

### Innovative Industrial Projects: Successful Approaches to Reducing Electricity Consumption

Tuesday, May 10 11:15 – 12:30 pm



ATT////

## Electricity-saving Projects Continue to Provide Reliable Savings

- We have seen upwards of 30% savings in industrial systems
- Today we will explore three successful projects undertaken by leading companies
- Let's keep this an open and interactive discussion







## Average Consumer Price of Natural Gas





3

Reference – US Energy Information Administration



## Average Retail Price of Electricity

cents per kilowatthour



- United States - United States : residential - United States : commercial - United States : Industrial

eia Source: U.S. Energy Information Administration

4



Reference – US Energy Information Administration



## **Presentations From:**

Toyota – Dan Cooper



CEMEX – Bhaskar Dusi



 Texas Instruments – Michael Braby
 Texas Instruments









### **Using VFDs to Drive HVAC Energy**

Dan Cooper PE Facility Engineering, Toyota



## Using VFDs to Drive HVAC Energy

May 10<sup>th</sup> 2016 Dan Cooper

Better Buildings<sup>®</sup>



## Intro to Toyota North America

Camry Camry Hybrid



Highlander Highlander Hybrid 🏹



Avalon Avalon Hybrid



Corolla



#### Lexus RX 350/450h/ ES350



Sienna





Tacoma



Tundra

Sequoia

RAV4



Venza



## HVAC Energy at Toyota



## Approx. 40K HP (30 MW)

9

Target HVAC energy by:

- 1. Optimizing run time (non production)
- 2. Reducing air flow



## Fan Affinity Laws

### Basic fans laws:

 Flow varies directly with fan speed
 Pressure varies with square of fan speed
 Power varies with cube of fan speed



2.5 Hz = 44 HP 4% reduction in fan speed results in reduced HP (50 HP)

## **Current Operation**



- 1. Design temperatures
- 2. Building set points are 81° F summer and 65° F winter.
- 3. Units operate M-F, setback on weekends

## Savings Concept

### Current condition – Constant volume **Fan Air Flow** 100% cfm Current 100 % **Reduction opportunity** Opportunity – Σ Щ Spring & Fall 40% cfm reduction Non production opportunity Winter 50% cfm Summer - Fall - Winter - Spring reduction Non production time 20%-60% cfm reduction 13



179,632 MMBTU Energy Reduction

## **BBBP Showcase Project**



#### Showcase Project: Fan System Upgrade

#### SECTOR TYPE

Industrial

LOCATION Georgetown, Kentucky

PROJECT SIZE 7,300,000 Square Feet

FINANCIAL OVERVIEW \$1.25 Million

Plant Electricity HVAC

#### Annual Plant Electricity Use

Baseline (2015)	
	450,000 MWh
Expected (2016)	
	438,300 MWh
Actual	
	Coming Soon

**Electricity Savings:** 

2.6%

## ΤΟΥΟΤΑ

#### Annual Plant Electricity Cost



## **TMMK VFD Project**

Objective: Reduce HVAC energy consumption

Scope: Install VFDs on 163 HVAC

Method: Seasonally adjust set points







## Issues / Challenges

Fan Motor

Age of units
 Motor compatibility
 Line/Load Reactors
 Integration



### Reactors





Building Mgmt. System





## **Project Savings**

Total kwh reduction: 11,715 MWh Total savings: \$702K

Project cost: \$1.2M

Simple payback: 1.8

Total HP: 8898

# HVAC Units: 163



## Future Opportunity

• Reduce speed during non production





## Summary

1. Energy opportunity with <2 year payback

- 2. Started as a pilot , replicated to other plants
- 3. M&V confirm energy savings



Additional benefits:

- 1. Reduced maintenance belt replacement
- 2. Improve control of building balance
- 3. Ability to monitor and adjust system performance

## Thank You

## Questions / Comments ?

## **Compressed Air**







### "Air Over the Fence": A new concept for Optimization of Compressed Air Systems

Bhaskar Dusi, P. Eng., C.E.M, C.E.A Corporate Energy Manager, CEMEX, Inc.



## "Air Over the Fence": A new concept for Optimization of Compressed Air Systems

Bhaskar Dusi Corporate Energy Manager CEMEX, Inc.

Tuesday, May 10, 2016

Better Buildings Summit Washington D.C



## A Global Building Materials Company



- Presence in 50 countries o >44,000 employees
- Annual production capacity of
  - o 94.8 million metric tons of cement
  - o 55 million cubic meters of ready-mix concrete
  - 159 million metric tons of aggregates
- Operate globally
  - o' 57 cement plants
  - 1,899 ready mix concrete facilities
  - o 371 aggregate quarries
  - 221 land-based distribution centers
  - o 69 marine terminals
- U.S. operations in 33 states
  - o 13 cement manufacturing facilities
  - o 355 ready-mix concrete plants
  - o 77 aggregate quarries
  - o 46 cement terminals



% worldwide product sales 2012

### **Typical Cement Plant Energy Consumption**



**Energy Expenditure (US \$)** 

CEMEX

### **CEMEX Experiences**



- Structured approach for Energy Management" has helped us in
  - ✓ Formalizing our Energy Management Program
  - ✓ Organizing our energy teams
  - ✓ Maintaining focus
  - ✓ Sustaining momentum
- What distinguishes CEMEX as an industry leader in energy efficiency?
  - ✓ Commitment to smart energy management
  - ✓ Ability to measure and track progress
  - Efforts to communicate the importance of energy efficiency to a wide audience
  - ✓ Recognize achievements

### **Real Cost of compressed Air**





### Energy Cost represents approximately 70% of Real Costs

### **Compressed Air Savings potential**





### Air Over the Fence : Background



- CEMEX started an initiative in 2005 to review the way in which CEMEX procured it's compressed air
- Traditionally just bought equipment and CEMEX to maintain
- CEMEX's Global Sourcing department along with Commodity
- Team reviewed the opportunity to change the focus to buying 'compressed air over the fence'
- In 2006 the first 'Air Over the Fence' contract was signed for the Hidalgo Plant in Mexico. Since then the program has been rolled out to new projects and other existing operations all over the globe

### Strategy



- Air Over the Fence Strategy offers a good potential to reduce compressed air unit costs and supplier base. The strategy followed involve:
  - To see Air Requirements as a Total System instead of an isolated solution.
  - ✓ A reliable compressed air system in terms of quantity, quality and availability of air.
  - To focus on minimizing the highest operational cost which is Energy, and to reduce the equipment maintenance costs, spare parts, inventories, etc.
  - ✓ Air over the fence possibilities, as a main change to operate air compressed systems in CEMEX.

### **Objective and System Proposal**



### Objective

To reduce total compressed air costs in CEMEX through equipment improvement and outsourcing of compressed air through an "air utility" similar to paying for water or electricity.

Another important benefit is to avoid allocating / spending CAPEX in auxiliary equipment.

### Structure of Outsourcing Model

### Analysis

- Air Demand Analysis. Establish a baseline from current conditions
- RFP / Proposals. Proposal submission and evaluation



- Award mid/long term contract to supplier.
- Operation. Supplier installs and operates system
- Monthly fee payment



### **Follow up**

- kWh savings guarantee follow up
- Periodic air leaks audits and repairs



### **Expected Savings**

- Energy Consumption Reduction.
- Zero Maintenance Cost. Supplier is responsible for its own equipment. Spare parts inventory reduction.
- CAPEX Avoidance. Supplier owns equipment, CX possibly needs to invest in minor modifications

### Expected Benefits

- Air Quality and Quantity guarantee
- Reliability. Air demand and energy consumption online monitoring
- System improvement. Air leak audits
- Right to purchase equipment at the end of the agreement at book or market value
- CO2 Tons Emissions. Footprint reduction

### Technology

- Operation Efficiency: systems designed to operate centrally controlled compressors, where
  possible, at full load to provide stable system pressure and air quality, while reducing energy
  consumption
- Centralized Control (24/7): Online & SMS monitoring ensuring prompt response when needed
- Supply Guarantee: Through a back-up compressor and adequate maintenance, supplier assures compressed air delivery. Supplier subject to penalty in case of failure to deliver air
- Periodic Leak Audits: Performed to the piping system for the plant to take corrective actions, and minimize costly air leaks

### **CEMEX "AOF" Installations in US**



- 6 plants with 8 lines of production are in operation under AOF
  - ✓ 1 production line is new line started about 6 months back
  - ✓ 1 production line is green field start and in operation for about 7 years
  - $\checkmark\,$  2 production lines are running for 6 years
  - $\checkmark~2$  production line is running for 5.5 years
  - $\checkmark~2$  production lines are running for 5 years
  - ✓ 2 plants are under review for possible AOF implementation

