

Speaking the CFO's Language: Building the Case for Energy Efficiency with Financial Decision-Makers

October 1, 2013 3:00-4:00 PM EDT



Overview and Agenda

- The Building Upgrade Value Calculator (USAA Real Estate Company)
- Finance is Our Friend (Kohl's)
- Funding Higher Education Energy Efficiency (University of California, Irvine)
- Additional Resources
- Question & Answer Session





Today's Presenters

Name	Organization
Brenna Walraven	USAA Real Estate Company
Tari Emerson	Kohl's
Wendell Brase	University of California, Irvine





Brenna Walraven Managing Director, Head of Property Operations USAA Real Estate Company



- Organization Type
 - Commercial Real Estate



USAA Real Estate Company

- Barrier
 - Difficulty garnering approval for investments in building energy efficiency due incomplete understanding of financial and other benefits
- Solution
 - Developed a tool to convert the financial and non-financial value of energy efficiency upgrades into metrics that are meaningful in a financial and business context
- Outcome
 - More projects funded leading to increased portfolio-wide energy savings; improved energy efficiency; increased asset value, net operating income, and tenant satisfaction





Policies

- Corporate commitment to continuous process improvement approach drives ongoing efforts to refine, innovate and make changes when needed for improved financial and environmental performance
- Opportunities for energy efficiency/sustainability retrofits are assessed as part of normal underwriting when making investments in properties

Process

- The USAA Real Estate Company Operations team needed a better process for converting the expected results of energy improvements and retrofits into meaningful metrics for financial decision makers.
- Created an Excel-based software tool to help property managers (PMs) and asset managers (AMs) to understand, analyze, and communicate these financial benefits when planning and proposing energy improvement projects
- Partnered with EPA's ENERGY STAR[®] program and the Building Owners and Managers Association International (BOMA) to further enhance the tool





Tools & Resources

Outreach

- The Building Upgrade Value Calculator (BUVC) tool can be used to calculate key financial metrics such as: energy cost reduction, simple payback, internal rate of return (IRR), return on investment (ROI), net present value (NPV), and the potential impact on asset value
- Also summarizes financing details (as appropriate) and indicates potential impact on ENERGY STAR score
- The tool generates a summary letter containing all calculated values, and this letter can be presented (and edited to tailor) to financial decision makers as part of a project proposal/recommendation

No.

- Tool hosted on EPA's ENERGY STAR website
- Worked with BOMA to add a link and description of the tool on BOMA's website,
- Included information on the tool in speaking and training efforts, such as the BOMA Energy Efficiency Program (BEEP)
- Made all property managers aware of the tool; offered trainings and encouraged its use in the development of project proposals
- Operations Team regularly works with property managers and asset managers to tailor the tool to meet specific company or investment criteria, and to reinforce the benefits of tool and its analysis.





Buil	ding Upgrade	e Value Calculator	Use Sample		
For Office Properties			Glossary		
ENERGY STAR	ERGY STAR Version 1.0				
The Building Upgrade Value Calculator allows practitioners to analyze the financial value of capital investments in energy efficiency measures in commercial real estate. Enter the inputs below and select the "Calculate" button to determine the investment's financial and energy benefits. This tool presents the results in two ways: a printable report that summarizes the financial and energy results, and a letter that you can modify and use to make a compelling business case to fund the investment.					
Prope	erty Information	Financial Information			
Property Name Square Footage Annual Utility Bill		Analysis Term (years) 1 Discount Rate 8: Capitalization Rate 6:			
Energy P Energy Efficiency	Project Information	If Financing. Loan Period (in years) Number of Loan Payments (per year) 1 Interest Rate 83			
Measure	Cost Annual Savings	Calculate Clear			
Sub Total	\$0 \$0				
Additional Annual Savings for Labor and Supplies					
ENERGY STAR Rating					
Rebates (if any)					





