



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
REGION IX  
75 Hawthorne Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

JAN 08 2009

Via Certified Mail:  
7007 2680 0000 3974 1970  
Return Receipt Requested

Clayton Beck  
Operator  
Macsteel Service Centers USA  
P O Box 1050  
Stockton, CA 95201

Subject: Findings of Violations and Order for Compliance  
EPA Docket No. CWA-309(a)-09-008

Dear Mr. Beck:

On March 20, 2008, representatives of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region (Regional Board) conducted a Clean Water Act compliance inspection at Macsteel Service Center USA's Port of Stockton Facility. On July 17, 2008, EPA sent you a copy of the inspection report and requested that you install Best Management Practices (BMPs) and make revisions to the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) and Monitoring Program Plan (Monitoring Program). On September 15, 2008, Macsteel Service Centers USA responded with a list of corrective actions it had taken. The response indicated that improved BMPs were implemented on storm drains 6, 7 and 8; however no mention was made of BMPs for the remaining storm drains on site. EPA noted during the inspection that storm drains were covered by stockpiles of steel and the storm drains were thus inaccessible to conduct visual observations.

Based on the inspection and on Macsteel Service Center USA's response, EPA today issues the enclosed Findings of Violation and Order for Compliance requiring Macsteel Service Center USA to take specific actions to bring the Facility into compliance with the Clean Water Act, including the following:

1. Revise the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan for the Facility to fully comply with California's General Industrial Activities Storm Water Permit (Industrial Permit). The SWPPP must fully describe all industrial activities, describe all associated BMPs and include inspection reports, monitoring records and annual reports required by the General Permit;

2. Prepare and submit a Monitoring Program that fully complies with the Industrial Permit; and
3. Complete several additional tasks identified in the Order, within the time specified.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Rick Sakow of my staff at (415) 972-3495.

Sincerely yours,

Alexis Strauss, Director  
Water Division

Enclosures

cc: Nova Clemenza, Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board  
Richard Aschieris, Port of Stockton  
Jeff Wingfield, Port of Stockton





propose to discharge storm water into waters of the United States. Pursuant to 40 CFR § 122.26(a)(1)(ii) and 122.26(c), dischargers of storm water associated with industrial activity are required to apply for an individual permit or to seek coverage under a promulgated storm water general permit.

4. General Warehousing and Storage, Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) 4225, falls under SIC Major Group 42 and, pursuant to 40 CFR § 122.26(b)(14)(xi) is an industrial activity subject to the discharge and permitting requirements under Section 402 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342.
5. Section 308(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1318(a), and its implementing regulations authorize EPA to, inter alia, require the owner or operator of any point source to establish records, make reports, or submit other reasonably required information, including individual and general NPDES permit applications.
6. Pursuant to Section 308(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1318(a), and 40 CFR § 122.21, any person who discharges or proposes to discharge storm water associated with industrial activity must submit an application for an NPDES permit 180 days prior to commencing industrial activity.
7. The State of California has an EPA-approved NPDES program, and issues permits, including storm water permits, through its State Water Resources Control Board (State Board) and nine Regional Water Quality Control Boards (Regional Boards). Since 1991, the State Board has adopted two successive statewide NPDES general permits for discharges of storm water associated with industrial activity. The permit that is currently effective, General Permit No. CAS000001/Water Quality Order No. 97-03-DWQ (General Permit) was adopted on April 17, 1997.
8. All facility operators seeking coverage under the General Permit must submit a notice of intent to comply (NOI) to the State Board 14 days prior to commencing industrial operations. Industrial storm water dischargers that do not submit an NOI must submit an application for an individual NPDES permit. (General Permit, Provision E(1), pg. 6 and Attachment 3 to the General Permit.)
9. The General Permit requires facility operators to develop and implement a storm water pollution prevention plan (SWPPP) prior to commencing industrial operations. (General Permit, Section A(1)(a), pg. 11). The purpose of the SWPPP is to identify sources of industrial storm water pollution and to identify and implement site-specific best management practices (BMPs) to control discharges.
10. The SWPPP must include, inter alia, a narrative description of the storm water BMPs to be implemented at the facility for each potential pollutant and its source as well as a site map (or maps) that identifies: (a) facility boundaries and an outline of facility drainage areas, (b) the storm water collection and conveyance system, (c) an outline of impervious

areas, (d) locations where materials are directly exposed to precipitation, and (e) areas of industrial activity. (General Permit, Section A(4), pg. 12, 13 and Section A(8), pg. 17.)

