## October 2015 FACT SHEET

## Authorization to Discharge under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System for the

## Ramah Navajo School Board, Inc. – Pine Hill School Wastewater Treatment Lagoon NPDES Permit No. NN0030325

Applicant address: Ramah Navajo School Board, Inc.

Pine Hill School P.O. Box 340

Pine Hill, New Mexico 87357

Applicant Contact: Anna Mae Pino, Acting Executive Director

(505) 775-3256

Facility Address: BIA Route 125

P.O. Box 10

Pine Hill, New Mexico 87357

<u>Facility Contact</u>: Wylie Clawson, Facility Maintenance Director

(505) 775-3285

## I. <u>STATUS OF PERMIT</u>

Ramah Navajo School Board, Inc. ("RNSB") was issued a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") Permit (No. NM0030325) on March 24, 2010, for its Pine Hill School wastewater treatment lagoon facility ("WWTF"), pursuant to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("U.S. EPA") regulations set forth in Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR") Part 122.21. The permit was effective April 1, 2010, through midnight, March 31, 2015. A permit application was due to U.S. EPA on October 1, 2014, which is 180 days prior to the permit expiration date of March 31, 2015. RNSB reapplied to U.S. EPA for reissuance on March 19, 2015. This fact sheet is based on information provided by the applicant through its application and discharge data submittal, along with the appropriate laws and regulations.

RNSB, a Navajo "tribal organization", operates as an exclusive nonprofit corporation by members of the Ramah Navajo Chapter. Funds provided by the federal Bureau of Indian Affairs and the Navajo Nation are used to operate four divisions for the Pine Hill School and rural Ramah Navajo Community.

Pursuant to Section 402 of the Clean Water Act ("CWA"), the U.S. EPA is proposing issuance of the NPDES permit renewal to RNSB (permittee) for the discharge of treated domestic wastewater to unnamed wash, a tributary to Terrero Wash, a tributary to Jaralosa Draw, a tributary to Zuni river, an eventual tributary to The Little Colorado River which is a water of the United States.

#### II. SIGNIFICANT CHANGES TO PREVIOUS PERMIT

- Reinstatement of ammonia monitoring requirement which was deleted during previous permit cycle due to low flow volume and intermittent nature of the discharge. Without receiving required effluent data from the facility since December 2009, EPA is uncertain of the discharge volume and/or frequency in order to ensure adequate protection of beneficial uses in receiving waters.
- Incorporation of Ammonia Impact Ratio for reporting ammonia. In addition, measurements for temperature are required to be taken concurrently with ammonia and pH measurements.
- Option of submitting DMRs electronically through EPA's NetDMR system.

## III. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF FACILITY

The Pine Hill School WWTF is located in Pine Hill, Western Cibola County, New Mexico, within the southwestern portion of the Navajo Nation, approximately 35 miles southwest of Gallup, New Mexico. The facility serves a population of over 1000, and receives domestic and commercial sewage. Wastewater from the school compound, a nearby shopping center with a Laundromat and a health clinic flows into the system. The average flow of approximately 0.035 million gallons per day (MGD) is taken as an estimate from past discharge monitoring reports ("DMRs").

The facility is a two-cell unlined facultative lagoon system without any screening. The lagoons have a depth of 12 feet and cover an area of 1.23 acres with a holding capacity of approximately 7.44 million gallons. Wastewater flows by gravity to a collector which directs flow to Cell #1, the south lagoon, at mid-length a transfer pipe allows wastewater to flow by gravity north to Cell #2. There is settling, evaporation, and natural die-off of fecal coliform bacteria in both cells. There is one solar-powered aerator in each lagoon that provides minimum aeration. The overflow discharge pipe is located at the southwest corner of Cell #2. Disinfection is achieved using a stack-fed or tablet fed-chlorinator and de-chlorination occurs directly after by flowing through a stack-fed or tablet-fed dechlorination box prior to discharge to receiving waters.