🔂 Buil	ding Upgrac	le Value Calculator	Use Sample	
For Office Properties			Glossary	
RGY STAR	/ STAR Version 1.0			
he Building Upgrade Value Calculator allows practitioners to analyze the financial value of capital investments in energy efficiency leasures in commercial real estate. Enter the inputs below and select the "Calculate" button to determine the investment's financial and nergy benefits. This tool presents the results in two ways: a printable report that summarizes the financial and energy results, and a letter nat you can modify and use to make a compelling business case to fund the investment.				
Prope	erty Information	Financial Information		
Property Name Square Footage Annual Utility Bill	FBI Chicago Regional Headquarters 429,728 \$826,440	Analysis Term (years) 10 Discount Rate 8% Capitalization Rate 6%	D 6 6	
Energy F	Project Information	If Financing, Loan Period (in years) Number of Loan Payments (per year) Interest Rate	2 2 6	
Energy Efficiency Measure	Cost Annual Savings			
Garage Lighting Retrofit	\$273,191 \$46,197	Calculate Clear		
Sub Total	\$273,191 \$46,197			
Additional Annual Savings for Labor and Supplies	\$35,679			
ENERGY STAR Rating	95			











LICAA	U SAA REAL ESTATE COMPANY
USAN	
January 25, 21	
Re Energy Ef	iciency Upgrade Recommendation & Analysis
Tray,	
Chicago FB11 for the FB1 Cl the following	imperty Managamian has performed a positiminary financial analysis of energy performance improvements icago Regional Office using the US EPA's Building Upgrade Value Calculator, and would like to present information regarding capital investments that will improve the overall performance of this property.
• Oer	ge Lighting Retrofit
The estimated our calculation	cost of the investment would be \$165,175 or \$0.39 per square foot, after applicable rebates. Based upon a, we estimate that these investments would result in the following projected financial outcome:
• Ann	ual anergy savings of \$46,197
 Ann Total 	aal labor and supplies savings of \$35,679
• Sim	pleperback period of 2.05 years
· Ret	m on Investment of 49%
 Nat. 	Present Value of \$281,217
 Inter 	nal Rate of Return of 48%
If all of these of of \$81,876. U Regional Offic	avings were to flow to the bottom line, they would expresent a potential increase in Net Operating Income ling the income approach to value, this translates into the potential addition of \$1,164,600 to FBI Chicago a's anest value, at a capitalization rate of 7%.
We also estim margy perform margy perform investments in a building sin energy perform	ate that the improvements would result in a small increase to the FBI Chicago Regional Office's national ance ming of a 95. This ming provide a comparison, against the national average, of a boilding's ance, and cas zerve as the foundation for a strategic approach to weary management that will optimize smargy efficiency. The enting system accounts for the impacts of year-to-year weather variations, as well a, location, and serveral operating characteristics to make a more objective and comparable assessment of ance.
I also want to the grant agree and completio	make you sware that in order for us to receive the \$105,000 in grant funding, we must approve and sign mant that is located in section 3 of the proposal booklet. These are time restrictions for grant acceptance a of the project.
Finally, I have due to the ener move forward Unofficially, I	included an attachment on potential fieldeal tax credits that may be captured by completing this project gy savings, our status as an LLC, and that our building is fully occupied by a fidentil sesant. If we wave to with the work, accounting could follow up with way and all tax deductions that may be achievable, have been told that this amount could equal up to \$50,000 dollars.
Therefore, we Office's even	recommend and request approval for these energy efficiency measures to improve FBI Chicago Regional I performance. Please contact me if you have any further quantions.
l Thank Yeu,	
Rick Pospiail	
	NoteCenter U.S. Region 2111 Viz Robertet Road. Chicago, N. Kileba





	ing opgrade	value Galculato	Use Sample	
rgy	For Office P	roperties	Glossary	
RGY STAR	Version 1.0			
he Building Upgrade Value Calculator allows practitioners to analyze the financial value of capital investments in energy efficiency neasures in commercial real estate. Enter the inputs below and select the "Calculate" button to determine the investment's financial and nergy benefits. This tool presents the results in two ways: a printable report that summarizes the financial and energy results, and a letter hat you can modify and use to make a compelling business case to fund the investment.				
Propert	y Information	Financial Information		
Property Name Square Footage Annual Utility Bill	Perimeter 177,185 \$288,731	Analysis Term (years) Discount Rate Capitalization Rate	10 8% 6%	
Energy Pro	ject Information	If Financing, Loan Period (in years) Number of Loan Payments (per year) Interest Rate	0 12 8%	
Energy Efficiency Measure	Cost Annual Savings			
Light timers in elevators	\$4,919	Calculate Clear		
Building wide lighting retrofit	\$145,599			
	\$50,450			
Sub Total	\$157,967 \$50,450			
Energy Efficiency Measure Occupancy sensors Light timers in elevators Building wide lighting retrofit Sub Total Additional Annual Savings for Labor and Supplies ENERGY STAR Rating	Cost Annual Savings \$4,919 \$7,449 \$145,599 \$50,450 \$157,967 \$50,450 60	Calculate Clear		