11. Facility operators must reduce or prevent pollutants associated with industrial activity in storm water discharges and authorized non-storm water discharges using best available technology economically achievable (BAT) for toxic pollutants and best conventional pollutant control technology (BCT) for conventional pollutants. (General Permit, Effluent Limitation B(3), pg. 4.)
12. Facility operators must develop a written monitoring program, and must conduct quarterly visual observations of non-storm water discharges, monthly visual observations of storm water events, and prescribed storm water sampling and analysis. (General Permit, Section B(1), (3), (4), and (5), pg. 24-27.) In addition, facility operators must submit an annual report to the Regional Board that summarizes visual observations and sampling and provides a comprehensive site compliance evaluation. (General Permit, Section B(14), pg. 35.) A copy of the written monitoring program as well as records of inspections, steps taken to reduce or prevent discharges, and the annual report must be maintained for at least five years and must be available at the facility for review by facility employees or inspectors. (General Permit, Section B(13), pg. 34.)
13. The Port of Stockton (Port) is a division of the City of Stockton, a municipality and a "person" under CWA Section 502(5), 33 U.S.C. § 1362(5). The majority of the property within the Port's boundaries is owned by the Port and leased to tenants operating in various industrial categories. The remaining properties are privately owned and operated.
14. The Port does not assume responsibility for compliance with storm water requirements at any of its tenant facilities. Therefore, industrial operators within the Port's boundaries must independently obtain coverage under the General Permit.
15. Macsteel Service Centers USA (Macsteel or Respondent) is a California corporation, licensed to do business in California and is thus a "person" under CWA Section 502(5), 33 U.S.C. § 1362(5).
16. Macsteel operates a facility within the Port's boundaries, located at 14 West Washington Street at Port Road 23, Stockton, California (Facility). Respondent is engaged in general warehousing and storage at the Facility, an industrial activity classified under SIC 4225.
17. Respondent submitted an NOI to the State Board. The State Board assigned Waste Discharge Identification (WDID) Number 5S39I019811 to Respondent for the Facility on August 7, 2005.
18. Rainfall events at the Facility that exceed 0.1 inches are generally sufficient to generate storm water runoff. Data from the Stockton Metro Airport Weather Monitoring Station, approximately six miles from the Facility, indicate that there were approximately 90 days

with more than 0.1 inches of rainfall at the Facility from December 7, 2005 through September 1, 2008.

19. Storm water runoff at the Facility discharges through storm drains located near and within the Facility to the San Joaquin River. The storm drains are owned by the Port and are part of its municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4). The Facility's storm drains and the Port's MS4 are "point sources" as defined by Section 502(14) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(14).
20. The storm water discharged from the Facility contains "pollutants," including industrial waste, as defined by Section 502(6) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(6), and is storm water discharge associated with industrial activity as defined by EPA regulations in 40 CFR § 122.26(b)(14).
21. The San Joaquin River is a "navigable water" within the meaning of Section 502(7) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(7), and a "water of the United States" as defined by EPA regulations in 40 CFR § 122.2.
22. Portions of the San Joaquin River have been listed under Section 303(d) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1313(d), as an impaired water body for low dissolved oxygen, electrical conductivity, selenium, and mercury among other pollutants.
23. On March 20, 2008, a representative of EPA, accompanied by Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board staff, inspected the Facility to evaluate Respondent's compliance with the General Permit. The inspection report is attached and incorporated by reference, and contains evidence that Respondent:
  - a. Failed to develop a complete SWPPP, as required by the General Permit (General Permit, Section A, pg. 11-23), including:
    1. Failed to include the responsible parties, as required by the General Permit (General Permit, Section A(3), pg. 12); and
    2. Failed to develop an adequate site map, as required by the General Permit (General Permit, Section A(4), pg. 12).
  - b. Failed to implement adequate BMPs at the Facility as required by the General Permit (General Permit, Section A, pg. 11-23), including:
    1. Failed to implement adequate BMPs to prevent sediment and other pollutants from entering the storm drain inlets.
  - c. Failed to comply with the Monitoring Program and Reporting Requirements (Monitoring Program) of the General Permit (General Permit, Section B, pg. 24-45), including:
    1. Failed to visually observe all drainage areas within the facility for the presence of unauthorized non-storm water discharges (General Permit, Section B(3)(a), pg. 25); and
    2. Failed to visually observe and collect samples of storm water discharges from all drainage areas that represent the quality and quantity of the facility's storm



water discharges from the storm event. (General Permit, Section B(7)(a), pg. 28.)

