

Superior Energy Performance for Energy Efficiency Program Administrators



Outline

- Background
 - Existing Industry Energy Efficiency Portfolios
 - Barriers to Energy Management System Implementation
 - DOE Voluntary Industrial Programs
 - Better Plants
 - Superior Energy Performance
- Strategic Energy Management Business Case
- DOE Tools and Resources
- Return on Investment
- Awareness and Implementation
- Testimonials and Key Takeaways



Superior Energy Performance

BACKGROUND



Existing Industrial Energy Efficiency Portfolios

- Industrial sector has largest energy savings potential for all major energy-using sectors in the U.S.*
- Wide variation exists in offerings to customers
 - Savings targeted: equipment, operational or both
 - Segmentation: same approach for all C&I customers, or industry-specific marketing and implementation
 - Account executive training: wide range of specialized efficiency training
 - Marketing: customer-initiated or administrator-initiated/targeted
- Many administrators are providing services to customers but services may not be comprehensive or sustained
- Administrators may be concerned about exhausting the easily achievable targets and are in need of for tools to identify and comprehensively address the needs of this market segment
- SEP can deliver deeper and continuous energy savings, especially for large industrial customers receiving services

* Glatt and Schwentker 2010



- Shortages
 - Information regarding the benefits, costs, and risks of energyefficiency investments
 - Dedicated energy management staff and systems
 - Support/resources from top management
 - Time and effort required to implement an EnMS
 - Ability to connect energy use with production
- Competing commitments time and funding
- Bias toward lower first cost vs operating costs
- Verified energy performance improvement undervalued

How does SEP help to address these barriers?



DOE's Better Plants

Corporate-wide Recognition Aspirational Focus: Pledge to improve energy performance by 25% in the next 10 years

Superior Energy Performance

Facility-level Certification Achievement Focus: Energy performance improved 5% or more over past 3 years or 15% or more over past 10 years

Better Plants Helps SEP Participants

- Provides structure for corporate-wide energy efficiency goals
- Fosters replication of SEP at other facilities
- Helps individual plants to accelerate energy savings that contribute toward corporate goal
- Provides rigor of energy performance measurement at the facility level

SEP Helps Better Plants Partners

Superior Energy Performance

STRATEGIC ENERGY MANAGEMENT BUSINESS CASE



A global survey in 14 countries of 250 CFOs

Key findings:

- Energy tops CFOs list of sustainability issues
- Energy management is viewed as a challenging issue and energy prices are viewed as a significant risk.
- More robust, verifiable data is needed to report performance and risk.
 - only 12% of CFOs consider the level of their sustainability data to be excellent
 - the quality and credibility of energy data will become more important

* The 2012 Sustainability & the CFO Survey. Conducted by Verdantix on behalf of Deloitte, 2012



Ad hoc Approach to Energy Management



Structured Approach to Energy Management





Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy

Strategic Energy Management (SEM) Continuum

SEP

Verified energy performance and ISO 50001

ISO 50001

Standard Energy Management System (EnMS) framework for global operations Superior Energy Performance (SEP):

- Rigorous third-party measurement and verification
- Marginal effort beyond ISO 50001
 - ISO standard for EnMS
 - Similar framework to ISO 9001 & ISO 14001
 - Third-party certification

Foundational Energy

Management (e.g., ENERGY STAR For Buildings & Plants)

- Systematic approach
- Operation of many utility SEM programs at this level



Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy

ISO 50001: an ISO Management System Standard

International standard that draws from **best practices around the world**. Developed with input from 56 countries, many countries now adopting it as a national standard.



Light blue text represents new data-driven sections in ISO 50001 that are not in ISO 9001 & ISO 14001



Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy





ISO 50001

- Proven, <u>internationally recognized</u>, best practice in energy management <u>building upon other ISO standards</u>
- Requires energy performance improvement with <u>energy data &</u> <u>metrics</u>
- <u>Relevance</u> for global corporation deploying energy management & sustainability programs

- Builds on ISO 50001 with <u>specific</u> <u>energy performance improvement</u> <u>criteria</u>
- National program <u>accommodating</u> <u>diverse facilities</u>: sector, size, program maturity, etc.
- Transparency: Rigorous 3rd party verification that market can reward: supply chains, utilities, carbon trading



Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy

SEP Certified Facilities Leaders in energy management and performance

| PLATINUM | GOLD | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| 3M Canada Company Brockville, Ontario, Canada | Coca-Cola Refreshments USA, Inc. Dunedin, Fl | | | | |
| Detroit Diesel Detroit, MI | Cummins Whitakers, NC | | | | |
| HARBEC Inc. Ontario, NY | General Dynamics Scranton, PA | | | | |
| Hilton Washington, DC | Schneider Electric Hopkins, SC | | | | |
| Mack Trucks Macungie, PA | Schneider Electric Peru, IN | | | | |
| Nissan NA Smyrna, TN | Schneider Electric Tijuana, Mexico | | | | |
| Schneider Electric Seneca, SC | Schneider Electric Apodaca, Mexico (Monterrey 2) | | | | |
| Schneider Electric Smyrna, TN | Schneider Electric Columbia, MO | | | | |
| Schneider Electric Clovis, CA | | | | | |
| Schneider Electric Saanichton, British Columbia, Canada | SILVER | | | | |
| Volvo Group Trucks Hagerstown, MD | 3M Company Cordova, IL | Olam Spices Gilroy, CA | | | |
| Volvo Trucks, NA Dublin, VA | Bridgestone Wilson, NC | Schneider Electric Apodaca, Mexico (Monterrey 3) | | | |
| SED is applicable to a broad range of | Curtiss-Wright Cheswick, PA | Schneider Electric Cedar Rapids, IA | | | |
| sectors, sizes, and energy program | Land O' Lakes Carlisle, PA | Schneider Electric Lexington, KY | | | |
| maturity. Even those with mature energy | Hilton Honolulu, HI | Schneider Electric Lincoln, NE | | | |
| management programs have achieved | Hilton San Francisco, CA | Schneider Electric Rojo Gomez, Mexico | | | |
| greater savings and other benefits. | MedImmune Gaithersburg, MD | | | | |



10



SEP Certified Facilities and Verified Energy Performance Improvement

| | Saanichton, BC Canada | 30.6% | Improvement over 3 y | Improvement over 3 years unless stated otherwise | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|---|--|----------------|--|--|--|
| Schneider Electric | Smyrna, TN | 23.1% | | Mack Trucks, Macungie, PA | 41.9% / 10 yrs | | | |
| | Clovis, CA | 16.7% | VOLVO | Dublin. VA | 28.4% / 10 vrs | | | |
| | Seneca, SC | 15.6% | | Hagerstown MD | 20.9% | | | |
| | Columbia, MO | 13.3% / 1 yr | | | 20.376 | | | |
| | Apodaca, Mexico (Monterrey 2) | 11.3% | | Detroit, MI | 32.5% / 10 yrs | | | |
| | Hopkins, SC | 10.2% | NISSAN | Smyrna, TN | 17.7% | | | |
| | Tijuana, Mexico | 10.2% | Testical Insuration with Environmental Responsibility | Ontario, NY | 16.5% | | | |
| | Peru, IN | 24.9% / 10 yrs | cummins | Whitakers, NC | 12.6% | | | |
| | Cedar Rapids, IA | 8.8% | | | | | | |
| | Apodaca, Mexico (Monterrey 3) | 7.8% | Coca Cola | Dunedin, FL | 12.2% | | | |
| | Lexington, KY | 6.9% | GENERAL DYNAMICS | Scranton, PA | 11.9% | | | |
| | Lincoln, NE | 6.5% | | | 15.1% / 10 yrs | | | |
| | Rojo Gomez, Mexico | 5.9% | Your Journey, Our Passion | Wilson, NC | | | | |
| Hilton Hotels & resorts | Washington, DC | 15.9% | ∛ OLAM | Gilroy, CA | 9.8% | | | |
| | Honolulu, HI | 8.4% | MedImmune | Coithersburg MD | 0.50/ | | | |
| | San Francisco, CA | 6.3% | A member of the AstraZeneca Group | Galinersburg, MD | 0.3% | | | |
| | Brockville, Ontario Canada | 21.4% / 7 yrs | CURTISS WRIGHT | Cheswick, PA | 7.6% | | | |
| JIVI | Cordova, IL | 5.7% | | Carlisle, PA | 5.7% | | | |

SEP Measurement & Verification Protocol provides robust methodology to track and verify energy performance improvement.

