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#### Abstract

This report summarizes information collected annually in the United States on survival, recruitment, abundance and harvest of mourning doves. We report on trends in the number of doves heard per route from the Mourning Dove Call-count Survey (CCS), doves seen per route from the CCS, birds heard and seen per route from the all-bird North American Breeding Bird Survey (BBS), and provide absolute abundance estimates based on band recovery and harvest data. Harvest and hunter participation are estimated from the Migratory Bird Harvest Information Program (HIP). The CCS-heard data suggested that abundance of doves decreased in all three dove management units (Eastern [EMU], Central [CMU], and Western [WMU]) over the long term (19662013); within the EMU, however, there is evidence that abundance decreased in hunt states but increased in nonhunt states. In the recent 10 years there was no evidence for a change in mourning dove abundance in the EMU, but there was evidence of a decline in the CMU and WMU. Over the most recent two years there was no evidence for a change in abundance in any of the management units. Over the long term, trends based on CCSheard and CCS-seen data were consistent in the CMU and WMU, but inconsistent in the EMU; CCS-seen data indicated that abundance increased in the EMU. BBS data suggested that the abundance of mourning doves over the long-term increased in the EMU and decreased in the CMU and WMU. Thus, over the long term, the three data sets provided consistent results for the CMU and WMU but not the EMU. Estimates of absolute abundance are available only since 2003 and indicate that there are about 349 million doves in the United States, and annual abundance during the recent 5 years appears stationary in the EMU and WMU, but may be declining in the CMU. However, abundance appeared to increase between 2011 and 2012 in the CMU and WMU. Based on a composite trend (weighted trend estimate using information from the CCS, BBS, and absolute abundance), the EMU and WMU populations were stationary over the previous 5 and 10 years whereas the population in the CMU declined. Current (2012) HIP estimates for mourning dove total harvest, active hunters, and total days afield in the U.S. were $14,490,800 \pm 532,700$ (estimate $\pm$ SE) birds, 828,900 hunters, and $2,538,000 \pm 75,300$ days afield. Harvest and hunter participation at the unit level were: EMU, $6,279,900 \pm 243,400$ birds, 349,600 hunters, and $1,015,600$ $\pm 37,900$ days afield; CMU, $6,361,600 \pm 468,300$ birds, 338,700 hunters, and $1,108,700 \pm 63,200$ days afield; and WMU, 1,849,400 $\pm 71,800$ birds, 140,700 hunters, and $413,700 \pm 15,500$ days afield.


The mourning dove (Zenaida macroura) is one of the most abundant bird species in urban and rural areas of North America, and is familiar to millions of people. Authority and responsibility for management of this species in the United States is vested in the Secretary of the Interior. This responsibility is conferred by the Migratory Bird Treaty Act of 1918 which, as amended, implements migratory bird treaties between the United States and other countries. Mourning doves are included in the treaties with Great Britain (for Canada) and Mexico (U.S. Department of the Interior 1988). These treaties recognize sport hunting as a legitimate use of a renewable migratory bird resource.

Maintenance of dove populations in a healthy, productive state is a primary management goal. Management activities include population assessment, harvest regulation, and habitat management. Each year, counts of mourning doves heard and seen are conducted by state, federal, tribal, and other biologists in the 48 conterminous states to monitor populations. In addition, each year thousands of doves are banded and thousands of wings from harvested doves are analyzed to estimate annual survival, harvest rates, recruitment, and abundance. The resulting information is used by wildlife managers in setting annual hunting regulations. Past federal frameworks for dove hunting in the United States are in Appendix A.


Figure 1. Breeding and wintering ranges of the mourning dove (adapted from Mirarchi and Baskett 1994).

## DISTRIBUTION

The mourning dove is one of the most widely distributed and abundant birds in North America (Peterjohn et al. 1994, Fig. 1). Mourning doves breed from southern Canada throughout the United States into Mexico, Bermuda, the Bahamas and Greater Antilles, and in scattered locations in Central America (Fig. 1). Although mourning doves winter throughout much of their breeding range, the majority winter in the southern United States, Mexico, and south through Central America to western Panama (Aldrich 1993, Mirarchi and Baskett 1994).

## POPULATION MONITORING

## Call-count Survey

The Mourning Dove Call-count Survey (CCS) was developed to provide an annual index of abundance specifically for mourning doves (Dolton 1993). This survey is based on work by McClure (1939) in Iowa.

In the United States, the survey currently includes more than 1,000 randomly selected routes, stratified by Bird Conservation Regions (Dolton 1993, Sauer et al. 2010).

CCS routes are located on secondary roads and have 20 listening stations spaced at 1 -mile intervals. At each stop, the number of individual doves heard calling, the number of doves seen, and the level of disturbance (noise) that impairs the observer's ability to hear doves are recorded during a 3-minute period. Observers also record the number of doves seen while driving between stops.

Counts begin one-half hour before sunrise and take about 2 hours to complete. Routes are run once between 20 May and 5 June. Surveys are not conducted when wind velocities exceed 12 miles per hour or at times of precipitation.

The number of doves heard and seen during the CCS are recorded and analyzed separately. The total number of doves heard on each route is used to determine annual indices of abundance during the breeding season. Subsequently, trends in abundance over time are determined from these annual indices. A similar assessment is completed based on doves seen and results are also presented in this report, but only as supplemental information for comparison with indices and trends of doves heard.

Within the United States, there are three zones that contain mourning dove populations that are largely independent of each other (Kiel 1959). These zones encompass the principal breeding, migration, and U.S. wintering areas for each population. As suggested by Kiel (1959), these three areas were established as separate management units in 1960 (Kiel 1961). Since that time, management decisions have been made within the boundaries of the Eastern (EMU), Central (CMU), and Western (WMU) Management Units (Fig. 2). The EMU was further divided into two groups of states for analyses. States permitting dove hunting were combined into one group (hunt) and those prohibiting dove hunting into another (nonhunt). Wisconsin became a hunt state for the first time in 2003, Minnesota in 2004, and Iowa in 2011. Additionally, some states were grouped to increase sample sizes. Maryland and Delaware were combined; Vermont, New Hampshire, Maine, Massachusetts, Connecticut, and Rhode Island were


Figure 2. Mourning dove management units with 2012 hunt and nonhunt states.
combined to form a New England group. Even though Rhode Island is a hunt state, due to its small size and geographic location its data was included in this nonhunt group of states for analysis.

## Breeding Bird Survey

The North American Breeding Bird Survey (BBS) is completed in June and is based on routes that are 24.5 miles long. Each route consists of 50 stops or point count locations at $0.5-\mathrm{mile}$ intervals. At each stop, a 3 -minute count is conducted whereby every bird seen within a 0.25 -mile ( 400 m ) radius or heard is recorded. Surveys start one-half hour before local sunrise and take about 5 hours to complete. Data for birds heard and seen at stops are combined for BBS analyses.

The BBS, CCS, and the estimate of absolute abundance (see below) are used to inform annual harvest management decisions. Consequently, we are including 1966-2012 BBS trend information in this report. Currently available BBS data is one year behind CCS data. Sauer et al. (1994) discussed the differences in the methodology of the two surveys. Current year BBS data are not available in time for use in regulations development during the same year. Research is currently underway to evaluate the causes
of differences in estimated trends between the CCS and BBS results (e.g., Sauer et al. 2010).

## Banding Program

A national banding program was initiated in 2003 to improve our understanding of mourning dove population biology and to help estimate the effect of harvest on mourning dove populations. Doves are banded in July and August in most of the lower 48 states. Band recoveries occur almost exclusively during the U.S. hunting seasons, which occur between 1 September and 15 January.

Banding goals for each state (specified by Bird Conservation Region [BCR]) are based on a power analysis to estimate sample size necessary to achieve a desired precision in estimates of population growth rate at the management unit level (Otis 2009). A weighting factor based on the median BBS index during 1966-2008 was used to determine banding goals for each state within the management unit while BCR area and associated median BBS index were used to determine sample size allocation within states. Placement of stations is left to judgment of the state banding coordinator.

## Harvest Survey

Wildlife professionals have long recognized that reliable harvest estimates are needed to monitor the impact of hunting. In the past, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) estimated harvest of mourning doves from the Mail Questionnaire Survey (Martin and Carney 1977, Martin 1979). However, the sampling frame was primarily waterfowl hunters because it included only those people who bought Duck Stamps. The estimate of harvest from this survey was not the total estimate of dove harvest but rather the total estimate of dove harvest by hunters who purchased Duck Stamps. Therefore, it underestimated total dove harvest and dove hunter activity. Some states conducted dove harvest surveys, but the usefulness of these surveys in estimating dove harvest at larger scales was limited because of partial geographic coverage, the lack of consistent survey methodology, and thus an inability to compare survey results among states.

To remedy the limitations associated with the Mail Questionnaire Survey and using the results of state surveys, the USFWS initiated the Migratory Bird Harvest Information Program (HIP). The program was established in 1992 and became fully operational on a national scale in 1999. HIP is designed to enable the USFWS to conduct nationwide surveys that provide reliable annual estimates of the harvest of mourning doves and other migratory game bird species on state, management unit, and national levels. Under HIP, states provide the USFWS with the names and addresses of all licensed migratory bird hunters each year and then surveys are conducted to estimate harvest and hunter participation (i.e., number of active hunters, total days afield) in each state. All states except Hawaii participate in the program.

## Parts Collection Survey

Age of individual doves can be determined by examination of their wings. Mourning dove wings are easily obtained during the hunting season and can potentially provide estimates of recruitment (number of young per adult in the population), which can be used to inform harvest management. From 2005-2009 some states collected wings for use in estimating age ratios in the fall populations. In 2007, the USFWS initiated the national Mourning Dove Parts Collection

Survey, which expanded the geographical scope of the earlier state based survey.

The survey design for mourning dove wing collection follows that of waterfowl. The sampling frame is defined by hunters who identify themselves as dove hunters when purchasing a state hunting license and who were active dove hunters the previous year.

Each year, state and federal biologists classify wings during a 3 -day wingbee hosted annually by the Missouri Department of Conservation in Lee's Summit, Missouri. Wings of harvested mourning doves are classified as juveniles (hatch-year birds) or adults (after-hatch-year birds). A significant portion of wings are classified as unknown age where molt has progressed to late stage. These harvest age ratios are used to estimate recruitment (population age ratio) after accounting for uncertainty related to unknown age wings and age-specific harvest vulnerability (Miller and Otis 2010).

## METHODS

## Estimation of Trends in Abundance Indices

CCS and BBS trends were estimated using a log-linear hierarchical model and Bayesian analytical framework (Sauer et al. 2008, Sauer et al. 2010). Prior to 2010 trends were estimated using a route regression approach (Link and Sauer 1994). Both methods provide trend and annual index values that are generally comparable. The hierarchical model, however, has a more rigorous and realistic theoretical basis than the weightings used in the route regression approach, and the indices and trends are directly comparable because trends are calculated directly from the indices. For the route regression approach, interval specific trend was estimated for each route then regional trends were estimated by a weighted average of these route trends.

With the hierarchical model, the $\log$ of the expected value of the counts is modeled as a linear combination of strata-specific intercepts and trends, a random effect for each unique combination of route and observer, a year effect, a start-up effect on the route for first year counts by new observers, and over-dispersion. Most of the parameters of interest are treated as random
effects and some parameters are hierarchical in that they are assumed to follow distributions that are governed by additional parameters. The model is fit using Bayesian methods. Markov-chain Monte Carlo methods are used to iteratively produce sequences of parameter estimates which can be used to describe the distribution of the parameters of interest. Once the sequences converge, medians and credible intervals (CI, Bayesian confidence intervals) for the parameters are determined from the subsequent replicates. Annual indices are defined as exponentiated year and trend effects, and trends are defined as ratios of the year effects at the start and end of the interval of interest, taken to the appropriate power to estimate a yearly change (Sauer et al. 2008). Trend estimates are expressed as the average percent change per year over a given time period, while indices are expressed as the number of doves heard, seen, or both heard and seen (BBS) per route.

Annual indices were calculated at the state, region (group of states), and dove management unit levels. Short- (recent 2-year period), intermediate- (recent 10year period) and long-term (all years with data) trends were evaluated for each area. We present the median and 95 th percentile CI for estimates. The extent to which trend credible intervals exclude zero can be interpreted as the strength of evidence for an increasing or decreasing trend. Thus, there is evidence of a positive trend if the $\mathrm{CI}>0$ and there is evidence of negative trend if the $\mathrm{CI}<0$. If the CI contains 0 , then there is inconclusive evidence about trend in abundance. The reported sample sizes are the number of routes or sites on which trend estimates are based, which includes any route on which mourning doves were ever encountered in the region.

For the CCS-heard data, we estimated the trend, or average annual change, in dove abundance for each area over the last 2 and 10 years and for all years since survey implementation in 1966 (Table 1). Also we estimated the trend in dove abundance for each area from CCS-seen data over the same time periods, and present these as supplemental information for comparison with CCS-heard results (Table 2).

For the BBS, trends were calculated over the recent 10 years and for all years since survey implementation in 1966. Current year BBS data are not available at the time of publication of this report and consequently
these data are one year behind the CCS data. BBS results are presented in Table 3.

We present estimated annual indices of mourning dove abundance since 1966 for management units and states based on CCS-heard data (Table 4) and CCS-seen data (Table 5). From these data, trend (point estimate) in dove abundance can be calculated for any time interval within this time period based on the ratio of the index values in the first and last year of the interval of interest.

## Estimation of Survival, Harvest Rate, Recruitment and Absolute Abundance

Band recovery models were used to estimate annual survival and harvest rates. We used a Brownie parameterization (Brownie et al. 1985) and only birds shot during the U.S. hunting season to estimate annual harvest rates. Only direct recoveries were used to estimate harvest rates. Band recovery data were adjusted for reporting rate (Sanders and Otis 2012) prior to analysis; thus, recovery rates estimated from the Brownie parameterization were interpreted as harvest rates. We used a Seber parameterization (Seber 1970) and all dead recoveries to estimate survival rates. No adjustment was made to account for band reporting probabilities as it had no consequence in survival rate estimation, and both direct and indirect recoveries were used.

We were mostly interested in reporting age specific harvest and survival rates by state and management unit. Most states lacked sufficient sample sizes of banded birds to estimate harvest or survival rates annually; therefore, data were pooled over years to obtain mean annual estimates. For the Brownie parameterization we developed a single model for estimation where survival was allowed to vary by age (hatch-year versus after-hatch-year); while recovery rate was allowed to vary by state and age. We used this model for inference regarding state- and agespecific harvest rates. We used a similar approach for the Seber parameterization, formulating a model that allowed recovery rate to vary by state with an additive age effect, and allowed survival to vary by state and age. We used this model for inference regarding age and state specific survival rates.

We used the approach of Miller and Otis (2010) to estimate annual recruitment. We limited samples to wings collected during the first two weeks of September to minimize the proportion of unknown age wings and maximize the proportion of local birds in samples. Unknown age wings were assigned to ageclasses based on previously estimated probabilities that adults will be in late stages of molt. Band recovery data was used to adjust age-ratio estimates for differential vulnerability to harvest.

A simple Lincoln-type estimator was used to estimate abundance from annual harvest and harvest rates (Otis 2006). Abundance for each year was estimated at the management unit level separately for juvenile and adult doves by dividing age-specific total harvest (from the USFWS Harvest Information Program [Table 7] and Parts Collection Survey [Table 10]) by harvest rate estimated from direct (first hunting season) band recoveries. Management unit level harvest rates were based on state weighted harvest rate estimates. The state weight was the product of state habitat area (area within state presumed to be dove habitat) and dove abundance estimated by the Call Count Survey-heard index during the most recent 5year moving average.

## Estimation of Composite Trends in Abundance

Composite trends in abundance were calculated that incorporate all four sources of information on mourning dove abundance including estimates from BBS, CCS doves heard and seen, and derived from band and harvest data. The BBS and CCS provide estimates of relative abundance during June while the band and harvest data provide an estimate of absolute abundance during late August.

A hierarchical model in a Bayesian analytical framework was used to produce a composite abundance index from the four data sources for each management unit and year. The index values are then used to calculate the trend in abundance over the most recent 5 - or 10 -year time interval for each management unit. Repeated sampling results in a posterior probability distribution (PPD) for the estimated trend, a natural and intuitive way to portray uncertainty in the trend point estimate. The time series is 1966-2013 for CCS and BBS data and 2003-2012
for absolute abundance data. We calculated trend estimates for recent 5 and 10-year intervals and for all data since 1966.

## RESULTS

## Call-Count Survey

Eastern Management Unit.-The EMU includes 27 states comprising $30 \%$ of the land area of the contiguous United States. Dove hunting is permitted in 19 states, representing $80 \%$ of the land area of the unit (Fig. 2).

Based on the mean of the 2 CCS-heard indexes from the last 2 years, North Carolina had the highest annual count in the EMU with a mean of 38 doves per route (Fig. 3). Alabama, Georgia, Indiana, Illinois, Kentucky, Mississippi, and South Carolina had 20-30 doves. West Virginia and the New England states had $<10$ doves per route, and the rest of the EMU had 1020 doves.

Based on CCS-heard data, there was no evidence that dove abundance changed in the EMU or in EMU hunt


Figure 3. Mourning dove abundance in the Eastern Management Unit based on the mean of the 2 CCSheard index values from the last 2 years (2012-2013).


Figure 4. Trend in mourning dove abundance by state in the Eastern Management Unit over the last 10 years (2004-2013) based on CCS-heard data. Credible intervals (CI, 95\%) that exclude zero provide evidence for an increasing or decreasing trend.
and nonhunt states during the recent 2 year interval (Table 1). At the state-level, no significant change was indicated over the 2 -year time period (Table 1).

According to CCS-heard data, there was no evidence of change in dove abundance in the EMU or the EMU hunt or non-hunt states over the last 10 years (Table 1). The only EMU states that had evidence of a change in dove abundance during the 10 -year time period were Louisiana and New York, (Table 1, Fig. 4). The trend was positive in both states.

For the 48 -year time period, there was evidence that dove abundance decreased in the EMU and in EMU hunt states, but increased in EMU nonhunt states (Table 1, Fig. 5). At the state-level, there was evidence that doves in Louisiana, Michigan, New York, Wisconsin, West Virginia, and the New England states all increased in abundance while doves in Illinois, Indiana, Mississippi, New Jersey, Tennessee, and Virginia all decreased in abundance (Table 1, Fig.


Figure 5. Trend in mourning dove abundance by state in the Eastern Management Unit over the last 48 years (1966-2013) based on CCS-heard data. Credible intervals (CI, 95\%) that exclude zero provide evidence for an increasing or decreasing trend.
5). There was no evidence of a trend in dove abundance in any of the other EMU states.

Trends from CCS-heard and CCS-seen data were opposite during the last 48 years for both the entire EMU and EMU hunt states (Tables 1 and 2, Fig. 6). Results from the two data sets were similar for EMU nonhunt states during both the 48 -year period and the recent 10 -year period (both increasing; Tables 1 and 2, Fig. 6).

Central Management Unit. -The CMU consists of 14 states, containing $46 \%$ of the land area of the contiguous United States. It has the highest population index of the 3 Units. Within the CMU, dove hunting is permitted in all 14 states (Fig. 2).


Figure 6. Mourning dove abundance indices and predicted trends in the Eastern Management Unit (EMU), EMU hunt states, and EMU nonhunt states based on CCS data, 1966-2013. Trend lines are predicted values from fitting a simple linear regression line through the annual indices.


Figure 7. Mourning dove abundance in the Central Management Unit based on the mean of the 2 CCSheard index values from the last 2 years (2012-2013).


Figure 8. Mourning dove abundance indices and predicted trends in the Central Management Unit based on CCS data, 1966-2013. Trend lines are predicted values from fitting a simple linear regression line through the annual indices.