Although RNSB is a privately-owned treatment facility and not a publicly-owned treatment works ("POTW"), U.S. EPA will be proposing federal discharge limits as those that are applicable to POTWs. Any sampling and monitoring under the proposed permit shall be performed at Outfall No. 001.

#### IV. DESCRIPTION OF RECEIVING WATER

The discharge of treated domestic wastewater is to an unnamed dry wash, a tributary to Terrero Draw, a tributary to Jaralosa Draw, a tributary to Zuni River, an eventual tributary to the Little Colorado River, which is a water of the United States. The coordinates for the discharge outfall are: Latitude 34° 53' 19" North and Longitude 108° 25' 09" West.

#### V. EFFLUENT CHARACTERISTICS

The facility has a long history of noncompliance with the permit reporting requirements. The facility has not submitted Discharge Monitoring Reports ("DMRs") since December 2009 which reported as "No Discharge." According to the March 2015 permit application, RNSB indicates that the facility has had discharged approximately twice a year, although it lacks current data and is not equipped with any system to accurately monitor its effluent. Previously, U.S. EPA and the Navajo Nation Environmental Protection Agency ("NNEPA") had sent letters in November 2006 and January 2008, respectively, to address the monitoring reporting deficiencies.

The facility is considered a minor discharger and, therefore, requires a compliance evaluation inspection (CEI) every five years. On February 3, 2015, the NNEPA conducted a CEI at the facility and made the following observations: (1) No flow measuring device was present at the facility; (2) Sludge was visible in Cell #1; (3) The facility did not have an Operation and Maintenance Manual onsite; (4) The facility did not maintain any records pertaining to the operation of the wastewater facility; and, (5) No DMRs were found in the facility files, although there was a report of a recent discharge that took place a day prior to the NNEPA inspection. The facility staff did not conduct any sampling collection or analysis of the discharge.

### VI. BASIS OF PROPOSED PERMIT REQUIREMENTS

Section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act ("CWA") provides that the discharge of any pollutant to waters of the United States is unlawful except in accordance with a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") permit. Section 402 of the Act establishes the NPDES program. The program is designed to limit the discharge of pollutants into waters of the United States from point sources [40 CFR 122.1(b)(1)] through a combination of various requirements including technology-based and water quality-based effluent limitations.

Sections 402 and 301(b)(1)(C) of the CWA require that the permit contain effluent limitations to meet water quality standards. Specifically, the regulation under 40 CFR 122.44(d) states that an NPDES permit must contain:

"Water quality standards and State requirements: any requirements in addition to or more stringent than promulgated effluent limitations guidelines or standards under Sections 301, 304, 306, 307, 318 and 405 of CWA necessary to:

(1) Achieve water quality standards established under section 303 of the CWA, including State narrative criteria for water quality."

Section 40 CFR 122.44(d)(i) states the following:

"Limitations must control all pollutants or pollutant parameters (either conventional, nonconventional, or toxic pollutants) which the Director determines are or may be discharged at a level which will cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion above any State water quality standard, including State narrative criteria for water quality."

#### A. Navajo Nation Surface Water Quality Standards

In accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(d), the need for discharge limitations for all pollutants that may impact applicable water quality criteria and water quality standards must be evaluated. As part of this evaluation, discharge limitations are based on application of the water quality standards. USEPA approved the 1999 Navajo Nation Surface Water Quality Standards ("NNSWQS"), on March 23, 2006. The NNSWQS were revised in 2007 and approved by the USEPA on March 26, 2009. A 2010 *draft* NNSWQS revision has been under review by USEPA. The approved 1999 NNSWQS, the 2007 revision and the 2010 *draft* revisions will be used on a best professional judgment ("BPJ") basis for purposes of developing water quality based effluent limitations.

