NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (NPDES) PERMIT FACT SHEET

This document gives pertinent information concerning the issuance of the permit listed below. This facility is a Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) with a design capacity of 18 million gallons per day (MGD). Since the facility's discharge is greater than 1 MGD, it is considered a major facility under the NPDES regulations. The effluent limitations contained in this permit are in compliance with the provisions of the Clean Water Act (CWA) as amended, (33 U.S.C. 1251 *et seq.*) and based on Water Quality Standards listed in Arizona Administrative Code (AAC.) R18-11-101 *et seq.* This permit is proposed to be issued for a period of 5 years.

Permittee's Name:	City of Mesa Utilities Department
Mailing Address:	640 N. Mesa Drive P.O. Box 1466 Mesa, Arizona 85211-1466
Plant Location:	960 North Riverview Mesa, Arizona 85201
Contact Person(s):	Jennifer Hetherington, Regulatory Compliance Program Manager (480) 644-3890
AZPDES Permit No.	AZ0024627

I. STATUS OF PERMIT(s)

The City of Mesa Utilities Department has applied for a renewal of their existing National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit to allow for intermittent and/or emergency discharges of treated domestic, commercial and industrial wastewater from the Northwest Water Reclamation Plant (NWWRP) to an outfall located on Salt River Pima Maricopa Indian Community (SRPMIC) tribal land. The previous permit expired on May 25th, 2012 and following a timely submittal of a completed application for renewal the permit has been administratively extended until issuance of the renewed permit. The NWWRP collects and treats wastewater from the northwest portion of the City of Mesa, Arizona. The City of Mesa currently has an AZPDES Permit (AZ0024031) issued by Arizona for discharge into the Salt River at locations under Arizona's jurisdiction, an Aquifer Protection Permit (APP) No. P100369 and a Reuse Permit No. R1003694

II. GENERAL FACILITY INFORMATION

The NWWRP facility is owned and operated by the City of Mesa, AZ, and is located at 960 North Riverview in Mesa, Arizona 85201, on the south side of the Salt River, adjacent to the Red Mountain Freeway between Price Road and Dobson Road in Township 1 North, Range 5 East, Section 18 North 1/2. The NWWRP collects and treats wastewater from the service area for northwest portion of the City of Mesa, constituting a population of approximately 120,000 persons. A pretreatment program is in operation for industrial contributors. The design flow the proposed permit is 18 MGD of municipal wastewater. The facility receives and treats domestic wastewater from the service area, but receives no wastewater from Significant Industrial Users. Treatment includes mechanical climber screens, grinding

pump, primary clarification, nitrification and de-nitrification via activated sludge process, secondary clarification, filtration and disinfection. Water discharged to the Salt River will be disinfected by ultraviolet (UV) light or with chlorination as a back-up in case the UV system is not in operation.

The NWWRP effluent is or can be potentially discharged to four different outfalls, namely Outfalls #002, #003, #004 and #005. Outfalls #003 and #004 discharge to locations under the jurisdiction of the State of Arizona, and are regulated by the Arizona Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (AZPDES) permit No. AZ0024031. Outfalls #002 and #005 discharge to locations on Salt River Pima Maricopa Indian Community (SRPMIC) land and are the subject of this federal permit being issued by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).

The treated effluent can either flow by gravity to the existing plant recharge basins or the existing Outfall #003. Or the effluent can flow to the effluent pump station and from there, the effluent can be pumped to the Salt River Pima Maricopa Indian Community (SRPMIC) recharge basins or the effluent distribution pipeline locate in the Red Mountain Freeway right-of-way. From the effluent pipeline, the effluent can go to the new Granite Reef Underground Storage Project (GRUSP) Discharge Point (Outfall #005) to the Hennessey Drain located on Tribal land at 33° 29' 04.63" N , 111° 44' 47.54" W. At this time Outfall #002 located on Tribal land at 33° 27' 25" N , 111° 50' 25" is not expected to be a discharge point, except as a back-up, in case discharge to Outfall #005 is impracticable for some reason. During the previous permit cycle no discharge occurred from Outfall #002. Outfall #005 was operational but the plant did not discharge much of the past several years.