Figure 9. Trend in mourning dove abundance by state in the Central Management Unit over the last 10 years (2004-2013) based on CCS-heard data. Credible intervals (CI, 95\%) that exclude zero provide evidence for an increasing or decreasing trend.

Kansas, Nebraska, North Dakota, and South Dakota had the most doves in the CMU based on the mean of the 2 CCS-heard index values from the last 2 years; values in these 4 states ranged from 37.6-47.8 doves per route (Fig. 7). Other states in the CMU were between 10.0 and 29.9 doves, with the exception of Wyoming, which had $<10$.

Based on CCS-heard data there was no evidence that dove abundance changed in the CMU over the last 2 years (Table 1). No states experienced significant declines or increases in the CMU (Table 1).

According to CCS-heard data from the CMU, there was evidence that dove abundance declined over the last 10 years, and the last 48 years (Table 1, Fig. 8). In the most recent 10 -year period abundance decreased in Nebraska, Oklahoma and Texas (Table 1, Fig. 9). Considering the 48 -year CCS-heard data, no state had evidence of an increase in dove abundance (Table 1, Fig. 10). However, CCS-heard data indicated that 7 states (Colorado, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, Oklahoma, Texas, and Wyoming) experienced


Figure 10. Trend in mourning dove abundance by state in the Central Management Unit over the last 48 years (1966-2013) based on CCS-heard data. Credible intervals (CI, 95\%) that exclude zero provide evidence for an increasing or decreasing trend.
declines in dove abundance over the 48 -year period (Table 1, Fig. 10).

Western Management Unit. -The WMU consists of 7 states and represents $24 \%$ of the land area of the contiguous United States. All states within the WMU permit mourning dove hunting (Fig. 2).

Based on the mean of the 2 CCS-heard index values from the last 2 years, Arizona had the highest number of doves per route in the WMU; 13.7 doves per route (Fig. 11). All other states had less than 10 doves per route.

There was no evidence of a change in dove abundance in the WMU during the last 2 years based on CCSheard data (Table 1). No individual states experienced a change in abundance during this time. The precision of trend estimates for last 2 years was not great for any state (Table 1).
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Figure 11. Mourning dove abundance in the Western Management Unit based on the mean of the 2 CCSheard index values from the last 2 years (2012-2013).

Based on CCS-heard data, there was evidence that the abundance of doves declined in the WMU and in Arizona and California over the last 10 years (Table 1, Fig. 12). Over the last 48 years, there was also evidence that dove abundance declined in the WMU (Table 1, Fig. 13). During this time period there was evidence of a decline in dove abundance in Arizona, California, Idaho, Oregon, and Utah (Table 1, Fig. 14).

At the WMU level, CCS trend results for doves heard and doves seen per route were similar during the 48 year period but not the 10 year period; 10-year CCSseen indicated no change in abundance (Tables 1-2, Fig. 13)

## Breeding Bird Survey

Here we compare 1966-2012 BBS (Table 3) and 1966-2013 CCS (Table 1, doves heard; and Table 2, doves seen) results. The time periods for these comparisons are off by 1 year, but this should be relatively inconsequential over long time periods ( $\geq 10$


Figure 12. Trend in mourning dove abundance by state in the Western Management Unit over the last 10 years (2004-2013) based on CCS-heard data. Credible intervals (CI, 95\%) that exclude zero provide evidence for an increasing or decreasing trend.
years), especially for time periods of 47 or 48 years where both intervals begin in 1966.
Eastern Management Unit.-The BBS provided evidence that dove abundance increased in the EMU and the EMU hunt and nonhunt states during the last 47 years (Table 3). Over the most recent 10 years there was evidence that abundance increased in the entire EMU and the EMU hunt states, but not the in EMU nonhunt states. Comparing results for the last 10 years, the BBS generally provided similar results to CCS seen for the entire EMU and EMU hunt states. The BBS did not agree with CCS-heard and seen for EMU nonhunt states over the last 47 years (Tables 13).

Central Management Unit.-In the CMU, the BBS provided evidence that doves decreased in abundance over the last 10 and 47 years (Table 3). Over the short term, BBS results were consistent with CCS-heard. Over the long term all 3 indices (BBS, CCS-heard, and CCS-seen) were in agreement, indicating a significant decline in mourning doves in the CMU (Tables 1-3).


Figure 13. Mourning dove abundance indices and predicted trends in the Western Management Unit based on CCS data, 1966-2013. Trend lines are predicted values from fitting a simple linear regression line through the annual indices.


Figure 14. Trend in mourning dove abundance by state in the Western Management Unit over the last 48 years (1966-2013) based on CCS-heard data. Credible intervals (CI, 95\%) that exclude zero provide evidence for an increasing or decreasing trend.

Western Management Unit.-The BBS provided evidence that dove abundance decreased in the WMU during the last 47-year interval and during the most recent 10 years (Table 3). For the 10-year time period, BBS results were consistent with CCS-seen results. For the 47 year interval, the BBS, CCS-heard, and CCS-seen all indicated declines in abundance in the WMU (Tables 1-3).

## Harvest Survey

Preliminary results of mourning dove harvest and hunter participation from HIP for the 2011 and 2012 hunting seasons are presented in Tables 6 and 7, respectively. Current (2012) HIP estimates indicate that in the U.S. about 14.5 million birds were harvested by about 830,000 hunters that spent about 2.5 million days afield. The EMU and CMU total dove harvest represented $43 \%$ and $44 \%$, respectively, of the national harvest of doves while the WMU represented $13 \%$ (Table 7). Considering the precision of estimates, mourning dove harvest and hunter participation declined between 2011 and 2012 seasons (Tables 6 and 7).

Additional information about HIP, survey methodology, and results can be found in annual reports located at http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds /newreportspublications/hip/hip.htm.

## Survival and Harvest Rate

Over the past 10 years, $195,543,146,001$, and 63,313 mourning doves have been banded during July and August in the EMU, CMU and WMU, respectively (Table 8). There have been $10,512,6,359$, and 2,021 recoveries of banded birds in the EMU, CMU, and WMU, respectively.

Mean annual survival was similar between the CMU and WMU for both hatch-year and after-hatch-year individuals (Table 9). Hatch-year survival in the EMU was similar to that in the CMU and WMU; however, survival of after-hatch-year birds was lower in the EMU than the other management units.

Mean annual harvest rate was higher for hatch-year individuals in all management units (Table 9).


Figure 15. Estimated mourning dove fall population age ratios (juveniles per adult) from the Parts Collection Survey 2007-2012.

However, this relationship was more pronounced in the EMU (hatch-year harvest rate $38 \%$ greater than AHY harvest rate) and CMU (hatch-year harvest rate $28 \%$ greater) than in the WMU (hatch-year harvest rate $15 \%$ greater). Among management units, harvest rates of both hatch year and after hatch year individuals were highest in the EMU and lowest in the WMU (Table 9). Within the EMU, the harvest rate of birds banded in the non-hunt states was much lower than that of the hunt states (Table 9).

## Recruitment

We obtained 133,307 wings during 2007-2012 from birds harvested prior to September $15^{\text {th }}$. Overall recruitment rates were highest in the east and northwest and lowest in the Great Plains states and the southwest (Fig. 15). At the management unit level, the EMU had higher recruitment and more annual variation compared to the other two units (Fig. 16). In 2012 the highest age ratios among the 6 sample years occurred in both the EMU ( 1.81 juveniles per adult) and CMU (1.50), while recruitment was near average in the WMU (1.34).

Mean population age ratios for all states are provided in Table 10. There was much variation in the sample sizes for individual states. However, sample sizes are now sufficient to calculate precise estimates of recruitment for all but a couple of states that recently initiated hunting seasons (i.e., Iowa and Minnesota). We do not estimate age ratios for Florida because hunting seasons there do not start until 1 October each year. At this late date most wings cannot be aged due


Figure 16. Estimated mourning dove fall population age ratios for each management unit, 2007-2012. Error bars represent 95\% confidence intervals.
to molt progression, precluding accurate estimates of age ratio.

## Absolute Abundance

Estimates of absolute abundance are available only since 2003 (Fig. 17, Table 11). Estimates during the first 2 or 3 years may be biased in association with startup of the national mourning dove banding program when coordinators were gaining experience, and some states were not yet participants. The most recent estimate indicates that there were 349 million doves in the United States preseason during 2012. Abundance during the recent 5 years appears stable in the EMU. Abundance appeared to increase in the CMU and WMU between 2011 and 2012. These estimates appear consistent with trends in abundance of doves heard from the CCS for the EMU and CMU, and inconsistent with doves seen in the EMU and CMU.

## Composite trend in Abundance

The estimated composite trend (\% annual change) and $95 \%$ credible intervals of mourning dove abundance during the recent past 10 years was 0.6 ( 0.0 to 1.2 ) in the EMU, and during the most recent 5 years was -1.4 ( -2.9 to -0.3 ) in the CMU and $-1.9(-4.7$ to 1.1$)$ in the WMU (Fig. 18).


Figure 17. Estimates and $95 \%$ confidence intervals of mourning dove absolute abundance by management unit and year, 2003-2012. Estimates based on band recovery and harvest data.


Figure 18. Composite trend of abundance (lines) and credibility intervals (95\%) of mourning dove abundance (polygons) from each of four data sources ( $\mathrm{N}=$ absolute abundance, BBS=Breeding Bird Survey, CCSh=Call Count Survey heard, and CCSs=Call Count Survey seen) used to compute the composite trend for each management unit, 1966-2012. The composite trend is shown four times, each median centered with each data source for comparison of relative agreement of each data source with the composite trend.
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Table 1. Estimated trend ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (percent change per year and lower and upper $95 \%$ credible intervals) in mourning dove abundance based on Call-count Survey heard data for management units and states during 48-year (19662013), 10-year (2004-2013), and 2-year (2012-2013) periods.

| Management Unit State | 48 year |  |  |  | 10 year |  |  |  | 2 year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Trend | Lower | Upper | N | Trend | Lower | Upper | N | Trend | Lower | Upper |
| Eastern | 629 | -0.3 | -0.5 | -0.1 | 467 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 0.8 | 467 | -0.9 | -4.6 | 2.9 |
| Hunt states | 511 | -0.3 | -0.6 | -0.2 | 394 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 0.8 | 394 | -0.8 | -4.7 | 3.1 |
| AL | 47 | 0.2 | -0.4 | 0.8 | 30 | 0.6 | -0.7 | 2.1 | 30 | 0.2 | -10.2 | 11.9 |
| DE-MD | 22 | -0.7 | -1.6 | 0.2 | 14 | -0.3 | -2.5 | 1.9 | 14 | 0.8 | -15.7 | 24.0 |
| FL | 33 | 0.2 | -0.5 | 0.9 | 26 | 0.1 | -1.9 | 2.3 | 26 | -4.6 | -20.7 | 13.5 |
| GA | 34 | -0.6 | -1.3 | 0.2 | 24 | 0.8 | -1.4 | 3.6 | 24 | -2.0 | -18.3 | 18.1 |
| IL | 26 | -1.0 | -2.1 | -0.1 | 22 | -0.9 | -3.3 | 1.3 | 22 | -6.5 | -24.5 | 12.0 |
| IN | 18 | -1.2 | -1.8 | -0.6 | 15 | -0.8 | -2.5 | 1.1 | 15 | -3.9 | -18.9 | 12.8 |
| KY | 27 | 0.1 | -0.6 | 0.7 | 19 | 0.5 | -0.7 | 2.2 | 19 | 1.0 | -9.5 | 14.0 |
| LA | 27 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 20 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 3.7 | 20 | -0.5 | -13.4 | 12.6 |
| MS | 32 | -1.4 | -2.0 | -0.8 | 24 | -0.3 | -1.7 | 1.7 | 24 | -5.0 | -16.8 | 6.6 |
| NC | 25 | 0.1 | -0.4 | 0.6 | 22 | 0.2 | -1.1 | 1.4 | 22 | -1.1 | -11.0 | 8.7 |
| OH | 57 | -0.5 | -1.1 | 0.1 | 37 | -0.1 | -1.8 | 1.7 | 37 | 0.7 | -13.4 | 16.9 |
| PA | 20 | 0.7 | -0.1 | 1.6 | 19 | 2.4 | -0.5 | 5.6 | 19 | -1.0 | -24.0 | 28.3 |
| SC | 28 | -0.4 | -1.0 | 0.1 | 20 | -0.2 | -1.5 | 1.3 | 20 | -0.9 | -11.9 | 10.8 |
| TN | 23 | -1.8 | -2.4 | -1.2 | 15 | -1.3 | -2.9 | 0.5 | 15 | 0.3 | -12.5 | 15.2 |
| VA | 34 | -1.6 | -3.0 | -0.7 | 34 | -0.5 | -2.1 | 1.4 | 34 | -4.9 | -18.4 | 8.9 |
| WI | 23 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 22 | 0.9 | -2.0 | 3.8 | 22 | 18.2 | -7.0 | 50.5 |
| WV | 12 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 11 | 1.6 | -0.3 | 3.6 | 11 | 0.1 | -17.0 | 16.7 |
| Nonhunt states | 118 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 73 | 1.0 | -0.3 | 2.1 | 73 | -1.0 | -11.1 | 9.3 |
| MI | 23 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 20 | 1.2 | -0.4 | 2.9 | 20 | -2.4 | -17.1 | 11.2 |
| N. England ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 77 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 43 | 0.4 | -1.6 | 2.0 | 43 | -2.7 | -17.0 | 12.2 |
| NJ | 17 | -2.5 | -3.5 | -1.5 | 10 | -2.3 | -4.1 | 0.0 | 10 | -1.6 | -15.8 | 18.2 |
| NY | 24 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 20 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 3.7 | 20 | 1.1 | -15.1 | 16.6 |
| Central | 559 | -0.8 | -1.0 | -0.6 | 415 | -1.1 | -1.6 | -0.5 | 415 | 0.4 | -4.7 | 5.8 |
| AR | 21 | -0.6 | -1.4 | 0.2 | 17 | -0.3 | -2.1 | 1.9 | 17 | 1.6 | -13.0 | 23.4 |
| CO | 21 | -1.0 | -1.8 | -0.2 | 16 | -2.3 | -5.3 | 0.8 | 16 | -18.2 | -38.2 | 5.2 |
| IA | 19 | 0.0 | -0.7 | 0.7 | 16 | -0.1 | -2.3 | 1.9 | 16 | -3.0 | -19.7 | 15.6 |
| KS | 36 | -0.5 | -1.0 | 0.1 | 28 | -0.5 | -2.4 | 1.1 | 28 | 0.6 | -12.8 | 18.4 |
| MN | 14 | -1.5 | -2.2 | -0.7 | 13 | -1.6 | -3.7 | 0.3 | 13 | -5.6 | -22.8 | 9.7 |
| MO | 28 | -2.2 | -2.9 | -1.6 | 20 | -1.4 | -3.3 | 0.9 | 20 | -2.6 | -19.1 | 18.0 |
| MT | 32 | -0.9 | -1.8 | 0.0 | 24 | -0.3 | -3.8 | 3.5 | 24 | 8.7 | -21.5 | 52.6 |
| NE | 29 | -1.1 | -1.6 | -0.7 | 25 | -1.4 | -2.8 | -0.3 | 25 | -0.9 | -10.0 | 9.6 |
| NM | 32 | -0.5 | -1.3 | 0.3 | 29 | 0.4 | -2.5 | 3.6 | 29 | 6.6 | -18.0 | 41.6 |
| ND | 33 | 0.5 | -0.2 | 1.2 | 29 | 1.3 | -0.9 | 3.6 | 29 | 12.5 | -6.8 | 36.5 |
| OK | 28 | -1.2 | -2.1 | -0.3 | 18 | -4.3 | -7.5 | -1.3 | 18 | 2.2 | -20.3 | 31.2 |
| SD | 29 | -0.5 | -1.1 | 0.2 | 22 | -0.4 | -1.8 | 0.9 | 22 | -0.3 | -10.6 | 11.2 |
| TX | 209 | -1.1 | -1.5 | -0.7 | 138 | -2.3 | -3.5 | -1.0 | 138 | 1.1 | -9.5 | 13.1 |
| WY | 28 | -1.7 | -2.5 | -0.8 | 20 | -1.1 | -3.2 | 1.3 | 20 | 3.1 | -11.6 | 26.5 |
| Western | 292 | -1.5 | -1.8 | -1.2 | 202 | -2.7 | -3.8 | -1.7 | 202 | -3.5 | -12.1 | 6.1 |
| AZ | 73 | -1.4 | -1.9 | -0.8 | 51 | -3.6 | -5.8 | -1.3 | 51 | -3.2 | -21.4 | 17.7 |
| CA | 89 | -2.2 | -2.7 | -1.7 | 60 | -4.2 | -6.0 | -2.4 | 60 | -7.3 | -20.7 | 7.5 |
| ID | 32 | -1.2 | -1.9 | -0.4 | 26 | -1.9 | -4.6 | 0.8 | 26 | 2.6 | -18.4 | 32.0 |
| NV | 39 | 0.5 | -0.8 | 1.8 | 22 | -2.3 | -6.6 | 2.5 | 22 | -14.3 | -42.5 | 25.5 |
| OR | 27 | -1.5 | -2.5 | -0.6 | 22 | -0.9 | -4.0 | 2.2 | 22 | 12.0 | -14.2 | 47.8 |
| UT | 20 | -1.3 | -2.2 | -0.3 | 15 | -1.0 | -3.9 | 1.9 | 15 | -5.4 | -27.4 | 19.3 |
| WA | 12 | -0.1 | -1.7 | 1.4 | 6 | 1.0 | -3.1 | 5.7 | 6 | 0.5 | -32.7 | 43.8 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Trend estimated from annual indices derived from a log-linear hierarchical model fit using Bayesian methods. There is evidence of a
positive trend if the $\mathrm{Cl}>0$ and there is evidence of negative trend if the $\mathrm{Cl}<0$. If the Cl contains 0 , then there is inconclusive evidence about trend in abundance.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ New England consists of CT, ME, MA, NH, RI, and VT; RI is a hunt state but was included in this group for purposes of analysis.