# B. <u>Applicable Technology-Based Effluent Limitations, Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations ("WQBELs") and BPJ</u>

Technology-based effluent limitations require minimum levels of treatment based on currently available treatment technologies. Section 301 of the CWA established a required performance level, referred to as "secondary treatment", that all POTWs were required to meet by July 1, 1977. Federal secondary treatment effluent standards for POTWs are contained in Section 301(b)(1)(B) of the CWA. Implementing regulations for Section 301(b)(1)(B) are found at 40 CFR Part 133. The CWA requires POTWs to meet performance-based requirements based on available wastewater treatment technology. These technology-based effluent limits apply to all municipal wastewater treatment plants, and identify the minimum level of effluent quality attainable by secondary treatment in terms of Five-Day Biochemical Oxygen Demand ("BOD<sub>5</sub>") and Total Suspended Solids ("TSS"). The requirements contained in the draft permit are necessary to prevent violations of applicable treatment standards.

#### VII. <u>DETERMINATION OF NUMERICAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS</u>

Typical pollutants of concern in untreated and treated domestic wastewater include ammonia nitrate, oxygen demand, pathogens, temperature, pH, oil and grease, and solids. USEPA proposes the following provisions and effluent discharge limitations for flow, BOD<sub>5</sub>, TSS, *E. coli*, total dissolved solids ("TDS"), total residual chlorine ("TRC") and ammonia taken concurrent with temperature and pH measurements. Samples taken in compliance with the effluent monitoring requirements shall be taken at a point representative of the discharge by prior to entry into the receiving water.

#### A. Federal Secondary Treatment Effluent Discharge Limitations

## 1. <u>Flow Rates</u>

The monthly and daily maximum flows of the influent and effluent must be monitored and reported in the event of a discharge. The monitoring frequency is being proposed as once per discharge, consistent with the previous permit.

#### 2. Five-Day Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>):

Under the proposed permit, the discharge shall not exceed a weekly average of 65 mg/l and a monthly average of 45 mg/l BOD<sub>5</sub>, and shall achieve no less than a monthly average rate of 65% removal. These limits are required under 40 CFR Section 122.45(d).

Under 40 CFR Section 122.45(f), mass limits are required for BOD<sub>5</sub>. Using the 0.035 MGD average flow rate taken from past reports, the mass limits for BOD<sub>5</sub> are based on the following calculations:

## Monthly average

$$\frac{0.035 \text{ MG}}{\text{day}} \times \frac{45 \text{ mg}}{1} \times \frac{8.345 \text{ lb/MG}}{\text{mg/l}} \times \frac{0.45 \text{ kg}}{1} = 5.9 \text{ kg per day}$$

#### Weekly average

$$\frac{0.035 \text{ MG}}{\text{day}} \quad \text{x} \quad \frac{65 \text{ mg}}{\text{l}} \quad \text{x} \quad \frac{8.345 \text{ lb/MG}}{\text{mg/l}} \quad \text{x} \quad \frac{0.45 \text{ kg}}{\text{lb}} = 8.5 \text{ kg per day}$$

The daily maximum must also be monitored and reported. The monitoring frequency is once per discharge, consistent with the previous permit. Should the event of a continuous discharge occur over several days or more than one discrete or separate discharge in a month, the monitoring frequency should be no more than once per discharge. If no discharge occurs, no monitoring is required.

#### 3. Total Suspended Solids (TSS):

Under the proposed permit, the discharge shall not exceed a weekly average of 135 mg/l and a monthly average of 90 mg/l TSS, and shall achieve no less than a monthly average rate of 65% removal. These limits are consistent with 40 CFR 133.102(b). Mass limit requirements in accordance with 40 CFR 122.45(f) have also been set in the proposed permit. Mass loadings shall not exceed a 7-day average of 17.7 kg per day and a 30-day average of 11.8 kg per day based upon the 0.035 MGD flow rate. The monitoring frequency is once per discharge. Consistent with the previous permit, if no discharge occurs then no monitoring is required.