III. RECEIVING WATER

The facility has four permitted effluent discharge locations, but the discharge locations that are the subject of this permit are Outfalls #005 and #002 which are located in a portion of the Salt River which is on SRPMIC tribal land. The State of Arizona has adopted water quality standards to protect the designated uses of surface waters. Streams have been divided into segments and designated uses assigned to these segments. The water quality standards vary by designated use depending on the level of protection required to maintain that use. This federal permit will apply these State of Arizona standards to protect beneficial uses and to maintain consistency of treatment requirements, as not only does the effluent discharged onto Tribal land have the potential to cross over Tribal boundaries and enter State waters, but also the two other discharge points of the NWWRP are to state lands and subject to the jurisdiction of the Sate of Arizona and its Department of Environmental Quality for permitting, and which has issued an AZPDES permit (AZ0024031) for those outfalls.

The receiving water for the treated domestic, commercial and industrial wastewater discharged from the NWWRP Outfall #002 and #005 is the Salt River in the Middle Gila watershed.

Outfall 002 is located at:	Latitude <u>33° 27'</u>	<u>25" N</u> , Longitude	<u>111° 50′</u>	25″ W
Outfall 005 is located at:	Latitude <u>33° 29'</u>	<u>05" N</u> , Longitude	<u>111° 44′</u>	48″ W

Permit No. AZ0024627 Page 3 of 13

This receiving water is not on the 303(d) list and there are no TMDL issues associated. The discharge points are both on SRPMIC tribal land. The SRPMIC does have adopted water quality standards, but these have not yet been approved by the USEPA. Therefore the USEPA is relying on standards in Arizona Water Quality Standards (18 A.A.C. Chapter 11, Article 1) for the segment of the Salt River which is included in Appendix B as a surface water in the Salt River Basin and which has designated uses of Aquatic & Wildlife (ephemeral water) (A&We), and Partial Body Contact, (PBC). Under A.A.C. R18-11-113 (D), the water quality standards that apply to Effluent Dependent Waters (EDW) will be applied to derive discharge limitations for any point source discharge of water to an ephemeral water.

Based on the considerations above, the permit has been drafted to protect the following designated uses:

Aquatic and Wildlife effluent dependent (A&Wedw) Partial Body Contact (PBC)

Given the uses stated above, the applicable narrative water quality standards are described in A.A.C. R18-11-108 and the applicable numeric water quality standards are listed in A.A.C. R18-11-109, and in Appendix A thereof. The standards for all applicable designated uses are compared and the most stringent standard is applied, thus protecting for all applicable designated uses.

IV. DESCRIPTION OF DISCHARGE

The following table summarizes the characteristics of treated wastewater discharged from the NWWRP through its existing outfalls permitted by the State of Arizona.

PARAMETER	UNITS	MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE	AVERAGE DAILY VALUE
pH (minimum)	s.u.	6.53	
pH (maximum)	s.u.	8.77	
Flow rate	MGD	10.90	7.91
Temperature (OctMar.)	°C	31.8	24.1
Temperature (AprSep.)	°C	35.0	28.1
BOD₅	mg/L	28.6	2.08
Fecal Coliform (1)	cfu/100mL	35.9	0.20

TSS	mg/L	0	0
Ammonia (as N)	mg/L	4.39	0.31
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	mg/L	3.49	1.18
Nitrogen plus Nitrite Nitrogen	mg/L	9.67	6.38
Oil & Grease	mg/L	0.2	0.03
Hardness (CaCO₃)	mg/L	280	
Chlorine (Total Residual Chlorine, TRC)	ug/L	0	0

(1) cfu is considered to be a 1:1 relationship to most probable number (MPN).

The application indicates that the removal rate for: BOD is 85%, TSS is 85%, and N is < 10mg/L.

The organics data that was submitted is extensive and is attached to the permit application. No permit violations were noted during the previous permit cycle. The organics are listed in the expanded effluent testing tables in the permit. The permit will require the permittee ensure that the laboratory use an analytical method that is lower than the effluent limitations when such levels are achievable.

V. DETERMINATION OF EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS

When determining what parameters need monitoring and/or limits included in the draft NWWRP permit, both technology-based and water quality-based criteria were compared and the more stringent criteria applied.

Technology-based Limitations: As outlined in 40 CFR Part 133:

The regulations found at 40 CFR 133 require that publicly owned treatment works achieve specified treatment standards for BOD, TSS, and pH based on the type of treatment technology available.

