## **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



**Proposed Action:** Wautoma-Rock Creek Perpetual Right-of-Way Grant Acquisition

**Project Manager:** Miroslava Rivera, Realty Specialist, TERR-3

**Location:** Klickitat County, Washington

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.24 Property Transfers

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA proposes to acquire a perpetual right-of-way grant for BPA's existing Wautoma-Rock Creek transmission line across a parcel of land in Klickitat County, Washington. Originally BPA had acquired a 50-year easement for the right-of-way from Yakama Tribal Allottees. However, the easement has expired and the Bureau of Land Management now owns the parcel. The right-of-way is identified as BPA tract BE-MI-39-A-95SIA and is 125-feet wide and 227.7-feet long; located in the NW1/4 NE1/4 of Section 19, Township 5 North, Range 19 East Willamette Meridian.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Justin Moffett Justin Moffett	
Concur:	
/s/ Stacy L. Mason	Date: <i>July 6, 2016</i>
Stacy L. Mason	
NEPA Compliance Officer	

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

## **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Wautoma-Rock Creek Perpetual Right-of-Way Grant Acquisition

## **Project Site Description**

The parcel that the transmission line right-of-way crosses is managed by the U.S. Bureau of Land Management as rangeland.

## **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		
	Explanation: The right-of-way grant would have no No consultation required.	potential to affect histo	ric properties or cultural resources.
2.	Geology and Soils		
	Explanation: Right-of-way grant only. No impact.		
3.	<b>Plants</b> (including federal/state special-status species)	<b>~</b>	
	Explanation: Right-of-way grant only. No impact.		
4.	<b>Wildlife</b> (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	<b>~</b>	
	Explanation: Right-of-way grant only. No impact.		
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)		
	Explanation: Right-of-way grant only. No impact.		
6.	Wetlands		
	Explanation: Right-of-way grant only. No impact.		

7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	<b>~</b>				
	Explanation: Right-of-way grant only. No impact.					
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	<b>V</b>				
	<u>Explanation</u> : The right-of-way grant would formalize exist proposed.	iting use of BLM land. No changes t	o current land use			
9.	Visual Quality	<b>V</b>				
	Explanation: Right-of-way grant only. No impact.					
10.	Air Quality	<b>V</b>				
	Explanation: Right-of-way grant only. No impact.					
11.	Noise	<b>V</b>				
	Explanation: Right-of-way grant only. No impact.					
12.	Human Health and Safety	<b>V</b>				
	Explanation: Right-of-way grant only. No impact.					
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements						
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:						
Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.						
	Explanation, if necessary:					
Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatm facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.						
	Explanation, if necessary:					
<b>~</b>	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants products that preexist in the environment such that ther	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_			
	Explanation, if necessary:					

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.				
Explanation, if necessary:				
Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination				
<u>Description</u> : BPA is working with the BLM to acquire the right-of-way grant.				
Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.				
Signed:/s/ Justin Moffett Date: July 6, 2016  Justin Moffett, ECT-4				