

DEM U	SE ONLY
Date Received	

# **RIPDES SMALL MS4 ANNUAL REPORT**

GENERAL INFORMATION PAGE

RIPDES PERM	IT #RIR040				
REPORTING P	ERIOD:	<b>X</b> YEAR 11 Jan 2014-Dec 2014			
OPERATOR O					
	Station Newpor				
	ss: 1 Simonpiet	ri Drive	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
City: Newport			State: RI	Zip: 02841	Phone: 401-841-1790
Contact Perso	n: Deb Moore		Title: Environm	ental Engineer	
			Email: Deborah	.j.moore@navy.mil	
Legal status (c PRI - Private	circle one): PUB - I	Public BPP - Pu	ublic/Private	STA - State	FED – Federal
Other (please	specify):				
Name: Same a	as above	from OPERATOR)			
City:			State:	Zip:	Phone: ( )
Contact Perso	n:		Title:		
			Email:		
CERTIFICATION					
I certify under passes and the information directly responsions knowledge and false information	penalty of law the accordance with submitted. Basible for gathering belief, true, acon, including the David D. Doro	sed on my inquiry of the ing the information, I concurate, and complete. Expossibility of fine and	assure that qual ne person or pers ertify that the info I am aware that	lified personnel propons who manage the rmation submitted is there are significant	perly gather and evaluate be system, or those persons is, to the best of my penalties for submitting
Signature					Date



# MINIMUM CONTROL MEASURE #1: PUBLIC EDUCATION AND OUTREACH (Part IV.B.1 General Permit)

### SECTION I. OVERALL EVALUATION:

GENERAL SUMMARY, STATUS, APPROPRIATENESS AND EFFECTIVENESS OF MEASURABLE GOALS:

Include information relevant to the implementation of each measurable goal, such as activities, topics addressed, audiences and pollutants targeted. Discuss activities to be carried out during the next reporting cycle. If addressing TMDL requirements, please indicate rationale for choosing the education activity to address the pollutant of concern.

(Note: Identify parties responsible for achieving the measurable goals and reference any reliance on another entity for achieving measurable goals.)

IV.B.1.b.1

Provide a General Summary of activities implemented to educate your community on how to reduce stormwater pollution. For TMDL affected areas, with stormwater associated pollutants of concern, indicate rationale for choosing the education activity. List materials used for public education and topics addressed. Summarize implementation status and discuss if the activity is appropriate and effective.

- 1. Hand out of water quality and storm water runoff educational brochures to employees;
- 2. Using newspaper and flyers to educate our population and to advertise our website
- 3. Educating employees by providing training at specific and general informational meetings.

Environmental and PAO responsible for education of employees and tenants.

All training activities were effective and appropriate. No TMDL requirements are in place for NAVSTANPT at this time

IV.B.1.b.2

Provide a general summary of how the public education program was used to educate the community on how to become involved in the municipal or statewide stormwater program. Describe partnerships with governmental and non-governmental agencies used to involve your community.

All of the listed strategies were implemented each year of the permit. Water quality and storm water runoff educational brochures were created and sent to all NAVSTANPT residents and employees during the first year of the permit and updated in 2012. These brochures are also given out to new residents as they move into our housing areas. A storm water section was developed and posted on the NAVSTANPT Environmental (NAVSTA ED) website during the first year and has been updated and maintained during each year of the permit. NAVSTANPT hazardous material and hazardous waste coordinators were trained on storm water pollution prevention and given an information packet to review and pass onto all employees in their areas. The overall message was about educating the target audience concerning local BMPs including cleaning sand and debris from the top and sides of catch basins, not washing the street or work area into catch basins, and picking up solid waste from areas around storm drains. Storm water training was given to all public works employees in 2014.. In April of 2014, NAVSTANPT held a base cleaning operation as part of Earth Day celebrations where employees picked up trash and debris around the base. This action aided NAVSTANPT in decreasing the debris collected in catch basins.

Additional Measurable Goals and Activities: Please list all stormwater training attended by your staff during the 2014 calendar year and list the name(s) and municipal position of all staff who attended the training.

Trainings: General stormwater training given to all PWD personnel working at the industrial and municipal areas of the base.

Attending name of staff and title:	
Attending name of staff and title:	
· ·	



# MINIMUM CONTROL MEASURE #2: PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT/PARTICIPATION (Part IV.B.2 General Permit)

### SECTION I. OVERALL EVALUATION:

<b>GENERAL</b>	SUMMARY	, STATUS,	<b>APPROPRIATENESS</b>	AND	<b>EFFECTIVENESS</b>	OF ME	ASURABLE	<b>E GOALS</b>

Include information relevant to the implementation of each measurable goal, such as types of activities and audiences/groups engaged. Discuss activities to be carried out during the next reporting cycle. If addressing TMDL requirements, please indicate rationale for the activities chosen to address the pollutant of concern.

