



Portsmouth EM Site Specific  
Advisory Board

**Chair**

Richard H. Snyder

**Vice-Chair**

Val E. Francis

**Subcommittee Members**

Martha Cosby

Ervin Craft

Frank Halstead

Brian Huber

Sharon Manson

Michael Payton

Cristy Renner

Roger Scaggs

**DOE Deputy Designated**

**Federal Officer**

Joel Bradburne

**DOE Federal Coordinator**

Greg Simonton

**Environmental Cleanup and Land Preparation  
Subcommittee  
October 11, 2011 @ 4:30 p.m. Room 165  
Agenda**

- *Cleanup Level and Information Portfolio Rollout Plan*-Karen Price

Adjourn



**Support Services**

EHI Consultants, Inc.

1862 Shyville Road

Suite 115

Piketon, OH 45661

Phone 740-289-5249

Fax 740-289-1578

[www.ports-ssab.org](http://www.ports-ssab.org)

[info@ports-ssab.org](mailto:info@ports-ssab.org)



## Environmental Cleanup & Land Preparation Subcommittee

Meeting Summary

October 11, 2011 • 4:30 p.m.

The Ohio State University Endeavor Center

1862 Shyville Road, Piketon, OH 45661

**Subcommittee Members Present:** Martha Cosby, Stan Craft, Frank Halstead, Brian Huber, Sharon Manson, and Cristy Renner

**SSAB Members Absent:** Michael Payton and Roger Scaggs

**SSAB Members Present:** Dick Snyder and Will Henderson

**DOE Employees and Contractors:** Greg Simonton, DOE; Rick Greene, RSI; Karen Price, Dennis Carr, and Pete Mingas, FBP

**Liaisons:** Maria Galanti, and Melody Stewart, Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (Ohio EPA) and Michael Rubadue, Ohio Department of Health

**Support Staff:** Julie Galloway, Cindy Lewis, and Eric Roberts, EHI

**Public:** Geoff Sea, SONG and Steve Shepherd, SODI

**Renner** opened the meeting.

### Cleanup Level and Information Portfolio Rollout Plan Discussion– Karen Price:

**Price** reviewed some of the information about rollout Plan that was presented at the Board Meeting on October 6, 2011.

#### 1. Discussion:

<b>Question/Comment:</b>	<b>Answer:</b>
<b>Snyder</b> asked is FLUOR looking for a recommendation by January 2012.  How do you want this information?  Who is going to make this masterplan?	<b>Price</b> stated Not really a recommendation, but something that says what kind of footprint you would like to be left. <b>Roberts</b> stated that DOE wants to know if they are going in the right direction. <b>Price</b> stated in the meeting summaries would be fine.  <b>Price</b> stated the masterplan will be done by December.
<b>Renner</b> asks FLUOR is here for five	<b>Simonton</b> stated the sewer is DOE's responsibly

<p>years then maybe another five, if we take everything offsite that will take all the money. How do we know we will have money in ten years to finish anything they are promising us.</p> <p>What can we do to say how can we take this money and make it better, to make sure the money is here.                  I just want to make sure we get the funding in five years to finish.</p>	<p>there has to be a sewer we may take the money we are currently spending on it and build a new system. If we cleaned up the land without all the lines and everything, all you have left is prairie land.</p> <p><b>Roberts</b> stated this has to make sense to DOE that they need it for the D&amp;D. Your role isn't to develop the plan it is to keep DOE on line.</p> <p><b>Price</b> stated we want to bring the SSAB along to ride shotgun on the ride from start to end. We do want a recommendation on the process D&amp;D by February 2012 on a proposed path forward.</p>
--	--

**Cleanup Alternatives** – Fluor is going to do scenarios for all the cleanup levels

<p><b>Simonton</b> asked Dennis was it you or Marc that said it might not be much more to cleanup to the next level from industrial cleanup standards.</p>	<p><b>Carr</b> stated yes, you should cleanup to the next level standards if it does not take much more. We are working on that right now.</p>
<p><b>Roberts</b> asked can we get that information a couple of days before our meeting so everyone can look at it before the meeting and be prepared</p>	<p><b>Price</b> stated we will try to get it to you and if we cannot, I will let Simonton know so they can let you know ahead of time.</p>

**2. Public Comment:** **Sea** stated there was confusion the other night regarding SSAB and NHPA. So you know where NHPA comes in 1<sup>st</sup> on site structures is a Historical building which is the process buildings. 2<sup>nd</sup> is a proposal with all Scioto Township or Scioto and Lick. DOE has not been involved in all discussions about the site. 3<sup>rd</sup> DOE is removing a building which is a major undertaking. NHPA consulting parties needs to be consulted about what can be restored. Creek watersheds were altered during construction. 4<sup>th</sup> The area should be viewed as an asset. It is a habitat for Timber rattlesnakes, there have been siting reports. Keep in mind that the NHPA consulting parties will have another set of recommendations.