Table 2. Estimated trend ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (percent change per year and lower and upper $95 \%$ credible intervals) in mourning dove abundance based on Call-count Survey seen data for management units and states during 48-year (19662013), 10-year (2004-2013), and 2-year (2012-2013) periods.

| Management Unit | 48 year |  |  |  | 10 year |  |  |  | 2 year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | N | Trend | Lower | Upper | N | Trend | Lower | Upper | N | Trend | Lower | Upper |
| Eastern | 628 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 465 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 465 | -0.7 | -5.5 | 4.4 |
| Hunt states | 510 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 395 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 395 | -0.6 | -5.6 | 4.7 |
| AL | 47 | 0.4 | -0.4 | 1.4 | 30 | 0.8 | -1.0 | 3.1 | 30 | 0.3 | -14.1 | 16.5 |
| DE-MD | 22 | 0.7 | -0.5 | 1.8 | 15 | -0.3 | -3.8 | 3.0 | 15 | -5.0 | -29.0 | 24.5 |
| FL | 33 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 4.2 | 26 | 3.3 | 0.2 | 6.5 | 26 | 3.2 | -20.1 | 33.5 |
| GA | 34 | -0.8 | -1.5 | 0.1 | 24 | -0.3 | -2.0 | 1.5 | 24 | -0.6 | -14.7 | 14.4 |
| IL | 26 | 0.6 | -0.9 | 1.8 | 22 | 0.6 | -2.3 | 3.7 | 22 | 1.1 | -22.0 | 31.8 |
| IN | 18 | -1.1 | -1.9 | -0.2 | 15 | -1.1 | -3.8 | 1.4 | 15 | -2.1 | -22.5 | 22.5 |
| KY | 26 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 19 | 1.3 | -1.1 | 4.1 | 19 | -1.6 | -20.3 | 21.7 |
| LA | 27 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 3.5 | 20 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 5.3 | 20 | 1.7 | -14.0 | 20.5 |
| MS | 32 | -1.2 | -1.9 | -0.4 | 24 | 0.2 | -1.9 | 2.7 | 24 | 1.1 | -15.3 | 20.3 |
| NC | 25 | 0.4 | -0.3 | 1.0 | 22 | 0.3 | -1.3 | 1.6 | 22 | 0.3 | -10.6 | 12.4 |
| OH | 57 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 37 | -0.1 | -2.6 | 2.4 | 37 | 4.3 | -14.0 | 27.4 |
| PA | 20 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 2.6 | 19 | 1.6 | -1.1 | 3.6 | 19 | 1.4 | -16.6 | 22.9 |
| SC | 28 | 0.6 | -0.2 | 1.3 | 20 | 0.5 | -1.5 | 2.3 | 20 | -4.6 | -22.9 | 10.1 |
| TN | 23 | 0.2 | -0.6 | 1.0 | 15 | 0.5 | -1.4 | 2.4 | 15 | -0.8 | -17.1 | 14.6 |
| VA | 34 | 0.0 | -0.9 | 0.8 | 34 | 0.5 | -1.6 | 2.7 | 34 | -2.9 | -19.2 | 13.4 |
| WI | 23 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 3.6 | 22 | 2.4 | -1.1 | 5.7 | 22 | 4.8 | -19.8 | 38.3 |
| WV | 12 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 4.0 | 11 | -0.1 | -5.6 | 5.1 | 11 | -42.6 | -66.8 | -6.1 |
| Nonhunt states | 118 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 70 | 1.7 | -0.4 | 3.7 | 70 | -1.6 | -17.3 | 15.9 |
| MI | 23 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 20 | 2.4 | -0.1 | 4.6 | 20 | -1.9 | -22.4 | 18.7 |
| N. England ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 77 | 1.2 | -0.5 | 2.2 | 41 | 1.9 | -0.3 | 4.0 | 41 | 0.5 | -16.9 | 19.8 |
| NJ | 17 | -0.9 | -2.2 | 0.4 | 10 | -0.9 | -3.8 | 1.3 | 10 | -5.8 | -25.7 | 13.5 |
| NY | 24 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 4.5 | 19 | 2.0 | -2.0 | 5.6 | 19 | -2.5 | -29.4 | 30.7 |
| Central | 557 | -0.3 | -0.5 | -0.1 | 413 | -0.3 | -0.9 | 0.3 | 413 | 3.1 | -2.2 | 8.5 |
| AR | 21 | -0.5 | -1.5 | 0.5 | 17 | -0.6 | -2.8 | 1.5 | 17 | 2.5 | -15.2 | 22.2 |
| CO | 21 | -0.7 | -1.8 | 0.3 | 15 | 0.1 | -2.9 | 2.8 | 15 | 10.2 | -11.5 | 42.6 |
| IA | 19 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 16 | 0.8 | -1.6 | 2.8 | 16 | 0.6 | -16.0 | 19.2 |
| KS | 36 | -0.1 | -0.7 | 0.6 | 28 | 0.3 | -1.3 | 1.8 | 28 | 0.4 | -11.7 | 15.0 |
| MN | 14 | -1.3 | -2.5 | -0.1 | 13 | -0.9 | -4.3 | 2.7 | 13 | 6.4 | -21.1 | 45.7 |
| MO | 28 | -1.7 | -2.4 | -1.0 | 20 | -1.9 | -4.0 | -0.2 | 20 | -4.3 | -20.7 | 10.4 |
| MT | 32 | -0.1 | -1.1 | 1.0 | 24 | 0.6 | -2.4 | 4.0 | 24 | 1.9 | -23.4 | 38.1 |
| NE | 29 | -0.2 | -0.8 | 0.5 | 25 | -0.1 | -1.8 | 1.5 | 25 | 0.4 | -13.0 | 15.2 |
| NM | 32 | -0.7 | -1.6 | 0.3 | 29 | 0.0 | -3.6 | 3.7 | 29 | 1.5 | -25.9 | 39.9 |
| ND | 33 | 0.3 | -0.6 | 1.1 | 29 | -1.5 | -4.3 | 1.2 | 29 | -10.7 | -29.3 | 13.1 |
| OK | 27 | -0.9 | -1.7 | -0.1 | 17 | -1.2 | -3.8 | 0.9 | 17 | -1.6 | -19.6 | 17.5 |
| SD | 29 | -0.4 | -1.1 | 0.4 | 22 | -0.4 | -2.2 | 1.4 | 22 | -0.2 | -13.6 | 16.3 |
| TX | 209 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 138 | -0.2 | -1.7 | 1.2 | 138 | 10.6 | -1.4 | 23.7 |
| WY | 27 | -3.2 | -4.8 | -1.8 | 20 | -0.9 | -5.0 | 3.9 | 20 | 12.4 | -20.8 | 67.6 |
| Western | 287 | -1.4 | -1.8 | -1.0 | 196 | -1.1 | -2.8 | 0.8 | 196 | 10.3 | -5.0 | 28.9 |
| AZ | 73 | -1.7 | -2.6 | -0.9 | 47 | -3.8 | -7.2 | -0.5 | 47 | 28.0 | -4.9 | 72.9 |
| CA | 88 | -2.2 | -2.9 | -1.6 | 60 | -2.7 | -4.9 | -0.4 | 60 | -8.9 | -25.4 | 10.9 |
| ID | 31 | 0.3 | -0.7 | 1.4 | 24 | 2.4 | -1.8 | 6.9 | 24 | 38.2 | -2.2 | 95.6 |
| NV | 38 | 0.5 | -1.0 | 2.3 | 22 | 2.7 | -3.7 | 11.0 | 22 | 31.1 | -25.6 | 143.5 |
| OR | 27 | -2.2 | -3.4 | -1.1 | 23 | -2.7 | -6.3 | 1.2 | 23 | -8.2 | -34.1 | 25.0 |
| UT | 20 | -2.7 | -4.3 | -1.2 | 14 | -5.9 | -11.4 | -0.2 | 14 | -15.8 | -49.1 | 40.3 |
| WA | 10 | 0.9 | -2.0 | 3.7 | 6 | 3.4 | -6.4 | 12.0 | 6 | -0.8 | -56.3 | 83.6 |

[^0]${ }^{b}$ New England consists of CT, ME, MA, NH, RI, and VT; RI is a hunt state but was included in this group for purposes of analysis.

Table 3. Estimated trend ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (percent change per year and lower and upper $95 \%$ credible intervals) in mourning dove abundance based on Breeding Bird Survey heard and seen data for management units and states during 47-year (1966-2012) and 10-year (2003-2012) periods.

| Management Unit State | 47 year |  |  |  | 10 year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Trend | Lower | Upper | N | Trend | Lower | Upper |
| Eastern | 1749 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1473 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| Hunt states | 1426 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1211 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.2 |
| AL | 105 | -0.9 | -0.6 | -1.4 | 93 | -1.0 | 0.2 | -2.2 |
| DE-MD | 82 | 0.1 | 0.4 | -0.2 | 70 | -0.1 | 0.7 | -1.1 |
| FL | 96 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 80 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 0.1 |
| GA | 96 | -0.6 | -0.1 | -0.9 | 86 | -0.5 | 0.4 | -1.4 |
| IL | 102 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 101 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 0.8 |
| IN | 63 | -0.2 | 0.2 | -0.6 | 57 | -0.6 | 0.7 | -1.9 |
| KY | 60 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 43 | 0.7 | 2.1 | -0.6 |
| LA | 93 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 70 | 2.0 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| MS | 53 | -0.2 | 0.4 | -0.9 | 43 | 0.2 | 1.8 | -1.2 |
| NC | 93 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 79 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 0.1 |
| OH | 78 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 59 | 0.9 | 2.3 | -0.5 |
| PA | 127 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 101 | -0.1 | 0.9 | -1.1 |
| SC | 47 | 0.0 | 0.5 | -0.5 | 40 | 0.1 | 1.3 | -1.3 |
| TN | 31 | -0.4 | 0.1 | -1.0 | 26 | -0.6 | 0.5 | -2.0 |
| VA | 58 | -0.1 | 0.3 | -0.5 | 50 | 0.0 | 0.9 | -1.0 |
| WI | 97 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 93 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 0.1 |
| WV | 57 | 4.0 | 4.8 | 3.3 | 49 | 0.5 | 2.6 | -1.7 |
| Nonhunt states | 405 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 332 | -0.3 | 0.5 | -1.0 |
| MI | 88 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 71 | 0.9 | 2.3 | -0.6 |
| N. England ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 165 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 136 | -0.8 | 0.5 | -2.0 |
| NJ | 34 | 0.2 | 0.9 | -0.5 | 24 | 0.1 | 1.3 | -1.3 |
| NY | 124 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 102 | 0.0 | 1.4 | -1.4 |
| Central | 1139 | -0.8 | -0.7 | -1.0 | 1002 | -0.9 | -0.5 | -1.3 |
| AR | 51 | 0.3 | 0.9 | -0.4 | 45 | 0.3 | 2.3 | -1.8 |
| CO | 142 | -0.6 | 0.0 | -1.1 | 133 | -1.6 | -0.3 | -3.1 |
| IA | 39 | 0.4 | 0.9 | -0.1 | 33 | 0.9 | 2.6 | -0.5 |
| KS | 65 | -0.5 | 0.0 | -1.0 | 63 | 0.3 | 1.7 | -1.0 |
| MN | 76 | -1.0 | -0.5 | -1.4 | 68 | -1.1 | 0.3 | -2.5 |
| MO | 66 | -1.3 | -0.8 | -1.8 | 53 | -0.4 | 1.0 | -1.5 |
| MT | 56 | -1.3 | -0.7 | -1.9 | 52 | -1.5 | 0.1 | -3.1 |
| NE | 49 | -0.5 | 0.0 | -1.0 | 46 | 0.0 | 1.2 | -1.2 |
| NM | 81 | -0.6 | 0.1 | -1.3 | 63 | -0.3 | 1.4 | -1.9 |
| ND | 48 | -0.4 | 0.2 | -1.0 | 46 | -1.6 | 0.1 | -3.2 |
| OK | 62 | -1.5 | -1.1 | -2.0 | 54 | -1.6 | -0.2 | -3.0 |
| SD | 58 | -0.2 | 0.3 | -0.8 | 52 | -0.4 | 1.1 | -2.1 |
| TX | 224 | -1.3 | -1.0 | -1.6 | 204 | -1.8 | -0.9 | -2.6 |
| WY | 122 | -1.6 | -0.9 | -2.3 | 90 | -3.5 | -2.0 | -5.1 |
| Western | 658 | -1.6 | -1.2 | -1.9 | 533 | -3.1 | -2.1 | -4.0 |
| AZ | 82 | -1.9 | -1.1 | -2.7 | 63 | -3.9 | -1.9 | -5.9 |
| CA | 245 | -0.8 | -0.3 | -1.3 | 191 | -0.5 | 1.2 | -2.1 |
| ID | 47 | -1.5 | -0.6 | -2.5 | 41 | -3.5 | -1.2 | -5.8 |
| NV | 42 | -2.3 | -1.2 | -3.4 | 30 | -8.3 | -4.7 | -11.8 |
| OR | 113 | -1.8 | -0.9 | -2.7 | 89 | -2.7 | -0.1 | -5.2 |
| UT | 102 | -2.1 | -1.2 | -3.0 | 94 | -3.3 | -1.5 | -5.1 |
| WA | 27 | 0.4 | 1.9 | -1.0 | 25 | 3.3 | 6.9 | 0.2 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Trend estimated from annual indices derived from a log-linear hierarchical model fit using Bayesian methods. There is evidence of a positive trend if the $\mathrm{Cl}>0$ and there is evidence of negative trend if the $\mathrm{Cl}<0$. If the Cl contains 0 , then there is inconclusive evidence about trend in abundance.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ New England consists of CT, ME, MA, NH, RI, and VT; RI is a hunt state but was included in this group for purposes of analysis.

Table 4. Estimated annual abundance indices ${ }^{a}$ of mourning doves based on Call-count Survey heard data for management units and states, 1966-2013.

| Management Unit | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 |
| Eastern | 22.4 | 22.0 | 21.5 | 21.4 | 21.7 | 21.3 | 21.3 | 21.0 | 20.5 | 21.3 |
| Hunt states | 24.6 | 24.2 | 23.7 | 23.5 | 23.9 | 23.3 | 23.3 | 23.0 | 22.5 | 23.3 |
| AL | 24.0 | 24.2 | 23.1 | 23.6 | 23.6 | 22.8 | 24.0 | 23.7 | 22.7 | 24.2 |
| DE-MD | 20.9 | 21.9 | 18.3 | 18.6 | 19.6 | 19.9 | 19.3 | 19.4 | 20.2 | 18.0 |
| FL | 10.8 | 11.0 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 11.8 | 10.5 | 11.4 | 11.6 | 11.4 | 12.5 |
| GA | 30.6 | 30.8 | 29.0 | 30.7 | 33.0 | 29.1 | 28.5 | 30.4 | 30.0 | 31.2 |
| IL | 34.3 | 32.3 | 33.9 | 31.8 | 32.2 | 30.8 | 30.8 | 29.6 | 27.9 | 30.8 |
| IN | 44.7 | 43.2 | 42.9 | 41.8 | 40.9 | 43.5 | 42.5 | 41.9 | 40.5 | 39.8 |
| KY | 27.3 | 26.6 | 26.5 | 26.7 | 27.1 | 27.0 | 26.7 | 26.6 | 27.4 | 26.5 |
| LA | 5.7 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 6.1 | 6.4 |
| MS | 39.9 | 37.5 | 36.3 | 36.5 | 35.6 | 35.5 | 36.0 | 34.6 | 31.6 | 32.4 |
| NC | 36.3 | 35.5 | 35.6 | 35.5 | 35.8 | 35.6 | 35.3 | 36.2 | 35.3 | 35.1 |
| OH | 25.5 | 24.0 | 23.8 | 24.8 | 28.7 | 26.9 | 26.1 | 22.5 | 23.7 | 30.9 |
| PA | 9.7 | 10.4 | 9.7 | 9.5 | 8.3 | 8.4 | 8.9 | 8.1 | 8.5 | 8.3 |
| SC | 34.0 | 34.1 | 33.9 | 33.9 | 33.4 | 33.1 | 32.4 | 32.6 | 32.0 | 31.9 |
| TN | 35.3 | 33.3 | 32.8 | 31.9 | 33.0 | 29.8 | 32.5 | 29.7 | 28.6 | 27.5 |
| VA | 30.9 | 28.8 | 28.6 | 27.1 | 27.8 | 26.5 | 23.7 | 24.5 | 25.4 | 25.3 |
| WI | 15.1 | 18.1 | 17.0 | 15.1 | 14.3 | 16.3 | 17.2 | 17.2 | 15.0 | 16.4 |
| WV | 3.9 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| Nonhunt states | 7.6 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 7.7 | 7.9 |
| MI | 11.3 | 11.6 | 10.9 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 12.1 | 12.1 | 11.8 | 11.9 | 12.1 |
| N. England ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.5 |
| NJ | 33.4 | 32.0 | 31.8 | 30.8 | 30.4 | 29.5 | 28.9 | 28.0 | 27.1 | 26.0 |
| NY | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 6.9 | 7.3 |
| Central | 31.5 | 31.0 | 31.3 | 29.8 | 29.5 | 29.1 | 30.5 | 28.9 | 29.0 | 28.3 |
| AR | 21.1 | 20.8 | 20.6 | 20.5 | 20.2 | 20.3 | 20.3 | 20.1 | 19.9 | 19.6 |
| CO | 28.5 | 31.4 | 28.2 | 29.1 | 30.0 | 26.6 | 29.2 | 26.6 | 27.6 | 24.9 |
| IA | 24.8 | 25.0 | 24.6 | 23.6 | 21.5 | 23.2 | 24.6 | 24.6 | 22.3 | 23.1 |
| KS | 60.3 | 61.0 | 60.4 | 60.1 | 60.2 | 58.8 | 60.2 | 59.0 | 57.5 | 55.3 |
| MN | 27.8 | 27.5 | 27.4 | 25.9 | 24.9 | 25.8 | 25.7 | 24.5 | 24.7 | 24.8 |
| MO | 43.4 | 41.6 | 42.5 | 36.1 | 37.8 | 36.7 | 39.8 | 35.4 | 32.0 | 33.2 |
| MT | 19.9 | 20.5 | 17.4 | 19.3 | 17.1 | 18.8 | 17.6 | 14.2 | 15.4 | 17.8 |
| NE | 64.1 | 63.1 | 63.8 | 63.0 | 62.2 | 61.1 | 60.2 | 59.5 | 59.2 | 58.2 |
| NM | 14.8 | 11.2 | 14.9 | 12.9 | 12.8 | 12.2 | 14.3 | 12.8 | 12.3 | 15.0 |
| ND | 30.7 | 32.8 | 38.4 | 32.0 | 30.7 | 32.0 | 32.7 | 36.8 | 36.8 | 33.8 |
| OK | 37.5 | 44.5 | 45.8 | 41.3 | 38.9 | 37.4 | 36.9 | 35.5 | 38.2 | 37.9 |
| SD | 54.2 | 51.3 | 52.7 | 51.5 | 52.0 | 51.1 | 50.9 | 51.4 | 52.4 | 51.2 |
| TX | 26.9 | 24.3 | 25.3 | 22.7 | 23.9 | 23.2 | 27.9 | 24.6 | 25.1 | 22.2 |
| WY | 14.9 | 14.4 | 13.3 | 13.7 | 13.2 | 12.8 | 12.7 | 12.5 | 12.7 | 12.1 |
| Western | 17.5 | 17.6 | 17.0 | 17.5 | 15.4 | 14.3 | 13.7 | 14.3 | 14.9 | 13.7 |
| AZ | 25.7 | 26.6 | 23.8 | 26.5 | 21.2 | 16.6 | 16.2 | 23.9 | 21.9 | 21.2 |
| CA | 25.8 | 25.3 | 23.2 | 25.0 | 23.6 | 22.4 | 22.2 | 21.5 | 23.1 | 19.8 |
| ID | 16.0 | 15.9 | 14.9 | 15.4 | 14.8 | 13.2 | 12.9 | 12.7 | 12.9 | 12.4 |
| NV | 4.6 | 4.5 | 12.3 | 8.8 | 7.0 | 4.2 | 5.5 | 3.0 | 5.2 | 3.7 |
| OR | 12.3 | 10.7 | 10.6 | 10.8 | 8.7 | 8.2 | 8.1 | 9.0 | 9.7 | 9.1 |
| UT | 18.2 | 20.9 | 15.0 | 15.6 | 14.2 | 19.7 | 14.9 | 12.9 | 13.7 | 14.3 |
| WA | 6.1 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.5 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Annual indices are estimated from exponentiated year effects derived from a log-linear hierarchical model fit using Bayesian methods;
95\% credible intervals for the annual indices are available upon request.
${ }^{b}$ New England consists of CT, ME, MA, NH, RI, and VT; RI is a hunt state but was included in this group for purposes of analysis.