(Note: Identify parties responsible for achieving the measurable goals and reference any reliance on another entity for achieving measurable goals.)

IV.B.2.b.2.ii

Describe audiences targeted for the public involvement minimum measure, include a description of the groups engaged, and activities implemented and if a particular pollutant(s) was targeted. If addressing TMDL requirements indicate how the audience(s) and/or activity address the pollutant(s) of concern. Name of person(s) and/or parties responsible for implementation of activities identified. Assess the effectiveness of BMP and measurable goal.

The NAVSTA ED, Public Affairs Office (PAO) and the Installation Commanding Officer (ICO) are responsible to achieve our public involvement goals. Our strategies to achieve these goals include:

- 1. Tenant environmental staff meeting briefings;
- 2. ED presentation of SWMPP for employees working in industrial and municipal areas of the base;
- 3. ED coordination with PAO concerning public inquires;
- 4. NAVSTANPT public notice for draft annual report.

Additional Measurable Goals and Activities N/A
--

#### SECTION II. Public Notice Information (Parts IV.G.2.h and IV.G.2.i) \*Note: attach copy of public notice

(	
Date of Public Notice: February 13, 2015	How public was notified: Newport Daily News and NAVALOG
Was public meeting held? YES NO	
Date:	Where:
Summary of public comments received:	
Planned responses or changes to the program:	



# MINIMUM CONTROL MEASURE #3: ILLICIT DISCHARGE DETECTION AND ELIMINATION (Part IV.B.3 General Permit)

#### SECTION I. OVERALL EVALUATION:

# GENERAL SUMMARY, STATUS, APPROPRIATENESS AND EFFECTIVENESS OF MEASURABLE GOALS

Include information relevant to the implementation of each measurable goal, such as activities implemented (when reporting tracked and eliminated illicit discharges, please explain the rationale for targeting the illicit discharge) to comply with on-going requirements, and illicit discharge public education activities, audiences and pollutants targeted. Discuss activities to be carried out during the next reporting cycle. If addressing TMDL requirements, please indicate rationale for the activities chosen to address the pollutant of concern.

(Note: Identify parties responsible for achieving the measurable goals and reference any reliance on another entity for achieving measurable goals.)

IV.B.3.b.1:

Indicate if the outfall map was not completed, reasons why, proposed schedule for completion of requirement and person(s)/ Department responsible for completion. (The Department recommends electronic submission of updated EXCEL Tables if this information has been amended.)

Date of Completion:

The Public works department updated the outfall maps in October 2004, Dec 2007 and Sept 2011. An electronic copy of the 2007 update was submitted with the 2007 annual report as attachment (2). The RIDEM provided excel tables were filled out and included in the 2009 report on the CD as attachment (2). The 2011 updated storm water maps were included in the 2011 annual report as attachment (2), and the DFSP maps were included in the 2011 annual report as attachment (3).

IV.B.3.b.2

Indicate if your municipality chose to implement the tagging of outfalls activity under the IDDE minimum measure, activities and actions undertaken under the 2014 calendar year.

N/A

IV.B.3.b.3

Provide a summary of the implementation of recording of system additional elements (catch basins, manholes, and/or pipes). Indicate if the activity was implemented as a result of the tracing of illicit discharges, new MS4 construction projects, and inspection of catch basins required under the IDDE and Pollution Prevention and Good Housekeeping Minimum Measures, and/or as a result of TMDL related requirements and/or investigations. Assess effectiveness of the program minimizing water quality impacts.

The storm water map update was completed in September of 2011. This update includes recording of all additional elements of the storm water system for all sections of the Naval Station in GPS format. These maps were submitted in the 2011 annual report as attachment (2).

IV.B.3.b.4

Indicate if the IDDE ordinance was <u>not</u> developed, adopted, and submitted to RIDEM, explain reasons why, submit proposed schedule for completion and identify person(s) / Department and/or parties responsible for the completion of this requirement.

Date of Adoption:

If the Ordinance was amended in 2014, please indicate why changes were necessary.

Instruction 5090.23A, Storm Water Illicit Discharge Control, outlining procedures to find, prioritize, track, eliminate and prohibit illicit discharges in our system was issued on 16 February 06 was included as attachment 3 in 2006 Annual Report and was updated on 27 February 13 (and included in this report as attachment 2). Per Navy policy, instructions are reissued every 7 years even if no changes are needed (none were incorporated in to the 2013 version). Please note that Naval instructions are equivalent to town ordinances, and are certified through the Commanding Officer's signature.

IV.B.3.b.5.ii, iii, iv, & v Provide a summary of the implementation of procedures for receipt and consideration of complaints, tracing the source of an illicit discharge, removing the source of the illicit discharge and program evaluation and assessment as a result of removing sources of illicit discharges. Identify person(s) / Department and/or parties responsible for the implementation of this requirement.