24. On September 15, 2008, Respondent submitted documentation indicating that it had implemented BMPs to protect storm drain inlets 6, 7 and 8 per EPA's inspection report findings.
25. Based on the foregoing, EPA has determined that Respondent has violated the CWA as follows:
  - a. Respondent's failure to develop and implement an adequate SWPPP while engaged in industrial activity at the Facility violated the General Permit (General Permit, Provision E(2), pg. 6, and Section A, pg. 11-23), which was issued pursuant to Section 402 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342, and thus violated Section 301(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a);
  - b. Respondent's failure to develop and implement adequate BMPs at the Facility violated the General Permit (General Permit, Effluent Limitation B(3) pg. 4), which was issued pursuant to Section 402 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342, and thus violated Section 301(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a); and
  - c. Respondent's failure to develop and implement an adequate Monitoring Program while engaged in industrial activity at the Facility violated the General Permit (General Permit, Provision E(3) pg. 6, and Section B, pg. 24-45), which was issued pursuant to Section 402 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342, and thus violated Section 301(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a).

### **ORDER FOR COMPLIANCE**

Considering the foregoing Findings of Violations and the potential environmental and human health effects of the violations, EPA has determined that compliance in accordance with the following requirements is reasonable. Pursuant to the authority of Section 308 and 309 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1318 and 1319, it is hereby ordered that Respondent comply with the following requirements:

26. Immediately upon receipt of this Order, Respondent shall take all necessary measures to fully and properly comply with all terms and conditions of the General Permit.
27. Within 15 days of receipt of this Order, Respondent shall submit a certified statement indicating the date of its commencement of industrial activities at the Facility.
28. Within 15 days of receipt of this Order, Respondent shall submit to EPA a photocopy of the SWPPP currently in use at the Facility. Respondent shall include any and all inspection reports, monitoring records, and annual reports required by the General Permit. If no such reports or records exist, Respondent shall state that no such records exist.

29. Within 15 days of receipt of this Order, Respondent shall submit to EPA a photocopy of the SWPPP as it existed on March 20, 2008. Respondent shall include any and all inspection reports, monitoring records, and annual reports required by the General Permit. If no such reports or records exist, Respondent shall state that no such records exist.
30. Within 21 days of receipt of this Order, Respondent shall complete interim cleanup and housekeeping measures (Interim Measures) for all of the Facility's areas of industrial activity, including but not limited to:
  - a. Implement measures to allow access to storm drain inlets in order to conduct visual observations of all storm drain inlets; and
  - b. Adequately protect and maintain all storm drain inlets to prevent the discharge of pollutants in stormwater runoff.
31. Within 21 days of receipt of this Order, upon completion of the Interim Measures, Respondent shall submit a Notice of Completion to EPA, which shall include a list of the Interim Measures taken, the date each Interim Measure was completed, the person(s) responsible for overseeing completion of each Interim Measure, and copies of documents associated with the completion of the Interim Measures, such as contracts, permits, manifests and photographs.
32. In performing the Interim Measures, care shall be taken to ensure they do not cause or contribute any runoff to waters of the United States, the municipal storm drains, or the municipal sewer system. Care shall be taken to ensure compliance with all applicable federal, state, and local waste storage and disposal requirements.
33. Within 45 days of the receipt of this Order, Respondent shall submit a revised SWPPP to EPA that fully complies with the General Permit. The SWPPP revisions shall include, among all other required elements:
  - a. A facility site map, including all storm drain inlets and direction of flow;
  - b. A listing of all potential pollutant sources and appropriate BMPs to prevent discharge of pollutants in storm water runoff; and
  - c. A signature and certification for the SWPPP by Respondent's appropriate representative.
34. Within 45 days of the receipt of this Order, Respondent shall submit a revised written Monitoring Program to EPA that fully complies with the General Permit.
35. Within 45 days of the receipt of this Order, Respondent shall submit a report to EPA on the costs associated with: development of the revised SWPPP, projected incremental annual costs associated with implementation of the revised SWPPP, development of the revised Monitoring Program, projected incremental annual costs associated with implementation of the revised Monitoring Program, and any other costs associated with complying with this Order.



36. All reports submitted pursuant to this Order must be signed by a principal executive officer, ranking elected official, or duly authorized representative of Respondent (as specified by 40 CFR § 122.22 (b)(2)) and shall include the following statement:

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, I certify that the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

37. All submissions requested by this Order shall be mailed to the following address:

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9  
Clean Water Act Compliance Office WTR-7  
75 Hawthorne Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
Attention: Rick Sakow

All telephone inquiries should be made to Rick Sakow, Enforcement Officer, at (415) 972-3495.