Table 4. Continued.

| Management Unit State | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
| Eastern | 20.9 | 21.2 | 19.9 | 19.4 | 20.2 | 20.5 | 20.1 | 19.9 | 19.3 | 19.5 |
| Hunt states | 22.9 | 23.2 | 21.7 | 21.1 | 22.0 | 22.3 | 21.9 | 21.6 | 20.9 | 21.2 |
| AL | 24.0 | 24.4 | 25.0 | 25.2 | 25.3 | 25.4 | 25.6 | 26.0 | 24.3 | 25.9 |
| DE-MD | 18.5 | 19.8 | 18.6 | 18.6 | 19.2 | 19.1 | 19.2 | 17.2 | 17.4 | 18.3 |
| FL | 11.8 | 12.6 | 11.5 | 11.0 | 10.6 | 10.8 | 11.7 | 11.6 | 10.1 | 11.0 |
| GA | 27.1 | 27.4 | 28.0 | 26.8 | 28.3 | 29.0 | 28.8 | 28.0 | 27.4 | 27.9 |
| IL | 31.0 | 31.1 | 27.7 | 26.2 | 26.5 | 27.9 | 28.0 | 28.5 | 25.9 | 25.6 |
| IN | 40.6 | 40.7 | 33.7 | 33.3 | 36.1 | 37.0 | 35.3 | 31.9 | 32.5 | 31.0 |
| KY | 26.1 | 26.7 | 26.8 | 26.1 | 25.6 | 26.5 | 26.6 | 26.2 | 26.4 | 26.5 |
| LA | 6.5 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 7.4 |
| MS | 32.6 | 32.1 | 33.3 | 31.5 | 31.4 | 30.6 | 32.0 | 30.5 | 27.7 | 29.5 |
| NC | 35.2 | 37.0 | 35.9 | 36.1 | 36.6 | 36.0 | 36.5 | 36.3 | 36.7 | 36.8 |
| OH | 28.0 | 27.4 | 16.5 | 17.3 | 18.7 | 19.1 | 19.9 | 20.2 | 21.0 | 19.9 |
| PA | 8.1 | 8.0 | 8.1 | 8.4 | 8.8 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.2 | 9.1 | 9.4 |
| SC | 31.6 | 31.5 | 31.9 | 31.4 | 32.3 | 32.0 | 32.4 | 31.6 | 30.8 | 30.7 |
| TN | 27.8 | 28.0 | 28.1 | 25.5 | 25.7 | 24.8 | 25.3 | 23.8 | 23.1 | 23.6 |
| VA | 24.2 | 25.8 | 23.6 | 22.7 | 21.9 | 21.4 | 20.7 | 20.8 | 20.3 | 20.1 |
| WI | 18.0 | 18.2 | 14.8 | 13.7 | 20.2 | 21.5 | 13.6 | 15.0 | 14.0 | 13.9 |
| WV | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 5.3 |
| Nonhunt states | 7.8 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 7.9 | 8.2 | 8.3 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 8.5 | 8.6 |
| MI | 12.3 | 12.4 | 12.6 | 12.3 | 13.1 | 13.3 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 13.4 | 13.5 |
| N. England ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 6.5 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 7.2 | 7.4 | 7.5 |
| NJ | 25.6 | 25.1 | 24.1 | 23.8 | 22.8 | 21.6 | 21.6 | 21.8 | 19.7 | 19.4 |
| NY | 7.2 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.8 | 8.0 | 8.1 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 8.5 |
| Central | 29.0 | 28.8 | 28.6 | 28.0 | 29.3 | 28.6 | 28.4 | 27.7 | 26.0 | 26.8 |
| AR | 19.9 | 19.0 | 18.4 | 17.8 | 18.7 | 18.7 | 18.9 | 17.9 | 17.3 | 16.9 |
| CO | 26.9 | 27.9 | 31.5 | 28.5 | 31.3 | 30.4 | 30.5 | 23.6 | 26.5 | 26.9 |
| IA | 24.1 | 23.5 | 24.5 | 22.1 | 25.1 | 25.7 | 23.2 | 21.4 | 22.9 | 24.0 |
| KS | 57.5 | 56.2 | 54.0 | 57.8 | 58.6 | 59.0 | 57.8 | 57.6 | 54.8 | 57.8 |
| MN | 24.3 | 24.7 | 24.2 | 23.9 | 23.8 | 23.5 | 22.7 | 22.4 | 21.1 | 21.0 |
| MO | 32.3 | 32.4 | 29.8 | 28.1 | 31.1 | 28.6 | 27.5 | 27.3 | 25.8 | 24.1 |
| MT | 14.4 | 17.5 | 16.4 | 15.8 | 15.7 | 15.9 | 17.8 | 19.9 | 15.0 | 15.6 |
| NE | 58.5 | 57.9 | 56.7 | 55.9 | 57.1 | 56.5 | 54.9 | 54.2 | 53.8 | 53.4 |
| NM | 14.0 | 13.4 | 13.7 | 10.2 | 12.7 | 13.4 | 10.5 | 13.7 | 15.0 | 13.8 |
| ND | 47.1 | 41.0 | 44.4 | 41.6 | 47.0 | 46.8 | 46.5 | 44.5 | 34.8 | 43.7 |
| OK | 38.3 | 47.5 | 39.7 | 31.7 | 33.0 | 30.8 | 37.1 | 36.5 | 29.1 | 29.3 |
| SD | 51.0 | 50.1 | 50.5 | 50.1 | 49.9 | 49.1 | 50.1 | 49.3 | 49.4 | 48.6 |
| TX | 22.9 | 20.8 | 21.6 | 25.0 | 25.4 | 23.4 | 22.4 | 20.9 | 19.7 | 21.1 |
| WY | 11.7 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 10.8 | 10.5 | 10.7 | 10.5 | 10.0 | 9.7 | 9.8 |
| Western | 15.6 | 14.8 | 12.8 | 13.3 | 15.0 | 14.0 | 13.6 | 12.3 | 12.8 | 11.9 |
| AZ | 24.2 | 19.5 | 22.6 | 26.1 | 22.5 | 22.9 | 23.2 | 23.3 | 22.9 | 22.5 |
| CA | 22.3 | 20.3 | 19.0 | 16.8 | 20.3 | 18.8 | 20.5 | 15.9 | 17.2 | 15.1 |
| ID | 13.5 | 15.7 | 11.2 | 11.3 | 12.2 | 12.2 | 12.2 | 11.1 | 11.9 | 11.4 |
| NV | 7.0 | 7.7 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 11.9 | 6.1 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 3.6 |
| OR | 8.9 | 9.4 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 8.4 | 8.0 | 7.8 | 6.5 | 7.4 | 7.4 |
| UT | 15.3 | 15.1 | 10.0 | 12.4 | 12.1 | 15.0 | 10.7 | 12.0 | 13.6 | 10.1 |
| WA | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 4.8 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Annual indices are estimated from exponentiated year effects derived from a log-linear hierarchical model fit using Bayesian methods; $95 \%$ credible intervals for the annual indices are available upon request.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ New England consists of CT, ME, MA, NH, RI, and VT; RI is a hunt state but was included in this group for purposes of analysis.

Table 4. Continued.

| Management Unit State | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| Eastern | 19.7 | 19.7 | 20.2 | 20.1 | 20.0 | 19.8 | 20.2 | 19.7 | 19.7 | 19.9 |
| Hunt states | 21.4 | 21.3 | 21.9 | 21.8 | 21.6 | 21.3 | 21.8 | 21.3 | 21.3 | 21.4 |
| AL | 25.1 | 24.5 | 25.5 | 23.9 | 24.0 | 23.9 | 24.5 | 25.0 | 25.3 | 25.8 |
| DE-MD | 20.1 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 18.2 | 16.1 | 17.8 | 18.4 | 15.9 | 16.7 | 17.2 |
| FL | 11.5 | 11.1 | 11.7 | 11.9 | 12.6 | 11.9 | 12.5 | 11.2 | 11.0 | 11.9 |
| GA | 26.7 | 26.7 | 25.9 | 25.5 | 26.7 | 25.2 | 28.6 | 25.0 | 24.3 | 26.2 |
| IL | 27.3 | 28.1 | 28.6 | 28.1 | 28.6 | 27.7 | 28.0 | 26.6 | 27.0 | 28.5 |
| IN | 33.1 | 32.5 | 34.7 | 32.3 | 32.4 | 32.3 | 31.6 | 31.6 | 32.7 | 30.5 |
| KY | 26.1 | 26.5 | 26.6 | 27.6 | 26.3 | 26.4 | 26.0 | 26.4 | 26.5 | 26.2 |
| LA | 7.5 | 8.0 | 7.9 | 8.4 | 8.1 | 8.7 | 9.0 | 8.7 | 9.1 | 9.4 |
| MS | 29.3 | 27.9 | 29.0 | 28.3 | 27.0 | 25.2 | 26.9 | 27.3 | 26.1 | 25.7 |
| NC | 36.7 | 37.6 | 37.2 | 37.6 | 36.9 | 37.0 | 37.1 | 37.8 | 37.9 | 38.3 |
| OH | 20.5 | 21.2 | 23.3 | 23.4 | 23.5 | 24.3 | 24.2 | 21.7 | 24.6 | 22.6 |
| PA | 9.4 | 10.0 | 8.8 | 9.3 | 9.7 | 10.0 | 10.3 | 10.9 | 10.0 | 10.6 |
| SC | 30.1 | 31.5 | 30.4 | 30.6 | 30.8 | 29.9 | 29.7 | 29.3 | 29.7 | 28.5 |
| TN | 22.1 | 22.8 | 22.3 | 21.6 | 21.2 | 21.2 | 20.1 | 20.2 | 20.8 | 19.4 |
| VA | 19.3 | 19.5 | 18.5 | 18.6 | 17.4 | 17.4 | 16.9 | 17.0 | 16.8 | 17.1 |
| WI | 15.6 | 14.2 | 18.5 | 19.0 | 17.9 | 18.0 | 19.5 | 18.2 | 16.5 | 15.9 |
| WV | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 6.3 |
| Nonhunt states | 8.7 | 8.6 | 8.8 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 9.4 | 9.5 | 9.7 | 9.6 | 10.0 |
| MI | 14.1 | 14.1 | 14.8 | 15.2 | 14.9 | 14.7 | 14.8 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.3 |
| N. England ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 7.7 | 7.3 | 7.7 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 8.3 | 8.4 | 8.7 | 8.5 | 9.0 |
| NJ | 19.6 | 18.8 | 18.3 | 18.0 | 17.3 | 17.1 | 16.1 | 16.4 | 15.7 | 15.3 |
| NY | 8.6 | 8.9 | 9.0 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 9.8 | 9.9 | 10.0 | 10.2 | 10.6 |
| Central | 26.7 | 27.3 | 27.4 | 26.3 | 26.7 | 27.0 | 26.6 | 25.1 | 26.0 | 25.2 |
| AR | 17.4 | 17.4 | 17.2 | 18.0 | 17.4 | 17.0 | 17.4 | 17.3 | 17.2 | 17.0 |
| CO | 24.0 | 30.4 | 28.5 | 28.1 | 28.0 | 25.4 | 25.7 | 23.9 | 28.8 | 27.6 |
| IA | 24.4 | 22.6 | 24.6 | 25.0 | 25.5 | 23.0 | 26.5 | 24.5 | 24.6 | 24.1 |
| KS | 51.1 | 53.0 | 55.3 | 53.0 | 51.8 | 56.1 | 54.4 | 48.6 | 52.4 | 55.6 |
| MN | 21.2 | 21.3 | 21.3 | 20.4 | 19.8 | 20.1 | 19.5 | 18.8 | 19.1 | 19.0 |
| MO | 24.8 | 24.0 | 25.1 | 24.6 | 23.5 | 22.5 | 22.9 | 21.7 | 22.9 | 21.6 |
| MT | 17.2 | 16.3 | 17.1 | 16.9 | 17.8 | 13.9 | 14.0 | 11.7 | 11.8 | 12.1 |
| NE | 51.7 | 50.8 | 51.4 | 50.4 | 50.3 | 49.9 | 49.6 | 48.9 | 48.0 | 48.6 |
| NM | 14.1 | 16.5 | 13.6 | 12.7 | 15.1 | 12.7 | 10.7 | 11.4 | 12.3 | 12.4 |
| ND | 45.8 | 51.2 | 47.7 | 50.9 | 48.2 | 52.2 | 51.1 | 46.8 | 42.0 | 41.8 |
| OK | 28.5 | 29.7 | 30.6 | 25.1 | 30.7 | 28.9 | 30.2 | 27.1 | 30.2 | 28.9 |
| SD | 47.8 | 46.7 | 47.7 | 48.1 | 48.1 | 47.9 | 47.0 | 46.2 | 46.1 | 46.1 |
| TX | 22.6 | 21.5 | 22.6 | 19.4 | 20.0 | 24.9 | 23.7 | 23.1 | 24.5 | 20.3 |
| WY | 9.9 | 9.4 | 8.8 | 8.9 | 8.7 | 8.8 | 8.7 | 8.2 | 8.4 | 8.1 |
| Western | 11.6 | 10.2 | 11.4 | 11.2 | 10.8 | 11.0 | 11.5 | 11.9 | 11.3 | 11.0 |
| AZ | 20.8 | 16.2 | 16.2 | 18.3 | 15.9 | 20.2 | 23.5 | 25.7 | 20.6 | 21.3 |
| CA | 15.6 | 13.5 | 15.1 | 14.0 | 14.9 | 13.4 | 13.8 | 14.3 | 13.8 | 12.9 |
| ID | 9.9 | 10.4 | 11.7 | 10.9 | 12.1 | 11.7 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 10.5 | 9.7 |
| NV | 2.8 | 2.8 | 5.2 | 4.1 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 4.9 |
| OR | 7.2 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 6.5 | 7.7 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 6.4 |
| UT | 12.1 | 11.0 | 12.1 | 12.7 | 10.8 | 10.7 | 11.5 | 11.0 | 11.9 | 9.4 |
| WA | 4.9 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 5.1 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Annual indices are estimated from exponentiated year effects derived from a log-linear hierarchical model fit using Bayesian methods; $95 \%$ credible intervals for the annual indices are available upon request.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ New England consists of CT, ME, MA, NH, RI, and VT; RI is a hunt state but was included in this group for purposes of analysis.

Table 4. Continued.

| Management Unit | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| Eastern | 18.9 | 18.7 | 19.0 | 19.4 | 19.4 | 19.3 | 19.3 | 19.3 | 19.0 | 19.5 |
| Hunt states | 20.3 | 20.1 | 20.3 | 20.8 | 20.7 | 20.6 | 20.5 | 20.5 | 20.2 | 20.7 |
| AL | 24.3 | 24.1 | 24.7 | 24.5 | 25.0 | 24.8 | 25.5 | 24.4 | 24.8 | 25.1 |
| DE-MD | 15.7 | 14.7 | 15.8 | 14.8 | 14.5 | 14.6 | 14.3 | 15.0 | 15.4 | 14.9 |
| FL | 11.4 | 11.8 | 12.4 | 13.0 | 11.9 | 10.8 | 11.6 | 11.1 | 11.6 | 10.9 |
| GA | 23.2 | 22.4 | 21.8 | 22.3 | 20.8 | 23.9 | 20.0 | 22.0 | 20.8 | 22.2 |
| IL | 25.2 | 24.6 | 24.6 | 24.2 | 26.2 | 24.4 | 24.9 | 25.7 | 24.1 | 26.1 |
| IN | 29.8 | 29.1 | 28.8 | 28.8 | 28.3 | 28.3 | 26.8 | 27.1 | 27.1 | 28.2 |
| KY | 25.9 | 25.9 | 26.2 | 26.7 | 26.6 | 26.5 | 26.6 | 26.7 | 26.1 | 26.2 |
| LA | 9.4 | 9.5 | 10.1 | 10.3 | 10.7 | 11.1 | 10.9 | 11.4 | 11.3 | 11.8 |
| MS | 24.5 | 23.8 | 23.9 | 24.5 | 23.9 | 23.2 | 22.2 | 22.9 | 20.9 | 21.4 |
| NC | 38.7 | 38.2 | 38.4 | 38.8 | 39.0 | 39.5 | 39.9 | 39.0 | 39.1 | 39.0 |
| OH | 19.0 | 19.7 | 21.6 | 21.6 | 21.0 | 19.7 | 20.8 | 21.1 | 20.0 | 20.1 |
| PA | 10.7 | 9.9 | 10.4 | 9.8 | 11.2 | 10.8 | 11.3 | 10.2 | 10.3 | 10.5 |
| SC | 29.0 | 28.8 | 29.0 | 29.4 | 28.7 | 28.5 | 28.7 | 28.0 | 27.9 | 27.6 |
| TN | 18.9 | 18.8 | 18.4 | 18.3 | 18.0 | 16.9 | 16.7 | 16.6 | 16.2 | 15.6 |
| VA | 15.9 | 16.5 | 16.3 | 16.5 | 16.3 | 15.6 | 15.6 | 14.3 | 14.4 | 14.8 |
| WI | 15.0 | 14.2 | 14.0 | 18.1 | 17.4 | 18.4 | 17.7 | 18.9 | 19.2 | 21.1 |
| WV | 6.2 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 7.4 |
| Nonhunt states | 9.7 | 9.9 | 10.0 | 10.4 | 10.7 | 10.6 | 11.3 | 11.2 | 11.1 | 11.3 |
| MI | 15.4 | 15.4 | 16.1 | 16.5 | 16.8 | 16.3 | 17.6 | 17.1 | 17.0 | 17.9 |
| N. England ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 8.5 | 8.6 | 8.8 | 9.2 | 9.4 | 9.2 | 10.3 | 9.9 | 9.8 | 9.7 |
| NJ | 15.1 | 14.2 | 14.1 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 12.7 | 12.6 | 12.1 | 11.8 | 11.6 |
| NY | 10.6 | 10.9 | 11.1 | 11.6 | 12.1 | 12.1 | 12.5 | 12.7 | 12.8 | 13.3 |
| Central | 23.6 | 25.6 | 25.3 | 26.6 | 25.1 | 23.7 | 24.0 | 25.1 | 23.6 | 24.6 |
| AR | 17.0 | 17.0 | 16.8 | 16.9 | 16.2 | 16.2 | 15.7 | 16.3 | 15.9 | 16.0 |
| CO | 21.5 | 28.7 | 24.6 | 31.2 | 25.8 | 22.4 | 23.4 | 22.6 | 23.1 | 22.0 |
| IA | 27.8 | 25.6 | 25.6 | 24.7 | 25.3 | 24.1 | 24.3 | 27.1 | 26.3 | 25.9 |
| KS | 47.1 | 55.2 | 53.1 | 56.8 | 51.4 | 47.6 | 49.7 | 52.3 | 49.7 | 53.7 |
| MN | 18.4 | 18.7 | 18.0 | 17.5 | 17.4 | 16.8 | 17.3 | 16.3 | 16.6 | 16.0 |
| MO | 20.6 | 20.4 | 19.2 | 18.5 | 18.4 | 17.2 | 16.9 | 17.7 | 16.6 | 16.5 |
| MT | 12.8 | 13.3 | 14.6 | 16.2 | 15.8 | 11.7 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 14.1 | 12.7 |
| NE | 47.5 | 45.8 | 47.1 | 46.5 | 45.5 | 44.5 | 43.8 | 45.0 | 44.1 | 43.6 |
| NM | 10.5 | 13.9 | 12.3 | 13.7 | 12.8 | 13.7 | 11.4 | 12.9 | 11.7 | 13.2 |
| ND | 43.5 | 39.2 | 37.8 | 45.9 | 46.6 | 40.5 | 42.2 | 47.8 | 36.0 | 49.0 |
| OK | 25.8 | 26.4 | 31.7 | 31.2 | 27.0 | 26.5 | 25.2 | 29.5 | 30.9 | 30.0 |
| SD | 45.9 | 45.5 | 45.3 | 45.3 | 45.8 | 45.1 | 45.2 | 44.7 | 44.9 | 43.6 |
| TX | 18.1 | 23.2 | 23.1 | 23.0 | 21.1 | 21.9 | 22.0 | 22.9 | 19.8 | 22.0 |
| WY | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 7.7 | 7.6 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 6.9 |
| Western | 9.8 | 10.6 | 10.8 | 10.9 | 10.8 | 9.6 | 10.7 | 9.9 | 10.9 | 9.9 |
| AZ | 13.4 | 18.3 | 22.4 | 20.9 | 19.9 | 18.2 | 18.9 | 17.0 | 18.8 | 20.1 |
| CA | 13.7 | 13.0 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 12.6 | 11.2 | 12.4 | 11.8 | 13.3 | 10.9 |
| ID | 9.4 | 10.4 | 8.9 | 9.8 | 9.5 | 8.9 | 10.3 | 9.2 | 10.5 | 8.7 |
| NV | 4.5 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 4.9 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 3.7 |
| OR | 6.5 | 6.4 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 6.6 | 6.2 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 6.6 | 6.0 |
| UT | 10.6 | 11.1 | 8.8 | 10.5 | 11.7 | 8.7 | 10.1 | 9.3 | 10.0 | 8.6 |
| WA | 4.6 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 5.3 | 6.2 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Annual indices are estimated from exponentiated year effects derived from a log-linear hierarchical model fit using Bayesian methods; $95 \%$ credible intervals for the annual indices are available upon request.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ New England consists of CT, ME, MA, NH, RI, and VT; RI is a hunt state but was included in this group for purposes of analysis.