#### ILLICIT DISCHARGE DETECTION AND ELIMINATION cont'd

Instruction 5090.23A, Storm Water Illicit Discharge Control, outlining procedures to find, prioritize, track, eliminate and prohibit illicit discharges in our system was issued on 16 February 06 was included as attachment 3 in 2006 Annual Report and was updated on 27 February 13 (and included in this report as attachment 2). Please note that Naval instructions are equivalent to town ordinances, and are certified through the Commanding Officer's signature.

## IV.B.3.b.5.vi

Provide summary of implementation of catch basin and manhole inspections for illicit connections and non-stormwater discharges. If the required measurable goal of inspecting all catch basins and manholes for this purpose was not accomplished, please indicate reasons why, the proposed schedule of completion and identify person(s) / Department and/or parties responsible for the implementation of this requirement. Evaluate effectiveness of the implementation of this requirement. The operator must keep records of all inspections and corrective actions required and completed.

Catch basins and manholes were inspected by the ESS Group in 2006 for any illicit connections and non-storm water discharges. The ESS Group was then awarded a contract to trace these flows back through piping, catch basins and manholes to their origins. The tracing was completed in November of 2007. A total of 36 illicit discharges were originally found. All were traced back to their origin and corrected if required. The illicit discharge report was submitted in the 2007 annual report as attachment (3). A clarification of these results were included in the 2009 report as attachment (3). All manholes and catch basins were inspected again in 2011 for illicit discharges as part of the updating of our maps. Additional illicit discharges were noted in the illicit discharge report included in the 2011 annual report as attachment (4). Further investigation and correction of 5 illicit discharges attributed to buildings were completed in 2012. The report is call Project summary and report for the illicit discharge survey and was included in the 2012 annual report as attachment (2).

#### IV.B.3.b.5.vii

If dry weather surveys including field screening for non-stormwater flows and field tests of selected parameters and bacteria were not completed, indicate reasons why, proposed schedule for the completion of this measurable goal and person(s) / Department and/or parties for the completion of this requirement. Evaluate effectiveness of the implementation of this requirement. The results of the dry weather survey investigations must be submitted to RIDEM electronically, if not already submitted or if revised since 2009, in the RIDEM-provided EXCEL Tables and should include visual observations for all outfalls during both the high and low water table timeframes, as well as sample results for those outfalls with flow. The EXCEL Tables must include a report of all outfalls and indicate the presence or absence of dry weather discharges.

**Date of Completion:** 

Two dry weather flows sampling events were completed at NAVSTANPT. The Jan1-Apr 30 testing was completed in 2007 and the data was submitted in the 2007 annual report as attachment (4). The Jul 1 – Oct 31 testing was completed in 2005 and included sanitary sewer bacterial testing. This data was also submitted in the 2007 annual report as attachment (5). The data has been compiled and put into the excel spread sheet provided by RIDEM and was included in the 2009 report on the CD as attachment (2). The maps were updated in 2011, along with the excel spread sheet showing the 2005, 2007, and 2011 outfalls and the status of each. It was included in the 2011 annual report as attachment (5).

## IV.B.3.b.7

Provide a description of efforts and actions taken as a result of for coordinating with other physically interconnected MS4s, including State and federal owned or operated MS4s, when illicit discharges were detected or reported. Identify person(s) / Department and/or parties responsible for the implementation of this requirement. Evaluate effectiveness of the implementation of this requirement.

Procedures are in place in the form of the Naval Station instructions to coordinate with local communities when an illicit discharge is found. No illicit discharge from a local community has been found to date.

#### IV.B.3.b.8

Provide a description of efforts and actions taken for the referral to RIDEM of non-stormwater discharges not authorized in accordance to Part I.B.3 of this permit or another appropriate RIPDES permit, which the operator has deemed appropriate to continue discharging to the MS4, for consideration of an appropriate permit. Identify person(s) / Department and/or parties responsible for the implementation of this requirement. Evaluate effectiveness of the implementation of this requirement.

Procedures are in place to report to RIDEM any non-storm water discharges found that are not covered by this permit. None have been found to date.

## ILLICIT DISCHARGE DETECTION AND ELIMINATION cont'd

IV.B.3.b.9	Provide a description of efforts and actions taken to inform public employees, businesses, and the general public of hazards associated with illegal discharges and improper disposal of waste, as well as allowable non-stormwater discharges identified as significant contributors of pollutants. Include a description on how this activity was coordinated with the public education minimum measure and the pollution prevention/good housekeeping minimum measure programs. Identify person(s) / Department and/or parties responsible for the implementation of this requirement.
The general po	opulation of NAVSTANPT (during regular training sessions), has been informed of the hazards associated with
illicit discharge contributors of eliminate and	pollutants to the MS4. Instruction 5090.23A outlines the responsibilities of all individuals to find, prioritize, track, prohibit illicit discharges in our storm water system. Please note that Naval instructions are equivalent to town and are certified through the Commanding Officer's signature.
Additional Me	easurable Goals and Activities N/A
Additional We	Sasarable Goals and Activities 14/A
CECTION !! A	Other Departing Degratements Illigit Discharge Investigation and Creature Managing (Deut

