Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: FY16 Snohomish District Transmission Line Wood-Pole Structure Replacement Project

Project No.: 3408

Project Manager: Cynthia Rounds

Location: Snohomish County, WA

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine maintenance

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA proposes to replace deteriorating transmission line wood poles and associated structural/electrical components (e.g. cross arms, insulators, guy anchors, etc.) at the 25 locations specified in the table below. Replacement will be in-kind and will utilize the existing holes to minimize ground disturbance. If necessary, an auger will be used to remove any loose soil from the existing hole prior to new wood pole placement. New landing pad construction or access road development is not planned at these locations. Minor maintenance of landing and roads within their existing road and landing prisms may be required.

The proposed action will help reduce outage times and maintain reliable power in the region. All work will be in accordance with the National Electrical Safety Code and BPA standards.

Transmission Line ROW	Structure	Township	Range	Section	County	Ownership/ Land Use
North Mountain- Snohomish No. 1	77/5	28N	5E	12	Snohomish	BPA Fee-owned/ Adj. to Substation
	3/10	31N	6E	7	Snohomish	Private/ Undeveloped
Murray-Custer No. 1	4/4, 4/6, 5/1	31N	5E	1	Snohomish	Private/ Undeveloped
	6/1	32N	5E	36	Snohomish	Private/ Agriculture
Snohomish-Beverly Park No. 4	5/6	28N	5E	8	Snohomish	Private/ Undeveloped
	3/2	28N	5E	11	Snohomish	Private/Rural Residential
Snohomish-Bothell No. 1	4/5, 4/6	28N	5E	14	Snohomish	Private/ Agriculture
	7/5	28N	5E	34	Snohomish	Private/Suburban Residential
Snohomish-Murray	5/7, 5/8, 6/2	29N	5E	25	Snohomish	Private/Rural Residential
No. 1	9/4, 9/5	29N	5E	1	Snohomish	Private/Rural Residential
	10/9	30N	5E	36	Snohomish	Private/Rural Residential

	1/8, 1/9, 1/10	28N	5E	12	Snohomish	Private/ Undeveloped
Snohomish-PUD No. 3	2/2, 2/3, 2/6	28N	5E	11	Snohomish	Private/ Undeveloped
NO. 3	4/3	28N	5E	9	Snohomish	Private/ Undeveloped
	4/8	28N	5E	8	Snohomish	Private/ Agriculture

Findings: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ <u>Oden Jahn</u> Oden Jahn Physical Scientist

Concur:

/s/ <u>Stacy L. Mason</u> Stacy L. Mason NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Date: June 20, 2016

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: FY16 Snohomish District Transmission Line Wood-Pole Structure Replacement Project

Project Site Description

Most structures are located on grass & shrub right-of-way surrounded by privately-owned suburban residential, rural residential, and agricultural properties. North Mountain-Snohomish No. 1, Structure 77/5 is located on BPA fee-owned property adjacent to BPA's Snohomish Substation. Structure 77/5 is situated in a previously-disturbed area surrounded by herbaceous wetlands and upland habitat.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		~
	Explanation: The Washington Department of Arc determination of No Adverse Effect Affect to His Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, the Lummi Nation, th the Sauk-Suiattle Indian Tribe; however, they did	storic Properties on May 1 e Stillaguamish Tribe of In	9, 2016. BPA also consulted with the dians, the Nooksack Indian Tribe, and
	Mitigation: In the event any archaeological mat vicinity and immediately notify the BPA environ tribes, DAHP, and the appropriate local, state and the discovery site, including any appropriate state confidentiality of the discovery site, including re	mental lead, archaeologis nd federal agencies. Imple bilization or covering. Tal	t, and project manager, interested ment reasonable measures to protect
2.	Geology and Soils		
	Explanation: The project will require minimal groaffected.	ound disturbing activities.	No prime or unique farmlands will be
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)	~	
	Explanation: No federal or state special-status p	olant species are recoded i	n the project area.
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	<u>~</u>	
	Explanation: No federal or state special-status v	vildlife species or habitats	are recoded in the project area.

5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)		
	Explanation: No in-water work is proposed for this projecteelhead, bull trout and their critical habitat are found in Snohomish-PUD #3 Structure 4/3; and in the South Fork the Murray-Custer #1 Structure 3/10.	n the Snohomish River, approximat	tely 500 feet from the
	Due to the slope and vegetation conditions at these local required, project activities would not lead to erosion and construction Best Management Practices (BMP's) will be soil disturbance does not cause sedimentation issues into determined that the proposed work would have "No Efficient theory, or these species' critical habitat.	d sedimentation issues to these rive implemented throughout the proj o any waterway. For the above rea	ers. Additionally, ect to ensure localized asons, it was
6.	Wetlands	V	
	Explanation: North Mountain-Snohomish No. 1, Structu 4/6 are located in a wetland areas. Work would take pla construction notification required. NWP 12 General, Rep	ce under Nationwide Permit (NWP) 12 with no pre-
	<u>Mitigation:</u> Use temporary matting during construction i equipment. Do not allow petroleum products, sediment Ensure spill containment and cleanup materials are read construction vehicles.	, or other deleterious materials to	enter any wetlands.
_			
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	<u>~</u>	
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers Explanation: The proposed work is not anticipated to im		
 8. 	·		
	Explanation: The proposed work is not anticipated to im	npact groundwater.	
	Explanation: The proposed work is not anticipated to im Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	npact groundwater.	
8.	Explanation: The proposed work is not anticipated to im Land Use and Specially Designated Areas Explanation: No change in land use and no specially des	ipact groundwater. ignated areas identified.	different than
9.	Explanation: The proposed work is not anticipated to implement the control of the	ipact groundwater. ignated areas identified.	different than
9.	Explanation: The proposed work is not anticipated to implement the control of the	ignated areas identified. structures would not be noticeably	
9.	Explanation: The proposed work is not anticipated to implement the Land Use and Specially Designated Areas Explanation: No change in land use and no specially designated Quality Explanation: New wood poles will be similar to existing existing structures. Air Quality Explanation: Any fugitive dust or similar during project in the control of the c	ignated areas identified. structures would not be noticeably	
9.	Explanation: The proposed work is not anticipated to implement the control of the	ignated areas identified. ignated areas identified. structures would not be noticeably mplementation is expected to be to	emporary and
9. 10.	Explanation: The proposed work is not anticipated to implement the control of the	ignated areas identified. ignated areas identified. structures would not be noticeably mplementation is expected to be to	emporary and

Evaluation of Other Integral Elements

The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:

Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.

Explanation, if necessary:

Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

Explanation, if necessary:

Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

Explanation, if necessary:

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: Notification letters will be sent by the BPA Realty Specialist to all landowners prior to work.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: /s/ <u>Oden Jahn</u> Date: <u>June 20, 2016</u>

Oden Jahn Physical Scientist