

**SUBCOMMITTEE CHAIR**CRISTY D. RENNER **SUBCOMMITTEE VICE CHAIR** 

FRANK H. HALSTEAD

**BOARD CHAIR**RICHARD H. SNYDER **BOARD VICE CHAIR** 

VAL E. FRANCIS

**SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS** 

MARTHA A. COSBY
ERVIN S. CRAFT
BRIAN F. HUBER
SHARON E. MANSON
MICHAEL E. PAYTON

**DOE DEPUTY DESIGNATED FEDERAL OFFICIAL**JOEL BRADBURNE

**DOE FEDERAL COORDINATOR** 

GREG SIMONTON

# SUPPORT SERVICES EHI CONSULTANTS

PHONE: 740-289-5249

FAX: 740-289-1578

EMAIL: JULIE@PORTS-SSAB.ORG

# Environmental Cleanup and Land Preparation Subcommittee Tuesday, April 10, 2012 @ 6:30 p.m.

### **A**GENDA

- FUTURE VISION DISCUSSION
- PLAN OF ACTION

ADJOURN



## **ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP & LAND PREPARATION SUBCOMMITTEE**

MEETING SUMMARY
APRIL 10, 2012 • 6:30 P.M.
THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY ENDEAVOR CENTER
1862 SHYVILLE ROAD, PIKETON, OH 45661

**Subcommittee Members Present:** Cristy Renner Subcommittee Chair, Frank Halstead Subcommittee Vice-Chair, Brian Huber

**SSAB Subcommittee Members Absent:** Martha Cosby, Stan Craft, Sharon Manson, Michael Payton

Other SSAB Members Present: Dick Snyder Board Chair, Will Henderson, Dan Minter

**U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and contractors:** Joel Bradburne, Greg Simonton, DOE; Rick Greene, Restoration Services, Inc. (RSI); Karen Price, Marc Jewett, Jennifer Chandler, Marc Hill, Deneen Revel, Eric Woods, Fluor-B&W Portsmouth (FBP)

Liaisons: Maria Galanti, Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

**Support Staff:** Julie Galloway, Cindy Lewis, EHI Consultants (EHI)

**Public:** Steve Shepherd, Southern Ohio Diversification Initiative (SODI); Danielle Nameth, Senator Sherrod Brown's Representative; John Knauff

**Renner** opened the meeting.

#### 1. Future Vision Discussion

#### Discussion:

Question/Comment:	Answer:
<b>Simonton:</b> Is this something we can start with?	<b>Renner:</b> Yes, we need haul road access to different areas.
They did look at the infrastructure of the water, and sewer. I want to make sure that what we are hearing is a starting point.	Halstead: This is a good starting point you could maybe sell them to moving in here.
You need to have something to show people.	

There are some changes that need to be made.

Gene said something the other night that got me to thinking; about an on-site cell means something. We need to talk about what is bad and what is ok to go in an on-site waste cell if we have one. Do we need to ship off the glass windows? Doors, sidewalks? Can we justify spending money to ship off the sidewalks? Concrete and steel is about half the volume of waste out there.

Shipping off concrete will take a long time. It also takes a long time to fill up an industrial park. What is the timeline we have to make a decision? A vision has to be clear.

Yes the content of a waste cell is scary, but if you look at what items would be OK to store in a cell, then it is not so scary.

You want to educate the public.

**Snyder:** DOE will turn this over to the development company as things come down and have them come in and look at it.

The landfills are closed by OEPA and right now, they are safe?

What happens when you dig them up and move them to a cell?

*Minter:* You could preserve some of the green space.

*Halstead:* They do not want any waste here but that is not realistic. We have to compromise.

*Minter:* People do not want an on-site waste cell, but we already have 108 acres of landfills.

**Renner:** Maybe we should have a presentation on the basics of WAC sometime in the next couple of months in a full board meeting.

*Henderson:* What kind of chemicals are in each landfill, be honest and straight forward.

*Huber:* DOE could take out a full-page map in the paper and tell them what is in each landfill.

**Simonton:** DOE's part is to prepare the site for development. Some pieces of that plan will be taken up with SODI.

*Galanti:* Yes, they are safe.

You would have to go back and recap. It would be nice to show a map with no plumes. Some pump and treat would be left. You will still need wells to be checked and tested. It is a large undertaking to dig up a

	plume.
We can get the site up to an industrial level.	<b>Simonton</b> : A DOE goal is to have minimal long term stewardship.
<i>Minter</i> : We could start by looking at the Fernald on-site waste cell.	
We already have an on-site disposal the question is where do you want it and how safe?	<b>Shepherd</b> : If you do not clean up the landfills then you do not have an industrial park.
Could we have a station at the public meeting to get things started?	Simonton: We could have a station at the May public meeting and then decide where to go after that.  Galanti: A simple fact sheet that says we
<b>Renner</b> : Can we do a visual comparison of what waste is on-site?	have five plumes and so on.  Simonton: Would it be reasonable to have a catalog of what is ok, what is questionable, and what goes off-site?
Henderson: It seems to me that we already have seven landfills. Waste is already here, it is no discussion if you want to bring the site up to an industrial level.	
Have a topic on what is existing now. Would It not be better to set it aside in one location instead of seven?	

# **PLAN OF ACTION:**

- Presentation to full board on WAC
- Long term stewardship
- ETTP and Horizon

Renner: Meeting adjourned

Next meeting: Tuesday, May 8, 2012 at 6:30 p.m.