## MINIMAL EFFECTS (MW) DETERMINATION PROCEDURES IDAHO

The National Food Security Act Manual (NFSAM) provides for the granting of exemptions for activities that may have minimal effects on wetlands. The following procedure is to be used for making MINIMAL EFFECT (MW) exemptions. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) is responsible for gathering data and providing results of the agreement to the producer.

The minimal effect procedure is for proposed agricultural conversions. Conversions of wetlands for non-agricultural purposes (i.e., buildings, subdivisions, airports) are not suitable for minimal effects determinations.

The procedure describes the technical approach required to conduct a minimal effect evaluation. The approach involves the hydrogeomorphic (HGM) functional assessment of the wetland proposed for modification, the consideration of secondary on-site wetland impacts, and a cumulative wetland impact evaluation in the surrounding watershed. Reference is made to Appendix 527.6.1 (NFSAM, Nov.1996) and Id. Biology Technical Notes 25 and 26 for the HGM wetland functional assessment procedures.

STEP 1:

Name of Minimal Effec	et Applicant		
Address			
Tract No.	Field No.	Site No	
STEP 2: Identify and o	characterize the wetland to be imp	acted as follows:	
Wetland Determination	(affected area)		
(Attach determination I	form 026e, worksheets and maps f	for the impacted area.	
Wetland Description (N	IWI, dominant plants, past use) _		
Hydrogeomorphic Clas	sification (if known)		
Size of wetland impact	araa		
Time of conversion acti	area		
Type of conversion acti	vity (filling, tiling, tree removal)	1 (:	1
Is the impact area a por	tion of a larger contiguous wetland	d area (irrespective of	tract boundaries)?
		yes	no
If yes, size of larger we	tland area		

1

December 2007

		Determine if the site is in a red flag area, an area or situate an MW determination:	ation that c	ontains s	ufficient value	
a)		deral identified threatened, endangered or candidate spect:			0	
b)	Pro	ite protected by the Idaho Protection of Graves Act or Native American Graves rotection and Repatriation Act?				
		st:			0	
c)	Sit	e considered a fen or peat bog?	yes	n		
d)	Is the site a deciduous, forested wetland (Cowardin classification)? yes no			no		
eligible of the	<b>e fo</b> Farr	p), (c) or (d) is checked yes, a converted wetland as idented <b>MINIMAL EFFECT (MW)</b> under the wetland consense in Bill. <b>DO NOT</b> proceed further. Notify the landowner by, and appeal options.	rvation pro	ovisions (	(swampbuster)	
with consid The la MW a	ond ered indo gree	The following wetland conversions are considered a <b>Minitions</b> under the Wetland Conservation Provisions of the I minimal effect when proposed and installed in accorda owner is responsible for any necessary federal, state at ment with conditions as required in the NFSAM (NFSA) by checking yes or no below:	e Farm Bil nce with tl and local p	l. They whe follow oermits.	vill be ing conditions. Complete an	
a)	Removal of Russian Olive trees from wetlands for the purpose of improved wildlife habitat and/or enhanced agricultural production.  yes no					
	Te	rms of the MW with conditions are as follows:	<i>y</i> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	1)	Removal of willows, alders and other native woody veg this MW.	getation is	not author	orized under	
	2)	No annually tilled crops can be planted on the areas when can be used for grazing and haying.	nere trees v	vere remo	oved. The area	
	3)	No land leveling, smoothing or other practices that will soil condition or remaining herbaceous or woody veget used equipment to remove Russian Olive trees. The objection or native woody vegetation.	ation is all	lowed. U	se commonly	
STEP	5:	Will the proposed activity impact a wetland >0.1 acre in		n	no	
		The 0.1 acre threshold is for consistency with many Clean e Permits (NWP).				

ST	<b>ΓΕΡ 6:</b> Is the site identified in a year	ellow flag area listed below:		
			yes	no
Ye	ellow flag areas indicate issues of lo	ocal, state or regional significa	nce.	
a)	Does the area support, or is part of List:		-	cern? no
b)	Is the site identified in an Idaho V List:			no
c)	Is the site located in a water quali List:		yes	no
d)	Is the site on an identified cultura List:		yes	no
e)	Is the site a wetland that was resto	ored with public (local, state fe	ederal) funds?	
		1 ,		no
f)	Is the site within a municipal wat List:			y)? no
g)		RA area?		no
h)	Is the site a Clean Water Act	Violation?	yes	_ no
i)	Is the site located within an existi Contact the Idaho Department of		yes	_ no

If any yellow flag above is checked yes, and the proposed action is considered a converted wetland, as identified in the NFSAM, an MW will only be considered with additional conditions (e.g. BMP's and minor restoration or enhancement to replace functions reduced or eliminated) and appropriate local, state and federal permits. Complete an on-site functional assessment as described below to determine functions eliminated and/or reduced.

STEP 7: Conduct an on-site functional assessment using guidance provided in NFSAM 527.6.1 or when available Interim HGM procedures (Id. Biology Technical Notes 25 and 26) or Regional HGM Models. A comparison site may be used in place of an on-site evaluation only if the wetland has been converted or disturbed to the extent that an assessment of typical functions for the wetland is not feasible. For example, if the wetland is normally cropped, then the functional assessment should be performed on-site, as it is the normal or typical functional attributes of the wetland that must be discerned. However, if the wetland is typically undisturbed or infrequently cropped, and the disturbance or conversion of the wetland is an unusual condition, then it is appropriate to use a comparison site to conduct the functional assessment. Summarize the functional assessment on the Wetland Functional Assessment Worksheet for appropriate HGM classification (NFSAM 527.6.1 or Id. Biology TN-25 or 26).

3 December 2007

**STEP 8:** Determine and record whether other wetlands within a 2-miles radius, within the same watershed, have been converted (irrespective of the circumstances predicating the conversion) since December 23, 1985.

yes	no	

## **STEP 9:** Minimal Effect Determination

- a) If one or more wetland functions will be eliminated due to the proposed activity, and
  - i. Through direct and indirect means, more than 0.1acre of the wetland will undergo this loss (i.e., Step 5 was answered yes)

OR

ii. The loss will add to cumulative wetland losses in the area (i.e., Step 8 was answered yes)

THEN, **the effect is not minimal**. Mitigation to restore functions that will be eliminated may be pursued as discussed in the NFSAM, Part 517.

- b) If four or more functions are reduced due to the proposed activity, and
  - i. Through direct and indirect means, more than 0.1 acre of wetland will undergo this loss (i.e., Step 5 was answered yes)

OR

ii. The loss will add to cumulative wetland losses in the area (i.e., step 8 was answered yes)

THEN, **the effect is not minimal**. Mitigation to restore functions that will be reduced or eliminated may be pursued as discussed in the NFSAM, Part 517.

c) If wetland functions are not eliminated or reduced as described in Step 9 (a) and (b) above, and are not listed in a red or yellow flag category (see note below),

THEN, **the effect is minimal**. The landowner is responsible for any necessary local, state or federal permits.

**NOTE:** Additional conditions may be required for an MW or an MW in a yellow flagged area such as implementing a BMP (e.g., erosion control, bank stabilization and/or minor restoration or enhancement to restore functions impacted). If conditions are required for an MW Agreement, NFSAM, Part 526.65 is to be completed by the NRCS and signed by the landowner, in order to grant an MW exemption.

**STEP 10:** Populate the conservation plan and resources inventory database with the MW determination.