# SECTION II.A Other Reporting Requirements - Illicit Discharge Investigation and System Mapping (Part IV.G.2.m)

# of Illicit Discharges Identified in 2014: 0	# of Illicit Discharges Tracked in 2014: 0
# of Illicit Discharges Eliminated in 2014: 0	# of Complaints Received: 0
# of Complaints Investigated: 0	# of Violations Issued: 0
# of Violations Resolved: 0	# of Unresolved Violations Referred to RIDEM: 0
Total # of Illicit Discharges Identified to Date (since 2003): 41	Total # of Illicit Discharges remaining unresolved at the end of 2014: 0
Summary of Enforcement Actions: none	

Summary of Enforcement Actions: none

Extent to which the MS4 system has been mapped: Storm water maps were updated in 2011 and were included as attachment (2) in the 2011 annual report.

Total # of Outfalls Identified and Mapped to date: 147

# SECTION II.B Interconnections (Parts IV.G.2.k and IV.G.2.l)

Interconnection:	Date Found:	Location:	Name of Connectee:	Originating Source:	Planned and Coordinated Efforts and Activities with Connectee:



# MINIMUM CONTROL MEASURE #4: CONSTRUCTION SITE STORMWATER RUNOFF CONTROL (Part IV.B.4 General Permit)

#### SECTION I. OVERALL EVALUATION:

GENERAL SUMMARY, STATUS, APPROPRIATENESS AND EFFECTIVENESS OF MEASURABLE GOALS:

Include information relevant to the implementation of each measurable goal, such as activities implemented to support the review, issuance and tracking of permits, inspections and receipt of complaints. Discuss activities to be carried out during the next reporting cycle. If addressing TMDL requirements, please indicate rationale for the activities chosen to address the pollutant of concern.

(Note: Identify parties responsible for achieving the measurable goals and reference any reliance on another entity for achieving measurable goals.)

IV.B.4.b.1

Indicate if the Sediment and Erosion Control and Control of Other Wastes at Construction Sites ordinance was <a href="Note: Note: No

## Date of Adoption:

If the Ordinance was amended in 2014, please indicate why changes were necessary. Please also indicate if amendments have been made based on the 2010 *RI Stormwater Design and Installation Standards Manual*, and provide references to the amended portions of the local codes/ordinances.

Naval Instruction 5090.21, Soil erosion and sediment control, (issued April 2005, submitted in the 2005 annual report as attachment (6)), updated and reissued as Naval Instruction 5090.21A, Soil erosion and sediment control in June of 2012 (submitted as attachment (3) in the 2012 annual report). Please note that Naval instructions are equivalent to town ordinances, and are certified through the Commanding Officer's signature. This instruction outlines responsibilities concerning erosion and sediment control of all individuals involved in construction work at NAVSTANPT.

IV.B.4.b.6 Describe actions taken as a result of receipt and consideration of information submitted by the public.

Procedures are in place by which the general population may report any issues related to construction, operations, or repair of equipment, infrastructure, or correction of problems on projects. Outside personnel may contact the public affairs office for help if problems are found by non-government employees. No information has been submitted by the public over the life of the permit.

IV.B.4.b.8

Describe activities and actions taken as a result of referring to the State non-compliant construction site operators. The operator may rely on the Department for assistance in enforcing the provisions of the RIPDES General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Construction Activity to the MS4 if the operator of the construction site fails to comply with the local and State requirements of the permit and the non-compliance results or has the potential to result in significant adverse environmental impacts.

The Environmental Division of NAVFAC would refer any non-compliant contractors to the State if the government were unsuccessful in compelling the contractor to comply with all storm water rules and regulations on NAVSTANPT. No non-compliant construction site operators were referred to the state.

Additional Measurable Goals and Activities N/A

**SECTION II. A - Plan and SWPPP/SESC Plan Reviews during Year 11 (2014), Part IV.B.4.b.2:** Issuance of permits and/or implementation of policies and procedures for all construction projects resulting in land disturbance of greater than 1 acre. **Part IV.B.4.b.4:** Review 100% of plans and SWPPPs/SESC Plans for construction projects resulting in land disturbance of 1-5 acres must be conducted by adequately trained personnel and incorporate consideration of potential water quality impacts.

# of Construction Reviews completed: 0

Summary of Reviews and Findings, include an evaluation of the effectiveness of the program. Identify person(s) /Department and/or parties responsible for the implementation of this requirement.

NAVFAC Environmental and construction personnel are responsible to achieve our construction site runoff sediment controls. In October of 2004, base instruction 5090.18, Environmental Review of Contracts and Projects (included in 2006 annual report as attachment 4) was issued requiring all contracts put out for bid at NAVSTANPT be reviewed by the Environmental Department for all media including storm water issues. This instruction was updated in 2011 and was included in the 2011 annual report as attachment (4). In 2014, 100% of all contracts were reviewed for sediment and storm water runoff controls even if the site was less than one acre in size.