Next meeting November 9, 2011 (because of elections on our regular scheduled day).

**Halstead** Motioned to adjourn

**Meeting adjourned**

SSAB Information Portfolio Contents

October 6, 2011

<p>Proposed Post-Cleanup Site Configuration</p> <p>Request input on post-cleanup site configuration on or before January 2012 board meeting</p>	<p>Process Building D&amp;D</p> <p>Request recommendation on acceptability of DOE's proposed decision for process building D&amp;D on or before February 2012 board meeting</p>	<p>Cleanup Levels</p> <p>Confirm industrial user as minimally acceptable future use receptor – on or before January 2012 (timing of final cleanup level recommendation TBD)</p>	<p>Waste Disposition</p> <p>Request recommendation on acceptability of DOE's proposed decision for waste disposition on or before March 2012 board meeting</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Overview of post-cleanup configuration planning</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Assumptions for proposed post-cleanup site configuration</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Draft SODI final configuration map</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> <a href="#">Master plan for implementation and funding</a></li> </ul>	<p>Description of Alternatives</p> <p>Scope/Volumes/Waste Streams</p> <p>Requirements and Considerations <a href="#">for each alternative</a></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Protection of Human Health and Environment</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Compliance with other laws (e.g. NHPA)</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Short term risk to workers</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Cost</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Schedule</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Impact on site and regional employment</li> </ul>	<p>Description of Alternatives</p>	<p>Description of Alternatives</p> <p>Scope/Volumes/Waste Streams</p> <p>Recycling Criteria</p> <p>Requirements and Considerations for both On-Site and Off-Site</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Compliance with other laws (e.g., NHPA, NEPA, Wetlands)</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Waivers, if applicable</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Long term protection of human health and environment</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Waste Acceptance Criteria</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Short term risk for workers and transportation</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Cost</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Schedule</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Impact on site and regional employment <a href="#">and long term impact or benefit to community</a></li> </ul> <p>Onsite Disposal Option Information</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Construction details and impacts</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Siting of On-Site Disposal Cell</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Long term monitoring and maintenance requirements</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Impacts to cultural and natural resources</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Opportunity for landfill consolidation</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Other site disposal cells for comparison</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Future use considerations for disposal site</li> </ul> <p>Landfill Consolidation Information</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Known information – Contents and Volumes</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Current remedy configuration and performance</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Site conditions and underlying geology</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Major uncertainties and risks</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Excavation                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Risks and exposure potential</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Requirements and possible treatment</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Cost</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Schedule</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Benefits</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

# SSAB Information Portfolio Contents

October 6, 2011

## Four Month Look Ahead

November	
<b>Process Building D&amp;D</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><input type="checkbox"/> Description of Alternatives</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Scope/Volumes/Waste Streams</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Protection of Human Health and Environment</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Compliance with other laws (e.g., NHPA )</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Short term risk to workers</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Cost</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Schedule</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Impact on site and regional employment</li></ul>	<b>Future Use</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><input type="checkbox"/> Overview of post cleanup configuration planning</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Assumptions for proposed post-cleanup site configuration</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Draft SODI final configuration map</li></ul> <b>Cleanup Levels</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><input type="checkbox"/> Description of alternatives</li></ul>
December	
<b>Waste Disposition</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><input type="checkbox"/> Description of Alternatives</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Scope/Volumes/Waste Streams</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Recycling Criteria</li></ul> <b>Requirements and Considerations for both On-Site and Off-Site</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><input type="checkbox"/> Compliance with other laws (e.g., NHPA, NEPA, Wetlands)</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Waivers, if applicable</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Long term protection of human health and environment</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Waste Acceptance Criteria</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Short term risk for workers and transportation</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Cost</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Schedule</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Impact on site and regional employment</li></ul>	
January	
<b>Waste Disposition</b> <b>Onsite Disposal Option Information</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><input type="checkbox"/> Construction details and impacts</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Siting of On-Site Disposal Cell</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Long term monitoring and maintenance requirements</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Impacts to cultural and natural resources</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Opportunity for landfill consolidation</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Other site disposal cells for comparison</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Future use considerations for disposal site</li></ul>	<b>Landfill Consolidation Information</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><input type="checkbox"/> Known information – Contents and Volumes</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Current remedy configuration and performance</li></ul>
February	
<b>Landfill Consolidation Information</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><input type="checkbox"/> Site conditions and underlying geology</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Major uncertainties and risks</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Excavation<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Requirements and possible treatment</li><li>○ Cost</li><li>○ Schedule</li><li>○ Benefits</li><li>○ Risks and exposure potential</li></ul></li></ul>	