

Portsmouth EM Site Specific Advisory Board

Chair Richard H. Snyder Vice-Chair Val E. Francis

Subcommittee Members
Shirley Bandy
Martha Cosby
Frank Halstead
William Henderson
Brian Huber
Dan Minter

DOE Deputy Designated Federal Officer Joel Bradburne

**DOE Federal Coordinator**Greg Simonton

# Waste Disposition and Recycling Subcommittee October 11, 2011 @ 6:30 p.m. Room 165 Agenda

• Onsite Disposal at other sites and Information Portfolio Rollout Plan-Karen
Price

Adjourn





## **Waste Disposition & Recycling Subcommittee**

Working Session Summary October 11, 2011 • 6:30 p.m.

**Subcommittee Members Present:** Shirley Bandy, Martha Cosby, Frank Halstead, Will Henderson, Brian Huber, and Dan Minter

**SSAB Members Absent:** None

**SSAB Members Present:** Dick Snyder and Cristy Renner

**DOE Employees and Contractors:** Greg Simonton, and Joel Bradburne DOE; Rick Greene, RSI; Marc Jewett, Karen Price, Dennis Carr, Pete Mingus, Eric Woods, and JD Chiou, FBP

**Liaisons:** Maria Galanti and Melody Stewart, Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (Ohio EPA), Mike Rubadue Ohio Department of Health

Support Staff: Julie Galloway, Cindy Lewis, and Eric Roberts, EHI

Public: Bob Eichenberg, OU; Steve Shepherd, SODI; Geoffrey Sea, SONG and Connie Yeager

*Henderson* opened the meeting.

### Cleanup Level and Information Portfolio Rollout Plan Discussion- Karen Price:

**Price** reviewed some of the information about rollout plan that was presented at the Board Meeting on October 6, 2011.

#### 1. Discussion:

Question/Comment:	Answer:
<i>Minter</i> stated let us say a wonderful	Simonton stated we had this discussion;
company comes in and does well for a	UDS is a twenty-five year contact, so we
couple hundred years. The cell will still be	need a sewer treatment plant in ten years.
here.	
Is there an incremental recommendation	
process, something that would go on for a	
long time?	
We are bartering; do we want water, sewer	
for ten years, a hundred years on issues of	
an enduring basis?	
There are pro's and con's so what are the	
trade off's?	
We need to break the pie down into slices	
instead of one and advantage several	

smaller ones into opportunities. When the community asks why are you doing this, we	
can say because we get a, b, c, and d	
<b>Henderson</b> asked is there a model that we could look at incurring more than a day.	<b>Price</b> stated we will review with JD and show you at a future time what it is now and what it could be.
Recycling criteria, is there a	What it do are bot
cost/benefit/formula?	<b>Bradburne</b> stated still a lot of discussion on Nickel and secure materials. As soon as we get some good information on Nickel, we will pass it on to you.
<i>Halstead</i> stated you do not need a sewer if	
everything is closed.	
The 326 will mostly go to Nevada.	
<b>Bandy</b> stated that is what we need, to be	
able to say we did it because we received	
this in return.	

*Chiou* went over the other site disposal cells for comparison.

Huber asked what did they use to build up	<i>Chiou</i> stated we processed the clay liner 70
the land at Fernald?	foot on average, then 300 feet of sand.

**Comments from SODI** – *Shepherd* stated they recycled the final bail, waiting to see what can be cleared. *Simonton* stated Steve it looks good out there. *Roberts* stated that in five or six weeks we will have a field trip to SODI.

*Simonton* stated the Science Alliance is this week Oct 12-14. We have 1,200 students coming, twenty schools, about four hundred students a day.

*Henderson* introduced Connie Yeager she is applying for our board.

**Public Comments: None** 

Next meeting: November 9th at 6:30

Meeting adjourned

### **SSAB Information Portfolio Contents**

October 6, 2011

Proposed Post-Cleanup Site Configuration Request input on post-cleanup site configuration on or before January 2012 board meeting	Process Building D&D  Request recommendation on acceptability of DOE's proposed decision for process building D&D on or before February 2012 board meeting	Cleanup Levels  Confirm industrial user as minimally acceptable future use receptor – on or before January 2012 (timing of final cleanup level recommendation TBD)	Waste Disposition  Request recommendation on acceptability of DOE's proposed decision for waste disposition on or before March 2012 board meeting
<ul> <li>□ Overview of post-cleanup configuration planning</li> <li>□ Assumptions for proposed post-cleanup site configuration</li> <li>□ Draft SODI final configuration map</li> <li>□ Master plan for implementation and funding</li> </ul>	Description of Alternatives Scope/Volumes/Waste Streams Requirements and Considerations for each alternative  Protection of Human Health and Environment Compliance with other laws (e.g. NHPA) Short term risk to workers Cost Schedule Impact on site and regional employment	Description of Alternatives	Description of Alternatives  Scope/Volumes/Waste Streams  Recycling Criteria  Requirements and Considerations for both On-Site and Off-Site  Compliance with other laws (e.g., NHPA, NEPA, Wetlands) Waivers, if applicable Long term protection of human health and environment Waste Acceptance Criteria Short term risk for workers and transportation Cost Schedule Impact on site and regional employment and long term impact or benefit to community  Onsite Disposal Option Information Construction details and impacts Siting of On-Site Disposal Cell Long term monitoring and maintenance requirements Impacts to cultural and natural resources Opportunity for landfill consolidation Other site disposal cells for comparison Future use considerations for disposal site  Landfill Consolidation Information Known information – Contents and Volumes Current remedy configuration and performance Site conditions and underlying geology Major uncertainties and risks Excavation Risks and exposure potential Requirements and possible treatment Cost Schedule Benefits

## **SSAB Information Portfolio Contents**

October 6, 2011

### **Four Month Look Ahead**

November						
	Building D&D  Description of Alternatives Scope/Volumes/Waste Streams Protection of Human Health and Environment Compliance with other laws (e.g., NHPA) Short term risk to workers Cost Schedule Impact on site and regional employment	Future (	Overview of post cleanup configuration planning Assumptions for proposed post-cleanup site configuration Draft SODI final configuration map			
	Dec	ember				
Requirer	Description of Alternatives Scope/Volumes/Waste Streams Recycling Criteria ments and Considerations for both On-Site and Compliance with other laws (e.g., NHPA, NEPA, Waivers, if applicable Long term protection of human health and env Waste Acceptance Criteria Short term risk for workers and transportation Cost Schedule Impact on site and regional employment	Wetlands)				
		nuary				
Onsite D	Disposition Disposal Option Information Construction details and impacts Siting of On-Site Disposal Cell Long term monitoring and maintenance requirements Impacts to cultural and natural resources Opportunity for landfill consolidation Other site disposal cells for comparison Future use considerations for disposal site		Consolidation Information  Known information – Contents and Volumes  Current remedy configuration and performance			
February						
	Consolidation Information Site conditions and underlying geology Major uncertainties and risks Excavation  O Requirements and possible treatment O Cost O Schedule O Benefits O Risks and exposure potential					