	Platanthera integrilabia	Orchidaceae	Orchid, white fringeless	U.S.A. (AL, GA, KY, MS, NC, SC, TN, VA).
PE	2	R1	Platydesma remyi	Rutaceae	No common name	U.S.A. (HI).
PE	2	R1	Pleomele fernaldii	Agavaceae	Hala pepe	U.S.A. (HI).
C*	11	R8	Potentilla basaltica	Rosaceae	Cinquefoil, Soldier Meadow.	U.S.A. (NV).
PE		R1	Pritchardia lanigera	Arecaceae	Loulu	U.S.A. (HI).
C*	3	R1	Pseudognaphalium (= Gnaphalium) sandwicensium var. molokaiense.	Asteraceae	'Ena'ena	U.S.A. (HI).
C*	2	R1	Ranunculus hawaiensis	Ranunculaceae	Makou	U.S.A. (HI).
C*	2	R1	Ranunculus mauiensis	Ranunculaceae	Makou	U.S.A. (HI).
C*	8	R8	Rorippa subumbellata	Brassicaceae	Cress, Tahoe yellow	U.S.A. (CA, NV).
PE		R1	Schiedea diffusa macraei.	Caryophyllaceae	No common name	U.S.A. (HI).
PE		R1	Schiedea hawaiiensis	Caryophyllaceae	No common name	U.S.A. (HI).
PE		R1	Schiedea jacobii	Caryophyllaceae	No common name	U.S.A. (HI).
PE		R1	Schiedea laui	Caryophyllaceae	No common name	U.S.A. (HI).
C*	2	R1	Schiedea pubescens	Caryophyllaceae	Maʻoliʻoli	U.S.A. (HI).
PE	2	R1	Schiedea salicaria	Caryophyllaceae	No common name	U.S.A. (HI).
C*	5	R8	Sedum eastwoodiae	Crassulaceae	Stonecrop, Red Moun- tain.	U.S.A. (CA).
C*	2	R1	Sicyos macrophyllus	Cucurbitaceae	'Anunu	U.S.A. (HI).
C	12	R4	Sideroxylon reclinatum austrofloridense.	Sapotaceae	Bully, Everglades	U.S.A. (FL).
C*	2	R4	Solanum conocarpum	Solanaceae	Bacora, marron	U.S.A. (PR).
C*	8	R1	Solanum nelsonii	Solanaceae	Popolo	U.S.A. (HI).
C*	8	R4	Solidago plumosa	Asteraceae	Goldenrod, Yadkin River	U.S.A. (NC).
PE	2	R2	Sphaeralcea gierischii	Malvaceae	Mallow, Gierisch	U.S.A. (AZ, UT).
PE	2	R1	Stenogyne cranwelliae	Lamiaceae	No common name	U.S.A. (HI).
PE		R1	Stenogyne kauaulaensis	Lamiaceae	No common name	U.S.A. (HI).
C	8	R2	Streptanthus bracteatus	Brassicaceae	Twistflower, bracted	U.S.A. (TX).
C*	8	R4	Symphyotrichum georgianum.	Asteraceae	Aster, Georgia	U.S.A. (AL, FL, GA, NC, SC).
C* PE	8	R6 R1	Trifolium friscanum Wikstroemia villosa	Fabaceae Thymelaeaceae	Clover, Frisco	U.S.A. (UT). U.S.A. (HI).
	1	I	FI	ERNS AND ALLIES	I	1
	_	5.			l	
C*	8	R1	Cyclosorus boydiae	Thelypteridaceae	No common name	U.S.A. (HI).
C*	2	R1	Huperzia (= Phlegmariurus) stemmermanniae.	Lycopodiaceae	Wawae'iole	U.S.A. (HI).
C*	3	R1	Microlepia strigosa var. mauiensis (= Microlepia mauiensis).	Dennstaedtiaceae	Palapalai	U.S.A. (HI).
C	3	R4	Trichomanes punctatum floridanum.	Hymenophyllaceae	Florida bristle fern	U.S.A. (FL).

TABLE 2—ANIMALS AND PLANTS FORMERLY CANDIDATES OR FORMERLY PROPOSED FOR LISTING [Note: See end of **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** for an explanation of symbols used in this table.]