#### B. Water Quality Based Effluent Limitations ("WQBELs")

Water quality-based effluent limitations, or WQBELS, are required in NPDES permits when the permitting authority determines that a discharge causes, has the reasonable potential to cause, or contributes to an excursion above any water quality standard. (40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)).

When determining whether an effluent discharge causes, has the reasonable potential to cause, or contributes to an excursion above narrative or numeric criteria, the permitting authority shall use procedures which account for existing controls on point and non point sources

of pollution, the variability of the pollutant or pollutant parameter in the effluent, the sensitivity of the species to toxicity testing (when evaluating whole effluent toxicity) and where appropriate, the dilution of the effluent in the receiving water [40 CFR 122.44 (d)(1)(ii)].

EPA evaluated the reasonable potential to discharge toxic pollutants according to guidance provided in the *Technical Support Document for Water Quality-Based Toxics Control* (TSD) (Office of Water Enforcement and Permits, U.S. EPA, March 1991) and the *U.S. EPA NPDES Permit Writers Manual* (Office of Water, U.S. EPA, December 1996). These factors include:

## 1. Applicable standards, designated uses and impairments of receiving water

The 2007 NNSWQS and 2010 *draft* NNSWQS revisions established water quality criteria for the following beneficial uses (Terrero Draw, a tributary to Zuni River, an eventual tributary to the Little Colorado River), as defined by the 2007 NNSWQS are Secondary Human Contact, Fish Consumption, Aquatic & Wildlife Habitat, and Livestock Watering (Table 205.1, page 23).

#### 2. <u>Dilution in the receiving water</u>

Discharge from Outfall 001 flows to Terrero Draw and Zuni River, which may have no natural flow during certain times of the year. Therefore, no dilution of the effluent has been considered in the development of water quality based effluent limits applicable to the discharge.

## 3. <u>Type of industry</u>

Typical pollutants of concern in untreated and treated domestic wastewater include ammonia, nitrate, oxygen demand, pathogens, temperature, pH, oil and grease, and solids. Chlorine is of concern due to treatment plant disinfection operations and therefore, dechlorination is necessary to minimize impact on water quality based effluent limits.

#### 4. History of compliance problems and toxic impacts

No DMRs have been submitted since December 2009 which reported as "No Discharge." In March of 2015, NNEPA conducted a DMR workshop for the Pine Hill maintenance staff. This workshop focused on filling out the DMRs, calculating, conversions, and transferring lab data to the DMR sheet. To date, the lack of flow measuring device, effluent monitoring and timely reporting continues to be a serious concern to EPA.

#### 1. Existing data on toxic pollutants

No existing data is available on toxic pollutants.

#### C. Rationale for WQBELs

Pursuant to the narrative surface water quality standards (Section 202 of 2007 NNSWQS and *draft* 2010 NNSWQS revisions), the discharge shall be free from pollutants in

amounts or combinations that cause solids, oil, grease, foam, scum, or any other form of objectionable floating debris on the surface of the water body; may cause a film or iridescent appearance on the surface of the water body; or that may cause a deposit on a shoreline, on a bank, or on aquatic vegetation.

#### 1. *E. coli*

Presence of pathogens in untreated and treated domestic wastewater indicates that there is a reasonable potential for  $E.\ coli$  bacteria levels in the effluent to cause or contribute to an excursion above the water quality standards ("WQS"). In the proposed permit, the monthly geometric mean of  $E.\ coli$  bacteria shall not exceed 126/100 ml as a monthly average and 575/100 ml as a single sample maximum. These limits are based on the NNSWQS for secondary human contact (p. 14). The monitoring frequency is once per discharge, consistent with the previous permit.

#### 2. Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)

Presence of solids in untreated and treated domestic wastewater indicates that there is a reasonable potential for TDS levels in the effluent to cause or contribute to an excursion above the WQS. The regulations at 40 CFR 122.44(i) allow requirements for monitoring as determined to be necessary. The monitoring frequency is once per quarter, consistent with the previous permit.

