

Portsmouth EM Site Specific

Advisory Board

**SUBCOMMITTEE CHAIR** 

CAROL CAUDILL

**SUBCOMMITTEE VICE CHAIR** 

CINDY QUILLEN

**BOARD CHAIR** 

**BOB BERRY** 

**BOARD VICE CHAIR** 

LISA BENNETT
CARLTON CAVE

SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS

CARL HARTLEY
NEIL LEIST
CHARLENE PAYNE

DOE DEPUTY DESIGNATED

FEDERAL OFFICIAL

JOEL BRADBURNE

**DOE FEDERAL COORDINATOR** 

**GREG SIMONTON** 

SUPPORT SERVICES

EHI CONSULTANTS
PHONE: 740-289-5249
FAX: 740-289-1578
EMAIL: JULIE@PORTS-SSAB.ORG

# HISTORIC LEGACY & COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT SUBCOMMITTEE

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 11, 2016 @ 4:45 P.M.

THE PURPOSE OF THIS MEETING IS TO HAVE A RECAP ON THE SCIENCE ALLIANCE

#### AGENDA

- SCIENCE ALLIANCE RECAP-JACK WILLIAMS, FBP
- MISCELLANEOUS

**A**DJOURN



### HISTORIC LEGACY & COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT SUBCOMMITTEE

MEETING SUMMARY
OCTOBER 11, 2016 • 4:45 P.M.
THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY ENDEAVOR CENTER
1862 SHYVILLE ROAD, PIKETON, OH 45661

**SSAB Subcommittee Members Present:** Carol Caudill, subcommittee chair; Cindy Quillen, subcommittee vice chair; Charlene Payne

SSAB Subcommittee Members Absent: Carl Hartley, Neil Leist

**Other SSAB Members Present:** Bob Berry, board chair; Lisa Bennett, Carlton Cave board co-vice chairs; Jimmy Smalley, Judy Vollrath

**U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and contractors:** Joe Bradburne, Greg Simonton, Johnny Reising, DOE; Rick Greene, Restoration Services, Inc. (RSI); Dennis Carr, Jeff Wagner, Jack Williams, Eric Woods, Jill Thomson, J.D. Choiu, Fluor-B&W Portsmouth (FBP)

**Liaisons:** Mike Rubadue, Ohio Department of Health (ODH); Dustin Tschudy, Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

**Support Staff:** Eric Roberts, Julie Galloway, Cindy Lewis, EHI Consultants (EHI)

Public: None

**Caudill:** Opened the meeting

### 1. Science Alliance Recap, Jack Williams, FBP:

Roberts: From the beginning of the Science Alliance, we have looked to see how the SSAB could be involved. The first couple of years, we helped welcome everyone when they got off the bus, handed out brochures and the last couple we have been extra hands and helped wherever needed. We always thought that we would do more. So the question has come up if we should host a station which is a large undertaking. There are pros and cons for both, so I really wanted to hear from you guys. Are you interested in pursuing something

*Caudill:* How can we do this when we only have five members? I just worry if we have enough volunteers to pull something like this off. I am not saying it's not a great thing, because I think it is.

*Cave:* I am not sure that we have enough volunteers to host a station.

**Berry:** I would like to do more than we have been doing. Julie said the Pike County Chamber would be willing to work with us on something.

Chartered as an EM Site Specific Advisory Board under the Federal Advisory Committee Act

more or is your involvement now as much as you want to undertake?	<b>Payne:</b> I really like the sound of it. I would like more information.
How about we work with Jack and see what other kinds of roles we could take? We'll come up with a couple of ideas and see how many volunteers it would take so we would have an idea of what we would need. We have until May. Let us put something together and bring it back to the subcommittee.	

### 2. Miscellaneous:

	<u> </u>
Roberts: We wanted to go over	Bradburne: All of our contractors are
Recommendation 16-02 that we talked	on a fixed term, usually five years, but it
about at the board meeting last week.	is funded annually. A closure site would
Did you have any questions? Joel or Greg	be a fixed scope of work. The sooner you
could you explain what a closure site is?	finish a job it would save money so that
	would be an incentive to finish sooner.
	With that, there is commitment by the
	government that they will guarantee a
	certain amount of money every single
	year so you do not go through this
	funding fluctuation with how much
	money you get every year. It is a fixed
	scope of work over some reasonable
	time period. Then you would know when
	the work will be done.
<b>Smalley:</b> Would you think the sooner we	Bradburne: I would think so, if you don't
put this recommendation out there the	pass it in November you probably
better it would be?	missed this year's window. Everybody is
	talking about the federal budget. As
	soon as it passes they start worrying
	about everything else.
<b>Berry:</b> If we could get this program	
started maybe by the time the barter has	
run out, I think it would be great. It all	
takes time. I think this is our best option.	

Caudill: Meeting adjourned

## 3. Action Items:

1. EHI and Fluor to put together a work plan for the board to be more involved in the Science Alliance.

Chartered as an EM Site Specific Advisory Board under the Federal Advisory Committee Act