



Portsmouth EM Site Specific
Advisory Board

SUBCOMMITTEE CHAIR

WILLIAM E. HENDERSON II

SUBCOMMITTEE VICE CHAIR

DANIEL J. MINTER

BOARD CHAIR

RICHARD H. SNYDER

BOARD VICE CHAIR

VAL E. FRANCIS

SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS

SHIRLEY A. BANDY

MARTHA A. COSBY

FRANK H. HALSTEAD

BRIAN F. HUBER

DOE DEPUTY DESIGNATED

FEDERAL OFFICIAL

JOEL BRADBURNE

DOE FEDERAL COORDINATOR

GREG SIMONTON

SUPPORT SERVICES

EHI CONSULTANTS

PHONE: 740-289-5249

FAX: 740-289-1578

EMAIL: JULIE@PORTS-SSAB.ORG

WASTE DISPOSITION AND RECYCLING SUBCOMMITTEE

TUESDAY, APRIL 10, 2012 @ 5:30 P.M.

AGENDA

- PRESENTATION – NICKEL EXPRESSION OF INTEREST - FLUOR B&W
- DISCUSSION
 - POTENTIAL RECOMMENDATIONS
- PLAN OF ACTION

ADJOURN



WASTE DISPOSITION & RECYCLING SUBCOMMITTEE

MEETING SUMMARY

APRIL 10, 2012 • 5:30 P.M.

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY ENDEAVOR CENTER
1862 SHYVILLE ROAD, PIKETON, OH 45661

Subcommittee Members Present: Will Henderson Subcommittee Chair, Dan Minter, Subcommittee Vice-Chair, Frank Halstead, Brian Huber

SSAB Subcommittee Members Absent: Shirley Bandy, Martha Cosby

Other SSAB Members Present: Dick Snyder Board Chair, Frank Halstead, Cristy Renner

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and contractors: Joel Bradburne, Greg Simonton, DOE; Karen Price, Marc Jewett, Jennifer Chandler, Marc Hill, Deneen Revel, Eric Woods, Fluor-B&W Portsmouth (FBP)

Liaisons: Maria Galanti, Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

Support Staff: Julie Galloway, Cindy Lewis, EHI Consultants (EHI)

Public: Steve Shepherd, Southern Ohio Diversification Initiative (SODI); Danielle Nameth, Senator Sherrod Brown's Representative; John Knauff

Henderson opened the meeting.

1. Nickel Expression of Interest- Dennis Carr

Carr: We are seeking the input from industry to see if this concept is worthy of this pursuit. This is not a contract, or anything, just an expression of interest. We have asked for technology package due May 7th and commercial package on June 19th. It has to be in the best interest of the government. Is there a market out there for the product you can produce? We want to know what form of waste would be left and what amounts.

Discussion:

Question/Comment:	Answer:
Halstead: Are you going to use a ram on the converters?	Carr: We have a team to look at how do we recover the nickel from the converters. Not interested in preserving anything, just how to get the nickel out. The team will have a

<p>You are talking about a lot of money to bring it back to a useable state.</p>	<p>full evaluation done in 30 – 45 days.</p>
<p>Snyder: Are you excluding foreign interests? Is there foreign interest?</p>	<p>Carr: We are not excluding them, today anybody can respond. Yes, there is foreign interest. If we would go on with this then they would have to prove ownership and control.</p>
<p>Huber: This is a long-term process, are you looking to build a factory here to do the process? Which facility has the most nickel?</p>	<p>Carr: We ask them where they would see this process taking place, here or somewhere else, if we were to do it. Paducah has 15700 pounds and we have 6400 here, Oakridge does not have much anymore.</p>
<p>Henderson: If these processes are developed, they are going to want to keep it quiet. Are their safeguards to make sure that does not happen?</p>	<p>Carr: Yes there are safeguards. We ask them not to disclose it and if they do put in under a separate cover.</p>

2. Potential Recommendations:

Henderson: Brian submitted some changes. I have made some changes to the original draft recommendation that we discussed at our last meeting. We need to have an open discussion. This is a working recommendation, and we need to get it all out.

Question/Comment:	Answer:
<p>Snyder: Is your plan to submit this recommendation at the May board meetings? The local community went a long way to bring the plant here. Maybe we do not need all the fluff in the recommendation. Would it be better to say DOE complex instead of a weapons complex? The recommendation we submitted on smelter said we would be taking items from other sites. We do not want to be a dump and I think</p>	<p>Henderson: Yes, I would like to. Simonton: A draft will be sent to the full board seven days before the meeting. We would read the recommendation and then discuss it and have public comments. Minter: Build the plant before you bring anything in from off-site.</p>

<p>that is Brian's concern.</p> <p>I do not think anyone else has submitted a recommendation regarding recycling.</p>	
<p>Huber: I submitted a recommendation also. This one says almost the same thing as the first one, just added more fluff.</p> <p>The part where it states the local community rallied behind. I do not think most of the community was for building here. I know of Amish people when they found out, they completely moved out. DOE moved in here and built it, it was all politicians.</p> <p>Where did the 150% come from?</p> <p>I do not support any contaminated materials coming here even if we did have a smelter here. I will only want it to process our site's materials.</p>	<p>Henderson: What part do you not like?</p> <p>Halstead: The community did want it here. They wanted jobs so they had employment. The group that built the plant was not DOE.</p> <p>Simonton: In order to build here the local community put up some of their own money to build it. They stepped up to support it.</p> <p>Henderson: We want it to be something DOE can show they are going beyond the norm. If we have to have a landfill, we should have something for it.</p> <p>Minter: If they are equal amounts then they should go for recycling.</p> <p>Henderson: If we build the model but do not want anyone else to bring stuff here, then I think we would be setting ourselves up for failure. The amount of nickel that is here and other materials would be about the same. We need to process something here to bring jobs to the area.</p> <p>If Brian wants that section out of the recommendation, I will take it out if that is what it takes to get his support.</p> <p>Minter: You could not build three plants to do the same thing. It just is not going to happen.</p>
<p>Henderson: \$300,000 in grants was given for improvements to the infrastructure by SODI because of the recycling.</p>	
<p>Halstead: I make a motion to send the</p>	<p>Henderson: I will second, after we make</p>

recommendation to the full board after these changes are made.	the changes that we discussed. Minter: I abstain from this recommendation.
--	--

1. Plan of Action:

- Make changes to recommendation and sent out to full board by April 26, 2012
- Continue gathering information and discussions on clean-up criteria and on-site/off-site disposal options.

Henderson: Meeting adjourned

Next meeting: Tuesday, May 8, 2012, at 4:30 p.m.

Action Items:

1. Invite the Edison School to come present.
2. Will to make changes to recommendation.
3. EHI to put recommendation in the proper format.