Table 4. Continued.

| Management Unit State | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| Eastern | 19.4 | 19.8 | 19.1 | 19.4 | 19.8 | 19.3 | 19.6 | 19.5 |  |  |
| Hunt states | 20.7 | 21.0 | 20.3 | 20.6 | 21.1 | 20.5 | 20.8 | 20.7 |  |  |
| AL | 25.2 | 25.2 | 25.8 | 25.8 | 26.2 | 26.7 | 26.3 | 26.4 |  |  |
| DE-MD | 14.3 | 14.8 | 14.5 | 15.4 | 14.4 | 14.0 | 14.4 | 14.6 |  |  |
| FL | 11.1 | 11.5 | 11.3 | 10.6 | 11.3 | 12.4 | 12.1 | 11.5 |  |  |
| GA | 21.4 | 19.4 | 21.7 | 24.1 | 22.1 | 22.0 | 23.0 | 22.6 |  |  |
| IL | 26.9 | 27.2 | 23.1 | 24.7 | 25.0 | 23.5 | 23.6 | 22.0 |  |  |
| IN | 25.8 | 26.8 | 25.9 | 26.7 | 26.3 | 26.2 | 25.5 | 24.5 |  |  |
| KY | 26.9 | 28.0 | 27.4 | 28.1 | 27.9 | 26.8 | 27.9 | 28.2 |  |  |
| LA | 11.4 | 12.5 | 11.9 | 12.9 | 12.9 | 12.8 | 13.8 | 13.7 |  |  |
| MS | 21.3 | 22.0 | 21.1 | 20.8 | 20.3 | 19.4 | 21.3 | 20.2 |  |  |
| NC | 38.6 | 38.7 | 38.9 | 38.8 | 38.6 | 39.4 | 38.7 | 38.2 |  |  |
| OH | 20.8 | 21.8 | 19.4 | 20.5 | 22.5 | 21.6 | 19.7 | 19.8 |  |  |
| PA | 12.6 | 12.6 | 11.3 | 12.5 | 12.2 | 9.5 | 13.4 | 13.2 |  |  |
| SC | 27.3 | 28.1 | 27.6 | 27.7 | 28.4 | 27.9 | 27.9 | 27.6 |  |  |
| TN | 16.2 | 16.0 | 15.7 | 16.0 | 15.6 | 15.3 | 14.8 | 14.9 |  |  |
| VA | 14.8 | 15.5 | 14.7 | 14.9 | 14.8 | 14.1 | 15.0 | 14.2 |  |  |
| WI | 20.0 | 21.3 | 17.6 | 16.6 | 22.5 | 18.7 | 18.2 | 21.5 |  |  |
| WV | 7.5 | 7.6 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.3 | 8.2 |  |  |
| Nonhunt states | 11.0 | 11.3 | 11.0 | 11.2 | 11.5 | 11.4 | 11.6 | 11.5 |  |  |
| MI | 18.2 | 18.1 | 18.4 | 17.7 | 18.3 | 18.6 | 18.9 | 18.4 |  |  |
| N. England ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 9.5 | 9.8 | 9.2 | 9.6 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 9.9 | 9.6 |  |  |
| NJ | 12.0 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 11.3 | 10.7 | 10.5 | 10.2 | 10.1 |  |  |
| NY | 13.0 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.5 | 14.1 | 13.8 | 14.1 | 14.2 |  |  |
| Central | 23.7 | 23.2 | 22.2 | 23.5 | 22.7 | 21.3 | 21.2 | 21.2 |  |  |
| AR | 15.6 | 15.9 | 15.6 | 14.9 | 14.8 | 15.2 | 14.8 | 15.1 |  |  |
| CO | 22.2 | 23.6 | 23.0 | 24.3 | 21.4 | 25.9 | 21.6 | 17.5 |  |  |
| IA | 28.4 | 27.6 | 27.2 | 26.5 | 26.6 | 25.4 | 26.9 | 26.0 |  |  |
| KS | 53.9 | 52.4 | 49.7 | 52.7 | 48.4 | 52.2 | 47.6 | 47.9 |  |  |
| MN | 16.4 | 16.1 | 15.7 | 15.4 | 15.6 | 15.0 | 15.5 | 14.5 |  |  |
| MO | 17.0 | 17.0 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 16.1 | 15.3 | 15.4 | 15.0 |  |  |
| MT | 13.5 | 12.4 | 12.5 | 13.9 | 12.4 | 11.4 | 11.9 | 13.0 |  |  |
| NE | 42.6 | 43.2 | 41.8 | 42.6 | 42.7 | 41.8 | 39.6 | 39.3 |  |  |
| NM | 13.9 | 15.9 | 12.0 | 14.7 | 14.0 | 10.9 | 11.4 | 12.2 |  |  |
| ND | 42.1 | 36.6 | 42.6 | 40.1 | 43.9 | 35.0 | 35.4 | 39.8 |  |  |
| OK | 27.2 | 27.0 | 22.6 | 25.9 | 24.4 | 17.2 | 20.5 | 20.9 |  |  |
| SD | 43.6 | 43.3 | 43.8 | 42.9 | 41.8 | 41.8 | 42.2 | 42.1 |  |  |
| TX | 18.5 | 17.3 | 15.1 | 18.8 | 17.7 | 14.7 | 15.9 | 16.1 |  |  |
| WY | 7.3 | 6.9 | 7.2 | 6.8 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 6.3 | 6.5 |  |  |
| Western | 11.5 | 9.8 | 9.4 | 9.5 | 9.8 | 8.8 | 8.9 | 8.6 |  |  |
| AZ | 21.1 | 16.3 | 16.6 | 16.1 | 20.1 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 13.5 |  |  |
| CA | 10.5 | 10.3 | 10.4 | 10.2 | 10.0 | 9.6 | 9.8 | 9.1 |  |  |
| ID | 12.0 | 10.9 | 10.0 | 9.2 | 9.9 | 8.7 | 8.9 | 9.1 |  |  |
| NV | 8.8 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 5.3 | 3.9 | 5.7 | 4.8 | 4.2 |  |  |
| OR | 6.2 | 7.4 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 6.1 |  |  |
| UT | 10.9 | 9.0 | 8.6 | 9.4 | 9.3 | 9.4 | 10.0 | 9.4 |  |  |
| WA | 5.1 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 5.8 |  |  |

Table 5. Estimated annual abundance indices ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ of mourning doves based on Call-count Survey seen data for management units and states, 1966-2013.

| Management Unit State | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 |
| Eastern | 16.8 | 16.6 | 16.4 | 16.6 | 16.5 | 16.3 | 17.1 | 16.4 | 16.4 | 16.7 |
| Hunt states | 18.5 | 18.3 | 18.1 | 18.4 | 18.2 | 17.9 | 18.9 | 18.1 | 18.2 | 18.4 |
| AL | 19.2 | 19.5 | 18.8 | 19.1 | 18.9 | 18.6 | 20.9 | 20.1 | 18.5 | 19.0 |
| DE-MD | 13.1 | 15.4 | 13.0 | 14.4 | 16.0 | 14.4 | 15.6 | 15.6 | 15.5 | 14.7 |
| FL | 6.2 | 5.7 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 6.9 | 8.3 |
| GA | 20.2 | 19.7 | 19.2 | 19.2 | 19.1 | 18.8 | 18.8 | 18.3 | 18.1 | 18.2 |
| IL | 21.1 | 24.4 | 22.4 | 22.6 | 20.4 | 20.9 | 21.9 | 20.5 | 20.3 | 21.2 |
| IN | 46.1 | 45.0 | 44.6 | 46.2 | 45.5 | 42.0 | 42.7 | 41.8 | 44.8 | 41.5 |
| KY | 20.0 | 19.3 | 19.9 | 19.5 | 19.9 | 18.2 | 20.6 | 19.3 | 20.2 | 19.9 |
| LA | 7.2 | 6.9 | 6.6 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.7 |
| MS | 39.6 | 36.0 | 36.4 | 35.8 | 33.9 | 33.6 | 37.0 | 31.6 | 31.3 | 31.8 |
| NC | 34.0 | 33.8 | 33.6 | 33.3 | 34.1 | 34.4 | 33.9 | 33.9 | 34.1 | 33.7 |
| OH | 19.4 | 20.2 | 20.3 | 23.2 | 24.2 | 24.3 | 25.6 | 24.6 | 24.1 | 26.0 |
| PA | 9.3 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 9.6 | 9.4 | 9.7 | 9.8 | 10.2 | 10.4 | 10.6 |
| SC | 20.0 | 20.0 | 19.8 | 20.3 | 19.6 | 20.8 | 20.7 | 20.2 | 21.3 | 21.8 |
| TN | 27.0 | 26.7 | 26.5 | 26.3 | 26.5 | 26.9 | 26.8 | 25.9 | 26.0 | 25.8 |
| VA | 15.3 | 14.4 | 14.5 | 14.1 | 14.9 | 13.9 | 14.6 | 14.2 | 14.5 | 14.1 |
| WI | 4.9 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 5.6 | 5.2 | 6.9 | 5.5 | 6.2 | 6.5 |
| WV | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.9 |
| Nonhunt states | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 5.3 |
| MI | 6.6 | 6.5 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 7.0 | 7.2 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.7 | 8.3 |
| N. England ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 4.7 |
| NJ | 22.1 | 22.6 | 22.3 | 22.0 | 21.3 | 21.5 | 21.6 | 21.3 | 20.7 | 20.7 |
| NY | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 4.0 |
| Central | 40.6 | 40.1 | 39.7 | 39.4 | 39.2 | 37.9 | 39.6 | 38.4 | 39.2 | 38.6 |
| AR | 23.5 | 24.6 | 23.8 | 23.4 | 22.9 | 22.6 | 23.2 | 22.9 | 22.5 | 22.2 |
| CO | 35.4 | 37.5 | 31.4 | 32.8 | 29.6 | 31.0 | 29.4 | 29.6 | 35.4 | 25.7 |
| IA | 18.3 | 18.9 | 18.5 | 18.1 | 17.9 | 18.4 | 19.5 | 18.5 | 18.8 | 18.5 |
| KS | 104.1 | 105.1 | 100.7 | 103.1 | 103.1 | 100.6 | 102.5 | 100.4 | 99.0 | 99.8 |
| MN | 17.9 | 17.2 | 17.0 | 16.5 | 16.2 | 16.4 | 16.9 | 15.0 | 15.4 | 15.0 |
| MO | 48.8 | 48.2 | 46.4 | 46.0 | 44.8 | 44.3 | 45.4 | 42.5 | 41.2 | 40.8 |
| MT | 11.3 | 13.8 | 12.9 | 12.6 | 13.5 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 12.8 | 13.4 | 11.9 |
| NE | 88.6 | 88.7 | 90.5 | 91.3 | 88.8 | 88.5 | 89.0 | 89.4 | 89.0 | 91.0 |
| NM | 14.1 | 12.9 | 12.7 | 12.5 | 12.8 | 11.3 | 17.5 | 10.7 | 18.5 | 15.1 |
| ND | 21.5 | 22.8 | 23.4 | 23.5 | 22.5 | 24.1 | 25.5 | 28.1 | 24.6 | 26.0 |
| OK | 95.3 | 101.5 | 100.7 | 98.0 | 97.5 | 95.0 | 92.2 | 90.8 | 93.2 | 93.8 |
| SD | 54.4 | 52.9 | 53.8 | 53.9 | 55.1 | 53.6 | 54.5 | 53.7 | 54.9 | 53.2 |
| TX | 40.9 | 37.8 | 41.6 | 39.8 | 42.1 | 35.8 | 42.1 | 40.6 | 40.1 | 40.7 |
| WY | 26.2 | 18.4 | 16.6 | 16.3 | 14.3 | 16.4 | 14.3 | 16.7 | 14.1 | 20.0 |
| Western | 17.3 | 19.5 | 22.1 | 18.2 | 17.8 | 17.8 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 19.7 | 16.1 |
| AZ | 12.0 | 14.8 | 25.9 | 17.8 | 20.1 | 12.6 | 10.6 | 23.7 | 17.1 | 17.3 |
| CA | 38.0 | 37.9 | 38.4 | 37.5 | 33.5 | 34.5 | 32.3 | 29.0 | 36.5 | 33.9 |
| ID | 17.9 | 28.3 | 16.8 | 13.3 | 11.0 | 16.3 | 15.1 | 10.4 | 16.4 | 12.8 |
| NV | 4.9 | 7.0 | 18.1 | 9.4 | 8.8 | 8.4 | 5.2 | 4.0 | 11.4 | 4.2 |
| OR | 11.6 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 10.1 | 9.8 | 9.7 | 10.0 | 8.8 | 9.4 | 8.9 |
| UT | 11.1 | 12.8 | 14.4 | 12.1 | 17.4 | 20.8 | 9.9 | 6.6 | 22.0 | 12.5 |
| WA | 2.0 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.6 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Annual indices are estimated from exponentiated year effects derived from a log-linear hierarchical model fit using Bayesian methods;
95\% credible intervals for the annual indices are available upon request.
${ }^{b}$ New England consists of CT, ME, MA, NH, RI, and VT; RI is a hunt state but was included in this group for purposes of analysis.

Table 5. Continued.

| Management Unit State | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
| Eastern | 17.2 | 16.7 | 15.8 | 15.9 | 16.1 | 17.0 | 16.6 | 16.5 | 16.3 | 16.8 |
| Hunt states | 19.0 | 18.4 | 17.4 | 17.4 | 17.6 | 18.7 | 18.2 | 18.1 | 17.9 | 18.4 |
| AL | 19.5 | 19.4 | 19.4 | 20.3 | 20.0 | 19.4 | 20.1 | 20.3 | 19.6 | 20.4 |
| DE-MD | 15.8 | 15.9 | 15.6 | 14.4 | 16.4 | 17.0 | 14.0 | 15.1 | 17.6 | 17.0 |
| FL | 9.5 | 7.8 | 8.3 | 8.8 | 8.6 | 10.6 | 9.1 | 9.0 | 10.8 | 11.8 |
| GA | 17.7 | 17.9 | 17.8 | 17.7 | 17.1 | 17.5 | 16.6 | 16.3 | 16.8 | 17.0 |
| IL | 23.2 | 22.4 | 20.4 | 18.4 | 19.2 | 20.8 | 19.5 | 19.3 | 17.0 | 19.8 |
| IN | 42.2 | 38.3 | 30.3 | 31.2 | 33.3 | 37.2 | 33.1 | 33.5 | 33.5 | 32.2 |
| KY | 21.8 | 20.7 | 20.3 | 20.1 | 19.8 | 22.6 | 23.3 | 22.4 | 21.8 | 24.3 |
| LA | 7.5 | 8.4 | 8.5 | 8.7 | 8.9 | 9.0 | 9.5 | 9.7 | 9.9 | 9.3 |
| MS | 30.5 | 31.9 | 31.7 | 31.4 | 31.1 | 29.8 | 31.4 | 31.9 | 28.4 | 27.6 |
| NC | 34.8 | 34.4 | 35.1 | 35.2 | 34.9 | 35.6 | 34.8 | 34.4 | 35.8 | 35.5 |
| OH | 29.3 | 24.5 | 15.8 | 15.9 | 16.6 | 21.6 | 22.5 | 21.0 | 20.1 | 23.0 |
| PA | 10.6 | 10.2 | 10.4 | 10.8 | 11.3 | 11.2 | 11.5 | 11.8 | 11.5 | 12.3 |
| SC | 21.7 | 21.3 | 21.9 | 22.3 | 22.3 | 22.5 | 23.3 | 22.5 | 23.0 | 23.1 |
| TN | 26.2 | 26.8 | 26.2 | 26.2 | 26.0 | 26.2 | 26.3 | 25.3 | 25.9 | 25.9 |
| VA | 14.7 | 15.0 | 14.7 | 13.8 | 14.5 | 13.6 | 13.7 | 13.9 | 13.3 | 13.1 |
| WI | 6.8 | 6.7 | 5.4 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 9.1 | 7.3 | 7.9 | 7.8 | 7.2 |
| WV | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 4.7 | 4.7 |
| Nonhunt states | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 5.9 |
| MI | 8.2 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 9.0 | 9.7 | 9.1 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 10.2 |
| N. England ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 5.2 |
| NJ | 21.0 | 20.6 | 20.8 | 20.6 | 20.0 | 20.5 | 20.5 | 19.9 | 19.5 | 18.5 |
| NY | 3.5 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 4.4 | 5.2 |
| Central | 39.2 | 38.6 | 37.4 | 38.3 | 38.7 | 39.5 | 38.9 | 36.7 | 36.8 | 35.3 |
| AR | 22.9 | 21.7 | 21.3 | 21.4 | 21.8 | 21.7 | 21.4 | 21.8 | 20.1 | 20.0 |
| CO | 37.8 | 32.2 | 31.4 | 26.1 | 31.8 | 30.9 | 30.8 | 25.9 | 27.7 | 26.0 |
| IA | 18.9 | 19.7 | 19.5 | 19.1 | 20.3 | 19.9 | 20.1 | 19.2 | 20.0 | 20.1 |
| KS | 98.8 | 98.6 | 96.2 | 96.9 | 99.3 | 96.5 | 96.0 | 95.8 | 94.5 | 93.4 |
| MN | 15.9 | 16.4 | 14.8 | 15.1 | 14.9 | 15.1 | 13.7 | 14.1 | 13.5 | 13.2 |
| MO | 38.9 | 39.8 | 39.1 | 37.4 | 37.3 | 38.6 | 36.7 | 36.7 | 34.5 | 32.2 |
| MT | 12.1 | 13.3 | 11.5 | 11.9 | 12.2 | 13.4 | 12.6 | 11.1 | 11.7 | 12.5 |
| NE | 94.6 | 92.7 | 91.9 | 90.5 | 90.7 | 90.9 | 91.5 | 86.7 | 87.0 | 87.0 |
| NM | 13.8 | 11.7 | 9.0 | 10.7 | 13.2 | 12.9 | 12.4 | 11.2 | 18.4 | 12.7 |
| ND | 30.9 | 32.1 | 30.8 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 29.8 | 27.2 | 25.5 | 24.0 | 24.4 |
| OK | 92.0 | 86.1 | 100.3 | 94.6 | 96.0 | 88.9 | 93.1 | 92.6 | 85.4 | 83.8 |
| SD | 55.1 | 55.3 | 54.1 | 53.6 | 52.9 | 53.5 | 53.1 | 52.8 | 53.0 | 52.4 |
| TX | 38.9 | 38.6 | 36.8 | 43.8 | 40.9 | 48.5 | 46.5 | 41.1 | 41.1 | 38.1 |
| WY | 15.5 | 18.5 | 11.1 | 13.0 | 12.8 | 11.5 | 11.3 | 9.2 | 8.4 | 7.8 |
| Western | 18.7 | 17.4 | 13.6 | 16.6 | 19.6 | 15.4 | 14.5 | 13.2 | 14.1 | 12.2 |
| AZ | 15.4 | 13.0 | 21.0 | 33.6 | 20.4 | 11.6 | 17.9 | 20.4 | 12.2 | 13.9 |
| CA | 31.2 | 32.1 | 23.7 | 25.8 | 27.2 | 28.4 | 29.3 | 23.2 | 24.8 | 23.3 |
| ID | 16.7 | 15.8 | 11.9 | 11.8 | 13.0 | 16.1 | 14.7 | 12.5 | 14.7 | 11.2 |
| NV | 17.0 | 13.6 | 4.6 | 7.0 | 32.6 | 8.0 | 3.8 | 4.9 | 7.1 | 5.0 |
| OR | 9.2 | 10.2 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 7.8 | 9.1 | 8.1 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 6.6 |
| UT | 18.3 | 14.4 | 8.9 | 9.1 | 11.7 | 16.9 | 6.8 | 7.5 | 16.2 | 8.8 |
| WA | 2.6 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 1.1 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Annual indic 95\% credible interv <br> ${ }^{\text {b }}$ New Englan | mated from annual in f CT, ME | exponen es are MA, NH, | d year able up and VT | ects deriv request. is a hun | from a <br> tate but | -linear <br> includ | archical <br> in this gro | del fit us <br> for pur | Bayesi <br> es of an | methods sis. |