38. Respondents shall send a copy of all submissions required under this Order to:

California Regional Water Quality Control Board – Central Valley Region  
11020 Sun Center Drive, Suite 200  
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670  
Attention: Nova Clemenza

39. This Order shall be binding upon Respondent and its officers, directors, agents, employees, heirs, successors, and assigns.
40. This Order is not a permit under the CWA, and does not waive or modify Respondent's obligation and responsibility to ascertain and comply with all applicable federal, state, or local laws, regulations, ordinances, permits, or licenses.
41. This Order is not to be deemed an election by EPA to forgo any remedies available to it under the law, including without limitation, any administrative, civil, or criminal action to seek penalties, fines, or other appropriate relief under the Act. EPA reserves all rights and remedies, legal and equitable, available to enforce any violations cited in this Order and to enforce this Order.

42. Requests for information contained within this Order are not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under the Paperwork Reduction Act because it is not a "collection of information" within the meaning of 44 U.S.C. § 3502(3). It is directed to fewer than ten persons and is an exempt investigation under 44 U.S.C. § 3518(c)(1) and 5 CFR § 1320.4(a)(2).
43. Respondent may not withhold from EPA any information on the grounds that it is confidential business information. However, EPA has promulgated, under 40 CFR Part 2, Subpart B, regulations to protect confidential business information it receives. If legally supportable, a claim of business confidentiality may be asserted in the manner specified by 40 CFR § 2.203(b) for all or part of the information requested by EPA. EPA will disclose business information covered by such claim only as authorized under 40 CFR Part 2, Subpart B. If no claim of confidentiality accompanies the information at the time EPA receives it, EPA may make it available to the public without further notice.
44. Section 309(a), (b), (d) and (g) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(a), (b), (d) and (g), provides administrative and/or judicial relief for failure to comply with the CWA. In addition, Section 309(c) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(c), provides criminal sanctions for negligent or knowing violations of the CWA and for knowingly making false statements.
45. This Order shall become effective upon the date of receipt by Respondent.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Alexis Strauss, Director  
Water Division

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date



# EPA NPDES Industrial Stormwater Compliance Inspection Report

## Section A: National Data System Coding

NPDES										Permit ID Number									
C	A	S	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	S	3	9	I	0	1	9	8	1	1
SIC CODE																			

## Section B: Facility Data

Name and Location of Facility Inspected <b>Macsteel Service Centers USA 14 West Washington Street Stockton, CA 95203</b>		Entry Time/Date <b>3/20/2008 1:30 p.m.</b>	Permit Effective Date <b>10/7/2005</b>
		Exit Time/Date <b>3/20/2008 2:45 p.m.</b>	Permit Expiration Date
Name(s) of On-Site Representatives <b>Clayton Beck</b>		Title(s) <b>Operator</b>	
Name, Address of Responsible Official		Title	
Receiving Water / MS4		Phone Number <b>(209) 943-3223</b>	Contacted: YES ___ NO ___

## Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)

S	Permit	S	Records/Reports	M	Facility Site Review		Effluent/Receiving Waters	M	Self-Monitoring Program
---	--------	---	-----------------	---	----------------------	--	---------------------------	---	-------------------------

## Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments (Attach additional sheets if necessary)

SWPPP was signed by a current operator, Clayton Beck, on 10/11/1999. Owner listed Regal Steel Supply, Inc. According to Mr. Beck, Macsteel purchased Regal Steel in 2004. Macsteel continued to use the Regal Steel SWPPP without updating or addressing BMPs. No inspection logs. Site map does not contain all stormdrains. Does not indicate BMPs.

All accessible stormdrains on the site are fitted with filter fabric which were not maintained and were inundated with sediment. EPA was unable to review all the stormdrains as some of them are under stockpiles of steel.

The samples are not representative of what is coming off the site because they sample out on the road rather than at the point of discharge from the facility.

Stormdrains on the road also inundated with sediment.

EPA advised Mr. Beck to update the SWPPP, sample from near one the Macsteel stormdrains, and perform maintenance on the BMPs.

Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) <b>Ann Murphy</b> 	Agency/Office/Telephone <b>US EPA Region 9 Clean Water Act Compliance Office (WTR-7) (415) 972-3640</b>	Date <b>7/11/2008</b>
Signature of Reviewer <b>Jeremy Johnstone</b> 	Agency/Office <b>US EPA Region 9 Clean Water Act Compliance Office (WTR-7) (415) 972-3499</b>	Date <b>7-11-08</b>



Photo Log

Image No.	Description
DSCN0654	Stormdrain. Crushed rock as a BMP is not effective. No sock. Facing west. Signs of water in the surrounding soil.
DSCN0655	Stormdrain sock, inundated with sediment. Facing east, stormdrain is south of the office.