### **Savings Results**



Air Over the Fence (AOF)							
	Prior AOF		After	AOF	Savings		
Plant	Avg kWh/month	Avg CF/month	Avg kWh/month	Avg CF/month	%	Total kWh	Tons CO2
A	613,193	141,609,600	489,539	165,623,313	20%	7,542,909	5,374
В	617,043	109,663,996	327,018	108,676,695	47%	17,879,898	12,738
С	526,136	156,964,617	440,366	161,440,273	16%	6,604,272	4,134
D	1,152,752	309,492,655	900,383	320,161,503	22%	19,937,184	12,479
E	796,907	218,421,548	673,563	248,842,922	15%	8,510,718	3,306
F	1,438,655	198,000,000	840,921	284,426,989	42%	5,379,604	3,833

Compressed Air Energy Cost reduced from 18.5 kW/ 100 CFM to 17 kW/ 100 CFM

### **Savings Follow up and Review**

#### **CEMEX / KAESER Monthly Report**

#### Plant Name: Contract Start: 03/02/2015

		Prior to SAU Installation					Annually Contracted Am	ounts
kWH*	CF minimum	CF maximum	CF average	CO2 Tons*		kWH saved	CF min	CO2 Tons *
1,438,655	2,248,171,200		1,124,085,600	800,821			2,376,000,000	
*kWH/month			CF/month	*not in contract		2,376,000,00 198,000,000 CF/	00 CF basic - 3,564,000,0 month basic, 5,000 CFM o	00 CF max per annum continuous
*kWH equivalent								
		12 month Rolling Profile			CF excess	\$5.75	per 100,000CF above 2,33	76,000 CF
	kWH	kWH equivalent decrease	CF	CF decrease	above (below)	Tetel	Deduction since start a	f Contro at
start 03/02/15		(increase)		(increase)	contract basic	<u>10ta</u>	Reduction since start o	rcontract
Δnr-1								
May-15	5					кмн	5 379 604	7
Jun-15	<b>5</b> 680.316	758.339	228.241.216	(134,567,416)	30.241.216		0,010,0001	<b>_</b>
Jul-15	659.806	778.849	223.592.776	(129,918,976)	25.592.776	CF (increase)	(1.716.778.70	D
Aug-15	806,957	631,698	254,361,669	(160,687,869)	56.361.669	(	() -) -) -	
Sep-15	<b>5</b> 871,701	566,954	298,747,257	(205,073,457)	100,747,257	CO2 Tons	3833	7
Oct-15	<b>5</b> 893,060	545,595	306,531,505	(212,857,705)	108,531,505			-
Nov-15	<b>5</b> 1,007,660	430,995	344,231,546	(250,557,746)	146,231,546			
Dec-15	<b>5</b> 893,993	544,662	303,827,257	(210, 153, 457)	105,827,257	Savings pe	r month to date based o	n kWH decrease
Jan-16	<b>6</b> 839,694	598,961	284,270,033	(190,596,233)	86,270,033	Electrical cost	0.55	kWH*
Feb-16	<b>6</b> 915,100	523,555	316,039,642	(222,365,842)	118,039,642	7,079.74 <b>\$/month</b>	\$32,875.35	* to be confirmed as of 03/13/1
Mar-16	6							
Apr-16	5							
May-16	š							
Year to date	7,568,287	5,379,604	2,559,842,901	(1,716,778,701)	777,842,901			
Since startup	7,568,287	5,379,604	2,559,842,901	(1,716,778,701)	777,842,901	17	.7 <b>6441</b>	
Performance sinc	e Startup					Monthly Points of Interest		
Since startup Mav	30th the Kaeser	SAU supply has averaged 644	1 cfm at 17.7 kW	/100cfm		February consumption averaged	7080 cfm, this exceeds	7

February consumption averaged 7080 cfm, this exceeds basic continuous supply of 5000 cfm and is a result of all compressors being available, including backup. 

### **ENERGY STAR Partner Teaming profile**





#### Industrial SPP / Partner Teaming Profile

#### Service/Product Provider

Kaeser Compressors, Inc P.O. Box 946 Fredericksburg, VA 22404

Business: Air Compressor Wholesaler Michael Camber Marketing Services Manager Phone: 540-834-4520 Email: michael.camber@kaeser.com CEMEX

Industrial Partner

920 Memorial City Way, Suite 100 Houston, TX 77024

Business: Building Materials Bhaskar Dusi Corporate Technical Energy Manager Phone: 713-722-2961 Email: <u>bhaskar.dusi@cemex.com</u>

#### Kaeser's Sigma Air Utility cuts compressed air energy by 28.5% for CEMEX in Louisville, KY

#### Project Scope

Kaeser provided a complete air system designed and built to meet CEMEX's needs. Kaeser operates and maintains the air system, and CEMEX pays a fixed monthly cost for compressed air as a utility.

