

**CSP-2017-1 RI - Final Draft RI Forest Forest**

**Soil Erosion**

**Sheet and Rill Erosion**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Soil surface organic residue cover > 80%. Assessment level: Site is stable and without visible signs of erosion.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

The forest floor is covered with leaves, needles, fine woody debris, rocks, and/or herbaceous vegetation that protects the soil on more than 80 percent of the area.

Yes  No

Drainage and erosion control measures are implemented on trails and landings to minimize detrimental effects of concentrated flow, erosion and sedimentation. Stream crossings are restored and stabilized.

Yes  No

**Wind Erosion**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Soil surface organic residue cover > 80%. Assessment level: Site is stable and without visible signs of erosion.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

The forest floor is covered with leaves, needles, fine woody debris, rocks, and/or herbaceous vegetation that protects the soil on more than 80 percent of the area.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 RI - Final Draft RI Forest Forest**

**Classic Gully Erosion**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Classic gullies are not present. Assessment level: Classic gully management is adequate to stop the progression of head cutting and widening and are offsite impacts are minimized by vegetation and/or structures.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Soil erosion is controlled. There are no impacts on sensitive vegetation. There are no occurrences or enlargement of gullies.

Yes  No

Drainage and erosion control measures are implemented on trails and landings to minimize detrimental effects of concentrated flow, erosion and sedimentation. Stream crossings are restored and stabilized.

Yes  No

**Streambank, Shoreline, Water Conveyance Channels**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Streams, shoreline or channels are not adjacent to site. Assessment level: For shorelines and water conveyance channels; banks are stable or commensurate with normal geomorphological processes, AND if bank erosion is present, it is beyond the client's control or commensurate with normal geomorphological processes, AND for streambanks, SVAP2 bank condition element score > 5.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Excluding all fundamentally unstable, natural geomorphic streambanks/shorelines, all streambanks/shorelines on the operation show few signs of erosion or bank failure. Each is stable and protected with natural materials.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 RI - Final Draft RI Forest Forest**

**Soil Quality Degradation**

**Organic Matter Depletion**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Soil organic matter depletion is not a problem AND activities do not cause soil organic matter depletion. Assessment level: Ground cover meets state criteria specific to ecological site.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

The forest floor is covered with leaves, needles, fine woody debris, rocks, and/or herbaceous vegetation that protects the soil on more than 80 percent of the area. The topsoil is not displaced. Woody residue is being added to the forest floor through branch breakage and treefalls.

Yes  No

**Compaction**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Soil compaction is not a problem AND activities do not cause soil compaction problems. Assessment level: Compaction is managed to meet client's production and management objectives.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Soil compaction is limited to roads and landings. Tree root growth is not impeded. No more than 15 percent of the forested area is devoted to roads, trails, and landings.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 RI - Final Draft RI Forest Forest**

**Excess Water**

**Runoff and Flooding and Ponding**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Ponding or flooding not a problem AND activities do not cause ponding/flooding problems. Assessment level: Excess water is managed to meet client's objectives.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Drainage and erosion control measures are implemented on trails and landings to minimize detrimental effects of concentrated flow, erosion and sedimentation. Stream crossings are restored and stabilized.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 RI - Final Draft RI Forest Forest**

**Water Quality Degradation**

**Pesticides in Surface Water**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Pest control chemicals are not applied. Assessment level: Pesticides are stored, handled, disposed and managed to prevent runoff, spills, leaks and leaching AND conservation practices and managements are in place to minimize surface water impacts.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

A site-specific mixture of prevention, avoidance, monitoring, and suppression (PAMS) strategies are applied. If pesticide application is required, an environmental risk screening tool is used (such as WIN-PST or similar LGU approval tool) and application rates and timing are compliant with the label and the conservation plan.

Yes  No

**Pesticides in Ground Water**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Pest control chemicals are not applied. Assessment level: Pesticides are stored, handled, disposed and managed to prevent runoff, spills, leaks and leaching AND conservation practices and managements are in place to minimize ground water impacts.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Pesticides are applied using a site-specific mixture of prevention, avoidance, monitoring, and suppression (PAMS) strategies. Environmental risk screening tool are used (such as WIN-PST or similar LGU approval tool). Application rates and timing are compliant with the label and the conservation plan.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 RI - Final Draft RI Forest Forest**

**Nutrients in Surface Water**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Organic or inorganic nutrients are not applied AND the PLU is not grazed AND there are no confined livestock areas.

