Planned Improvements to MODSIM: Integrating River Basin Operations Modeling with Power System Economic Dispatch

Feb. 22, 2012

André Dozier*, John Labadie, and Dan Zimmerle Colorado State University *Hydro Research Fellow

- Presentation Outline
	- Operating challenges
	- Why integrate water and power models?
	- Objective of this work
	- Selected integrated model structure
	- Future work

- Water System Operational Challenges
	- Uncertain inflows
	- Conflicting Purposes
	- Time delay
	- Complex legal agreements
	- Interconnected reservoirs

Figure source: President's Water Resources Policy Commission, 1950

- Power System Operational Challenges
	- Production = Consumption + Losses at all times
	- Contingencies \rightarrow reserves and ramping rates
	- Uncertain renewables production
	- Multi-area power flow
	- Interconnections
	- Congestion

- Why Operations Modeling and Optimization?
	- Infrastructure = Money + Time
		- Critical operations for critical infrastructure
		- Improved efficiency = more revenue
		- Accidents are too costly
	- Computers are needed
		- Large systems
		- Repeated tasks

- Why Integrate Water and Power System Operations Modeling?
	- Segregated modeling framework

• Why Integrate Water and Power System Operations Modeling?

– Integrated modeling framework

- Why Integrate Water and Power System Operations Modeling?
	- Unrealistic modeling in current renewable integration studies [1]-[4]
		- Transmission constraints (and other security issues)
		- Non-power water system constraints and objectives
		- Interrelated nature of multi-reservoir operations
	- Energy storage is essential
		- Hydropower provides large and long-term energy storage
		- Reduce uncertainty in renewable energy production

- Why Integrate Water and Power System Operations Modeling?
	- Climate change impacts on operations
	- Emergency response plans
	- National economic security
	- Interdisciplinary analysis of economic and environmental tradeoffs

- Hasn't integrated water and power systems modeling already been done?
	- Previous models generally do not include ramping rate constraints and increased reserve capacity requirements
	- To our knowledge, no **freely available**, generalized model currently exists

- How did Colorado State University (CSU) get involved in this project?
	- Fellowship from the Hydro Research Foundation
	- CSU has a customizable water operations model (called MODSIM)
	- CSU is a major research center for power system controls

- Objective
	- Realize the full potential for both conventional and pumped storage hydropower to aid renewable energy integration with sufficient accuracy
		- Build model
			- Handles water AND power constraints adequately
			- Incorporates uncertainty
			- Multiple objectives
		- Apply the model to a test system
		- Examine operational improvements

• What type of model do we need to build? – Spatial and temporal scales

Figure taken directly from [10]

• What type of model do we need to build? – Spatial and temporal scales

Figure taken directly from [10]

- What type of model do we need to build?
	- Stochastic, dynamic optimization method
	- Incorporates energy storage
		- Introduces dispatchability

• What type of model do we need to build? – Conventional hydropower

• What type of model do we need to build? – Pumped storage hydropower (e.g., peak shaving)

- First Level
	- Water network solution
		- MODSIM
			- Iterative network flow algorithm & Frank-Wolfe algorithm
	- Constrained Economic Dispatch
		- Open-ended design that allows for both:
			- Programmatic (or tightly coupled) interface
			- Loosely coupled interface (I/O to disk)
		- Light-weight addition to MODSIM
			- "Direct search" method seems promising [5]-[8] for active power dispatch problem

- First Level
	- Water network solution
		- Network flow algorithm
			- Solve mass balance
			- Distribute water according to priority
		- Successive approximations
			- Solve for evaporation, reservoir levels, lags, any nonlinear customized changes
		- Frank-Wolfe method
			- Solve quadratic formulations (power demand)

- First Level
	- Water network solution
		- Frank-Wolfe method

- Second Level
	- Lagrangian Relaxation Master Problem
		- Optimality Condition Decomposition [9]

- Second Level
	- Simulation Structure
		- Allows system approach

- Second Level
	- Simulation Structure
		- Dynamically updated ramping rate & reserve constraints

- Third Level
	- Reinforcement Learning
	- In other words…

See how well the system performs

- Benefits to this approach
	- Incorporate uncertainty easily
		- No need to estimate explicit transition probabilities!
		- Optimal policies are inferred
		- Ensemble prediction (streamflow & renewables)
	- Parallel processing
	- Multiobjective analysis
	- System approach to firming renewables
	- Algorithms are similar to operators' way of thinking

Test Systems

- Does anybody want to partner with CSU to provide actual test systems?
	- Wind-hydro-thermal mix
		- Wind power forecasts and actual production
		- Pumped and conventional hydropower
	- Transmission system constraints
		- Transmission data (under NDA perhaps)
	- Water system constraints
		- Legal/environmental agreements
		- Operating criteria

Future Work

- Parallelization & high-performance computing
- Interdisciplinary analysis of:
	- Climate change
	- Emergency response plans
	- Economic and environmental tradeoffs
- Integration with other critical infrastructure models
	- Natural gas and oil
	- Water and power distribution
	- Crop production and irrigation
	- Weather forecasting and climate change models

References

- [1] T. L. Acker and C. Pete, "Western Wind and Solar Integration Study: Hydropower Analysis," Golden, CO, 2011.
- [2] GE Energy, "Western wind and solar integration study," *Integration Studies & Operational Impacts*, 2010. [Online]. Available: http://www.nrel.gov/wind/systemsintegration/pdfs/2010/wwsis_final_report.pdf. [Accessed: 06-Oct-2011].
- [3] H. Holttinen et al., "Design and operation of power systems with large amounts of wind power," 2009.
- [4] V. W. Loose, "Quantifying the Value of Hydropower in the Electric Grid: Role of Hydropower in Existing Markets," 2011.
- [5] C.-L. Chen and N. Chen, "Direct Search Method for Solving Economic Dispatch Problem Considering Transmission Capacity Constraints," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 764-769, 2001.
- [6] W.-M. Lin, H.-J. Gow, and M.-T. Tsai, "Combining of Direct Search and Signal-To-Noise Ratio for Economic Dispatch Optimization," *Energy Conversion and Management*, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 487-493, Jan. 2011.
- [7] M.-T. Tsai, H.-J. Gow, and W.-M. Lin, "A Novel Stochastic Search Method for the Solution of Economic Dispatch Problems with Non-Convex Fuel Cost Functions," *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 1070-1076, May 2011.
- [8] C.-L. Chen, R.-M. Jan, T.-Y. Lee, and C.-H. Chen, "A Novel Particle Swarm Optimization Algorithm Solution of Economic Dispatch with Valve Point Loading," *Journal of Marine Science and Technology*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 43–51, 2011.
- [9] A. J. Conejo, E. Castillo, R. Mínguez, and R. García-Bertrand, "Decomposition in Nonlinear Programming," in *Decomposition Techniques in Mathematical Programming: Engineering and Science Applications*, Springer Berlin, Heildelberg, 2006, pp. 187-242.
- [10] Anonymous. (2011). Energy Storage: Program Planning Document. [Online]. U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Electricity Delivery & Energy Reliability, Washington, DC. Available: http://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/oeprod/ DocumentsandMedia/OE_Energy_Storage_Program_Plan_Feburary_2011v3.pdf [Feb. 10, 2012].