Numeric Water Quality Standards: As outlined in A.A.C. R18-11-109 and Appendix A:

Per 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(ii), (iii) and (iv), limits have been included in the permit for parameters with >reasonable potential= (RP), that is, those known to be or expected to be present in the effluent at a level that could potentially cause any applicable numeric water quality standard to be exceeded. The procedures used to determine reasonable potential are outlined in the *Technical Support Document for Water Quality-based Toxics Control (TSD)* (EPA/505/2-90-001).

It is assumed that RP exists for exceedance of water quality criteria for *E. coli* and total residual chlorine (TRC) when chlorine is used for disinfection. When UV is used for disinfection then there is no RP for TRC.

Permit No. AZ0024627 Page 5 of 13

DMR data was reviewed for purposes of developing the proposed permit. This data was used to calculate RP for applicable parameters, using appropriated statistical procedures.

The proposed permit limits and/or action levels were established using a methodology developed by EPA. Long Term Averages (LTAs) were calculated for each designated use and the lowest LTA was use to calculate the average monthly limit (AML) and maximum daily limit (MDL) necessary to protect all uses. This methodology takes into account criteria, effluent variability, and the number of observations taken to determine compliance with the limit and is described in Chapter 5 of the *Technical Support Document for Water Quality-based Toxics Control (TSD)* (EPA/505/2-90-001).

The limits and Action Levels in this permit were determined without the use of a mixing zone. Arizona state water quality rules require that water quality standards be achieved without mixing zones unless the permittee applies for, and is approved for, a mixing zone. Since a mixing zone was not applied for and there is insufficient water for mixing, all water quality criteria are applied at end-of-pipe, which in this case means the UV or chlorination disinfection unit discharge point.

The DMR data reviewed indicated that values for the pollutants with Action levels were below such levels. Nevertheless monitoring for these pollutants is required and Action Levels have been established to alert the permitting authority if the discharge may have the potential to exceed water quality criteria (An Action Level differs from other limits in that an exceedance on an Action Level is not a permit violation. Instead, Action Levels serve as triggers, alerting the permitting authority when there is cause for reevaluation of RP for exceeding a water quality standard, which may result in new permit limitations). In such case, the permit could be re-opened and modified to include limit(s) if the data obtained indicates RP.

Permit Limitations:

The tables that follow summarize parameters limited in the permit, the regulatory justification for their inclusion, and the associated monitoring. Also included are some parameters that require monitoring without any limitations and some parameters that have not been included in the permit at all and the basis for that decision.

Parameter	Basis	Proposed Monitoring Requirement (1)
Flow		It is proposed that flow be monitored on a continual basis using a flow meter.
BOD & Suspended Solids	Concentration Limits The concentration limits for both effluent biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) and suspended solids are: 30-day average - 30 mg/l 7-day average - 45 mg/l 30-day average percent removal: minimum 85% These technology-based limits are included in the draft permit in accordance with Secondary Treatment Standards for an activated sludge POTW found in 40 CFR ' 133.102.	Monitoring for influent and effluent BOD and TSS to be conducted once per week using composite samples of the influent and the effluent. The sample type required was chosen to be representative of the discharge. The requirement to monitor influent BOD and suspended solids is included to assess compliance with the 85% removal requirement in this permit. At least one sample quarterly when discharging must coincide with WET testing to aid in the determination of the