Yes  No

Assessment level: Nutrients if applied, are based on a soil test, tissue tests or nutrient budget AND conservation practices and managements are in place to minimize surface water impacts.

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Livestock access to stream is controlled OR limited to small watering or crossing areas

Yes  No

The land adjacent to a stream, river, or other waterbody on the side or sides you control does: - have diverse, natural plant cover typical to that along streams in your area, - extend from the stream bank/shoreline for a distance of 35 feet or (if applicable) the minimum State buffer-width requirement, whichever is greater, AND - have few places where concentrated runoff flows through.

Yes  No

**Excess Pathogens and Chemicals from Manure, Bio-solids or Compost Applications in Surface Water**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Potential sources of pathogens or pharmaceuticals are not applied on the land. Assessment level: Organic materials are applied, stored, and/or handled to mitigate negative impacts to surface water sources.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Livestock access to stream is controlled OR limited to small watering or crossing areas

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 RI - Final Draft RI Forest Forest**

**Petroleum, Heavy Metal and Other Pollutants Transported to Surface Water**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Activities do not present the potential for contamination by petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants.  
 Assessment level: Petroleum, heavy metals or other potential pollutants are stored and handled to avoid runoff to surface water.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

The fuel storage area and tank is located: - above the 100-year floodplain, - a minimum of 100 feet from any river, stream, ditch, pond, lake, sinkhole, wetland, or water well, and - within a stable place designed to provide secondary containment if the primary means were to fail.

Yes  No

Drainage and erosion control measures are implemented on trails and landings to minimize detrimental effects of concentrated flow, erosion and sedimentation. Stream crossings are restored and stabilized.

Yes  No

**Petroleum, Heavy Metal and Other Pollutants Transported to Ground Water**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

A site-specific mixture of prevention, avoidance, monitoring, and suppression (PAMS) strategies are applied. If pesticide application is required, an environmental risk screening tool is used (such as WIN-PST or similar LGU approval tool) and application rates and timing are compliant with the label and the conservation plan.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

The fuel storage area and tank is located: - above the 100-year floodplain, - a minimum of 100 feet from any river, stream, ditch, pond, lake, sinkhole, wetland, or water well, and - within a stable place designed to provide secondary containment if the primary means were to fail.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 RI - Final Draft RI Forest Forest**

**Excessive Sediment in Surface Water**

**Planning Criteria**

Screening level: There are no untreated sources of erosion AND streams or shoreline are not on or adjacent to site. Assessment level: Upslope treatment and buffer practices address concentrated flows to water bodies AND heavy use areas are stable AND the SVAP2 - bank condition is  $\geq 5$ .

**Planning Criteria Met**

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

The land adjacent to a stream, river, or other waterbody on the side or sides you control does: - have diverse, natural plant cover typical to that along streams in your area, - extend from the stream bank/shoreline for a distance of 35 feet or (if applicable) the minimum State buffer-width requirement, whichever is greater, AND - have few places where concentrated runoff flows through.

**Evaluation Test Met**

Yes  No

**Elevated Water Temperature**

**Planning Criteria**

Screening level: Water courses on or adjacent to the site are not designated by a State Agency as a temperature impairment OR water course temperature is not a client concern. Assessment level: The SVAP2 - riparian area quality element score is  $\geq 5$  AND the SVAP2 - riparian area quantity quality element score is  $\geq 5$  AND the SVAP2 - canopy cover element score is  $\geq 6$ , OR existing conservation practices are in place to address water temperature.

**Planning Criteria Met**

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

More than 50 percent of the water surface is shaded on the length of the stream/river you control.