Base instruction 5090.18A - Environmental review of Contracts and Projects requires all projects greater than 1 acre to submit a SWPPP to the ED for approval. The ED submits the NOI to the RIDEM for all projects over 1 acre when no other state agencies have reviewed the project

# SECTION II.B - Erosion and Sediment Control Inspections during Year 11 (2014), Parts IV.G.2.n and IV.B.4.b.7:

Inspection of 100% of all construction projects within the regulated area that discharge or have the potential to discharge to the MS4 (the program must include two inspections of all construction sites, first inspection to be conducted during construction for compliance of the Erosion and Sediment controls at the site, the second to be conducted after the final stabilization of the site).

# of Site Inspections: 4	# of Complaints Received: 0
# of Violations Issued: 0	# of Unresolved Violations Referred to RIDEM: 0

Summary of Enforcement Actions, include an evaluation of the effectiveness of the program. Identify person(s) /Department and/or parties responsible for the implementation of this requirement.

NAVFAC personnel inspected the 4 sites (waterfront improvements, waterline replacement, 444 demo, and 292 grease trap replacement) on a daily basis. Any damaged sediment controls were fixed within 24 hours of discovery. All contracts have clauses for assessment of monetary damages if deficiencies in sediment and storm water control are not corrected in a timely manner.



# MINIMUM CONTROL MEASURE #5: POST CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER MANAGEMENT IN NEW DEVELOPMENT AND REVELOPMENT

(Part IV.B.5 General Permit)

### SECTION I. OVERALL EVALUATION:

GENERAL SUMMARY, STATUS, APPROPRIATENESS AND EFFECTIVENESS OF MEASURABLE GOALS:

Include information relevant to the implementation of each measurable goal, such as activities implemented to support the review, issuance and tracking of permits, inspections and receipt of complaints, etc. Please indicate if any projects have incorporated the use of Low Impact Development techniques. Discuss activities to be carried out during the next reporting cycle. If addressing TMDL requirements, please indicate rationale for the activities chosen to address the pollutant of concern.

(Note: Identify parties responsible for achieving the measurable goals and reference any reliance on another entity for achieving measurable goals.)

IV.B.5.b.5

Describe activities and actions taken to coordinate with existing State programs requiring post-construction stormwater management.

NAVSTANPT submits all projects to CRMC for review (which includes storm water controls) and have incorporating LID into all projects being designed beginning in 2011. There are no new planned construction projects for 2015 due to budget cuts. No TMDL is in place for NAVSTANPT receiving waters.

IV.B.5.b.6

Describe actions taken for the referral to RIDEM of new discharges of stormwater associated with industrial activity as defined in RIPDES Rule 31(b)(15) (the operator must implement procedures to identify new activities that require permitting, notify RIDEM, and refer facilities with new stormwater discharges associated with industrial activity to ensure that facilities will obtain the proper permits).

Any new discharges of industrial activity must be approved by the ED prior to start. If requested, the new industrial activity would be added to the NAVSTANPT industrial SWPPP and provisions will be made to inspect the operation yearly. New activities would be reported to the state with revisions in the SWPPP for the base. The SWPPP was updated in 2012 and was included as attachment (5) in the 2012 annual report.

IV.B.5.b.9

Indicate if the Post-Construction Runoff from New Development and Redevelopment Ordinance was <u>not</u> developed, adopted, and submitted to RIDEM, explain reasons why, submit proposed schedule for completion and identify person(s) / Department and/or parties responsible for the completion of this requirement. **Date of Adoption:** 

If the Ordinance was amended in 2014, please indicate why changes were necessary. Please also indicate if amendments have been made based on the 2010 *RI Stormwater Design and Installation Standards Manual*, and provide references to the amended portions of the local codes/ordinances.

Base instruction 5090.18A, Environmental review of Contracts and Projects, requires all projects greater than 1 acre to submit a SWPPP to the ED for approval. The ED submits the NOI to the RIDEM for all projects over 1 acre when no other state agencies have reviewed the project. This instruction also requires post construction inspection and maintenance for the systems. The updated instruction was submitted as attachment (4) in the 2012 annual report.

IV.B.5.b.12

Describe activities and actions taken to identify existing stormwater structural BMPs discharging to the MS4 with a goal of ensuring long term O&M of the BMPs.

All existing structural BMPs have been identified and are listed under Minimum Control Measure #6, Section III.A of this report. Each BMP is inspected, cleaned and maintained under contract number 08-D-2603. In addition, NAVSTANPT inspects two (2) industrial and nine (9) municipal sites annually, copies of the inspection reports are included as attachment (2) on the CD in this annual report.