Nissan: Smyrna, TN

2015-18 | PLATINUM





"SEP adds rigor, analysis, and gives good guidance. It's one thing to have a target and objective, but SEP gives tools that empower you to be more disciplined and prove the impact certain activities have."

-Nissan North America Energy Team

- SEP Platinum Certified: Smyrna, TN vehicle assembly plant
- Sustained achievement:
 - 2015 Recertified SEP Platinum
 - 17.7% improvement in energy performance over 3 years

Recertified

- 6 week payback
- 2012 Certified SEP Silver
 - \$938,000 total annual energy savings; 7.2% improvement over 3 years
 - 4 month payback
- Used DOE EnPI Tool to measure & track improvements



ADVANCED MANUFACTURING OFFICE

See case study:

15 www.energy.gov/eere/amo/business-case-sep#case-studies

HARBEC Inc.: Ontario, NY



HARBEC Inc. President, Bob Bechtold, and Energy Team Amy Bechtold and Jeff Eisenhauer.

"We are wary of statements of intent, but third-party verification under SEP provides evidence of proven energy savings. Without verification, stated savings are just a nice statement."

- Bob Bechtold, President

2013-16 PLATINUM Superior Energy Performance[®] U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

- SEP Platinum Certified: Ontario, NY, facility
- 16.5% improvement in energy performance over 3 years
- EnMS implementation resulted in \$52,000 in annual savings through operational improvements with no capital investment
- SEP is the organizing framework in driving the company's goal to be a *carbon-neutral company*
- Adopted a CHP system and two wind turbines
 - ISO 50001/SEP strengthens management of this equipment, increasing the benefits gained



Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy

ADVANCED MANUFACTURING OFFICE

See case study:

www.energy.gov/eere/amo/business-case-sep#case-studies

General Dynamics: Scranton, PA







See case study: www.energy.gov/eere/amo/business-case-sep#case-studies

- SEP Gold Certified: Scranton, PA facility.
 First U.S. defense contractor to be SEP and ISO 50001 certified
- 11.9% improvement in energy performance over 3 years
- \$956,000 annual savings
- 6 month payback
- Meter upgrades to all significant energyusing equipment
- DOE Better Plants Partner



SEP Payback

Deeper, more rapid savings at less cost

- 2015 study of 11 SEP-certified facilities
 - Improved energy performance by 12.4% over 3 years on average
 - Saved over \$430,000/year on average from low/no cost operational improvements

Credible, third-party verification

 Valuable data and analysis for higher confidence in energy efficiency investments

Payback:

Less than 2 year payback for facility with a baseline annual energy spend greater than \$1M

Less than 1.5 year payback for facility with a baseline annual energy spend greater than \$2M



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF



ADVANCED MANUFACTURING OFFICE

Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy

States with SEP-certified Facilities

States, regions, and program administrators have partnered with U.S. DOE to support SEP demonstrations in companies across the country.



Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy

SEP Benefits to Program Administrators

By offering SEP to your customers, PAs can expect:

- Cost-effective, persistent energy savings
- Additional energy savings projects identified addressing all energy uses
- Option to provide higher value to customers and regulators by offering monitoring and verification (M&V) of projects
- Opportunities to improve relationships with high value customers



Superior Energy Performance

DOE TOOLS AND RESOURCES



Accelerate SEP implementation with SEP tools and resources:

DOE eGuide: Use this comprehensive, step-by-step online toolkit to implement ISO 50001 and SEP <u>energy.gov/eguide</u>

Guidance, resources for 3 levels, each with 5 core steps



- Step 1: Engage Management
- Step 2: Plan for Energy Management
- Step 3: Implement Energy Management
- Step 4: Measure and Check Results
- Step 5: Review for Continual Improvement

<u>Widely applicable</u>: Industrial end users, commercial end users, federal & state public facilities, university campuses, utilities & program administrators **EnPI Tool:** Enter energy consumption data and easily adjust for variables to receive a normalized view of energy performance and calculate SEP metrics <u>energy.gov/enpi</u>

More SEP resources at

energy.gov/eere/amo/toolbox-and-expertise:

- Strategic Energy Management Checklist: High-level assessment to determine readiness for SEP or ISO 50001 & define practical next steps
- System Assessment Standards: Assess specific energy systems (compressed air, process heating, pumping, and steam) to help identify opportunities
- DOE Tools and Training: Resources on specific energy systems, webinars & more

ENERGY

Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy

SEP is building workforce capacity for energy management implementation and measurement & verification.

