DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION
Interim Final 2/5/99
RCRA Corrective Action
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)
Current Human Exposures Under Control

Facility Name: **CONCOA – Controls Corporation of America**
Facility Address: **1501 Harpers Road Virginia Beach, Virginia 23454 USA**
Facility EPA ID #: **VAD098442148**

1. Has **all** available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, groundwater, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been **considered** in this EI determination?

   ☒ If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.

   ☐ If no - re-evaluate existing data, or

   ☐ if data are not available, skip to #6 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code.

BACKGROUND

The manufacturing facility at the site consists of a 120,000 square foot building. The facility manufactures precision gas controls.

The facility property covers 19 acres; a total of 7 acres of the site is developed. The Site is bounded to the north by Naval Air Station Oceana, to the east by an apartment complex, and to the south and west by relatively undeveloped land. The site is relatively level with elevations between 15 and 20 feet above sea level.

Approximate geographic coordinates are Latitude: 36° 47' 48" N by Longitude: 76° 00' 59" W.

The manufacturing of precision gas controls started at the site in 1978. The facility started operations as a Division of Airco. In 1987, the Company facility was purchased from Airco and the facility became Controls Corporation of America.

The CONCOA facility purchases and manufactures various components, and assembles the various components into gas control products, which are sold to various industries. Typical customers include: laser cutting companies, welding companies, laboratories, pharmaceutical companies, scientific companies, etc.

The facility operates an eight hour shift five days per week, with a small skeleton crew working a second shift to meet production, and operation and maintenance (O & M) needs.

The facility manufacturing includes machinery, tool and die equipment used for manufacturing of components on-site. Components are also assembled and tested on-site. The facility also has an electronics lab Class 100 "clean room."

The facility water supply is from the City's Public Water Supply (PWS) and the industrial and sanitary wastewaters from the facility are discharged to the Hampton Roads Sanitation District (HRSD) under a pretreatment Permit issued by the HRSD. The facility has an extensive pretreatment system of the industrial wastewaters prior to discharge to HRSD.

An air permit was applied for in 1995 listing the following emission sources: heating units, Lacquer spraying, degreasing operations and an oil/water separator.

The facility indicated that a production well was located on the site; groundwater from the well is only used in the manufacturing process.

The facility has no history of reported spills or unpermitted releases to the environment from their operations.

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Current Human Exposures Under Control
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be **"contaminated"**[1] above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

	Yes	No	?	Rationale / Key Contaminants
Groundwater		X		
Air (indoors) [2]		X		
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)		X		
Surface Water		X		
Sediment		X		
Subsurf. Soil (e.g., >2 ft)		X		
Air (outdoors)		X		

☒ If no (for all media) - skip to #6, and enter "YE," status code after providing or citing appropriate "levels," and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these "levels" are not exceeded.

☐ If yes (for any media) - continue after identifying key contaminants in each "contaminated" medium, citing appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing supporting documentation.

☐ If unknown (for any media) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):

The facility is currently a large quantity generator (LQG) of hazardous waste under the RCRA Regulations. The facility operated a container storage facility hazardous waste management unit (HWMU) under Interim Status under RCRA from November 19, 1980, to February 1, 1985, the date of approval of the "clean closure" of the HWMU by the Virginia Department of Health (VDH), predecessor to the VDEQ.

The facility manages hazardous waste generated at the site from various facility operations, which include, but are not limited to: corrosive solids/filter press cake sludge generated from an on-site wastewater treatment system used to treat wastewater from a chromating process, oil/water separators, floor sweepings, parts cleaners, waste flammable liquids, waste cleaners, etc. These various generated hazardous wastes are placed and managed in containers in satellite accumulation areas and are then transferred to less than 90-day waste accumulation areas in accordance with the requirements of the RCRA Regulations under 40 CFR § 262.34. The hazardous wastes are subsequently sent off-site to a RCRA treatment storage and disposal (TSD) facility regulated under the RCRA Regulations and/or are sent off-site for recycling and reclamation in accordance with the RCRA.

The facility has no history of reported spills or unpermitted releases to the environment from their operations, HWMUs, or Solid Waste Management Units.

Supporting documentation can be found in the December 24, 2008, Final RCRA Site Visit Report for CONCOA.

Footnotes:

[1] "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

[2] Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

Current Human Exposures Under Control
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

Potential **Human Receptors** (Under Current Conditions)

"Contaminated" Media	Residents	Workers	Day-Care	Construction	Trespassers	Recreation	Food[3]
Groundwater							
Air (indoors)							
Soil (surface, e.g., <2 ft)							
Surface Water							
Sediment							
Soil (subsurface e.g., >2 ft)							
Air (outdoors)							

Instructions for Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table:

1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not "contaminated" as identified in #2 above.

2. enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media -- Human Receptor combination (Pathway).

Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces ("___"). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary.

☐ If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) - skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in-place, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet to analyze major pathways).

☐ If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation.

☐ If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):

[3] Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

Current Human Exposures Under Control
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

4. Can the **exposures** from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be **"significant"**[4] (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable "levels" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable "levels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?

☐ If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."

☐ If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."

☐ If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code

Rationale and Reference(s):

[4] If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

Current Human Exposures Under Control
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

5. Can the "significant" **exposures** (identified in #4) be shown to be within **acceptable** limits?

☐ If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing and referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).

☐ If no - (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable")- continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.

☐ If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):

Current Human Exposures Under Control
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

6. Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI (event code CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility).

☒ YE - Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures" are expected to be "Under Control" at the **CONCOA – Controls Corporation of America** facility, EPA ID # **VAD098442148**, located at **1501 Harpers Road Virginia Beach, Virginia 23454**. under current and reasonably expected conditions. This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency/State becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.

☐ NO - "Current Human Exposures" are NOT "Under Control."

☐ IN - More information is needed to make a determination.

Completed by (signature) SIGNED Date 3/17/09
Denis M. Zielinski
Senior RPM

Supervisor (signature) SIGNED Date 3/17/09
Luis Pizarro
Associate Director, Office of Remediation
EPA Region III

Locations where References may be found:

US EPA Region III
Land & Chemicals Division
1650 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103

Contact telephone and e-mail numbers
(name) Denis M. Zielinski
(phone #) 215-814-3431
(e-mail) zielinski.denis@epa.gov