



Portsmouth EM Site Specific  
Advisory Board

**SUBCOMMITTEE CHAIR**

DENNIS FOREMAN

**SUBCOMMITTEE VICE CHAIR**

**BOARD CHAIR**

BOB BERRY

**BOARD VICE CHAIR**

LISA BENNETT

CARLTON CAVE

**SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS**

ERIC BRAUN

CAROL CAUDILL

AL DON CISCO

CARL HARTLY

JUDY VOLLRATH

**DOE DEPUTY DESIGNATED**

**FEDERAL OFFICIAL**

JOEL BRADBURNE

**DOE FEDERAL COORDINATOR**

GREG SIMONTON

**SUPPORT SERVICES**

EHI CONSULTANTS

PHONE: 740-289-5249

FAX: 740-289-1578

EMAIL: JULIE@PORTS-SSAB.ORG

**FUTURE USE SUBCOMMITTEE**

**TUESDAY, OCTOBER 11, 2016 @ 6:15 P.M.**

**THE PURPOSE OF THIS MEETING IS TO HAVE A SUMMARY OF OHIO UNIVERSITY PORTS  
FUTURE AND D&D/REINDUSTRIALIZATION COORDINATION**

**AGENDA**

- OHIO UNIVERSITY PORTS FUTURE SUMMARY-GREG SIMONTON, DOE
- D&D/REINDUSTRIALIZATION COORDINATION-GREG SIMONTON, DOE
- MISCELLANEOUS

ADJOURN



## **FUTURE USE SUBCOMMITTEE**

MEETING SUMMARY

OCTOBER 11, 2016 • 6:15 P.M.

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY ENDEAVOR CENTER  
1862 SHYVILLE ROAD, PIKETON, OH 45661

**Subcommittee Members Present:** Carlton Cave, Al Don Cisco, Dennis Foreman, subcommittee chair; Judy Vollrath

**SSAB Subcommittee Members Absent:** Eric Braun, Carol Caudill, Carl Hartley

**Other SSAB Members Present:** Bob Berry, board chair; Lisa Bennett, Carlton Cave, board co-vice chairs; Greg Stepp, Cindy Quillen

**U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and contractors:** Greg Simonton, Johnny Reising, DOE; Rick Greene, Restoration Services, Inc. (RSI); Dennis Carr, Jeff Wagner, Jack Williams, J.D. Chiou, Jill Thompson, Eric Woods, Fluor-BWXT Portsmouth (FBP)

**Liaisons:** Mike Rubadue, Ohio Department of Health (ODH); Jim Sferra, Dustin Tschudy, Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

**Support Staff:** Eric Roberts, Julie Galloway, Cindy Lewis, EHI Consultants (EHI)

**Public:** None

**Foreman:** opened the meeting

### **1. Ohio University PORTS Future Summary, Greg Simonton, DOE:**

<p><b>Roberts:</b> Dennis can you recap the FFE study that was performed. I do not know if it will answer everything that Dennis is asking about, but it will cover some of it.</p>	<p><b>Carr:</b> FFE was a subcontractor that worked with us and The Southern Ohio Diversification Initiative (SODI). They looked at grade plans, utilities and how they can fit into the future use of the site. Landfill excavation was considered in the plan.</p>
<p><b>Cave:</b> This recommendation is just getting the ball rolling. Just because we get the ball rolling that does not mean that we cannot stop it. Because if we wait three or four months for when the next budget comes out we will not have anyone's attention, we need to get it in their minds or we miss the opportunity.</p>	<p><b>Foreman:</b> I think we need a third party assessment. We will have this cell for 1000 years and it is going to be there. How long will it take to get something like that back? Yes, we will have jobs for a while, but what is left after the cleanup is complete?</p>

*Chartered as an EM Site Specific Advisory Board under the Federal Advisory Committee Act*

*2015 PIKE COUNTY CHAMBER OF COMMERCE ORGANIZATION OF THE YEAR*

<p><b>Roberts:</b> I wish you could have been here to hear what was included with the thought behind recommendation 16-02. Do not feel obligated to find the correct answer, just find your best answer.</p> <p>We can talk to Mike Grauwelman from Mound about coming to talk to the subcommittee. He has been here before and was helpful. If there is interest in supporting a third party assessment, write a recommendation. It is best to keep them separate. It makes the recommendations easier to answer if you have one for each thing.</p>	<p><b>Foreman:</b> We are in a town that is economically depressed. We do not have much of a future for our citizens. Before I vote for a closure contract, I want to see a commitment for our community. Let us go look at how nice it is in Oak Ridge. Let us go to Paducah where they have art museums, I want to see some commitment, I live here, some of you do not live here, you will be gone. This cell will be here for 1000 years.</p>
<p><b>Greene:</b> We have talked about three different things here. We have talked about a third party assessment, closure fund, and reindustrialization. I do not think the three things have to be linked together.</p>	
<p><b>Berry:</b> We asked to be a closure fund site, but I do not think they would actually consider it until we start tearing buildings down and putting stuff in the cell to prove that we are at that point. That will be a few years from now. This is just to get it started, everything in line. Everybody here is assuming that all we have to do is ask to be a closure fund and it is done, but that answer may be no. This site may never be a closure fund. We have asked to come off the barter every time I come to a meeting and it is thanks for coming and we are still on barter. I would like to hear SODI's thoughts on the closure fund. To see if they have prospects. If it would be more benefit for them if we have more of a timeframe.</p>	<p><b>Foreman:</b> I want to hear from other sites that have had a closure fund and have become an industrial sites.</p>

**2. D&D/Reindustrialization Coordination, Greg Simonton, DOE:**  
Simonton discussed D&D/Reindustrialization.

**3. Miscellaneous: None**

**Foreman:** Adjourned the meeting.

**4. Action Items: None**