Permit No. AZ0024627 Page 6 of 13

Parameter	Basis	Proposed Monitoring Requirement (1)
	Mass Limits The mass limits for both BOD and suspended solids are: 30-day average - 2044 kg/day 7-day average - 3066 kg/day These limits are included in the draft permit per 40 CFR ' 122.45(d) & (f) and were calculated based on the design flow as follows: Kilograms per day = 3.785 x design flow in MGD x concentration limit in mg/L. [3.785 is the weight of one gallon of water in kilograms.] 30-day average = 3.785 * 18 MGD * 30 mg/L = 2044 kg/day 7-day average = 3.785 * 18 MGD * 45 mg/L = 3066 kg/day	cause of toxicity if toxicity is detected.
рН	pH limits are included in the draft permit to protect for the designated uses of A&Wedw, PBC, FC, AgI and AgL, in accordance with A.A.C. R18-11- 109(D). The proposed limits are: Minimum: 6.5 Maximum: 9.0 Maximum change due to discharge: 0.5	pH is to be monitored five times per week using a discrete sample of the effluent. 40 CFR Part 136 specifies that discrete samples must be collected for pH. At least one sample quarterly when discharging must coincide with WET testing to aid in the determination of the cause of toxicity if toxicity is detected. pH sampling must also coincide with ammonia sampling when required.
E. Coli (1)	Limits for E. coli are included in the draft permit to protect for the designated use of PBC of the receiving water in accordance with A.A.C. R18-11-109(A). The proposed limits are: 30-day average: 126 cfu /100 mL (4 sample minimum) Single sample maximum: 235 cfu /100 mL	<i>E. coli</i> is to be monitored once per week using a discrete sample of the effluent. The specified monitoring frequency is the minimum required to ensure compliance with the 30-day mean water quality standards. 40 CFR Part 136 specifies that discrete samples must be collected for coliform bacteria. At least one sample quarterly when discharging must coincide with WET testing to aid in the determination of the cause of toxicity if toxicity is detected.
Total Residual Chlorine (TRC)	Ultra Violet Disinfection is currently used. If UV disinfection fails, alternative disinfection may be used. Long Term Averages (LTA) were calculated for each designated use and the lowest LTA was used to calculate the average monthly limit (AML) and maximum daily limit (MDL) necessary to protect both uses. This method of limit determination is outlined in Chapter 5 of the TSD. The Arizona water quality standards for TRC are located in A.A.C. R18-11-Appendix A. The TRC water quality standards for A&Wedw are 11 ug/L, chronic and 19 ug/L acute; The TRC standard for PBC is 140,000ug/L. The A&Wedw chronic standard resulted in the lowest LTA for permit limit development. The proposed TRC limits are: Monthly average: 11 ug/L and 0.75 kg/d Daily maximum: 19 ug/L and1.29 kg/d	TRC is to be monitored five times per week as a grab sample when chlorine disinfection is used. 40 CFR Part 136 specifies that discrete samples must be collected for chlorine. At least one sample quarterly when discharging must coincide with WET testing to aid in the determination of the cause of toxicity if toxicity is detected.
	Mass TRC limits are included in the draft permit in accordance with 40 CFR '122.45(d) & (f) and were calculated as follows: Kilograms per day = 3.785 x design flow in MGD x concentration limit in mg/L. [3.785 is the weight of one gallon of water in kilograms]. Monthly average = 3.785 * 18.0 MGD * 0.011 mg/L = 0.75 kg/day Maximum Daily = 3.785 * 18.0 MGD * 0.019 mg/L = 1.29 kg/day	
Chronic WET Test (C. dubia)	Limit for Chronic WET for C, dubia was included in the ADEQ Permit issued to the City of Mesa (AZ 0024031). ADEQ established Reasonable Potential for toxicity to C, dubia. To be consistent with the ADEQ permit requirements, this limit has been established in this permit	The permit includes numeric effluent limits for WET for C. dubia to be monitored once every six months
Chronic WET Test (P. promelas)	Limit for Chronic WET for P. promelas was included in the ADEQ Permit issued to the City of Mesa (AZ 0024031). ADEQ established Reasonable Potential for toxicity to P. promelas. To be consistent with the ADEQ permit requirements, this limit has been established in this permit	The permit includes numeric effluent limits for WET for P. promelas to be monitored once every six monthsr

(1) cfu is considered to be a 1:1 relationship to most probable number (MPN).

MONITORING FOR EFFLUENT CHARACTERIZATION AND OR POTENTIAL FOR EXCEEDANCES

Parameters with no RP or with indeterminate RP are included in the permit as Action Levels to protect for the designated uses of PBC and A&Wedw. For each parameter, Long Term Averages (LTAs) were calculated for each designated use and the lowest LTA was used to calculate the average monthly limit (AML) and maximum daily limit (MDL) necessary to protect both uses. (Average monthly limits were not calculated when the lowest LTA was based on human health or agricultural standards because the numeric standards to protect these uses are not to be exceeded at the outfalls. Only daily maximum limits are used in these cases.) Monitoring for these parameters is included pursuant to 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(iii). The method of limit determination takes into account criteria, effluent variability, and the number of observations taken, and is outlined in Chapter 5 of the TSD (*Technical Support Document for Water Quality-based Toxics Control (TSD)* (EPA/505/2-90-001)). The Arizona water quality standards for these parameters are located in A.A.C. R18-11-Appendix A.