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF



ENERGY STAR	Building Upgrade V Financial Re	alue ^{sults}	e Calculator	Back Glossary Print	
According to the U.S. EF For the energy efficient property would:	According to the U.S. EPA, investing in energy performance can improve the financial performance of commercial real estate. For the energy efficiency measures you entered, EPA estimates that if all the benefits were to flow to the bottom line, your property would:				
	 Reduce annual operating expense by: Improve net operating income by: Enhance asset value by: 	s s	50,450 50,450 840,833	Generate Letter	
Finar Net Investment Cost Net Investment Cost per SF Simple Payback Period (SF Return On Investment (ROI Net Present Value (NPV) Internal Rate of Return (IRR Potential Impact on Net Op Potential Impact on Asset V	S 157,967 S 0.89 2P) 3.13 years 32% 3180,557) 30% erating Income (NOI) \$ 60,450 /alue \$ 840,833				
Energy Project Summary					
ENERGY STAR Rating Annual Energy Cost Annual Energy Cost per SF	Before Upgrade After Upgra 60 5 \$ 288,731 \$ 1.63	de 73 281 .34	Estimated Savings 13 points \$ 50,450 \$ 0.28		





September 17, 2013

Trey Guajardo Asset Manager 9830 Colonnade Blvd., Suite 600 San Antonio, Texas 78230

Re: Energy Efficiency Upgrade Recommendation & Analysis

Dear Trey:

David Barros has performed a preliminary financial analysis of energy performance improvements for Perimeter using the US EPA's Building Upgrade Value Calculator, and would like to present the following information regarding capital investments that will improve the overall performance of this property:

- Occupancy sensors
- Light timers in elevators
- Building wide lighting retrofit

The estimated cost of the investment would be \$157,967 or \$0.89 per square foot, after applicable rebates. Based upon our calculations, we estimate that these investments would result in the following projected financial outcomes:

- Annual energy savings of \$50,450
- Total annual savings of \$50,450 or annual operating expense savings of \$0.28 per square foot
- Simple payback period of 3.13 years
- Return on Investment of 32%
- Net Present Value of \$180,557
- Internal Rate of Return of 30%

If all of these savings were to flow to the bottom line, they would represent a potential increase in Net Operating Income of \$50,450. Using the income approach to value, this translates into the potential addition of \$840,833 to Perimeter's asset value, at a capitalization rate of 6%.

We also estimate that the improvements would result in increasing the Perimeter's national energy performance rating from 60 to 73, which represents a 13 point improvement. This rating provides a comparison, against the national average, of a building's energy performance, and can serve as the foundation for a strategic approach to energy management that will optimize investments in energy efficiency. The rating system accounts for the impacts of year-to-year weather variations, as well as building size, location, and several operating characteristics to make a more objective and comparable assessment of energy performance.

Therefore, we recommend and request approval for these energy efficiency measures to improve Perimeter's overall performance. Please contact David Barrow to discuss this analysis and these proposed improvements. We look forward to speaking with you.

Sincerely,

Senior Property Manager





Measuring Success

- Biggest way to measure the success is in the form of more approvals for energy investments
- Also know we're getting team members to think about energy efficiency differently – more strategically and in terms that support financial success for our assets and portfolio

Outcomes

- USAA Real Estate Company has steadily improved its energy efficiency as measured by:
 - Asset Level ENERGY STAR Ratings improvements
 - Asset Level Energy Consumption reductions
 - Portfolio Level ENERGY STAR Rating improvements
 - Portfolio Level Energy Consumption reductions
 - ENERGY STAR Leaders improvement





Tari Emerson, P.E. Director of Capital Projects and Energy Kohl's Department Stores





diam'r.

Embedding Finance into Sustainability

Barrier

- Receiving sustained corporate funding for energy efficiency projects
- Solution
 - Strengthen the relationship between the Finance and Energy team
- Outcome
 - Created an emerging technology budget and Financial Analyst liaison







How Did They Do That?

