## **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



**Proposed Action:** NW Natural Pipeline Installation

**LURR No.:** 20160376

Project Manager: Dawneen Dostert – TERR-LMT

Location: Clark County, WA

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B4.9 Multiple use of powerline

rights-of-way

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) is proposing to allow NW Natural to install pipeline within BPA transmission line right-of-way (ROW). The 8-inch pipeline would be buried at a depth of 5 feet near structure 5/2 on the Ross-Lexington No. 1 transmission line. The pipeline would be buried along NE Corbin Road for approximately 240 feet and then continue south onto NE 39<sup>th</sup> Avenue for 460 feet. After that, the pipeline would leave BPA ROW.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Elizabeth Siping

Elizabeth Siping Contract Environmental Protection Specialist Flux Resources, LLC

D	~		, , ,	h	
п	ev	еv	/ed	υv	١.

/s/ Gene Lynard

Gene Lynard Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Sarah T. Biegel Date: August 9, 2016

Sarah T. Biegel NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment: Environmental Checklist

# **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: NW Natural Pipeline Installation - LURR 20160376

#### **Project Site Description**

The site is located at NE Corbin Road and NE 39<sup>th</sup> Avenue near structure 5/2 on the Ross-Lexington No. 1 transmission line on fee-owned ROW in Clark County, Washington. The project area where pipeline installation would occur is paved with asphalt. The project vicinity is mostly residential with scattered undeveloped areas.

#### **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions	
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources	<b>~</b>		
	Explanation: The proposed activities do not have	the potential to affect his	toric or cultural resources.	
2.	Geology and Soils	<b>~</b>		
	Explanation: The project area is currently paved with asphalt, which would be temporarily removed for pipeline installation. The 8-inch pipeline would be buried at a depth of 5 feet for a total of approximately 700 linear feet.			
3.	<b>Plants</b> (including federal/state special-status species)			
	Explanation: No special-status species are prese	nt. No disturbance to veg	getation would occur.	
4.	<b>Wildlife</b> (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)			
	Explanation: The project area is not suitable for occur.	wildlife habitat; therefore	e, no disturbance to wildlife would	
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)			
	Explanation: No water bodies, floodplains, or fis	h are present.		
6.	Wetlands	<b>~</b>		
	Explanation: No wetlands are present.			

7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	<b>V</b>				
	Explanation: No new wells or use of groundwater is propbelow ground surface.	oosed. Maximum depth of disturba	nce is within 5 feet			
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	<b>~</b>				
	Explanation: No change to land use is proposed.					
9.	Visual Quality	<b>~</b>				
	Explanation: No change to appearance is proposed.					
10.	Air Quality	V				
	Explanation: Small, temporary amount of dust and vehicle emissions would occur during construction.					
11.	Noise	<b>V</b>				
	Explanation: Temporary increase in noise would occur do	uring construction.				
12.	Human Health and Safety	<b>V</b>				
	Explanation: No impact to human health and safety antic	cipated.				
	Evaluation of Other In	tegral Elements				
	proposed project would also meet conditions that are in ject would not:	tegral elements of the categorical $\epsilon$	exclusion. The			
Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.						
			ment, safety, and			
			ment, safety, and			
V	health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orde	aste storage, disposal, recovery, or				
V	health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orde  Explanation, if necessary:  Require siting and construction or major expansion of w	aste storage, disposal, recovery, or				
	health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Order  Explanation, if necessary:  Require siting and construction or major expansion of w facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise of the Explanation, if necessary:  Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants products that preexist in the environment such that there	ers.  aste storage, disposal, recovery, or ategorically excluded.  , or CERCLA excluded petroleum ar	treatment and natural gas			
	health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orde  Explanation, if necessary:  Require siting and construction or major expansion of w facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise of the Explanation, if necessary:  Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants	ers.  aste storage, disposal, recovery, or ategorically excluded.  , or CERCLA excluded petroleum ar	treatment and natural gas			

invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable

requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

**Explanation**, if necessary:

### **Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination**

Description: Not applicable; all work within BPA ROW.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Elizabeth Siping</u> Date: <u>August 9, 2016</u>

Elizabeth Siping – ECT-4

**Environmental Protection Specialist**