Sta	tus	Lood								
Code	Expl.	Lead region	Scientific name	Family	Common name	Historical range				
				REPTILES						
Rp	U	R2	Sceloporus arenicolus	Iguanidae	Lizard, sand dune	U.S.A. (TX, NM).				
	AMPHIBIANS									
E	L	R3	Cryptobranchus alleganiensis bishopi.	Crytobranchidae	Hellbender, Ozark	U.S.A. (AR, MO).				
E	L	R4	Eleutherodactylus juanariveroi.	Leptodactylidae	Coqui, Llanero	U.S.A. (PR).				
				CLAMS						
E	L	R4	Villosa choctawensis	Unionidae	Bean, Choctaw	U.S.A. (AL, FL).				
Ē	Ĺ	R3	Villosa fabalis	Unionidae	Bean, rayed	U.S.A. (IL, IN, KY, MI, NY, OH, TN, PA, VA, WV), Canada (ON).				
E	L	R4	Fusconaia rotulata	Unionidae Unionidae	Ebonyshell, round	U.S.A. (AL, FL).				
E E	L	R4 R3	Ptychobranchus jonesi Plethobasus cyphyus	Unionidae	Kidneyshell, southern Mussel, sheepnose	U.S.A. (AL, FL). U.S.A. (AL, IA, IL, IN,				
					masses, sneephess mini	KY, MN, MO, MS, OH, PA, TN, VA, WI, WV).				
E	L	R4	Margaritifera marrianae	Margaritiferidae	Pearlshell, Alabama	U.S.A. (AL).				
<u>T</u>	L	R4	Pleurobema strodeanum	Unionidae	Pigtoe, fuzzy	U.S.A. (AL, FL).				
<u>T</u>	L	R4	Fusconaia escambia	Unionidae	Pigtoe, narrow	U.S.A. (AL, FL).				
Т	L	R4	Fusconaia (= Quincuncina) burkei.	Unionidae	Pigtoe, tapered	U.S.A. (AL, FL).				
Т	5	R4	Hamiota (= Lampsilis) australis.	Unionidae	Sandshell, southern	U.S.A. (AL, FL).				
E	L	R3	Epioblasma triquetra	Unionidae	Snuffbox	U.S.A. (IN, MI, NY, OH, PA, WV), Canada				
E	L	R3	Cumberlandia monodonta.	Margaritiferidae	Spectaclecase	(ON). U.S.A. (AL, AR, IA, IN, IL, KS, KY, MO, MN, NE, OH, TN, VA, WI, WV).				
E	L	R4	Elliptio spinosa	Unionidae	Spinymussel, Altamaha	U.S.A. (GA).				
				SNAILS						
E	L	R2	Pyrgulopsis bernardina	Hydrobiidae	Springsnail, San Bernardino.	U.S.A. (AZ), Mexico (Sonora).				
E Rc	L U	R2 R8	Pyrgulopsis chupaderae Pyrgulopsis notidicola	Hydrobiidae Hydrobiidae	Springsnail, Chupadera Springsnail, elongate	U.S.A. (NM). U.S.A. (NV).				
E	L	R2	Pyrgulopsis trivialis	Hydrobiidae	mud meadows. Springsnail, Three Forks	U.S.A. (AZ).				
				INSECTS		_				
		D1	Magalagrian	Coopogricuidas	Domoelfhy blastiller 11:	11 C A (I II)				
E	L	R1	Megalagrion nigrohamatum nigrolineatum.	Coenagrionidae	Damselfly, blackline Hawaiian.	U.S.A. (HI).				
E	L	R1	Megalagrion leptodemas	Coenagrionidae	Damselfly, crimson Hawaiian.	U.S.A. (HI).				
E	L	R1	Megalagrion oceanicum	Coenagrionidae	Damselfly, oceanic Hawaiian.	U.S.A. (HI).				
Rc	U	R1	Polites mardon	Hesperiidae	Skipper, Mardon	U.S.A. (CA, OR, WA).				
			FL	OWERING PLANTS						
E	L	R8	Arctostaphylos franciscana.	Ericaceae	Manzanita, Franciscan	U.S.A. (CA).				
Rc	U	R1	Castilleja christii	Scrophulariaceae	Paintbrush, Christ's	U.S.A. (ID).				
E	L	R1	Cyanea calycina	Campanulaceae	Haha	U.S.A. (HI).				
E	L	R1	Cyanea lanceolata	Campanulaceae	Haha	U.S.A. (HI).				
E E	L	R1 R1	Cyanea purpurellifolia Cyrtandra gracilis	Campanulaceae	Haha Haʻiwale	U.S.A. (HI). U.S.A. (HI).				
E	L	R1	Cyrtandra kaulantha	Gesneriaceae	Ha'iwale	U.S.A. (HI).				
Ē	Ĺ	R1	Cyrtandra sessilis	Gesneriaceae	Ha'iwale					

TABLE 2—ANIMALS AND PLANTS FORMERLY CANDIDATES OR FORMERLY PROPOSED FOR LISTING—Continued [Note: See end of SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION for an explanation of symbols used in this table.]

Sta	tus	Lead	Scientific name	Family	Common nome	Listorical vanas
Code	Expl.	region	Scientific flame	Family	Common name	Historical range
E	L	R1	Cyrtandra waiolani	Gesneriaceae	Ha'iwale	U.S.A. (HI).
Rc	Α	R2	Erigeron lemmonii	Asteraceae	Fleabane, Lemmon	U.S.A. (AZ).
E	L	R1	Korthalsella degeneri	Viscaceae	Hulumoa	U.S.A. (HI).
E	L	R1	Melicope	Rutaceae	Alani	U.S.A. (HI).
			christophersenii.			, ,
E	L	R1	Melicope hiiakae	Rutaceae	Alani	U.S.A. (HI).
E	L	R1	Melicope makahae	Rutaceae	Alani	U.S.A. (HI).
Rc	Α	R5	Narthecium americanum	Liliaceae	Asphodel, bog	U.S.A. (DÉ, NC, NJ, NY,
						SC).
E	L	R1	Platydesma cornuta var.	Rutaceae	No common name	U.S.Á. (HI).
			cornuta.			
E	L	R1	Platydesma cornuta var. decurrens.	Rutaceae	No common name	U.S.A. (HI).
E	L	R1	Pleomele forbesii	Agavaceae	Hala pepe	U.S.A. (HI).
E	L	R1	Psychotria hexandra	Rubiaceae	Kopiko	U.S.A. (HI).
			oahuensis.			, ,
E	L	R1	Pteralyxia macrocarpa	Apocynaceae	Kaulu	U.S.A. (HI).
E	L	R1	Tetraplasandra lydgatei	Araliaceae	No common name	U.S.A. (HI).
E	L	R1	Zanthoxylum oahuense	Rutaceae	A'e	U.S.A. (HI).
	1	1	FE	ERNS AND ALLIES		
E	L	R1	Doryopteris takeuchii	Pteridaceae	No common name	U.S.A. (HI).

[FR Doc. 2012–28050 Filed 11–20–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P