Additional Measurable Goals and Activities: N/A

**SECTION II.A. - Plan and SWPPP/SESC Plan Reviews during Year 11 (2014), Part IV.B.5.b.4:** Review 100% of post-construction BMPs for the control of stormwater runoff from new development and redevelopment projects that result in discharges to the MS4 which incorporates consideration of potential water quality impacts (the program requires reviewing 100% of plans for development projects greater than 1 acre, not reviewed by other State programs).

# of Post-Construction F	Reviews com	pleted: All
--------------------------	-------------	-------------

Summary of Reviews and Finding, include an evaluation of the effectiveness of the program. Identify person(s) /Department and/or parties responsible for the implementation of this requirement.

NAVFAC Environmental Division personnel are responsible to review the SWPPPs, all reviews ended in suitable designs in the drawings for storm water structures and BMPs

SECTION II.B. - Post Construction Inspections during Year 11 (2014), Parts IV.G.2.o and IV.B.5.b.10 - Proper Installation of Structural BMPs: Inspection of BMPs, to ensure these are constructed in accordance with the approved plans (the program must include inspection of 100% of all development greater than one acre within the regulated areas that result in discharges to the MS4 regardless of whom performs the review).

# of Site Inspections: all	# of Complaints Received: 0
# of Violations Issued: 0	# of Unresolved Violations Referred to RIDEM: 0
Summary of Enforcement Actions: N/A	,

SECTION II.C. - Post Construction Inspections during Year 11 (2014), Parts IV.G.2.p and IV.B.5.b.11 - Proper Operation and Maintenance of Structural BMPs: Describe activities and actions taken to track required Operations and Maintenance (O&M) actions for site inspections and enforcement of the O&M of structural BMPs. Tracking of required O&M actions for site inspections and enforcement of the O&M of structural BMPs.

# of Site Inspections: all	# of Complaints Received: 0
# of Violations Issued: 0	# of Unresolved Violations Referred to RIDEM: 0

Summary of Activities and Enforcement Actions. Evaluate the effectiveness of the Program in minimizing water quality impacts. Identify person(s) /Department and/or parties responsible for the implementation of this requirement.

All structural BMPs were inspected in 2014 along with the catch basins and manholes listed on the 2011 drawings. All structural BMPs were cleaned and all catch basins requiring cleaning were cleaned under contract number 08-D-2603 in 2014.



# MINIMUM CONTROL MEASURE #6: POLLUTION PREVENTION AND GOOD HOUSEKEEPING IN MUNICIPAL OPERATIONS (Part IV.B.6 General Permit)

#### SECTION I. OVERALL EVALUATION:

## GENERAL SUMMARY, STATUS, APPROPRIATENESS AND EFFECTIVENESS OF MEASURABLE GOALS:

Include information relevant to the implementation of each measurable goal, such as activities and practices used to address on-going requirements, and personnel responsible. Discuss activities to be carried out during the next reporting cycle. If addressing TMDL requirements, please indicate rationale for the activities chosen to address the pollutant of concern.

(Note: Identify parties responsible for achieving the measurable goals and reference any reliance on another entity for achieving measurable goals.)

IV.B.6.b.1.i

Describe activities and actions taken to identify structural BMPs owned or operated by the small MS4 operator (the program must include identification and listing of the specific location and a description of all structural BMPs in the SWMPP and update the information in the Annual Report). Evaluate appropriateness and effectiveness of this requirement.

The NAVFAC PWD and ED are responsible to ensure procedures to meet goals are put in place. All structural BMPs have been identified and new structures will be added to the cleaning and inspection of catch basins and BMPs contract (new contract was awarded in Feb 2009).

IV.B.6.b.1.ii

Describe activities and actions taken for inspections, cleaning and repair of detention/retention basins, storm sewers and catch basins with appropriate scheduling given intensity and type of use in the catchment area. Evaluate appropriateness and effectiveness of this requirement.

Contract 14-D-2300 (awarded in February 2014) requires inspection of all catch basins and structural BMPs annually and to clean those that require it each year. All structural BMPs were inspected in 2013 along with the catch basins and manholes listed on our latest drawings. All structural BMPs were cleaned but no catch basins or manholes were cleaned in 2014. The spread sheet containing the catch basin and manhole inventory and cleaning information is included in this 2014 report as attachment (3) on the CD.

IV.B.6.b.1.iii

Describe activities and actions taken to support the requirement of yearly inspection and cleaning of all catch basins (a lesser frequency of inspection based on at least two consecutive years of operational data indicating the system does not require annual cleaning might be acceptable). Evaluate appropriateness and effectiveness of this requirement.

Total # of CBs within regulated area (including SRPW and TMDL areas): 2473

Total # of CBs inspected in 2014: 2473

Total # of CBs cleaned in 2014: \_\_\_\_\_

A new contract (08-D-2603) was awarded in February of 2009 to inspect all catch basins and structural BMPs annually and to clean those that require it each year. All structural BMPs were inspected in 2014 along with the 2473 catch basins and manholes listed on our 2011 drawings (please note that some catch basins and manholes were removed as noted on the spreadsheet due to construction activities All structural BMPs were cleaned but no catch basins or manholes were cleaned in 2014. No request for a lesser frequency of cleaning will be made at this time.