**Evaluation Test Met**

Yes  No



**CSP-2017-1 RI - Final Draft RI Forest Forest**

**Air Quality Impacts**

**Emissions of Particulate Matter (PM) and PM Precursors**

**Planning Criteria**

Screening level: Activities are not present that contribute to agricultural source PM or PM precursor emissions AND episodes or complaints of emissions of PM (dust, smoke, exhaust, etc.), or chemical drift have not occurred. PM producing activity examples are: Prescribed Burn is conducted, Travel ways unpaved or untreated with binding agents, Engines (combustion source), Tillage, Pesticides are applied, Fertilization (manure/ commercial), CAFO/manure management). Assessment level: PM and PM Precursor emissions are managed to meet client objectives.

**Planning Criteria Met**

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

Dust is controlled on all non-vegetated, unpaved travel ways.

**Evaluation Test Met**

Yes  No

**Emissions of Ozone Precursors**

**Planning Criteria**

Screening level: Operations are not present that produce ozone precursor emissions. Ozone precursor producing activities are: Engines (combustion source), Pesticide application, Burning, CAFO/manure management, Fertilization (manure/commercial). Assessment level: Ozone precursor emissions are managed to meet client objectives.

**Planning Criteria Met**

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

Pesticides, including fumigants, are applied in a way that VOC emissions are reduced. For example, spot spraying, pest/target sensing application equipment, alternative pesticide formulations, or low emission fumigation methods.

**Evaluation Test Met**

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 RI - Final Draft RI Forest Forest**

**Emission of Greenhouse Gases (GHGs)**

**Planning Criteria**

Screening level: Activities are not present that produce GHGs emissions. GHG producing activities are: Fertilization(manure/commercial), CAFO/manure management, Engines (combustion source), Tillage, AND GHGs are not regulated in this planning area. Assessment level: Greenhouse gas emmissions are managed to meet client objectives.

**Planning Criteria Met**

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

The forest or woodlot is fully stocked with tree species adapted to the site. Species have high-growth rates or long life span with the ability to reach a large size.

**Evaluation Test Met**

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 RI - Final Draft RI Forest Forest**

**Degraded Plant Condition**

**Undesirable Plant Productivity and Health**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Plant production and health is not a client concern.  
 Assessment level: Forest species are adapted to site AND composition and stand density meets the client's objectives and production goals.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

The forest or woodlot is fully stocked with tree species adapted to the site, has spacing for good tree growth and air flow between and beneath, does not have excessive tree mortality, has an understory made up of desirable species and is not inhibited by brush or other undesirable vegetation. Monitoring for Insects and disease is completed to prevent outbreaks that would be detrimental to forest health.

Yes  No

Trees/shrubs are pruned to improve plant productivity, health, and vigor.

Yes  No

**Inadequate Structure and Composition**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Plant communities support the intended land use and desired ecological functions. Assessment level: Plant communities contain adequate diversity, composition and structure to support desired ecological functions.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

The forest or woodlot is fully stocked with tree species adapted to the site, has spacing for good tree growth and air flow between and beneath, does not have excessive tree mortality, has an understory made up of desirable species and is not inhibited by brush or other undesirable vegetation

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 RI - Final Draft RI Forest Forest**

**Excessive Plant Pest Pressure**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Plant productivity is not limited from pest pressure.  
Assessment level: Pest damage to plants are below economic or environmental thresholds or client-identified criteria AND plant pests, including noxious and invasive species are managed to meet client objectives.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Invasive and noxious weeds are controlled or not present.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 RI - Final Draft RI Forest Forest**

**Fish and Wildlife - Inadequate Habitat**

**Inadequate Habitat - Food**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Assessment level: The WHSI rating is  $\geq 0.5$  AND (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - fish habitat complexity element score is  $\geq 7$  AND the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is  $\geq 7$ , OR conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds, OR food is available in quality and extent to support habitat requirements for the species of interest.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

The land adjacent to a stream, river, or other waterbody on the side or sides you control does: - have diverse, natural plant cover typical to that along streams in your area, AND - extend from the stream bank/shoreline for a distance of 35 feet or (if applicable) the minimum State buffer-width requirement, whichever is greater.

Yes  No

Designated areas are planted as food and habitat for pollinators/beneficial insects. For example, planted to nectar and pollen producing plants and protected from disruption--chemical, biological, or mechanical.