		ACTION LEVLES				
	Ма	ISS	Concent	tration		
Parameter	Monthly Avg (kg/day)	Daily Max (kg/day)	Monthly Avg (μg/L)	Daily Max (μg/L)	Basis	Proposed Monitoring Requirement
Copper	1.11	1.89	16.4	27.7	A&Wedw	Metals will be monitored quarterly using composite samples. The sample type was chosen to be representative of the discharge. Also, at least one sample per quarter must coincide with WET testing to aid in the determination of the cause of toxicity if toxicity is detected.
Ammonia	_	_	REPORT	REPORT	A&Wedw	Ammonia is a newly applied standard for the A&Wedw designated use. Even though the discharge is to waters designates as ephemeral, A.A.C R18-11- 113(D) requires effluent dependent standards to be used
Temperature			REPORT	REPORT	NA	Effluent monitoring without assessment level is required to coincide with effluent sampling for ammonia.
Hardness			REPORT	REPORT	NA	Effluent monitoring without assessment levels reduired to coincide with effluent sampling for Copper.

The permittee is required to sample effluent hardness as CaCO₃ at the same time as copper sampled because the water quality standards for copper is calculated using the effluent hardness values. For the above list of parameters, the hardness value of 238.9 mg/L (the hardness of the effluent as determined from data supplied by the permit applicant) was used to calculate the action level for copper. The action level for ammonia is now pH and temperature dependant. The permittee shall monitor for temperature and pH at the same time as it monitors for Ammonia.

The permittee shall monitor the effluent for the parameters listed in Tables 3.a. - 3.f., whether

Permit No. AZ0024627 Page 8 of 13

discharging or not. No limits or action levels are established, but the reporting level must be low enough to allow comparison of the results to the applicable water quality standards (WQS). Parameters to be monitored and reported are consistent with those found in the existing AZPDES Permit for this facility with Permit No. AZ0024031.

Whole Effluent Toxicity:

Permit levels of 1.6 chronic toxicity units daily maximum and 1.0 chronic toxicity units monthly medial are included for two test species *C. dubia* and *P. promelas* as reasonable potential to exceed permit limits was observed in the data from the previous permit cycle. Action Levels of 1.6 chronic toxicity units daily maximum and 1.0 chronic toxicity units monthly median was included for *R. subcapitata* in the proposed permit in accordance with ADEQ's *Interim Whole Effluent Toxicity Implementation Guidelines For Arizona*. Since the Mesa NWWRP is designed to discharge up to 18.0 MGD, this facility is defined by federal NPDES regulations as a major discharger. All major facilities are required to report the results of whole effluent toxicity (WET) testing on their permit application. Pursuant to the requirements of 40 CFR 122.21(j)(5), the results reported on the application must include, at a minimum, quarterly testing for the year preceding the application, using multiple species, or the results from four tests performed at least annually in the 4.5 years prior to the application, if available.

WET testing is required in the draft permit to implement the narrative toxic standard in A.A.C. R18-11-108(A)(5) and to satisfy the requirement for all major POTWS to report WET test results on their permit applications. The draft permit requires WET test results to be submitted with the discharge monitoring reports that are due following receipt of each WET test result.

Parameter	Proposed Monitoring Requirement
Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET)	WET testing for chronic toxicity shall be conducted semi-annually for <i>C. dubia</i> and <i>P.Promelas</i> and annually for <i>R. subcapitata</i> . Three composite samples are required to complete one WET test. WET sampling must coincide with testing for all of the parameters in Tables 1 and 2. of the permit to aid in the determination of the cause of toxicity if toxicity is detected. Additional procedural requirements for the WET test are included in the proposed permit.

VII. NARRATIVE WATER QUALITY STANDARDS

All applicable narrative limitations in A.A.C. R-11-108 are included in the draft permit.

VIII. ANTI-BACKSLIDING

Section 402(o) of the CWA prohibits the renewal,r reissuance, or modification of an NPDES permit which contains effluent limits less stringent than those established in the previous permit, except as provided in the statute. This permit has been reviewed and drafted with consideration of anti-backsliding concerns. All limits are at least as stringent as in the previous permit or fall under an appropriate rationale for less stringent limits under the CWA.

IX. ANTI-DEGRADATION

EPA's antidegradation policy at 40 CFR 131.12 and Arizona's regulations at A.A.C.R 18-11-107 require that existing water uses ad the level of water quality necessary to protect the existing uses be maintained.