IV.B.6.b.1.iv

Describe activities and actions taken to minimize erosion of road shoulders and roadside ditches by requiring stabilization of those areas. Evaluate appropriateness and effectiveness of this requirement.

All road side erosion is required to be stabilized in accordance with Naval instruction 5090.21A, Soil erosion and Sediment Control. There are no un-stabilized road side areas that show erosion at this time.

IV.B.6.b.1.v

Describe activities and actions taken to identify and report known discharges causing scouring at outfall pipes or outfalls with excessive sedimentation, for the Department to determine on a case-by-case basis if the scouring or sedimentation is a significant and continuous source of sediments. Evaluate appropriateness and effectiveness of this requirement.

	ause sediment discharge at outfall OU-1. RIPRAP in area has been replaced and outfall extended to reduce utfall. Further action is being looked at as part of the remediation effort at the Deerfield pond (which feeds this		
IV.B.6.b.1.vi	Indicate if all streets and roads within the urbanized area were swept annually and if not indicate reason(s). Evaluate appropriateness and effectiveness of this requirement.		
	Total roadway miles within regulated area (including SRPW and TMDL areas):all		
	Total roadway miles that were swept in 2014: all		
	tion has implemented a street sweeping program where all streets are swept bi-annually and various parking lots r. A total of 350 tons of sand was swept and recycled from our streets in 2014.		
IV.B.6.b.1.vii	Describe activities and actions taken for controls to reduce floatables and other pollutants from the MS4. Evaluate appropriateness and effectiveness of this requirement.		
sewer. In addi	is are inspected for floatables and the base is cleaned annually to reduce items that may end up in the storm ition, all plans and contract specifications mandate controls on trash and maintenance objects to ensure they are or exposure to the storm drain system.		
IV.B.6.b.1.viii	Describe the method for disposal of waste removed from MS4s and waste from other municipal operations, including accumulated sediments, floatables and other debris and methods for record-keeping and tracking of this information.		
No floatables v	were removed from the MS4 system in 2014.		
IV.B.6.b.4 and IV.B.6.b.5	Describe and indicate activities and corrective actions for the evaluation of compliance. This evaluation must include visual quarterly monitoring; routine visual inspections of designated equipment, processes, and material handling areas for evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system or point source discharges to a waters of the State; and inspection of the entire facility at least once a year for evidence of pollution, evaluation of BMPs that have been implemented, and inspection of equipment. A Compliance Evaluation report summarizing the scope of the inspection, personnel making the inspection, major observations related to the implementation of the Stormwater Management Plan (formerly known as a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan), and any actions taken to amend the Plan must be kept for record-keeping purposes.		
NAVSTANPT has two buildings that have been designated as falling under the industrial SWPPP and 9 additional municipal sites that are inspected annually. Visual monitoring was performed in four quarters of 2014 on the outfalls from the two industrial sites, the results are included in this report as attachment 4 on the CD. All these sites adhere to the different BMPs installed for each site. Employees are trained on these BMPs and implement them as appropriate. BMPs are reviewed annually and are revised as needed. No changes were made in 2014.			
IV.B.6.b.6	Describe all employee training programs used to prevent and reduce stormwater pollution from activities such as park and open space maintenance, fleet and building maintenance, new construction and land disturbances, and stormwater system maintenance for the past calendar year, including staff municipal participation in the URI NEMO stormwater public education and outreach program and all in-house training conducted by municipality or other parties. Evaluate appropriateness and effectiveness of this requirement.		
addition, all sit	s employees were trained on general storm water system maintenance and good housekeeping rules. In es, both industrial and municipal follow Naval Station's SWPPP which includes implementing different BMPs for ployees are trained on these BMPs and implement them as appropriate. BMPs are reviewed annually and are eded.		
IV.B.6.b.7	Describe actions taken to ensure that new flow management projects undertaken by the operator are assessed for potential water quality impacts and existing projects are assessed for incorporation of additional water quality protection devices or practices. Evaluate appropriateness and effectiveness of this requirement.		