Table 5. Continued.

| Management Unit State | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| Eastern | 17.1 | 17.3 | 17.8 | 18.0 | 18.1 | 18.2 | 18.1 | 18.1 | 18.3 | 18.6 |
| Hunt states | 18.7 | 19.0 | 19.6 | 19.7 | 19.8 | 19.8 | 19.8 | 19.8 | 20.0 | 20.2 |
| AL | 21.2 | 19.1 | 19.5 | 19.7 | 19.6 | 19.7 | 20.2 | 20.5 | 20.8 | 20.9 |
| DE-MD | 18.0 | 15.7 | 18.5 | 18.5 | 16.6 | 19.0 | 18.1 | 16.9 | 17.4 | 17.2 |
| FL | 11.0 | 11.1 | 11.8 | 13.2 | 14.9 | 13.4 | 14.4 | 14.5 | 15.7 | 16.4 |
| GA | 16.1 | 16.2 | 16.7 | 16.4 | 16.0 | 15.7 | 15.5 | 14.9 | 15.4 | 15.7 |
| IL | 20.1 | 21.6 | 21.4 | 21.9 | 23.4 | 23.0 | 22.1 | 21.6 | 21.6 | 22.5 |
| IN | 32.7 | 35.4 | 33.7 | 33.8 | 33.7 | 31.5 | 30.2 | 30.2 | 29.9 | 29.4 |
| KY | 22.1 | 24.0 | 24.9 | 25.5 | 24.0 | 26.7 | 25.2 | 25.6 | 24.9 | 25.9 |
| LA | 10.5 | 10.0 | 11.3 | 10.8 | 11.5 | 11.9 | 11.8 | 12.1 | 12.7 | 13.4 |
| MS | 28.4 | 26.6 | 29.5 | 27.1 | 28.2 | 25.6 | 28.2 | 27.6 | 26.8 | 25.9 |
| NC | 36.4 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 35.8 | 36.0 | 36.7 | 36.8 | 37.4 | 37.6 | 36.9 |
| OH | 25.3 | 26.8 | 29.3 | 30.6 | 26.8 | 29.1 | 29.1 | 27.8 | 30.2 | 29.9 |
| PA | 12.7 | 12.7 | 13.3 | 13.0 | 13.3 | 13.7 | 13.5 | 14.4 | 14.5 | 14.7 |
| SC | 22.9 | 23.3 | 24.1 | 23.9 | 24.4 | 24.6 | 24.5 | 23.5 | 24.9 | 24.6 |
| TN | 25.7 | 26.3 | 26.2 | 26.3 | 26.4 | 26.5 | 26.5 | 26.9 | 27.1 | 27.2 |
| VA | 12.8 | 13.2 | 13.1 | 12.4 | 14.1 | 13.4 | 13.1 | 13.5 | 12.2 | 13.6 |
| WI | 8.7 | 9.8 | 9.7 | 10.1 | 10.8 | 10.6 | 10.0 | 10.7 | 9.4 | 10.2 |
| WV | 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 6.2 | 4.4 | 6.4 | 5.6 | 6.8 | 7.2 | 7.0 |
| Nonhunt states | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 6.9 | 7.1 | 7.5 |
| MI | 10.8 | 11.1 | 11.2 | 11.3 | 12.1 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.3 | 12.6 | 12.9 |
| N. England ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 6.0 |
| NJ | 19.4 | 18.1 | 17.9 | 18.4 | 18.0 | 19.2 | 18.9 | 18.3 | 18.4 | 18.3 |
| NY | 5.7 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 7.7 | 7.5 | 6.7 | 7.3 | 8.0 |
| Central | 36.5 | 36.5 | 36.1 | 35.6 | 35.4 | 40.1 | 38.5 | 36.2 | 36.3 | 35.4 |
| AR | 20.5 | 20.5 | 19.8 | 20.6 | 20.3 | 19.6 | 20.2 | 20.1 | 20.0 | 19.9 |
| CO | 30.0 | 27.9 | 29.3 | 27.1 | 28.5 | 28.7 | 26.5 | 28.0 | 27.8 | 27.0 |
| IA | 19.9 | 20.2 | 20.5 | 21.3 | 21.0 | 20.9 | 21.3 | 20.8 | 21.3 | 21.4 |
| KS | 93.2 | 92.9 | 94.3 | 94.0 | 91.0 | 94.3 | 93.1 | 90.3 | 93.3 | 92.9 |
| MN | 12.7 | 12.9 | 12.6 | 12.5 | 12.1 | 12.4 | 11.6 | 11.2 | 10.7 | 10.7 |
| MO | 33.3 | 33.5 | 33.5 | 32.9 | 32.3 | 32.0 | 31.1 | 29.0 | 28.7 | 29.1 |
| MT | 11.2 | 11.4 | 13.4 | 12.1 | 12.5 | 10.9 | 10.9 | 10.5 | 10.9 | 11.0 |
| NE | 84.7 | 86.4 | 86.8 | 82.5 | 84.4 | 87.4 | 86.2 | 82.9 | 84.1 | 83.2 |
| NM | 13.7 | 10.6 | 11.3 | 12.1 | 11.3 | 12.9 | 9.8 | 10.6 | 10.8 | 9.9 |
| ND | 25.3 | 26.0 | 26.8 | 28.1 | 28.9 | 29.0 | 32.1 | 28.4 | 25.0 | 22.6 |
| OK | 87.4 | 84.3 | 86.1 | 83.5 | 85.1 | 87.5 | 87.7 | 83.6 | 85.6 | 85.4 |
| SD | 49.8 | 51.4 | 51.1 | 51.9 | 51.8 | 51.5 | 51.4 | 50.1 | 49.8 | 48.4 |
| TX | 42.6 | 43.9 | 39.4 | 40.2 | 38.3 | 60.0 | 54.4 | 47.8 | 46.9 | 44.5 |
| WY | 9.1 | 10.1 | 8.4 | 7.9 | 8.7 | 9.2 | 8.1 | 6.6 | 7.1 | 6.3 |
| Western | 10.9 | 10.8 | 11.0 | 11.2 | 11.3 | 10.1 | 10.5 | 11.1 | 11.4 | 10.5 |
| AZ | 9.7 | 6.5 | 8.0 | 7.7 | 7.6 | 11.2 | 12.3 | 17.0 | 10.8 | 13.7 |
| CA | 20.2 | 20.9 | 20.2 | 19.6 | 21.4 | 18.2 | 19.7 | 17.1 | 19.6 | 15.1 |
| ID | 11.8 | 15.2 | 16.5 | 13.5 | 15.8 | 12.8 | 12.4 | 11.1 | 15.2 | 13.1 |
| NV | 4.5 | 5.3 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 5.3 | 7.4 | 10.1 |
| OR | 7.1 | 7.2 | 5.9 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 5.0 |
| UT | 9.0 | 7.6 | 8.9 | 13.9 | 9.4 | 8.1 | 6.0 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 4.3 |
| WA | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 4.8 | 2.1 | 1.6 |

${ }^{a}$ Annual indices are estimated from exponentiated year effects derived from a log-linear hierarchical model fit using Bayesian methods; $95 \%$ credible intervals for the annual indices are available upon request.
${ }^{6}$ New England consists of CT, ME, MA, NH, RI, and VT; RI is a hunt state but was included in this group for purposes of analysis.

Table 5. Continued.

| Management Unit State | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| Eastern | 18.1 | 17.9 | 19.1 | 19.7 | 19.7 | 19.7 | 19.9 | 20.5 | 20.8 | 20.3 |
| Hunt states | 19.7 | 19.5 | 20.7 | 21.3 | 21.4 | 21.2 | 21.6 | 22.3 | 22.5 | 22.0 |
| AL | 19.5 | 20.0 | 20.3 | 20.9 | 21.2 | 20.4 | 21.8 | 21.9 | 21.3 | 21.2 |
| DE-MD | 18.0 | 16.0 | 17.8 | 18.7 | 17.5 | 17.3 | 15.9 | 17.3 | 19.4 | 19.1 |
| FL | 15.1 | 18.5 | 16.6 | 19.1 | 18.0 | 20.7 | 18.6 | 22.5 | 21.8 | 19.8 |
| GA | 15.1 | 15.1 | 15.0 | 14.8 | 15.0 | 14.9 | 15.1 | 14.2 | 14.7 | 14.6 |
| IL | 21.6 | 19.8 | 22.4 | 20.5 | 23.6 | 21.4 | 23.1 | 24.3 | 26.3 | 24.9 |
| IN | 30.9 | 27.8 | 30.3 | 30.6 | 29.8 | 28.4 | 28.2 | 29.4 | 30.1 | 29.2 |
| KY | 26.3 | 25.1 | 26.5 | 28.2 | 28.9 | 29.2 | 29.3 | 29.0 | 30.7 | 28.3 |
| LA | 12.7 | 13.5 | 13.9 | 15.6 | 15.6 | 15.4 | 16.8 | 16.9 | 17.2 | 17.5 |
| MS | 24.0 | 24.1 | 24.0 | 24.2 | 22.8 | 22.9 | 23.1 | 23.3 | 21.1 | 21.5 |
| NC | 37.4 | 36.7 | 36.8 | 37.7 | 38.0 | 37.9 | 38.2 | 39.0 | 39.5 | 39.2 |
| OH | 26.4 | 24.7 | 33.2 | 33.1 | 33.1 | 33.1 | 31.7 | 36.9 | 35.2 | 29.6 |
| PA | 15.2 | 15.4 | 15.9 | 17.2 | 16.4 | 16.8 | 17.3 | 17.3 | 17.7 | 17.4 |
| SC | 24.8 | 24.7 | 25.3 | 27.4 | 26.5 | 26.2 | 27.0 | 25.6 | 26.7 | 26.7 |
| TN | 26.9 | 27.3 | 27.6 | 27.4 | 27.9 | 27.8 | 27.5 | 27.9 | 28.3 | 28.2 |
| VA | 13.5 | 13.4 | 13.6 | 13.9 | 13.3 | 13.3 | 14.7 | 13.2 | 14.1 | 14.2 |
| WI | 10.3 | 9.4 | 11.9 | 11.6 | 12.1 | 11.3 | 12.7 | 13.9 | 13.7 | 15.1 |
| WV | 6.3 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 7.7 | 8.7 | 9.2 | 8.3 | 7.0 | 9.5 | 9.1 |
| Nonhunt states | 7.3 | 7.2 | 8.2 | 9.1 | 8.6 | 9.2 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 9.5 |
| MI | 13.2 | 13.3 | 14.8 | 15.2 | 16.1 | 16.0 | 16.2 | 16.8 | 16.8 | 18.2 |
| N. England ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 5.9 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 7.0 | 7.1 |
| NJ | 18.1 | 17.9 | 17.6 | 18.2 | 16.6 | 17.0 | 17.7 | 17.2 | 16.9 | 16.8 |
| NY | 7.6 | 7.6 | 9.8 | 11.3 | 10.2 | 11.6 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 10.8 | 11.6 |
| Central | 34.1 | 36.7 | 37.5 | 38.0 | 36.6 | 35.5 | 36.5 | 36.5 | 36.8 | 38.0 |
| AR | 19.1 | 19.5 | 19.3 | 19.5 | 19.0 | 19.3 | 18.6 | 19.1 | 19.3 | 18.7 |
| CO | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.8 | 29.1 | 29.4 | 25.4 | 27.5 | 27.6 | 26.0 | 25.1 |
| IA | 21.9 | 21.2 | 22.7 | 22.0 | 23.5 | 22.8 | 23.5 | 23.7 | 24.9 | 24.8 |
| KS | 89.9 | 93.0 | 92.9 | 94.1 | 92.1 | 89.3 | 90.6 | 92.4 | 93.0 | 94.7 |
| MN | 10.5 | 11.0 | 11.5 | 10.4 | 10.9 | 10.3 | 9.7 | 9.6 | 10.2 | 9.3 |
| MO | 27.7 | 26.9 | 27.2 | 26.2 | 25.5 | 25.7 | 25.6 | 25.1 | 25.5 | 23.8 |
| MT | 11.0 | 12.7 | 11.0 | 11.8 | 10.6 | 9.9 | 11.8 | 11.3 | 10.8 | 10.6 |
| NE | 82.7 | 80.4 | 84.3 | 84.5 | 86.0 | 83.6 | 82.5 | 83.6 | 85.7 | 86.1 |
| NM | 10.8 | 12.8 | 11.2 | 11.6 | 11.4 | 10.1 | 10.6 | 11.8 | 10.6 | 13.3 |
| ND | 26.2 | 24.7 | 30.0 | 32.7 | 28.6 | 25.5 | 25.0 | 24.7 | 27.3 | 25.6 |
| OK | 79.8 | 80.7 | 85.3 | 85.7 | 84.3 | 80.5 | 79.3 | 81.4 | 82.7 | 86.5 |
| SD | 48.2 | 49.1 | 50.9 | 52.2 | 50.6 | 50.5 | 50.4 | 49.7 | 49.0 | 49.0 |
| TX | 40.2 | 50.0 | 50.7 | 51.5 | 46.7 | 47.9 | 51.1 | 50.1 | 51.1 | 55.8 |
| WY | 5.8 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 6.9 | 5.6 | 4.9 | 5.0 |
| Western | 11.4 | 10.3 | 10.0 | 11.8 | 10.6 | 8.6 | 9.8 | 8.6 | 10.0 | 8.7 |
| AZ | 7.2 | 8.0 | 15.3 | 13.3 | 11.3 | 10.1 | 6.9 | 11.2 | 10.3 | 10.8 |
| CA | 20.2 | 17.7 | 16.7 | 18.0 | 17.5 | 15.7 | 17.3 | 14.7 | 16.1 | 14.6 |
| ID | 16.4 | 13.6 | 10.5 | 13.4 | 15.5 | 12.1 | 13.8 | 11.4 | 16.5 | 13.1 |
| NV | 10.3 | 7.8 | 4.3 | 9.4 | 6.3 | 3.4 | 7.0 | 3.5 | 5.4 | 3.7 |
| OR | 5.7 | 6.0 | 5.2 | 6.5 | 5.5 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 5.0 | 4.6 |
| UT | 5.8 | 5.4 | 4.5 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 3.3 | 7.4 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 2.7 |
| WA | 1.6 | 3.4 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 3.3 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Annual indices are estimated from exponentiated year effects derived from a log-linear hierarchical model fit using Bayesian methods; $95 \%$ credible intervals for the annual indices are available upon request.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ New England consists of CT, ME, MA, NH, RI, and VT; RI is a hunt state but was included in this group for purposes of analysis.

Table 5. Continued.

| Management Unit State | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| Eastern | 20.5 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.2 | 21.8 | 21.5 | 22.8 | 22.7 |  |  |
| Hunt states | 22.1 | 22.7 | 22.8 | 22.9 | 23.5 | 23.3 | 24.7 | 24.6 |  |  |
| AL | 21.9 | 22.3 | 22.2 | 24.0 | 23.9 | 23.0 | 23.8 | 23.9 |  |  |
| DE-MD | 16.0 | 19.1 | 18.7 | 17.8 | 18.9 | 17.9 | 18.5 | 17.5 |  |  |
| FL | 19.2 | 20.0 | 23.3 | 23.5 | 21.7 | 25.4 | 28.4 | 29.3 |  |  |
| GA | 14.3 | 14.7 | 14.9 | 14.8 | 14.3 | 14.6 | 14.5 | 14.4 |  |  |
| IL | 27.9 | 25.1 | 23.0 | 23.1 | 25.1 | 23.9 | 27.7 | 28.0 |  |  |
| IN | 26.4 | 25.8 | 26.1 | 23.6 | 25.8 | 25.5 | 26.9 | 26.2 |  |  |
| KY | 30.6 | 31.1 | 31.4 | 32.9 | 33.5 | 33.2 | 35.8 | 35.2 |  |  |
| LA | 18.7 | 19.6 | 19.8 | 20.6 | 20.9 | 21.3 | 23.1 | 23.5 |  |  |
| MS | 21.9 | 23.0 | 23.1 | 23.1 | 21.9 | 21.8 | 21.9 | 22.1 |  |  |
| NC | 37.2 | 37.3 | 37.6 | 38.1 | 38.4 | 38.5 | 38.4 | 38.6 |  |  |
| OH | 31.5 | 33.5 | 33.9 | 33.7 | 37.0 | 33.0 | 33.5 | 34.9 |  |  |
| PA | 17.4 | 17.8 | 18.5 | 18.3 | 19.4 | 19.4 | 19.6 | 19.9 |  |  |
| SC | 25.9 | 25.9 | 25.3 | 26.9 | 27.2 | 26.7 | 27.8 | 26.4 |  |  |
| TN | 27.9 | 27.6 | 28.7 | 28.9 | 29.0 | 28.8 | 29.6 | 29.3 |  |  |
| VA | 14.8 | 15.8 | 16.0 | 14.8 | 14.4 | 15.0 | 15.9 | 15.4 |  |  |
| WI | 15.6 | 16.5 | 15.0 | 14.7 | 18.1 | 15.5 | 16.5 | 17.3 |  |  |
| WV | 5.6 | 9.4 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 10.1 | 9.5 | 15.8 | 9.0 |  |  |
| Nonhunt states | 9.7 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 9.8 | 10.1 | 9.7 | 10.2 | 10.0 |  |  |
| MI | 18.0 | 19.9 | 18.1 | 19.1 | 18.9 | 20.0 | 21.2 | 20.8 |  |  |
| N. England ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 7.2 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 7.4 | 7.6 | 7.2 | 7.8 | 7.8 |  |  |
| NJ | 15.8 | 16.2 | 16.0 | 15.9 | 15.3 | 15.1 | 15.6 | 14.6 |  |  |
| NY | 12.3 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 12.2 | 12.8 | 12.2 | 12.5 | 12.1 |  |  |
| Central | 36.4 | 37.5 | 35.7 | 36.3 | 35.6 | 34.4 | 34.3 | 35.3 |  |  |
| AR | 19.0 | 18.8 | 18.4 | 18.3 | 18.4 | 18.0 | 17.8 | 18.3 |  |  |
| CO | 25.7 | 27.0 | 24.7 | 26.1 | 24.0 | 26.6 | 22.4 | 24.8 |  |  |
| IA | 24.9 | 25.6 | 25.4 | 25.7 | 25.4 | 26.3 | 25.9 | 26.1 |  |  |
| KS | 99.2 | 98.7 | 97.2 | 100.0 | 100.2 | 99.7 | 99.2 | 99.4 |  |  |
| MN | 10.2 | 9.7 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.9 | 9.4 | 9.1 | 9.7 |  |  |
| MO | 25.0 | 24.2 | 23.8 | 23.6 | 22.9 | 22.7 | 23.0 | 21.9 |  |  |
| MT | 13.4 | 11.3 | 12.0 | 11.3 | 10.2 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 10.8 |  |  |
| NE | 84.3 | 85.9 | 86.5 | 87.4 | 87.4 | 85.4 | 84.5 | 84.8 |  |  |
| NM | 12.2 | 17.8 | 12.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 12.1 | 10.2 | 10.4 |  |  |
| ND | 27.1 | 26.3 | 24.3 | 25.8 | 25.0 | 22.4 | 26.6 | 23.7 |  |  |
| OK | 72.3 | 71.5 | 66.2 | 67.7 | 67.4 | 63.4 | 65.4 | 64.3 |  |  |
| SD | 46.0 | 46.1 | 45.5 | 46.5 | 45.0 | 44.7 | 45.0 | 44.9 |  |  |
| TX | 48.7 | 53.9 | 49.9 | 50.3 | 49.8 | 44.9 | 45.6 | 50.5 |  |  |
| WY | 6.2 | 4.8 | 5.9 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 4.7 |  |  |
| Western | 10.4 | 10.2 | 9.9 | 10.0 | 9.3 | 9.4 | 8.3 | 9.1 |  |  |
| AZ | 11.8 | 7.5 | 9.1 | 10.1 | 13.3 | 7.2 | 5.8 | 7.4 |  |  |
| CA | 13.5 | 16.6 | 12.4 | 14.4 | 12.0 | 13.0 | 14.1 | 12.9 |  |  |
| ID | 20.5 | 19.5 | 20.0 | 18.3 | 18.2 | 15.7 | 15.0 | 20.7 |  |  |
| NV | 6.4 | 6.2 | 9.9 | 5.7 | 4.6 | 9.1 | 5.2 | 6.9 |  |  |
| OR | 5.7 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 3.9 |  |  |
| UT | 4.5 | 4.9 | 3.4 | 6.7 | 3.1 | 7.4 | 3.6 | 3.0 |  |  |
| WA | 2.8 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.1 |  |  |

Table 6. Preliminary estimates and $95 \%$ confidence intervals (CI, expressed as the interval half width in percent) of mourning dove harvest and hunter activity for management units and states during the 2011 hunting season ${ }^{\text {a }}$.

| Management Unit State | Total harvest |  | Active hunters |  | Hunter days afield |  | Harvest per hunter ${ }^{6}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estimate | Cl | Estimate | Cl | Estimate | Cl | Estimate | Cl |
| Eastern | 6,666,900 | 8 | 378,600 | $\dagger^{\text {c }}$ | 1,095,200 | 7 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| AL | 796,400 | 19 | 42,600 | 11 | 108,300 | 17 | 18.7 | 22 |
| DE | 14,700 | 35 | 1,400 | 29 | 3,300 | 38 | 10.3 | 46 |
| FL | 245,700 | 26 | 13,700 | 29 | 37,200 | 26 | 17.9 | 39 |
| GA | 1,154,700 | 17 | 53,800 | 11 | 162,600 | 14 | 21.5 | 20 |
| IL | 467,700 | 22 | 25,400 | 15 | 77,000 | 21 | 18.4 | 27 |
| IN | 216,900 | 25 | 10,000 | 24 | 37,500 | 44 | 21.7 | 35 |
| KY | 380,700 | 26 | 18,500 | 38 | 61,700 | 38 | 20.6 | 46 |
| LA | 471,100 | 45 | 25,500 | 27 | 69,400 | 35 | 18.5 | 52 |
| MD | 92,600 | 36 | 6,400 | 24 | 16,600 | 32 | 14.4 | 43 |
| MS | 443,400 | 22 | 20,800 | 15 | 52,200 | 20 | 21.4 | 26 |
| NC | 719,800 | 33 | 49,700 | 24 | 142,300 | 34 | 14.5 | 41 |
| OH | 174,900 | 29 | 14,200 | 25 | 55,800 | 25 | 12.4 | 38 |
| PA | 158,800 | 26 | 13,500 | 26 | 53,600 | 23 | 11.7 | 37 |
| RI | 100 | 194 | 0 | 194 | 200 | 194 | 3.0 | 274 |
| SC | 701,900 | 27 | 35,700 | 21 | 100,900 | 24 | 19.7 | 34 |
| TN | 306,700 | 26 | 21,400 | 21 | 44,800 | 25 | 14.3 | 34 |
| VA | 245,900 | 19 | 16,400 | 15 | 46,400 | 20 | 15.0 | 24 |
| WI | 7,800 | 38 | 700 | 28 | 1,400 | 42 | 11.0 | 47 |
| WV | 67,000 | 64 | 8,800 | 33 | 24,200 | 32 | 7.6 | 72 |
| Central | 7,657,700 | 9 | 427,700 | $\dagger$ | 1,444,800 | 11 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| AR | 519,300 | 43 | 25,300 | 25 | 63,800 | 34 | 20.5 | 50 |
| CO | 178,700 | 14 | 15,300 | 14 | 44,500 | 24 | 11.7 | 20 |
| IA | 56,800 | 21 | 5,800 | 11 | 19,000 | 17 | 9.7 | 24 |
| KS | 534,800 | 18 | 32,800 | 10 | 95,800 | 15 | 16.3 | 21 |
| MN | 57,300 | 40 | 9,400 | 49 | 25,100 | 51 | 6.1 | 63 |
| MO | 359,600 | 16 | 31,600 | 11 | 74,600 | 14 | 11.4 | 19 |
| MT | 14,400 | 61 | 2,200 | 37 | 5,900 | 47 | 6.7 | 71 |
| NE | 265,500 | 23 | 15,500 | 16 | 46,900 | 28 | 17.1 | 28 |
| NM | 76,900 | 42 | 6,700 | 39 | 24,600 | 49 | 11.4 | 57 |
| ND | 41,800 | 31 | 3,700 | 25 | 10,400 | 29 | 11.2 | 40 |
| OK | 379,400 | 33 | 17,100 | 15 | 54,200 | 25 | 22.1 | 36 |
| SD | 87,200 | 26 | 6,200 | 21 | 16,300 | 26 | 14.0 | 34 |
| TX | 5,061,100 | 13 | 253,200 | 11 | 958,600 | 16 | 20.0 | 17 |
| WY | 25,000 | 52 | 2,700 | 30 | 5,100 | 38 | 9.3 | 60 |
| Western | 2,256,300 | 8 | 149,400 | $\dagger$ | 465,700 | 7 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| AZ | 784,600 | 15 | 35,400 | 12 | 123,300 | 15 | 22.2 | 19 |
| CA | 1,138,200 | 10 | 72,700 | 7 | 227,100 | 10 | 15.6 | 12 |
| ID | 147,500 | 45 | 11,000 | 21 | 38,600 | 35 | 13.4 | 50 |
| NV | 31,900 | 24 | 3,500 | 19 | 8,600 | 22 | 9.2 | 31 |
| OR | 63,000 | 23 | 12,900 | 18 | 38,000 | 25 | 4.9 | 29 |
| UT | 53,900 | 31 | 9,600 | 21 | 19,800 | 23 | 5.6 | 37 |
| WA | 37,200 | 25 | 4,300 | 23 | 10,200 | 25 | 8.7 | 34 |
| United States | 16,580,900 | 5 | 955,700 | t | 3,005,700 | 6 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Hunter number estimates at the Management Unit and national levels may be biased high, because the HIP sample frames are state specific; therefore hunters are counted more than once if they hunt in $>1$ state. Variance is inestimable.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Seasonal harvest per hunter.
${ }^{c}$ No estimate available.

Table 7. Preliminary estimates and $95 \%$ confidence intervals (CI, expressed as the interval half width in percent) of mourning dove harvest and hunter activity for management units and states during the 2012 hunting season ${ }^{\text {a }}$.

| Management Unit State | Total harvest |  | Active hunters |  | Hunter days afield |  | Harvest per hunter ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estimate | Cl | Estimate | Cl | Estimate | Cl | Estimate | Cl |
| Eastern | 6,279,900 | 8 | 349,600 | $\dagger^{\text {c }}$ | 1,015,600 | 7 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| AL | 687,100 | 15 | 38,500 | 10 | 116,400 | 23 | 17.8 | 18 |
| DE | 39,900 | 28 | 2,400 | 21 | 7,200 | 30 | 16.5 | 36 |
| FL | 175,100 | 28 | 10,700 | 32 | 48,500 | 59 | 16.4 | 43 |
| GA | 735,700 | 15 | 35,600 | 11 | 94,600 | 14 | 20.7 | 19 |
| IL | 372,700 | 26 | 20,500 | 17 | 62,700 | 22 | 18.2 | 31 |
| IN | 263,300 | 34 | 14,100 | 23 | 40,700 | 26 | 18.7 | 41 |
| KY | 511,800 | 43 | 21,500 | 39 | 61,100 | 41 | 23.8 | 58 |
| LA | 354,100 | 50 | 17,800 | 29 | 60,400 | 43 | 19.9 | 58 |
| MD | 94,300 | 25 | 6,200 | 22 | 16,800 | 26 | 15.1 | 33 |
| MS | 286,900 | 28 | 11,800 | 15 | 32,300 | 23 | 24.3 | 32 |
| NC | 1,020,600 | 22 | 62,100 | 16 | 148,000 | 18 | 16.4 | 27 |
| OH | 136,000 | 33 | 8,600 | 23 | 33,500 | 35 | 15.8 | 41 |
| PA | 203,200 | 30 | 18,000 | 26 | 60,200 | 26 | 11.3 | 40 |
| RI | 500 | 77 | 100 | 47 | 400 | 61 | 7.9 | 91 |
| SC | 554,600 | 30 | 25,100 | 21 | 81,900 | 28 | 22.1 | 36 |
| TN | 464,400 | 26 | 27,000 | 18 | 71,300 | 25 | 17.2 | 31 |
| VA | 295,900 | 19 | 19,900 | 14 | 45,100 | 15 | 14.9 | 24 |
| WI | 10,300 | 33 | 1,000 | 24 | 1,900 | 42 | 10.7 | 41 |
| WV | 73,200 | 31 | 8,900 | 32 | 32,700 | 29 | 8.3 | 44 |
| Central | 6,361,600 | 14 | 338,700 | $\dagger$ | 1,108,700 | 11 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| AR | 494,200 | 30 | 21,400 | 22 | 57,600 | 26 | 23.1 | 37 |
| CO | 204,300 | 26 | 17,000 | 18 | 43,800 | 26 | 12 | 32 |
| IA | $\dagger^{\text {c }}$ | $t^{\text {c }}$ | $\dagger^{\text {c }}$ | $\dagger^{\text {c }}$ | $\dagger^{\text {c }}$ | $\dagger^{\text {c }}$ | $\dagger^{\text {c }}$ | $\dagger^{\text {c }}$ |
| KS | 244,800 | 62 | 12,200 | 39 | 49,100 | 52 | 20.1 | 73 |
| MN | 65,400 | 75 | 6,800 | 52 | 21,600 | 48 | 9.7 | 91 |
| MO | 296,600 | 81 | 23,800 | 29 | 51,400 | 50 | 12.4 | 86 |
| MT | 2,600 | 161 | 200 | 87 | 500 | 120 | 13.3 | 183 |
| NE | 223,400 | 20 | 13,200 | 17 | 39,000 | 17 | 16.9 | 26 |
| NM | 160,100 | 17 | 9,000 | 11 | 38,000 | 17 | 17.8 | 20 |
| ND | 78,900 | 37 | 4,900 | 30 | 17,400 | 36 | 16 | 48 |
| OK | 349,700 | 26 | 15,700 | 14 | 49,200 | 19 | 22.3 | 30 |
| SD | 65,500 | 28 | 4,500 | 22 | 14,700 | 28 | 14.4 | 36 |
| TX | 4,150,800 | 20 | 207,200 | 13 | 720,200 | 16 | 20 | 24 |
| WY | 25,300 | 40 | 2,700 | 32 | 6,300 | 38 | 9.3 | 51 |
| Western | 1,849,400 | 8 | 140,700 | $\dagger$ | 413,700 | 7 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| AZ | 601,200 | 16 | 32,100 | 9 | 110,800 | 14 | 18.7 | 18 |
| CA | 900,000 | 10 | 65,200 | 7 | 192,200 | 10 | 13.8 | 12 |
| ID | 127,600 | 25 | 9,700 | 22 | 32,200 | 35 | 13.1 | 33 |
| NV | 26,900 | 36 | 3,600 | 26 | 7,400 | 26 | 7.5 | 44 |
| OR | 64,100 | 32 | 12,000 | 19 | 28,900 | 24 | 5.3 | 38 |
| UT | 78,000 | 43 | 13,200 | 22 | 30,800 | 31 | 5.9 | 48 |
| WA | 51,500 | 30 | 4,900 | 26 | 11,300 | 27 | 10.6 | 40 |
| United States | 14,490,800 | 7 | 828,900 | $\dagger$ | 2,538,000 | 6 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Hunter number estimates at the Management Unit and national levels may be biased high because the HIP sample frames are state specific; therefore hunters are counted more than once if they hunt in $>1$ state. Variance is inestimable.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Seasonal harvest per hunter.
${ }^{c}$ No estimate available.

Table 8. Number of mourning doves banded in each management unit, state, and year, 2003-2012. Only known age birds banded in July or August are included in the table and used in analysis of survival and harvest rates.

| Mgmt Unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Eastern | 15,652 | 17,454 | 20,142 | 20,862 | 21,717 | 19,461 | 21,309 | 20,475 | 18,946 | 19,525 |
| AL | 1,130 | 1,112 | 991 | 961 | 889 | 117 | 1,147 | 1,026 | 942 | 1,010 |
| DE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 111 | 133 | 103 | 205 |
| FL | 830 | 960 | 916 | 858 | 773 | 1,027 | 799 | 865 | 736 | 968 |
| GA | 1,424 | 1,161 | 1,396 | 1,136 | 1,234 | 1,332 | 1,450 | 1,670 | 1,244 | 1,498 |
| IL | 6 | 6 | 47 | 1,163 | 1,267 | 1,378 | 1,877 | 1,833 | 2,034 | 1,501 |
| IN | 6 | 1,175 | 1,211 | 1,253 | 1,261 | 963 | 1,008 | 1,312 | 1,162 | 1,418 |
| KY | 1,444 | 1,566 | 1,454 | 1,637 | 1,608 | 1,867 | 2,391 | 2,232 | 1,786 | 1,299 |
| LA | 1,205 | 655 | 2,412 | 2,581 | 3,516 | 2,347 | 1,955 | 1,826 | 1,738 | 1,362 |
| MD | 472 | 482 | 719 | 571 | 708 | 322 | 334 | 312 | 377 | 346 |
| MI | 39 | 26 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 10 |
| MS | 1,071 | 994 | 1,008 | 656 | 690 | 822 | 928 | 448 | 462 | 605 |
| North AtI. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 20 | 4 | 19 | 34 | 12 | 12 | 460 | 1,176 | 1,286 | 967 |
| NC | 1,283 | 1,539 | 1,662 | 1,299 | 1,307 | 1,736 | 1,685 | 1,198 | 795 | 1,847 |
| OH | 1,984 | 2,712 | 2,020 | 1,976 | 1,993 | 1,958 | 2,007 | 955 | 1,264 | 1,393 |
| PA | 1,564 | 1,590 | 1,658 | 1,838 | 1,748 | 942 | 903 | 899 | 827 | 899 |
| RI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 22 | 0 | 0 |
| SC | 1,041 | 863 | 1,484 | 1,461 | 1,761 | 1,720 | 1,875 | 1,953 | 1,911 | 1,795 |
| TN | 938 | 1,277 | 1,154 | 1,275 | 866 | 1,199 | 653 | 854 | 635 | 651 |
| VA | 474 | 546 | 804 | 585 | 642 | 603 | 599 | 554 | 496 | 522 |
| WI | 7 | 18 | 561 | 973 | 836 | 725 | 761 | 838 | 807 | 926 |
| WV | 714 | 768 | 626 | 603 | 600 | 321 | 348 | 369 | 339 | 303 |
| Central | 10,491 | 12,562 | 10,960 | 11,355 | 10,499 | 16,230 | 19,595 | 17,380 | 18,710 | 18,219 |
| AR | 782 | 975 | 1,085 | 914 | 822 | 711 | 514 | 0 | 424 | 222 |
| CO | 7 | 12 | 11 | 20 | 467 | 753 | 670 | 953 | 984 | 940 |
| IA | 1,940 | 2,191 | 2,458 | 1,099 | 987 | 1,694 | 1,238 | 1,078 | 2,216 | 2,089 |
| KS | 1,230 | 1,426 | 1,412 | 1,457 | 1,099 | 2,377 | 3,388 | 2,445 | 3,211 | 3,385 |
| MN | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 363 | 529 | 700 | 1,164 | 853 | 1,026 |
| MO | 1,983 | 2,063 | 1,739 | 2,219 | 1,729 | 2,512 | 2,861 | 2,903 | 2,296 | 2,168 |
| MT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 322 | 270 | 296 |
| NE | 926 | 1,237 | 721 | 753 | 799 | 1,057 | 1,014 | 997 | 1,316 | 1,454 |
| NM | 3 | 11 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 463 | 1,059 | 625 | 114 | 717 |
| ND | 745 | 1,293 | 1,072 | 976 | 703 | 782 | 1,135 | 1,666 | 1,741 | 1,433 |
| OK | 391 | 447 | 528 | 715 | 826 | 1,513 | 2,746 | 1,520 | 1,661 | 1,488 |
| SD | 1,506 | 1,303 | 851 | 1,768 | 1,456 | 1,713 | 1,693 | 1,771 | 1,356 | 1,430 |
| TX | 978 | 1,600 | 1,069 | 1,430 | 1,237 | 2,078 | 2,575 | 1,936 | 2,268 | 1,502 |
| WY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 48 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 69 |
| Western | 3,261 | 3,658 | 4,494 | 4,559 | 6,495 | 6,253 | 9,059 | 9,348 | 7,552 | 8,634 |
| AZ | 1,653 | 1,574 | 1,582 | 2,436 | 2,562 | 2,544 | 3,831 | 3,599 | 3,818 | 3,362 |
| CA | 252 | 157 | 819 | 1,160 | 1,870 | 1,706 | 2,693 | 3,468 | 1,422 | 2,458 |
| ID | 440 | 854 | 837 | 730 | 615 | 594 | 466 | 453 | 355 | 677 |
| NV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 431 | 488 | 642 | 729 |
| OR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 173 | 245 | 219 | 243 | 319 |
| UT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 233 | 722 | 398 | 685 | 553 | 323 | 319 |
| WA | 916 | 1,073 | 1,256 | 0 | 726 | 718 | 708 | 568 | 749 | 770 |
| United |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| States | 29,404 | 33,674 | 35,596 | 36,776 | 38,711 | 41,944 | 49,963 | 47,203 | 45,208 | 46,378 |

[^1]Table 9. Estimates of mean annual survival and harvest rate of mourning doves by management unit and state that banded doves, 2003-2012. Estimates by age-class: hatch-year (HY) and after-hatch-year (AHY). Standard errors of estimates are in parentheses.