#### 3. Total Residual Chorine (TRC)

Chlorination for disinfection purposes indicates that there is reasonable potential for TRC levels in the effluent to cause or contribute to an excursion above the WQS. Therefore, a TRC limit of 11  $\mu$ g/l has been established in the proposed permit to protect the beneficial uses of the receiving waters (Terrero Draw, a tributary to Zuni River, an eventual tributary to the Little Colorado River.) The monitoring frequency is once per discharge, consistent with the previous permit.

#### 4. Ammonia and Ammonia Impact Ratio ("AIR")

Presence of ammonia in untreated and treated domestic wastewater indicates that there is a reasonable potential for levels in the effluent to cause or contribute to an excursion above the WQS. In accordance with the NNSWQS for protection of aquatic and wildlife habitat, the proposed permit contains effluent limitations for total ammonia. The ammonia limits are temperature and pH dependent and are listed in Table 206.3 (page 37) of 2007 NNSWQS and *draft* 2010 NNSWQS revisions. They are also provided in Appendix A of the permit. The monitoring frequency is once per discharge, consistent with the previous permit.

Because ammonia criteria are pH and temperature-dependent, the permittee is required to calculate an AIR. The AIR is calculated as the ratio of the ammonia value in the effluent and the applicable ammonia standards as determined by using pH data to derive an appropriate value from the ammonia criteria table in Appendix B of the permit. The AIR limitation

has been established as a monthly average of 1.0, equivalent to the standard. The permittee is required to report maximum daily and average monthly ammonia concentrations in addition to an average monthly AIR. The monitoring frequency is once per discharge. This requirement is being reinstated since EPA is uncertain of the flow volume and frequency of the discharge in order to ensure adequate protection of beneficial uses in receiving waters.

#### 5. pH

Untreated and treated domestic wastewater could be contaminated with substance that affects the pH. Therefore, there is a reasonable potential for pH levels in the effluent to cause or contribute to an excursion above the WQS. In order to ensure adequate protection of beneficial uses of the receiving water, a maximum pH limit of 9.0 and a minimum limit of 6.5 S.U. are established in Section 206.C. of 2007 NNSWQS and *draft* 2010 NNSWQS revisions. The monitoring frequency is once per discharge, consistent with the previous permit. Measurements for pH are required to be taken concurrently with ammonia and temperature measurements.

#### 6. <u>Temperature</u>

Measurements for temperature are required to be taken concurrently with ammonia and pH measurements.

#### VIII. <u>REPORTING</u>

The proposed permit requires discharge data obtained during the previous three months to be summarized on monthly DMR forms and reported quarterly. If there is no discharge for the month, report "C" in the No Discharge box on the DMR form for that month. These reports are due January 28, April 28, July 28, and October 28 of each year. Duplicate signed copies of these, and all other reports required herein, shall be submitted to the U.S. EPA and the Navajo Nation EPA.

#### IX. GENERAL STANDARDS

The proposed permit sets general standards that are narrative water quality standards contained in the Navajo Nation Water Quality Standards, Section 203. These general standards are set forth in Section B. General Discharge Specifications of the permit.

#### X. PERMIT REOPENERS

At this time, there is no reasonable potential to establish any other water quality-based limits. Should any monitoring indicate that the discharge causes, has the reasonable potential to cause, or contributes to excursion above a water quality criterion, the permit may be reopened for the imposition of water quality-based limits and/or whole effluent toxicity limits. The proposed permit may be modified, in accordance with 40 CFR 122 and 124, to include appropriate conditions or effluent limits, monitoring, or other conditions to implement new regulations, including U.S. EPA-approved new Tribal water quality standards; or to address new information

indicating the presence of effluent toxicity or the reasonable potential for the discharge to cause or contribute to exceedences of water quality standards.

In accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(c), EPA may promptly modify or revoke and reissue any permit issued to a treatment works treating domestic sewage (including "sludge only facilities") to incorporate any applicable standard for sewage sludge use or disposal promulgated under section 405(d) of the CWA, if the standard for sewage sludge use or disposal is more stringent than any requirements for sludge use or disposal in the permit, or controls a pollutant or practice not limited in the permit.

#### XI. SEWAGE SLUDGE REQUIREMENTS

The proposed permit requires a report to U.S. EPA and NNEPA within 90 days of permit issuance with an estimate of the quantity of sewage sludge currently on site, and a projection of when sewage sludge will next be removed. Ninety (90) days prior to removing sewage sludge for use or disposal, the permittee is required to submit a plan describing the quantity of sewage sludge to be removed, mechanisms for removing, and a proposed sampling plan for pollutants regulated under the use or disposal option being selected. Upon approval of this plan by U.S. EPA and NNEPA, the permittee will have the sewage sludge removed as described. The permit also requires compliance with all applicable requirements of Section 405(d) of the CWA, and 40 CFR 258 (for sewage sludge sent to a municipal landfill) and 503 (for sewage sludge placed in a sludge-only surface disposal site, land applied as fertilizer, used in land reclamation, or incinerated.

#### XII. OTHER CONSIDERATIONS UNDER FEDERAL LAW

#### A. Anti-Degradation

USEPA's antidegradation policy at 40 CFR Section 131.12 and the NNSWQS require that existing water uses and level of water quality necessary to protect the existing uses be maintained. As described in this fact sheet, the permit establishes effluent limits and monitoring requirements to ensure that all applicable water quality standards are met. The permit does not include a mixing zone; therefore, these limits will apply at the end of the pipe without consideration of dilution in the receiving water. Therefore, due to the low levels of toxic pollutants present in the effluent, the high level of treatment being obtained, and water quality-based effluent limitations, it is not expected that the discharge will adversely affect receiving water bodies.

#### B. Anti-Backsliding

Section 402(o) of the CWA prohibits the renewal or reissuance of an NPDES permit that contains effluent limits less stringent than those established in the previous permit, except as provided in the statute. The proposed permit is a renewal and therefore does not allow backsliding.

#### C. Threatened and Endangered Species and Critical Habitat

#### 1. Background:

Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973 requires Federal agencies such as EPA to ensure, in consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS), that any actions authorized, funded or carried out by the Agency are not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any Federally-listed endangered or threatened species or adversely modify or destroy critical habitat of such species.

Since the issuance of NPDES permits by EPA is a Federal action, consideration of a permitted discharge and its effect on any federally-listed species is appropriate. The proposed NPDES permit authorizes the discharge of treated domestic wastewater into an unnamed dry wash, a tributary to Terrero Draw, a tributary to Jaralosa Draw, a tributary to Zuni River, an eventual tributary to the Little Colorado River, a water of the United States.

The FWS has deferred all of its survey and information collection in the Navajo Nation to the Navajo Nation's Department of Fish & Wildlife Natural Heritage Program (NHP). The NHP database on Endangered and Threatened Species in the Navajo Nation is at: <a href="http://www.nndfw.org/">http://www.nndfw.org/</a> EPA sent a request for species information to NHP on September 29, 2015. Based on EPA review of the NHP database, NHP has identified no federally listed species of concern known to occur within one to 3 miles of the facility boundary.

## 2. **EPA's Finding:**

This permit authorizes the discharge of treated wastewater in conformance with the federal secondary treatment regulations and the Navajo Nation Surface Water Quality Standards. These standards are applied in the permit both as numeric and narrative limits. The standards are designed to protect aquatic species, including threatened and endangered species, and any discharge in compliance with these standards should not adversely impact any threatened and endangered species.

EPA believes effluent released in compliance with this permit will have no effect on any federally-listed threatened or endangered species or its critical habitat that may be present in the vicinity of the discharge. The treatment facility has been in existence for some time, and no new construction or modifications had been made to it due to the proposed NPDES permit. Therefore, no requirements specific to the protection of endangered species are proposed in the permit. EPA may decide that changes to the permit may be warranted based on receipt of new information. A re-opener clause has been included should new information become available to indicate that the requirements of the permit need to be changed.

#### D. Consideration of Environmental Justice (EJ) Impact

USEPA has conducted a screening level evaluation of the potential impact of this facility and other permitted facilities within the immediate area on local residents through use of USEPA's EJSCREEN tool. Specifically, USEPA used EJSCREEN to identify facilities near RNSB's Pine Hill facility that could pose risk to local residents through discharge of environmental contaminants. USEPA has also evaluated whether demographic characteristics of the population living in the vicinity of the facility indicate that the local population might be particularly susceptible to such environmental risks. The results show that, at the time of this

analysis conducted on October 8, 2015, the area in which the Pine Hill facility is located was above the 84<sup>th</sup> percentile nationally for ozone. The EJSCREEN analysis of demographic characteristics of the community living near the facility indicates the local population may be at relatively higher risk if exposed to environmental contaminants than the national population. Demographic characteristics that showed potentially sensitive scores were a high proportion of minority and low income population and population with less than high school education.

USEPA also considers the characteristics of the wastewater treatment facility operation and discharges, and whether those discharges, in combination with discharges from local ozone sources, pose exposure risks that the NPDES permit needs to further address. The Pine Hill facility is unlikely to discharge any noticeable ozone. USEPA finds no evidence to indicate the wastewater facility discharge poses a significant risk to local residents. USEPA concludes that the facility is unlikely to contribute to any EJ issues. Furthermore, USEPA believes that by implementing and requiring compliance with the provisions of the Clean Water Act, which are designed to ensure full protection of human health, the permit is sufficient to ensure the facility discharges to not cause or contribute to human health risk in the vicinity of the wastewater facility.

# XIII. <u>ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION – PUBLIC NOTICE, PUBLIC COMMENTS AND REQUESTS FOR PUBLIC HEARINGS</u>

In accordance with 40 CFR 124.10, public notice shall be given by the U.S. EPA Director that a draft NPDES permit has been prepared by mailing a copy of the notice to the permit applicant and other Federal and State agencies, and through EPA Region 9 website at: <a href="http://www.epa.gov/region09/water/npdes/pubnotices.html">http://www.epa.gov/region09/water/npdes/pubnotices.html</a>. The public notice shall allow at least 30 days for public comment on the draft permit.

In accordance with 40 CFR 124.11 and 12, during the public comment period, any interested person may submit written comments on the draft permit, and may request a public hearing if no hearing has already been scheduled. A request for public hearing shall be in writing and shall state the nature of the issues proposed to be raised in the hearing. In accordance with 40 CFR 124.13, all persons must raise all reasonably ascertainable issues and submit all reasonably available arguments supporting their position within thirty (30) days from the date of the public notice. Comments may be received either in person or mailed to:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9
NPDES Permits Section (WTR-2-3)
Attn: Linh Tran
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (415) 972-3511

Interested persons may obtain further information, including copies of the draft permit, fact sheet/statement of basis, and the permit application, by contacting Linh Tran at the U.S. EPA address, above. Copies of the administrative record (other than those which U.S. EPA maintains as confidential) are available for public inspection between 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday (excluding federal holidays).

In accordance with 40 CFR 124.12, the U.S. EPA Director shall hold a public hearing when, on the basis of requests, a significant degree of public interest in the draft permit exists. The Director may also hold a public hearing when, for instance, such a hearing might clarify one or more issues involved in the permit decision. Public notice of such hearing shall be given as specified in 40 CFR 124.10.