Training and skill are required for appropriate application of the ISO 50001 and SEP standards, and to conduct the SEP certification audit.

 Certified Practitioners in EnMS (CP EnMS): Help facilities implement an ISO 50001 energy management system and prepare to meet SEP requirements.

Find a CP EnMS: <u>http://ienmp.org/pro_search/index.php?action=1</u>

Become a CP EnMS: <u>energy.gov/eere/amo/become-energy-management-professional</u>

SEP Lead Auditors:

Assess a facilities energy management system conformance to ISO 50001 and additional SEP requirements

• SEP Performance Verifiers:

Assess a facility's conformance to the (1) measurement and verification protocol and (2) SEP energy performance improvement requirements.



Superior Energy Performance

SUPPORTING AWARENESS AND IMPLEMENTATION



Administrator Challenges in Developing SEP Programs

- Establishing a new context for program incentives supporting a shift in energy management practices and integration into corporate culture
- Tailoring implementation strategies for a continual improvement approach
- Developing messaging and marketing strategies to target a different audience (e.g., corporate executives, EnMS vendors, etc.)
- Demonstrating value of SEP program offerings to regulators (discussed in next section)



Traditional, SEM and Potential SEP Offerings by PAs

| | Traditional Offerings | SEM Offerings | Potential SEP Offerings | | |
|-------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| Outreach | Build awareness of equipment-focused program benefits and recruit participants | Build awareness of operations- focused program benefits and recruit participants | Identify candidates for SEP and build awareness of benefits of continual energy performance improvement | | |
| Training | Provide training on systems | Provide training on systems and SEM, organize cohort meeting(s) to share best practices | Help customers understand and establish an ISO 50001-conformant EnMS | | |
| Technical Assistance | Assist customers with: • Audits, system assessments and engineering studies | Assist customers with: Energy review, including audits, system assessments and engineering studies Energy planning, including goal setting Implementation of action plans Development of reports on achievements | Assist customers with: Completing Energy Review (e.g., energy audits, system assessments, and engineering studies) Developing action plans Meeting ISO 50001 competency requirements | | |
| Incentives | Design incentive offerings | Design incentive offerings | Design incentive offerings | | |
| EM&V | Set up meters | Set up meters Help establish a performance tracking system | Help customers establish measurement and monitoring program that meets basic SEP requirements and prepare for SEP certification | | |
| | | U | ENERGY Energy Efficiency & Benewable Energy | | |

SEP Target Market

- Target Market
 - Industrial facilities
 - >\$1 million annual energy bill
 - Prior ISO management system certification preferred
 - Strong sustainability program preferred
- Non-Target Market Incentives
 - ISO 50001 training
 - Use of DOE resources, such as the eGuide
 - CEE minimum elements
 - Energy star
- Consider a range of program offerings



Marketing Strategy to Promote SEP

Large customers

- Direct marketing by account executives offers PAs the opportunity to...
 - Promote a dialog about SEP benefits, process, and requirements
 - Support energy managers as they pitch SEP to their corporate officers
 - Assess internal process commitment and culture change
 - Initiate discussion of complementary program offerings
 - Build client relationships
- Medium customers (annual energy spend \$0.3 to \$2.0 million)
 - Direct marketing by account executives
 - Leverage energy service companies and energy management system vendors to target hard-to-reach customers
 - Provide education and training to reduce costs



Regulatory Challenges in Implementing SEP Programs

- Demonstrating value-added to regulators (i.e., cost effectiveness)
- Evaluation, monitoring and verification
- Savings persistence
- Savings attribution



Program-Level Cost Effectiveness Screening Tool



Superior Energy Performance

RETURN ON INVESTMENT



Participant costs include:

- EnMS materials (including software and metering)
- Internal staff to implement the EnMS
- External technical assistance to implement the EnMS
- External technical assistance to identify energy performance improvement opportunities
- Executing and evaluating Action Plans (project incentive and/or M&V)
- Preparation for SEP certification
- Third-party certification

Participants' SEP-related costs can be partially or wholly covered by program incentives. Program incentives can reduce the initial economic hurdle to participation.