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Management Unit } \\ & \text { State } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Annual Survival |  | Annual Harvest Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HY (SE) | AHY (SE) | HY (SE) | AHY (SE) |
| Eastern | 0.29 (0.01) | 0.40 (0.01) | 0.094 (0.001) | 0.068 (0.001) |
| AL | 0.29 (0.03) | 0.39 (0.03) | 0.118 (0.004) | 0.076 (0.004) |
| DE-MD ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.31 (0.03) | 0.39 (0.03) | 0.153 (0.006) | 0.106 (0.006) |
| FL | 0.25 (0.04) | 0.43 (0.03) | 0.054 (0.003) | 0.054 (0.004) |
| GA | 0.28 (0.02) | 0.39 (0.02) | 0.141 (0.004) | 0.093 (0.004) |
| IL | 0.34 (0.03) | 0.37 (0.03) | 0.082 (0.003) | 0.069 (0.004) |
| IN | 0.35 (0.04) | 0.38 (0.02) | 0.094 (0.005) | 0.095 (0.004) |
| KY | 0.34 (0.02) | 0.39 (0.02) | 0.060 (0.003) | 0.054 (0.002) |
| LA | 0.34 (0.01) | 0.42 (0.02) | 0.134 (0.003) | 0.095 (0.006) |
| MS | 0.25 (0.02) | 0.41 (0.02) | 0.172 (0.006) | 0.112 (0.005) |
| North Atl ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.49 (0.13) | 0.42 (0.20) | 0.010 (0.002) | 0.004 (0.002) |
| NC | 0.20 (0.02) | 0.39 (0.02) | 0.109 (0.004) | 0.064 (0.003) |
| OH | 0.30 (0.03) | 0.36 (0.02) | 0.069 (0.003) | 0.063 (0.003) |
| PA | 0.24 (0.03) | 0.44 (0.03) | 0.081 (0.003) | 0.041 (0.003) |
| SC | 0.30 (0.02) | 0.42 (0.02) | 0.094 (0.003) | 0.062 (0.003) |
| TN | 0.24 (0.02) | 0.38 (0.02) | 0.101 (0.004) | 0.075 (0.004) |
| VA | 0.34 (0.04) | 0.49 (0.04) | 0.052 (0.004) | 0.039 (0.004) |
| WI | 0.27 (0.04) | 0.50 (0.04) | 0.075 (0.004) | 0.047 (0.004) |
| WV | 0.49 (0.06) | 0.43 (0.05) | 0.029 (0.003) | 0.022 (0.004) |
| Central | 0.29 (0.01) | 0.44 (0.01) | 0.078 (0.001) | 0.061 (0.001) |
| AR | 0.20 (0.02) | 0.40 (0.03) | 0.128 (0.006) | 0.071 (0.005) |
| CO | 0.80 (0.06) | 0.60 (0.06) | 0.014 (0.002) | 0.032 (0.004) |
| IA | 0.36 (0.03) | 0.53 (0.03) | 0.038 (0.002) | 0.029 (0.002) |
| KS | 0.36 (0.03) | 0.46 (0.02) | 0.077 (0.003) | 0.070 (0.002) |
| MN | 0.35 (0.07) | 0.51 (0.07) | 0.040 (0.004) | 0.027 (0.003) |
| MO | 0.16 (0.01) | 0.36 (0.01) | 0.177 (0.003) | 0.148 (0.004) |
| MT | 0.66 (0.24) | 0.56 (0.32) | 0.021 (0.006) | 0.019 (0.008) |
| ND | 0.60 (0.04) | 0.58 (0.03) | 0.024 (0.002) | 0.015 (0.002) |
| NE | 0.38 (0.05) | 0.47 (0.02) | 0.042 (0.003) | 0.045 (0.003) |
| NM | 0.68 (0.13) | 0.44 (0.11) | 0.011 (0.003) | 0.015 (0.003) |
| OK | 0.26 (0.02) | 0.40 (0.03) | 0.103 (0.003) | 0.085 (0.005) |
| SD | 0.48 (0.03) | 0.49 (0.02) | 0.040 (0.002) | 0.032 (0.002) |
| TX | 0.42 (0.03) | 0.46 (0.02) | 0.060 (0.003) | 0.053 (0.002) |
| Western | 0.31 (0.02) | 0.45 (0.01) | 0.047 (0.001) | 0.041 (0.001) |
| AZ | 0.35 (0.03) | 0.50 (0.02) | 0.037 (0.002) | 0.024 (0.001) |
| CA | 0.29 (0.03) | 0.40 (0.02) | 0.070 (0.003) | 0.088 (0.003) |
| ID | 0.28 (0.06) | 0.54 (0.04) | 0.031 (0.004) | 0.020 (0.002) |
| NV | 0.30 (0.08) | 0.60 (0.09) | 0.053 (0.007) | 0.033 (0.005) |
| OR | 0.38 (0.11) | 0.26 (0.25) | 0.043 (0.008) | 0.027 (0.007) |
| UT | 0.29 (0.06) | 0.45 (0.09) | 0.027 (0.004) | 0.024 (0.006) |
| WA | 0.29 (0.03) | 0.44 (0.03) | 0.051 (0.003) | 0.042 (0.005) |

[^2]Table 10. Estimated age ratios (juvenile to adult) by state based on the Parts Collection Survey, 2007-2012. Age ratios are corrected for unknown age wings and differential vulnerability. Sample size is the number of wings examined.

| Management Unit State | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Sample } \\ \text { Size } \end{gathered}$ | Population Age Ratio | SE ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eastern | 57,514 | 1.54 | 0.10 |
| AL | 2,347 | 1.81 | 0.08 |
| DE | 1,422 | 1.63 | 0.09 |
| GA | 2,112 | 2.16 | 0.10 |
| IL | 5,297 | 1.55 | 0.04 |
| IN | 7,091 | 1.58 | 0.04 |
| KY | 3,377 | 1.66 | 0.06 |
| LA | 1,375 | 1.80 | 0.10 |
| MD | 2,807 | 1.61 | 0.06 |
| MS | 2,982 | 1.38 | 0.05 |
| NC | 5,665 | 1.41 | 0.04 |
| OH | 2,732 | 1.55 | 0.06 |
| PA | 2,114 | 1.18 | 0.05 |
| SC | 6,253 | 1.63 | 0.04 |
| TN | 2,178 | 1.78 | 0.08 |
| VA | 7,253 | 1.35 | 0.03 |
| WI | 1,445 | 1.52 | 0.08 |
| WV | 1,064 | 1.70 | 0.11 |
| Central | 49,388 | 1.04 | 0.08 |
| AR | 2,826 | 1.50 | 0.06 |
| CO | 5,283 | 1.15 | 0.03 |
| IA | 462 | 1.59 | 0.15 |
| KS | 5,495 | 1.14 | 0.03 |
| MN | 1,106 | 1.42 | 0.09 |
| MO | 4,781 | 1.34 | 0.04 |
| MT | 1,507 | 1.25 | 0.06 |
| ND | 1,662 | 1.04 | 0.05 |
| NE | 4,739 | 0.88 | 0.03 |
| NM | 3,146 | 0.57 | 0.02 |
| OK | 4,457 | 1.31 | 0.04 |
| SD | 3,107 | 1.13 | 0.04 |
| TX | 8,778 | 1.03 | 0.02 |
| WY | 2,039 | 1.21 | 0.05 |
| Western | 26,405 | 1.17 | 0.04 |
| AZ | 8,259 | 0.68 | 0.02 |
| CA | 7,580 | 1.26 | 0.03 |
| ID | 1,889 | 1.35 | 0.06 |
| NV | 2,134 | 1.09 | 0.05 |
| OR | 1,012 | 1.42 | 0.09 |
| UT | 1,538 | 0.97 | 0.05 |
| WA | 3,993 | 1.55 | 0.05 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Standard errors for state estimates only incorporate sampling error for the proportion of young in the sample and do not incorporate additional uncertainty from correction factors for unknown age wings and differential vulnerability. Standard errors for management unit estimates based on weighted mean of annual point estimates with weights being the inverse of annual standard errors.

Table 11. Estimates of absolute abundance of mourning doves based on band recovery and harvest data by year and management unit in the United States, 2003-2012.

| Year | Management Unit |  |  |  |  |  | Total (United States) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastern |  | Central |  | Western |  |  |  |
|  | N | SE | N | SE | N | SE | N | SE |
| 2003 | 92,490,254 | 5,767,968 | 137,774,201 | 10,957,899 | 145,200,897 | 28,031,571 | 375,465,352 | 30,644,967 |
| 2004 | 84,663,413 | 3,721,925 | 247,712,752 | 16,599,452 | 86,703,965 | 11,113,079 | 419,080,130 | 20,319,819 |
| 2005 | 131,058,409 | 5,568,435 | 225,502,464 | 17,038,142 | 36,112,604 | 3,648,595 | 392,673,478 | 18,292,566 |
| 2006 | 91,441,014 | 3,703,624 | 239,442,373 | 16,280,639 | 48,506,561 | 4,483,615 | 379,389,948 | 17,288,113 |
| 2007 | 106,828,739 | 4,818,445 | 204,949,338 | 13,887,012 | 56,383,511 | 4,140,087 | 368,161,588 | 15,271,112 |
| 2008 | 96,266,779 | 3,997,521 | 206,652,474 | 13,631,264 | 49,907,927 | 4,138,195 | 352,827,180 | 14,795,816 |
| 2009 | 100,738,558 | 4,154,001 | 185,839,469 | 11,702,537 | 49,926,556 | 3,388,639 | 336,504,584 | 12,871,984 |
| 2010 | 94,189,561 | 4,382,319 | 176,576,170 | 11,653,048 | 54,683,673 | 3,850,230 | 325,449,403 | 13,031,597 |
| 2011 | 92,951,310 | 4,779,940 | 155,125,048 | 8,873,029 | 50,835,228 | 3,852,332 | 298,911,585 | 10,789,761 |
| 2012 | 92,730,954 | 4,793,947 | 185,977,998 | 15,290,391 | 70,351,605 | 5,600,005 | 349,060,557 | 16,974,629 |

Appendix A. Federal framework dates, season length, and daily bag limit for mourning dove hunting in the United States by management unit, 1918-2012.

| Year | Management Unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastern |  |  | Central |  |  | Western |  |  |
|  | Dates ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Days | Bag | Dates | Days | Bag | Dates | Days | Bag |
| 1918 | Sep 1-Dec 31 | 107 | 25 | Sep 1-Dec 15 | 106 | 25 | Sep 1-Dec 15 | 106 | 25 |
| 1919-22 | Sep 1-Jan 31 | 108 | 25 | Sep 1-Dec 15 | 106 | 25 | Sep 1-Dec 15 | 106 | 25 |
| 1923-28 | Sep 1-Jan 31 | 108 | 25 | Sep 1-Dec 31 | 106 | 25 | Sep 1-Dec 15 | 106 | 25 |
| 1929 | Sep 1-Jan 31 | 106 | 25 | Sep 1-Dec 31 | 106 | 25 | Sep 1-Dec 15 | 106 | 25 |
| 1930 | Sep 1-Jan 31 | 108 | 25 | Sep 1-Dec 15 | 106 | 25 | Sep 1-Dec 15 | 106 | 25 |
| 1931 | Sep 1-Jan 31 | 106 | 25 | Sep 1-Dec 15 | 106 | 25 | Sep 1-Dec 15 | 106 | 25 |
| 1932-33 | Sep 1-Jan 31 | 106 | 18 | Sep 1-Dec 15 | 106 | 18 | Sep 1-Dec 15 | 106 | 18 |
| 1934 | Sep 1-Jan 31 | 106 | 18 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | 106 | 18 | Sep 1-Dec 15 | 106 | 18 |
| 1935 | Sep 1-Jan 31 | 107 | 20 | Sep 1-Jan 16 | 106 | 20 | Sep 1-Jan 05 | 107 | 20 |
| 1936 | Sep 1-Jan 31 | 77 | 20 | Sep 1-Jan 16 | 76 | 20 | Sep 1-Nov 15 | 76 | 20 |
| $1937{ }^{\text {b }}$ | Sep 1-Jan 31 | 77 | 15 | Sep 1-Nov 15 | 76 | 15 | Sep 1-Nov 15 | 76 | 15 |
| 1938 | Sep 1-Jan 31 | 78 | 15 | Sep 1-Nov 15 | 76 | 15 | Sep 1-Nov 15 | 76 | 15 |
| 1939 | Sep 1-Jan 31 | 78 | 15 | Sep 1-Jan 31 | 77 | 15 | Sep 1-Nov 15 | 76 | 15 |
| 1940 | Sep 1-Jan 31 | 77 | 12 | Sep 1-Jan 31 | 76 | 12 | Sep 1-Nov 15 | 76 | 12 |
| 1941 | Sep 1-Jan 31 | 62 | 12 | Sep 1-Oct 27 | 42 | 12 | Sep 1-Oct 12 | 42 | 12 |
| 1942 | Sep 1-Oct 15 | 30 | 10 | Sep 1-Oct 27 | 42 | 10 | Sep 1-Oct 12 | 42 | 10 |
| 1943 | Sep 1-Dec 24 | 30 | 10 | Sep 1-Dec 19 | 42 | 10 | Sep 1-Oct 12 | 42 | 10 |
| 1944 | Sep 1-Jan 20 | 58 | 10 | Sep 1-Jan 20 | 57 | 10 | Sep 1-Oct 25 | 55 | 10 |
| 1945 | Sep 1-Jan 31 | 60 | 10 | Sep 1-Jan 31 | 60 | 10 | Sep 1-Oct 30 | 60 | 10 |
| 1946 | Sep 1-Jan 31 | 61 | 10 | Sep 1-Jan 31 | 60 | 10 | Sep 1-Oct 30 | 60 | 10 |
| 1947-48 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Sep 1-Jan 31 | 60 | 10 | Sep 1-Dec 3 | 60 | 10 | Sep 1-Oct 30 | 60 | 10 |
| 1949 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | 30 | 10 | Sep 1-Nov 14 | 45 | 10 | Sep 1-Oct 15 | 45 | 10 |
| 1950 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | 30 | 10 | Sep 1-Dec 3 | 45 | 10 | Sep 1-Oct 15 | 45 | 10 |
| 1951 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | 30 | 8 | Sep 1- Dec 24 | 42 | 10 | Sep 1-Oct 15 | 45 | 10 |
| 1952 | Sep 1-Jan 10 | 30 | 8 | Sep 1-Nov 6 | 42 | 10 | Sep 1-Oct 12 | 42 | 10 |
| 1953 | Sep 1-Jan 10 | 30 | 8 | Sep 1-Nov 9 | 42 | 10 | Sep 1-Oct 12 | 42 | 10 |
| $1954{ }^{\text {d }}$ | Sep 1-Jan 10 | 40 | 8 | Sep 1-Nov 9 | 40 | 10 | Sep 1-Oct 31 | 40 | 10 |
| 1955 | Sep 1-Jan 10 | 45 | 8 | Sep 1-Nov 28 | 45 | 10 | Sep 1-Dec 31 | 45 | 10 |
| $1956^{\text {e }}$ | Sep 1-Jan 10 | 55 | 8 | Sep 1-Jan 10 | 55 | 10 | Sep 1-Jan 10 | 50 | 10 |
| 1957 | Sep 1-Jan 10 | 60 | 10 | Sep 1-Jan 10 | 60 | 10 | Sep 1-Jan 10 | 50 | 10 |
| 1958-59 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | 65 | 10 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | 65 | 10 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | 50 | 10 |
| $1960-61^{\text {f }}$ | Sep 1-Jan 15 | $70^{9}$ | 12 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | 60 | 15 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | 50 | 10 |
| 1962 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | $70^{9}$ | 12 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | 60 | 12 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | 50 | 10 |
| 1963 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | $70^{9}$ | 10 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | 60 | 10 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | 50 | 10 |
| 1964-67 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | $70^{9}$ | 12 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | 60 | 12 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | 50 | 12 |
| 1968 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | $70^{9}$ | 12 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | 60 | 12 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | 50 | 10 |
| 1969-70 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | $70^{9}$ | $18^{\text {h }}$ | Sep 1-Jan 15 | 60 | 10 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | 50 | 10 |
| 1971-79 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | $70^{9}$ | 12 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | 60 | 10 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | 50 | 10 |
| 1980 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | 70 | 12 | Sep 1-Jan $15^{\text {i }}$ | 60 | 10 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | $70^{\text {j }}$ | $10^{k}$ |
| 1981 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | 70 | 12 | Sep 1-Jan $15^{\text {i }}$ | $45^{1}$ | $15^{1}$ | Sep 1-Jan 15 | $70^{\text {j }}$ | $10^{\text {k }}$ |
| 1982 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | $45^{\text {m }}$ | $15^{\text {m }}$ | Sep 1-Jan $15^{\text {i }}$ | $45^{\text {m }}$ | $15^{\mathrm{m}}$ | Sep 1-Jan 15 | $45^{\text {m }}$ | $15^{\mathrm{m}}$ |
| 1983-86 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | $60^{\text {m }}$ | $15^{\text {m }}$ | Sep 1-Jan $15^{\text {i }}$ | $60^{\text {m }}$ | $15^{\text {m }}$ | Sep 1-Jan 15 | $60^{\text {m }}$ | $15^{\text {m }}$ |
| 1987-07 ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | Sep 1-Jan 15 | $60^{\text {m }}$ | $15^{\text {m }}$ | Sep 1-Jan $15^{\text {i }}$ | $60^{\mathrm{m}}$ | $15^{\mathrm{m}}$ | Sep 1-Jan 15 | $60^{\circ}$ | 10 |
| 2008 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | 70 | 15 | Sep 1-Jan $15^{\text {i }}$ | $60^{\text {m }}$ | $15^{\text {m }}$ | Sep 1-Jan 15 | $60^{\circ}$ | 10 |
| 2009-12 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | 70 | 15 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | 70 | 15 | Sep 1-Jan 15 | $60^{\circ}$ | 10 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ From 1918-1947, seasons for doves and other "webless" species were selected independently and the dates were the earliest opening and latest closing dates chosen. Dates were inclusive. There were different season lengths in various states with some choosing many fewer days than others. Only bag and possession limits, and season dates were specified.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Beginning in 1937, the bag and possession limits included white-winged doves in selected states.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ From 1948-1953, states permitting dove hunting were listed by waterfowl flyway. Only bag and possession limits, and season dates were specified.
${ }^{d}$ In 1954-1955, states permitting dove hunting were listed separately. Only bag and possession limits, and season dates were specified.
${ }^{e}$ From 1956-1959, states permitting dove hunting were listed separately. Framework opening and closing dates for seasons (but no maximum days for season length) were specified for the first time along with bag and possession limits.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ In 1960, states were grouped by management unit for the first time. Maximum season length was specified for the first time.
${ }^{9}$ Half days.
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ More liberal limits allowed in conjunction with an Eastern Management Unit hunting regulations experiment.

## Appendix A. Continued.

The framework extended to January 25 in Texas.
${ }^{\mathrm{j}} 50-70$ days depending on state and season timing.
${ }^{\mathrm{k}}$ Arizona was allowed 12.
'States had the option of a 60-day season and daily bag limit of 12.
${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ States had the option of a 70 -day season and daily bag limit of 12 .
${ }^{n}$ Beginning in 2002, the limits included white-winged doves in all states in the Central Management Unit. Beginning in 2006, the limits included white-winged doves in all states in the Eastern Management Unit.
${ }^{\circ} 30-60$ days depending on state ( 30 in Idaho, Nevada, Oregon, Utah, Washington; 60 in Arizona and California).
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[^0]:    ${ }^{a}$ Trend estimated from annual indices derived from a log-linear hierarchical model fit using Bayesian methods. There is evidence of a positive trend if the $\mathrm{Cl}>0$ and there is evidence of negative trend if the $\mathrm{Cl}<0$. If the Cl contains 0 , then there is inconclusive evidence about trend in abundance.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Combined total for North Atlantic non-hunt states: CT, ME, MA, NJ, NH, NY, and VT.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data combined for Delaware and Maryland.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Data combined for northeastern states: CT, ME, MA, NJ, NH, NY, RI, and VT.