Procedures are in place for assessing potential water quality impacts to existing and new flow management projects. Water quality certifications are obtained for any project that requires dewatering or other such impacts. In addition, all projects are reviewed for flow calculations and implementation of required BMPs to reduce water quality impact.
Additional Measurable Goals and Activities

lr .	1	TAND GOOD HOUSEREEL INC		
BMP ID:	Location:	Name of BMP Owner/Operator:	Description of BMP:	
	New Bridge	NAVSTANPT-bridge collection	(1) Vortechnic model #4000	
	23 NACC	NAVSTANPT – Parking lot	(1) Vortechnics model #2000	
	27 CHI	NAVSTANPT – Parking lot	(3) Vortechnics model #5000; (1) Vortechnics model #7000	
	29 CHI	NAVSTANPT – Parking lot	(1) Vortechnics model #7000	
	440 CP	NAVSTANPT – Parking lot	(1) Vortechnics model #2000	
	1372 CP	NAVSTANPT – NAPS BEQ parking lot	(1) Vortechnics model #4000	
	1285 CP	NAVSTANPT – Gas station Parking lot	(1) Vortechnics model #3000	
	1320 NUWC	NAVSTANPT – Parking lot	(3) detention ponds	
	126T NUWC	NAVSTANPT – Exterior of building	(1) detention pond	
	A63 CP	NAVSTANPT – maintenance wash rack	Not in use, system unknown	
	A9 CC	NAVSTANPT – transportation building	275 gal oil/water separator, permanently sealed	
	1362 CHI	NAVSTANPT – SWOS Parking lot	(1) Vortechnics model #11000	
	NUWC – Deerfield Pond	NAVSTANPT – Detention Pond	Pond/wetland	
	1354 CP	NAVSTANPT – Navy lodge parking lot	(1) Vortechnics model #7000	
	1376 CC	NAVSTANPT – Child care center	(2) Vortechnics model #5000	
	80 NUWC	NAVSTANPT – NUWC security	(2) Swales	
	1375 CC	NAVSTANPT - North Gate 17	(1) Vortechnics model #2000	
	1383 CP	NAVSTANPT – Gate 2	(1) Vortechnics model #5000	
	1373 CC	NAVSTANPT – Fire & Police	(1) Underground detention system	
	1377 CHI	NAVSTANPT – Pass & ID office	(1) Vortechnics model #4000	
	80 MEL	NAVSTANPT – Tank Farm 1	500 gal oil/water separator	
	1390 CC	Army Reserve Center	300 gal oil/water separator	
	1390 CC	Army Reserve Center	Treatment/detention pond	
	P-451	Officer Training School	Treatment/Detention pond	
	P-068	Sensor Array Building	Treatment/Detention pond	
	1109	NAVSTANPT Gym parking lot	Treatment/Detention pond	
<u> </u>	•			

SECTION II.B - Discharges Causing Scouring or Excessive Sedimentation (Part IV.B.6.b.1.v)

Outfall ID:	Location:	Description of Problem:	Description of Remediation Taken, include dates:	Receiving Water Body Name/Description:
OU-1	N166022.384 E380354.721	Heavy rains cause sediment discharge	Remediation development is in process	Narragansett Bay

SECTION II.C - Note any planned municipal construction projects/opportunities to incorporate water quality BMPs, low impact development, or activities to promote infiltration and recharge (Part IV.G.2.j).						
There are no new o	There are no new construction projects being planned for 2015 due to low funding availability.					
		nmary of results of any data (Part IV.G.2.e).	other information that has be	en collected and		
N/A						



# **TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD (TMDL) or other Water Quality Determination REQUIREMENTS**

SECTION I. If you have been notified that discharges from your MS4 require non-structural or structural stormwater controls based on an approved TMDL or other water quality determination, please provide an assessment of the progress towards meeting the requirements for the control of stormwater identified in the approved TMDL (Part IV.G.2.d). Please indicate rationale for the activities chosen to address the pollutant of concern.

N/A		



# SPECIAL RESOURCE PROTECTION WATERS (SRPWs)

SECTION I. In accordance with Rule 31(a)(5)(i)G of the *Regulations for the Rhode Island Pollutant Discharge Elimination System* (RIPDES Regs), on or after March 10, 2008, any discharge from a small municipal separate storm sewer system to any Special Resource Protection Waters (SRPWs) or impaired water bodies within its jurisdiction must obtain permits if a waiver has not been granted in accordance to Rule 31(g)(5)(iii). A list of SRPWs can be found in Appendix D of the *RIDEM Water Quality Regulations* at this link: <a href="http://www.dem.ri.gov/pubs/regs/regs/water/h20q09a.pdf">http://www.dem.ri.gov/pubs/regs/regs/water/h20q09a.pdf</a>

The 2008 303(d) Impaired Waters list can be found in Appendix G of the 2008 Integrated Water Quality Monitoring and Assessment Report at this link: http://www.dem.ri.gov/programs/benviron/water/quality/pdf/iwqmon08.pdf

If you have discharges from your MS4 (regardless of its location) to any of the listed SRPWs or impaired waters (including impaired waters when a TMDL has not been approved), please provide an assessment of the progress towards expanding the MS4 Phase II Stormwater Program to include the discharges to the aforementioned waters and adapting the Six Minimum Control Measures to include the control of stormwater in these areas. Please indicate a rationale for the activities chosen to protect these waters. Please note that all of the measurable goals and BMPs required by the 2003 MS4 General Permit may not be applicable to these discharges.

N/A		