Quantifiable

- Facility-wide, deeper and more sustained energy savings (12%, on average over 3 years)
- Ongoing cost savings (energy, maintenance)
- More difficult to quantify
 - Operation efficiency based on improved data tracking and utilization
 - Cost-effectiveness of approach to meeting sustainability targets
 - Integration of energy management into existing management system processes
 - Structure and framework for action and for managing future changes in an energy efficient manner
 - Internal and external communication improvements



- Benefits
 - Avoided energy and capacity
 - Avoided transmission and distribution capacity and line loss
 - Other benefits:
 - Avoided environmental compliance costs
 - Wholesale market price suppression effects
 - Reduced risk
 - Additional non-energy benefits
- Costs
 - Program administration (planning, marketing, EM&V)
 - Program incentives
 - Other costs: performance incentives to PAs



Conducting M&V in the ISO 50001 continual energy performance improvement context requires consideration of <u>both</u>:

- The results from implementing action plans (projects)
- Improvements in energy performance resulting from the ongoing operational control of significant energy uses

Example:

A facility identifies compressed air as a significant energy use, but has made many energy efficiency upgrades already, including storage, controls and an air leak management program.

- ISO 50001 requires that the facility demonstrate operational control for the entire compressed air system, which may be as simple as using existing energy performance data more effectively and training staff on existing operational procedures.
- Implementation of operational control will likely produce some additional improvement in energy performance that is ongoing not project specific





M&V and Continual Energy Performance Improvement

- The SEP M&V Protocol offers a best practice methodology to:
 - Verify the results from a facility's implementation of ISO 50001
 - Track energy performance changes over time
 - Document energy performance normalized to production and other relevant variables
- SEP M&V Protocol includes

Familiar approaches

- Regression
- Energy accounting

and new elements

- Focus on continual improvement in energy performance
- More than a collection of actions or projects
- ISO 50001 requires organization to "plan operations and maintenance activities which are related to its significant energy uses"
- Not all activities related to operational control of significant energy uses will require action plans - they are ongoing



Renewable Energy

Energy Performance Verification for SEP

- Top-Down. Top-down energy performance improvement is facility-level improvement calculated from energy consumption data at the whole facility level. Conformance with the program requires that the top-down estimate must show savings better than the threshold for that performance level.
- Bottom-Up. Bottom-up energy performance improvement is facilitylevel improvement calculated by analysis of individual changes made at the facility. The SEP Protocol does require a high-level bottom-up "sanity check" of the top-down result.
 - A participating facility needs to provide a list of projects/actions that have been taken during the improvement period, together with approximate savings estimates, summing up to at least the threshold savings.



- SEP Protocols require "source" energy savings outputs in Btu
 - The EnPI Tool takes site energy savings data and estimates source energy savings in Btu by fuel
- The SEP EnPI tool can export data to calculate the metrics typically required for energy efficiency programs, including
 - Site electricity savings (MWh)
 - Site natural gas savings (therms or MMBtu)
- The SEP EnPI tool currently does not track peak load reduction (kW)



Determining Program Savings Attribution

Traditional approaches

- Stipulated net-to-gross ratios
- Self-reporting surveys
- Enhanced self-reporting surveys
- Panel of trade allies
- Large-scale consumption data analysis
- Cross-sectional studies
- Top-down evaluations or macro-economic models (SEEAction 2012. "Energy Efficiency Program Impact Evaluation Guide")
- SEP approach
 - Customer survey at SEP initial training to establish BAU trendline
 - Enhanced self-reporting surveys (post certification)
 - Documentation of pre-SEP practices
 - Documentation of implemented EnMS business processes
 - Third-party verification of facility-wide energy performance improvement



Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy

Superior Energy Performance

TESTIMONIALS



Testimonials

"Any facility can claim energy savings, but a third party verification proves the savings to be real."

Schneider Electric, Smyrna, TN

"Third-party certification removes any potential of "green washing" and provides credibility to savings."

General Dynamics, Scranton, PA

"SEP has helped justify expenditures to management. The measurement and verification requirement helps to *identify real cost savings*, allowing us to reinvest those savings into additional energy projects." "The verification was more important than the management standard, because it provides a performance metric.

SEP provides the ability to have proven performance metrics to quantify actual savings, giving both internal and external credibility to savings claims."