Process

- Filled an open position on the Energy team with an embedded member of the Finance Department
- Analyst reported to Finance, but was physically seated with the Energy Team
- Analyst worked to enhance communication and understanding between the two departments
 - Communicated project benefits in financial terms
 - Wrote all Capital Expense Requests
 - Performed project analyses, budgeting and forecasting
 - Introduced us to a statistical analysis tool to identify, evaluate and select portfoliowide efficiency projects





Measuring Success: Transparency and Sharing of Data

- Finance tracked energy consumption and costs to demonstrate results of energy reduction projects
- Provided Finance access to bill payment system to track utilities
- The data used to validate projects ROIs







Outcomes and Benefits

- Decreased approval time for energy efficiency projects
- Increased credibility
- Established an 'emerging technologies budget'
- Extended practice of embedding Finance team members within departments across the company





Wendell Brase Vice Chancellor, University of California, Irvine Chair, UC Climate Solutions Steering Group



Key Factors Behind Most Successful Programs

Factors Driving EE Investment

- Senior management and board commitment
- Carbon policy goals
- Strategic plan and implementation plan
- Dedicated capital source
- Simple criteria for proposed projects
- Incentives help!





Obtaining Senior Management Commitment

- To speak the CFO's language, consider the following strategies:
 - Propose projects with a track record of consistent, assured savings in comparable climates, organizations, and facilities
 - Cite, but don't over-emphasize or overstate, secondary benefits (beyond utility savings)
 - Take a portfolio, rather than project-by-project, approach





University of California Carbon Policy Goals

- 2014 Reduce GHG emissions to 2000 levels
- 2020 Reduce GHG emissions to 1990 levels
- As soon as feasible carbon neutral



Biological Sciences 3 was 30% more efficient than California energy code when completed in 2008. After a Smart Labs retrofit in 2011, the building realized a 53% reduction in building systems energy use (HVAC and lighting).





Strategic Plan and Implementation Plan







Dedicated Capital Source



http://www.energydataweb.com/cpucFiles/pdaDocs/908/Statewide_Institutional_IOU_Energy_Efficiency_Partner ship_Assessment%20Final%20Draft.pdf





Simple Criteria

- Prioritize "deep energy efficiency" projects
- Require debt-coverage ratios for project approval
 - 1.15 for "passive" retrofits
 - 1.4 for complex, new technology retrofits

The California Institute for Telecommunications and Information Technology was 20% more efficient than California's energy code when completed in 2004. After a Smart Labs retrofit in 2011, the building realized a 58% reduction in building systems energy use (HVAC and lighting).







Other Important Factors

- Leadership grasp of true scale needed
- Access to debt financing
- Deep energy efficiency more important than fast payback



Engineering Hall was 30% more efficient than California's energy code when completed in 2009. After a Smart Labs retrofit in 2011, the building realized a 69% reduction in building systems energy use (HVAC and lighting).





Additional Resources



BBC Implementation Models

USAA Real Estate Company

- Implementation Model
- Building Upgrade Value Calculator
- Kohl's
 - Energy Finance Strategy
- University of California, Irvine
 - Strategic Plan
 - Implementation Plan
 - Program Assessment
 - List of "Home Run" Projects





Question & Answer Session



Join Us for the Next Better Buildings Webinar

- Fight Amongst Yourselves: Intra-organization Energy Efficiency Competitions
 - Tuesday, November 5, 2013, 3:00-4:00 PM EST
 - Eloisa Portillo-Morales (City of El Paso)
 - Susan Rochford & Paul Cannata (Legrand)
 - Mike Zatz (U.S. EPA)

https://www4.gotomeeting.com/register/378128055





Additional Questions? Feel Free to Contact Us

betterbuildingswebinars@ee.doe.gov

Today's	Brenna Walraven USAA Real Estate Company	Tari Emerson Kohl's			
Presenters	Wendell Brase University of California, Irvine				
DOE Program Leads	Holly Carr DOE, Better Buildings Challenge <u>Holly.Carr@EE.Doe.Gov</u>	Kristen Taddonio DOE, Better Buildings Alliance Kristen.Taddonio@EE.Doe.Gov			
Program Support	Andrew Schulte ICF International andrew.schulte@icfi.com	Kate George ICF International <u>katherine.george@icfi.com</u>			