#### Project Summary

In designing the Sigma Air Utility for CEMEX, Kaeser conducted an initial plant walkthrough, an Air Demand Analysis, and provided a compressed air system proposal that guarantees performance, supply, and energy savings for the contract period. The equipment supplied included high efficiency stationary rotary screw compressors (with EPAct compliant motors), clean air treatment equipment, and an air distribution network designed for low pressure drop. Compressors and dryers are controlled by Kaeser's Sigma Air Manager (SAM), which optimizes equipment efficiency.

 Energy Savings Estimated annual energy reduction of 28.5%

Investment

No capital investment required by CEMEX; company pays fixed monthly cost for compressed air supply for the length of its contract.

Financial Return

The yearly energy savings pays for at least 40% of the total annual compressed air supply cost. Furthermore, the customer assessed additional savings in maintenance and overhead costs.

Other Benefits

In addition to being the most energy efficient system possible, Sigma Air Utility guarantees air at the right pressure and quality for reliable plant operations and better product quality. It retains working capital for other projects, and the fixed monthly costs make for more accurate budgeting.

#### Monitoring & Verifying Energy Savings

Kaeser monitors compressors and system components through SAM. SAM features data storage hardware and analysis software to record operating trends and energy consumption, enabling verification of energy savings initially projected, and detection of new usage patterns that can be further optimized.

#### Distinguishing Value



### **AOF Installations**





### **Before**



### **AOF Installations**





**Before** 

<u>After</u>

### Recognition





#### The Wright Flyer Archived Editions

subscribe to our

Virtual Newsroom click here to

Classifieds



electricity FAIRBORN - The local CEMEX USA cement plant in decreased electric power consumption used for compressed air by more than haif, earning the company

a \$226,000 rebate from Dayton Power & Light (DP&L). The decrease in electricity consumption also reduces the amount of CO2 emitted into the atmosphere annually by more than 1,475 metric tons.

CEMEX USA's retate is the largest that DP8L has paid to date as part of its energy efficiency programs offered to customers to meet Ohio's energy efficiency largets to reduce electricity consumption by 22 percent by the end of 2025.

CLMLX's 1 anbom plant replaced a compressed an system that consisted of two compressor varying horsepower operating 24 hours a day and consuming more than a projected 4.48 mill klowalt hours (kWh) annually. Five new, more efficient 200 horsepower units how serve the p compressed air needs on significantly less electricity (2.04 million kWh annually for an expect

### **Fairborn**



Septembe

Submitted photo

CENEX Factorin Plant Manager Alberto C (from left) and CENEX Engineer Caleb Ru a rebute check from DPAI 's Manager of F Dfficiency Programs, Stefanie Campbell.

M Success: CEMEX

"Energy efficient production was our goal, and TVA's Major Industrial Program helped us make it a reality." - Astonio De Luca (Plant Manager, CEMEX, Keosville, TN)

#### Compressed Air System Replacement (2009)

CEMEX is a global building materials rempary that provides high quality products and reliable service in easimners and come throughout the Americas, Europe, Africa, the Middle East, and Asia.

- 155 kW Demand Reduction .
- 1.900.000 kWh Annual Energy Reduction .
- \$15,900 Incentive .
- \$83,500 Annual Energy Savings



energyright 🕅



### **Questions & Answers**



### Thank you



## Help Make a Difference. Conserve energy wherever you can!

# Cleanrooms







### Cleanroom Air System Efficiency: Reducing Energy Consumption at Texas Instrument's South Bldg.

Michael Braby Energy Services Technical Lead, Texas Instruments



## **Cleanroom Air System Efficiency**

### Reducing Energy Consumption at Texas Instrument's South Bldg.

### **Presented By: Mike Braby**

Based on work by project manager Alexander Vega & South Building facilities team.



## **Cleanroom Air System Efficiency**

- Background
  - Purpose of System
  - System Design
  - Efficiency Opportunities?
- Improvement Plan
  - Design
  - Potential Issues
- Results
  - Energy Saved
- Summary & Questions



## **Clean Room HVAC Functions**

- The central idea in clean room environment conditioning is to tightly control a number of variables: Temperature, Humidity, Pressure, as well as submicron airborne particles are all important.
- A clean room HVAC system accomplishes these functions using two primary components.
  - Make-up Air Handling Units
  - Recirculating Air Units



VLF Plenum





## **Fab Air Circulation Cycle**





## **South Building Air System Design**

- Single level fab
- Modular VLF design.
- Direct Drive Fans.