Volvo Trucks, Dublin, VA

Cooper Tire, Texarkana, AR



Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy

"The established targets required by SEP kept the team at 3M Canada motivated and dedicated to achieving those targets. Since ISO 50001 does not specify particular energy savings targets on its own, along with SEP we're able to truly demonstrate our level of achievement, which we're quite proud of." "SEP brought to light many energy intensity savings opportunities that were previously hard to justify. With the EnMS system in place and

metering instruments installed, it is much easier to justify improvement projects, and management is more receptive to these proposals."



General Dynamics, Scranton, PA

3M Canada, Brockville, Ontario

ENERGY



Superior Energy Performance

KEY TAKEAWAYS AND BENEFITS



Key Takeaways and SEP Benefits







- SEP is practicable for varied company types
- DOE tools make SEP implementation reasonable
- Business case and energy performance improvement are verified through 3rd party
- SEP measurement & verification establishes the foundation for rigorous and transparent facilitylevel energy-related greenhouse gas emission mitigation verification



Key Takeaways and SEP Benefits (continued)







- SEP offers facilities a long-term framework for integrating continual energy improvement into business practices and ensuring that facilities identify and capture operations-related energy savings over time
- EnMS and SEP represent a big opportunity for PAs
 - New energy savings opportunities for key customers
 - Large market potential
 - Ongoing operational energy savings
 - Access to more complete data to support program actions
 - Many opportunities for program administrators to build awareness and assist facilities with participating in SEP



Superior Energy Performance

EXTRA SLIDES



Key Elements of ISO 50001

- 1. Energy policy: top management's official statement of the organization's commitment to managing energy
- 2. Cross-divisional management team led by a representative who reports directly to management and is responsible for overseeing the implementation of the energy management system (EnMS)
- **3.** An energy planning process to assess energy uses, energy sources, and consumption and identify opportunities for improvement
- 4. Baseline of the organization's energy use
- Identification of energy performance indicators (EnPIs) that are unique to the organization and are tracked to measure progress



Key Elements of ISO 50001 (continued)

- 6. Energy objectives and targets for energy performance improvement at relevant functions, levels, processes or facilities within an organization
- 7. Action plans to meet those targets and objectives
- 8. Operating controls and procedures to address all aspects of energy purchase, use, and disposal.
- **9. Measurement, management, and documentation** for continuous improvement for energy efficiency
- **10.Internal audits and periodic reporting of progress** to management based on measurement and documentation



Superior Energy Performance[™]

- Adds an energy performance improvement target to ISO 50001 requirements
- Third-party verification of energy performance improvements (by ANSI/ANAB Verification Body)
- Provides a data-driven structure for continual energy performance improvement
- Involves the entire facility not dependent on any single individual
- Accommodates change; expandable over time





Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy

SEP certification requires industrial facilities and commercial buildings to meet the ISO 50001 standard and improve energy performance.



SEP certification requires industrial facilities and commercial buildings to meet the ISO 50001 standard and improve energy performance.



Renewable Energy

SEP Program Update - Refinement

DOE is refining SEP to improve and simplify the program based on experiences and feedback to date. Improvements include:

- Single, unified scoring system and qualification pathway combines best features of the Energy Performance and Mature Energy Pathways
- Provide flexibility in setting facility baseline year to align with corporate or enterprise; enable companies to more easily expand SEP participation across facilities
- Motivate plants to enhance energy management programs though use of the Scorecard at Gold and Platinum levels
- For recertification, provide practical and flexible energy performance improvement requirement that is sustainable over multiple certification cycles

Certification to updated program design anticipated by Fall 2016

- SEP standards and protocols to be updated and peer reviewed
- Current program will continue to be available during a transition period



Superior Energy Performance

Performance Levels – Initial Certification

| | | Silver | | Gold | | Platinum | |
|--|--|------------------------------|-------|--|---------------------|---|--|
| | | Achievement period Energy Pe | | | rmance Improvement* | | |
| ISO 50001 | | 3 years | rs 5% | | | | |
| | certification | 4 years | | 79 | % | | |
| | | 5 years | | 89 | % | | |
| | | 6 years | | 10 |)% | | |
| | verified energy | 7 years | | 12 | 2% | | |
| improvement | | 8 years | 13% | | | | |
| | | 9 years | | 15 | 5% | | |
| | L | 10 years | | 16 | 6% | | |
| Certification anticipated Current pro- during a tra | to this updated program design by Fall 2016. gram will continue to be available nsition period. | | | <u>+ 40 SEP Scorecard credits,</u> <u>including:</u> 20 points for Energy Management System | | <u>+ 60 SEP Scorecard credits,</u> <u>including:</u> 35 points for Energy Management System - and - 10 points for Advanced Practices and Additional Energy Performance | |
| | | | | ENERGY | 7 | Renewable Energy | |

SEP Program Update – Preview, Recertification



Start-up Phase: DOE and Partners demonstrate implementing SEP across three or more industrial facilities.