Since the issuance of the 2007 NPDES permit, the discharger has not changed the design capacity of the plant. However since the issuance of the 2007 NPDES permit, Arizona has revised its water quality standards and pursuant to A.A.C.R. at 18-11-113 D. water quality standards that apply to an effluent-dependent water shall be used to derive water quality-based effluent limits for a point source discharge of wastewater to an ephemeral water. Thus even though the receiving segment of the Salt River is classified in Appendix B. of the A.A.C.R. as an ephemeral water, the the standards now applicable to discharges from this permit are the effluent-dependant water quality standards which are more protective than in the previous permit.

As described in this permit and fact sheet, the permittee is permitted to discharge wastewater that meets effluent limits and is required to comply with monitoring requirements that ensure that all applicable water quality standards are met. The permit does not include a mixing zone; therefore, these limits will apply at the end of pipe without consideration of dilution in the receiving water. Water quality standards are written to protect all designated uses of the waterbody, in this case the Salt River. Since the permittee is expected to comply with all limits in the permit, the effluent should not have a negative, degrading effect on the receiving waterbody. Priority pollutant scans have and will continue to be conducted, demonstrating and ensuring that pollutants will be discharged below limits and in the majority of cases, below detection levels. Due to these factors, it is expected the quality of the effluent will match or exceed the current water quality and that the discharge will have no negative, or de minimis negative effect on the receiving waterbody.

X. MONITORING.

Section 308 of the Clean Water Act and 40 CFR Part 122.44(i) require that monitoring be included in permits to determine compliance with effluent limitations. Additionally, monitoring may be required to gather data for future effluent limitations or to monitor effluent impacts on receiving water quality. Monitoring frequencies are based on the nature and effect of the pollutant, as well as a determination of the minimum sampling necessary to adequately monitor the facility=s performance. The permittee is responsible for conducting and reporting results to EPA Region 9 and on DMRs or as otherwise specified in the permit.

For purposes of this permit, each A24-hour composite@ sample shall require a minimum of four samples taken six hours apart over a 24-hour period. The four samples taken over 24 hours shall be of equal volumes of not less than 100 mL each. (The contracted analytical laboratory may specify larger volumes.) These criteria for composite sampling are included in order to obtain samples that are representative of the discharge given the potential variability in the duration, frequency and magnitude of discharges from this facility. Grab samples are specified in the permit for parameters that for varying reasons are not amenable to compositing. Monitoring under this permit is authorized to be performed immediately past the UV or chlorine disinfection unit or at the point of discharge for outfall #002 or #005, provided effluent quality is the same at both outfalls.

XI. PRETREATMENT AND SEWAGE SLUDGE REQUIREMENTS

Sewage sludge use or disposal practices, generator's responsibilities and annual reporting requirements are incorporated in the draft permit. With an 18 MGD discharge, this permittee is required to have a pretreatment program. The permittee already has an approved pretreatment program, which will be updated or modified as per the requirements which are incorporated in the draft permit.

XII. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

Toxicity Identification Evaluation (TIE) and Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) Processes:

Requirements for follow-up testing if an action level is exceeded in WET testing, and the development of a TRE and/or TIE to identify, control or eliminate the cause of toxicity within an approved time-frame are included in the draft permit. These special conditions are required to ensure that toxicants are not discharged in amounts that are toxic to organisms [A.A.C. R18-11-108(A)(5)]. A re-opener clause is included in accordance with 40 CFR Parts 122 and 124.

Permit Renewal/Re-application Requirements:

Samples required to be reported in a reapplication for continued discharge after the expiration date of this permit have been included in the permit. A list of required pollutants to be sampled, sample type, how many samples must be taken, and the required time frame for taking these samples is provided in Tables 3.a. through 3.f. in the permit. This information is included in the permit to help ensure that the application requirements in 40 CFR Part 122 are met and will be used in future RP determination efforts.

XIII. PERMIT REOPENERS

This permit may be modified per the provisions of 40 CFR Part 122.62 This permit may be reopened based on newly available information; to add conditions, or limits to address demonstrated effluent toxicity; to implement any EPA-approved new Arizona water quality standard (as downstream State water quality standards); or to re-evaluate reasonable potential (RP), if Action Levels in this permit are exceeded.

XIV. STANDARD CONDITIONS

Conditions applicable to all NPDES permits are included in accordance with 40 CFR, Part 122.

