

**Natural Resources Conservation Service
Application Ranking Summary
Salinity - Lower Gunnison PA - Montrose**

Program: EQIP 2014	Ranking Date:
Ranking Tool: Salinity - Lower Gunnison PA - Montrose	
Final Ranking Score:	
Planner:	
Farm Location:	

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
If the application is for development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP), the agency will assign significant ranking priority and conservation benefit by answering “Yes” to the following question. Answering “Yes” to question 1a will result in the application being awarded the maximum amount of points that can be earned for the national priority category.	
1. a. Is the program application to support the development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP)? If answer is “Yes”, do not answer any other national level questions. If answer is “No”, proceed with evaluation to address the remaining questions in this section.	250 Point(s)
Water Quality Degradation – Will the proposed project improve water quality by: (select all that apply)	
2. a. Implementing the practices in a Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP)?	15 Point(s)
2. b. Implementing the practices in a Nutrient Management Plan (NMP)?	10 Point(s)
2. c. Reducing impacts from sediment, nutrients, salinity, or pesticides on land adjoining a designated “impaired water body” (TMDL, 303d listed waterbody, or other State designation)?	10 Point(s)
2. d. Reducing the impacts from sediment, nutrients, salinity, or pesticides in a “non-impaired water body”?	10 Point(s)
2. e. Implementing practices that improve water quality through animal mortality and carcass management?	10 Point(s)
Water Conservation – Will the proposed project conserve water by: (select all that apply)	

3. a. Implementing irrigation practices that reduce aquifer overdraft.	15 Point(s)
3. b. Implementing irrigation practices that reduce on-farm water use?	10 Point(s)
3. c. Implementing practices in an area where the applicant participates in a geographically established or watershed-wide project?	10 Point(s)
3. d. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm water use as a result of changing to crops with lower water consumptive use, the rotation of crops, or the modification of cultural operations?	10 Point(s)
Air Quality - Will the proposed project improve air quality by: (select all that apply)	
4. a. Meeting on-farm regulatory requirements relating to air quality or proactively avoid the need for regulatory measures?	10 Point(s)
4. b. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm emissions of particulate matter (PM2.5, PM10)?	10 Point(s)
4. c. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm generated greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide (CO2), methane (CH4), and nitrous oxide (N2O)?	10 Point(s)
4. d. Implementing practices that increase on-farm carbon sequestration?	10 Point(s)
Soil Health:- Will the proposed project improve soil health by: (select all that apply)	
5. a. Reduce erosion to tolerable limits (Soil "T")?	10 Point(s)
5. b. Increasing organic matter and carbon content, and improving soil tilth and structure?	10 Point(s)
Wildlife Habitat – Will the proposed project improve wildlife habitat by: (select all that apply)	
6. a. Implementing practices benefitting threatened and endangered, at-risk, candidate, or species of concern.	10 Point(s)
6. b. Implementing practices that retain wildlife and plant habitat on land exiting the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) or other set-aside program?	10 Point(s)
6. c. Implementing practices benefitting honey bee populations or other pollinators?	10 Point(s)
6. d. Implementing land-based practices that improve habitat for aquatic wildlife?	10 Point(s)

Plant and Animal Communities: Will the proposed project improve plant and animal communities by: (select all that apply)	
7. a. Implementing practices that result in the management control of noxious or invasive plant species on non-cropland?	10 Point(s)
7. b. Implementing practice in an Integrated Pest Management Plan (IPM)?	10 Point(s)
Energy Conservation– Will the proposed project reduce energy use by: (select all that apply)	
8. a. Reducing on-farm energy consumption?	10 Point(s)
8. b. Implementing practice(s) identified in an approved AgEMP or energy audit, which meet ASABE S612 criteria?	10 Point(s)
Business Lines – Will the practices to be scheduled in the “EQIP Plan of Operations” result in:	
9. a. Enhancement of existing conservation practice(s) or conservation systems already in place at the time the application is received?	10 Point(s)

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Will the project reduce the amount of nutrients/pesticides/salt/selenium or other pollutants entering ground or surface waters?	400 Point(s)

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application less than \$25?	250 Point(s)
2. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$25 and < \$28?	247 Point(s)
3. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$28 and < \$31?	244 Point(s)
4. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$31 and < \$34?	241 Point(s)
5. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$34 and < \$37?	238 Point(s)
6. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$37 and < \$40?	235 Point(s)
7. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$40 and < \$43?	232 Point(s)
8. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$43 and < \$47?	229 Point(s)
9. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$47 and < \$50?	226 Point(s)
10. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$50 and < \$53?	223 Point(s)

11. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$53 and < \$56?	220 Point(s)
12. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$56 and < \$59?	217 Point(s)
13. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$59 and < \$62?	214 Point(s)
14. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$62 and < \$65?	211 Point(s)
15. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$65 and < \$68?	208 Point(s)
16. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$68 and < \$71?	205 Point(s)
17. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$71 and < \$74?	202 Point(s)
18. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$74 and < \$77?	199 Point(s)
19. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$77 and < \$80?	196 Point(s)
20. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$80 and < \$83?	193 Point(s)
21. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$83 and < \$86?	190 Point(s)
22. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$86 and < \$89?	187 Point(s)
23. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$89 and < \$92?	184 Point(s)
24. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$92 and < \$95?	181 Point(s)
25. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$95 and < \$98?	178 Point(s)
26. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$98 and < \$101?	175 Point(s)
27. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$101 and < \$104?	172 Point(s)
28. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$104 and < \$107?	169 Point(s)
29. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$107 and < \$110?	166 Point(s)
30. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$110 and < \$113?	163 Point(s)
31. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$113 and < \$116?	160 Point(s)
32. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$116 and < \$119?	157 Point(s)
33. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$119 and < \$122?	154 Point(s)
34. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$122 and < \$125?	151 Point(s)
35. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$125 and < \$128?	148 Point(s)
36. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$128 and < \$131?	145 Point(s)

37. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$131 and < \$134?	142 Point(s)
38. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$134 and < \$137?	139 Point(s)
39. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$137 and < \$140?	136 Point(s)
40. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$140 and < \$143?	133 Point(s)
41. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$143 and < \$146?	130 Point(s)
42. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$146 and < \$149?	127 Point(s)
43. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$149 and < \$153?	124 Point(s)
44. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$153 and < \$157?	121 Point(s)
45. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$157 and < \$161?	118 Point(s)
46. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$161 and < \$165?	115 Point(s)
47. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$165 and < \$169?	112 Point(s)
48. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$169 and < \$174?	109 Point(s)
49. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$174 and < \$178?	106 Point(s)
50. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$178 and < \$182?	103 Point(s)
51. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$182 and < \$186?	100 Point(s)
52. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$186 and < \$190?	97 Point(s)
53. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$190 and < \$194?	94 Point(s)
54. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$194 and < \$200?	91 Point(s)
55. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$200 and < \$205?	88 Point(s)
56. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$205 and < \$210?	85 Point(s)
57. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$210 and < \$215?	82 Point(s)
58. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$215 and < \$220?	79 Point(s)
59. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$220 and < \$225?	76 Point(s)
60. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$225 and < \$230?	73 Point(s)
61. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$230 and < \$235?	70 Point(s)
62. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$235 and < \$240?	67 Point(s)

63. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$240 and < \$245?	64 Point(s)
64. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$245 and < \$250?	61 Point(s)
65. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$250 and < \$255?	58 Point(s)
66. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$255 and < \$260?	55 Point(s)
67. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$260 and < \$265?	52 Point(s)
68. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$265 and < \$270?	49 Point(s)
69. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$270 and < \$275?	46 Point(s)
70. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$275 and < \$280?	43 Point(s)
71. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$280 and < \$285?	40 Point(s)
72. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$285 and < \$290?	37 Point(s)
73. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$290 and < \$300?	34 Point(s)
74. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$300?	25 Point(s)

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your application is selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.