## **Opportunity to Improve Efficiency**

- Average HEPA face velocity at the start of the project was measured at 95 fpm.
- If air flow is used to control particle then more is better, right?
- Not necessarily, more air flow can lead to turbulence which can cause localized particle problems.
- Moving all that air costs \$.
- Goal: Minimize the amount of a particle and temperature specif impact to worker comfort.
- Based on results from other loc • achieve our goals.
- How to get there?





50

## **Air Flow Reduction Approach**

- Before the project started, we had 19 of 52 VLFs in the two areas that were already off-line for energy savings.
- To achieve a lower flow of 50 fpm, it was estimated that an additional 9 VLFs could be turned off.
- Why not just go ahead and idle the remaining fans?











## **Problems**

 Coil and Fan configuration eliminates cooling capacity when fan is turned off

- "NO FAN, NO COIL"

- Turning off adjacent fans causes air flow balance issues
- Diminished cooling capacity and lack of balanced airflow ultimately affects fab temperature, tools, and people comfort
- Fan Rotation program is difficult to maintain, fans that remain off for extended periods of time develop flat bearings and shafts
- Turning off fans eliminates system redundancy



– Loss of N+1



## **Proposed Solution**

- Install Variable Frequency Drives to slow down the fans to desired speed.
- Expect power savings to be proportional to the cube of fan speed.
- $\frac{1}{2}$  speed = 1/8 power.



$$\begin{aligned} \textbf{CASE 1: } & N_{old} = N_{new} \\ \textbf{CFM}_{new} &= \left(\frac{RPM_{new}}{RPM_{old}}\right)^1 \textbf{CFM}_{old} \\ P_{new} &= \left(\frac{RPM_{new}}{RPM_{old}}\right)^2 P_{old} \\ \textbf{BHP}_{new} &= \left(\frac{RPM_{new}}{RPM_{old}}\right)^3 \textbf{BHP}_{old} \end{aligned}$$



53

## **Scope of Work**

- Retrofit existing MCC buckets to fusible disconnects
- Build unistrut racks and install 52 VACON 100 VFDs
- Install 4 Hirschman Network Switches and tie all VFDs into network for monitoring
- Evaluate, design, build, and install cabinets for 52 Sine Wave filters to support VFDs
- Modify SCADA screens to show new configuration, setup alarming and feedback
- Decrease average velocity to 50 fpm per procedure outlined in DFAB CCB



## Why use Sine Wave Filters?

- Found Multiple electrical runs within one conduit from pipe space down to ground floor level
- Reduce risk of electrical induced bearing failure
  - Cleanroom wall removal required to access motors
- Cleans PWM waveforms generated by Variable Frequency Drives (VFDs).
- Eliminates high frequency content and voltage peaks
- Could easily not test power quality at motor





## **Project Results**

- No major issues with VFD installations. Drives were installed and commissioned on a fan by fan basis over the course of two months.
- Other than testing operating range during commissioning, drives were left at 60 Hz after installation until all drives were installed.
- Once all drives were ready and individually commissioned, speed was reduced incrementally while monitoring particle counts.
- During the course of speed reduction, it was noted that some locations started having issues with air flow laminarity even though particle counts remained good.
- Further review determined that air flow issues were being caused by underfloor obstructions. Flow reductions halted before reaching targeted 50 fpm, but did still result in substantial savings.



## **Pre Project State**



32 of 52 VLFs running

Drives running are at 60 Hz and drawing average of 16 Amps.

Average HEPA face velocity = 95 fpm.

Total Power = 383 kw.







### 52 of 52 VLFs running @ 45 Hz

Drives running are drawing average of 7.9 Amps.

Average HEPA face velocity = 80 fpm.

Total Power = 307 kw. 76 kW reduction from original state.



58

## **Particle Counts & Room Impact**



- Both bays remained in spec and actually showed an improvement in 0.1μ and 0.2μ counts.
- No impact on temperature and humidity.
- No worker complaints or concerns.



## **Project Summary**

- Achieved 76 kw (20%) power reduction. Equal to 665,760 kwh per year.
- Did not achieve full goal of reducing air flow to 50 fpm, but the VFDs provide the flexibility to continue to optimize and reduce energy further in the future.
- Less time required by operations to manage rotation of equipment. Will equalize run time between fans.

Approximate Costs						
	Description	Qty	Extended Price			
N	/acon 100 15 HP Drives	52	\$52,000.00			
	Sine Wave Filters	53	\$51,000.00			
Mechanica	al & Electrical Installation	1	\$73,000.00			
	Controls	1	\$71,000.00			
	Commissioning	1	\$10,000.00			
	Total		\$257,000.00			



## Questions?

M-braby1@ti.com



## **Open Discussion - Questions**





## Thank you!

# Enjoy the Remainder of the Summit!