XV. THREATENED AND ENDANGERD SPECIES

The Endangered Species Act (ESA) allocates authority to and administers requirements upon Federal agencies regarding threatened or endangered species of fish, wildlife, or plants and habitat of such species that have been designated as critical. Its implementing regulations [50 CFR Part 402] require

Permit No. AZ0024627 Page 11 of 13

Federal agencies such as the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure, in consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), that any action authorized, funded or carried out by EPA is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any Federally-listed threatened or endangered species or adversely affect critical habitat of such species. [40 CFR 122.49(c)]. Since the issuance of NPDES permits by EPA is a Federal action, consideration of a permitted discharge and its effect on any listed species is appropriate.

Implementing regulations for the ESA establish a process by which Federal agencies consult with one another to ensure that the concerns of both the USWFS and the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) (collectively "Services") are addressed. EPA requested and obtained information regarding threatened and endangered species found in Maricopa County from the USFWS, and requested input on its proposed permit from the Service and others as part of the public notification and comment process.

The proposed NPDES permit authorizes the discharge of treated wastewater in conformance with federal treatment regulations and contains provisions for monitoring conventional, toxic chemicals, and non-conventional pollutants in compliance with the Federal and Arizona State water quality standards, to ensure an appropriate level of quality of water discharged by the facility. These standards are applied in the permit as both numeric and narrative limits.

Since the standards themselves are designed to protect aquatic species, including threatened and endangered species, any discharge in compliance with these standards should not adversely impact any threatened and endangered species.

While EPA believes that discharge in compliance with this permit will have no effect on threatened or endangered species and is proposing to issue the permit at this time. EPA may decide that changes to the permit may be warranted based on receipt of new information and EPA will initiate consultation should new information reveal impacts not previously considered, or should the activities affect a newly-listed species. Re-opener clauses have been included in the permit should new information become available to indicate that the requirements of the permit need to be changed.

XVI. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Public Notice (40 CFR Part 124.10)

The public notice is the vehicle for informing all interested parties and members of the general public of the contents of a draft NPDES permit or other significant action with respect to an NPDES permit or application. The basic intent of this requirement is to ensure that all interested parties have an opportunity to comment on significant actions of the permitting agency with respect to a permit application or permit. This permit will be public noticed in a local newspaper.

Public Comment Period (40 CFR Part 124.10)

Regulations require that NPDES permits be public noticed in a daily or weekly newspaper of general circulation within the area affected by the facility or activity and provide a minimum of 30 calendar days for interested parties to respond in writing to EPA. After the closing of the public comment period, EPA is required to respond to all significant comments at the time a final permit decision is reached or at the same time a final permit is actually issued.

Permit No. AZ0024627 Page 12 of 13

Public Hearing (40 CFR Part 124.12 (c))

A public hearing may be requested in writing by any interested party. The request should state the nature of the issues proposed to be raised during the hearing. A public hearing will be held if the Director determines there is a significant amount of interest expressed during the 30-day public comment period, or if significant new issues arise that were not considered during the permitting process.

XVI. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Additional information relating to this draft permit may be obtained from:

USEPA Region IX Water Division- NPDES Permits Office Attn: Gary Sheth 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

Or, by contacting Gary Sheth at (415) 972-3516

XVIII. INFORMATION SOURCES

While developing effluent limitations, monitoring requirements and special conditions for the draft permit, the following information sources were used:

1. NPDES Permit Application Forms 1 and 2A, received October 20, 2011, along with supporting data, facility diagram and maps submitted by the applicant with the application forms.

2. List of Threatened and Endangered Species from USFWS Website at www.fws.gov/southwest.es/EndangeredSpecies/lists/ListSpecies.cfm

- 3. ADEQ files on Northwest Mesa Water Reclamation Plant and the permit and fact sheet for AZ0024031
- 4. Arizona Water Quality Standards for Surface Waters, Title 18, Chapter 11, Article 1. Adopted March 2, 2002
- 5. Title 18, Chapter 9, Article. Arizona Pollutant Discharge Elimination System rules.
- 6. 40 CFR Parts 122, 124 and 133.
- 7. 40 CFR, Part 503, Sludge Regulations.
- 8. EPA Technical Support Document for Water Quality-based Toxics Control dated March 1991.

- 9. U.S.G.S. National Mapping Information Website.
- 10. U.S. EPA NPDES Permit Writers' Manual, September 2010.