Yes  No

Plant growth and cover is managed to develop and maintain habitat to help threatened, endangered, or declining wildlife species.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 RI - Final Draft RI Forest Forest**

**Inadequate Habitat - Cover/Shelter**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Assessment level: The WHSI rating is  $\geq 0.5$  AND (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - barriers to movement element score is  $\geq 7$  AND the SVAP2 - fish habitat complexity element score is  $\geq 7$  AND the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is  $\geq 7$ , OR conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds, OR cover is of available quality and extent to support habitat requirements for the species of interest.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

The stream(s) have: - a natural, unaltered configuration, with minimal channel straightening, dredging, or bank alteration by armoring with rip-rap or other non-natural materials, - stable banks with limited erosion or bank failure, and - human uses and/or grazing levels that do not negatively impact bank condition.

Yes  No

The pond/lake, which supports a natural or planted fish population, is managed: -to exclude livestock, -to control nuisance species and undesirable aquatic vegetation controlled, -to comply with state and local regulations when stocking the pond, AND -using a buffer zone of diverse, natural plant cover at least 35 feet wide.

Yes  No

Livestock access to stream is controlled OR limited to small watering or crossing areas

Yes  No

The plant cover provides cover and shelter for the chosen wildlife species.

Yes  No

Dead and/or down trees are intentionally left in the forest to provide wildlife cover.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 RI - Final Draft RI Forest Forest**

**Inadequate Habitat - Water**

**Planning Criteria**

Assessment level: The WHSI rating is  $\geq 0.5$  AND (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is  $\geq 7$ , OR conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds, OR water is available in quality and extent to support habitat requirements for the species of interest.

**Planning Criteria Met**

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

Access to water is at the right height, depth and time of year for wildlife species.

**Evaluation Test Met**

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 RI - Final Draft RI Forest Forest**

**Inadequate Habitat - Habitat Continuity (Space)**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Assessment level: The WHSI rating is  $\geq 0.5$  AND (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - barriers to movement element score is  $\geq 7$  AND the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is  $\geq 7$ , OR conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds, OR The connectivity of habitat components are adequate to support stable populations of targeted species.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Connectivity between food resources and cover and shelter is provided for the chosen wildlife species. <see State Wildlife Action Plan>

Yes  No

People, vehicles, equipment, or livestock are only moved across a stream/river at a bridge, culvert, or stabilized ford crossing(s). Travel across the stream/river beyond these crossings is controlled.

Yes  No

The land adjacent to a stream, river, or other waterbody on the side or sides you control does: - have diverse, natural plant cover typical to that along streams in your area, - extend from the stream bank/shoreline for a distance of 35 feet or (if applicable) the minimum State buffer-width requirement, whichever is greater, AND - have few places where concentrated runoff flows through.

Yes  No

In-stream structures (dam, diversion structure, bridge, culvert, low-water stream crossing, etc.) allow for the upstream/downstream movement of fish and other aquatic animals throughout most of the year.

Yes  No

There are documented occurrences of sensitive native plant communities within the forest. A conservation plan identifies goals for the plant community. Invasive plant monitoring has occurred, and control treatments have been implemented when necessary.

Yes  No



**CSP-2017-1 RI - Final Draft RI Forest Forest**

**Inefficient Energy Use**

**Equipment and Facilities**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Client is not interested in improving equipment and facilities energy efficiency. Assessment level: A USDA approved energy audit has been implemented that address equipment and facilities to meet client objectives OR On-farm renewable energy and/or energy conserving practices have been implemented to meet client objectives.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Energy-efficient equipment is used in forest management activities. For example, the smallest type and size of equipment needed to accomplish the activity is used.

Yes  No

**Farming/Ranching Practices and Field Operations**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Client is not interested in improving equipment and facilities energy efficiency. Assessment level: A USDA approved energy audit has been implemented that address equipment and facilities to meet client objectives OR On-farm renewable energy and/or energy conserving practices have been implemented to meet client objectives.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Energy-efficient actions are used in forest management activities. For example, limiting the number of trips into the forest, or leaving woody residue in place if it is not a fire or pest hazard.

Yes  No