

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Application Ranking Summary

FY16 Socially Disadvantaged

Program:	Ranking Date:	Application Number:
Ranking Tool: FY16 Socially Disadvantaged		Applicant:
Final Ranking Score:		Address:
Planner:		Telephone:
Farm Location:		

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
If the application is for development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP), the agency will assign significant ranking priority and conservation benefit by answering "Yes" to the following question. Answering "Yes" to question 1a will result in the application being awarded the maximum amount of points that can be earned for the national priority category.	
1. a. Is the program application to support the development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP)? If answer is "Yes", do not answer any other national level questions. If answer is "No", proceed with evaluation to address the remaining questions in this section.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Water Quality Degradation – Will the proposed project improve water quality by: (select all that apply)	
2. a. Implementing the practices in a Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. b. Implementing the practices in a Nutrient Management Plan (NMP)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. c. Reducing impacts from sediment, nutrients, salinity, or pesticides on land adjoining a designated "impaired water body" (TMDL, 303d listed waterbody, or other State designation)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. d. Reducing the impacts from sediment, nutrients, salinity, or pesticides in a "non-impaired water body"?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. e. Implementing practices that improve water quality through animal mortality and carcass management?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Water Conservation – Will the proposed project conserve water by: (select all that apply)	
3. a. Implementing irrigation practices that reduce aquifer overdraft.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. b. Implementing irrigation practices that reduce on-farm water use?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. c. Implementing practices in an area where the applicant participates in a geographically established or watershed-wide project?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. d. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm water use as a result of changing to crops with lower water consumptive use, the rotation of crops, or the modification of cultural operations?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Air Quality - Will the proposed project improve air quality by: (select all that apply)	
4. a. Meeting on-farm regulatory requirements relating to air quality or proactively avoid the need for regulatory measures?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. b. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm emissions of particulate matter (PM2.5, PM10)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. c. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm generated greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide (CO2), methane (CH4), and nitrous oxide (N2O)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. d. Implementing practices that increase on-farm carbon sequestration?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Soil Health:– Will the proposed project improve soil health by: (select all that apply)	
5. a. Reduce erosion to tolerable limits (Soil "T")?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. b. Increasing organic matter and carbon content, and improving soil tilth and structure?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Wildlife Habitat – Will the proposed project improve wildlife habitat by: (select all that apply)	
6. a. Implementing practices benefitting threatened and endangered, at-risk, candidate, or species of concern.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. b. Implementing practices that retain wildlife and plant habitat on land exiting the Conservation	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Reserve Program (CRP) or other set-aside program?	
6. c. Implementing practices benefitting honey bee populations or other pollinators?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. d. Implementing land-based practices that improve habitat for aquatic wildlife?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Plant and Animal Communities: Will the proposed project improve plant and animal communities by: (select all that apply)	
7. a. Implementing practices that result in the management control of noxious or invasive plant species on non-cropland?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. b. Implementing practice in an Integrated Pest Management Plan (IPM)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Energy Conservation– Will the proposed project reduce energy use by: (select all that apply)	
8. a. Reducing on-farm energy consumption?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
8. b. Implementing practice(s) identified in an approved AgEMP or energy audit, which meet ASABE S612 criteria?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Business Lines – Will the practices to be scheduled in the “EQIP Plan of Operations” result in:	
9. a. Enhancement of existing conservation practice(s) or conservation systems already in place at the time the application is received?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Is the program application for development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP) for a TSP prepared Conservation Plan? If 'Yes', do not answer any other state level questions. If 'No', proceed with responding to the remaining questions.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Will practices address soil erosion or water quality on cropland fields: 1) with slopes greater than 7%; 2) that are annually tilled; or 3) that are located within 1 mile of surface waters?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Will practices make improvements to grazing lands by: 1) implementing prescribed grazing; 2) improving feed/forage, livestock shelter, livestock water; or 3) addressing invasive species?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Will practices include installation or enhancement of: 1) native forest habitat; or 2) mixed agroforest as detailed in PIA Forestry/Agroforestry Tech Note No. 11?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Will practices address water quality on an AFO that is located: 1) within 300 feet of a surface water resource (e.g., stream, ocean); 2) above an area with sensitive or shallow ground water resources; 3) in a watershed of a 303(d) designated water body impaired for nutrients or pathogens; or 4) in a locally designated (i.e., EPA) impaired watershed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. Will this be the first financially assisted (i.e., EQIP) contract for the applicant if selected for funding?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. In past 2 years, has the applicant had an EQIP/AMA contract with non-performance issues (i.e., CPA-153 issued; practices rescheduled) that were within their control? Answer 'No' if circumstances were beyond client's control (i.e., hardship as approved by NRCS; jobsheet/design not received in a timely manner).	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Is the program application for development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP) for a TSP prepared Conservation Plan? If 'Yes', do not answer any other local level questions. If 'No', proceed with responding to the remaining questions.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Will practices reduce soil erosion, sedimentation, or non-point source pollution (e.g., nutrients, pesticides) in impaired watersheds?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Will practices improve soil health by adopting at least 2 of the following principles: reducing tillage, increasing soil cover or plant coverage, maintaining live roots, and increasing plant diversity?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Will practices improve habitat for pollinators?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Will practices improve wildlife habitat for "at-risk species" (threatened, endangered, candidate, species of concern)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. Will practices improve native habitat that is adjacent or connected to a native forest reserve, wildlife refuge, or other preserved forest/riparian area (e.g., project area shares a boundary with a forest reserve, wildlife refuge, etc.)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. Will practices result in energy savings?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Land Use:

Resource Concerns	Practices
-------------------	-----------

Ranking Score

Efficiency:
Local Issues:
State Issues:
National Issues:
Final Ranking Score:

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your application is selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.

Notes:

NRCS Representative:	Applicant Signature Not Required on this report for Contract Development unless required by State policy:
Signature Date:	Signature Date: