



Co-Chairs

Val Francis
Richard Snyder

Board

Thomas Allen
Shirley Bandy
Lee Blackburn
Gene Brushart
Edwin Charle
Andrew Feight
Bobby Graff
Franklin Halstead
Sharon Manson
Stephen Martin
Thomas Martin
Daniel Minter
Larry Parker
Michael Payton
Cristy Renner
Terri Ann Smith
Billy Spencer
Lornita Swain

Deputy Designated

Federal Official
Dave Kozlowski,
DOE

*DOE Federal
Coordinator*

Greg Simonton

Decontamination and Decommissioning
Subcommittee Meeting
5:30 p.m. ♦ January 5, 2009

Agenda

1. Walk-through of process for X-746 Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis
Dave Sharp, LATA/Parallax and Melda Rafferty, DOE
2. Concerns/Issues
3. Next Month's Agenda

1862 Shyville Rd.
Piketon, Ohio
45661

ports-ssab.org

740-289-5249

*Support provided by
EHI Consultants*



Portsmouth Site Specific Advisory Board
Decontamination and Decommissioning Subcommittee
January 5, 2009

Committee Members: Sharon Manson
Dan Minter
Franklin Halstead
Thomas Martin
Lorry Swain
Mike Payton
Val Francis
Larry Parker
Lee Blackburn

DOE Representatives: Jud Lilly, DOE
Dave Kozlowski, DOE
Dave Sharp, LPP

Support Staff: Kate Timmons, EHI

Meeting opened at 5:30 p.m.

Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis (EE/CA)

Dave Sharp, delivered a presentation on the CERCLA EE/CA process. He stated that it is a 26-step process of things the DOE must do when removing a building. Francis inquired about the time frame should the process run smoothly. Sharp replied by stating that the X-746 building is slated for demolition in May 2009, while a building like the X-333 requires more planning, so there isn't an ideal time frame, rather being done on a case-by-case basis. Sharp told the committee that everything on the reservation is considered a non-time critical action; that is, actions that are conducted at a CERCLA Superfund site when it is determined that a removal is appropriate.

Francis inquired if the decision process would be different if another agency would be removing a building. Jud Lilly stated that a federal agency would have to oversee the project, so the process would basically be the same. Francis asked if the process would be different for a building that could be reused. Sharp stated that the EE/CA process does not come into play until there is a possibility of contamination to an area. If a building could be reused, EE/CA would not be a factor.

On-Site Storage Cell

Swain inquired when and how the decisions will be made regarding on-site storage. Sharp responded that a project like that would require a lot of space on the reservation, but would need undesignated space, about 300 acres. He continued by stating that there are considerations that must be addressed when looking into this possibility. Sharp stated that on-site storage does not have to be directly on the reservation, rather a parcel of land could be acquired for this purpose. However, Sharp indicated that it would have to be close enough to the reservation to be effective for the storage of low-level waste.

Action Items:

1. The subcommittee requests project updates as they become available.
2. The subcommittee requests that the meeting summaries be available to the subcommittee prior to the board meetings.
3. The subcommittee requests to review the D&D RFP once it is available. Kozlowski will notify the board office of its availability when it is released.

Next Meeting is on Monday, February 2, 2009 at 5:30 p.m.