Full Implementation: Industrial SEP Accelerator Partner agrees to:

- Recruit three or more manufacturing plants within their company to achieve SEP certification and incur all third-party SEP certification costs.
- Offer cost-shared SEP training for corporate and facility energy management teams.
- Share data from participating facilities, including SEP cost and benefit data from implementing SEP at the enterprise-wide level.
- Note: DOE to ensure data protection

U.S. Department of Energy Agrees to:

- **Cost-share SEP training** in coordination with participating Partners.
- Disseminate resources, including DOE tools such as eGuide and EnPI tools, and best practices on strategies to cost-effectively implement SEP.
- Provide national recognition to Partners for achieving SEP Accelerator milestones and goals.
- Develop case studies documenting Partner success.





Companies are testing strategies to implement SEP across multiple facilities and benefit from economies of scale.

Central office Central office SEP energy performance improvement verified at each facility Set the set of the

- 28 participating facilities from 5 companies:
 - 3M Company
 - Cummins
 - General Dynamics

- Nissan North America
- Schneider Electric

 Participating sites in U.S., Canada, and Mexico

> Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy

eere.energy.gov/buildings/betterbuildings/accelerators/

SEP M&V Protocol Provides Quality Control



Evaluation, Measurement, and Verification





Roles in SEP Certification Process

SEP Program Administrator DOE Advanced Manufacturing Office

Manage and operate program, process applications, assign auditors, approve issuance of certificates, communicate with organizations involved

SEP Verification Bodies

Perform third-party audit for facilities applying to become SEP Certified

Energy Efficiency PAs

Identify facilities, coordinate outreach, provide technical assistance to facilities to prepare for SEP certification, conduct M&V, design and administer incentives

Facilities

Apply through SEP Program Administrator Meet SEP energy performance improvement requirements Conform to ISO 50001 and use SEP M&V Protocol

ENERGY

Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy

SEP Program Cost Effectiveness – Electric PA Example

| | Utility Cost Test | Total Resource Cost Test | Societal Cost Test |
|---|----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Program Costs | | | |
| Program Administration Costs | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Program Incentive | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Participant Contribution | | Yes | Yes |
| Program Benefits | | | |
| Customer Bill Savings | | | |
| Avoided Electricity Costs | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Avoided Capacity Costs | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Avoided Other Fuel Costs | | Yes | Yes |
| Avoided Water Costs | | Yes | Yes |
| Other Benefits | | | |
| Avoided T&D Costs | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Wholesale Market Price Suppression | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Avoided Environmental Compliance | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Reduced Risk | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Other Program Impacts (utility perspective) | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Other Program Impacts (participant) | | Yes | Yes |
| Other Program Impacts (societal) | | | Yes |

ADVANCED MANUFACTURING OFFICE

Energy Efficiency &

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

SEP Benefits to Industrial Facilities

- Facility-wide, deeper and sustained energy savings (11.7%, on average).
- Ongoing cost savings (energy, maintenance)
 - Payback period is under 2 years excluding energy performance improvements from capital investments for a facility with baseline annual source energy consumption > 0.27 Tbtus (equiv. to 26 GWh delivered electricity)
- Effective integration of energy management into existing management system processes
- Operational efficiency based on improved data tracking and utilization
- Cost-effective approach to meeting sustainability targets
- A structure and framework for action and managing future changes in an energy efficient manner
- Facilitates communications, both internally and externally



SEP Manufacturing Sector Market Potential

- DOE market analysis identified initial 3,000+ specific manufacturing facilities to target for SEP certification
- DOE projects the number of SEP certified facilities to grow to 1,000+ (23% of U.S. manufacturing footprint) by 2023, representing a wide array of industrial

