



Portsmouth EM Site Specific
Advisory Board

SUBCOMMITTEE CHAIR

STAN CRAFT

SUBCOMMITTEE VICE CHAIR

JUDY VOLLRATH

BOARD CHAIR

WILLIAM E HENDERSON II

BOARD VICE CHAIR

BOB BERRY

SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS

MARTHA COSBY
RONDA KINNAMON
NEIL LEIST
CHARLENE PAYNE
CRISTY RENNER

DOE DEPUTY DESIGNATED

FEDERAL OFFICIAL

JOEL BRADBURNE

DOE FEDERAL COORDINATOR

GREG SIMONTON

SUPPORT SERVICES

EHI CONSULTANTS
PHONE: 740-289-5249
FAX: 740-289-1578
EMAIL: JULIE@PORTS-SSAB.ORG

BUDGET AND LIFECYCLE PLANNING SUBCOMMITTEE

TUESDAY, JUNE 14, 2016 @ 4:00 P.M.

**THE PURPOSE OF THIS MEETING IS TO PRESENT THE SUBCOMMITTEE WITH A
BARTER UPDATE**

AGENDA

- BARTER UPDATE, DENNIS CARR, FBP
- DRAFT RECOMMENDATION 16-02 DISCUSSION, STAN CRAFT
- MISCELLANEOUS

ADJOURN



BUDGET AND LIFECYCLE PLANNING SUBCOMMITTEE

MEETING SUMMARY

JUNE 14, 2016 • 4:00 P.M.

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY ENDEAVOR CENTER
1862 SHYVILLE ROAD, PIKETON, OH 45661

SSAB Subcommittee Members Present: Stan Craft, subcommittee chair; Judy Vollrath, subcommittee vice chair; Ronda Kinnamon, Neil Leist

SSAB Subcommittee Members Absent: Lisa Bennett, Martha Cosby, Charlene Payne, Cristy Renner

Other SSAB Members Present: Bob Berry, board vice chair; Carl Hartley, Carol Caudill

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and contractors: Greg Simonton, DOE; Rick Greene, Joe Moore, Restoration Services, Inc. (RSI); Jeff Wagner, Jack Williams, Eric Woods, Jill Thomson, Grant Carmichael, Fluor-B&W Portsmouth (FBP)

Liaisons: Dustin Tschudy, Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

Support Staff: Eric Roberts, Julie Galloway, Cindy Lewis, EHI Consultants (EHI)

Public: None

Craft: opened the meeting

1. Barter Update –Greg Simonton, FBP:

Craft: The funds that are appropriated for the cell now, will they have to be reprogrammed with the prices of uranium going down?	Carr: No, that stays the same for this year. However, we are not allowed to use those funds for anything else. It is a capital line item project.
Roberts: The Waste Cell is a capital line item project. Is there a point where lack of funding will change things?	Carr: Yes, if we are delayed with the waste cell, the buildings will just be cold and dark next year. \$58 million for the cell next year then we will have to wait on more funding before we can move on.

2. Draft Recommendation 16-02 Discussion – Stan Craft:

<p>Craft: What this board does is watched by the community. They look at everything that comes out of this board. That is the key to getting funding. I see no reason why the board would not pass recommendation 16.02. If we do not get the funding, then we lose jobs.</p>	
<p>Wagner: Should you show a couple other examples besides Fernald that used the closure fund? Such as Rocky Flats and Mound.</p>	<p>Craft: I think that would be great.</p>
<p>Caudill: I would like to know what a closure fund is.</p>	<p>Carr: In general, there is a current regulation on the books with DOE, which basically identifies sites that meet certain criteria. The criteria was mostly that there was no technology advancements that were needed, a clear regulatory decision in place, the support of the community and clear end-state. Then they would fund these projects at a constant rate with the intent of gaining early closure. You would have to commit to a date for completion and report to Congress on your progress every year. They would allow special funding to fund those projects to get those done. It would have to be rewritten in order to help us.</p>

3. Miscellaneous: No discussion at the time.

Craft: Meeting adjourned

4. Action Items:

1. Stan to add the other sites that used the closure fund.